### MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from electronic originals (may include minor formatting differences from printed original)

Report to the Joint Standing Committee on Environment and Natural Resources 130<sup>th</sup> Legislature, First Regular Session

## Biennial Report of the Clean-up and Response Fund Review Board

### April 2021

Contact: David Burns, P.E., Director Bureau of Remediation and Waste Management Phone: (207) 287-7890



#### **Executive Summary**

This biennial report provides information on the experience administering the Maine Ground and Surface Waters Clean-up and Response Fund in state fiscal years (FY) 2019 and 2020.

The Maine Ground and Surface Waters Clean-up and Response Fund (Fund) provides for the prompt and effective clean-up of petroleum releases and compensation of third-party damages. The Fund is approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as an acceptable mechanism for Maine's tank owners to meet the federal financial responsibility requirements. The Fund is an important source of funding for the clean-up of oil-contaminated sites necessary to protect public health and the environment, and to support the continuing economic value of properties. The Department has implemented a variety of strategies to reduce expenditures, prioritize spending and control costs such as targeting removal of contaminated soils using health-based clean-up guidelines, and considerations for the re-use of properties. The Maine Ground and Surface Waters Clean-up and Response Fund Review Board (Review Board) provides oversight to the Department regarding these measures.

This report satisfies the reporting requirement of 38 M.R.S. § 568-B(2-D). The law requires that:

Beginning on April 15, 2015 and every other year thereafter, the Clean-up and Response Fund Review Board, with the cooperation of the commissioner, shall report to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over natural resource matters on the department's and the review board's experience administering the fund, clean-up activities and 3rd-party damage claims. The report must include an assessment of the adequacy of the fund to cover anticipated expenses and any recommendations for statutory change. To carry out its responsibility under this subsection, the review board may order an independent audit of disbursements from the fund.

This report represents both the Department's and the Review Board's experience administering the Fund during state FY 2019 (July 1, 2018 – June 30, 2019) and state FY 2020 (July 1, 2019 – June 30, 2020). The report is divided into two sections. Part I covers the Department's administration of the Fund including an assessment of the adequacy of the Fund, and Part II addresses the activities of the Review Board.

#### PART I

# Administration of the Maine Ground and Surface Waters Clean-up and Response Fund Maine Department of Environmental Protection

#### A. Introduction

State statute prohibits oil discharges and requires oil discharges to be cleaned up to the Commissioner's satisfaction, using remedies that are cost effective, technologically feasible and reliable, and that effectively mitigate or minimize damages and provide adequate protection of public health, welfare and the environment. Maine law provides an incentive for the prompt cleanup of petroleum releases by forgoing penalty actions against responsible parties that cooperate with the Department to promptly clean up releases to the satisfaction of the Commissioner and reimburse the state's expenditures that are not eligible for coverage by the Fund. The Maine Ground and Surface Waters Clean-up and Response Fund provides for the prompt and effective cleanup of petroleum releases and compensation of third-party damages. The Fund is approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency as an acceptable mechanism for Maine's tank owners to meet the federal financial responsibility requirements.

#### B. Summary of Revenues and Expenditures

In FY 2019 and FY 2020, the main sources of revenue into the Fund were the fees on each barrel of oil transferred into Maine by ship, road or rail.¹ Currently the base fees are 41¢ per barrel of gasoline, 22¢ per barrel of most other refined petroleum products (#2 fuel oil, kerosene, jet fuel, diesel fuel and other refined products), 7¢ per barrel of #6 fuel oil and 3¢ per barrel of unrefined crude oil and liquid asphalt. Additionally, *Oil Import Fees*, 90-564 C.M.R., ch. 4 (last amended May 20, 2017) of the rules of the Review Board establishes a surcharge of up to 18¢ per barrel of gasoline and up to 6¢ per barrel of other refined petroleum products except unrefined crude oil, liquid asphalt and #6 oil when the balance in the Fund falls below \$6 million dollars. The surcharge has been in effect since February 1, 2014 when the fund balance dropped below \$5 million, which was the trigger at the time. The surcharge was in effect throughout state FY 2019 and FY 2020 (July 1, 2018 - June 30, 2020).

