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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report documents the review of the Maine child support guidelines. Federal regulation (45 C.F.R. § 302.56(e)) requires states to review their guidelines at least once every four years. The Maine child support guidelines are provided for by state statute (see Maine Revised Statutes (M.R.S.), Title 19-A, Chapter 63, available at: https://legislature.maine.gov/statutes/19-A/title19-Ach63sec0.html). "Support guidelines" are defined by statute as the child support table and the criteria for application of the table (19-A M.R.S. §2006). Child support guidelines apply to any court or administrative proceeding in which a child support order is issued or modified or in which past support is awarded (19-A M.R.S. §2002). State statute (19-A M.R.S. §2011) directs the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) to establish a child support table, which is core to calculating a parent's support obligation. This is done through the DHHS Division of Support Enforcement and Recovery (DSER), which the is child support agency in Maine. Its main office in Augusta and satellite offices located across the state. In all, the table reflects economic data on the cost of raising children. The economic data underlying the table dates back to 2012.

Federal regulation also imposes certain requirements for a state's guidelines review. This is the first review Maine has conducted using the federal requirements added in 2016 (which states had a rolling deadline spanning more than one review to fulfill). ${ }^{1}$ States must:

- Consider economic data on the cost of raising children;
- Analyze case file data (or data collected through another method) to assess how the current guidelines are being applied and the number and types of deviations being granted from those guidelines, to inform appropriate changes to limit deviations;
- Analyze case file data on rates of default, income imputation, and application of the low-income adjustment, and payment data;
- Consider labor market data by occupation and skill level;
- Consider the impact of guidelines policies on low-income families; and
- Consider factors that influence employment rates among parents and compliance with child support orders.

This report demonstrates that Maine has fulfilled these requirements. In addition, this report uses the economic data on the cost of raising children to prepare an updated child support table. Finally, this report compares Maine's approach to adjustments for additional dependents to those of other states.

Findings from the Analysis of Economic Data on the Cost of Raising Children
Since the existing table was developed, a new study of child-rearing expenditures has been published that relies on more current expenditures data. The study was conducted by the same economist using the same methodology to measure child-rearing expenditures as the methodology underlying the current table. The study was used to prepare an updated table. In addition, the proposed updated table considers 2022 federal and state income taxes and FICA (which affect the amount of spendable income available for child-rearing expenditures); 2022 price levels; Maine's most current price parity (because Maine's prices are slightly lower than the national average); and the 2022 federal poverty guidelines, which is used to update the self-support reserve that is part of the low-income adjustment.

[^0]Findings from Analysis of Case File Data
Case file data were also analyzed to fulfill federal data requirements. The analysis considered three different order types: IV-D administrative orders, IV-D court orders, and non-IV-D orders. Maine's guidelines deviation rate ranges from 1 percent among IV-D administrative orders to 16 percent among non-IV-D orders. These rates are below the deviation rates of many states. The low-income adjustment was applied to 2 percent of the IV-D court orders and non-IV-D orders and 16 percent of the IV-D administrative orders. Income imputation was estimated by noting the percentage of obligated parents with full-time, minimum wage earnings. Almost a quarter (24\%) of obligated parents with IV-D court orders and 11 percent of obligated parents with non-IV-D orders had full-time, minimum wage earnings. Income information was not available for IV-D administrative orders. The default rate was measured for IV-D court orders only: it was about 10 percent. Generally, payment outcomes were less among orders adjusted for low-income, and where the obligated parent's income was equivalent to full-time, minimum wage earnings.

Fulfillment of 2016-Expanded Requirements of State Guidelines
The report also considered whether the current Maine guidelines fulfills federal requirements of state guidelines that were added in 2016: consider all income and evidence of ability to pay; consider the basic subsistence needs of obligated parents with limited ability to pay; take into consideration the individual circumstances of the obligated parent when income imputation is authorized; and provide that incarceration is not voluntary unemployment. The existing Maine guidelines appears to comply with the added federal requirement except it does not name the 14 factors to be considered verbatim when income imputation is authorized (e.g., the noncustodial parent's employment and earnings history as well as the local job market). Although in practice, Maine does indeed consider the actual circumstances of the obligated parent when income imputation is authorized. The caveat to this assessment is that the authors of this report do not have the authority to determine compliance with federal regulation. Only the federal Office of Child Support Enforcement does.

Finally, the report compares Maine's adjustment for additional dependents to those of other states. In general, Maine's adjustment is like those of other states: it allows an income deduction for prior child support orders, and it allows an income deduction for theoretical orders for additional dependents who are not covered by a child support order. Some states use birth order or do not make a distinction based on birth order or which order was issued first. Several states prohibit a modification when the only change in circumstance is another additional dependent or another order, yet they allow for their consideration if there is another change in circumstance (e.g., a change in income).

## Conclusion and Recommendations

Updating the table and the self-support reserve is appropriate, given recent inflation changes and better and more current economic data on the cost of raising children.
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## SECTION 1: Introduction

This report documents the review of the Maine child support guidelines. Federal regulation (45 C.F.R. § 302.56(e)) requires states to review their guidelines at least once every four years. The Maine child support guidelines are provided for by state statute (see Maine Revised Statutes (M.R.S.), Title 19-A, Chapter 63, available at: https://legislature.maine.gov/statutes/19-A/title19-Ach63sec0.html). "Support guidelines" are defined by statute as the child support table and the criteria for application of the table (19-A M.R.S. §2006). Child support guidelines apply to any court or administrative proceeding in which a child support order is issued or modified or in which past support is awarded (19-A M.R.S. §2002). State statute (19-A M.R.S. §2011) directs the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) to establish a child support table, which is core to calculating a parent's support obligation. This is done through Maine's child support agency, the DHHS Division of Support Enforcement and Recovery (DSER). DSER's main office is in Augusta with satellite offices located across the state. Exhibit 1 shows an excerpt of the DHHS/DSER-developed table. It reflects the percentage of combined gross income that parents living in the same household in Maine ordinarily spend on their children.

Federal regulation also imposes certain requirements for a state's guidelines review process. (These rules are shown in Exhibit 2 at the end of this section) ${ }^{2}$ The federal requirements expanded in 2016, ${ }^{3}$ so this is the first review Maine has conducted using the expanded requirements. States must:

- Consider economic data on the cost of raising children;
- Analyze case file data (or data collected through another method) to assess how the current guidelines are being applied and the number and types of deviations being granted from those guidelines, to inform appropriate changes to limit deviations;
- Analyze case file data on rates of default, income imputation, and application of the low-income adjustment, and payment data;
- Consider labor market data by occupation and skill level;
- Consider the impact of guidelines policies on low-income families; and
- Consider factors that influence employment rates among parents and compliance with child support orders.

This report demonstrates that Maine has fulfilled these requirements, including those promulgated in 2016. In addition, this report uses the economic data on the cost of raising children to prepare an updated child support table. Finally, this report compares Maine's approach to adjustments for additional dependents to those of other states.

## Maine Children and Child Support

Child support is an important source of income for Maine children. Based on the most recent U.S. Census American Community Survey from 2020, the total population of Maine was $1,362,359$ of which

[^1]250,967 were children. ${ }^{4}$ The 2022 Kids Count Data Book reports several statistics (mostly from 2019) that are relevant to child support.

- The percentage of Maine children living in poverty is 14 percent, while it is 17 percent nationally. ${ }^{5}$
- The percentage of children whose parents lack secure employment is 27 percent in Maine and 26 percent nationally.
- The percentage of Maine children living in single-parent families is 33 percent, while it is 34 percent nationally.
- The percentage of Maine female-headed families receiving child support is 28 percent, while it is 26 percent nationally. ${ }^{6}$
Many Maine families benefit from child support. In federal fiscal year (FFY) 2021, DSER served 38,345 cases, ${ }^{7}$ established 721 support orders, ${ }^{8}$ collected and distributed over $\$ 101$ million in child support, and received payments for 86 percent of the cases under order, significantly more than the national average of 75 percent. In general, these statistics are lower than pre-pandemic amounts both at the state and national level.

Although state data are not available, a 2015 national study found that without child support, the child poverty rate would be 7.0 percentage points higher. ${ }^{9}$ Other national research finds that almost a quarter of nonresidential parents have no or limited reported earnings. ${ }^{10}$ These statistics underscore the need for guidelines to both help lift families out of poverty, and also recognize that low-income parents who are not living with the child may have a limited ability to pay. This is addressed as required by federal and state law in the Self-Support Reserve, described below.

## Overview of the Current Maine Child Support Table

Exhibit 1 shows the core of the child support guidelines, which is a table used to calculate base support. The support award is determined by considering the combined income of the parents and locating the basic obligation for that combined income and number of children for whom support is being determined. For example, if the combined gross income of the parents is $\$ 30,000$ (where the custodial parent's income is $\$ 14,000$ per year and the obligated parent's income is $\$ 16,000$ per year) and there are two children, the basic obligation is $\$ 86$ per child per week. Each parent is responsible for their

[^2]prorated share of the basic obligation. The custodial parent's prorated share is 47 percent ( $\$ 14,000$ divided by $\$ 30,000$ equals $47 \%$ ) and the obligated parent's prorated share is 53 percent ( $\$ 16,000$ divided by $\$ 30,000$ equals $53 \%$ ). Since there are two children, the total basic obligation is $\$ 172$ per week ( $\$ 86$ multiplied by two). The custodial parent's share is $\$ 81$ per week ( $47 \%$ of $\$ 172$ ) and the obligated parent's share is $\$ 91$ per week ( $53 \%$ of $\$ 172$ ). It is presumed that the custodial parent spends their share directly on the child. The obligated parent's share forms the base of the child support calculation. There may be additional adjustments for actual childcare costs or extraordinary medical expenses of the children. There are also supplemental provisions for instances where parents have substantially equal care.

## Basis of Existing Table

The table (Exhibit 1) considers the average cost of raising children among families with similar incomes and family sizes, but excludes childcare expenses, extraordinary medical expenses, and cost of the health insurance premium of the child. The actual amount of these expenses is considered in the guidelines calculation on a case-by-case basis. State law specifies that the table reflect the "percentage of combined gross income that parents living in the same household in this State ordinarily spend on their children" (19-A M.R.S. §2011). As shown in the shaded area, the table also includes a self-support reserve for the obligated parent. Federal law requires states consider the subsistence needs of obligated parents in child support guidelines. The intent of the requirement is to allow the obligated parent to live at least at a subsistence level while making full payment of the guidelines-determined support amount. Maine, like most states, fulfills this requirement through a self-support reserve which is discussed in more detail below.

The existing Maine table relies on a 2006 study of child-rearing expenditures from families surveyed in 1998-2004.11 It was updated in 2012 to include current economic data on some of the factors considered in the table: namely, it was updated to consider current price levels; federal and state income taxes and FICA (which affect the amount of after-tax income available to spend); and the federal poverty guidelines for one person, which is used as a self-support reserve. 12 The table was most recently updated in 2016 using a single tier of child support to cover all children, replacing the agebased, two-tier columns then in use. The single tier system was recommended by consultants during the 2007 and 2012 guidelines reviews as a means to eliminate calculating errors associated with the multitier system, help parents calculate support correctly, and promote judicial economy. The table is updated in this report to consider a more current economic study of child-rearing expenditures (i.e.,

[^3]from 202113), and 2022 price levels, federal and state income taxes and FICA, and uses the 2022 federal poverty guidelines as the basis for the updated self-support reserve.

## Review Process

DSER is spearheading the review with input from the Office of the Attorney General, Child Support Division (OAG). As required by statute (19-A M.R.S. § 2011), the Department will adopt the updated child support table by rule and elect to hold a public hearing pursuant to 5 M.R.S. § 8052(1). The Supreme Judicial Court and other interested parties such as the Family Law Advisory Commission, the Family Law Section of the Maine State Bar Association, Pine Tree Legal Assistance, the Cumberland Legal Aid Clinic, and the Maine Volunteer Lawyers Project will be consulted during the rulemaking process, notified of the public hearing, and encouraged to submit comments on the updated table prior to its adoption by rule. Stakeholders, including low-income custodial and non-custodial parents and their representatives, will have the opportunity to provide comments on the proposed table and to participate in the public hearing. To encourage input, this report will be posted on the Department's website with a link to submit comments and advertised in the local newspaper. Attendees will have the option of participating remotely at the public hearing. ${ }^{14}$

This report will be posted and available on the internet along with the proposed and final rule. The time of adoption will be the date upon which the DHHS Commissioner approves the rule but is expected prior to the end of calendar year 2022. The next quadrennial review of the guidelines will be undertaken in 2026 with new guidelines expected in 2027.

## Organization of Report

Section 2 reviews case file data and labor market data.
Section 3 reviews the current economic data on the cost of childrearing and develops an updating table using more current economic data.

[^4]Section 4 examines the non-data, federal requirements of state guidelines and assesses whether the current Maine guidelines meets them.

Section 5 analyzes the impact of the guidelines and proposed, updated table.

Section 6 provides summary and conclusions.
Appendix A provides technical documentation of the data and steps used to develop the updated table.

Appendix B provides the updated table.
Appendix C provides side-by-side comparisons of the existing to updated table.

Exhibit 1: Excerpt of Current Child Support Table

| MAINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schedule of Basic Child Support Obligation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This table is for children ages $0-18$. * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Or up to 19 years old if the child is still in secondary school. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-Support Reserve (shaded area) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parents' | Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Gross |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $10 \%$ when below poverty guideline |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$12,600 | 28 | 15 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 7 |
| \$13,200 | 32 | 17 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 8 |
| \$13,800 | 42 | 21 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 9 |
| \$14,400 | 52 | 26 | 18 | 15 | 13 | 11 |
| \$15,000 | 62 | 31 | 22 | 17 | 15 | 13 |
| \$15,600 | 68 | 35 | 25 | 19 | 17 | 15 |
| \$16,200 | 72 | 40 | 28 | 23 | 19 | 16 |
| \$16,800 | 74 | 45 | 31 | 25 | 21 | 18 |
| \$17,400 | 76 | 50 | 35 | 27 | 22 | 19 |
| \$18,000 | 79 | 54 | 38 | 29 | 24 | 21 |
| \$18,600 | 81 | 57 | 42 | 32 | 26 | 22 |
| \$19,200 | 83 | 60 | 44 | 34 | 28 | 24 |
| \$19,800 | 84 | 62 | 47 | 36 | 29 | 25 |
| \$20,400 | 86 | 63 | 49 | 37 | 31 | 27 |
| \$21,000 | 88 | 65 | 51 | 39 | 33 | 29 |
| \$21,600 | 90 | 66 | 52 | 42 | 35 | 30 |
| \$22,200 | 93 | 67 | 53 | 44 | 37 | 32 |
| \$22,800 | 95 | 69 | 54 | 45 | 38 | 34 |
| \$23,400 | 96 | 70 | 55 | 46 | 40 | 35 |
| \$24,000 | 98 | 72 | 57 | 47 | 41 | 36 |
| \$24,600 | 100 | 73 | 58 | 48 | 42 | 38 |
| \$25,200 | 102 | 75 | 59 | 49 | 43 | 39 |
| \$25,800 | 104 | 76 | 60 | 49 | 44 | 39 |
| \$26,400 | 106 | 77 | 61 | 50 | 44 | 40 |
| \$27,000 | 108 | 79 | 62 | 51 | 45 | 41 |
| \$27,600 | 110 | 80 | 63 | 52 | 46 | 41 |
| \$28,200 | 112 | 81 | 64 | 53 | 47 | 42 |
| \$28,800 | 114 | 83 | 65 | 54 | 48 | 43 |
| \$29,400 | 116 | 84 | 67 | 55 | 49 | 44 |
| \$30,000 | 118 | 86 | 68 | 56 | 50 | 45 |

## Exhibit 2: Federal Regulations Pertaining to State Child Support Guidelines

## 45 C.F.R. § 302.56 Guidelines for setting child support orders

(a) Within 1 year after completion of the State's next quadrennial review of its child support guidelines, that commences more than 1 year after publication of the final rule, in accordance with $\S 302.56(\mathrm{e})$, as a condition of approval of its State plan, the State must establish one set of child support guidelines by law or by judicial or administrative action for setting and modifying child support order amounts within the State that meet the requirements in this section.
(b) The State must have procedures for making the guidelines available to all persons in the State.
(c) The child support guidelines established under paragraph (a) of this section must at a minimum:
(1) Provide that the child support order is based on the noncustodial parent's earnings, income, and other evidence of ability to pay that:
(i) Takes into consideration all earnings and income of the noncustodial parent (and at the State's discretion, the custodial parent);
(ii) Takes into consideration the basic subsistence needs of the noncustodial parent (and at the State's discretion, the custodial parent and children) who has a limited ability to pay by incorporating a low-income adjustment, such as a self- support reserve or some other method determined by the State; and
(iii) If imputation of income is authorized, takes into consideration the specific circumstances of the noncustodial parent (and at the State's discretion, the custodial parent) to the extent known, including such factors as the noncustodial parent's assets, residence, employment and earnings history, job skills, educational attainment, literacy, age, health, criminal record and other employment barriers, and record of seeking work, as well as the local job market, the availability of employers willing to hire the noncustodial parent, prevailing earnings level in the local community, and other relevant background factors in the case.
(2) Address how the parents will provide for the child's health care needs through private or public health care coverage and/or through cash medical support;
(3) Provide that incarceration may not be treated as voluntary unemployment in establishing or modifying support orders; and
(4) Be based on specific descriptive and numeric criteria and result in a computation of the child support obligation.
(d) The State must include a copy of the child support guidelines in its State plan.
(e) The State must review, and revise, if appropriate, the child support guidelines established under paragraph (a) of this section at least once every four years to ensure that their application results in the determination of appropriate child support order amounts. The State shall publish on the internet and make accessible to the public all reports of the guidelines reviewing body, the membership of the reviewing body, the effective date of the guidelines, and the date of the next quadrennial review.
(f) The State must provide that there will be a rebuttable presumption, in any judicial or administrative proceeding for the establishment and modification of a child support order, that the amount of the order which would result from the application of the child support guidelines established under paragraph (a) of this section is the correct amount of child support to be ordered.
(g) A written finding or specific finding on the record of a judicial or administrative proceeding for the establishment or modification of a child support order that the application of the child support guidelines established under paragraph (a) of this section would be unjust or inappropriate in a particular case will be sufficient to rebut the presumption in that case, as determined under criteria established by the State. Such criteria must take into consideration the best interests of the child. Findings that rebut the child support guidelines shall state the amount of support that would have been required under the guidelines and include a justification of why the order varies from the guidelines.
(h) As part of the review of a State's child support guidelines required under paragraph (e) of this section, a State must:
(1) Consider economic data on the cost of raising children, labor market data (such as unemployment rates, employment rates, hours worked, and earnings) by occupation and skill-level for the State and local job markets, the impact of guidelines policies and amounts on custodial and noncustodial parents who have family incomes below 200 percent of the Federal poverty level, and factors that influence employment rates among noncustodial parents and compliance with child support orders;
(2) Analyze case data, gathered through sampling or other methods, on the application of and deviations from the child support guidelines, as well as the rates of default and imputed child support orders and orders determined using the lowincome adjustment required under paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section. The analysis must also include a comparison of payments on child support orders by case characteristics, including whether the order was entered by default, based on imputed income, or determined using the low-income adjustment required under paragraph (c)(1)(ii). The analysis of the data must be used in the State's review of the child support guidelines to ensure that deviations from the guidelines are limited and guideline amounts are appropriate based on criteria established by the State under paragraph (g); and
(3) Provide a meaningful opportunity for public input, including input from low-income custodial and noncustodial parents and their representatives. The State must also obtain the views and advice of the State child support agency funded under title IV-D of the Act.

This section documents the findings from the analysis of case file data and labor market data, as federally required (see 45 C.F.R. $\S 302.56(\mathrm{~h})$ ). The federal requirements are found above in Exhibit 2.

