

STATE OF MAINE 119TH LEGISLATURE

SECOND REGULAR SESSION

BILL SUMMARIES JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND CULTURAL AFFAIRS

JULY 2000

MEMBERS: Sen. Georgette B. Berube, Chair Sen. Robert E. Murray, Jr. Sen. Mary E. Small

Rep. Michael F. Brennan, Chair Rep. Shirley K. Richard Rep. Mabel J. Desmond Rep. James G. Skoglund Rep. Elizabeth Watson Rep. Christina L. Baker Rep. Vaughn A. Stedman Rep. Irvin G. Belanger Rep. Mary Black Andrews Rep. Carol Weston

Staff: Phillip D. McCarthy, Ed.D., Legislative Analyst

Office of Policy and Legal Analysis 13 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333 (207)287-1670



Maine State Legislature OFFICE OF POLICY AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

13 State House Station, Augusta, Maine 04333-0013 Telephone: (207) 287-1670 Fax: (207) 287-1275

ONE HUNDRED NINETEENTH LEGISLATURE SECOND REGULAR SESSION

Summary Of Legislation Before The Joint Standing Committees July 2000

We are pleased to provide this summary of bills that were considered by the Joint Standing and Select Committees of the Maine Legislature this past session. The document is a compilation of bill summaries which describe each bill and relevant amendments, as well as the final action taken. Also included are statistical summaries of bill activity this session for the Legislature and each of its joint standing and select committees.

The document is organized for convenient reference to information on bills considered by the committees. It is organized by committees and within committees by bill (LD) number. The committee report(s), prime sponsor for each bill and the lead co-sponsor(s), if designated, are listed below each bill title. All adopted amendments are listed by paper number. Two indices, a subject index and a numerical index by LD number are provided for easy reference to bills. They are located at the back of the document. A separate publication, <u>History and Final Disposition of Legislative Documents</u>, may also be helpful in providing information on the disposition of bills. These bill summaries also are available at the Law and Legislative Reference Library and on the Internet (www.state.me.us/legis/opla).

Final action on each bill is noted to the right of the bill title. The abbreviations used for various categories of final action are as follows:

| CON RES XXXChaj CONF CMTE UNABLE TO AGREE DIED BETWEEN BODIES | Committee of Conference unable to agree; bill died |
|---|---|
| DIED IN CONCURRENCE One body acco | |
| DIED ON ADJOURNMENT | |
| EMERGENCY | Enacted law takes effect sooner than 90 days |
| FAILED EMERGENCY ENACTMENT/FINAL PASSAGE | Emergency bill failed to get 2/3 vote |
| FAILED ENACTMENT/FINAL PASSAGE | Bill failed to get majority vote |
| FAILED MANDATE ENACTMENT | Bill imposing local mandate failed to get 2/3 vote |
| NOT PROPERLY BEFORE THE BODY | Ruled out of order by the presiding officers; bill died |
| INDEF PP | Bill Indefinitely Postponed |
| ONTP | Ought Not To Pass report accepted |
| <i>OTP ND</i> | Committee report Ought To Pass In New Draft |
| OTP ND/NT | Committee report Ought To Pass In New Draft/New Title |
| P&S XXX | Chapter # of enacted Private & Special Law |
| PUBLIC XXX | Chapter # of enacted Public Law |
| P&S XXX PUBLIC XXX RESOLVE XXX | Chapter # of finally passed Resolve |
| UNSIGNED | Bill held by Governor |
| VETO SUSTAINED | Legislature failed to override Governor's Veto |

Please note the effective date for all non-emergency legislation enacted in the Second Regular Session (unless otherwise specified in a particular law) is August 11, 2000.

education, peer mediation and early identification and response to signs of violence. The law also provides a one-time appropriation of \$50,000 to establish a grant program to be administered by the Department of Education to support the establishment of conflict resolution and peer mediation programs in schools.

LD 1346An Act to Improve the School Administrative District and
Community School District Budget Development and Approval
Process

PUBLIC 710

| Sponsor(s) | Committee Report | | Amendments Adopted |
|------------|------------------|-----|--------------------|
| BROOKS | OTP-AM | MAJ | H-1079 |
| | ONTP | MIN | |

LD 1346 which proposed to amend the School Administrative District (SAD) budget approval process was carried over from the First Regular Session. Under current law, when the voters in a SAD that uses the alternative budget approval process fail to adopt their budget by referendum, the board of directors of the SAD can exercise an option to bring the budget to a 2nd or subsequent vote at an open meeting rather than by referendum. LD 1346 proposed that, in those situations, school budgets must be adopted by referendum. The bill also proposed that an SAD budget proposal submitted a 3rd time may not be greater than the previous school year's budget plus an increase adjusted for the Consumer Price Index. For a budget submitted more than 3 times, the budget proposal submitted to referendum must be lower than the proposal made at the previous referendum.

Committee Amendment "A" (H-1079) is the majority report of the committee and replaced the bill. The amendment proposed an optional new school budget cost center format and budget approval process for use by school administrative districts and community school districts. The new options replace format and approval options that are available under current law and that will no longer be available for adoption, but, if in place, may continue to be used. No district will be required to adopt either the new format or the budget approval process. However, after the effective date of this Act, if a district wishes to change the format or process it currently uses, the new options will be the only alternatives available. Both the budget format and the budget approval process may be adopted by the local school board on its own initiative. The cost center summary budget format may be adopted separately or the new format and the approval process may be adopted together to complement each other.