Table 1 illustrates financial activity in the Maine Ground and Surface Waters Oil Clean-up and Response Fund for FY 2019 (July 1, 2018 – June 30, 2019). A balance of \$9,931,176 was carried forward from FY 2018. The net balance for FY 2019 was \$24,795,851 including the carry forward balance. Expenditures totaled \$14,689,948, and the net fund availability at the end of the FY 2019 was \$8,702,117.

Table 2 illustrates financial activity in the Maine Ground and Surface Waters Clean-up and Response Fund for FY 2020 (July 1, 2019 – June 30, 2020). A balance of \$10,105,903 was carried forward from FY 2019. The net balance for FY 2020 was \$24,684,117 including the carry forward balance. Expenditures totaled \$15,794,087 and the net fund availability at the end of the fiscal year was \$6,493,051.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See 38 M.R.S. § 551(4)

In FY 2020, there was a decrease of \$286,461 in net income and an increase of \$1,104,139 in expenditures compared to FY 2019.

The Department continues to implement cost control measures in consultation with the Review Board. These efforts include prioritized spending and heightened focus on the cost effectiveness of remedial measures.

Table 1 provides a summary of the income, expenditures and fund balance for FY 2019.

#### TABLE 1

## STATEMENT OF CASH POSITION MAINE GROUND AND SURFACE WATERS CLEAN-UP AND RESPONSE FUND AT JUNE 30, 2019

BALANCE FORWARD (July 1, 2018)		\$9,931,176
INCOME Less Fee Refunds	\$16,716,534 - \$1,851,859	
NET INCOME		\$14,864,675
NET BALANCE		\$24,795,851
EXPENSES Personal Services All Other Capital Indirect Cost Transfers Other Transfers	\$6,468,378 \$5,583,732 \$86,663 \$2,233,550 \$317,625	
NET EXPENSES		\$14,689,948
CASH BALANCE (June 30, 2019)		\$10,105,903
INDIRECT COST OBLICATION (June 30, 2019) (untaken) ENCUMBERANCES AND OBLIGATIONS (untaken) NET FUND AVAILABILITY (June 30, 2019)		\$156,670 \$1,247,116 \$8,702,117*

<sup>\*</sup>Does not consider outstanding liabilities required to characterize sites that have not been investigated, completed ongoing remedial work, or paid user fee obligations.

#### NOTES:

- "INCOME" INCLUDES FEES, INTEREST, REIMBURSEMENTS, FINES AND MISCELLANEOUS INCOME.
- "OTHER TRANSFERS" INCLUDES TRANSFERS TO OTHER STATE AGENCIES, AND INTERNAL TRANSFERS TO OTHER
  ACCOUNTS.
- "EXPENDITURES" INCLUDE ADJUSTMENTS TO BALANCE FORWARD INCOME (CREDIT TO EXPENSES).
- THE COLLECTION OF FEES IS SUSPENDED WHEN THE FUND BALANCE REACHES \$18,500,000.
- NET FUND AVAILABILITY INCLUDES ENBUMBRANCES AND INDIRECT COST OBLIGATIONS (UNTAKEN).

Table 2 provides a summary of the income, expenditures and fund balance for FY 2020.

#### TABLE 2

#### STATEMENT OF CASH POSITION MAINE GROUND AND SURFACE WATERS CLEAN-UP AND RESPONSE FUND **AT JUNE 30, 2020**

BALANCE FORWARD (July 1, 2019)		\$10,105,903
INCOME Less Fee Refunds	\$16,738,104 - \$2,159,890	
NET INCOME		\$14,578,214
NET BALANCE		\$24,684,117
EXPENSES  Personal Services  All Other  Capital  Indirect Cost Transfers  Other Transfers	\$6,527,897 \$6,199,358 \$136,525 \$2,618,663 \$311,644	
NET EXPENSES		\$15,794,087
CASH BALANCE (June 30, 2020)		\$8,890,030
INDIRECT COST OBLICATION (June 30, 2020) (untaken) ENCUMBERANCES AND OBLIGATIONS (untaken) NET FUND AVAILABILITY (June 30, 2020)		\$318,148 \$2,078,831 \$6,493,051*

<sup>\*</sup>Does not consider outstanding liabilities required to characterize sites that have not been investigated, completed ongoing remedial work, or paid user fee obligations.

