MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

STATE OF MAINE ONE HUNDRED AND ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE FIRST REGULAR SESSION

JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE ON Public Utilities

BILL SUMMARY



JULY, 1983

Prepared by:

Haven Whiteside, Legislative Assistant Public Utilities Committee

Office of Legislative Assistants State House, Station 13 Augusta, Maine 04333 (207) 289-2486 669 AN ACT TO AMEND THE CHARTER OF THE J. Martin ENACT. P&S 7
EAGLE LAKE WATER DISTRICT TO PRO- (OTP)
VIDE FOR THE ELECTION OF TRUSTEES

SUMMARY: Changes from appointed trustees with a 3 year term to elected trustees with a 5 year term.

712 RESOLVE, TO AUTHORIZE A POLE AND MacEachern ENACT. RES 24
TRANSMISSION LINE EASEMENT ON CHOKE-Pearson (OTP)
CHERRY ISLAND AND MATTANAWCOOK ISLAND AND A PORTION OF THE PENOBSCOT
RIVER IN THE COUNTY OF PENOBSCOT

SUMMARY: Authorizes the Governor to negotiate and grant a 100 foot wide easement to Bangor Hydro for a pole line crossing the Penobscot River at Chokecherry Island near Lincoln. The line has existed since 1931.

713 AN ACT TO REQUIRE PUBLIC POWER Theriault LV/WD COMPANIES TO AMORTIZE THE COST Charette
OF DISCONNECTED MUNICIPAL STREET Carroll LIGHT FIXTURES Allen

SUMMARY: Would have allowed a municipality that disconnects a street light to pay back the electrical company over its remaining useful life instead of a lump sum as at present.

833 AN ACT RELATING TO COST OF Michael CNTP ELECTRIC POWER PROMOTIONS L. Brown J. Mitchell W. Diamond

SUMMARY: Was intended to make sure that any promotional advertising by electric utilities states the full cost of the electric power advertised, including any hidden costs.

857 AN ACT TO PROVIDE FOR THE FUNDING Baker LV/WD OF CONSUMER INTERVENTION BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

SUMMARY: Would have provided funding for intervenors before the PUC, if the PUC decides that they would present a point of view which is necessary, and which would not otherwise be presented, and that the intervenors cannot afford the cost. Funding would come from an assessment on the utilities, not to exceed \$100,000 per year.