MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from electronic originals (may include minor formatting differences from printed original)

STATE OF MAINE 118TH LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION AND FIRST SPECIAL SESSION

BILL SUMMARIES JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

JULY 1997

MEMBERS: Sen. Robert E. Murray, Jr., Chair Sen. William B. O'Gara Sen. Betty Lou Mitchell

> Rep. Edward J. Povich, Chair Rep. George H. Bunker, Jr. Rep. Roger D. Frechette Rep. Sharon Libby Jones Rep. Christopher T. Muse Rep. Michael J. McAlevey Rep. Judith B. Peavey Rep. Edgar Wheeler Rep. Julie Ann O'Brien Rep. James H. Tobin, Jr.

Staff:

Marion Hylan Barr, Legislative Analyst Heather H. Henderson, Legislative Analyst

Office of Policy and Legal Analysis Room 101/107/135, 13 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333 (207)287-1670



Maine State Legislature OFFICE OF POLICY AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

13 State House Station, Augusta, Maine 04333-0013 Telephone: (207) 287-1670 Fax: (207) 287-1275

ONE HUNDRED EIGHTEENTH LEGISLATURE FIRST REGULAR AND FIRST SPECIAL SESSIONS

Summary Of Legislation Before The Joint Standing Committees August 1997

We are pleased to provide this summary of bills that were considered by the 15 Joint Standing Committees of the Maine Legislature staffed by this office. The document is a compilation of bill summaries which describe each bill, committee amendments and other relevant amendments, as well as the final action taken on the bill. Also included are statistical summaries of bill activity this Session for the Legislature and each of its joint standing committees.

The document is organized for convenient reference to information on bills handled by the joint standing committees. It is organized alphabetically by committees and within committees by bill (LD) number. The committee report(s), prime sponsor for each bill and the lead co-sponsor(s), if designated, are listed below each bill title. All adopted amendments are listed by paper number. Two indices, a subject index and a numerical index by LD number are provided for easy reference to bills. They are located at the back of the document. A separate publication, <u>History and Final Disposition of Legislative Documents</u>, may also be helpful in providing information on the disposition of bills. These bill summaries also are available at the Law and Legislative Reference Library and on the Internet (www.state.me.us/legis/opla).

Final action on each bill is noted to the right of the bill title. The abbreviations used for various categories of final action are as follows:

CARRIED OVER	Bill carried over to Second Regular Session
DIED BETWEEN BODIES	
DIED IN CONCURRENCE	One body accepts ONTP report; the other indefinitely postpones the bill
DIED ON ADJOURNMENT	Action incomplete when session ended; bill died
EMERGENCY	Enacted law takes effect sooner than 90 days
FAILED EMERGENCY ENACTMENT/FINAL	PASSAGE Emergency bill failed to get 2/3 vote
FAILED ENACTMENT/FINAL PASSAGE	Bill failed to get majority vote
FAILED MANDATE ENACTMENT	Bill imposing local mandate failed to get 2/3 vote
INDEF PP	Bill Indefinitely Postponed
ONTP	Bill imposing local mandate failed to get 2/3 voteBill Indefinitely PostponedOught Not To Pass report accepted
OTP ND	
OTP ND/NT	
<i>P&S XXX</i>	Chapter # of enacted Private & Special Law
PUBLIC XXX	
VETO SUSTAINED	Legislature failed to override Governor's Veto

Please note the effective date for all non-emergency legislation enacted in the First Regular Session (unless otherwise specified in a particular law) is June 26, 1997 and September 19, 1997 for the First Special Session.

David E. Boulter, Director

LD 542

An Act to Make Appeals to the Law Court From Revocation of Probation Proceedings Conditional and to Clarify the Matter of Bail Pending Final Disposition of a Motion for Revocation of Probation

PUBLIC 273

Sponsor(s) Committee Report OTP-AM Amendments Adopted H-377

LD 542 proposed to amend current law to provide guidance to the court in exercising its discretion to set bail or revoke bail in the context of a probation revocation proceeding.

The bill also proposed to amend current law to allow the Supreme Judicial Court by rule to condition an appeal to the Law Court upon the granting of a certificate of probable cause when the appeal is either by a person whose probation was revoked in the Superior Court or by a person whose probation was revoked in the District Court and who was unsuccessful before the Superior Court sitting as an intermediate appellate court. This bill was proposed by the Criminal Law Advisory Committee.

Committee Amendment "A" (H-377) proposed to specify that, when making decisions about bail in probation revocation proceedings, the court would have to be guided by the Maine Bail Code standards in the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 15, section 1051, subsections 2 and 3. The amendment also proposed to apply certain other provisions of the Maine Bail Code to probation revocation proceedings.

Enacted law summary

Public Law 1997, chapter 273 specifies that when making decisions about bail in probation revocation proceedings, the court must be guided by the Maine Bail Code standards in the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 15, section 1051, subsections 2 and 3. Public Law 1997, chapter 273 also amends current law to allow the Supreme Judicial Court by rule to condition an appeal to the Law Court upon the granting of a certificate of probable cause when the appeal is either by a person whose probation is revoked in the Superior Court or by a person whose probation is revoked in the District Court and who has been unsuccessful before the Superior Court sitting as an intermediate appellate court.

Public Law 1997, chapter 273 also applies the following provisions of the Maine Bail Code to probation revocation proceedings:

- 1. Title 15, chapter 105-A, subchapter IV regarding sureties and other forms of bail;
- 2. Title 15, chapter 105-A, subchapter V, articles 1 and 3 regarding enforcement; and
- 3. Title 15, section 1051, subsections 5 and 6 and section 1099-A, subsection 2 regarding appeals.

LD 543

An Act to Increase the Authorized Period of Probation

ONTP

Sponsor(s) Committee Report Amendments Adopted
POVICH ONTP

LD 543 proposed to increase the authorized periods of probation for Class A, B, C, D and E crimes as follows: For a Class A crime from 6 to 10 years, for a Class B or C crime from 4 to 6 years and for a Class D or E crime from one to 2 years.

LD 545

An Act to Enhance the Penalty for Operating a Motor Vehicle after Habitual Offender Revocation When the Actor Has Had a Prior Conviction for Operating after Revocation or Operating under the Influence within the Previous 10 Years PUBLIC 476

Sponsor(s) POVICH Committee Report
OTP-AM

Amendments Adopted

H-199

LD 545 proposed to change the sentencing classification from a Class D to a Class C crime for operating a motor vehicle after habitual offender revocation if the offender were convicted of one or more habitual offender offenses or operating-under-the-influence or OUI offenses within the previous 10 years instead of the current 5-year time frame.

Committee Amendment "A" (H-199) proposed to add a fiscal note to the bill.

Enacted law summary

Public Law 1997, chapter 476 changes the sentencing classification from a Class D crime to a Class C crime for operating a motor vehicle after habitual offender revocation if the offender were convicted of one or more habitual offender offenses or operating-under-the-influence or OUI offenses within the previous 10 years instead of the current 5-year time frame. This change makes the habitual offender law consistent with the criminal OUI law that extended the time period for previous OUI offenses from 6 years to 10 years.

LD 590 An Act to Require Consecutive Sentences to Be Imposed on Habitual Offenders

ONTP

Sponsor(s) BOUFFARD CLEVELAND Committee Report ONTP Amendments Adopted

LD 590 proposed to provide that a person who drives after that person's license has been revoked as an habitual offender and who also committed other motor vehicle violations while driving after revocation would have to be given consecutive sentences. This bill also proposed to allow the court to impose the appropriate sentence for both the operating after revocation and the other offenses, but required the driver to serve the operating after revocation sentence separately.