#### NOTES:

- "INCOME" INCLUDES FEES, INTEREST, REIMBURSEMENTS, FINES AND MISCELLANEOUS INCOME.
- "OTHER TRANSFERS" INCLUDES TRANSFERS TO OTHER STATE AGENCIES, AND INTERNAL TRANSFERS TO OTHER ACCOUNTS.
- "EXPENDITURES" INCLUDE ADJUSTMENTS TO BALANCE FORWARD INCOME (CREDIT TO EXPENSES).
- THE COLLECTION OF FEES IS SUSPENDED WHEN THE FUND BALANCE REACHES \$18,500,000.
- NET FUND AVAILABILITY INCLUDES ENBUMBRANCES AND INDIRECT COST OBLIGATIONS (UNTAKEN).

Table 3 provides the net income for each of the past 5 years.

TABLE 3			
Net Income – Maine Ground and Surface Waters Clean-up and Response Fund			
FY 2020	\$14,578,214		
FY 2019	\$14,864,675		
FY 2018	\$14,330,699		
FY 2017	\$14,161,813		
FY 2016*	\$16,704,457		

\*FY2016 is the year in which the Ground Water Oil Clean-up Fund and the Maine Coastal and Inland Surface Water Oil Clean-up Fund were combined and includes the balance transfer from the Maine Coastal and Inland Surface Water Oil Clean-up Fund.

The net fund income includes all revenue received minus fee refunds made in the fiscal year. The fee is assessed on the first transfer of gasoline and other refined petroleum products and their by-products including #2 fuel oil, kerosene, jet fuel, diesel fuel and #6 fuel oil. The fee is assessed on the first transfer of those products by oil terminal licensees and on a person who first imports oil into the State by rail or highway. The fee is not assessed on petroleum products that are exported from this State. An entity that paid fees on oil offloaded at a marine oil terminal is entitled to a refund if the oil subsequently was exported directly from the terminal to an out-of-state location and is not distributed in Maine.<sup>2</sup> Refunds made during FY 2019 decreased by \$259,147 when compared to refunds in FY 2018. Refunds during FY 2020 increased by \$308,031 compared to FY 2019.

The amount refunded in each of the past 5 years is listed in Table 4 below:

TABLE 4			
Fee Refunds for Petroleum Exported Directly Out-of-State from Maine's Licensed Oil Terminals			
FY 2020	\$2,159,890		
FY 2019	\$1,851,859		
FY 2018	\$2,111,006		
FY 2017	\$2,020,149		
FY 2016	\$2,211,210		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See 38 M.R.S. § 551(4-B) and 06-096 C.M.R. ch. 685

#### C. Fund Adequacy

The net fund availability (cash balance minus encumbrances) in the Fund was \$6,493,051 at the end of FY 2020 (June 30, 2020). This represents a decrease of \$2,209,066 in the available balance since the beginning of the fiscal year. All approved claims for reimbursement, payments to contractors and third-party claims have been paid. Funding for cleanup activities is prioritized to ensure that sites posing the greatest risk are given the highest priority for clean-up.

A number of oversight and control measures have been implemented to help maintain solvency of the Fund, including:

- Close technical oversight, including internal "peer review" of clean-up remedies and budgets for all state led clean-up projects;
- Use of analytical procedures to identify the toxicity of petroleum hydrocarbons, allowing for more accurate characterization and targeted removal of the contaminated soil posing the highest risk;
- Use of a revised budgeting system to prioritize Fund expenditures;
- Close evaluation of clean-up criteria to ensure sites are cleaned up commensurate with plans for re-use to reduce the likelihood of repeat clean-ups at sites where property uses are likely to change; and
- Use of revised health-based clean-up standards.

The Department's implementation of risk-based clean-up guidelines has dramatically improved the cost effectiveness for the clean-up of oil discharges from underground and aboveground oil storage (UST and AST) facilities. Most of these cost savings have been realized at sites requiring soil remediation.