## Analysis of Case File Data

## Description of the Data

Data used for this analysis came from multiple sources including systems administered by DSER and the OAG. DSER extracted data from: (1) Child Support Enforcement Maine (CSEME), which is the Department's major automated system for tracking IV-D cases that also serves as the central case registry, and (2) RAPID, which is the financial tracking system for the state's disbursement unit. The OAG provided additional data from its system to meet federal analysis requirements relating to income imputation and defaults. The availability of data from CSEME, RAPID, and OAG systems for IV-D cases greatly exceeds the availability of court-supplied data from non-IV-D cases. DSER receives child support order case information from both the Maine Judicial Branch, Family Division, (parental rights and responsibility cases, paternity actions, stand-alone child support cases, divorce, adoption, guardianship, and other family matters) and the sixteen Maine Probate Courts (guardianship and adoption cases). In addition to court procedures to establish child support, the Maine Legislature has provided an alternative method to administratively establish child support (19-A M.R.S. §2304) through the Department. ${ }^{15}$ Cases having administrative orders are by definition IV-D cases, however, not all cases with court orders are IV-D cases. As the central case registry, CSEME system data includes information regarding all Maine cases with a child support order whether they are receiving services from DSER (IV-D cases) or not receiving services from DSER (non-IV-D cases). Since DSER and OAG data includes support information relating to both IV-D and non-IV-D cases, extracted data was divided into those two groups. ${ }^{16}$

DSER provided separate data extracts for IV-D and non-IV-D orders, administrative and court orders, newly established and modified orders, and IV-D payments. In addition, data was extracted for all noninterstate IV-D orders modified or established in federal fiscal year 2018-2019 along with the payment information for those orders during the following year. Interstate orders were excluded from the results because the Maine guidelines do not apply. ${ }^{17}$ The result yielded 1,090 IV-D orders in total for the period. From these, one duplicate was eliminated, and five other cases were excluded because administrative/court status was not clearly indicated in the record. The final data set available for analysis was 735 IV-D court orders and 309 IV-D administrative orders. DSER also extracted 2,098 non-

[^5]IV-D orders over the same period for the analysis. These figures are shown in the heading columns of Exhibit 3.

Exhibit 3: Availability of Key Data Fields Among Analyzed Orders (\% of all analyzed orders)

|  | IV-D Orders |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-IV-D Orders } \\ & \quad(n=2,098) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Court Orders $(n=735)$ | Administrative Orders ( $n=309$ ) |  |
| Guidelines Deviation Information Information Available from CSEME Only Information Available from OAG Only Information Available from Both Sources Missing | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ 0 \% \\ 8 \% \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98 \% \\ 0 \% \\ 2 \% \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 0 \% \\ 0 \% \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Legal Action <br> Paternity and Child Support* <br> New Child Support Order Only Order Modification | $\begin{gathered} 1 \% \\ 77 \% \\ 21 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \\ 82 \% \\ 14 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \% \\ 99 \% \\ 1 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Case Status at Time of Payment Data Pull <br> Closed <br> Open | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ 93 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \% \\ 97 \% \end{gathered}$ | Not available |
| Income Imputed to Obligated Parent** Available/Noted Missing | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ 92 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \% \\ 98 \% \end{gathered}$ | Not available |
| Order Entered by Default** Information Available Missing | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ 92 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \% \\ 98 \% \end{gathered}$ | Not available |
| Whether the Low-Income Adjustment Was Applied** Information Available from CSEME Only Information from OAG Only Information from Both Sources Missing/Not populated | $\begin{gathered} 1 \% \\ 7 \% \\ 1 \% \\ 91 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ 2 \% \\ 0 \% \\ 89 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 0 \% \\ 0 \% \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Order Amount Information Available Missing | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \% \\ & 17 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & 27 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Number of Children Information Available Missing | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \% \\ & 17 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & 27 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Information from Guidelines Calculation Information Available Missing | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \% \\ & 17 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & 27 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \% \\ & <1 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Income Used for the Guidelines Calculation Information Available for Both Parties | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \% \\ & 17 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \% \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \% \\ & <1 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Payment Information <br> Non-Zero Amount Due <br> Zero Due or Missing | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \% \\ & 30 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \% \\ & 34 \% \end{aligned}$ | Not available |


|  | IV-D Orders |  | Non-IV-D Orders <br> $(n=2,098)$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarterly Wage Data Available for Non-Custodial | Court Orders <br> $(n=735)$ | Administrative Orders <br> $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 0 9})$ |  |
| Sample Year Only | $12 \%$ |  |  |
| Payment Year Only | $4 \%$ | $17 \%$ | Not available |
| Both Years | $50 \%$ | $6 \%$ |  |
| Neither | $34 \%$ | $49 \%$ |  |

*The percentage of orders in which paternity and child support were an issue appears to be understated according to DSER and OAG reviewers. It is not clear whether this was a data extract issue.
**The information was manually reviewed for IV-D orders. Only 65 orders from the OAG could be manually matched to the orders pulled from the automated system.

## Available Data Fields and Data Limitations

A common limitation among state IV-D programs is that data required for guidelines reviews differs from data available from automated systems. This is because systems have been designed for case tracking and administration of child support actions and not specifically for answering research questions posed by federal requirements of state guidelines reviews. Apart from guidelines deviations, federal certification of a state automated system does not require state automated systems to have data fields that can be used to answer data analysis requirements added in 2016. At present, very few states have automated systems that track rates of default, income imputation, and application of the low-income adjustment. Other reasons for data unavailability concern timing and the resources necessary to make changes to automated systems. For some states, the deadline for meeting the data requirements is beyond 2025, as they coincide with the state's guidelines review schedule or pandemicrelated extensions. This said, most states are now considering how to add data fields to their automated systems to meet these post-2016 federal review requirements.

Another limitation specific to deviations is a lack of information from the court or hearing officer in administrative proceedings. For example, the order on its face may include inadequate information as to why a deviation was made making it difficult to transfer the information to an automated system. When there is only an audio transcript of the proceeding (which may or may not be available to the IV-D program), or the order consists of several lengthy pages of text, it may be difficult for program staff to locate and enter information. These examples underscore that having correct and corresponding data fields relating to deviations is not enough. Staff must be trained on what to look for in orders and in the record to discern the reason for the deviation, and how to properly enter that data into the automated system.

Regarding this guidelines review, many of the data fields were available but not always consistently populated for every case type (i.e., IV-D administrative, IV-D court, and non-IV-D cases). CSEME tracks deviations, application of the low-income adjustment, and links to payments, but does not explicitly track whether income is imputed to the obligated parent or whether the order was entered by default. To compensate, the OAG has been tracking this information as well as deviations for IV-D court orders. The OAG's office is not involved in administratively established orders, but some administrative orders
become court orders when they are adopted by the court in a subsequent proceeding. The IV-D orders selected for the sample were matched to the OAG tracked orders. The match yielded 65 orders located in both datasets: the vast majority were IV-D court orders, but a few were IV-D administrative orders due to a change in status as described earlier. Maine is exploring ways to obtain the information automatically by adding fields to the DSER automated system or enhancing tracking at the court level.

Payment data, which is also reviewed to meet federal requirements, was likewise limited to payments for IV-D orders, as non-IV-D cases do not generally use the Department's payment processing services.

## Availability of Specific Data Fields

Exhibit 3 explores the extent that key data fields are available by order type. It is organized by the three major order types: IV-D administrative orders, IV-D court orders, and non-IV-D orders. The breakdown between IV-D and non-IV-D orders is 34 percent IV-D and 66 percent non-IV-D. Most (67\%) of IV-D extracted orders were court orders, and 28 percent were administrative orders.

As shown in Exhibit 3, there were several issues with availability of certain data fields. Of all the key fields listed, only deviation and legal action that resulted in a child support order were fully available for all IV-D and non-IV-D orders. Additionally, some of the key fields required for federal analysis (income imputation and default) were only available from the OAG extract. Payment information and quarterly wage data were only available for IV-D orders.

## Data Fields Required to Fulfill Federally Required Analysis

Exhibit 3 also shows the availability of data fields required for federal analysis, including whether there was: a deviation from the guidelines; income imputed to the obligated parent; a low-income adjustment; a default order entered; and whether payment information was available. Deviation information was available from two sources: CSEME and the OAG for IV-D orders when matched. CSEME tracks whether the guidelines were followed or if there was a deviation; specifically, it notes the deviation code. Like most states, it is believed, the deviation field on the state automated system is not always populated. One reason is the worker entering information in the system may not know whether the court or decision maker deviated or the reason. The CSEME worksheet also tracks whether a lowincome adjustment is applied. Default and income imputation are only available from OAG matched orders. Exhibit 3 shows that payment data was available for all analyzed IV-D orders. Most ( $70 \%$ of court IV-D orders and $66 \%$ of administrative IV-D orders) had open cases with an order greater than zero in the year that payment data was analyzed. Payment information would not be available if a case was closed before the payment sample year.

## Other Data Fields

Exhibit 3 also shows the availability of other data fields that often inform the federally required analysis. One data field of particular interest is whether information for the guidelines calculation was stored in CSEME. The guidelines calculation will contain the incomes of the parents and other factors considered in the calculation. Guidelines calculations were provided for 73 percent of IV-D court orders and 83 percent of IV-D administrative orders. An automated guidelines calculation is not made if there is a deviation, particularly to zero because there would be no need to calculate support in this situation. Another issue is that the stored guidelines information varied among IV-D court and IV-D administrative
orders due to differences in the calculator used for the process. The income used was available for all IVD court orders with guidelines calculations, but not for administrative orders. Guidelines calculations, including the incomes used, were available for nearly all (99\%) of non-IV-D orders.

Findings from the Analysis: General Characteristics of Orders, Children, and the Parties

Information on the characteristics of the parties were generally available for IV-D orders but not for non-IV-D orders. Among IV-D orders, the relationship of the parties was analyzed based on the relationship to the youngest child on the order.

Exhibit 4 shows the majority of obligated parties were the child's father and the majority of custodial persons were the child's mother. This pattern was true whether it was an IV-D court or administrative order. Obligated parents averaged in the mid 30's in age, while custodial person averaged older, probably due to inclusion of grandparents and other non-parent custodial persons who dragged the average age up. Seven percent of custodial persons were non-parents.

Exhibit 4: Characteristics of the Parties

|  | IV-D Orders |  | Non-IV-D Orders$(N=2,098)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Court Orders $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Administrative Orders $(\mathrm{N}=309)$ |  |
| Relationship of Obligated Parent to Child (\% or orders) <br> Father <br> Mother <br> Other <br> Missing | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ 23 \% \\ <1 \% \\ 5 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ 13 \% \\ - \\ 10 \% \end{gathered}$ | Not available |
| Relationship of Custodial Person to Child (\% of orders) <br> Father <br> Mother <br> Other Relative <br> Non-relative* <br> Missing | 18\% <br> 57\% <br> 8\% <br> 12\% <br> 5\% | 3\% <br> 65\% <br> 11\% <br> 11\% <br> 10\% | Not available |
| Average Ages <br> Obligated Parent Custodial Person Youngest Child | $\begin{gathered} 36.7 \\ 46.2 \\ 9.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.2 \\ 43.7 \\ 8.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N/A } \\ \text { N/A } \\ 8.1 \end{gathered}$ |
| Incarceration Status of Obligated Parent (\% or orders) <br> Current <br> Known History <br> None known to agency | $\begin{gathered} 1 \% \\ 7 \% \\ 93 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \% \\ 13 \% \\ 85 \% \end{gathered}$ | Not available |

*DSER and OAG reviewers do not observe non-relative custodians more frequently than non-parent relative custodians. The anomalous data findings may result from the use of the relationship data field to note if the IV-D agency is the initiating party, which would produce some anomalies.

Among all IV-D orders, only 1 percent of obligated parents were known to be incarcerated at the time of data extraction, 8 percent had previously been incarcerated, and the majority ( $91 \%$ ) had no known incarceration. Known incarceration was more common among administrative orders than for court orders, with 2 percent of obligated parents currently incarcerated, 13 percent ever incarcerated, and 85 percent without a history of incarceration.

Exhibit 5 shows the public assistance status (i.e., specifically, enrollment in Temporary Assistance to Needy Families- TANF) of analyzed orders. Among all (both court and administrative) IV-D orders, 30 percent were current TANF cases, 1 percent were former TANF cases, 65 percent were never TANF, and 1 percent were Medicaid only. IV-D administrative orders were more likely than IV-D court orders to be current TANF cases, with 45 percent of IV-D administrative orders being current TANF cases, compared to just 24 percent of IV-D court orders. Generally, all current TANF cases are enrolled in Medicaid also. Often, former TANF assistance cases are also enrolled in Medicaid.

Exhibit 5: Public Assistance Status of Cases

|  | IV-D Orders |  | Non-IV-D Orders$(N=2,098)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Court Orders ( $\mathrm{N}=735$ ) | Administrative Orders ( $\mathrm{N}=309$ ) |  |
| Public Assistance Status (\% of orders) <br> Current TANF Assistance <br> Former TANF Assistance <br> Never TANF Assistance <br> Medicaid Only <br> Missing | $\begin{gathered} 24 \% \\ 1 \% \\ 72 \% \\ 2 \% \\ 2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \% \\ 1 \% \\ 50 \% \\ 0 \% \\ 3 \% \end{gathered}$ | Not available |

Exhibit 6 shows other characteristics of the analyzed cases: whether the order was a new establishment or a modification, the number of children on the order, and the region where the order originated. These data fields were only available for some but not all analyzed orders (i.e., $73 \%$ of IV-D court orders and $82 \%$ of IV-D administrative orders). As shown, 71 percent of IV-D court orders and 92 percent of IVD administrative orders were new establishments, and just 29 percent of IV-D court and 8 percent of IVD administrative orders were modifications. Among non-IV-D orders, data is not available to distinguish between modifications and new orders.

Among the IV-D orders with information available, 58 percent of court orders and 70 percent of administrative orders were for one child, 31 percent of court orders and 23 percent of administrative orders were for two children; 7 percent of court and 5 percent of administrative orders were for three children, and 4 percent of court and 2 percent of administrative orders were four children. Among non-IV-D orders, 54 percent were for one child, 34 percent were for two children, 9 percent were for three children, and 3 percent were for four or more children.

Exhibit 6: Additional Selected Characteristics of the Case and Parties

|  | IV-D Orders |  | Non-IV-Orders$(N=2,098)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Court Orders $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=537 \text { ) }$ | Administrative Orders ( $\mathrm{n}=255$ ) |  |
| New Order Establishment or Modification |  |  |  |
| New Establishment | 71\% | 92\% | NA |
| Modification | 29\% | 8\% | NA |
| Number of Children (\% of orders) |  |  |  |
| 1 child | 58\% | 70\% | 54\% |
| 2 children | 31\% | 23\% | 34\% |
| 3 children | 7\% | 5\% | 9\% |
| 4 or more children | 4\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| Region |  | All IV-D |  |
| Augusta | 9\% | administrative | 6\% |
| Bangor | 5\% | orders originate | 7\% |
| Biddeford | 5\% | from the Office | 7\% |
| Lewiston | 10\% | of | 8\% |
| Portland | 10\% | Administrative | 16\% |
| Springvale | 8\% | Hearings in | 7\% |
| All Other Regions* | 47\% | Augusta | 51\% |

*This is the sum of all other regions in which not one region consisted of $5 \%$ or more all orders.

All IV-D administrative orders originate from the Office of Administrative Hearings located in Augusta. Origination data, then, is not useful when considering regional differences in the application of the guidelines for these orders. Among IV-D court orders, about half (53\%) came from larger regions (where larger is defined as $5 \%$ or more of the total order type). Portland and Lewiston each comprised 10 percent of IV-D court orders. Regional distribution was similar among non-IV-D orders, where 16 percent originated from Portland and 8 percent were from Lewiston. All other regions comprise the remaining 51 percent.

## Order Amounts

Maine's order establishment process is geared toward doing as much as possible to engage the obligated parent and to reach agreement between the parties. Consequently, temporary orders are common, mediation is encouraged when the parties do not agree, and orders are often re-entered or the amount is changed, particularly if temporary. Exhibit 7 shows the order amount by the last order entry in the sample selection year, according to information from a CSEME court screen. Since that screen is not available for IV-D administrative orders, the order amount is calculated from the worksheet for IV-D administrative orders by multiplying the per-child amount by the number of children on the worksheet.

Exhibit 7: Weekly Order Amounts (Total for All Children on the Order)

|  | IV-D Orders |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non IV-D } \\ & \text { Orders } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=2,098) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Court Orders } \\ & (N=537) \end{aligned}$ | Administrative Orders <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=253$ ) |  |
| Weekly Order Amount |  |  |  |
| Mean | \$114 | \$137 | \$112 |
| Median | \$100 | \$143 | \$87 |
| Weekly Order Amount (\% of orders) |  |  |  |
| \$0 or no obligation* | 11\% | 16\% | 34\% |
| \$1-\$15 | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| \$16-\$50 | 8\% | 3\% | 6\% |
| \$51-\$75 | 11\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| \$76-\$100 | 22\% | 11\% | 11\% |
| \$101-\$150 | 24\% | 21\% | 15\% |
| \$151-\$200 | 13\% | 22\% | 11\% |
| More than \$200 | 11\% | 22\% | 17\% |

*Orders with no obligation would include suspended orders and orders that established only a debt for prior periods with no ongoing support.

The average order amount among IV-D court orders is slightly lower than the order amounts for administrative orders. The mean and median order amounts for court orders were $\$ 114$ and $\$ 100$, respectively. The average and median order amounts for administrative orders were $\$ 137$ and $\$ 143$, respectively. Orders set at zero or with no obligation make up 11 percent of IV-D court orders and 16 percent of IV-D administrative orders. When zero orders and orders with no obligation are excluded, the mean and median order for IV-D court orders, respectively, were $\$ 128$ and $\$ 112$ per week. For IV-D administrative orders, the average was $\$ 163$ per week and the median was $\$ 156$ per week.

Order amounts were available for all analyzed non-IV-D orders. The average and median order amounts for non-IV-D orders were $\$ 112$ and $\$ 87$, respectively. Zero orders and orders with no obligation made up 34 percent of non-IV-D orders. When zero orders and orders with no obligation were excluded from analysis, the average was $\$ 168$ per week and the median was $\$ 134$ per week.

## Medical Support Orders

Exhibit 8 shows the frequency of orders by type of medical support ordered. Generally, most medical support coverage includes a combination of insurance and the payment of out-of-pocket medical expenses. Among all IV-D orders, 67 percent noted that the obligated parent was responsible for medical support coverage; the custodial parent was responsible in 5 percent of orders; and either or both were specified in 22 percent of orders. Among IV-D court orders, the non custodial parent was responsibile in 53 percent of all orders; the nonobligated or custodial parent was specified in 7 percent; and either or both parties were responsible in 31 percent. Among IV-D administrative orders, the NCP is specified to provide insurance coverage for 98 percent of all orders, and no insurance was ordered for the remaining 2 percent as there was no current support. The trend differed in non-IV-D orders, with the non-custodial parent being obligated in 36 percent of orders, and either or both parents being obligated in 41 percent of orders.

Exhibit 8: Type of Medical Support Coverage (\% of orders)

|  | IV-D Orders |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Non-IV-D Orders |  |  |  |
| (N=2,098) |  |  |  |

*The data presented are based on what is entered on the automated system, which may not reflect the actual order. The policy is to order the noncustodial parent to provide medical support if it is not specified.

## Findings from the Analysis of Automated Guidelines Calculations

Exhibit 9 and 10 examine the factors considered in the guidelines calculation. As discussed earlier, the guidelines calculation was not available for all sampled orders. Exhibit 9 considers income of the parties. Exhibit 10 considers additional expenses.

## Income of the Parties Used for Guidelines Calculations

Income information (from the automated guidelines calculation) is only available for IV-D and non-IV-D court orders. Income is equivalent to full-time, minimum wage in many cases. The 2018 minimum wage was $\$ 10$ per hour and the 2019 minimum wage was $\$ 12$ per hour. This yielded incomes of $\$ 20,800$ or $\$ 22,880$ per year depending on the period. It appears that the 2018 and 2019 minimum wage were also averaged over the sampled period. The obligated parent's income was equivalent to full-time, minimum wage earnings for 24 percent of IV-D court orders. The obligated parent's income was equivalent to fulltime minimum wage earnings for 11 percent of non-IV-D orders. The custodial person's income was equivalent to full-time, minimum wage earnings for 18 percent of IV-D court orders. The custodial parent's income was equivalent to full-time minimum wage earnings for 13 percent of non-IV-D orders. State minimum wage increased to $\$ 12.00$ per hour in 2019 , remained at $\$ 12.00$ per hour in 2021, increased to $\$ 12.15$ per hour in 2021, and increased to $\$ 12.75$ per hour in 2022. ${ }^{18}$

Among both IV-D court orders and non-IV-D orders, custodial persons tended to have lower incomes than obligated parents and were more likely to have their incomes reported as zeros. Among court orders, 14 percent of custodians had zero incomes, compared to just 4 percent of obligated parents.

