

REPORT OF

THE REVIEW COMMITTEE ON TAX CONFORMITY

to the

111th LEGISLATURE

March, 1984

MEMBERS:

Sen. Frank Wood Sen. Thomas Teague Rep. H. Craig Higgins David Clough Thomas Kelly Harold Melvin Roger Michaud .

STAFF: Julie S. Jones, Legislative Counsel Anthony J. Neves, Director of State Tax, Bureau of Taxation, Department of Finance and Administration

TABLE OF CONTENTS

•

INTROD	INTRODUCTION		1
BACKGR	BACKGROUND		
RECOMM	END	DATIONS	
1	•	Conformity Date	3
2	•	1984 Partial Add-back	5
3	•	1985 Full Conformity	8
4	•	Recovery of 1984 Add-back	8

ATTACHMENTS

.

.

1.	Tax Conformity Prior Years	10
2.	Tax Conformity Summary	11
3.	Recovery of ACRS Addback	12
4.	Positions of Tax Jurisdictions on ACRS Corporations	13
5.	Recommended Legislation	15

The Review Committee on Tax Conformity was established by chapter 590 of the Public Laws of 1983 to "conduct a general review of the issue of state-federal tax conformity and ... report its conclusions and recommendations to the Legislature." The Committee as appointed by the Speaker of the House and President of the Senate consists of the following persons:

Senator Frank P. Wood York County Senator Thomas M. Teague Somerset County Rep. H. Craig Higgins Portland David R. Clough, National Augusta Federation of Independent Business Thomas Kelly, Great North-Falmouth ern Paper Company Guilford Harold Melvin, Guilford Industries Roger Michaud, American Lewiston Stabilis

The Committee has met several times to review the history of conformity in recent years and projections regarding the costs of conformity in future years. The Committee makes the following recommendations.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The Committee recommends that, beginning with 1984 tax years, the State of Maine provide continuing conformity with the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, and amendments thereto and other provisions of the laws of the United States relating to federal income taxes as of January 31, 1983.

2. The Committee recommends that, for tax years ending in 1984, the cost of conformity be partially alleviated by requiring all taxpayers using Accelerated Cost Recovery System deductions to add back a portion of their federal deductions to state taxable income. The amount of the add-back for corporate taxpayers is equal to the net loss suffered by the General Fund as a result of corporate conformity. The amount of the add back for other than corporate taxpayers is equal to about one-half of the loss suffered by the General Fund as a result of ACRS deductions claimed by those taxpayers.

3. The Committee recommends that all add-backs be eliminated for tax years ending after 1984.

4. The Committee recommends that all taxpayers who are required to add-back a portion of ACRS deduction in 1984 be allowed to recover the amount of the add-back in three equal installments over the taxable years 1985 through 1987.

BACKGROUND

Prior to 1981, conformity with the Internal Revenue Code was more or less a pro forma matter, frequently accomplished as part of the administrative changes bill submitted each session by the Department of Finance and Administration. However, as a result of massive changes in the United States Internal Revenue Code enacted by Congress in 1981 and 1982, the issue of conformity became one of major importance to the State, both because of the significant impact on General Fund revenues and the effect on taxable income of both individual and corporate taxpayers. These changes, if adopted without any adjustment, reduced the ability of the State to collect an estimated \$7 -10 million annually. This potential reduction in revenues occurred at a time when other changes on the federal level were not only increasing the State's responsibilities in many areas but also reducing the amount of federal money available to assist states in meeting those obligations. In 1981, 1982, and 1983, the Legislature considered the issue of conformity and,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Tax Conformity -- Prior Years ATTACHMENTS Tax Conformity Summary 1.

Recovery of ACRS Addback

on ACRS Corporations

Recommended Legislation

Positions of Tax Jurisdictions

Recovery of 1984 Add-back 3. 4.

1985 -- Full Conformity 2.

Conformity Date 1984 -- Partial Add-back 1.

.

RECOMMENDATIONS

2.

3.

4.

5.

i.

· .

BACKGROUND

INTRODUCTION

.