#### D. Status of Applications for Coverage of Clean-up Costs

Table 5 provides statistics for eligibility determinations of applications for coverage of eligible cleanup costs and third-party damages to the Fund. Under this program, owners and operators of underground oil storage tanks that have suffered a discharge may apply to the Fund for coverage of eligible clean-up costs and third-party damages up to \$1 million per occurrence, while owners and operators of aboveground oil storage facilities may apply to the Fund for coverage of eligible cleanup costs and third-party damages up to \$750,000 per occurrence. Eligible applicants are limited to less than \$2 million aggregate of eligible costs in a calendar year. Applications related to underground oil storage facilities (UST) are filed with the Department of Environmental Protection. Applications for eligibility determinations for aboveground oil storage facilities (AST) are filed with the Office of State Fire Marshal.

Eligible applicants are required to pay a standard deductible based on the number of underground oil storage facilities they own or, in the case of aboveground tanks, total tank capacity. Conditional deductibles may also be assessed for non-compliance with the applicable facility installation, operation, removal and spill reporting requirements. The assessment of deductibles may be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See 38 M.R.S. § 568-A

appealed to the Review Board (see Part II of this report). All deductible amounts are established in statute.<sup>4</sup>

In FY 2019, the Department received two applications for the coverage of clean-up costs at UST facilities. By comparison, in FY 2018, the Department received 6 applications. All applicants were determined to be eligible for the coverage of eligible clean-up costs. In FY 2020, the Department received no applications for the coverage of clean-up costs at UST facilities.

In FY 2019, 209 orders finding applicants eligible for coverage of clean-up costs and third-party claims at AST facilities were forwarded to the Department from the Office of State Fire Marshal. Two applicants were determined not to be eligible. This represents an increase of 70 eligible applicants compared to FY 2018. In FY 2020, 237 orders finding applicants at AST facilities eligible for coverage were issued, representing an increase of 28 approved applications when compared to FY 2019. Two applicants were determined not to be eligible.

Table 5 summarizes application activity from aboveground and underground oil storage facilities in FY 2019 and FY 2020. The number of eligible applicants increased by 26 over the biennium.

TABLE 5			
Applications for	or Coverage of C	lean-up Costs in FY 2019 - 2020	
Total Received FY 19	213	Total Received FY 20	239
Eligible	211	Eligible	237
Ineligible	2	Ineligible	2

#### E. Administration of Third-Party Claims

The Department is currently processing 9 claims for third-party damages against the Maine Ground and Surface Waters Clean-up and Response Fund. During FY 2019 and FY 2020, the Department completed the processing of two third-party claims and awarded a total of \$3,648 to third party claimants. The average cash award to third-party claimants for claims that were fully resolved in FY 2019 was \$3,407. The average cash award to third-party claimants for claims that were fully resolved in FY 2020 was \$241.

Figure 1 illustrates the average cash award to third-party claimants from FY 2016 through FY 2020. The average award is easily influenced by the number of claims processed that include a cash award. Settlement of a small number of claims that include property devaluation for a property or properties located where property values are high can result in a high average award. Processing multiple claims in an area that includes individual point-of-entry treatment units for drinking water supplies may involve awards for property devaluation and operational subsidies for maintaining and monitoring the effectiveness of the drinking water treatment system. This scenario would also likely result in a high average award for that year. Many third parties do not file a claim because the damages are mitigated during site clean-up through the connection to public water systems, installation of treatment units and individual well replacements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See 38 M.R.S. § 568-A(2)




FIGURE 1
Average Award

#### F. Compliance with Tank Abandonment Schedule

As of the end of FY 2020, there were 3,055 conforming underground oil storage tanks registered and in operation in Maine. Approximately 35,974 non-conforming underground tanks have been properly removed or abandoned in place since removal deadlines were enacted over twenty-nine years ago. This includes 32 non-conforming tanks that were removed in FY 2019 and 36 non-conforming tanks removed in FY 2020. The Department continues to use a combination of technical and financial assistance and enforcement actions to get these tanks properly removed, with priority given to locations storing motor fuels in sensitive geologic areas.

In addition to the non-conforming tanks, approximately 5,446 conforming (corrosion resistant) underground oil storage tanks also have been removed or permitted to be abandoned in place through the end of FY 2020. Conforming underground tanks must be removed upon confirmation of a leak or 30 years from the date of installation. Owners of 20 removed tanks have failed to submit the required site assessment. The site assessment is needed to determine if clean-up actions are necessary. Non-compliant tank owners are subject to enforcement action by the Department.

