

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

STATE OF MAINE
115TH LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION
AND
FIRST SPECIAL SESSION

BILL SUMMARIES
JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE
ON
UTILITIES

AUGUST 1991

Staff:

Jon Clark, Legislative Analyst

*Office of Policy and Legal Analysis
Room 101, State House Station 13
Augusta, ME 04333
(207) 289-1670*

MEMBERS:

**Sen. John J. Cleveland
Sen. Harry L. Vose
Sen. David L. Carpenter*

**Rep. Herbert E. Clark
Rep. Maria Glen Holt
Rep. Herbert C. Adams
Rep. Ida Luther
Rep. Carol A. Kontos
Rep. Rosalie H. Aikman
Rep. Kathryn D. Merrill
Rep. James O. Donnelly
Rep. Hugh A. Morrison
Rep. Sumner Lipman*

**Denotes Chair*

MARTHA E. FREEMAN, DIRECTOR
WILLIAM T. GLIDDEN, JR., PRINCIPAL ANALYST
ULIE S. JONES, PRINCIPAL ANALYST
DAVID C. ELLIOTT, PRINCIPAL ANALYST
RON CLARK
RYAN M. DYTTER
BRO FLATEBO
DEBORAH C. FRIEDMAN
MICHAEL D. HIGGINS
KANE ORBETON



STATE OF MAINE
OFFICE OF POLICY AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
ROOM 101/107/135
STATE HOUSE STATION 13
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333
TEL.: (207) 289-1670

KAREN L. HRUBY
JILL IPPOLITI
JOHN B. KNOX
PATRICK NORTON
MARGARET J. REINSCH
PAUL J. SAUCIER
HAVEN WHITESIDE
MILA M. DWELLEY, RES. ASST.
ROY W. LENARDSON, RES. ASST.
BRET A. PRESTON, RES. ASST.

**ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTEENTH LEGISLATURE
FIRST REGULAR SESSION
AND
FIRST SPECIAL SESSION
JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE
BILL SUMMARIES
AUGUST 1991**

This document is a compilation of the bill summaries prepared by this office for the Joint Standing Committees and Joint Select Committees of the Maine Legislature. The volume is organized alphabetically by committee; within each committee, the summaries are arranged by LD number. A subject index is provided at the beginning of each committee's summaries.

All adopted amendments are listed, by paper number (e.g., H - 584 or S - 222), together with the sponsor for floor amendments. Final action on each bill is listed to the right of the title. Various types of final action are abbreviated as follows:

PUBLIC XXX	<i>Chapter # of enacted Public Law</i>
P&S XXX	<i>Chapter # of enacted Private & Special Law</i>
RESOLVE XXX	<i>Chapter # of enacted Resolve</i>
CON RES XXX	<i>Chapter # of Constitutional Resolution passed by both Houses</i>
EMERGENCY	<i>Enacted law takes effect sooner than 90 days</i>
CARRIED OVER	<i>Bill carried over to 2nd Session</i>
FAILED EMERGENCY ENACTMENT	<i>Bill failed to get 2/3 vote</i>
ONTP	<i>Ought Not to Pass report accepted</i>
LVWD	<i>Leave to Withdraw report accepted</i>
INDEF PP	<i>Bill Indefinitely Postponed</i>
DIED BETWEEN BODIES	<i>House & Senate disagree; bill died</i>
VETO SUSTAINED	<i>Legislature failed to override Governor's Veto</i>
UNSIGNED	<i>Not signed by Governor within 10 days</i>
DIED ON ADJOURNMENT	<i>Action incomplete when 1st session ended</i>

These summaries were prepared by the analyst or analysts assigned to the committee. But, this document was produced by the efforts of all the office staff, including secretaries: Charlene Raymond and Valarie Parlin, and especially Laurette Knox who coordinated preparation of the overall document.

If you have any suggestions or comments on these summaries, please let us know.

SPONSOR(S)	COMMITTEE REPORT	AMENDMENTS ADOPTED
CLARK H PRAY CARPENTER MORRISON		

SUMMARY

This bill proposes to alter the law regarding the granting of exclusive versus nonexclusive franchises for the placement and maintenance of cable television systems. It also proposes to require that all nonexclusive franchises in one municipality have equally favorable or burdensome terms. Finally, it proposes to establish an explicit statutory formula by which the Public Utilities Commission would be required, in instances where the commission exercises jurisdiction, to determine pole attachment rates paid by cable television systems to utilities.

**LD 1659 An Act to Protect Consumers from Unfair and Deceptive
Telephone Practices**

SPONSOR(S)	COMMITTEE REPORT	AMENDMENTS ADOPTED
ADAMS KONTOS MORRISON CLEVELAND	OTP-AM	H-410 S-348 CLEVELAND

SUMMARY

This bill regulates collection of charges for audiotext (900-number and similar pay-per-call services) by local telephone companies; prohibits disconnection for nonpayment of audiotext or 900-number charges; and requires provision of a "blocking service," whereby consumers can elect to block access to audiotext or 900-number services from their telephones.

In addition, the bill limits the number of automated telephone solicitation calls that may be made to a given telephone number during an 8-hour period and requires users of automated telephone solicitation devices to file transcripts of their solicitation messages. The bill also amends laws relating to creditor's remedies, consumer sales and transient sales to prohibit sellers from making misrepresentations, creating false impressions or giving false promises.

The bill proposed to require audiotext providers to register with the Department of Professional and Financial Regulation. The bill proposed to establish certain detailed standards which a telephone utility would be required to apply in the case of a disputed audiotext charge to determine whether adjustment of the charge was warranted. The bill proposed to establish detailed audiotext billing standards. The bill proposed to prohibit home solicitation salespersons from placing a charge on a consumer's credit card prior to the consumer reading and signing a contract.

The committee amendment (H-410) refines the definition of audiotext services to clarify that toll calls are excluded. It clarifies the prohibition on disconnection of service for nonpayment of audiotext services to make it clear it applies only to disconnection of basic telephone service. It amends the collection procedures provision of the bill to allow telephone utilities the option of simply deleting disputed audiotext service charges from a customer's bill rather than completing an analysis of the disputed charges. It reworks the requirement that collecting utilities offer audiotext service blocking; utilities are exempted if they lack access to facilities to provide such blocking. It eliminates the provisions of the bill dealing with billing standards and with registration of audiotext providers.