## MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from electronic originals (may include minor formatting differences from printed original)

### State Of Maine 120th Legislature

First Regular Session

**Bill Summaries** 

Joint Standing Committee on Criminal Justice

August 2001

Members:

Sen. Michael J. McAlevey, Chair Sen. William B. O'Gara Sen. Paul T. Davis

Staff:

Marion Hylan Barr, Legislative Analyst Jon Clark, Senior Analyst

Office of Policy and Legal Analysis 13 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333 (207) 287-1670 Rep. Edward J. Povich, Chair Rep. Michael W. Quint Rep. Charles E. Mitchell Rep. Lillian LaFontaine O'Brien Rep. Patricia A. Blanchette Rep. Stanley J. Gerzofsky Rep. Judith B. Peavey Rep. Edgar Wheeler Rep. James H. Tobin, Jr. Rep. Lois A. Snowe-Mello



# Maine State Legislature OFFICE OF POLICY AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

13 State House Station, Augusta, Maine 04333-0013 Telephone: (207) 287-1670 Fax: (207) 287-1275

### 120th Legislature First Regular Session

## Summary Of Legislation Before The Joint Standing Committees August 2001

Enclosed please find a summary of all bills, resolves, joint study orders, joint resolutions and Constitutional resolutions that were considered by the joint standing select committees of the Maine Legislature this past session. The document is a compilation of bill summaries which describe each bill and relevant amendments, as well as the final action taken. Also included are statistical summaries of bill activity this session for the Legislature and each of its joint standing committees.

The document is organized for convenient reference to information on bills considered by the committees. It is organized by committees and within committees by bill (LD) number. The committee report(s), prime sponsor for each bill and the lead co-sponsor(s), if designated, are listed below each bill title. All adopted amendments are listed by paper number. Two indices, a subject index and a numerical index by LD number are provided for easy reference to bills. They are located at the back of the document. A separate publication, <u>History and Final Disposition of Legislative Documents</u>, may also be helpful in providing information on the disposition of bills. These bill summaries also are available at the Law and Legislative Reference Library and on the Internet (www.state.me.us/legis/opla).

Final action on each bill is noted to the right of the bill title. The abbreviations used for various categories of final action are as follows:

CARRIED OVER	Bill Carried Over to Second Regular Session
CON RES XXX	Bill Carried Over to Second Regular Session Chapter # of Constitutional Resolution passed by both Houses
CONF CMTE UNABLE TO AGREE.	
DIFD RETWEEN BODIES	House & Senate disagree; bill died
	accepts ONTP report; the other indefinitely postpones the bill
DIED ON ADJOURNMENT	Action incomplete when session ended; bill died
FMERGENCY	Enacted law takes effect sooner than 90 days
FAILED EMERGENCY ENACTMENT/FINAL PASSAGE	EEmergency bill failed to get 2/3 vote
FAILED ENACTMENT/FINAL PASSAGE	Bill failed to get majority vote
FAILED MANDATE ENACTMENT	Bill imposing local mandate failed to get 2/3 vote
NOT PROPERLY REFORE THE RODY	Ruled out of order by the presiding officers; bill died
INDEE DD	Rill Indefinitely Postnoved
ONTP	Bill Indefinitely Postponed Ought Not To Pass report accepted
OTP ND	
OTD ND/NT	Committee report Ought To Pass In New Draft/New Title
D L C VVV	
DIDIIC VVV	Chapter # of enacted Frivate & Special Law
DECOLUE VVV	Chanten # of English and Develop
RESULVE AAA	Chapter # of enacted Public LawChapter # of finally passed ResolveBill held by Governor
VETO CUCTANED	Bill neld by Governor
YEIU SUSIAINED	Legislature failed to override Governor's Veto

Please note the effective date for all non-emergency legislation enacted in the First Regular Session (unless otherwise specified in a particular law) is **September 21**, 2001.

#### **Joint Standing Committee on Criminal Justice**

LD 508

An Act to Repeal the Maine Criminal Justice Academy Certification Requirements for Sheriffs

ONTP

Sponsor(s)
GOODWIN

Committee Report
ONTP

Amendments Adopted

LD 508 proposed to remove the requirement that a sheriff or candidate for sheriff submit certification from the Maine Criminal Justice Academy showing that the sheriff or candidate has met the basic law enforcement training standards and basic corrections training standards and has 5 years of supervisory employment experience.

LD 579 An Act to Allow Sheriffs to Use Modern Record-keeping Technologies in the Maintenance of Jail Records

**PUBLIC 33** 

Sponsor(s)
PEAVEY

Committee Report

Amendments Adopted

LD 579 proposed to remove the requirement that a sheriff keep certain information about prisoners committed to the jail under the sheriff's charge in a bound book and replace it with a requirement that this information be kept in a suitable, permanent record at the office of the sheriff.

#### Enacted law summary

Public Law 2001, chapter 33 removes the requirement that a sheriff keep certain information about prisoners committed to the jail under the sheriff's charge in a bound book and replaces it with a requirement that this information be kept in a suitable, permanent record at the office of the sheriff.

LD 601 An Act to Provide for Relief from Mandatory Minimum Sentences CARRIED OVER in Certain Cases

Sponsor(s) Committee Report Amendments Adopted

LD 601 proposed to grant the judge authority to deviate from a mandatory minimum sentence and a mandatory minimum fine in certain circumstances. Section 1 of the bill proposed to repeal the mandatory minimum sentences for aggravated trafficking, furnishing or cultivation of scheduled drugs. Section 2 of the bill proposed to give courts the authority to deviate from statutory mandatory minimum sentences if the gravity of the offense is not diminished or if the public's safety is not adversely affected and if imposing the mandatory sentence would be a substantial injustice and would frustrate the general purposes of sentencing. In making the decision the court shall