#### G. Voluntary Response Action Program (VRAP)

The Maine Ground and Surface Waters Clean-up and Response Fund can be used to clean up oil contaminated commercial property that is being sold or has been sold for redevelopment. As businesses close and properties are sold for other uses, site assessments are typically required as a condition of the property transfer by the lending institution involved in the transaction. When oil discharges from eligible storage systems are identified, the buyer or seller may be eligible for coverage by the Fund for costs of cleaning up oil contamination. Thus, the Fund facilitates cleaning up the site for redevelopment.

#### H. Remediation Sites

The Fund was established to "provide for the investigation, mitigation and removal of discharges or threats of discharge of oil from aboveground and underground storage facilities." Sites where oil discharges pose a significant and imminent risk to public health and safety continue to be the highest funding priority. Work on lower priority sites is carried out as resources allow while maintaining a Fund balance that is sufficient to clean up future releases that threaten public health and sensitive geologic areas. When the Department becomes aware of a contaminated site, the site is assessed to determine the risk to human health from contamination of soils, surface water, groundwater, indoor air and drinking water supplies. The list of sites is prioritized based on the risk to human health.

Table 6 lists the number of sites requiring long-term remedial work in each of the past five years. This list includes all sites requiring long-term clean up where the remedial effort is not complete. Table 6 also provides the number of sites requiring long-term remedial actions that have been completed in each of the past 5 years. Starting with FY 2019, the Department transitioned to reporting site activities by fiscal year in order to be consistent with the financial reporting schedule contained in this report.

TABLE 6 Number of Sites Needing Long Term Remedial Work		
	Completed	Remaining
FY2020	172	483
FY2019	119	535
2018	91	540
2017	117	519
2016	126	525

Figure 2 illustrates the number of long-term petroleum remediation sites that have been cleaned to the Commissioner's satisfaction using the Fund from 2016 through FY 2020. The figure includes only sites that were referred to the Department's Division of Technical Services. It does not include sites that were successfully remediated with oversight from spill response staff in the Division of

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See 38 M.R.S. § 561

Response Services. Typically, only sites with substantial contamination are referred to Technical Services for ground water investigation and longer-term remedial efforts. Petroleum spills that can be cleaned up immediately or only require short-term oversight are not included in this figure. Timely reporting and early response continue to be the key to minimization of damages and the associated costs.

Figure 2 also illustrates the number of new remediation sites added each year from 2016 through FY 2020. As this number fluctuates, managing expenditures through the prioritization of sites and cleaning up sites to levels commensurate with the degree of risk posed will remain an important function for the Department. Revenue and expenditures will be carefully monitored to ensure they remain in alignment.




Figure 3 illustrates the makeup of sites referred annually for long-term clean-up activities based on the predominant petroleum product released. Data from 2016 through FY 2020 is provided. Sites contaminated by fuel oil and kerosene accounted for 93 of the 102 sites 91%) in FY 2019 and 105 of 115sites (91%) in FY 2020.





Figure 4 illustrates the make-up of sites subject to long-term clean-up activities based on the source or type of storage tank facility for the past five years. This analysis demonstrates that aboveground oil storage facilities account for 88 sites out of a total of 102 sites (86%) in FY 2019 and 100 out of 115 (87%) in FY 2020. The majority of new petroleum releases consist of kerosene and heating oil that occur at aboveground storage tank sites.



#### I. Ongoing Activities

The Department is implementing the following initiatives to help prevent releases and reduce expenditures:

#### 1. Third-party Inspections

Since July 1, 2003, passing annual inspection reports must be filed with the Department for all underground oil storage tanks. In November 2019, Notices of Violations (NOV's) were issued to 279 non-compliant tank owners for not submitting a passing annual inspection report in the previous fiscal year (July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019). This is a decrease of 36 non-compliant tank owners when compared to 2018. As of FY 2020, approximately 78% of all registered tank owners had gained compliance with the inspection requirement. Department staff continues to use a combination of inspections, technical assistance and enforcement actions to encourage facility owners to achieve compliance.

