

STATE OF MAINE 114TH LEGISLATURE FIRST REGULAR SESSION



BILL SUMMARY JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE ON STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT PART I - STATE GOVERNMENT

JULY 1989

MEMBERS

Sen. Georgette B. Berube * Sen. Donald E. Esty, Jr. Sen. David L. Carpenter

Rep. Ruth Joseph * Rep. Dorothy A. Rotondi Rep. Beverly C. Daggett Rep. Dan A. Gwadosky Rep. Conrad Heeschen Rep. Anne M. Larrivee Rep. Alberta M. Wentworth Rep. Jeanne F. Begley Rep. Dana C. Hanley Rep. John S. McCormick, Jr.

* Denotes Chair

Staff: Ted Potter, Legislative Analyst

Office of Policy & Legal Analysis Room 101, State House Sta. 13 Augusta, ME 04333 (207) 289-1670 1ARTHA E. FREEMAN, DIRECTOR VILLIAM T. GLIDDEN, PRINCIPAL ANALYST ULIE S. JONES, PRINCIPAL ANALYST)AVID C. ELLIOTT, PRINCIPAL ANALYST)ILBERT W. BREWER ODD R. BURROWES)RO FLATEBO)EBORAH C. FRIEDMAN OHN B. KNOX



ANNIKA E. LANE EDWARD POTTER MARGARET J. REINSCH LARS H. RYDELL JOHN R. SELSER HAVEN WHITESIDE CAROLYN J. CHICK, RES. ASST ROBERT W. DUNN, RES. ASST HARTLEY PALLESCHI, JR., RES ASST

STATE OF MAINE OFFICE OF POLICY AND LEGAL ANALYSIS ROOM 101/107/135 STATE HOUSE STATION 13 AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333 TEL: (207) 289-1670

ONE HUNDRED AND FOURTEENTH LEGISLATURE FIRST REGULAR SESSION

JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE BILL SUMMARIES AUGUST 1989

This document is a compilation of the bill summaries prepared by this office for the Joint Standing Committees and Joint Select Committees of the Maine Legislature. The summaries are arranged by LD number for each committee.

All Adopted Amendments are listed, by paper number (e.g., H-584 or S-222), together with the sponsor for floor amendments. Final action is listed to the right of the title. If final House and Senate action differ, both are listed. Committee Reports and Floor Action are abbreviated as follows:

OTP	Ought to Pass
OTP-ND	Ought to Pass in New Draft
OTP-ND-NT	Ought to Pass in New Draft, New Title
OTP-A	Ought to Pass as Amended
ONTP	Ought Not to Pass
LVWD	Leave to Withdraw
INDEF PP	Indefinitely Postponed

Each individual summary was prepared by the analyst assigned, as noted for each committee. But, this document was produced by the efforts of all the office staff, including Research Assistant Barbara McGinn, and secretaries: Charlene Brann, and Valarie Parlin, and especially Laurette Knox who coordinated preparation of the overall document.

Please give us your suggestions and comments on these summaries and tell us of any inaccuracies.

LD 1264 An Act to Standardize the Compensation and Appointment Practices for Senior State Managers

<u>SPONSOR(S)</u>	COMMITTEE REPORT	AMENDMENTS_ADOPTED
MARTIN J	ONTP	
WEBSTER M		

SUMMARY

LD 1264 proposed to add 63 "senior management" positions to the statutory salary ranges for state officials. Of the 63 senior management positions:

- A. Two additional positions, an associate commissioner and a deputy commissioner were proposed for to salary range 90;
- B. 29 additional positions were proposed for to range 89;
 - 1) 16 deputy commissioner positions;
 - 2) 5 associate commissioner positions;
 - 3) 2 executive directors positions;
 - 4) 4 bureau director positions;
 - 5) 1 director of an executive department agency (Community Services);
 - 6) 1 director position.

C. 9 additional positions were proposed for range 88:

- 1) 2 associate commissioner's positions;
- 2) 2 executive directors positions (Historic Preservation and LURC Commission);
- 3) 5 directors of Bureaus within DHS
- 4) superintendent, Baxter School.
- D. 23 additional positions were proposed for to range 86;

LD 1264 also converted 6 classified positions to major policy influencing positions including:

- 1. Director of Planning and Information, Dept. of Agriculture;
- 2. Director, Bureau of Resource Management; Dept. of Marine Resources;
- 3. Director, Bureau of Marine Development; Dept. of Marine Resources;
- 4. Director, Bureau of Marine Science; Dept. of Marine Resources;
- 5. Director, State Employee Health Insurance Program; Dept. of Administration;
- 6. Director, Bureau of Administration; Transportation Department.

The bill failed to obtain committee approval because the Department of Administration did not provide evidence of the need for the range changes or the standards by which the positions were assigned to particular pay ranges. The average difference between the top pay steps of the current range and proposed range was between \$9,000 and \$10,000.

Office of Policy and Legal AnalysisPage 26	
State and Local Government/Part 1	