

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

STATE OF MAINE
123RD LEGISLATURE
SECOND REGULAR AND FIRST SPECIAL SESSIONS



Summaries of bills, adopted amendments and laws enacted or finally passed
during the Second Regular or First Special Sessions of the 123rd Maine
Legislature coming from the

**JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE ON STATE AND LOCAL
GOVERNMENT**

May 2008

MEMBERS:

SEN. ELIZABETH M. SCHNEIDER, CHAIR
SEN. JOSEPH C. BRANNIGAN
SEN. PAULA I. BENOIT

REP. CHRISTOPHER R. BARSTOW, CHAIR
STEPHEN R. BEAUDETTE
REP. JAMES M. SCHATZ
REP. ANDREA M. BOLAND
REP. TERESEA M. HAYES
REP. LAWRENCE G. SIROIS
REP. HENRY L. JOY
REP. PHILIP A. CURTIS
REP. H. DAVID COTTA
REP. WINDOL C. WEAVER

STAFF:

ANNA T. BROOME, LEGISLATIVE ANALYST
OFFICE OF POLICY AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
13 STATE HOUSE STATION
AUGUSTA, ME 04333
(207) 287-1670

STATE OF MAINE
123RD LEGISLATURE
SECOND REGULAR & FIRST SPECIAL SESSIONS



**LEGISLATIVE DIGEST OF BILL SUMMARIES AND
ENACTED LAWS**

This *Legislative Digest of Bill Summaries and Enacted Laws* summarizes all bills and adopted amendments and all laws enacted or finally passed during the Second Regular or First Special Sessions of the 123rd Maine Legislature.

The *Digest* is arranged alphabetically by committee, and within each committee by LD number. The committee report(s), prime sponsor and lead co-sponsor(s), if designated, are listed below each bill title. All adopted amendments are summarized and listed by paper number. A subject index is included with each committee. The appendices include a summary of relevant session statistics, an index of all bills by LD number and an index of enacted laws by law type and chapter number.

Final action on each bill is noted to the right of the bill title. The abbreviations used for various categories of final action are as follows:

<i>CON RES XXX</i>	<i>Chapter # of Constitutional Resolution passed by both Houses</i>
<i>CONF CMTE UNABLE TO AGREE</i>	<i>Committee of Conference unable to agree; bill died</i>
<i>DIED BETWEEN BODIES</i>	<i>House & Senate disagree; bill died</i>
<i>DIED IN CONCURRENCE</i>	<i>One body accepts ONTP report; the other indefinitely postpones the bill</i>
<i>DIED ON ADJOURNMENT</i>	<i>Action incomplete when session ended; bill died</i>
<i>EMERGENCY</i>	<i>Enacted law takes effect sooner than 90 days</i>
<i>FAILED EMERGENCY ENACTMENT/FINAL PASSAGE</i>	<i>Emergency bill failed to get 2/3 vote</i>
<i>FAILED ENACTMENT/FINAL PASSAGE</i>	<i>Bill failed to get majority vote</i>
<i>FAILED MANDATE ENACTMENT</i>	<i>Bill imposing local mandate failed to get 2/3 vote</i>
<i>NOT PROPERLY BEFORE THE BODY</i>	<i>Ruled out of order by the presiding officers; bill died</i>
<i>INDEF PP</i>	<i>Bill Indefinitely Postponed; bill died</i>
<i>ONTP (or Accepted ONTP report)</i>	<i>Ought Not To Pass report accepted; bill died</i>
<i>P&S XXX</i>	<i>Chapter # of enacted Private & Special Law</i>
<i>PUBLIC XXX</i>	<i>Chapter # of enacted Public Law</i>
<i>RESOLVE XXX</i>	<i>Chapter # of finally passed Resolve</i>
<i>UNSIGNED</i>	<i>Bill held by Governor</i>
<i>VETO SUSTAINED</i>	<i>Legislature failed to override Governor's Veto</i>

The effective date for non-emergency legislation enacted in the Second Regular Session (R2) is June 30, 2008. The effective date for non-emergency legislation enacted in the First Special Session (S1) is July 18, 2008.¹ The effective date for legislation enacted as an emergency measure is specified in the enacted law summary for those bills. Any bill summarized in this document having an LD number less than 1932 was a bill carried over from the First Regular Session of the 123rd Legislature.

¹ The session in which each law was enacted or finally passed (R2 or S1) is included in Appendix C.

Joint Standing Committee on State and Local Government

LD 2057 An Act To Amend the Conflict of Interest Laws for Notaries Public

**DIED BETWEEN
HOUSES**

<u>Sponsor(s)</u>	<u>Committee Report</u>	<u>Amendments Adopted</u>
BARSTOW	OTP-AM MAJ ONTP MIN	

LD 2057 adds relationships to the list of relationships considered to pose a conflict of interest for a notary public in the conduct of the notary public's responsibilities. It allows a notary public to solemnize the marriage of some family members.

Committee Amendment "A" (H-707)

This amendment prohibits a notary public from notarizing that notary public's own signature.

House Amendment "A" (H-747)

This amendment prohibits a notary public from performing a notarial act for a person with whom that notary has a familial relationship if the notary could foreseeably derive some pecuniary benefit from the execution of the document being notarized. This amendment strikes from the bill the list of persons for whom a notary public may not perform a notarial act and instead uses the list of persons in current law, with the addition of a registered domestic partner and that partner's parent, sibling, child or child's spouse. The effect of this amendment is that a notary may perform notarial acts for any family members as long as no conflict exists.

LD 2058 Resolve, Authorizing the President of the Maine Community College System To Sell 2 Parcels of Real Property in South Portland

RESOLVE 148

<u>Sponsor(s)</u>	<u>Committee Report</u>	<u>Amendments Adopted</u>
EBERLE	OTP-AM	H-663

LD 2058 authorizes the Maine Community College System to sell 2 parcels of land in South Portland.

Committee Amendment "A" (H-663)

This amendment incorporates a fiscal note.

Enacted Law Summary

Resolve 2007, chapter 148 authorizes the Maine Community College System to sell 2 parcels of land in South Portland.

LD 2096 An Act Regarding International Trade Agreements

ONTP

<u>Sponsor(s)</u>	<u>Committee Report</u>	<u>Amendments Adopted</u>
PATRICK	ONTP	

LD 2096 requires legislative approval before any state official, including the Governor, may bind the State to the procurement rules, service sector rules or investment rules of an international trade agreement. It is modeled on similar legislation recently enacted in Maryland, Rhode Island and Hawaii.