#### 2. Certified Installers and Inspectors

Installation and testing of underground tanks, piping, and associated equipment and completion of an annual inspection report must be performed by an installer or inspector certified by the Board of Underground Storage Tank Installers. At the end of FY 2020, 74

installers and 53 inspectors were certified. Installers and inspectors must participate in continuing educational training to stay abreast of changing technologies and maintain their certification. The Department continues to provide an annual training program, approved by the Board of Underground Tank Installers for continuing education credits. The 2020 installer training event was ultimately postponed due to COVID-19 social distancing requirements.

#### 3. Maintain Field Presence

Department staff continues to maintain a field presence through the performance of compliance/technical assistance inspections across the state. In FY 2019 (July 1, 2018 - June 30, 2019), Department staff completed 172 inspections. In FY 2020 (July 1, 2019 – June 30, 2020), COVID-19 social distancing requirements and travel restrictions impacted the number of inspections that were able to be completed. Department staff completed 101 inspections in FY 2020. In FY 2018, the Department implemented a focused inspection strategy to inspect underground tanks that had not been inspected in the previous 3 years, and single wall underground tanks that were required to transition to more advanced leak detection technologies. Inspection efforts also targeted motor fuel facilities for which no passing annual compliance inspection was submitted in the previous 12 months, or where tank ownership had changed.

#### 4. Aboveground Storage Tanks (AST) Siting Application Reviews

As of the end of FY 2020, there were 153 registered facilities with motor fuel ASTs using underground piping. The State Fire Marshal's Office (SFMO) forwards permit applications for the installation of AST's to the Department to determine compliance with the Department's Chapter 692: Siting of Oil Storage Facilities. In the prior two fiscal years, staff reviewed 69 permit applications in FY 2019 and 53 in FY 2020 for compliance with the AST siting requirements. By comparison, in FY 2018, staff reviewed 52 applications forwarded by the SFMO.

#### 5. Operator Training

The Federal Energy Policy Act of 2005 (Act) requires each state to provide training opportunities for operators of underground oil storage facilities storing motor fuels. Pursuant to the Act, each facility subject to federal underground storage tank regulation must have a trained operator by August 8, 2012. The Department's internet-based training program, "Tank Smart", was developed in consultation with the regulated community and meets the requirements of the Act. The program has been available since February 2010 and is free of charge. The program is designed to be cost effective and user friendly. Operators may enter a facility specific registration number and are directed to a series of facility specific training modules or may choose to view all the training modules and become certified as a General Operator and operate any underground storage tank system in the state. Upon successful completion of a computer-generated test, operators may print a certificate to document the training has been completed. A written training program is also available for those that do not have a computer or prefer a written training and testing program.

As of the end of FY 2020, there were 2,153 individuals with current certifications through this program. Of those, 923 were General Operators who may operate multiple facilities and

1,230 were operators trained for a specific facility. Many facilities have more than one certified operator and the quality of the "TankSmart" program attracts tank operators from other states who often take Maine's training program and complete the certification test. Revisions to the twenty-six operator training modules were completed in 2018 and a new training module was added to provide training, testing and a certificate of completion for delivery drivers. Since the new module was launched, 42 industry professionals have been trained.

#### 6. Home Heating Oil Tank Replacement Program

Since the Home Heating Oil Tank Replacement Program began in 1998, over 9,500 aboveground storage tanks at single family residences have been replaced, protecting thousands of private drinking water supply wells, the water supply wells of 17 water districts, 4 public water systems and the sole-source aquifer on 5 islands and on the peninsula of Harpswell. The replacement of tanks determined to be at high risk of failure with new tanks prevents the need for costly clean-ups.

In FY 2019 and FY 2020, \$750,000 was distributed to Maine's Community Action Programs (CAPs) to replace home heating oil tanks (typically 275-gallon tanks). The current (FY 2021) contracts amount to \$750,000 and individual awards range from approximately \$34,500 to \$177,600. The awards are based on the proportional number of Low-Income Heating Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) clients in each CAP district. The CAPs receive an implementation fee ranging from \$250 to \$325 per tank replaced depending on the geographical area served.

The Department also expended an additional \$188,345 in FY 2019 and \$248,258 in FY 2020 replacing home heating oil tanks determined to be at risk at individual locations state-wide. Homeowner eligibility for this funding is established considering the risk to public health and through use of a means test to evaluate tank owner income relative to the mean county income.

The replacement program continues to offer conversion to propane as an option. The elimination of any risk from a future oil discharge by providing a propane heating appliance is often a cost-effective solution for oil tanks located outside.