.

1

PAGE

in Special Sessions, enacted legislation which provided for various versions of conformity. Each time the legislation enacted covered only the year at hand, leaving future years treatment of conformity uncertain. The Legislature dealt with each year's loss individually and was unable to reach a long term solution. The chart attached entitled <u>Tax Conformity</u> <u>Prior Years</u> summarizes the status of conformity for those three years.

The practice of providing conformity for one year at a time, only, has been unsatisfactory for several reasons. It creates a great deal of uncertainty for taxpayers who are unable to predict their taxes beyond one year. In addition, in some instances, the Legislature has not determined the form of conformity until late in the tax year, causing some taxpayers to keep records which would satisfy several possible conformity configurations. From a Legislative standpoint, the issue of conformity has been one which has caused difficult choices based upon the status of the General Fund and the conflicting needs of many different groups. In addition, the need for a Special Session to decide this issue each year has been time consuming and costly.

RECOMMENDATION 1. The Committee recommends that, beginning with 1984 tax years, the State of Maine provide continuing conformity with the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, and amendments thereto and other provisions of the laws of the United States relating to federal income taxes as of January 31, 1983.

Conformity with the Internal Revenue Code is accomplished by changing the date of reference to the Code in 36 M.R.S.A.

@5102, sub-@11. It is generally believed that that reference may not incorporate future changes in the Internal Revenue Code because of Article IV, Part 3, Section 1 of the <u>Constitution of</u> <u>Maine</u> which has been interpreted to prohibit an incorporation by reference of future federal revisions as an unconstitutional delegation of legislative power. <u>State v. Webber</u>, 125 Me. 319, 133 A. 738 (1926).

The bills providing for conformity in 1981, 1982 and 1983, all contained a provision which changed the reference to the Internal Revenue Code for the year under consideration, only. After each year, if there was no further change, the reference would revert to December 31, 1980. The result of this year to year revision was that revenue estimates for future years were projected on the assumption of the 1980 Code. Therefore, the cost of conformity each year increased not only by the amount caused by changes over the previous year but by the entire amount caused by all changes since 1980.

The Committee recommends that the date of reference to the Internal Revenue Code be changed to January 31, 1983 with no provision to revert to any prior date at some future time. This change will cause future revenue projections to be based upon this date and result in less dramatic loss estimates for future updates. This Committee believes that the incorporation of federal changes occurring after 1980--though resulting in reduced corporate income tax revenues--will provide a valuable benefit to the business community in the State of Maine. Most importantly, this change will send a signal to taxpayers that the State of Maine is conforming to the Internal Revenue Code.

It will also provide a more stable climate within which long range tax decisions can be made, both on the part of business and the Legislature. This recommendation restores the State to the more orderly path of annual revisions prior to the massive 1981 federal changes and recognizes the paramount goal of stability in the State's tax structure.

The January 31, 1983 date is a continuation of the conformity date used for 1983 tax years. It ensures that federal changes enacted in April, 1983, which would tax a portion of Social Security and Railroad Retirement benefits received by persons with an annual income in excess of \$25,000 for individuals or \$32,000 for couples, will not be incorporated into the state income tax. The Committee does not believe that it is wise to begin taxing these retirement benefits of persons who have planned for their retirement on the assumption that the benefits would not be taxed.

RECOMMENDATION 2. The Committee recommends that, for tax years ending in 1984, the cost of conformity be partially alleviated by requiring all taxpayers using Accelerated Cost Recovery System deductions to add-back a portion of their federal deductions to state taxable income. The formula for determination of the add-back is calculated so that the amount added-back by corporate taxpayers is equal to the net loss to the General Fund from corporate conformity.

