

# MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the  
**LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY**  
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library  
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied  
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

STATE OF MAINE  
ONE HUNDRED AND TWELFTH LEGISLATURE  
SECOND REGULAR SESSION

JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE ON  
JUDICIARY  
BILL SUMMARY



MAY 1986

PREPARED BY:

Martha E. Freeman, Legal Analyst

OFFICE OF POLICY AND LEGAL ANALYSIS  
STATE HOUSE, STATION 13 AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333  
(207) 289-1670



HELEN T. GINDER, DIRECTOR  
HAVEN WHITESIDE, ASST. DIRECTOR  
GILBERT W. BREWER  
DAVID C. ELLIOTT  
MARTHA E. FREEMAN  
JERI B. GAUTSCHI  
CHRISTOS GIANOPOULOS  
WILLIAM T. GLIDDEN, JR.

STATE OF MAINE  
OFFICE OF POLICY AND LEGAL ANALYSIS  
ROOM 101/107  
STATE HOUSE STATION 13  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333  
TEL.: (207) 289-1670

JULIE S. JONES  
JOHN B. KNOX  
EDWARD POTTER  
MARGARET J. REINSCH  
LARS H. RYDELL  
JOHN R. SELSER  
ANDREA L. COLNES, Res. Asst.

ONE HUNDRED AND TWELFTH LEGISLATURE  
SECOND REGULAR SESSION

JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE  
BILL SUMMARIES  
MAY 1986

This document is a compilation of the bill summaries prepared by this office for the Joint Standing Committees and Joint Select Committees of the Maine Legislature, covering the Second Regular Session of the 112th Legislature. The summaries are arranged by LD number under each committee.

All Amendments are listed, by paper number (e.g., H-584 or S-222), together with the sponsor if it is a floor amendment or the designation "CA" if it is a committee amendment. If the amendment was adopted in the House, the letter H appears after the sponsor. If it was adopted in the Senate, the letter S appears.

Final action for each bill is listed to the right of the title. If final House action and Senate action differ, both are listed.

Key to Committee Reports and Floor Action:

OTP	Ought to Pass
OTP-ND	Ought to Pass in New Draft
OTP-ND-NT	Ought to Pass in New Draft, New Title
OTP-AM	Ought to Pass as Amended
ONTP	Ought Not to Pass
LVWD	Leave to Withdraw
INDEF PP	Indefinitely Postponed

Department of Corrections would have been required to monitor the offender to assure that treatment was received. The offender found guilty but suffering from mental disease or defect and sentenced to a term of imprisonment, upon commitment to the Department of Corrections, would have been evaluated to determine his need for treatment. The department would have been required to provide treatment psychiatrically indicated for the offender.

LD 2069 was withdrawn when the concerns of its supporters were addressed in an amendment of other legislation resulting from the Insanity Defense Study Subcommittee.

LD 2074 AN ACT TO REFORM HIGH-SPEED PURSUIT POLICIES FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL LVWD

Sponsor: PARADIS, P., Jacques, Drinkwater, Carpenter  
Committee Report: LVWD

SUMMARY: This bill proposed to deal with the dual problems of the dangers of high-speed motor vehicle chases by police officers and the need to ensure that motor vehicle operators obey the law and stop promptly when signaled to do so by police officers investigating suspected traffic violations or criminal offenses. The bill sought to deter would-be violators from trying to elude the police by stiffening the penalties for eluding or attempting to elude police officers, and by providing for the possible forfeiture to the State of motor vehicles. The bill also sought to establish a statewide, uniform high-speed pursuit policy that all police officers would be required to follow or run the risk of potential criminal liability for injuries or damages caused by their actions that did not conform to the uniform policy.

LD 2078 AN ACT CONCERNING MEDICAL MALPRACTICE INSURANCE LVWD

Sponsor: NELSON  
Committee Report: LVWD

SUMMARY: This bill sought to require verdicts which award damages in medical malpractice actions to be itemized by specifying the applicable elements of special and general damages upon which the award is based and the amount assigned to each element, including, but not limited to, medical expenses, loss of earnings, impairment of earning ability and pain and suffering. In addition, it sought to limit damages which may be awarded for pain and suffering to \$250,000. The bill also sought to require all medical