#### **PART II**

#### CLEAN-UP AND RESPONSE FUND REVIEW BOARD

#### A. Mission of the Clean-up and Response Fund Review Board

The duties of the Clean-up and Response Fund Review Board (Review Board) are established at 38 M.R.S. § 568-B(2). The Review Board has the following duties: (1) to hear appeals from insurance claims-related decisions of the Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Protection and the State Fire Marshal's Office, (2) to adopt rules and guidelines necessary for the furtherance of the

review board's duties and responsibilities, (3) to monitor income and disbursements from the fund, and adjust fees as required to avoid a shortfall, (4) to at such times and in such amounts as it determines necessary, and in consultation with the department, direct the transfer of funds from the Underground Oil Storage Replacement Fund to the fund, (5) to review department priorities for disbursements from the fund and make recommendations to the Commissioner on how the fund should be allocated, (6) to review and comment on the State's Marine Oil Spill Contingency Plan, and (7) to review and monitor issues for oil spill prevention and response and recommend to the commissioner any regulatory changes that are appropriate

#### B. Review Board Governing Law and Composition

In 2019, legislation was passed (PL 2019, c. 314) that reduced the membership of the Review Board from 14 to 9 members and amended quorum requirements and the membership of the appeals panel. Based on this chaptered law, the Review Board consists of nine members appointed for 3year terms, including: the Commissioner of the Department or their designee; the State Fire Marshal or their designee; one person representing the petroleum industry who is a representative of a statewide association of energy dealers; one person with expertise in oil storage facility design and installation, oil spill remediation or environmental engineering; two members of the public who must have expertise in biological science, earth science, engineering, insurance or law; one member representing marine fisheries interests; one member familiar with oil spill technology; one member with expertise in coastal geology, fisheries biology or coastal wildlife habitat and one member who is a licensed state pilot or a licensed merchant marine officer. The Appeals Panel hears and decides appeals from applicants aggrieved by an insurance claims related decision and consists of the member, appointed by the President of the Senate, who has expertise in oil storage facility design and installation, oil spill remediation or environmental engineering; the 2 members appointed by the Governor who must have expertise in biological science, earth science, engineering, insurance or law and may not be employed in or have a direct and substantial financial interest in the petroleum industry; the member with expertise in coastal geology, fisheries biology, marine fisheries or coastal wildlife habitat appointed by the President of the Senate; and the member who is a licensed state pilot or a licensed merchant marine officer appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives. As of the end of FY 2020, there were 3 vacancies on the Review Board pending appointment. The members listed below were appointed to serve on the Review Board as of the end of FY 2020.

> Prentice "Skip" Strong\* Brian Beal\* Peter Sarnacki\*

David Burns, DEP Richard McCarthy, SFMO Dave Sait\*

\*Appeals panel member

Maine law at 38 M.R.S. § 568-B states that the Review Board shall meet four times per year unless the Board votes not to hold a meeting. Because of several factors including term expiration, member resignation or retirement and Covid-19, the Review Board did not meet in FY 2019 or FY 2020.

#### C. Appeals Activities

The Review Board received no appeals during FY 2019. During FY 2020, the Review Board received 2 appeals. One appeal was resolved by Department staff and subsequently withdrawn without a hearing. The Department continues to work to resolve the remaining appeal. When needed, the Appeals Panel holds appeal hearings after the conclusion of regularly scheduled meetings.

#### D. Regulatory Activity

The Clean-up and Response Fund Review Board did not undertake any rule-making activities in FY 2019 or FY 2020.

#### Conclusion

The Maine Ground and Surface Waters Clean-up and Response Fund provides an important source of funding to clean-up oil contaminated sites to protect public health and the environment, and to support the continuing economic value of properties. The Department has implemented a variety of strategies to control costs, reduce expenditures, and prioritize spending from the Fund. The Clean-up and Response Fund Review Board provides guidance and oversight to the Department regarding these measures and has placed a heightened focus on the cost effectiveness of remedial measures, including targeted removal of contaminated soil and consideration for re-use of properties. The Department's implementation of risk-based clean-up guidelines has dramatically improved the cost effectiveness for the clean-up of oil discharges from UST and AST facilities.