The new optional budget format consists of 6 standard expenditure areas and 3 standard revenue areas, each with accompanying plain language explanations. There is also an overall summary expenditure item. The 9 cost centers and summary under the new budget format form the basis for the budget warrant articles that are submitted to district voters for approval annually. The amendment authorizes school boards to transfer up to 5% of the school budget between budget cost centers without voter approval.

The new optional budget approval process allows district voters to add a 2nd step to the process for finalization of the school budget. If the new process is adopted by voters, after initial approval of the district budget at a district budget meeting, the total budget approved must be submitted to the voters of the district for final validation at a district referendum within 3 days of the district budget meeting. If the voters reject the budget at referendum, another budget must be considered at a district budget meeting at least 10 days after the referendum. The budget adopted at the subsequent district budget meeting must then be submitted to the voters for validation in a separate referendum vote. The process is

repeated until a final budget is approved at a district budget meeting and validated at referendum.

The amendment proposed that the State Board of Education develop a model comprehensive school budget approval procedure that maximizes local collaboration among school officials, municipal officers and the public in developing and approving school budgets and encourages school administrative units to adopt the procedure as local school policy. The amendment also proposed that the state board study the new budget format and approval process and report back to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over education matters by January 31, 2004. In the interim, the amendment directed the Department of Education to monitor the impact of the new law and to report annually to the committee on its effect, and the Department of Education and the State Board of Education are charged with the responsibility of developing a system to provide assistance to school administrative units wishing or attempting to implement the law.

Enacted law summary

Public Law 1999, chapter 710 establishes an optional new school budget format and budget approval process for use by school administrative districts and community school districts. The new options replace format and approval options that are available under current law and that will no longer be available for adoption, but, if in place, may continue to be used. No district will be required to adopt either the new cost center summary budget format or budget validation referendum approval process. However, after the effective date of this Act, if a district wishes to change the format or process it currently uses, the new options will be the only alternatives available. Both the budget format and the budget referendum approval process may be adopted by the voters of the district at referendum. In certain circumstances, the new format may also be adopted by the local school board on its own initiative. The cost center summary budget format may be adopted separately or the new format and the referendum approval process may be adopted together to complement each other.

The new optional budget format presents budgeted expenditures in 6 standard areas and budgeted revenues in 3 standard areas, each with accompanying plain language explanations. There is also an overall summary expenditure item and explanation. The 9 cost centers and summary under the new budget format form the basis for the budget warrant articles that are submitted to district voters for approval annually. The amendment authorizes school boards to transfer up to 5% of the school budget between budget cost centers without voter approval.

The new optional budget approval process allows district voters to add a second step to the process for finalization of the school budget. If the new process is adopted by voters, after initial approval of the district budget at a district budget meeting, the total budget approved must be submitted to the voters of the district for final validation at a district referendum within 3 days of the district budget meeting. If the voters reject the budget at referendum, another budget must be considered at a district budget meeting at least 10 days after the referendum. The budget adopted at the subsequent district budget meeting must then be submitted to the voters for validation in a separate referendum vote within 3 days of the second approval. The process is repeated until a final budget is approved at a district budget meeting and validated at referendum.

The State Board of Education is directed to develop a model comprehensive school budget approval procedure that maximizes local collaboration among school officials, municipal officers and the public in developing and approving school budgets and encourages school administrative units to adopt the procedure as local school policy. The state board is also required to study the new cost center summary budget format and budget validation referendum process and to report back to the joint standing committee

of the Legislature having jurisdiction over education matters by January 31, 2004. In the interim, the Department of Education is directed to monitor the impact of the new law and to report annually to the committee on its effect, and the Department of Education and the State Board of Education are charged with the responsibility of developing a system to provide assistance to school administrative units wishing or attempting to implement the law.

LD 1561 An Act to Require High School Students to Earn a Certificate of Mastery Before Graduating

ONTP

| Sponsor(s) | Committee Report | Amendments Adopted |
|------------|------------------|--------------------|
| AMERO | ONTP | |
| MURPHY T | | |

LD 1561 was carried over from the First Regular Session and proposed that, beginning in the 2005-06 school year, all students in grade level 12 must earn a certificate of mastery based on the State's learning results standards before graduating.

LD 1725 An Act to Allow the Towns of Wells and Ogunquit to Withdraw from Their Community School District

P & S 83

| Sponsor(s) | Committee Report | | Amendments Adopted |
|------------|------------------|-----|--------------------|
| LAWRENCE | OTP-AM | MAJ | S-531 |
| WHEELER G | ONTP | MIN | |

LD 1725 was carried over from the First Regular Session and proposed to amend the Private and Special Law establishing the Wells-Ogunquit Community School District to allow either the Town of Wells or the Town of Ogunquit to withdraw from the district.

Committee Amendment ''A'' (S-531) is the majority report of the committee and replaced the bill. The amendment proposed changing the current formula for distribution of the costs for kindergarten through grade 12 education within the Wells-Ogunquit Community School District. As currently provided by law, the educational costs of the school district are apportioned between the 2 towns based entirely on relative property valuation. The amendment proposed a phased-in change beginning in fiscal year 2000-01 that will result after 3 years in a sharing of costs based 2/3 on property valuation and 1/3 on student population of the towns. After fiscal year 2002-03, the costs will continue to be shared 2/3 based on property valuation and 1/3 on student population unless each town approves an alternative sharing arrangement by majority vote.

Enacted law summary

Private and Special Law 1999, chapter 83 changes the current formula for distribution of the costs for kindergarten through grade 12 education within the Wells-Ogunquit Community School District. As currently provided by law, the educational costs of that school district are apportioned between the 2 towns based entirely on relative property valuation. The amendment provides for a phased-in change beginning in fiscal year 2000-01 that will result after 3 years in a sharing of costs based 2/3 on relative property