MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from electronic originals (may include minor formatting differences from printed original)

State Of Maine 121st Legislature

First Regular Session

Bill Summaries

Joint Standing Committee on Judiciary

July 2003

Members:

Sen. Peggy A. Pendleton, Chair Sen. Mary R. Cathcart Sen. Chandler E. Woodcock

Rep. William S. Norbert, Chair Rep. Thomas D. Bull Rep. Deborah L. Simpson Rep. Stan Gerzofsky Rep. Philip R. Bennett, Jr. Rep. Janet T. Mills Rep. Roger L. Sherman Rep. Roderick W. Carr Rep. Brian M. Duprey Rep. Joan Bryant-Deschenes Rep. Donna M. Loring

Staff:

Margaret J. Reinsch, Senior Analyst

Office of Policy and Legal Analysis 13 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333 (207) 287-1670

Maine State Legislature



Office Of Policy And Legal Analysis Office Of Fiscal And Program Review

121st Maine Legislature First Regular Session

Summary Of Legislation Before The Joint Standing Committees

Enclosed please find a summary of all bills, resolves, joint study orders, joint resolutions and Constitutional resolutions that were considered by the joint standing and joint select committees of the Maine Legislature this past session. The document is a compilation of bill summaries which describe each bill and relevant amendments, as well as the final action taken. Also included are statistical summaries of bill activity this session for the Legislature and each of its joint standing committees.

The document is organized for convenient reference to information on bills considered by the committees. It is arranged alphabetically by committee name and within committees by bill (LD) number. The committee report(s), prime sponsor for each bill and the lead co-sponsor(s), if designated, are listed below each bill title. All adopted amendments are listed by paper number. Two indices, a subject index and a numerical index by LD number are provided for easy reference to bills. They are located at the back of the document. A separate publication, <u>History and Final Disposition of Legislative Documents</u>, may also be helpful in providing information on the disposition of bills. These bill summaries also are available at the Law and Legislative Reference Library and on the Internet (www.state.me.us/legis/opla).

Final action on each bill is noted to the right of the bill title. The abbreviations used for various categories of final action are as follows:

| CARRIED OVER PURSUANT TO HP 1212 | Bills carried over to the 2 nd Regular Session |
|--|--|
| CON RES XXX | Chapter # of Constitutional Resolution passed by both Houses |
| CONF CMTE UNABLE TO AGREE | |
| DIED BETWEEN BODIES | |
| DIED IN CONCURRENCEOne bo | dy accepts ONTP report; the other indefinitely postpones the bill |
| DIED ON ADJOURNMENT | Action incomplete when session ended; bill died |
| EMERGENCY | Enacted law takes effect sooner than 90 days |
| FAILED EMERGENCY ENACTMENT/FINAL PASSAGE | Emergency bill failed to get 2/3 vote |
| FAILED ENACTMENT/FINAL PASSAGE | Bill failed to get majority vote |
| FAILED MANDATE ENACTMENT | Bill failed to get majority voteBill imposing local mandate failed to get 2/3 vote |
| NOT PROPERLY BEFORE THE BODY | |
| INDEF PP | Bill Indefinitely Postponed |
| ONTP | Ought Not To Pass report accepted |
| OTP-ND | |
| P&S XXX | |
| PASSED | Joint Order passed in both bodies |
| PUBLIC XXX | |
| RESOLVE XXX | |
| UNSIGNED | Bill held by Governor |
| VETO SUSTAINED | Legislature failed to override Governor's Veto |

Please note that the effective date for all non-emergency legislation enacted in the First Regular Session (unless otherwise specified in a particular law) is September 13, 2003.

Joint Standing Committee on Judiciary

Enacted Law Summary

Public Law 2003, chapter 14 provides that a beneficiary in a will must, upon application to the register of probate, be furnished with a copy of the probated will upon payment of a fee of \$1 per page.

LD 168

An Act To Allow a Municipality To Dispose of Unclaimed Bicycles by Means in Addition to Public Auction PUBLIC 77

| Sponsor(s) | Committee Report | Amendments Adopted |
|------------|------------------|--------------------|
| FISCHER | OTP-AM | H-80 |
| KNEELAND | | |

LD 168 proposed to allow a municipality to adopt an ordinance that allows for the donation of abandoned bicycles to a charitable organization rather than sending the proceeds from the sale of the property to the State Treasurer according to abandoned property law.

Committee Amendment "A" (H-80) proposed to replace the language of the bill to authorize a municipality to dispose of unclaimed bicycles in any manner that the legislative body of the municipality decides is appropriate. Current law authorizes sale at a public auction, and the bill would have allowed donations to charitable organizations.

This amendment proposed to provide that the municipality is exempt from the Uniform Unclaimed Property Act with respect to unclaimed bicycles.

Enacted Law Summary

Public Law 2003, chapter 77 allows a municipality to dispose of unclaimed bicycles in any manner that the legislative body of the municipality decides is appropriate. It exempts municipalities from the Uniform Unclaimed Property Act with respect to unclaimed bicycles.

LD 234

An Act To Create a Uniform Approach to the Determination of Child Support When Parents Provide Substantially Equal Care for Children

PUBLIC 415

| Sponsor(s) | Committee Report | | Amendments Adopted |
|------------|------------------|-----|--------------------|
| | OTP-AM | MAJ | H-499 |
| | OTP-AM | MIN | |

LD 234 proposed to provide a standard for the courts and the parties in cases in which the parents provide substantially equal parenting for the child but have unequal incomes.

Committee Amendment "A" (H-499) was the majority report of the committee. It proposed to add definitions for the terms used in the formula for calculating child support when the parents have unequal incomes but provide substantially equal care for the child. It also proposed to clarify that the child care costs, extraordinary