[^6]Exhibit 9: Incomes Considered in Guidelines Calculation

|  | IV-D Court Orders ( $\mathrm{N}=537$ ) | Non-IV-D Orders $(N=2,096)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Gross Income of Obligated Parent (\% of orders) |  |  |
| \$0 | 4\% | 4\% |
| \$1-20,000 | 11\% | 7\% |
| \$20,001-\$23,000 | 30\% | 15\% |
| \$23,001-\$30,000 | 13\% | 11\% |
| \$30,001 - \$40,000 | 17\% | 16\% |
| \$40,001 - \$50,000 | 11\% | 14\% |
| More than \$50,000 | 14\% | 33\% |
| Annual Gross Income of Custodial Person (\% of orders) |  |  |
| \$0 | 14\% | 5\% |
| \$1-10,000 | 4\% | 3\% |
| \$10,001-\$20,000 | 13\% | 9\% |
| \$20,001-\$23,000 | 25\% | 19\% |
| \$23,001-\$30,000 | 15\% | 15\% |
| \$30,001-\$40,000 | 15\% | 16\% |
| More than \$40,000 | 14\% | 32\% |
| Combined Income (\% of orders) |  |  |
| \$0 | 2\% | 1\% |
| \$1-20,000 | 3\% | 2\% |
| \$20,001-\$30,000 | 9\% | 4\% |
| \$30,001 - \$40,000 | 10\% | 5\% |
| \$40,001-\$50,000 | 21\% | 13\% |
| \$50,001 - \$75,000 | 33\% | 28\% |
| \$75,001 - \$100,000 | 12\% | 18\% |
| More than \$100,000 | 9\% | 30\% |
| Obligated Parent's Share of Combined Income (\% of orders) |  |  |
| 0\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| 1-33\% | 6\% | 8\% |
| 34-40\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| 41 -60\% | 43\% | 45\% |
| 61-67\% | 12\% | 14\% |
| 68\%-100\% | 30\% | 23\% |

Obligated parents generally had a larger share of the combined income than custodial parents. Among IV-D orders, only 15 percent of obligors had a lower share of the combined income than the custodial persons, while 43 percent of obligated parents had an essentially equal share, and 42 percent had a larger share of income. ${ }^{19}$ This was similar among non-IV-D orders, with 18 percent having a lower share than custodians, 45 percent essentially equal, and 37 percent of obligors having a larger share of the combined income than the custodial persons.

[^7]
## Income Deductions for Additional Children

Information about deductions from income was available for all IV-D orders. Some (18\%) obligated parents had income deductions for pre-existing orders and fewer (8\%) obligated parents had income deductions for other children in the home.

## Social Security Disability Benefits Sent Directly to the Child

When an obligated parent is eligible for Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI), the Social Security Administration sends SSDI dependent benefits directly to the custodian of the obligated parent's dependents. SSDI information was available for IV-D and non-IV-D court orders. A small percentage (1\%) of court orders involved situations where the obligated parent's SSDI was sent directly to the custodial person for the benefit of the children.

Additional Support for Childcare and the Cost of the Child's Health Care
Maine provides for the consideration of the actual cost of childcare, the child's health insurance, and the child's extraordinary medical expenses on a case-by-case basis. These expenses are not included in the child support table. Exhibit 10 shows adjustments for these expenses were applied infrequently in the guidelines calculation. In fact, the child's extraordinary medical expenses were not considered in any guidelines calculation. Other states also find that extraordinary medical expenses are considered infrequently (approximately in 1\% of a state's analyzed orders) probably because they would have to be recurring and exceed $\$ 250$ per child per year to be included in the order amount. Non-recurring, extraordinary medical expenses (e.g., the cost of unexpected ambulance ride) may be shared between the parents, particularly if there is a medical child support provision for uncovered expenses. (Medical child support provisions were discussed previously.)

The actual cost of the child's health insurance was considered in 4 percent of IV-D court orders, 7 percent of administrative orders, and 15 percent of non-IV-D orders. Actual childcare expenses were considered for 5 percent of IV-D court orders, 10 percent of IV-D administrative orders, and 28 percent of non-IV-D orders. When health insurance information was available, the obligated parent's share averaged $\$ 48$ per week among IV-D court orders and $\$ 54$ per week among non-IV-D orders. When information about childcare expenses was available, the obligated parent's share averaged \$145 and $\$ 118$ per week among IV-D and non-IV-D court orders, respectively. When available, the average childcare cost was $\$ 68$ per week for IV-D administrative orders. When available, the average health insurance cost was \$31 per week for IV-D administrative orders.

Exhibit 10: Frequencies that Childcare and the Child's Health Insurance Are Considered in Order Calculation

|  | IV-D Orders |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-IV-D } \\ & \text { Orders } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{0 9 8}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Court Orders } \\ & (N=537) \end{aligned}$ | Administrative Orders <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=255$ ) |  |
| Cost of Child's Health Insurance Considered (\% of orders) <br> Yes, Paid by Custodial Parent <br> Yes, Paid by Obligated Parent <br> No | $\begin{gathered} 2 \% \\ 2 \% \\ 96 \% \end{gathered}$ | 7\% (unspecified by which parent) 93\% | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ 8 \% \\ 85 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Childcare Expenses Considered (\% of orders) Yes, Paid by Custodial Parent Yes, Paid by Obligated Parent | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \\ 1 \% \\ 95 \% \end{gathered}$ | 10\% (unspecified by which parent) 90\% | $\begin{gathered} 21 \% \\ 7 \% \\ 72 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Child's Extraordinary Medical Expenses (\% of orders) Yes, Paid by Custodial Person Yes, Paid by Obligated Parent | $\begin{gathered} - \\ -- \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -- \\ -- \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <1 \% \\ & <1 \% \\ & 99 \% \end{aligned}$ |

Federally Required Analysis
Federal regulation (C.F.R. § 302.56(h)(2)) requires the analysis of rates of income imputation, default orders, deviations, and application of the low-income adjustment. Federal regulation further requires the analysis of payments by these factors.

## Guidelines Deviations

Federal regulation ( 45 C.F.R § 302.56 (h)(2)) requires each state to have a statewide, rebuttable presumptive guidelines. In other words, the guidelines must be presumptively applied to all orders being set in the state but may be rebutted based on state-determined deviation criteria that consider the best interest of the child. Exhibit 11 shows Maine's deviation criteria.

## Exhibit 11: Maine's Deviation Criteria

## Maine Revised Statutes Title 19-A, Domestic Relations. Part 3, Chapter 63

§2007. Deviation from child support guidelines

1. Rebutting presumption. If the court or hearing officer finds that a child support order based on the support guidelines would be inequitable or unjust due to one or more of the considerations listed under subsection 3 , that finding is sufficient to rebut the presumption established in section 2005.
2. Proposed findings. A party in a court action proposing deviation from the application of the support guidelines shall provide the court with written proposed findings showing that the application of the presumptive amount would be inequitable or unjust.
3. Criteria for deviating from support guidelines. Criteria that may justify deviation from the support guidelines are as follows:
A. The application of section 2006, subsection 5, paragraph D or D-1 would be unjust, inequitable or not in the child's best interest;
B. The number of children for whom support is being determined is greater than 6;
C. The interrelation of the total support obligation established under the support guidelines for child support, the division of property and an award of spousal support made in the same proceeding for which a parental support obligation is being determined;
D. The financial resources of each child;
E. The financial resources and needs of a party, including nonrecurring income not included in the definition of gross income;

## Maine Revised Statutes Title 19-A, Domestic Relations. Part 3, Chapter 63

F. The standard of living each child would have enjoyed had the marital relationship continued;
G. The physical and emotional conditions of each child;
H. The educational needs of each child;
I. Inflation with relation to the cost of living;
J. Available income and financial contributions of the domestic associate or current spouse of each party;
K. The existence of other persons who are actually financially dependent on either party, including, but not limited to, elderly, disabled or infirm relatives, or adult children pursuing post-secondary education. If the primary care provider is legally responsible for another minor child who resides in the household and if the computation of a theoretical support obligation on behalf of the primary care provider would result in a significantly greater parental support obligation on the part of the nonprimary care provider, that factor may be considered;
L. The tax consequences if the obligor is awarded any tax benefits. In determining the allocation of tax exemptions for children, the court may consider which party will have the greatest benefit from receiving the allocation
N . The fact that income at a reasonable rate of return may be imputed to nonincome-producing assets with an aggregate fair market value of $\$ 10,000$ or more, other than an ordinary residence or other asset from which each child derives a substantial benefit;
O. The existence of special circumstances regarding a child 12 years of age or older, for the child's best interest, requires that the primary residential care provider continue to provide for employment-related day care;
P. An obligor party's substantial financial obligation regarding the costs of transportation of each child for purposes of parent and child contact. To be considered substantial, the transportation costs must exceed $15 \%$ of the yearly support obligation; and
Q. A finding by the court or hearing officer that the application of the support guidelines would be unjust, inappropriate or not in the child's best interest.

Exhibit 12 shows the deviation rate and the frequency of deviations by reason. The deviation rate was 5 percent overall for IV-D orders ( $7 \%$ for court orders, and $1 \%$ for administrative orders) and 16 percent for non-IV-D orders. In contrast, the deviation rate noted from OAG manual check of 65 IV-D court orders was 6 percent.

Exhibit 12: Guidelines Deviation Rate and Reasons

|  | IV-D Orders |  | Non-IV-D Orders |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Court Orders | Administrative Orders |  |
| Guidelines Deviation (\% of analyzed orders) | $\mathrm{N}=735$ | N=309 | $\mathrm{N}=2,098$ |
| No | 93\% | 99\% | 84\% |
| Yes | 7\% | 1\% | 16\% |
| Reason for Guidelines Deviation (\% of deviations) | $\mathrm{n}=48$ | $\mathrm{n}=3$ | $\mathrm{N}=329$ |
| Not in Best Interest of Child | 42\% | 33\% | 31\% |
| Substantially Equal Care of Child | 17\% | 33\% | 28\% |
| Financial Resources of Parent or Guardian | 23\% | 33\% | 25\% |
| Cost of Transportation | 10\% | - | 5\% |
| Shared Parenting | - | - | 3\% |
| Inequitable Division of Spouse Support | - | - | 2\% |
| Financial Resource of Child | 2\% | - | 1\% |
| Cost of Living | - | - | 1\% |
| Standard of Living of the Child | 2\% | - | 1\% |
| Other | 4\% | - | 3\% |

Exhibit 13 describes Maine's low-income adjustment. It consists of two parts: a minimum order of 10 percent of the gross income of the nonprimary care provider (the obligated parent) whose annual gross income is less than the federal poverty guidelines (FPG) for one person. The second part incorporates a self-support reserve (SSR) into the schedule in the shaded area. This was shown earlier in Exhibit 1.

Exhibit 13: Maine's Low-Income Adjustment

## Maine Revised Statutes Title 19-A, Domestic Relations. Part 3, Chapter 63


#### Abstract

§2006. 5.C. The subsistence needs of the nonprimary care provider must be taken into account when establishing the parental support obligation. If the annual gross income of the nonprimary care provider is less than the federal poverty guideline, the nonprimary care provider's weekly parental support obligation may not exceed $10 \%$ of the nonprimary care provider's weekly gross income, regardless of the amount of the parties' combined annual gross income. The child support table includes a self-support reserve for obligors earning $\$ 22,800$ or less per year. If, within an age category, the nonprimary care provider's annual gross income, without adjustments, in the self-support reserve for the total number of children for whom support is being determined, the amount listed in the self-support reserve for the total number of children for whom support is being determined, the amount listed in the self-support reserve multiplied by the number of children in the age category is the nonprimary care provider's support obligation for the children in that age category, regardless of the parties; combined annual gross income. The nonprimary care provider's proportional share of childcare, health insurance premiums and extraordinary medical expenses are added to this basic support obligation. This paragraph does not apply if its application would result in a greater support obligation than a support obligation determined without application of this paragraph.


There are checkboxes on the guidelines worksheets to note whether either part of the low-income adjustment is applied-that is, whether the minimum order of 10 percent is applied because the income of the obligated parent is below poverty; or, the order is based on the shaded area of the table that is adjusted for the SSR. Exhibit 14 shows that the minimum order was the basis for 1 percent of the IV-D court orders, 9 percent of the IV-D administrative orders, and 1 percent of the non-IV-D orders. The SSR calculation was checked in 1 to 2 percent of orders depending on whether it was an IV-D or non-IV-D court order or administrative order. The low application rates may result from the full-time, minimum wage earnings in the sample years, about $\$ 20,800$ to $\$ 22,880$ per year (which is a common amount for which income would be imputed), are incomes above where the self-support reserve is applied. Another possible reason for the low rates is that the checkboxes may not have always been populated when applicable. ${ }^{20}$ Based on the IV-D court orders in which income data were available, the minimum order should have been applied to 6 percent of the IV-D court orders and another 3 percent should have had been eligible for the SSR-adjusted area of the table.

[^8]Exhibit 14: Application of the Low-Income Adjustment

|  | IV-D Orders |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-IV-D } \\ & \text { Orders } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{0 9 8}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Court Orders $(\mathrm{N}=537)$ | Administrative Orders <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=255$ ) |  |
| Low-Income Adjustment (\% of All Orders) | $\mathrm{N}=537$ | $\mathrm{N}=255$ | $\mathrm{N}=2,098$ |
| Minimum Order/Below Poverty Calculation Checked | 1\% | 9\% | 1\% |
| Self-Support Calculation Checked | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| No Adjustment Checked | 98\% | 89\% | 98\% |

Another data source of application of the low-income adjustment was the IV-D court orders reviewed through the OAG. The low-income adjustment was applied to 3 percent of the OAG-reviewed orders. OAG did not discern between the minimum order and the self-support reserve adjustment when noting the low-income adjustment was applied.

## Income Imputation

Across the nation, it is common practice to use full-time minimum wage earnings as an imputed income amount. As mentioned in the subsection addressing income, many parents had income equivalent to full-time, minimum wage earnings.

- The obligated parent's income was equivalent to full-time, minimum wage earnings for 24 percent of IV-D court orders.
- The obligated parent's income was equivalent to full-time minimum wage earnings for 11 percent of non-IV-D orders.
- The custodial person's income was equivalent to full-time, minimum wage earnings for 18 percent of IV-D court orders.
- The custodial parent's income was equivalent to full-time minimum wage earnings for 13 percent of non-IV-D orders.

The data were insufficient to know whether these were actual incomes or imputed incomes. Also, these statistics do not capture income imputed at something other than full-time, minimum wage. The OAG found that income was imputed to 74 percent of the obligors in the cases they used.

## Default Orders

CSEME does not track whether an order was entered through a default judgment. The default rate among OAG-reviewed cases was just below 10 percent. This is low compared to many other states. It undoubtedly reflects Maine's process, which takes extra steps to engage parents through the use of continuances, mediation, and other tools.

## Analysis of Quarterly Wage Data

Exhibit 15 shows the availability of quarterly wage data. States collect quarterly wage data from employers for the purpose of state unemployment and worker compensation programs. The information is shared with the child support agency to assist with identifying employment. If there is no
quarterly wage data, the parent may not be employed, self-employed, be working in another state, have income other than wages, work for an employer who does not have to contribute to the state unemployment/workers compensation program because they have their own programs (e.g., railroads) or for another reason or they are trying to avoid the state payroll tax. In short, no quarterly wage data means there isn't an employer reporting it to the State. This does not mean the parent doesn't have income available for child support.

Exhibit 15: Availability of Quarterly Wage Data

|  | IV-D Orders |  | Non-IV-D |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orders |  |  |  |
| N=2,098 |  |  |  |

Quarterly wage data was not typically available for each quarter within a calendar year. An estimated annual amount was calculated from the quarters that were available. The estimated median income was about $\$ 24,000$ per year for obligated parents regardless of whether it was for FY 2019 or 2020. A comparison of the two amounts where both quarterly wage income and guidelines income was available found that the quarterly wage data suggested a much lower income than what was used in the guidelines calculation for about 65 percent of the obligors. In addition to all the factors noted above affecting the reliability of quarterly wage data as andication of total income, there were other sources of income that were not included in the quarterly wage data (i.e., federal unemployment supplemental benefits.)

## Analysis of Payments

Federal regulation (45 C.F.R. § $302.56(\mathrm{~h})(2)$ ) requires the analysis of payment data. Payment data was tracked for FY 2020, which is the year after the order was established or modified. Exhibit 16 shows the number of orders available for payment data analysis. It also shows that most ( $83 \%$ of IV-D court orders and $79 \%$ of IV-D administrative orders) had some sort of payment in the year examined.

Exhibit 17 shows the payment patterns for all non-zero orders. As evident in the exhibit, IV-D court orders typically had better payment patterns than administrative orders, but the difference was not statistically different for most payment measures.

Exhibit 16: Number of Orders Owing Support in Payment Sample Year

|  | IV-D Orders |  | Non-IV-D |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orders |  |  |  |

Exhibit 17: Selected Payment Measures

|  | IV-D Orders |  | Non-IV-D <br> Orders $N=2,098$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Court Orders $(\mathrm{N}=408)$ | Administrative Orders <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=174$ ) |  |
| Total Paid in CY2020 | $\mathrm{N}=408$ | $\mathrm{N}=174$ | Not Available |
| Average | \$3,702 | \$3,330 |  |
| Median | \$3,076 | \$2,539 |  |
| Percentage of Current Support Paid | $\mathrm{N}=408$ | $\mathrm{N}=174$ | Not Available |
| Average | 62\% | 56\% |  |
| Median | 71\% | 69\% |  |
| Approximate Number of Months with Payment | $\mathrm{N}=265$ | $\mathrm{N}=91$ | Not Available |
| Average | 7.5 | 6.4 |  |
| Median | 8.0 | 6.0 |  |

The analysis of payments data when the low-income adjustment was applied, income was imputed to the obligated parent, and among default orders was limited for various reasons. One of the major reasons was the small sample size where information was available for these factors and there were payments. For example, less than 20 orders had information about whether there was a low-income adjustment and payment data from the state automated system. Payment data was also matched to the OAG-reviewed orders. Among those, 78 percent made any payments. The average compliance rate was 57 percent, and the average amount paid was $\$ 3,545$ annually. Among default orders, the average compliance rate was 51 percent with an average total payment of $\$ 2,502$ annually. Among those with imputed income, the average compliance rate was 61 percent and the average total payment was $\$ 3,637$ annually.

## Findings from Analysis of Labor Market Data

Federal regulation (45 C.F.R. § $302.56(\mathrm{~h})(1)$ ) requires the consideration of:
. . . labor market data (such as unemployment rates, employment rates, hours worked, and earnings) by occupation and skill-level for the State and local job markets, the impact of
guidelines policies and amounts on custodial and noncustodial parents who have family incomes below 200 percent of the Federal poverty level, and factors that influence employment rates among noncustodial parents and compliance with child support orders . . .

The primary data sources for this section include the Maine Center for Workforce Research and Information ${ }^{21}$ and U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

The review of labor market data appears to be aimed at informing recommendations for guidelines provisions for income imputation and low-income adjustments. Recent national research found that over one-third ( 35 percent) of nonresidential parents not living with one or more of their children under age 21 had incomes below 200 percent of poverty. ${ }^{22}$ These low-income nonresident parents were more likely to not work full-time and year-round than moderate- and higher-income nonresident parents were. About a quarter ( 27 percent) of low-income, nonresidents parents worked full-time year-round compared to 73 percent of moderate- and higher-income nonresident parents. An examination of labor market data helps inform why this occurs.

Further, one of the new federal requirements concerns considering the individual circumstances of the obligated parent when income imputation is authorized. This typically includes consideration of the employment opportunities available to the parent given local labor market conditions. Since labor market conditions may change more frequently than every four years, which is the minimum amount of time in which a state's guidelines must be reviewed, it also makes sense to simply adopt the federal language about considering employment opportunities available to a parent given local labor market conditions.

## Unemployment and Employment Rates and Labor Force Participation

The official measurement of unemployment, known as U-3, includes "all jobless persons who are available to take a job and have actively sought work in the past four weeks." ${ }^{23}$ It is measured as a percentage of those in the civilian labor force, which includes employed and unemployed individuals. ${ }^{24}$ To be employed: a person must have worked at least one hour as a paid employee or self-employed or been temporarily absent from their job or business or met other criteria. "Actively seeking work" means contacting an employer about a job opportunity, submitting a job application or resume, using an employment service, or a similar activity. Persons not in the labor force may not want a job, are not currently available for work, or available for work but have not looked in the last four weeks and may be "discouraged worker" (i.e., do not believe a job exists).

As of December 2021, the U.S. unemployment rate was 3.9 percent while Maine's unemployment rate was 4.7 percent. The unemployment rate varied by county. The lowest rate was 3.2 percent in Sagadahoc County and the highest rate was 5.6 percent in Somerset County. All rates are lower than

[^9]their April 2020 high, which occurred during the COVID-19 pandemic quarantine. The U.S. unemployment rate was 14.8 percent then and Maine's unemployment rate was 9.1 percent. According to data from the Maine Center for Workforce Research and Information, the Maine unemployment rate varies by several factors. ${ }^{25}$ The statistics reflect the most recent year for which data were available.