The Bureau of Taxation estimates that the total cost of conformity for fiscal year 1984-85 (income tax year 1984) is \$10,704,000. The attached chart entitled <u>Tax Conformity</u> <u>Summary</u>, Fiscal Year 1984-85, provides a further breakdown of that cost. As that chart indicates, the largest component of conformity which results in a loss to the General Fund is the

Accelerated Cost Recover System providing for accelerated depreciation of capital assets. The goal of this system, when enacted on the federal level, was to provide businesses with additional capital for expansion to spur the economy and speed economic recovery. As the attachment entitled <u>Positions of Tax</u> <u>Jurisdictions on ACRS for Corporations</u> indicates, about two-thirds of taxing jurisdictions, presently conform to the ACRS provisions, and the other New England states, except Connecticut, conform. A number of states, including Connecticut, not presently in conformity would come into full conformity shortly (most by 1985), upon "expiration" of current non-conforming provisions, in which event over three-quarters of the states would be conforming.

The Committee recognizes that the availability of increased capital to businesses is important to the state's economic development. In fact, one of the state's major economic difficulties is the lack of investment capital. The Committee believes that the State -- its citizens and businesses -benefit from attracting capital investment to Maine that might otherwise be made in another state and from encouraging native businesses to not defer capital investment to later years. Increased capital investment improves the economy, creates jobs, allows for better and higher paying jobs, leads to better financial conditions for the State, and results, over time, in increased state and local tax revenues. The Committee also believes that it would be unwise for the State to fail to conform when the vast majority of the states are conforming.

б

In 1982 and 1983, the loss resulting from complete state incorporation of ACRS was determined by the Legislature to be too large to be adopted on the state level. Therefore, it required of corporate taxpayers, only, an add-back to state taxable income of a portion of all depreciation for 1982 (both ACRS and non-ACRS) and of a portion of ACRS deductions for 1983. In 1983, the add-back required a total add-back approximately equal to the total corporate cost of ACRS. Ιt did not take into consideration other conformity provisions which resulted in increased revenue from corporate taxpayers. The result was that the total income taxes of corporate taxpayers was increased by more than the net cost of corporate conformity. The Legislature recognized that this result was undesirable; however, the decision was made on the basis of other pressing needs and the Constitutional requirement of ensuring a balanced budget. This Committee was established in recognition of the need to provide a more desirable result in future years.

This year, the General Fund is again subject to many demands. In that context, this Committee recommends that the first step be made toward full conformity by providing a partial add-back of ACRS deductions which would be used to reduce the total loss to the General Fund. This proposal would require corporate taxpayers to add-back a percentage of ACRS deductions which would provide state revenue equal to the net cost of corporate conformity. The add-back under this proposal would be one-half of the add-back required in 1983. Individual taxpayers (including partnerships and Subchapter S

corporations), who were not required to add-back in 1983, would be required to add-back the same percentage.

The partial add-back of ACRS for 1984 reduces the burden to the General Fund of immediate full conformity and eases the transition to 1985 when full conformity is recommended.

RECOMMENDATION 3. The Committee recommends that all add-backs be eliminated for tax years ending after 1984.

The Committee recognizes that the past practice of requiring ACRS add-backs has placed a burden on corporate taxpayers in the State of Maine. Although there are other expenses which comprise a higher portion of overall business expenses than do state income taxes, the lack of ACRS is a significant financial consideration, and, the psychological effect of the inability to provide full conformity has been an undesirable result of the 1982 and 1983 resolutions of this problem. Therefore, this Committee recommends that, beginning with 1985 tax years, all add-backs for ACRS be eliminated.

	The Committee recommends that all
taxpayers who are	required to add-back a portion of ACRS
	be allowed to recover the amount of the
add-back in three	equal installments over the taxable years
1985 through 1987.)

Corporate taxpayers with depreciable assets have paid in varying degrees for the cost of conformity in prior years. In 1982, although Corporate taxpayers were permitted to recover the cost of the 18% add-back of all depreciation deductions which was required that year, the amount recovered could not equal the present value of the cost in 1982. In 1983, corporations were required to add-back an amount which was

equal to the total cost of corporate ACRS conformity (\$8,921,000). This resulted in those corporations adding back in excess of \$4,000,000 more than the net cost of corporate conformity. In addition, no provision was made for any recovery of the 1983 add-back. As the attachment entitled <u>Positions of Tax Jurisdictions on ACRS for Corporations</u> indicates, eighty percent of the tax jurisdictions either conform or provide recovery of add-backs. Connecticut, the only other New England state not conforming, allows recovery of add-backs.

