

STATE OF MAINE 119TH LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION

BILL SUMMARIES JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE ON STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT

JULY 1999

MEMBERS: Sen. Peggy A. Pendleton, Chair Sen. Jill M. Goldthwait Sen. Paul T. Davis

Rep. Douglas J. Ahearne, Chair Rep. Martha A. Bagley Rep. Benjamin L. Rines, Jr. Rep. John F. McDonough Rep. Joanne T. Twomey Rep. Randall L. Bumps Rep. Susan Kasprzak Rep. Arlan R. Jodrey Rep. Earl E. Richardson Rep. Belinda A. Gerry Rep. Donald G. Soctomah

Staff:

Christopher J. Spruce, Legislative Analyst Danielle D. Fox, Legislative Analyst

Office of Policy and Legal Analysis Room 101/107/135, 13 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333 (207)287-1670



Maine State Legislature

OFFICE OF POLICY AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

13 State House Station, Augusta, Maine 04333-0013 Telephone: (207) 287-1670 Fax: (207) 287-1275

ONE HUNDRED NINETEENTH LEGISLATURE FIRST REGULAR SESSION

Summary Of Legislation Before The Joint Standing and Select Committees August 1999

We are pleased to provide this summary of all bills that were considered by the Joint Standing and Select Committees of the Maine Legislature this past session. The document is a compilation of bill summaries which describe each bill and relevant amendments, as well as the final action taken. Also included are statistical summaries of bill activity this session for the Legislature and each of its joint standing and select committees.

The document is organized for convenient reference to information on bills considered by the committees. It is organized by committees and within committees by bill (LD) number. The committee report(s), prime sponsor for each bill and the lead co-sponsor(s), if designated, are listed below each bill title. All adopted amendments are listed by paper number. Two indices, a subject index and a numerical index by LD number are provided for easy reference to bills. They are located at the back of the document. A separate publication, <u>History and Final Disposition of Legislative Documents</u>, may also be helpful in providing information on the disposition of bills. These bill summaries also are available at the Law and Legislative Reference Library and on the Internet (www.state.me.us/legis/opla).

Final action on each bill is noted to the right of the bill title. The abbreviations used for various categories of final action are as follows:

CARRIED OVER	Bill carried over to Second Regular Session
CON RES XXX	
CONF CMTE UNABLE TO AGREE	Committee of Conference unable to agree; bill died
DIED BETWEEN BODIES	
DIED IN CONCURRENCE	One body accepts ONTP report; the other indefinitely postpones the bill
DIED ON ADJOURNMENT	Action incomplete when session ended; bill died
EMERGENCY	Enacted law takes effect sooner than 90 days
ENACTMENT FAILED	
NOT PROPERLY BEFORE THE BODY	
INDEF PP	Bill Indefinitely Postponed
ONTP	Ought Not To Pass report accepted
OTP ND	Committee report Ought To Pass In New Draft
	Committee report Ought ToPass In New Draft/New Title
P&S XXX	Chapter # of enacted Private & Special Law
	Chapter # of enacted Public Law
RESOLVE XXX	Chapter # of finally passed Resolve
UNSIGNED	Bill held by Governor
VETO SUSTAINED	Legislature failed to override Governor's Veto

Please note the effective date for all non-emergency legislation enacted in the First Regular Session (unless otherwise specified in a particular law) is September 18, 1999.

David E. Boulter, Director Offices Located in the State House, Rooms 101/107/135

LD 2131An Act to Ensure that Agency Use of Collaborative Decision-making and
Stakeholder Processes is Fair and Consistent with the Goals of the Maine
Administrative Procedure ActPUBLIC 307

Sponsor(s)	Committee Report		Amendments Adopted
TREAT	OTP-AM	MAJ	S-181
	ONTP	MIN	

LD 2131 proposed to make the following changes to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act:

- 1. Require that all state agencies that engage in stakeholder processes adopt procedural rules governing the process;.
- 2. Specify what an agency that engages in stakeholder processes resulting in rulemaking must include in the record of rulemaking;
- 3. Specify that an agency's failure to follow its procedural rules for stakeholder processes may be grounds for appeal; and
- 4. Require the Division of Administrative Hearings within the Department of Labor to develop model procedural rules to govern stakeholder processes.

Committee Amendment "A" (S-181) was the majority report. It proposed to replace the bill. The amendment proposed to define "consensus-based rule development process" and to set forth a basic structure for agency use of the process. The amendment proposed to give agencies the authority to voluntarily engage in consensus-based rule development processes and it proposed specific items that must be addressed by the agency, including establishing a representative group of participants, developing ground rules, disclosing funding and time constraints, giving notice of meetings, selecting a chair or facilitator and distributing summaries and materials. The amendment proposed that if a draft rule developed through a consensus-based process becomes a proposed rule, the agency must maintain a record regarding the consensus-based rule development process, including the extent to which consensus was reached. The amendment also would require an agency to include anticipated consensus-based rule development process in its regulatory agenda. The amendment would require the Department of Labor to convene a working group to develop best practices guidelines to assist agencies in the use of consensus-based rule development processes.

Enacted law summary

Public Law 1999, chapter 307 establishes a consensus-based rules development process that is available for use by state agencies on a voluntary basis. The law defines "consensus-based rule development process" and it sets forth a basic structure for agency use of the process. The law identifies specific items that must be addressed by the agency, including establishing a representative group of participants, developing ground rules, disclosing funding and time constraints, giving notice of meetings, selecting a chair or facilitator and distributing summaries and materials. Under the law, if a draft rule developed through a consensus-based process becomes a proposed rule, the agency must maintain a record regarding the consensus-based rule development process, including the extent to which consensus was reached. The law also requires an agency to include anticipated consensus-based rule development process in its regulatory agenda and require the Department of Labor to convene by April 15, 2000 a working group to develop best practices guidelines to assist agencies in the use of consensus-based rule development processes.