- Industry. In 2020, the leisure and hospitality industry had the highest unemployment rate (11.7\%), while financial activities had the lowest unemployment rate (1.2\%).
- Occupation. In 2020, the unemployment rate was highest among transportation and material moving occupations (9.3\%) and lowest in construction and extraction (5.2\%).

The leisure and hospitality industry was hardest hit by the pandemic in Maine and the nation. There were over 30,000 leisure and hospitality jobs lost in Maine within the first few months of the pandemic. ${ }^{26}$ The second and third largest hits were in the retail trade sector and health care and social assistance sector. Since then, all three industries have at least partially gained jobs. Female workers held the majority of jobs lost in each of the three sectors. Job losses varied by highest educational attainment: losses were greater for those with lower educational attainment than higher educational attainment. ${ }^{27}$

The Maine Center for Workforce Research and Information investigated the outcomes of about 60,000 Maine workers claiming unemployment due to the pandemic in $2020 .{ }^{28}$ It found by the next year, 49 percent had returned to the same employer, 15 percent worked for a new employer, 16 percent continued to file for unemployment compensation, and the status of the remaining 20 percent was not reported.

## Labor Force Participation

The Maine civilian labor force consisted of 677,134 workers as of December 2021. The labor force participation rate was 61.9 percent for the U.S. and 60.1 percent for Maine as of December 2021. Labor force participation has declined since the pandemic began nationally and in Maine. Maine's labor participation rate was 63.1 percent in 2018. The decrease has been greater for male workers than female workers in Maine. Maine labor force participation dropped from 67.2 percent in 2018 to 63.6 percent in 2021 for male workers and from 59.2 percent to 57.6 percent for female workers over the same period.

In general, labor force participation rates plummeted at the beginning of the pandemic and have not rebounded fully. A U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics study found that about 7 percent of those not in the

[^10]labor force nationally as of July 2022 were prevented from looking for work because of the pandemic. ${ }^{29}$ Other studies find the rebound rates vary by age. For example, workers of retirement age have not returned to the labor force, but very young workers have. ${ }^{30}$ In fact about half of the decline nationally in the labor force is among workers of 55 years of age.

A Brookings Institute report suggests that women dropped out of the labor force to care for young children during the pandemic. ${ }^{31}$ The report found a 6 percent drop in the participation rate among women with young children while the drop was only 4 percent among women and men without young children. It also found some but a modest association between decreases in female labor force participation and the share of children in virtual or hybrid schooling in any given state. A Federal Reserve study estimates that one-third of the overall decline in the labor force participation rate during the pandemic is attributable to caretaking, but not always parents caretaking their own minor children. ${ }^{32}$

The relevance to child support is whether these are valid reasons not to presume a non-employed parent can work and hence not impute income to that parent. Some state guidelines actually have provisions that address extreme circumstances that share some similarities to the pandemic. For example, the Louisiana guidelines specifically mention that a party temporarily unable to find work or temporarily forced to take a lower-paying job as a direct result of Hurricanes Katrina or Rita shall not be deemed voluntarily unemployed or underemployed. ${ }^{33}$ Similarly, in the circumstances to be considered to ensure that the obligated parent is not denied a means of self-support or a subsistence level, the Indiana guidelines provide for the consideration of "a natural disaster." ${ }^{34}$

## Other Employment Measures

The unemployment rates above reflect the official unemployment rate (the U-3 measurement), which only measures the total percentage of the civilian labor force that is unemployed. The U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, however, has developed alternative measures that better reflect all persons who are unemployed, including those who are marginally attached workers (i.e., those who want to work but are discouraged and not looking) and workers employed part-time but who would work full-time if they could. The average Maine unemployment rate in 2021, according to this measure (called the U-6), is 7.3 percent, which is lower than the national rate of 9.4 percent. 35

[^11]Hours Worked and Income Imputation
Hours worked has been used to inform income imputation policies. For example, South Dakota used labor market data on hours worked to reduce the presumption of a 40-hour workweek when imputing income since labor market data indicates South Dakota workers usually work 35 hours per week. As of December 2021, the average weekly work hours in Maine private industries was 34.3 hours. ${ }^{36}$ However, it varied by industry and region:

- Construction: 34.3 hours;
- Manufacturing: 40.3 hours;
- Private service-providing: 33.2 hours;
- Trade, transportation, and utilities: 34.2 hours;
- Professional and business services: 35.5 hours;
- Education and health services: 33.5 hours;
- Leisure and hospitality: 25.2 hours;
- Goods-producing: 40.0 hours;
- Lewiston-Auburn Metro: 36.0 hours;
- Bangor Metro: 34.7 hours; and
- Portland-South Portland Metro: 34.7 hours.

Factors Affecting Full-Time, Year-Round Work Among Low-Wage Earners There are many factors that contribute to the lack of full-time, year-round work. Some pertain to the employability of a parent, and other factors pertain to the structure of low-wage employment. A national study found that the highest educational attainment of 60 percent of the low-income, nonresident parents was a high school degree or less. ${ }^{37}$ Obligated parents also face other barriers to employment. A multisite national evaluation of obligors in a work demonstration program provides some insights on this. ${ }^{38}$ It found that 64 percent of program participants had at least one employment barrier that made it difficult to find or keep a job. Common employment barriers consisted of problems getting to work ( 30 percent), criminal records ( 30 percent), and lack of a steady place to live ( 20 percent). Other employment barriers noted not having the skills sought by employers, taking care of other family members, health issues, and alcohol or drug problems. Many of the participants also cited mental health issues, but few noted it as being a major barrier to employment.

Low-wage jobs do not always provide consistent hours week to week or an opportunity to work every week of the year. This causes uncertain and inconsistent income, which can affect child support compliance. Over half ( 58 percent) of national workers are paid hourly. ${ }^{39}$ In Maine, the percentage was

[^12]53 percent in 2018. ${ }^{40}$ As mentioned previously, the usual weekly hours are considerably less in some industries (e.g., leisure and hospitality). A Brookings Institute study defines vulnerable workers as those earning less than median earnings and having no healthcare benefits. ${ }^{41}$ Most vulnerable workers are concentrated in the hospitality, retail, and healthcare sectors. There is considerable turnover in some of these industries. For example, the leisure and hospitality industry has an annual quit rate of 55.4 percent and a 21.5 percent annual rate of layoffs and discharges. ${ }^{42}$ High levels of turnover contribute to periods of non-work that can depress earnings.

The lack of healthcare benefits also contributes to fewer hours, fewer weeks worked, and voluntary and involuntary employment separations. Only one-third of workers in the lowest 10th percentile of wages have access to paid sick time, compared to 78 percent among all civilian workers. ${ }^{43}$ For those with access to paid sick time, the average is eight days per year. Similarly, those in the lowest 10th percentile of wages are less likely to have access to paid vacation time: 40 percent have access, compared to 76 percent of all workers. Those with paid vacation time have an average of 11 days per year. Without paid sick time or vacation time, a worker may terminate employment voluntarily or be involuntary terminated when the worker needs to take time off due to an illness or to attend to personal matters. If a parent without access to paid sick time and paid vacation time did not work for 19 days (which is the sum of the average number of paid sick days and paid vacation days), they would miss about four weeks of work throughout the year.

Another indicator of the economic challenges of low-wage parents is the percentage of households that cannot cover a $\$ 400$ emergency expense. A Federal Reserve survey finds that 36 percent of households could not cover a $\$ 400$ emergency expense in $2020 .{ }^{44}$ Although the Federal Reserve survey does not specifically address child support debt and considers all households and not just those where a household member owes child support, it is a salient finding when considering low-income obligated parents in a vulnerable labor market where automated child support enforcement actions (e.g., driver's license and professional license suspension) are triggered when child support is 30 days past due. ${ }^{45}$ The $\$ 400$ level in the Federal Reserve study is less than some child support orders.

[^13]Low-Skilled Jobs and Employment Opportunities
Low-skilled occupations are generally considered occupations that require a high school education or below and little experience and training. Exhibit 18 shows the six major occupational categories in Maine as of 2020 and their median wage and wage at the $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile. Some of the occupations are low pay (e.g., food preparation and serving related occupations). The $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile can be viewed as the likely entry-level wage. The median wage of food preparation and serving related occupations was $\$ 12.99$ per hour in 2020 while the $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile wage was $\$ 12.17$ per hour. Maine's minimum wage was $\$ 12.00$ per hour in 2020 and is $\$ 12.75$ per hour in 2022.

Exhibit 18: Wages and Prevalence of Selected Occupations in Maine in 2020

|  | Estimated <br> Employment | Median Wage | $25^{\text {th }}$ Percentile |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food Preparation and Serving Related Occupations | 46,760 | $\$ 12.99$ | $\$ 12.17$ |
| Transportation and Material Moving Occupations | 47,720 | $\$ 16.44$ | $\$ 13.20$ |
| Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations | 41,040 | $\$ 32.56$ | $\$ 24.69$ |
| Education Instruction and Library Occupations | 38,640 | $\$ 22.71$ | $\$ 17.05$ |
| Healthcare Support Occupations | 34,540 | $\$ 14.93$ | $\$ 13.14$ |
| Production Occupations | 34,150 | $\$ 19.50$ | $\$ 15.22$ |

Source: Maine Center for Workforce Research and Information

## Factors that Influence Employment Rates and Compliance

Federal regulation requires the consideration of factors that influence employment rates and compliance. Based on the analysis of IV-D case file data, obligated parents who worked for an employer who reported quarterly wage data in the year that the order was established or modified were no longer employed in the next year (i.e., 6\% of all obligated parents with analyzed IV-D court orders fit into this category as well as $12 \%$ of obligated parents with IV-D administrative orders.) Data are insufficient to determine whether child support was a factor contributing to these obligated parents losing or quitting a job. There is some older academic research, however, that finds child support can affect employment among obligated parents. ${ }^{46}$ Another study finds some weak association of changes in father's earnings with changes in orders among fathers in couples that had their first child support ordered in 2000. ${ }^{47}$ Further, there are many anecdotes of obligated parents who quit working or turn to unreported employment (also called the underground economy) once wages are garnished for child support.

These studies are of limited value for this analysis because they are dated (hence do not consider today's labor market and child support enforcement practices) and not specific to Maine. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on employment may also overshadow other factors. Another issue is that

[^14]opportunities for income from unreported employment are rapidly changing and even more difficult to research. It is becoming more common to have multiple jobs where one may be unreported employment and the other may be reported employment. Still, more mechanisms are being developed to facilitate the reporting of gig economy jobs (e.g., drivers for ridesharing). The earnings from unreported employment are often sporadic and yield inconsistent earnings. This exacerbates any attempt to study them within a short period.

## Section 3: Cost of Raising Children and Table Update

Child support tables and formulas are part policy and part economic data. Most state guidelines, including Maine's guidelines, rely on a study of child-rearing expenditures as the underlying basis of their child support table or formula. Federal regulation (45 C.F.R. § 302.56 (h)(1)) requires states to consider economic data on the cost of raising children as part of a state's child support guidelines review. The existing Maine table relies on a 2006 study of child-rearing expenditures from families surveyed in 1998-2004. ${ }^{48}$ It was last updated in 2012 to consider more current economic data on some of the factors considered in table: namely, it was updated to consider current price levels; federal and state income taxes and FICA (which affect the amount of after-tax income available to spend) and the federal poverty guidelines for one person, which is used as a self-support reserve. ${ }^{49}$

This section documents more current economic studies on the cost of raising children and uses a more current study on child-rearing expenditures to update the Maine child support table. This section documents all major data sources, assumptions and steps used to develop an updated table. More technical detail is provided in Appendix A. Appendix B provides the updated table.

## Key Assumptions of Updated Table

The key economic data and assumptions underlying the updated table are summarized below. Each is discussed in more detail in the next section.

- There are no significant changes in the underlying policy principles and guidelines model- that is, the updated Maine guidelines continue to rely on the income shares model as have prior Maine guidelines.
- The table is based on the 2021 Betson-Rothbarth (BR) measurements of child-rearing expenditures estimated from families participating in the 2013-2019 Consumer Expenditure (CE) survey. ${ }^{50}$
- Since the BR measurements are based on U.S. average data, they are reduced to account for Maine's below average incomes/prices.
- For the purposes of developing a table, the BR measurements are updated to June 2022 price levels.

[^15]- The table does not include childcare expenses, the cost of the child's health insurance premium; and the extraordinary, unreimbursed medical expenses of the child. The guidelines consider the actual amounts expended for these items on a case-by-case basis. Specifically, each parent is responsible for his or her prorated share of actual expenses.
- The BR measurements of child-rearing expenditures are expressed as a percentage of total family expenditures and are converted to gross income for guidelines purposes. The conversion considers federal and state income tax rates and FICA in 2022.
- The schedule is based on the average of all expenditures on children from ages 0 through 17 years. There is no adjustment for the child's age.
- The table incorporates a self-support reserve for low-income obligors based on the 2022 federal poverty guidelines for one person.


## Overview of Economic Studies

Several different methodologies are used to estimate the cost of raising children. A methodology is necessary because the cost of raising children must be separated from other expenditures in the household, particularly since many items (e.g., a loaf a bread and electricity for the house) may be consumed by all residents in the household, not just by the children. Further, there are two major types of studies on the economic cost of children: the cost of providing the basic or minimum needs of households with children; ${ }^{51}$ and, studies that try to estimate what families across a range of incomes (including middle- and higher-income families) actually spend on children. Most state guidelines, including the Maine guidelines, rely on studies estimating child-rearing expenditures for a range of incomes. This is because the premise of most state guidelines is that children should share in the lifestyle afforded by their parents-that is, if the obligated parent's income affords the obligated parent a higher standard of living, the support order should also be more for that higher-income parent. Basing a child support table or formula on the cost of the basic needs of the child would be inadequate for figuring out what an obligated parent who can afford a lifestyle above subsistence can afford in child support.

There are several methodologies for estimating how much families actually spend on child. Most measurements of child-rearing expenditures underlying state child support guidelines, including the Maine guidelines, are estimated using the "Rothbarth" methodology. Maine, 30 other states, the District of Columbia, and Guam base their child support guidelines on a Rothbarth estimator of child-rearing expenditures. The Rothbarth methodology compares expenditures between equally well-off families

[^16]with and without children and attributes the difference to child-rearing expenditures. The first Rothbarth estimate of child-rearing expenditures used for state guidelines were from a 1990 study by Professor David Betson, University of Notre Dame. ${ }^{52}$ He used the Rothbarth methodology to estimate child-rearing expenditures from data collected from families in 1980-1986. Since 1990, Betson has updated his study for more current expenditures data four times. His most recent study was published in 2021 and considers expenditures data from families surveyed in 2013-2019. ${ }^{53}$ It found that on average, families devote the following percentage of total expenditures to child-rearing expenditures: 24.9 percent for child, 38.4 percent for two children, and 47.0 percent for three children.

The 2021 Betson-Rothbarth (BR) measurements are used to develop an updated child support table for Maine. (More detail on how the 2021 BR measurements differ from those used to develop the existing table is provided in the next section.)

The 2021 Betson study is the most current study of child-rearing expenditures and has been recently used to update the child support tables of Alabama, Arizona, Iowa, Missouri, Pennsylvania, and South Dakota. In fact, all states that have recently updated their child support table have relied on the 2021 Betson-Rothbarth ( $B R$ ) measurements as the basis of their update. The only exception is Massachusetts where its task force considered the 2021 BR study, but also considered "a range of legal, policy and practical considerations" when recommending changes to its chart ${ }^{54}$ that were eventually adapted. In other words, the Massachusetts table does not strictly relate to economic data.

Besides the Rothbarth methodology, there are several other economic methodologies used to separate the child's share of expenditures from total household expenditures. Betson assessed four other alternatives in his 1990 study and concluded that the Rothbarth methodology produced the most statistically robust estimates and recommended the Rothbarth estimates for use in state guidelines. Still, economists generally do not agree which methodology comes the closest to measuring actual childrearing expenditures. Most conventional economists including Betson believe that the Rothbarth methodology understates actual child-rearing expenditures. ${ }^{55}$ Other studies based on alternative

[^17]methodologies, however, use older data or have not been used by any other state as the basis of their guidelines. ${ }^{56}$

## Underlying Data and Assumptions Used to Develop Updated Table

Besides the economic basis of an updated table, there are many other factors considered in the development of a child support table:

1. The guidelines model is a policy decision that directs what type of economic study of childrearing expenditures to use;
2. Which economic study to use;
3. Adjust the study results for current price levels since there are lags between when expenditures data are collected and analyzed and available for use;
4. Adjust for Maine's below average income or cost of living because most studies are based on national data;
5. Exclude childcare, child's health insurance premium, and extraordinary out-of-pocket medical expenses since the actual amount expended for each of these items is considered on a case-bycase basis;
6. Consider expenditures to net income ratio, which is the first step to converting the BR measurements, which are measured as a percentage of total household expenditures, to grossincome basis because the child support table related to the combined gross income of the parents;
7. Consider current rates of federal and state income taxes and FICA, which is the second step to converting BR measurements to gross income basis; and
8. Providing for the consideration of the subsistence needs of the obligated parent.

Appendix A provides more detailed technical documentation of how these factors are used to develop an updated table. Exhibit 19 compares the key economic data and assumptions underlying the existing table to those of the proposed table. It also summarizes alternative data and assumptions. Each factor is discussed in more detail following the table.

[^18]| Factor | Basis of Existing Table | Basis of Updated Table | Other Alternatives/Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Guidelines model | - Income shares model | - Income shares model | - 41 states use the income shares model <br> - Other states use Melson formula and percentage of obligor income |
| 2. Economic study | - Third Betson-Rothbarth (BR) study (2006) | - Most current Betson-Rothbarth study (2021) | - Other studies of child-rearing expenditures |
| 3. Price levels | - Dec. 2011 | - Jun. 2022 | - Prices have increased 31.3 percent between the two time periods |
| 4. Adjust for Maine's incomes/cost of living | - Income realignment using 2005 Census data on Maine and U.S. average Incomes | - Adjusted for 2020 Maine price parity (96.8) | - Price parity is a new measurement. The most recent data is from 2020, Maine could also use income realignment. |
| 5. Exclude childcare, child's health insurance premium, and extraordinary out-ofpocket medical expenses | - Excludes all but the first $\$ 250$ per child per year in ordinary, out-of-pocket medical expenses | - No change | - Retain assumption <br> - Exclude all healthcare expenses <br> - Ohio approach |
| 6. Relate expenditures to after-tax income | - Converts expenditures to net income using data from same families in CE that Betson uses <br> - Caps expenditures at $100 \%$ | - No change in methodology, just more recent CE data used | - Assume all after-tax income is spent |
| 7. Relate expenditures to gross income of the parties | - 2011 federal and state income tax withholding formulas and FICA for a single taxpayer | - 2022 tax rates for single taxpayer | - Alternative tax assumptions, including taxes of a married couple with children |
| 8. Provide for consideration of the parent's basic subsistence needs | - Minimum order of $10 \%$ <br> Self-support reserve of $\$ 10,890$ per year (2011 federal poverty guidelines-FPG- for 1 person) | 2022 FPG for 1 person ( $\$ 13,590$ per year) | - Other adjustments |

Factor 1: Guidelines Model
The guidelines model, which is a policy decision, is important to directing what economic data on the cost of raising children to use. The most common principle used for state guidelines models is what University of Wisconsin researchers call the "continuity of expenditures model"-that is, the child support award should allow the children to benefit from the same level of expenditures had the children and both parents lived together. ${ }^{57}$ In the income shares guidelines model-which is used by 41 states, including Maine-the obligated parent's prorated share of that amount forms the basis of the guidelines-determined amount. Most states that use the percentage-of-obligor income guidelines model use the same economic studies but presume that the custodial parent contributes an equal dollar amount or percentage of income to child-rearing expenditures.

Besides the income shares and the percentage-of-obligor income guidelines model, three states (i.e., Delaware, Hawaii, and Montana) use the Melson formula, which is a hybrid of the income shares approach and the percentage-of-obligor income guidelines. Each of these states prorates a basic level of support to meet the primary needs of the child; then, if the obligated parent has any income remaining after meeting his or her share of the child's primary support, his or her own basic needs, and payroll taxes, an additional percentage of his or her income is added to his or her share of the child's primary support.

