MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from electronic originals (may include minor formatting differences from printed original)

STATE OF MAINE 119TH LEGISLATURE

SECOND REGULAR SESSION

BILL SUMMARIES JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

JULY 2000

MEMBERS: Sen. Susan W. Longley, Chair Sen. Sharon Anglin Treat Sen. John W. Benoit

Rep. Richard H. Thompson, Chair Rep. Thomas Bull Rep. Charles C. LaVerdiere Rep. Patricia T. Jacobs Rep. Charles E. Mitchell Rep. William S. Norbert Rep. Debra D. Plowman Rep. David R. Madore Rep. G. Paul Waterhouse Rep. William J. Schneider Rep. Donna M. Loring

Staff:

Margaret J. Reinsch, Esq., Principal Analyst Deborah C. Friedman, Esq., Senior Legislative Analyst

Office of Policy and Legal Analysis 13 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333 (207)287-1670



Maine State Legislature OFFICE OF POLICY AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

13 State House Station, Augusta, Maine 04333-0013 Telephone: (207) 287-1670 Fax: (207) 287-1275

ONE HUNDRED NINETEENTH LEGISLATURE SECOND REGULAR SESSION

Summary Of Legislation Before The Joint Standing Committees July 2000

We are pleased to provide this summary of bills that were considered by the Joint Standing and Select Committees of the Maine Legislature this past session. The document is a compilation of bill summaries which describe each bill and relevant amendments, as well as the final action taken. Also included are statistical summaries of bill activity this session for the Legislature and each of its joint standing and select committees.

The document is organized for convenient reference to information on bills considered by the committees. It is organized by committees and within committees by bill (LD) number. The committee report(s), prime sponsor for each bill and the lead co-sponsor(s), if designated, are listed below each bill title. All adopted amendments are listed by paper number. Two indices, a subject index and a numerical index by LD number are provided for easy reference to bills. They are located at the back of the document. A separate publication, <u>History and Final Disposition of Legislative Documents</u>, may also be helpful in providing information on the disposition of bills. These bill summaries also are available at the Law and Legislative Reference Library and on the Internet (www.state.me.us/legis/opla).

Final action on each bill is noted to the right of the bill title. The abbreviations used for various categories of final action are as follows:

CON RES XXXCh	apter # of Constitutional Resolution passed by both Houses
DIED BETWEEN BODIES	House & Senate disagree; bill died
	ccepts ONTP report; the other indefinitely postpones the bill
	Action incomplete when session ended; bill died
EMERGENCY	Enacted law takes effect sooner than 90 days
FAILED EMERGENCY ENACTMENT/FINAL PASSAGE	Emergency bill failed to get 2/3 vote
FAILED ENACTMENT/FINAL PASSAGE	Bill failed to get majority vote
FAILED MANDATE ENACTMENT	Bill imposing local mandate failed to get 2/3 vote
NOT PROPERLY BEFORE THE BODY	Ruled out of order by the presiding officers; bill died
INDEF PP	Bill Indefinitely Postponed
ONTP	Ought Not To Pass report accepted
OTP ND	Committee report Ought To Pass In New Draft
OTP ND/NT	Committee report Ought To Pass In New Draft/New Title
P&S XXX	Chapter # of enacted Private & Special LawChapter # of enacted Public Law
PUBLIC XXX	Chapter # of enacted Public Law
RESOLVE XXX	Chapter # of finally passed Resolve
UNSIGNED	Bill held by GovernorLegislature failed to override Governor's Veto
VETO SUSTAINED	Legislature failed to override Governor's Veto

Please note the effective date for all non-emergency legislation enacted in the Second Regular Session (unless otherwise specified in a particular law) is August 11, 2000.

Enacted law summary

Public Law 1999, chapter 613 provides that the use of "squaw" or "squa" as a separate word in the name of a place is offensive, and requires that the name of that place must be changed. The municipal officers, if the place is within a municipality, or the county commissioners, if the place is located in unorganized territory, must take reasonable steps to complete a change in the name. Public hearings may be held on selecting a new name. Current law concerning offensive place names provides for a court to order a name change within 90 days if there is no agreement reached between the Maine Human Rights Commission and the municipal officers or the county commissioners, as applicable. Chapter 613 requires the public notification about the new name to take place within 6 months of the determination that a place name is offensive if there is no court order specifying a different deadline.