The Committee recognizes the burden of past add-backs on taxpayers with depreciation deductions. The Committee would prefer to provide for full recovery of all prior add-backs. However, the Committee recognizes the burden of full recovery on General Fund revenue and has decided that the first priority must be full conformity in future years and recovery of the 1984 add-backs. Therefore, the Committee recommends that taxpayers be permitted to recover the amount of 1984 add-back, prorated over the succeeding three years. The Committee recognizes that this time delay results in the loss of the present value of the additional taxes that must be paid as a result of the add-back. For example, assuming an interest rate of 10% each dollar of 1984 add-back recovered in 1987 is worth only about seventy-five cents in 1984. However, this recommendation has the advantage of letting business know that future capital expansion can be fully depreciated even if at a somewhat delayed rate for 1984.

jj - TCTC - 2/29/84

	T		OR YEARS	•
Tax Year	1980	1981	1982	1983
type of conformity	full	full .	full (except safe harbor leasing a. optional b. conditional addback - 18% all depreciation - recovered at 6%/year 1983 - 1985	full a. mandatory b. partial ACRS addback c. no recovery
I.R.C. as of	December 31, 1979	December 1, 1981	December 31, 1981	January 31, 1983
Vehicle L D I	LD 1867 Administrative changes bill P.L. 1979 c. 615	LD 1703 Special Session December 1981 P.L. 1981 c. 536		LD 1804 Special Session November 1983 P.L. 1983 c. 590
Estimated Cost	None	: \$144,000 gain to \$2,093,000 loss	\$6,100,000 loss	\$875,000 loss

•

•

Fiscal Year 1984-1985

Using January 31, 1983 Internal Revenue Code

-11-

Individuals:	loss due to ACRS	(\$1,500,000)	
	loss due to other provisions	(8,451,400)	
	provisions resulting in gain	3,904,000	
	net loss to GF		(\$6,047,400)
	•		
Corporations:	loss due to ACRS	(\$9,000,000)	
	loss due to other provisions	(193,600)	
	provisions resulting in gain	4,537,000	
	net loss to GF		(\$4,656,600)
			·
	TOTAL LOSS TO GF		(\$10,704,000)
	·		

•

jj - 3/23/84

RECOVERY OF ACRS ADD-BACK

YEAR	TAXPAYERS ADDING BACK	% OF ADD-BACK TAX	X COST OF ADD-BACK	RECOVERY
1982	Corporate (other than Subc. S)	18% of all depreciation	\$1,148,000	1/3 each year 1983 - 1985
1983	Corporate (other than Subc. S)	5%-3 year property 15%-5 " " 25%-10 " " 40%-15 " "	\$8,921,000	none
1984 ↓ (recommended) ⊢ N ↓	All	2.5%-3 year property 7.5%-5 ": " 12.5%-10 " " 20% -15 " "	\$5,431,600	1/3 each year 1985 - 1987 .

•

POSITIONS OF TAX JURISDICTIONS ON ACRS FOR CORPORATIONS

1. As can be seen from the attached summary, about two-thirds of the jurisdictions presently conform to ACRS for corporations. A number of other states would come into full conformity shortly (most by 1985) upon the "expiration" of current non-conforming provisions, in which event over three-quarters of the states would be conforming.

2. The only other New England state which is not conforming is Connecticut, whose non-conforming provisions are scheduled to expire after this year.

3. Of the states which disallow or require an add back of a portion of ACRS depreciation, most allow recovery of the add back, while Maine does not for 1983.

4. Eighty percent of the taxing jurisdictions fully conform or provide for a recovery of depreciation which is added back or otherwise lost. Maine provided neither for corporations in 1983.