Research finds that other factors (e.g., economic basis, whether the table has been updated for changes in price levels, and adjustments for low-income parents) affect state differences in guidelines more than the guidelines model. ${ }^{58}$ All states that have switched guidelines models in the last two decades have switched to the income shares model (i.e., Arkansas, District of Columbia, Georgia, Illinois, Massachusetts, Minnesota, and Tennessee). Common reasons for switching to the income shares model are its perception of equity because it considers each parent's income in the calculation of support and its flexibility to consider individual case circumstances such as extraordinary child-rearing expenses that vary from case to case (e.g., childcare expenses) and timesharing arrangements. Besides the guidelines models in use, there are several other guidelines models not in use that have been proposed in several states. ${ }^{59}$ Each have failed for various reasons. In general, there is no overwhelming reason for Maine to consider switching guidelines models.

[^19]Factor 2: Economic Study
There are several measurements of child-rearing expenditures that form the basis of state guidelines. The newest Betson-Rothbarth (BR5) clearly emerges as the most appropriate study to use for updating the Maine table. Its underlying data is more current than that of any other study. It also essentially uses the same methodology and assumptions as the basis of the existing table, which is an earlier BetsonRothbarth (BR) study. Most states rely on a BR study.

## Betson-Rothbarth Studies

## Historical Overview

When Congress first passed legislation (i.e., the Family Support Act of 1988) requiring presumptive state child support guidelines, it also mandated the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services to develop a report analyzing expenditures on children and explain how the analysis could be used to help states develop child support guidelines. This was fulfilled by two reports that were both released in 1990. One was by Professor David Betson, University of Notre Dame. ${ }^{60}$ Using five different economic methodologies to measure child-rearing expenditures, Betson concluded that the Rothbarth methodology was the most robust ${ }^{61}$ and, hence, recommended that it be used for state guidelines. The second study resulting from the Congressional mandate was by Lewin/ICF. ${ }^{62}$ It assessed the use of measurements of child-rearing expenditures, including the Betson measurements, for use by state child support guidelines.

The Rothbarth methodology is named after Irwin Rothbarth, the economist who developed it. It is considered a marginal cost approach; that is, it considers how much more is spent by a couple with children than a childless couple of child-rearing age. To that end, the methodology compares expenditures of two sets of equally well-off families: one with children and one without children. The difference in expenditures between the two sets is deemed to be child-rearing expenditures. The Rothbarth methodology relies on expenditures for adult goods to determine equally well-off families. ${ }^{63}$ Through calculus, economists have proven that using expenditures on adult goods understates actual child-rearing expenditures because parents essentially substitute away from adult goods when they have children. ${ }^{64}$ In contrast, the Engel methodology, which is also a marginal cost approach but relies on

[^20]food shares to determine equally well-off families overstates actual child-rearing expenditures because children are relatively food intensive. ${ }^{65}$

At the time of Betson's 1990 study, most states had already adopted guidelines to meet the 1987 federal requirement to have advisory child support guidelines. (The requirement was extended to be rebuttal presumptive guidelines in 1989.) Most states were using older measurements of child-rearing expenditures, ${ }^{66}$ but many (including Maine) began using the Betson-Rothbarth 1990 (BR1) study in the mid- to late 1990s. Subsequently, various states and the University of Wisconsin Institute of Research commissioned updates to the BR study over time. ${ }^{67}$

Although Betson recommended the Rothbarth methodology for state guidelines usage in his 1990 report, another study commissioned by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services in 1990 by Lewin/ICF suggested that states assess their guidelines using more than one study since not all economists agree on which methodology best measures actual child-rearing expenditures. ${ }^{68}$ For its 1990 report, Lewin/ICF assessed state guidelines by generally examining whether a state's guidelines amount was between the lowest and the highest of credible measurements of child-rearing expenditures. Lewin/ICF used the Rothbarth measurements as the lower bound. Amounts that were above the lowest credible measurement of child-rearing expenditures were deemed as adequate support for children. This also responded to a major concern in the 1980s that state child support guidelines provided inadequate amounts for children. ${ }^{69}$ Since then, most states have adapted a BR measurement as the basis of their guidelines table or formula.

## Changes in the BR Measurements over Time

Changes in the Betson-Rothbarth (BR) measurements of child-rearing expenditures over time may reflect actual changes in how much families spend on their children, sampling differences in the different study years, changes in the underlying expenditures data used to develop the measurements, or a combination of these factors. In addition, changes in other factors (e.g., the ratio of expenditures to after-tax income) considered in the conversion of the BR measurements, which are expressed as a percentage of total household expenditures, to a gross income-based schedule may have changed so also affect perceived changes to the BR measurements over time. Understanding the root of the changes is important to Maine if Maine updates its table using the BR 2021 study.

[^21]The five Betson studies using the Rothbarth methodology were published in 1990, ${ }^{70} 2000,{ }^{71} 2006,{ }^{72}$ 2010, ${ }^{73}$ and 2021. ${ }^{74}$

Overview of the Consumer Expenditure (CE) Survey
Each BR study used more current Consumer Expenditure (CE) data. The 1990 study relied on the 19801986 CE and the 2021 study relied on the 2013-2021 CE. Conducted by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), the CE is a comprehensive and rigorous survey with over a hundred-year history. ${ }^{75}$ Today, the CE surveys about 6,000 households a quarter on hundreds of expenditures items. ${ }^{76}$ Households stay in the survey for four quarters, yet households rotate in and out each quarter. The primary purpose of the CE is to calibrate the market basket used to measure changes in price levels over time. Committed to producing data that are of consistently high statistical quality, relevance, and timeliness, the BLS closely monitors and continuously assesses the quality of the CE and makes improvements when appropriate. Some of these improvements have occurred in between BR studies and, hence, can affect differences between BR study years.

The sampling of the CE is not designed to produce state-specific measurements of expenditures. ${ }^{77}$ To expand the CE so it could produce state-specific measurements would require a much larger sample and other resources and would take several years. Instead, Betson develops national measurements of childrearing expenditures from the CE. Multiple data years are pooled to obtain an adequate sample size. Betson's sample selection is described more thoroughly his report.

Betson compiles other statistics from the same subset of CE families that he uses to measure childrearing expenditures. These other statistics are used to develop a child support table. This includes the

[^22]
Exhibit 20: Comparisons of Betson-Rothbarth (BR) Measurements over Time
discussed in Appendix A, equivalence scales are used to adjust the measurements for larger family sizes with four or more children is too small to produce measurements for larger families. Instead, as studies. Exhibit 20 shows the percentages for one, two, and three children. The sample size of families Exhibit 20 compares the percentage of total family expenditures devoted to child rearing for the five BR through a formula that is applied later in the child support calculation.) complicated in shared physical parenting situations, but that adjustment is layered on to the table living, that child should share that parent's standard of living. (Obviously, the situation is more the child has a parent living outside the home whose income affords that parent a higher standard of children and the table amounts reflect that. Underlying the premise of most state guidelines is that if Income follows a similar pattern; that is, economic evidence finds that higher incomes spend more on not twice that of expenditures for one child; rather, they are less than double Further, the economic evidence suggests some economies of scale: expenditures for two children are economic evidence on child-rearing expenditures finds more is spent when there are more children. parties. Child support tables provide higher amounts when there are more children because the The two major factors in determining child support are the number of children and the incomes of the
expenses for several income ranges. This additional data is shown and explained in Appendix A. average ratio of expenditures to income, average childcare expenditures, and average healthcare

For two and three children, Exhibit 20 shows the percentage of total expenditures devoted to childrearing expenditures increasing slightly over time. However, Betson suggests that expenditures for two and three children should be examined in context of marginal expenditures-that is, starting with expenditures for the first child, how much more was spent for the second child? If the same amount is spent, the marginal increase in expenditures is 100 percent. If the amount is less than 100 percent, there is some economies of scale to having more children. The BR studies find that the marginal increase in expenditures from one to two children is about 40 to 55 percent, depending on the age of the study, and that the marginal increase in expenditures from two to three children is about 15 to 23 percent, depending on the age of the study. Generally, the older studies have smaller marginal increases, while the more recent studies have larger marginal increases. This suggests that the economies of scale of having more children is decreasing slightly. In turn, this suggests slightly larger increases to updated table amounts for more children.

## Comparisons by Income Ranges

Exhibit 21, Exhibit 22, and Exhibit 23 compare the BR measurements for one, two, and three children over time by net income range. There are several adjustments made to make the comparison. Due to these adjustments, the percentages shown in the exhibits are not comparable to those in Exhibit 20, which compares the BR measurements as a percentage of total expenditures. Total expenditures equal net income only if the household spends all its after-tax income and not more of it. If it spends more than its after-tax income, the household is borrowing or using credit. If it spends less than its after-tax income, it typically has savings.

## Development of the Comparisons

In developing Exhibit 21, Exhibit 22, and Exhibit 23, expenditures were converted to a net-income basis using the expenditures to after-tax income ratios from the same subset of families Betson considers when developing his measurements of child-rearing expenditures. For each study, Betson found that, on average, low-income families spend more than their after-tax income and high-income families spend less than their after-tax income (e.g., they have savings, make donations, and purchase gifts for others outside the home). When child-rearing expenditures as a percentage of total expenditures are converted to a percentage of after-tax income by adjusting them for average expenditure to income ratios, it produces the downward sloping trend line evident in the exhibits. If (and when) converted to gross income, the downward trend becomes steeper because federal income tax rates are progressive (i.e., tax rates become progressively higher with more income).

Due to reasons relating to economic theory and modeling, Betson must measure child-rearing expenditures as a percentage of a household's total expenditures rather than income. For purposes of analyzing how child-rearing expenditures vary with income, Betson develops measurements of childrearing expenditures and the ratio of expenditures to after-tax income for about 25 income ranges, with the actual number varying by study year. (See Appendix A for the income ranges using the findings from the BR5 study.)

Percent of Net Income Devoted to Adjusted Child-Rearing Expenditures
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measured as percentages of total expenditures. As described earlier, they are first converted from total
 conversion to after-tax income, improvements to the CE, or new CE data fields. To understand the
 there appear to be small changes between BR1 and BR5 that vary by income range. the child's health insurance, the child's extraordinary medical expenses, and childcare expenses. In all, unknown what year of price levels is used for the BR1 and BR2 measurements and whether they exclude
 the changes between BR3 and BR5, which is of interest to Maine since the existing Maine schedule is әи!
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most recent study is based on 2018 price levels, while his earlier studies consider price levels from
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expenditures to after-tax income, then finally converted to gross income using federal and state income tax rates and FICA formulas. (The step of converting to gross income is discussed later in this section.)

As shown in the Exhibit 24, families may spend less, all, or more of their after-tax income. For the first step of translating the percentages of expenditures devoted to child rearing to percentages of after-tax income devoted to child rearing, CPR uses the average ratio of expenditures to income for each income range from the same subset of families Betson uses to measure child-rearing expenditures. At low incomes, families spend more than their income on average. Since most states do not want to require parents to spend more of their income, CPR caps expenditures at income.

Exhibit 24: Relationship between Expenditures and Income


At upper-middle to upper incomes, families also incur taxes and savings. This reduces the after-tax income available for child-rearing expenditures.

## Changes Beginning with the BR4 Measurements and Continued with the BR5 Measurements

The BR4 and BR5 measurements contain two improvements.

- Noticing that low-income families spend more than their after-tax income on average, the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, which is the organization conducting the Consumer Expenditure Survey (CE), improved how it measures income. The improvements appeared to reclassify some lower households as having more income in the BR4 and BR5 samples than would have been classified previously as low income in earlier BR samples. Indirectly, this may explain some of the decreased amounts at low incomes from the BR3 study to the BR4 and BR5 studies.
- The BR4 and BR5 studies use "outlays" instead of "expenditures" like the earlier BR studies did. Expenditures track closely with how gross domestic product (GDP) is measured. Namely, GDP considers houses to be investments (physical capital), so the BLS did not consider mortgage principal payments to be an expenditure item. (It did include and continues to include mortgage interest, HOA fees, rent, utilities, and other housing expenses.) Outlays consider all monthly expenses (e.g.,
mortgage principal payments and interest, and payments on second mortgages and home equity loans). Outlays also include installment payments (e.g., for major appliances and automobiles). Expenditures include the total price of an item at the time of purchase (yet Betson did an adjustment for automobile purchases in the BR1, BR2, and BR3 studies). In short, outlays track closer to how families spend and budget on a monthly or weekly basis. These monthly budgets consider the total mortgage payment and installment payments. The impact of the switch from expenditures to outlays appears to be increased expenditures on children at higher incomes from the BR3 studies to the BR4 and BR5 studies. This is likely because higher income families are more likely to purchase items via installments, have higher installment payments, and more mortgage principal that they are paying down.


## Changes Beginning with the BR5

The major change with the BR5 study was an improvement in how taxes were measured. In prior surveys, households would self-report taxes. The BLS learned that families underestimated taxes paid, particularly at high incomes; hence, their after-tax income (spendable income) was smaller than measured. Beginning in 2013, the BLS began using their internal tax calculator to calculate each household's taxes. This effectively reduced the after-tax income available for expenditures. Another indirect impact was to the average ratio of expenditures to after-tax income, which is used in the conversion of the measurement of child-rearing expenditures to a child support table, increased. (This can be illustrated through Exhibit 24, by assuming a drop in the after-tax income line for the cluster of families to the right that have higher incomes.) This increases the amounts from BR4 to BR5 for highincome families because they pay a larger amount of taxes. Their after-tax income is less; hence, the ratio of expenditures to after-tax income is larger.

In addition, a small improvement to the child's share of healthcare expenses was made for BR5. It better reflects the child's share of the family's total out-of-pocket expenses. This results in nominal increases at very low incomes and nominal decreases at very high incomes.

## Other Studies of Child-Rearing Expenditures

This section discusses other studies of child-rearing expenditures conducted in the last decade. All the studies rely on older data. Only two of the studies are used by any state. The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) is partially used by Kansas, Maryland, and Minnesota. The New Jersey study, which adjusted national data for New Jersey's above average incomes, is used by New Jersey.

USDA (2017)
The most current USDA study considers child-rearing expenditures in 2015. ${ }^{78}$ The USDA first measures expenditures for seven different categories (i.e., housing, food, transportation, clothing, healthcare, childcare and education, and miscellaneous), then sums them to arrive at a total measurement of childrearing expenditures. Some of the methodologies use a pro rata approach, which is believed to overstate child-rearing expenditures. Minnesota relies on an older version of USDA study, Kansas

[^23]partially uses it, and Maryland will begin to partially use it in 2022. Maryland will use the USDA study for combined adjusted gross incomes above about $\$ 10,000$ per month. Kansas uses the USDA multipliers for more children to adjust its findings from a study by Wichita State University economists using a unique approach that is only used in Kansas. USDA measurements rely on the 2011-2015 CE, as well as other data, including the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services National Medical Expenditure Survey (MEPS) and the cost of USDA food plans that are used to determine SNAP (Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program) benefits and military per diem rates. The USDA found that average child-rearing expenses were $\$ 11,200$ to $\$ 25,720$ per year for the youngest child in a two-child family living in the Urban Northeast in 2015 (i.e., about \$200 to \$500 per week). The amount varies by the age of the child and household income. For rural areas, the amount varied from $\$ 7,650$ to $\$ 17,000$ per year for the youngest child in a two-child family in 2015.

The 2013 New Jersey Study
Professor William Rodgers, Rutgers University applied a version of the Rothbarth methodology to 20002011 CE data to estimate child-rearing expenditures, then adjusted it for New Jersey incomes. ${ }^{79}$ It forms the basis of the existing New Jersey child support table.

## Rodgers-Rothbarth Measurements (2017)

The same economist who conducted the New Jersey study conducted a study for California in 2018 using the Rothbarth methodology applied to 2000-2015 CE data. ${ }^{80}$ California does not use the Rodgers study as the basis of its guidelines formula, nor does any other state. Rodgers found that the average percentage of total expenditures devoted to child rearing is 19.2 percent for one child and 24.1 percent for two children. These amounts are less than the BR amounts. One concern with the Roders-Rothbarth measurements is that child-rearing expenditures increase by less than 5 percentage points from one to two children. In other words, it costs only about 26 percent more for two children than it does to raise one child. By contrast, other studies typically find that the expenditures for two children are about 40 to 60 percent more than they are for one child. Although Rodgers interpreted Rothbarth differently than Betson, Rodgers also attempted to replicate Betson's fourth study. His replication resulted within about two percentage points of Betson's measurements.

One reason Rodgers considered a larger period was to average out the expenditures patterns since there were some anomalous patterns associated with the Great Recession of 2007-2009 and its aftermath. Besides differences in data years, there are many differences between Betson's approach and Rodgers's approach that may explain the differences in their results. One major difference is their application of Rothbarth's theory. Rothbarth asked the question, "How much additional income does a family of given size require to compensate it for the costs of an additional child?" In answering the question, Rothbarth speculated that the answer would depend on the standard of living of the parents. Further, if the answer depended on the standard of living of the parents, then the parents' tastes were unaffected by the presence of additional children. Both Betson and Rodgers perceive this as indirectly estimating child-rearing expenditures from an observed level of expenditures on adult goods through

[^24]principles of economic theory on consumption. Rodgers adopts an approach that maximizes utility given a budget constraint on expenditures on either adult goods or children goods. In contrast, Betson relies on classical economic theory of consumer surplus and compensated demand, while assuming expenditures on adult goods (i.e., the amount expended on adult clothing) is a normal good-that is, the demand for a normal good increases if income increases or the price of that good goes down. Even when Rodgers attempts to replicate Betson, there are differences. For example, Betson and Rodgers use different functional forms to specify their estimating equation (e.g., Betson uses a quadratic equation and Rodgers does not). The quadratic functional form allows the percentage of expenditures to vary as the parents' incomes increase.

Florida State University Study
The Florida researchers estimated child-rearing expenditures using both the Rothbarth approach and another marginal cost approach developed by Ernest Engel from 2013-2019 CE data. ${ }^{81}$ They reported their estimates as a percentage of consumption (total household expenditures) for five quintiles of income. Using the Rothbarth methodology, they ranged from 21.0 to 21.5 percent for one child, 32.9 to 33.7 percent for two children, and 40.8 to 41.7 percent for three children. Neither Florida nor any other state rely on these measurements as the basis of their guidelines table or formula.

Comanor, et al. (2015)
Another study published in 2015 was led by Professor William Comanor of the University of California at Santa Barbara. ${ }^{82}$ It was not funded by any state and does not form the basis of any state guidelines. Professor Comanor developed his own methodology for measuring child-rearing expenditures. Comanor's measurements rely on the 2004-2009 CE. In 2018, Comanor reported child-rearing costs of $\$ 3,421$ per year for one child and $\$ 4,291$ per year for two children in low-income households. ${ }^{83}$ For middle incomes (i.e., married couples with an average income of $\$ 76,207$ per year), Comanor reported child-rearing costs of $\$ 4,749$ per year for one child and $\$ 6,633$ per year for two children. The amounts for low-income households are below poverty guidelines, and the amounts for middle incomes are just above poverty guidelines. The 2022 federal poverty guidelines were $\$ 13,590$ per year for one person and an additional $\$ 4,7200$ per year for each additional person.

## Factor 3: Adjust to Current Price Levels

The existing table is based on price levels from December 2011. The most current price level data available when this report was written was from June 2022. Prices have increased by 24.6 percent between the two time periods. This does not mean a 24.6 percent increase in the table amounts because some of the increase is offset by incomes that have also increased over time.

[^25]
## Factor 4: Adjust for Maine Incomes/Price Levels

The Betson-Rothbarth ( $B R$ ) measurements of child-rearing expenditures consider U.S. average incomes and prices. Maine's current child support table is based on BR measurements developed in 2006 that were realigned to Maine's income using 2005 U.S. Census American Community Survey data. Some states with below-average cost of living (e.g., Arkansas, Kentucky, Nebraska, and New Mexico) are using their state's price parity to adjust the national measurements of child-rearing expenditures. Price parity is a new measure developed and published by the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis. For every $\$ 1.00$ spent on the U.S. on average, $\$ 0.968$ is needed for the same level of expenditures in Maine in $2020 .{ }^{84}$ In other words, Maine's price parity is 96.8 percent.

In short, there are two different methods to adjust for Maine's below average income or prices.

- Realign the national measurements for Maine's income, which is the method used to develop the existing table; or
- Adjust the national measurements by Maine's price parity of 96.8 percent-that is, Maine table amounts would be 3.2 percent less.