LD 2436

An Act to Permit the Attorney General, a Deputy Attorney General or a District Attorney to Request Records of Internet Service Providers and Mobile Telecommunications Service Providers PUBLIC 686

Sponsor(s)	Committee	Report	Amendments Adopted
SCHNEIDER	OTP-AM	MAJ	H-1026 CAMERON
	ONTP	MIN	H-982

LD 2436 proposed to allow the Attorney General, a deputy attorney general or a district attorney to request records of Internet service providers and mobile telecommunications service providers in the same way other utility records are requested.

Committee Amendment "A" (H-982), the majority report, proposed to replace the bill. It proposed to rewrite the section of the statutes establishing the procedure for a prosecutor to demand certain records from providers of services to cover a wider range of services. Current law authorizes the procedure for certain public utility records. The amendment proposed to cover the same public utility services plus mobile telecommunications service providers, even if they are not within the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission, and Internet service providers, which are not currently within the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission. The amendment proposed to use the federal definition of "Internet service provider" and to limit records of utility services to subscriber information: name, address, local and long-distance telephone billing records, telephone number or other subscriber number or identity and the length of time the services have been provided to the subscriber or customer. Content of electronic mail and other transmissions would not be included. The amendment proposed to define "utility services" subject to a demand for records.

The amendment proposed to keep the current process the prosecutor must follow, with the addition of a notice requirement. As proposed, within 60 days of the approval of the demand for utility service records, the prosecutor must notify the person who is the subject of the records. The prosecutor may ask the court to extend that period, which the court may do upon a showing of reasonable cause. The period of the extension may not be indefinite but must be to a certain time. Additional extensions could be requested.

House Amendment "A" to Committee Amendment "A" (H-1026) was presented on behalf of the Committee on Bills in the Second Reading to prevent a conflict by incorporating changes made to the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 5, section 200-B, subsection 1 in Public Law 1999, chapter 579.

Enacted law summary

Public Law 1999, chapter 686 allows the Attorney General, a deputy attorney general or a district attorney to request records of Internet service providers and mobile telecommunications service providers in the same way other utility records are requested. It incorporates the federal definition of "Internet service provider" and limits records of utility services to subscriber information: name, address, local and long-distance telephone billing records, telephone number or other subscriber number or identity and the length of time the services have been provided to the subscriber or customer. Content of electronic mail and other transmissions is excluded. The procedure a prosecutor must follow to demand and receive the records is not changed, except that a notice requirement is added. Within 60 days of the approval of the demand for utility service records, the prosecutor must notify the person who is the subject of the records. The prosecutor may ask the court to extend that period, which the court may do upon a showing of reasonable cause. The period of the extension may not be indefinite but must be to a certain time. Additional extensions may be requested.

LD 2453 An Act Regarding the Statute of Limitations for Sexual Misconduct PUBLIC 639 with a Minor

Sponsor(s)	Committee Report	Amendments Adopted
DUDLEY	OTP-AM	H-914
RAND		

LD 2453 proposed to apply the removal of the criminal statute of limitations for the crimes of unlawful sexual contact and sexual abuse of a minor, enacted by Public Law 1999, chapter 438, retroactively to any crime of unlawful sexual contact or sexual abuse of a minor regardless of when the crime occurred. The bill also proposed to remove the current 12-year statute of limitations for civil actions based on the crimes of unlawful sexual contact and sexual abuse of a minor.

Committee Amendment "A" (H-914) proposed to replace the bill. It proposed to repeal and replace the current law limiting civil actions for sexual acts toward minors. The amendment proposed to provide that there is no statute of limitations for civil actions based on sexual acts toward minors that are based on sexual acts or sexual contact that either occurred after the effective date of this bill, or occurred prior to the effective date but for which the existing statute of limitations had not yet expired on the effective date of this bill. The amendment would not have revived any case for which the period under the statute of limitations has already expired. The amendment proposed to define "sexual acts toward minors" to include both "sexual act" and "sexual contact" as defined in the Maine Criminal Code.

Enacted law summary

Public Law 1999, chapter 639 repeals and replaces the current law limiting civil actions for sexual acts toward minors. It provides that there is no statute of limitations for such civil actions that are based on sexual acts or sexual contact that either occurred after the effective date of this law, or occurred prior to the effective date but for which the existing statute of limitations had not yet expired on the effective date of this law. This change does not revive any case for which the period under the statute of limitations has