SUMMARY OF POSITIONS OF TAXING JURISDICTIONS ON ACRS

STATES CONFORMING TO ACRS

Alabama 1	Iowa	New Hampshire
Arkansas	Kansas	New Mexico
Arizona	Louisiana	North Carolina
Colorado	Maryland	Oklahoma
District of Columbia	Massachusetts	Pennsylvania
Delaware Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana	Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska	Rhode Island South Carolina ² Utah Vermont Wisconsin

STATES REQUIRING ADJUSTMENTS TO ACRS³

STATE	EXPIRATION ⁴	RECAPTURE ⁵
Connecticut	1984	Yes
Florida	1984	Yes
Kentucky	FY84	Yes
Maine	1983	No
Minnesota	None	Yes
North Dakota	1985	Yes
Ohio	None	Yes
Tennessee	1984	No
Virginia	1983	Yes
West Virginia	None	No

STATES NOT PRESENTLY CONFORMING TO ACRS

STATE	EXPIRATION ⁴	<u>recapture</u> ⁵
Alaska .	None	No
California	None	No
Georgia	None	No
New Jersey	None	No
New York	1984	NO
Oregon	1984	No

- 1 Arkansas conforms to ACRS for 3 yr. and 5 yr. property, and will conform in 1985 for 10 yr. property, and in 1987 for 15 yr. property.
- 2 Conforms except for 15 yr. property.
- 3 Generally, the taxpayer is required to add back to income a portion of ACRS or a portion of ACRS is disallowed.
- 4 Deccupling remains in effect until the completion of the year indicated.
- 5 "Recapture" refers to provisions allowing recovery in later years of depreciation added back or otherwise lost.

. .

1 2	SECOND REGULAR SESSION	
3 4	ONE HUNDRED AND ELEVENTH LEGIS	SLATURE
5 6	Legislative Document	No .
7 8	S.P. In Senate,.	
9		
10	JOY J. O'BRIEN, Secretary	of the Senate
11		•
12 13	STATE OF MAINE	
14 15 16	IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD NINETEEN HUNDRED AND EIGHTY-	
17 18 19	AN ACT to Provide for Conformity United States Internal Revenue	
20 21	Be it enacted by the People of the Sta follows:	ite of Maine as
22 23	Sec. 1. 36 MRSA §5102, sub-§11, a 1983, c. 590, §1, is further amended t	
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	11. Other terms. Any other terms has the same meaning as when used ble context in the laws of the United to federal income taxes, unless a di is clearly required. Any reference in the laws of the United States shall be reference to the provisions of the Un ternal Revenue Code of 1954, and amen and other provisions of the laws of the relating to federal income taxes as 1980 January 31, 1983. This subsection fective as to items of income, deduced the same of t	l in a compara- States relating fferent meaning this Part to construed as a tited States In- dments thereto the United States of December 317 shall be ef-

,

e . . .

•

• •

gain accruing in taxable years ending on or after 1 2 January 1, 1980 but only to the extent that those items have been earned, received, incurred or accrued 3 4 on or after that effective date. Notwithstanding 5 other provisions of this subsection, for taxable 6 years ending in 1981 and 1982, any reference in this 7 Part to the laws of the United States shall be con-8 strued as a reference to the provisions of the United 9 States Internal Revenue Code of 1954, and amendments 10 thereto and other provisions of the laws of the 11 United States relating to federal income taxes as of 12 December 31, 1981 for items of income, deductions, 13 loss or gain earned, incurred or accrued within those 14 taxable years. Notwithstanding other provisions of 15 this subsection, for taxable years ending in 1983, 16 any reference in this Part to the laws of the United 17 States shall be construed as a reference to the pro-18 visions of the United States Internal Revenue Code of 19 1954, and amendments thereto and other provisions of 20 the laws of the United States relating to federal in-21 as of January 31, 1983 for items of income taxes 22 come, deductions, loss or gain earned, incurred or 23 accrued within those taxable years.