The price parity method was used because of its straightforwardness. A simple 3.2 percent reduction was made to all BR amounts in the schedule. The 2020 U.S. Census American Community Survey finds the median income of Maine married couple families with children less than 18 years old to be $\$ 87,698$ per year, while it is $\$ 103,364$ nationally. This was about a 15 percent difference in median income, which was about the same gap in 2005, when the older BR measurements were realigned to account for Maine's lower income. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, however, Maine demographics appear to be rapidly changing. Although data are not yet available to gauge the impact, remote workers have migrated to Maine. In turn, they may be driving up family income as well as Maine housing prices. This would lessen the gap between Maine and U.S. incomes.

## Factor 5: Exclude Childcare Expenses and Out-of-Pocket Healthcare Costs

The measurements of child-rearing expenditures cover all child-rearing expenditures, including childcare expenses and the out-of-pocket healthcare expenses for the child. This includes out-of-pocket insurance premium on behalf of the child and out-of-pocket, extraordinary, unreimbursed medical expenses such as deductibles. These expenses are widely variable among cases (e.g., childcare expenses for an infant are high, and there is no need for childcare for a teenager). Instead of putting them in the table, the actual amounts of the expenses are or can be addressed on a case-by-case basis within the guidelines. To avoid double-accounting in the table, these expenses are subtracted from the measurements when developing the existing and updated tables. Appendix A provides the technical details on how this is done.

[^26]Inclusion of $\$ 250$ per Child per Year for Out-of-Pocket Medical Expenses
However, there is an exception to excluding the child's medical expenses. An amount to cover ordinary out-of-pocket healthcare expenses (e.g., aspirin and copays for well visits) was retained in both the existing and updated tables. The current table assume up to $\$ 250$ per child per year for ordinary out-ofpocket healthcare expenses based on data. That assumption is retained for the proposed, updated table because the average is still near $\$ 250$ per child per year. The concern, however, is the amount varies significantly among those with Medicaid and those with private insurance, particularly with high deductibles. The 2015 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS) finds that the average out-of-pocket medical expense per child was \$248 per year but varied depending on whether the child was enrolled in public insurance such as Medicaid or had private insurance. Based on MEPS data, out-of-pocket medical expenses averaged $\$ 63$ per child per year for children who had public insurance and $\$ 388$ per child per year for those with private insurance. ${ }^{85}$ The 2017 MEPS data, which is the most current available by age of the child, has not drilled down to the public insurance and private insurance level, but they do report an average for all children, $\$ 271$ per child, which is close to the $\$ 250$ level. Another recent study estimates that uses the 2021 Current Population Survey Annual Social and Economic Supplement estimates that medical out-of-pocket expenditures averaged $\$ 327$ in 2020 for a child under 18 years. ${ }^{86}$ Their definition of medical out-of-pocket expenses includes spending on copays, prescriptions, medical supplies, and over-the-counter expenditures such as vitamins and pain relievers, but did not include the health insurance premium component. ${ }^{87}$

Some states are responding to the disparity in out-of-pocket expenses between those with public insurance and those with private insurance in two ways. One way is to include no ordinary out-of-pocket medical expenses (e.g., Connecticut and Virginia) in their tables. This would reduce the table amounts. This means parents must share receipts for all out-of-pocket medical expenses, not just those exceeding $\$ 250$ per child per year. The major pro of this approach is it more accurate. The major cons are that it requires more information sharing and coordination between the parties, and the burden falls on the parent incurring the expense. The parent incurring the expense must save receipts, notify the other parent, and initiate an enforcement action if the other party fails to pay his or her share. In addition to including no ordinary out-of-pocket medical expenses in the tables, Michigan and Ohio take the method one step further. Not only do they exclude all healthcare expenses from the table, but they provide a standardized amount of out-of-pocket medical expenses that is added in the worksheet as a line item similar to the add-on for childcare expenses. That amount can vary depending on whether the insurance is private insurance or Medicaid enrollment.

Exhibit 25 illustrates how this works in Ohio, which uses annual income. The pros to this approach are that it can better address the out-of-pocket healthcare expenses and does not require a change in the tables to update the standardized amount for out-of-pocket medical expenses. The cons are that it

[^27]makes the calculation more cumbersome and requires knowledge of whether the children are enrolled in Medicaid (which may change frequently).

Although there are some concerns about the treatment of healthcare expenses, no alternative has emerged as clearly superior and more appropriate than the current approach for addressing the child's healthcare expenses.

Exhibit 25: Illustration of Ohio's Alternative Approach to Out-of-Pocket Medical Expenses

| Worksheet Calculation |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Parent A | Parent B | Combined |
| 1. | Annual Income | $\$ 40,000.00$ | $\$ 40,000.00$ | $\$ 80,000.00$ |
| 2. | Share of Income | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| 3. | Table Amount <br> (Annual) |  |  | $\$ 20,000.00$ |
| 4.Annual Cash <br> Medical |  |  | $\$ 388.70$ |  |
| 5. | Total Obligation |  |  | $\$ 20,388.70$ |
| 6.Each Parent's Share <br> (Line 2 $\times$ Line 5) | $\$ 10,194.35$ | $\$ 10,194.35$ |  |  |


| Cash Medical Obligation |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of <br> Children | Annual Cash <br> Medical <br> Amount |
| 1 | $\$ 388.70$ |
| 2 | $\$ 777.40$ |
| 3 | $\$ 1,166.10$ |
| 4 | $\$ 1,554.80$ |
| 5 | $\$ 1,943.50$ |
| 6 | $\$ 2,332.20$ |

## Factor 6: Conversion of Expenditures to After-Tax Income

The need for this conversion is illustrated Exhibit 24 that shows some families spend more or less than their income. As stated earlier, Betson reports the measurements of child-rearing expenditures as a percentage of total expenditures. Thus, they must be converted from a percentage of total expenditures to a gross-income basis because the child support table relate to gross income. This is a two-step process. The first step is converting expenditures to net income.

The conversion was done by taking the expenditures-to-income ratio for the same subset of CE families used to develop the measurements of child-rearing expenditures for both the existing and proposed child support tables. The ratios from the most recent BR5 study are shown in Appendix A, as well as an example of how the conversion is made. An exception is made at lower incomes, because as shown in Exhibit 24, they spend more than their after-tax income on average.

This conversion method is common among most income shares guidelines. The only notable exception is that the District of Columbia assumes that all after-tax income is spent and, hence, makes no adjustment. (This results in larger table amounts that become progressively larger as income increases.) There is no compelling reason for Maine to adapt the District of Columbia approach.

## Factor 7: Conversion to Gross Income

After the measurements of child-rearing expenditures are converted to after-tax income as described above, then they are converted to gross income. This is because the table considers the gross incomes of the parties. For both the existing and updated tables, the conversion to gross income relies on the
federal withholding formula ${ }^{88}$ and state income tax rates. ${ }^{89}$ The federal withholding formula also considers FICA. The Social Security and Medicare tax is 6.2 percent for incomes up to $\$ 147,000$ per year. Above that level, the Medicare tax of 1.45 percent applies. In addition, the 0.9 percent additional Medicare tax for incomes above $\$ 200,000$ per year is also considered.

The federal income withholding formula provides for different formulas depending on which year of the IRS W-4 form the employer uses to calculate income tax withholding. The alternative formulas produce the same amounts at lower and middle incomes, but there are slight differences at very high incomes. The IRS developed alternative methods to accommodate sweeping tax reform that became effective January 1, 2018, due to the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 (Pub. L. 115-97), which increased the standard deduction and repealed personal exemptions. Earlier IRS W-4 forms still accommodate personal exemptions. The 2020 and later $W-4$ forms do not. It is assumed that the $2020 \mathrm{~W}-4$ (or later) form is used and the manual percentage method formula for a single taxpayer is used. For state income taxes, it is assumed that only one withholding allowance is filed. This is consistent with the federal withholding formula to recognize the federal standard deduction and no personal exemptions.

Using federal and state income tax withholding formulas and assuming all income is taxed at the rate of a single tax filer with earned income is a common assumption among most states and the assumption underlying the existing Maine table. Most alternative federal tax assumptions would result in more after-tax income, hence higher table amounts. For example, the District of Columbia assumes the taxfiling status is for a married couple claiming the number of children for whom support is being determined. The District used this assumption prior to 2018 tax reform that eliminated the federal tax allowance for children and expanded the federal child tax credit from $\$ 1,000$ per child to $\$ 2,000$ per child and higher for tax year 2022. The 2018 federal tax changes are tabled to expire in 2025.

## Child Tax Credit and Earned Income Tax Credit

Since the income conversion assumes single tax filing status, there is no adjustment for the child tax credit or the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC). The child tax credit would be impossible to include in the table since it applies to one parent and that parent's income must be within a certain range to receive the full child tax credit and another range to receive a partial child tax credit (which the IRS calls the additional child tax credit). In contrast, the table considers the combined gross income of the parents. Say the combined income of the parents is $\$ 150,000$ per year. If the parents have equal incomes ( $\$ 75,000$ per year), either parent's income would make them income-eligible for the full child tax credit. Say, however, that the obligated parent's income is $\$ 150,000$ and the other has no income, the parent without income would not be income-eligible for the child tax credit. The EITC is not considered because it is a means-tested program. Most states do not consider mean-tested income to be income available for child support.

The pro of considering an alternative tax assumption such as assuming the tax-filing status is married better aligns with the economic measurements of child-rearing expenditures because the

[^28]measurements consider households in which the parents and children live together, so they would probably file as a married couple. They also could be set up to include the federal child tax credit, the additional child tax credit, the earned income tax credit, or a combination of these child-related tax credits. The cons are that this would be a change in the previous assumption that is not necessarily justifiable and may not be consistent with current practices.

## Factor 8: Incorporate the Self-Support Reserve

The low-income adjustment of the existing guidelines consists of two parts:

- a minimum order of 10 percent of the gross income of the nonprimary care provider (the obligated parent) whose annual gross income is less than the federal poverty guidelines (FPG) for one person; and
- incorporates a self-support reserve adjustment for obligors with incomes of \$21,000 per year or less. ${ }^{90}$
The table incorporates a self-support reserve (SSR) equivalent to the 2022 FPG for one person: \$13,590 per year. The purpose is to ensure that the obligated parent has sufficient income after payment of the obligation amount to at least live at a subsistence level. It fulfills the federal requirement (45 C.F.R. § 302.56(c)(ii)) to consider the subsistence needs of the obligated parent.

The steps taken to incorporate the SSR into the updated table are the same as what were used for the existing table. The SSR is keyed off a hidden after-tax income in the table. After-tax income is used because this is the spendable income that the obligated parent would have available. If the difference between the after-tax income and the SSR is 10 percent or less, the table shows 10 percent, which is the rebuttable presumptive minimum order. If the difference is more than 10 percent but less than what would be produced from the BR amounts, the table amount is the difference multiplied by an adjustment factor. Without the adjustment factor, each additional dollar earned would be allocated to child support. The adjustment factors are 90 percent for one child, 91 percent for two children, 92 percent for three children, 93 percent for four children, 94 percent for five children, and 95 percent for six children. When the BR amount is less than the difference weighed by the adjustment factor, the BR amount is used. The area adjusted for the SSR is shown by the blue-shaded area of the table.

[^29]Section 4: Meeting Other Federal Requirements and Other Issues

In December 2016, federal requirements of state guidelines were expanded through the Flexibility, Efficiency, and Modernization in Child Support Enforcement Programs rule. ${ }^{91}$ In addition to expanding the requirements of state guidelines reviews, the 2016 federal rule expanded requirements of state guidelines. The added requirements are:

- Consider all income and evidence of ability to pay;
- Consider the basic subsistence needs of obligated parents with limited ability to pay;
- Take into consideration the individual circumstances of the obligated parent when income imputation is authorized; and
- Provide that incarceration is not voluntary unemployment.

This section explores whether the current Maine guidelines meets these federal requirements. Still, the federal Office of Child Support Enforcement (OCSE) has the ultimate authority for determining state compliance. The section also compares Maine's approach to addressing additional dependents to the approaches used by other state guidelines. A parent may have children with more than one partner. States vary in the criteria used to grant an adjustment for additional dependents and how they determine the amount of the adjustment. A recent case Dep't of Health and Human Servs. v. Hayes, AP-$21-001,2021$ Me. Super. LEXIS 174 (July 1, 2021), involved an appeal of an administrative order. The issue for the court was whether to reduce an existing obligation to accommodate for a later one. This is a reason to review Maine's current adjustments for additional dependents.

## Additional Requirements of State Guidelines

The expanded federal rules aim to increase regular, on-time payment to families, to increase the number of obligors working and supporting their children; and to reduce the accumulation of unpaid arrears. ${ }^{92}$ The changes focus on low-income, obligated parents and ending the practices of setting orders beyond what a parent with limited financial resources could pay. The changes are research-based, including a finding that most arrearages are uncollectible and owed by obligors with reported incomes less than $\$ 10,000$ per year, and child support arrearage can deter child support payment and reduce formal earnings. ${ }^{93}$ The research also finds that a significant share of arrearages are accrued during incarceration. Other cited studies find that many low-income obligors do not meet their child support obligations because they do not earn enough to pay the amount of child support ordered and that setting support orders beyond the obligor's "ability to pay can result in numerous deleterious effects including unmanageable debt, reduced low-wage employment, increased underground activities, crime, incarceration, recidivism, and reduced contact with their children. ${ }^{\prime 94}$ Addressing order amounts at the

[^30]front-end by setting an accurate order based upon the ability to pay can avoid the need for enforcement actions and improves the chances that the obligor will continue to pay over time. ${ }^{95}$ This practice is also consistent with the Supreme Court decision in Turner v. Rogers, 564 U.S. 354 (2011), that requires the determination of ability to pay prior to incarceration for nonpayment of child support.

Based on the case file data, many parents have income imputed at minimum-wage earnings. The labor market data analysis illustrates the economic vulnerability of those in low-paying jobs: their work is often less than full-time, subject to higher turnover rates, and less likely to offer paid time off, which can exacerbate turnover rates. Earnings at 40 hours per week every week of the year is not a reality. Further, low-income parents are unlikely to have the savings to cover missed or reduced paychecks. Automatic child support actions that are triggered by delinquent payments can exacerbate the issue.

Exhibit 26 compares the additional federal requirements of state guidelines to existing Maine provisions. The first row of the exhibit shows the added requirement to consider other evidence to pay. As explained in the proposed rule, the intent is to encourage the use of alternative data such as the National Directory of New Hires, quarterly wage data, imputation of income based on analysis of a parent's specific education, skills and work experience, and even testimony rather than an income imputation standard. ${ }^{96}$ Exhibit 26 shows that the existing Maine guidelines meets the federal requirement (see 19-A M.R.S. §2004). The Maine Guidelines prioritizes the use of financial affidavits with supporting documentation of income evidence. This is the most accurate source of income and provides an opportunity for each parent to be forthcoming. The Maine guidelines, however, also recognizes that some parents do not provide the information and children need timely support. To that end, the guidelines provide for the imputation of income based on Department of Labor (DOL) data, as well as the use of "different annual income" if it is sufficient and reliable. To be clear, Maine utilizes the DOL at an individualized level-that is, it considers the usual occupation of the parent, where the parent lives, and other factors. In turn, the regional wage of that occupation is used for income imputation when a parent does not provide a financial affidavit and there is no evidence of income available.

The second row of Exhibit 26 shows the added federal requirement to consider the subsistence needs of obligated parents with limited ability to pay. The Maine guidelines meet that requirement head on by stating that " $[\mathrm{t}]$ he subsistence needs of the nonprimary care provider must be taken into account when establishing the parental support obligation" (19-A M.R.S §2006(5)(C).

The third row of Exhibit 26 shows the federal requirement to consider the actual circumstances of the obligated parent when income imputation is authorized and identifies 14 factors ranging from literacy to local labor market opportunities. Most states have adapted the language verbatim. Maine could add it to 19-A M.R.S. §2004(D) such that it read as shown in Exhibit 27. The fourth row of Exhibit 26 limits income imputation to incarcerated parents. The intent is to use the actual income of an incarcerated parent rather than presume the incarcerated parent's previous income or an amount that the incarcerated parent cannot earn while in prison. As shown in Exhibit 26, the existing Maine guidelines have a provision that meets this additional federal requirement.

[^31]
## Exhibit 26: Comparison of Added Federal Guidelines Requirements and Existing Maine Provisions

| Added Federal Requirement (45 C.F.R.) | Relevant Maine Provisions (MRS Title 19-A) |
| :--- | :--- |
| §302.56(c) <br> The child support guidelines established under paragraph (a) of <br> this section must at a minimum: <br> (1) Provide that the child support order is based on the <br> noncustodial parent's earnings, income, and other evidence <br> of ability to pay that: | §2004. Income information and child support worksheets <br> 1. Court actions. <br> A. In a court action to determine or modify support of a minor child, the plaintiff and defendant shall <br> exchange, prior to mediation, affidavits regarding income and assets. These affidavits must conform with the <br> forms provided by the court and must be accompanied by supporting documentation of current income, <br> such as pay stubs, tax returns, employer statements or, if the plaintiff or defendant is self-employed, <br> receipts and expenses. |

Exhibit 27: Proposed Revision to MRS Title 19-A §2004 D.
D. If a party fails to comply with this subsection, the court may, in its discretion, after taking into consideration the specific circumstances of the noncustodial parent (and at the State's discretion, the custodial parent) to the extent known, including such factors as the noncustodial parent's assets, residence, employment and earnings history, job skills, educational attainment, literacy, age, health, criminal record and other employment barriers, and record of seeking work, as well as the local job market, the availability of employers willing to hire the noncustodial parent, prevailing earnings level in the local communitv, and other relevant background factors in the case:

## Adjustments for Additional Dependents

Child support is typically determined on a case-by-case basis for the joint children of a couple who has a legal financial responsibility to the child or children. Typically, within the guidelines, additional dependents or families refer to the other families or other children of a parent besides the children for whom a child support award is being determined. Most state guidelines, including the Maine child support guidelines provide an adjustment for additional dependents. Maine's adjustment is shown in Exhibit 28.

The parent may have a pre-existing child support order for the other children or the other children currently live with that parent. In most states, the adjustment applies to other children for whom the parent has a legal duty to support and does not apply to stepchildren unless the parent legally adopted them. Either parent may have children with more than one partner, and these additional children may or may not be part of a child support order.

Frequencies tabulated from national longitudinal surveys find that about 13 percent of men aged 40 to 44 have children with more than one partner, 19 percent of women aged 41 to 49 have children with more than one partner, 7 percent of men in their mid-20s and early 30s have children with more than one partner, and 12 percent of women in their mid-20s and early 30s have had children with more than one partner. ${ }^{97}$ Other studies using data from state child support systems suggest that rates of multiple partners is over 30 percent among obligated parents. ${ }^{98}$ In other words, it is likely that parents with existing child support cases are perhaps even more likely to have children with multiple partners.

Limited information about the application of Maine's additional dependents adjustment is available from the case file data, which was analyzed in Section 2. Data on whether there was an adjustment for

[^32]additional dependents was only available from IV-D administrative orders. Among these orders:

- 18 percent of obligated parents and less than 1 percent of custodial parties had income deductions for preexisting child support orders; and
- 8 percent of obligated parents and no custodial parties had deductions from income for preexisting voluntary support.

This likely understates the percentage with additional dependents since a parent may not receive an income deduction their additional dependents. This may be because the children were born after the order was established or for another reason.

Exhibit 29 compares the payment outcomes of IV-D administrative orders with an income deduction for the obligated parent's pre-existing order, those with an income deduction for preexisting voluntary support, and those without deductions. (There were no orders with both deductions.) Those without income deductions may or may not have additional dependents. The analysis of payment data suggested that payment outcomes were slightly better for these orders with adjustments than without adjustments, but the difference was not statistically different.

Exhibit 29: Comparison of Payment Patterns by whether the Guidelines Calculation Included an Income Adjustment for Obligor's Additional Dependents ( $\mathrm{N}=174$ administrative orders)

| Income Deduction for Obligor's Additional Dependents | Percentage with <br> Any Payment | Percentage <br> of Current <br> Support <br> Paid | Average Amount <br> Paid in Sample <br> Payment Year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deduction for Preexisting Order ( $\mathrm{N}=37$ ) | $84 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $\$ 3,063$ |
| Deduction for Preexisting Voluntary Support ( $\mathrm{N}=17$ ) | $82 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $\$ 4,396$ |
| No Deduction for Additional Dependent ( $\mathrm{N}=120)$ | $77 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $\$ 3,261$ |

Research studies conducted for other states find lower payments among obligated parents with multiple orders. One hypothesis is that they pay less because they have more to pay considering the sum of their orders and financial responsibility to other additional dependents who may be living with them. Analysis of a random sample from the Maryland child support caseload of orders established sometime between 2002 through 2006 found that 27.4 percent of obligated parents have multiple orders, and that their child support compliance rate among obligated parents with multiple orders was generally eight percentage points less when controlling for other factors. ${ }^{99}$ Data from Pennsylvania's recent child support guidelines review found that the payment rate was 7 to 11 percentage points less (depending on whether it was a new or modified order) among orders adjusted for an obligated parent's multiple

[^33]orders than those without the adjustment. ${ }^{100}$ Still another study, that assessed cases with child support arrears in nine large states ${ }^{101}$ found that obligated parents with multiple current orders owed a disproportionate share of arrears: obligated parents with multiple current orders comprised 12 percent of all obligated parents in the study, and those 12 percent of obligated parents owed 25 percent of all arrears. This is over twice as much as their proportionate share, which would be 12 percent.

