

## STATE OF MAINE 116TH LEGISLATURE

## SECOND REGULAR SESSION

# BILL SUMMARIES JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

**MAY 1994** 

**MEMBERS:** \*Sen. Gerard P. Conley, Jr. Sen. Georgette B. Berube Sen. Dana C. Hanley

\*Rep. Constance D. Cote Rep. Susan Farnsworth Rep. Mary R. Cathcart Rep. Andrew Ketterer Rep. Edward L. Caron, Jr. Rep. Sean F. Faircloth Rep. Jane W. Saxl Rep. David N. Ott Rep. Sumner H. Lipman Rep. Debra D. Plowman

\*Denotes Chair

Staff:

Margaret J. Reinsch, Legislative Analyst Julie S. Jones, Principal Analyst

Office of Policy and Legal Analysis Room 101, State House Station 13 Augusta, ME 04333 (207)287-1670 MARTHA E. FREEMAN, DIRECTOR WILLIAM T. GLIDDEN, JR., PRINCIPAL ANALYST JULIE S. JONES, PRINCIPAL ANALYST DAVID C. ELLIOTT, PRINCIPAL ANALYST MARION HYLAN BARR JON CLARK LISA COPENHAVER DEBORAH C. FRIEDMAN MICHAEL D. HIGGINS JILL IPPOLITI OFFIC



JOHN B. KNOX ROY W. LENARDSON PATRICK NORTON JANE ORBETON MARGARET J. REINSCH PAUL J. SAUCIER JOHN G. KELLEY, RESEARCHER DARLENE A. SHORES LYNCH, RESEARCHER CARRIE C. McFADDEN, RESEARCHER

STATE OF MAINE OFFICE OF POLICY AND LEGAL ANALYSIS ROOM 101/107/135 STATE HOUSE STATION 13 AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333 TEL: (207) 287-1670 FAX (207) 287-1275

#### ONE HUNDRED AND SIXTEENTH LEGISLATURE SECOND REGULAR SESSION

### JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE BILL SUMMARIES

## MAY 1994

This document is a compilation of the bill summaries prepared by this office for the Joint Standing Committees and Joint Select Committees of the Maine Legislature. The volume is organized alphabetically by committee; within each committee, the summaries are arranged by LD number. A subject index is provided at the beginning of each committee's summaries.

The committee report or reports, the prime sponsor for each bill and the lead co-sponsor in each house if one has been designated are listed below each bill title. All adopted amendments are listed, by paper number, together with the sponsor for floor amendments. Final action on each bill is listed to the right of the title. Various types of final action are abbreviated as follows:

PUBLIC XXX	Chapter # of enacted Public Law
P&S XXX	Chapter # of enacted Private & Special Law
RESOLVE XXX	Chapter # of enacted Resolve
CON RES XXX	Chapter # of Constitutional Resolution passed by both Houses
EMERGENCY	Enacted law takes effect sooner than 90 days
ONTP	Ought Not to Pass report accepted
INDEF PP	Bill Indefinitely Postponed
FAILED ENACTMENT	Bill failed to get majority vote
FAILED EMERGENCY ENACTMENT	Emergency bill failed to get 2/3 vote
FAILED MANDATE ENACTMENT	Bill imposing local mandate failed to get 2/3 vote
DIED BETWEEN BODIES	House & Senate disagree; bill died
CONF CMTE UNABLE TO AGREE	Committee of Conference unable to agree; bill died
VETO SUSTAINED	Legislature failed to override Governor's Veto
UNSIGNED	Not signed by Governor within 10 days
DIED ON ADJOURNMENT	Action incomplete when session ended; bill died

These summaries were prepared by the analyst or analysts assigned to the committee. If you have any suggestions or comments on the summaries, please let us know.

5581LHS

The bill also provides that a responsible parent or the department may request a hearing to amend an administrative decision based on a substantial change of circumstances.

Enactment of Title 19, section 497-B in the bill creates an alternative process for establishing a support obligation administratively. Under the process created in the bill, the department does not automatically schedule a hearing in every case but notifies the responsible parent of that parent's right to request a hearing in an initial notice served by the department informing that parent of the department's intention to establish a support obligation.

The bill further amends existing law to authorize the department to issue an initial notice informing the responsible parent of the intention to establish a support obligation and the right to request a hearing. If no hearing is requested, the department's decision is enforceable within 10 days after being mailed. If the parent requests a hearing, the department makes the decision as under current law.

The bill also clarifies that a debt due the department under Title 19, section 495 for public assistance paid out is a debt for past necessary support.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" (S-501) deletes language referring to the obligation of a responsible parent to pay a proportionate share of uninsured medical expenses because that obligation is already included in the definitions of the other terms used in the child support laws. The amendment changes the period of time that the Department of Human Services has to send a notice of hearing from 20 days to 30 days to conform with federal requirements governing review and modification of child support orders. It also extends the time within which a responsible parent must complete an income affidavit from 20 days to 30 days. It requires the Department of Human Services to wait 30 days before initiating collection actions. It also clarifies language relating to the failure of a responsible parent to appear at a hearing and the opportunity for a responsible parent to have a decision set aside within one year. The amendment deletes section 9 of the bill because the change is unnecessary due to the changes made by Public Law 1993, chapter 410.

#### LD 1511 An Act to Legalize Marijuana for Medicinal Purposes ONTP

SPONSOR(S)	COMMITTEE REPORT	AMENDMENTS ADOPTED
LARRIVEE	ONTP	

#### SUMMARY

This bill would have created an affirmative defense to a prosecution for possession of marijuana if the person who possessed it, did so for that persons's own use and the person had glaucoma or was suffering from significant nausea or vomiting as a result of chemotherapy or radiation therapy. The bill also established the Marijuana Therapeutic Research Program to provide a structure for marijuana therapeutic research if supplies became available from the federal government.

This bill was carried over from the First Regular Session.

The COMMITTEE AMENDMENT (H-785) would have removed the provisions of the original bill relating to the Marijuana Therapeutic Research Program. It would have limited the amount of marijuana that may be possessed and clarified and expanded the purposes for which it may be possessed. The amendment also would have clarified the burden of proof of the affirmative defense and provided that smoking marijuana is prohibited under the same circumstances as smoking tobacco. The amendment would not affect prosecutions for the trafficking or furnishing of marijuana.

After being reported out of Committee, the bill was rereferred to the Judiciary Committee which reconsidered its original recommendation and unanimously reported Ought Not to Pass.