- 24 Sec. 2. 36 MRSA §5122, sub-§1, ¶¶D and E, as en-25 acted by PL 1981, c. 706, §35, are amended to read:
- 26 D. The amount of any net operating loss in the 27 taxable year which has been carried back to pre-28 vious years pursuant to the United States Inter-29 nal Revenue Code, Section 172; and
- E. The amount of any deduction claimed for the
 taxable year under the United States Internal
 Revenue Code, Section 172 which has previously
 been used to offset the modifications provided by
 this subsection; and

35 Sec. 3. 36 MRSA §5122, sub-§1, ¶F is enacted to 36 read:

37	F. For a taxable year ending in 1984, the sum of
38	the following portions of the deductions allowed
39	for that taxable year to the taxpayer under the
40	United States Internal Revenue Code, Section 168:
41	(1) 2.5% of the deductions for 3-year prop-
42	erty;

Page 2-L.D.

1 (2) 7.5% of the deductions for 5-year prop-2 erty; 3 (3) 12.5% of the deductions for 10-year 4 property; and 5 (4) 20% of the deductions for 15-year prop-6 erty. 7 Sec. 4. 36 MRSA §5122, sub-§2, as amended by PL 1983, c 519, §25, is further amended to read: 8 9 Subtractions. For tax years beginning on or 2. after January 1, 1977, federal adjusted gross income 10 11 shall be reduced by: Interest or dividends on obligations of the 12 Α. 13 United States and its territories and possessions 14 or of any authority, commission or instrumentali-15 ty of the United States or on a seller-sponsored 16 as defined by Title 10, section 974, subloan, 17 section 16 to the extent includible in gross in-18 come for federal income tax purposes but exempt 19 from state income taxes under the laws of the 20 . United States, provided that the amount sub-21 tracted shall be decreased by any expenses in-22 curred in the production of the interest or divi-23 dend income to the extent that these expenses, 24 including amortizable bond premiums, are deduct-25 ible in determining federal adjusted gross income; and 26 27 An amount equal to the taxpayer's federal new в. 28 jobs credit as determined under the laws of the 29 United States ; and C. For each of the taxable years ending in 1985 through 1987, 1/3 of the amount by which federal 30 31 32 adjusted gross income was increased for the tax-33 able year ending in 1984 under subsection 1, par-34 agraph F. 35 Sec. 5. 36 MRSA §5200-A, sub-§1, ¶D, as enacted by PL 1981, c. 704, §4, is amended to read: 36 37 For a taxable year ending in 1982, Subchapter 38 S corporations excepted, the amount of deductions

Page 3-L.D.

-17-

1 allowed for that taxable year to the taxpayer as 2 the nominal lessor in a safe harbor lease pursu-. 3 ant to the United States Internal Revenue Code, Section 168(f)(8), plus 18% of the remaining de-. 4 ductions allowed for that taxable year under the 5 6 United States Internal Revenue Code, Sections 167 7 and 168; and Sec. 6. 36 MRSA §5200-A, sub-§1, ¶F, as enacted 8 9 by PL 1983, c. 590, §2, is amended to read: 10 F. For a taxable year ending in 1983, the sum of 11 the following portions of the deductions allowed for that taxable year to the taxpayer under the 12 13 United States Internal Revenue Code, Section 168: 14 5% of the deductions for 3-year proper-(1)15 ty; 16 15% of the deductions for 5-year prop-(2) 17 erty; 18 (3) 25% of the deductions for 10-year prop-19 erty; and 20 (4) 40% of the deductions for 15-year prop-21 erty.; and 22 Sec. 7. 36 MRSA §5200-A, sub-§1, ¶G is enacted 23 to read: 24 G. For a taxable year ending in 1984, the sum of 25 the following portions of the deductions allowed 26 for that taxable year to the taxpayer under the United States Internal Revenue Code, Section 168: 27 28 (1) 2.5% of the deductions for 3-year prop-29 erty; 30 (2) 7.5% of the deductions for 5-year prop-31 erty; 32 (3) 12.5% of the deductions for 10-year 33 property; and 34 (4) 20% of the deductions for 15-year prop-35 erty.

Page 4-L.D.