Adjustments for Additional Dependents in State Guidelines
All state guidelines, including Maine (as shown in Exhibit 30), provide some sort of adjustment for additional dependents, albeit it may be just a criterion for a guidelines deviation. The most common approach is a deduction from income. This is usually a deduction from income if there is court-ordered child support, which is Maine's approach; and usually a deduction for a theoretical order if there is not a court order. A key difference between Maine's approach, and the approach of other state's is most states with a theoretical order specify that it is for children in the home.

Besides varying in their income deductions, states vary in whether they limit the adjustment to preexisting orders and prior-born children. In addition, some states limit the adjustment to orders actually paid.

## Preexisting Orders and Prior-Born Children

The definition of preexisting orders and existing orders for prior-born children can be confusing. The recent case of Dube v. Hayes (2021 ME AP-2021-01) illustrates that. It involves modifying a previous order for an order established subsequently. Although the intent of limiting income deductions to preexisting orders in most state guidelines imposing that limitation is to prioritize prior-born children (where the underlying policy premise is that the parent knew of the prior-born children when deciding to have another children), the criterion often results in the priority being the first to the courthouse. One limitation to this is that it does not treat all children equally; rather, the first born or first to the courthouse is prioritized. Another limitation is that a parent's existing financial responsibility to children is not a significant deterrent to having more children: at least, fertility research suggests it is not. Another limitation is not all prior-born children are known to the court or administrative hearing officer at the time of an order establishment.

Still, 13 states (including Maine) use the term "pre-existing" without addressing birth order and without using the term "existing." Another 13 states use the term "prior-born," and yet, another 13 states use the term "existing" but generally in the context that establishment of a new order or birth of another child alone is not a change in circumstance that warrants a modification to an existing order. Some of these states use both the terms, "preexisting" and "existing" (e.g., Massachusetts, as shown in Exhibit 30 at the end of the section uses both.) Specifically, several states specify that the additional order or

[^34]children can be considered if there is another change in circumstances that warrants a modification. The remaining 12 states make no mention of pre-existing, existing, prior-born, or reference to birth order. Some of these states (e.g., North Carolina, which is also shown in the exhibit, note that birth order is irrelevant.

## Income Deductions and Other Methods to Adjust for Additional Dependents

Most states (44 states including Maine) provide for a deduction from income. One limitation to this approach is when there are multiple orders, the last order established is based on the least amount of income. As each subsequent order is established, the income deduction for pre-existing orders becomes larger, and the remaining income to be used for determining the amount of support award for the last child support order becomes smaller.

Another solution to this issue is the proportional reduction used by Pennsylvania, which is also shown Exhibit 30. The Pennsylvania guidelines provide that the obligated parent's child support orders can be reduced if the total of the obligated parent's basic child support obligations equals more than 50 percent of the obligated parent's monthly net income. The 50 percent threshold relates to the wage withholding limit on child support. The adjustment is proportional across all the obligated parent's orders. The intent of the adjustment is to treat all children of the obligated parent equally and not give preference to an obligated parent's first or later family. This is also the major strength of this approach. The major weakness is it is a legal challenge to modify all orders when the original orders were established in different jurisdictions. According to Pennsylvania's most recent case file review, the adjustment is applied to 9 percent of new orders and 12 percent of modified orders.

## Adjustments for Additional Children without a Court Order

Over two-thirds of states ( 37 states) provide an income deduction for additional dependents with no court order. In contrast to the Maine provision, several states (e.g., Colorado, Minnesota, and North Carolina, which are all shown in the exhibit below) are very clear that an income deduction should be made for the parent's other children for whom that parent has a financial responsibility and who are living with that parent. Minnesota simplifies the language by using the term "non-joint children" to describe these children. Most of these states base the amount of the income deduction on a theoretical order calculated from that parent's income only. Colorado, Minnesota, and North Carolina provide for an income deduction of 75 percent of the theoretical order. Weighing it by 75 percent has been found to equalize the amount of income available to each set of children.

Exhibit 30: Additional Dependents Provisions of Selected State Guidelines
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|l|l|}\hline \text { State } & \text { Summary } & \text { Guidelines Excerpt } \\ \hline \text { Colorado } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Uses "existing" } \\ \text { but in } \\ \text { modification } \\ \text { limitation }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { B) Alimony, Maintenance (spousal/partner support), Pre-existing Child Support } \\ \text { Obligations, Responsibility for Other Children, and Contributions to Post-secondary } \\ \text { Education }\end{array} \\ \text { Equalizes } \\ \text { income } \\ \text { available for } \\ \text { support for } \\ \text { each set of } \\ \text { children }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}\text { The amount of alimony or maintenance actually received (Line 1a), should be added and } \\ \text { any amount actually paid (Line lb), should be deducted from gross income. } \\ \text { The amount(s) of any pre-existing court order(s) for child support (Line 1c) should be } \\ \text { deducted from gross income to the extent payment is actually made under such order(s). } \\ \text { Tiving in his/her home, should be deducted from gross income on Line 1d. For children not }\end{array}\right]$

| State | Summary | Guidelines Excerpt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | through 75\% of theoretical support | living at home, documented proof of money payments for support of those children is required. The adjustment applies to a party's natural or adopted children, but not to stepchildren, unless a prior court order has established a party's legal responsibility for them. Use of the adjustment is appropriate at the time of the establishment of a child support order or in a proceeding to modify an existing order. However, it may not be used to the extent the adjustment contributes to the calculation of a support order lower than the previously existing order for the children who are the subject of the modification proceeding. The amount entered on Line 1 d should be $75 \%$ of the amount listed in the schedule of basic support obligations which would represent $75 \%$ of a support obligation based only on the responsible parent's gross income, without any other adjustments, for the number of children for whom the parent is also responsible, pursuant to $\S 14-10$ - 115(6)(b)(I), C.R.S. |
| Mass. | Uses both "existing" and "pre-existing" | K. Existing Support Obligations and Responsibility for Children Not in the Case under Consideration <br> 1. When an initial order or a modification of an existing order is sought for a child covered by the order in the case under consideration, the amount actually paid by a parent pursuant to a pre-existing support order for a child or spouse not in the case under consideration shall be deducted from the gross income of that parent where that parent provides sufficient proof of the order and payments made. Payments on arrearages shall not be deducted from gross income. <br> 2. When an initial order or a modification of an existing order is sought for a child covered by the order in the case under consideration, the amount of voluntary payments actually paid to support a child not in the case under consideration and with whom the parent does not reside shall be deducted from the gross income of that parent, but only to the extent the Court determines the payments to be reasonable. The parent who seeks the deduction must provide sufficient proof of the legal obligation to support the child and of actual payments made to the other parent or guardian. |
| Minnesota | Uses "existing" and "nonjoint" is very clear <br> Limits if only reason for modification | a) When either or both parents are legally responsible for a nonjoint child, a deduction for this obligation shall be calculated under this section. <br> (b) Court-ordered child support for a nonjoint child shall be deducted from the payor's gross income. <br> (c) When a parent is legally responsible for a nonjoint child and the parent is not obligated to pay basic child support for the nonjoint child to the other parent or a legal custodian under an existing child support order, a deduction shall be calculated. The court shall use the basic support guideline table under section 518A. 35 to determine this deduction by using the gross income of the parent for whom the deduction is being calculated, minus any deduction under paragraph (b) and the number of eligible nonjoint children, up to six children. The deduction for nonjoint children is 75 percent of the guideline amount determined under this paragraph. <br> 518A. 34 COMPUTATION OF CHILD SUPPORT OBLIGATIONS. <br> (a) To determine the presumptive child support obligation of a parent, the court shall follow the procedure set forth in this section. <br> (b) To determine the obligor's basic support obligation, the court shall: <br> (1) determine the gross income of each parent under section 518A.29; <br> (2) calculate the parental income for determining child support (PICS) of each parent, by subtracting from the gross income the credit, if any, for each parent's nonjoint children under section 518A.33; <br> C) A child support order is not presumptively modifiable solely because an obligor or obligee becomes responsible for the support of an additional nonjoint child, which is born after an existing order. Section 518A. 33 shall be considered if other grounds are alleged which allow a modification of support. |
| Nebraska | Modification provision prevents reduction | An obligor shall not be allowed a reduction in an existing support order solely because of the birth, adoption, or acknowledgment of subsequent children of the obligor; however, a duty to provide regular support for subsequent children may be raised as a defense to an action for an upward modification of such existing support order. |


| State | Summary | Guidelines Excerpt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | solely due to another child |  |
| New Jersey | Treat all sets of children equally | The other-dependent deduction is part of an adjustment mechanism to apportion a parent's income to all legal dependents including those born before or after the children for whom support is being determined. Legal dependents include adopted or natural children of either parent who are under 18 years of age or over 18 years of age and still attending high school or other secondary school. No adjustment is provided for stepchildren. Generally, stepchildren are considered the legal responsibility of their natural parents unless the court determines that a stepparent has a legal obligation to support the child. <br> The adjustment requires that three support obligations be calculated - a theoretical support obligation for the other dependents in the alternate family, a support obligation that includes the other-dependent deduction, and a support obligation that does not include the other-dependent deduction. The deduction and the adjusted support obligation are calculated only if the income of the other parent in the alternate family is provided to the court. <br> 1. The amount of the deduction is the serial parent's theoretical support obligation for the other legal dependents. It requires that a separate Sole- Parenting child support guidelines worksheet be completed (through Line 14) for the children in the alternate family with the serial parent being the theoretical |
| North Carolina | Uses the term, "existing," and limits when only reason for modification | Existing Support Obligations And Responsibility For Other Children Current child support payments actually made by a parent under any existing court order, separation agreement, or voluntary support arrangement are deducted from the parent's gross income, regardless of whether the child or children for whom support is being paid was/were born before or after the child or children for whom support is being determined. Payments on arrearages are not deducted. The court may consider a voluntary support arrangement as an existing child support obligation when the supporting parent has consistently paid child support for a reasonable and extended period of time. The fact that a parent pays child support for two or more families under two or more child support orders, separation agreements, or voluntary support arrangements may be considered as a factor warranting deviation from the child support guidelines. When establishing, reviewing, or modifying a child support order, the court shall consider, during the same session of court if possible, all other requests to establish, review, or modify any other support order involving the same non-custodial parent. |
| Pennsylvania | Does not provide an income deduction; instead, reduces each order proportionally. | (a)When the total of the obligor's basic child support obligations equals fifty percent or less of his or her monthly net income, there will generally be no deviation from the guideline amount of support on the ground of the existence of a new family. For example, where the obligor requests a reduction of support for one child of the first marriage on the basis that there is a new child of the second intact marriage, and the relevant monthly net incomes are $\$ 2,500$ for the obligor, $\$ 500$ for the former spouse and $\$ 1,300$ for the current spouse, the request for a reduction will be denied because the total support obligation of $\$ 1,141$ ( $\$ 593$ for the first child and $\$ 548$ for the second child) is less than half of the obligor's monthly net income. <br> (b)When the total of the obligor's basic support obligations exceeds fifty percent of his or her monthly net income, the court may consider a proportional reduction of these obligations. Since, however, the goal of the guidelines is to treat each child equitably, in no event should either a first or later family receive preference. Nor shall the court divide the guideline amount for all of the obligor's children among the households in which those children live. <br> Example. The obligor is sued for support of three children of a second marriage. There is already an order in effect for two children of the first marriage. The relevant monthly net incomes are $\$ 1,500$ for the obligor, $\$ 0$ for the first spouse and $\$ 500$ for the second spouse. The obligor's basic support obligations to each family are $\$ 531$ for the two children of the first marriage and $\$ 615$ for the three children of the second marriage for a |


| State | Summary | Guidelines Excerpt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | total support obligation of \$1,146. Since this total obligation leaves the obligor with only <br> \$354 on which to live, the order for the three children of the second family is too high. <br> However, reducing the order for three children while leaving the existing order intact <br> would give preference to the first family, contrary to the rule. Therefore, both orders must <br> be reduced proportionally. |
| Vermont | Use the term <br> "existing" and <br> limits <br> modification | $\S 656 a$. Adjustment for additional dependents <br> (a) As used in this section, "additional dependents" means any natural and adopted <br> children and stepchildren for whom the parent has a duty of support. <br> (b) In any proceeding to establish or modify child support, the total child support <br> obligation for the children who are the subject of the support order shall be adjusted if a <br> parent is also responsible for the support of additional dependents who are not the <br> subject of the support order. The adjustments shall be made by calculating an amount <br> under the guidelines to represent the support obligation for additional dependents based <br> only upon the responsible parent's available income, without any other adjustments. This <br> amount shall be subtracted from that parent's available income prior to calculating the <br> total child support obligation based on both parents' available income as provided in <br> section 655 of this title. <br> (c) The adjustment for additional dependents shall not be made to the extent that it <br> contributes to the calculation of a support order lower than a previously existing support <br> order for the children who are the subject of the modification hearing at which the <br> adjustment is sought. <br> (d) A motion for modification may not be dismissed or denied solely because the <br> adjustment for additional dependents results in an increase of child support of ten percent <br> or less if the increase without the adjustment is greater than ten percent. (Added 1989, <br> No. 220 (Adj. Sess.), § \& 19.) |

This section considers the impact of updating the child support table including the self-support reserve (SSR). It uses eight case scenarios to examine the impact of updating the table. They are shown in Exhibit 31. The two scenarios assume that each parent earns minimum wage. In the first scenario, they work 34 hours per week, which is the average hours worked in Maine. In the second scenario, they work 40 hours per week. Scenarios 3-7 consider the median earnings of Maine workers by highest educational attainment and gender. Earnings are reported for five levels of educational attainment for Maine workers by the U.S. Census 2020 American Community Survey. ${ }^{102}$ Male median earnings are used as the incomes of the obligated parent in the scenarios and female median earnings are used for the receiving party's income. ${ }^{103}$ The final scenario considers a high-income case. There are no adjustments to base support or deductions from income for special factors such as the cost of the child's health insurance premium or substantial shared physical custody.

Exhibit 31: Summary of Case Scenarios Used to Compare Impact of Updated Table

| Case Scenario | Gross Monthly Income of Obligated Parent | Gross Monthly Income of Receiving Party |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Each parent earns minimum wage ( $\$ 12.75 /$ hour) at 34 hours per week (which is the average hours worked in Maine) | \$22,542 | \$22,542 |
| 2. Each parent earns minimum wage ( $\$ 12.75 /$ hour) at 40 hours per week | \$26,520 | \$26,520 |
| 3. Parent's earnings are equivalent to median earnings of Maine workers with less than a high school education | \$30,011 | \$19,225 |
| 4. Parent's earnings are equivalent to median earnings of Maine workers whose highest educational attainment is a high school degree or GED | \$37,690 | \$25,098 |
| 5. Parent's earnings are equivalent to median earnings of Maine workers whose highest educational attainment is some college or an associate degree | \$44,205 | \$31,291 |
| 6. Parent's earnings are equivalent to median earnings of Maine workers whose highest educational attainment is a college degree | \$55,568 | \$40,899 |
| 7. Parent's earnings are equivalent to median earnings of Maine workers whose highest educational attainment is graduate degree | \$71,492 | \$54,838 |
| 8. High income case: combined gross income of $\$ 200,000$ per year, parents have equal incomes | \$100,000 | \$100,000 |

The comparisons also consider the guidelines of neighboring states: Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Vermont. All compared states rely on the income shares model. Vermont is based on an older Betson-Rothbarth study and has the most generous self-support reserve, $\$ 1,359$ per month. Massachusetts is not based on any particular study and can be one of the highest guidelines in the nation depending on the particular case scenario. New Hampshire is based on a 1984 study of childrearing expenditures and does not consider the percentage of net income devoted to child-rearing

[^35]expenditures; and does not consider the percentage of net income devoted to child-rearing expenditures decreases as income increases. This flat percentage produces order amounts higher than many other state guidelines.

Exhibit 32, Exhibit 33, and Exhibit 34 compare case scenarios for one, two, and three children. The patterns for four or more children would be similar for three children.

## General Observations from the Case Scenarios

- Increasing the SSR produces decreases. This is the typical situation for Cases 1, 2, and 3 that involve a low-income, obligated parent. The decreases are never more than $\$ 38$ per week less and that is for the first case scenario involving three children. Based on the case file data, this would be an atypical case.
- Updating the schedule will produce increases above the SSR. Cases 4-8 illustrate the increases. The increases are generally moderate. The largest increase is \$48 per week for the three-child scenario under Case 8.

Exhibit 32: Comparisons of Case Scenarios or One Child


Exhibit 33: Comparisons of Case Scenarios for Two Children


Exhibit 34: Comparisons of Case Scenarios for Three Children


## Section 6: Summary, Conclusions, and Next Steps

Maine is reviewing its child support guidelines. This report documents the review. The review meets all federal requirements. Economic data on the cost of children were analyzed and used to develop an updated child support table. The existing table is based on economic data available in 2012. The proposed updated table considers more current economic evidence on child-rearing expenditures; 2022 federal and state income taxes and FICA (which affect the amount of spendable income available for child-rearing expenditures); 2022 price levels; Maine's most current price parity (because Maine's prices are slightly lower than the national average); and the 2022 federal poverty guidelines, which is used to update the self-support reserve that is part of the low-income adjustment. This report not only documents the data used to develop an updated table, but also the steps and assumptions used to develop the updated table. The report also compares the proposed, updated table to the existing table using a range of case scenarios including case scenarios involving low-income families. In general, there are small decreases at very low-incomes due to the increase in the self-support reserve and moderate increases at middle and higher incomes that become larger with income.

Case file data were also analyzed to fulfill federal requirements, specifically the analysis of guidelines deviations; rates of income imputation, default, and application of the low-income adjustment; and child support payments. Maine's guidelines deviation rate ranges from 1 to 16 percent. The lowest percentage is among IV-D administrative orders and the highest is among non-IV-D orders. These rates are below the deviation rates of many states. The low-income adjustment was applied to 2 percent of the IV-D court orders and non-IV-D orders and 16 percent of the IV-D administrative orders. Income imputation was estimated by noting the percentage of obligated parents with full-time, minimum wage earnings. Almost a quarter ( $24 \%$ ) of obligated parents with IV-D court orders and 11 percent of obligated parents with non-IV-D orders had full-time, minimum wage earnings. Income information was not available for IV-D administrative orders. The default rate was measured for IV-D court orders only: it was about 10 percent. Generally, payment outcomes were less among orders adjusted for low-income, and where the obligated parent's income was equivalent to full-time, minimum wage earnings.

The report also considered whether the current Maine guidelines fulfills federal requirements of state guidelines that were added in 2016:

- Consider all income and evidence of ability to pay;
- Consider the basic subsistence needs of obligated parents with limited ability to pay;
- Take into consideration the individual circumstances of the obligated parent when income imputation is authorized; and
- Provide that incarceration is not voluntary unemployment.

The existing Maine guidelines appears to comply with the added federal requirement except it does not name the 14 factors to be considered verbatim when income imputation is authorized (i.e., the noncustodial parent's assets, residence, employment and earnings history, job skills, educational attainment, literacy, age, health, criminal record and other employment barriers, and record of seeking work, as well as the local job market, the availability of employers willing to hire the noncustodial
parent, prevailing earnings level in the local community, and other relevant background factors in the case). Although in practice, Maine does indeed consider the actual circumstances of the obligated parent when income imputation is authorized. The caveat to this assessment is that the authors of this report do not have the authority to determine compliance with federal regulation. Only the federal Office of Child Support Enforcement does.

Finally, the report compares Maine's adjustment for additional dependents to those of other states. In general, Maine's adjustment is like those of other states: it allows an income deduction for prior child support orders, and it allows an income deduction for theoretical orders for additional dependents who are not covered by a child support order. Some states use birth order or do not make a distinction based on birth order or which order was issued first. The language surrounding the calculation of theoretical orders for children without orders, such as additional children living with the parent, could be clarified. Also, Maine unlike many states does not provide whether a new order or additional children alone is a change in circumstances that can be used to justify a modification. Several states prohibit a modification when the only change in circumstance is another additional dependent or another order, yet they allow the additional dependent or additional order to be considered if there is another change in circumstance (e.g., a change in income).

