

STATE OF MAINE 113TH LEGISLATURE SECOND REGULAR SESSION



BILL SUMMARY JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

MAY 1988

MEMBERS

Sen. Joseph C. Brannigan * Sen. N. Paul Gauvreau Sen. Henry W. Black Rep. Patrick E. Paradis * Rep. Daniel E. Warren Rep. Harry L. Vose Rep. Constance D. Cote Rep. Gerard P. Conley, Jr. Rep. Dale F.Thistle Rep. Mary H. MacBride Rep. Jeanne F. Begley Rep. Dana C. Hanley Rep. Francis C. Marsano

* Denotes Chair

Staff: Gil Brewer, Legal Analyst Martha Freeman, Legal Analyst

Office of Policy & Legal Analysis Room 101, State House Sta. 13 Augusta, ME 04333 (207) 289-1670 EN T. GINDER, DIRECTOR EN WHITESIDE, DEP. DIRECTOR ERT W. BREWER ID C. ELLIOTT) FLATEBO THA E. FREEMAN, SR. ATTY. B. GAUTSCHI LIAM T. GLIDDEN, JR.



JULIE S. JONES JOHN B. KNOX EDWARD POTTER MARGARET J. REINSCH LARS H. RYDELL JOHN R. SELSER CAROLYN J. CHICK, PARALEGAL ROBERT W. DUNN, RES. ASST HARTLEY PALLESCHI, JR. RES. ASST

STATE OF MAINE OFFICE OF POLICY AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

ROOM 101/107/135 STATE HOUSE STATION 13 AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333 TEL.: (207) 289-1670

ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTEENTH LEGISLATURE FIRST & SECOND SPECIAL SESSIONS SECOND REGULAR SESSION

JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE BILL SUMMARIES MAY 1988

This document is a compilation of the bill summaries prepared by this office for the Joint Standing Committees and Joint Select Committees of the Maine Legislature. The summaries are arranged by LD number under each committee.

All Adopted Amendments are listed, by paper number (e.g., H-584 or S-222), together with the sponsor for floor amendments. Final action is listed to the right of the title. If final House and Senate action differ, both are listed. Committee Reports and Floor Action are indicated as follows::

OTP	Ought to Pass
OTP-ND	Ought to Pass in New Draft
OTP-ND-NT	Ought to Pass in New Draft, New Title
OTP-A	Ought to Pass as Amended
ONTP	Ought Not to Pass
LVWD	Leave to Withdraw
INDEF PP	Indefinitely Postponed

Each individual summary was prepared by the analyst assigned, as noted for each committee. But, this document was produced by the efforts of all the office staff, including Secretaries: Charlene Brann, Janet Jean, Earl Knox, Valarie Parlin; Research Assistant Hartley Palleschi. Finally, Secretary Laurette Knox, Legal Assistant Carolyn Chick, and Research Assistant Robert Dunn had special responsibilities in the preparation of the overall document.

Please give us your suggestions and comments on these summaries and tell us of any inaccuracies.

In that circumstance, the judge would have asked the jurors to separate out the noneconomic damages portion of their award. If that portion was greater than \$500,000, the judge would have reduced the amount to \$500,000. LD 2523 set a repeal date of October 1, 1993, for this damages limitation. It also required the Superintendent of Insurance to report to the Legislature by February 1, 1993, on the impact of the damages limitation on insurance premiums.

LD	An Act to	o Modify	Joint ar	nd Several	Liability	NOT
<mark>2524</mark>						ACCEPTED

REPORT

COMMITTEE

AMENDMENTS ADOPTED

SPONSOR(S) RUHLIN DAVIS PARADIS P COLLINS

爆秀

4

SUMMARY

ND Min Rpt of LD 526

The original bill, LD 526, sought to abolish the joint liability of defendants in actions for personal injury, property damage, or wrongful death. Each defendant was to be liable only for the portion of damages attributable to the defendant's percentage of the fault.

The new draft, LD 2524, sought to abolish joint liability in limited circumstances. Multiple defendants in most civil actions in which the defense of comparative negligence may be raised, if applicable, would have remained jointly liable for all damages awarded unless a defendant was found to be less than 25% at fault as compared with the other defendants. For that defendant, liability would have been several only with regard to noneconomic damages. Thus, that defendant would only have been responsible for his portion of the noneconomic damages, but would have remained jointly liable for all economic damages. Defendants 25% or more at fault when compared to the other defendants would have been jointly liable for all economic damages. The several liability exception established in LD 2524 did not apply to environmental actions. In those cases, all defendants found liable would have been jointly liable for all damages.

LD An Act Relating to Exceptions to Prevent Escapes and Other PUBLIC 2528 Offenses under the Interception of Wire and Oral 680 Communications Law

TTS ADOPTED
MARSANO

SUMMARY

LD 2528 proposed to authorize the use of wiretapping against prisoners in state prisons if the Department of Corrections suspected that the prisoner was involved in an attempt to escape or otherwise commit a criminal offense.

Office of Policy and Legal Analysispage 25 Judiciary