-18-

Sec. 8. 36 MRSA §5200-A, sub-§2, ¶¶C and D, 1 as enacted by PL 1981, c. 704, §4, are amended to read: 2 3 C. An amount equal to the taxpayer's new jobs credit as determined under the laws of the United 4 5 States: and 6 For each of the taxable years ending in 1983 D. 7 through 1985, Subchapter S corporations excepted, 8 6% of the deductions allowed under the United 9 States Internal Revenue Code, Sections 167 and 168 for the taxable year 1982, excluding the 10 amount of deduction allowed for that taxable year 11 12 to the nominal lessor in a safe harbor lease pur-13 suant to the United States Internal Revenue Code, 14 Section 168(f)(8); and 15 Sec. 9. 36 MRSA §5200-A, sub-§2, ¶E is enacted 16 to read: 17 E. For each of the taxable years ending in 1985 through 1987, 1/3 of the amount by which taxable 18 19 income was increased for the taxable year ending 20 in 1984 under subsection 1, paragraph G. . 21 Sec. 10. 36 MRSA §5206, sub-§3, ¶C and D, as 22. enacted by PL 1983, c. 590, §3, are amended to read: 23 C. Increased, for taxable year ending in 1982, 24 by the amount of deductions allowed for that tax 25 year to the taxpayer as nominal lessor in a safe 26 harbor lease pursuant to the United States Inter-27 nal Revenue Code, Section 168(f)(8) plus 18% of 28 the remaining deductions allowed for that year 29 under the United States Internal Revenue Code, 30 Sections 167 and 168; and 31 Increased, for taxable years ending in 1983, D. 32 by the sum of the following portions of the deductions allowed for that taxable year to the 33 34 taxpayer under the United States Internal Revenue 35 Code, Section 168: 36 5% of the deductions for 3-year proper-(1)37 ty; 38 (2) 15% of the deductions for 5-year prop-39 erty;

Page 5-L.D.

1	(3) 25% of the deductions for 10-year prop-
2	erty; and
3	(4) 40% of the deductions for 15-year prop-
4	erty . ;
5	Sec. 11. 36 MRSA §5206, sub-§3, ¶¶E and F are
6	enacted to read:
7	E. Increased, for taxable years ending in 1984,
8	by the sum of the following portions of the de-
9	ductions allowed for that taxable year to the
10	taxpayer under the United States Internal Revenue
11	Code, Section 168:
12	(1) 2.5% of the deductions for 3-year prop-
13	erty;
14	(2) 7.5% of the deductions for 5-year prop-
15	erty;
16 17	(3) 12.5% of the deductions for 10-year property; and
18	(4) 20% of the deductions for 15-year prop-
19	erty; and
20	F. Decreased, for each of the taxable years end-
21	ing in 1985 through 1987, by 1/3 of the amount by
22	which taxable income was increased for the tax-
23	able year ending in 1984 under paragraph E.
24	STATEMENT OF FACT
25	The bill contains the recommendations of the Re-

view Committee on Tax Conformity established by the First Regular Session of the 111th Legislature to conduct a general review of the issue of statefederal conformity and report its conclusions and recommendations.

This bill provides that the date of reference to the United States Internal Revenue Code be changed to January 31, 1983, with no expiration date. The choice of this date incorporates all federal changes except for taxation of certain Social Security benefits which would not be subject to income taxation at the state level under this bill.

1 This bill provides that, for taxable years ending 2 in 1984, all individual and corporate taxpayers will 3 add-back a portion of their federal Accelerated Cost Recovery System deductions to state taxable income. 4 5 The add-back is 1/2 of the add-back for 1983 and is 6 designed so that the total add-back for corporations is equal to the net loss to the General Fund in 1984 of corporate conformity. In 1985, there would be 7 8 9 full conformity with no add-back required.

10 This bill provides that the add-back of Acceler-11 ated Cost Recovery System deductions for 1984 may be 12 recovered in equal proportions over the 3 years 1985 13 through 1987.

14 It is estimated that enactment of this bill will 15 result in a loss of revenue to the General Fund in 16 fiscal year 1984-85 of \$5,297,400.

17

6519032884

Page 7-L.D.

-21-