

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

**STATE OF MAINE
115TH LEGISLATURE**

**FIRST REGULAR SESSION
AND
FIRST SPECIAL SESSION**

**BILL SUMMARIES
JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE
ON
JUDICIARY**

AUGUST 1991

Staff:
Margaret J. Reinsch, Legislative Analyst

*Office of Policy and Legal Analysis
Room 101, State House Station 13
Augusta, ME 04333
(207) 289-1670*

MEMBERS:

**Sen. N. Paul Gauvreau
Sen. Georgette B. Berube
Sen. Muriel D. Holloway*

**Rep. Patrick E. Paradis
Rep. Constance D. Cote
Rep. Patricia M. Stevens
Rep. Cushman D. Anthony
Rep. Susan Farnsworth
Rep. Mary R. Cathcart
Rep. Andrew Ketterer
Rep. Dana C. Hanley
Rep. John H. Richards
Rep. David N. Ott*

**Denotes Chair*

MARTHA E. FREEMAN, DIRECTOR
WILLIAM T. GLIDDEN, JR., PRINCIPAL ANALYST
ULIE S. JONES, PRINCIPAL ANALYST
DAVID C. ELLIOTT, PRINCIPAL ANALYST
RON CLARK
RYAN M. DYTTER
BRO FLATEBO
DEBORAH C. FRIEDMAN
MICHAEL D. HIGGINS
KANE ORBETON



KAREN L. HRUBY
JILL IPPOLITI
JOHN B. KNOX
PATRICK NORTON
MARGARET J. REINSCH
PAUL J. SAUCIER
HAVEN WHITESIDE
MILA M. DWELLEY, RES. ASST.
ROY W. LENARDSON, RES. ASST.
BRET A. PRESTON, RES. ASST.

STATE OF MAINE
OFFICE OF POLICY AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
ROOM 101/107/135
STATE HOUSE STATION 13
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333
TEL.: (207) 289-1670

**ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTEENTH LEGISLATURE
FIRST REGULAR SESSION
AND
FIRST SPECIAL SESSION
JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE
BILL SUMMARIES
AUGUST 1991**

This document is a compilation of the bill summaries prepared by this office for the Joint Standing Committees and Joint Select Committees of the Maine Legislature. The volume is organized alphabetically by committee; within each committee, the summaries are arranged by LD number. A subject index is provided at the beginning of each committee's summaries.

All adopted amendments are listed, by paper number (e.g., H - 584 or S - 222), together with the sponsor for floor amendments. Final action on each bill is listed to the right of the title. Various types of final action are abbreviated as follows:

PUBLIC XXX	<i>Chapter # of enacted Public Law</i>
P&S XXX	<i>Chapter # of enacted Private & Special Law</i>
RESOLVE XXX	<i>Chapter # of enacted Resolve</i>
CON RES XXX	<i>Chapter # of Constitutional Resolution passed by both Houses</i>
EMERGENCY	<i>Enacted law takes effect sooner than 90 days</i>
CARRIED OVER	<i>Bill carried over to 2nd Session</i>
FAILED EMERGENCY ENACTMENT	<i>Bill failed to get 2/3 vote</i>
ONTP	<i>Ought Not to Pass report accepted</i>
LVWD	<i>Leave to Withdraw report accepted</i>
INDEF PP	<i>Bill Indefinitely Postponed</i>
DIED BETWEEN BODIES	<i>House & Senate disagree; bill died</i>
VETO SUSTAINED	<i>Legislature failed to override Governor's Veto</i>
UNSIGNED	<i>Not signed by Governor within 10 days</i>
DIED ON ADJOURNMENT	<i>Action incomplete when 1st session ended</i>

These summaries were prepared by the analyst or analysts assigned to the committee. But, this document was produced by the efforts of all the office staff, including secretaries: Charlene Raymond and Valarie Parlin, and especially Laurette Knox who coordinated preparation of the overall document.

If you have any suggestions or comments on these summaries, please let us know.

House Amendment "A" to Committee Amendment "A" (H-478) would have clarified that the rules adopted by the court must give due consideration of special hardships, including those concerning family violence.

House Amendment "B" to Committee Amendment "A" (H-616) would have authorized the court to recommend parties to a divorce attend the education program, but would not have permitted the court to require attendance. It would have also prohibited a party's attendance or nonattendance to be admissible in a proceeding.

Senate Amendment "A" to Committee Amendment "A" (S-315) would have clarified that the rules adopted by the court must give due consideration of special hardships, including those concerning family violence. It would also provide that application for a waiver does not prejudice a party in the determination of the underlying legal issues.

Senate Amendment "B" to Committee Amendment "A" (S-324) would have removed the emergency preamble and clause.

Senate Amendment "C" to Committee Amendment "A" (S-332) would have authorized the court to recommend parties to a divorce attend the education program, but would not have permitted the court to require attendance. It would have also prohibited a party's attendance or nonattendance to be admissible in a proceeding.

Senate Amendment "D" to Committee Amendment "A" (S-349) would have clarified that the rules adopted by the court must give due consideration of special hardships, including those concerning family violence. It would also provide that application for a waiver does not prejudice a party in the determination of the underlying legal issues. It would have established a list of circumstances under which a person could not be required to attend the program. The amendment would have required that a means other than personal attendance be available for parties seeking a waiver.

LD 317

An Act Concerning the Role of Guardians Ad Litem and Other Children's Advocates

PUBLIC 161

SPONSOR(S)
RICHARDS
MORRISON
DUPLESSIS
GAUVREAU

COMMITTEE REPORT
OTP-AM

AMENDMENTS ADOPTED
H-169

SUMMARY

The bill requires the Department of Human Services to notify the guardian ad litem in a child protective proceeding of substantial change of circumstances that may have an impact on the best interest of the child.

Committee Amendment "A" (H-169) relocates the language of the bill to a more appropriate section of the law.