## Conclusion and Recommendations

Updating the table and the self-support reserve is appropriate, given recent inflation changes and better and more current economic data on the cost of raising children. Maine may want to adapt the federal language about factors to consider when income imputation is authorized to unambiguously comport with the federal requirement. Maine also may want to add clarifying language around its additional dependents adjustment to ensure its appropriate application when setting and modifying child support orders.

## Appendix A: Technical Documentation of the Updated Table

There are several technical considerations and steps taken to update a child support table. Exhibit A-1 shows the national data that Betson provided CPR to convert the BR5 measurements to a child support table that is adjusted for Maine prices using Maine's price parity.

## Overview of Income Ranges

For Exhibit A-1, Betson provided CPR with information for 25 income ranges that were generally income intervals of $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 20,000$ per year. CPR collapsed a few of them to average out some anomalies (e.g., a spike in the percentage of total expenditures devoted to child-rearing expenditures once childcare and extraordinary medical expenses were excluded from a particular income range). The collapsing resulted in the 20 income ranges shown in Exhibit A-1.

Exhibit A-1: Parental Expenditures on Children and Other Expenditures by Income Range Used in the BR5 Measurements (National Data)

| Annual After-Tax Income Range (2020 dollars) | Number of <br> Observations | Total Expenditures as a \% of After-Tax Income | Expenditures on Children as a \% of Total Consumption Expenditures (Rothbarth 2013-2019 data) |  |  | Childcare <br> \$ as a \% <br> of Consumption (per child) | Total Excess Medical \$ as a \% of Consumption |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 Child | 2 Children | 3 Children |  | (per capita) | (total) |
| \$ $0-\$ 19,999$ | 283 | >200\% | 22.433\% | 34.670\% | 42.514\% | 0.473\% | 0.870\% | 3.005\% |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 306 | 134.235\% | 23.739\% | 36.642\% | 44.893\% | 0.437\% | 0.894\% | 3.208\% |
| \$30,000 - \$34,999 | 306 | 107.769\% | 24.057\% | 37.118\% | 45.462\% | 0.407\% | 1.047\% | 3.722\% |
| \$35,000-\$39,999 | 409 | 103.780\% | 24.222\% | 37.364\% | 45.755\% | 0.647\% | 1.390\% | 4.878\% |
| \$40,000 - \$44,999 | 428 | 100.064\% | 24.362\% | 37.571\% | 46.002\% | 0.721\% | 1.468\% | 5.301\% |
| \$45,000-\$49,999 | 416 | 97.195\% | 24.452\% | 37.705\% | 46.161\% | 0.747\% | 1.539\% | 5.485\% |
| \$50,000 - \$54,999 | 399 | 92.716\% | 24.509\% | 37.789\% | 46.261\% | 0.855\% | 1.609\% | 5.887\% |
| \$55,000 - \$59,999 | 367 | 90.548\% | 24.580\% | 37.894\% | 46.386\% | 1.210\% | 2.166\% | 7.389\% |
| \$60,000-\$64,999 | 335 | 86.130\% | 24.615\% | 37.945\% | 46.447\% | 0.776\% | 2.071\% | 7.474\% |
| \$65,000 - \$69,999 | 374 | 84.016\% | 24.668\% | 38.025\% | 46.541\% | 1.255\% | 2.114\% | 7.525\% |
| \$70,000 - \$74,999 | 333 | 82.671\% | 24.725\% | 38.108\% | 46.640\% | 1.586\% | 2.121\% | 7.375\% |
| \$74,999 - \$84,999 | 615 | 82.690\% | 24.820\% | 38.249\% | 46.807\% | 1.743\% | 2.343\% | 7.894\% |
| \$85,000-\$89,999 | 318 | 78.663\% | 24.863\% | 38.311\% | 46.880\% | 1.392\% | 2.155\% | 8.331\% |
| \$90,000 - \$99,999 | 565 | 76.240\% | 24.912\% | 38.384\% | 46.966\% | 1.658\% | 2.000\% | 7.888\% |
| \$100,000 - \$109,999 | 493 | 75.488\% | 24.996\% | 38.508\% | 47.113\% | 2.159\% | 1.946\% | 7.121\% |
| \$110,000-\$119,999 | 374 | 73.058\% | 25.054\% | 38.593\% | 47.213\% | 2.523\% | 1.942\% | 7.583\% |
| \$120,000 - \$139,999 | 468 | 71.731\% | 25.142\% | 38.722\% | 47.365\% | 2.477\% | 1.893\% | 6.494\% |
| \$140,000-\$159,999 | 240 | 70.658\% | 25.266\% | 38.904\% | 47.579\% | 3.073\% | 1.855\% | 7.516\% |
| \$160,000 - \$199,999 | 512 | 62.753\% | 25.322\% | 38.986\% | 47.676\% | 1.790\% | 1.806\% | 7.037\% |
| \$200,000 or more | 498 | 58.427\% | 25.571\% | 39.350\% | 48.103\% | 2.459\% | 1.554\% | 6.501\% |

## Detailed Steps used to Arrive at Table

The steps used to convert the information from Exhibit A-1 to the updated table is generally the same steps used to develop prior Maine tables except for the 2012 update, which wasn't a full update (i.e., it did not consider a more current economic study on child-rearing expenditures.) There is another
exception for the conversion using the price parity to adjust for Maine's below-average price levels, which is discussed in more detail later.

The steps are presented in the order they occur, not in the order of the factors discussed in Section 3.
The steps consist of:
Step 1: Exclude childcare expenses.
Step 2: Exclude child's healthcare expenses except up to the first $\$ 250$ per year per child that is used to cover ordinary, out-of-pocket medical expenses for the child.

Step 3: Adjust for ratio of expenditures to after-tax income.
Step 4: Update for current price levels.
Step 5: Develop marginal percentages.
Step 6: Extend measurements to four and more children.
Step 7: Adjust for Maine price parity for the table adjusted for Maine prices.
Step 8: Convert to gross income.
Step 9: Incorporate the self-support reserve (SSR).

Step 1: Exclude Childcare Expenses
Childcare expenses are excluded because the actual amount of work-related childcare expenses is considered in the guidelines calculation on a case-by-case basis. The actual amount is considered because of the large variation in childcare expenses: the childcare expense is none for some children (e.g., older children) and substantial for others (e.g., infants in center-based care). Not to exclude them from the table and to include the actual amount in the guidelines calculation (typically as a line item in the worksheet) would be double accounting.

Starting with the expenditures on children, which is shown in fourth column of Exhibit A-1, average childcare expenses are subtracted from the percentage of total income devoted to child-rearing. For example, at combined incomes of $\$ 60,000$ to $\$ 64,999$ net per year, 37.945 percent of total expenditures is devoted to child-rearing expenditures for two children. Childcare comprises 0.776 percent of total expenditures per child. The percentage may appear small compared to the cost of childcare, but it reflects the average across all children regardless of whether they incur childcare expenses. Childcare expenses may not incur because the children are older, a relative provides childcare at no expense, or another situation.

The percentage of total expenditures devoted to childcare is multiplied by the number of children (e.g., 0.776 multiplied by children is $1.552 \%$ ). Continuing with the example of a combined income of $\$ 60,000$ to $\$ 64,999$ net per year, 1.552 percent is subtracted from 37.945 percent. The remainder, 36.393 ( 37.945 minus 1.552 equals 36.393 ), is the adjusted percentage devoted to child-rearing expenditures for two children that excludes childcare expenses.

One limitation is that the CE does not discern between work-related childcare expenses and childcare expenses the parents incurred due to entertainment (e.g., they incurred childcare expenses when they
went out to dinner.) This means that work-related childcare expenses may be slightly overstated. In turn, this would understate the table amounts. Similarly, if there are economies to scale for childcare, multiplying the number of children by the percentage per child would overstate actual childcare expenses. When subtracted from the table, this would reduce the table too much. However, due to the small percentage devoted to childcare expenses, any understatement is likely to be small.

Step 2: Exclude Medical Expenses
A similar adjustment is made for the child's medical expenses except an additional step is taken. Exhibit A-1 shows the excess medical percentage, which is defined as the cost of health insurance and out-ofpocket medical expenses exceeding $\$ 250$ per person per year. It is shown two ways: the per-capita amount and the average amount for the entire household. Either way considers expenditures on the two adults in the household. It is adjusted to a per-child amount since medical expenses of children are less. The underlying data do not track whether the insurance premium or medical expense was made for an adult's or a child's healthcare needs.

Based on the 2017 National Medical Expenditure survey, the annual out-of-pocket medical expense per child is $\$ 270$, while it is $\$ 615$ for an adult between the ages of 18 and $64 .{ }^{104}$ In other words, an adult's out-of-medical expenses is 2.28 more than that of a child's. This information is used to recalibrate the per-person excessive medical amount shown in Exhibit A-1 to a per-child amount. For example, at combined incomes of $\$ 60,000$ to $\$ 64,999$ net per year, the total excess medical expense is 7.474 percent. The adjusted child amount is 7.474 divided by the weighted amounts for family members (6.1684 based on 2.28 times two adults plus the average number of children for this income range, 1.6084). The quotient, 1.212 percent, is the per-child amount for excess medical. It is less than the percapita amount of 2.071 percent.

Continuing from the example in Step 1, where 36.393 is the percentage that excludes childcare for two children at a combined income of $\$ 60,000$ to $\$ 64,999$ net per year, 1.212 multiplied by two children is subtracted to exclude the children's excessive medical expenses. This leaves 33.969 as the percentage of total expenditures devoted to raising two children, less their childcare expenses and excess medical expenses.

## Step 3: Convert to After-Tax Income

The next step is to convert the percentage from above to an after-tax income by multiplying it by expenditures to after-tax income ratios. Continuing using the example of combined income of $\$ 60,000$ to $\$ 64,999$ net per year, the ratio is 86.130 . When multiplied by 33.969 , this yields 29.257 percent of after-tax income being the percentage of after-tax income devoted to raising two children, excluding their childcare and excess medical expenses.

Step 4: Adjust to Current Price Levels
The amounts in Exhibit A-2 are based on May 2020 price levels. They are converted to June 2022 price levels using changes to the Consumer Price Index (CPI-U), which is the most commonly used price

[^36]index. ${ }^{105}$ The adjustment is applied to the midpoint of each after-tax income range. Exhibit A-2 shows the midpoint in June 2022 dollars. Price levels have increased by 15.6 percent since May 2020 to June 2022.

Exhibit A-2: Table of Proportions for One, Two, and Three Children

| Annual After-Tax Income Range (May 2020 dollars) | Annual <br> Midpoint of Income Range (Jan. 2022 <br> Dollars) | One Child |  | Two Children |  | Three Children |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Midpoint | Marginal Percentage | Midpoint | Marginal Percentage | Midpoint | Marginal Percentage |
| < \$30,0000 | \$0 | 23.041\% | 23.041\% | 35.086\% | 35.086\% | 42.414\% | 42.414\% |
| \$30,000-\$34,999 | \$35,638 | 23.041\% | 23.041\% | 35.086\% | 30.397\% | 42.414\% | 34.813\% |
| \$35,000 - \$39,999 | \$41,121 | 23.041\% | 20.834\% | 34.461\% | 34.031\% | 41.401\% | 40.211\% |
| \$40,000 - \$44,999 | \$46,603 | 22.782\% | 16.965\% | 34.410\% | 25.320\% | 41.261\% | 30.000\% |
| \$45,000-\$49,999 | \$52,086 | 22.169\% | 10.445\% | 33.453\% | 14.985\% | 40.075\% | 17.008\% |
| \$50,000 - \$54,999 | \$57,569 | 21.053\% | 9.406\% | 31.694\% | 10.817\% | 37.879\% | 8.818\% |
| \$55,000 - \$59,999 | \$63,051 | 20.040\% | 13.143\% | 29.879\% | 22.110\% | 35.351\% | 29.299\% |
| \$60,000-\$64,999 | \$68,534 | 19.488\% | 7.992\% | 29.257\% | 9.168\% | 34.867\% | 7.438\% |
| \$65,000 - \$69,999 | \$74,017 | 18.637\% | 11.118\% | 27.769\% | 14.584\% | 32.835\% | 14.789\% |
| \$70,000-\$74,999 | \$79,500 | 18.118\% | 16.525\% | 26.860\% | 23.208\% | 31.591\% | 25.699\% |
| \$74,999-\$84,999 | \$87,724 | 17.969\% | 12.081\% | 26.518\% | 19.891\% | 31.038\% | 25.883\% |
| \$85,000 - \$89,999 | \$95,948 | 17.464\% | 9.419\% | 25.950\% | 13.114\% | 30.597\% | 14.370\% |
| \$90,000-\$99,999 | \$104,172 | 16.829\% | 12.140\% | 24.936\% | 16.107\% | 29.315\% | 16.595\% |
| \$100,000 - \$109,999 | \$115,137 | 16.382\% | 7.712\% | 24.095\% | 9.708\% | 28.104\% | 9.272\% |
| \$110,000-\$119,999 | \$126,103 | 15.628\% | 14.265\% | 22.844\% | 21.151\% | 26.466\% | 24.896\% |
| \$120,000-\$139,999 | \$142,551 | 15.471\% | 11.375\% | 22.649\% | 15.036\% | 26.285\% | 15.418\% |
| \$140,000 - \$159,999 | \$164,482 | 14.925\% | 9.996\% | 21.634\% | 17.177\% | 24.836\% | 23.161\% |
| \$160,000 - \$199,999 | \$197,378 | 14.103\% | 10.376\% | 20.891\% | 14.835\% | 24.557\% | 16.780\% |
| \$200,000 or more | \$283,881 | 12.968\% |  | 19.046\% |  | 22.187\% |  |

Step 5: Develop Marginal Percentages
In this step, the information from the previous steps is used to compute a tax table-like table of proportions for one, two, and three children that is shown in Exhibit A-4. The percentages from above (e.g., 29.257\% for two children for the combined income of \$60,000 to \$64,999 net per year in 2020 dollars) are assigned to the midpoint of that income range adjusted for inflation (\$68,534 in 2022 dollars). Marginal percentages are created by interpolating between income ranges. For the highest income range, the midpoint was supplied by Betson, it was $\$ 258,887$ per year in May 2020 dollars.

Another adjustment was made at low incomes. The percentages for incomes below $\$ 30,000$ net per year were less than the amounts for the net income range $\$ 30,000$ to $\$ 34,999$ per year. This is an artificial result caused by the cap on expenditures in Step 3 because families of this income range spend more than their after-tax income on average. Decreasing percentages result in a smooth decrease when the parent receiving support has more income. This is the general result of the steps so far. The exception is at low incomes because of the cap. Without the cap, it will also produce decreasing

[^37]percentages. For the purposes of the child support table, the percentage from the $\$ 30,000$ to $\$ 34,999$ net income bracket are applied to all incomes less than $\$ 30,000$ net per year. For one child, the percentages are from the $\$ 35,000$ to $\$ 39,999$ net income range. To be clear, this is still less than what families of this income range spend on children.

## Step 6: Extend to More Children

Most of the measurements only cover one, two, and three children. The number of families in the CE with four or more children is insufficient to produce reliable estimates. For many child support guidelines, the National Research Council's (NRC) equivalence scale, as shown below, is used to extend the three-child estimate to four and more children. ${ }^{106}$

$$
=(\text { number of adults }+0.7 \times \text { number of children })^{0.7}
$$

Application of the equivalence scale implies that expenditures on four children are 11.7 percent more than the expenditures for three children, expenditures on five children are 10.0 percent more than the expenditures for four children, and expenditures on six children are 8.7 percent more than the expenditures for five children.

## Step 7: Adjust for Maine Price Parity

The percentages in Exhibit A-3 are reduced to account for Maine's 2020 price parity, which is 96.8 percent. ${ }^{107}$ In other words, the calculations so far are multiplied by 96.8 , which is a 3.2 percent reduction.

## Step 8: Convert to Gross Income

The final step is to convert the table to a gross-income base. This is done by calculating the after-tax incomes for the gross incomes appearing in the table. The after-tax income equivalent is shown as a hidden column in Exhibit A-5. The table amounts are calculated based on the after-tax income using the information in Exhibit A-4 for one, two, and three children adjusted for Maine price parity. The amounts for four and more children are calculated from the three-child amounts in Exhibit A-4 multiplied by the equivalence scales shown in Step 6. The amounts for two or more children are also divided by the number of children to show a per-child amount. They are also divided by 52 to arrive at a weekly amount.

As identified in Section 3, the conversion to gross income relies on the federal and state withholding formulas. ${ }^{108}$ The federal withholding formula also considers FICA. The Social Security and Medicare tax is 6.2 percent for incomes up to $\$ 147,000$ per year. Above that level, the Medicare tax of 1.45 percent applies. In addition, the 0.9 percent additional Medicare tax for incomes above $\$ 200,000$ per year is also considered. The IRS formula assume a manual calculation using a current IRS W-4 form. (The IRS the

[^38]form in 2020 to reflect 2018 federal tax reform that increased the standard deduction and repealed personal exemptions.) It is assumed that the tax filing status is single. For the state tax, there is one withholding allowance to be congruent with the federal standard deduction.
Exhibit A-3: Illustration of Hidden After-Tax Income Column in Table

| Hidden After-Tax Income (annual) | Combined <br> Adjusted Gross Income (annual) | Per Child Weekly Amount |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | One Child | Two Children | Three Children | Four Children | Five <br> Children | Six <br> Children |
| 32989 | 40800 | 141 | 108 | 87 | 73 | 64 | 58 |
| 33430 | 41400 | 143 | 109 | 88 | 74 | 65 | 59 |
| 33872 | 42000 | 145 | 111 | 89 | 75 | 66 | 60 |
| 34313 | 42600 | 147 | 112 | 90 | 76 | 67 | 60 |
| 34755 | 43200 | 149 | 113 | 91 | 77 | 67 | 61 |
| 35197 | 43800 | 151 | 115 | 93 | 78 | 68 | 62 |
| 35638 | 44400 | 153 | 116 | 94 | 79 | 69 | 63 |
| 36080 | 45000 | 155 | 118 | 95 | 80 | 70 | 63 |
| 36521 | 45600 | 157 | 119 | 96 | 81 | 71 | 64 |
| 36963 | 46200 | 159 | 121 | 97 | 81 | 72 | 65 |
| 37405 | 46800 | 160 | 122 | 98 | 82 | 73 | 66 |
| 37846 | 47400 | 162 | 123 | 99 | 83 | 73 | 66 |
| 38288 | 48000 | 164 | 125 | 100 | 84 | 74 | 67 |

Using federal and state income tax withholding formulas and assuming all income is taxed at the rate of a single tax filer with earned income is a common assumption among most states and the assumption underlying previous Maine tables. Most alternative federal tax assumptions would result in more aftertax income-hence, the higher table amounts. For example, the District of Columbia assumes the taxfiling status is for a married couple claiming the number of children for whom support is being determined. The District used this assumption prior to 2018 tax reform that eliminated the federal tax allowance for children and expanded the federal child tax credit from $\$ 1,000$ per child to $\$ 2,000$ per child and higher for tax year 2022. The 2018 federal tax changes are tabled to expire in 2025.

Since the income conversion assumes single tax filing status, there is no adjustment for the child tax credit or the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC). The child tax credit would be impossible to include in the table since it applies to one parent and that parent's income must be within a certain range to receive the full child tax credit and another range to receive a partial child tax credit (which the IRS calls the additional child tax credit). In contrast, the table considers the combined gross income of the parents. Say the combined income of the parents is $\$ 150,000$ per year. If the parents have equal incomes ( $\$ 75,000$ per year), either parent's income would make them income-eligible for the full child tax credit. Say, however, that the obligated parent's income is $\$ 150,000$ and the other has no income, the parent without income would not be income-eligible for the child tax credit. The EITC is not considered because it is a means-tested program. Most states do not consider mean-tested income to be income available for child support.

The pro of considering an alternative tax assumption such as assuming the tax-filing status is married better aligns with the economic measurements of child-rearing expenditures because the measurements consider households in which the parents and children live together, so they would probably file as a married couple. They also could be set up to include the federal child tax credit, the
additional child tax credit, the earned income tax credit, or a combination of these child-related tax credits. The cons are that this would be a change in the previous assumption that is not necessarily justifiable and inconsistent application.

Step 9: Adjust for the SSR and the Minimum Order
A self-support reserve (SSR) is incorporated into the table. The existing table incorporates a SSR of $\$ 10,890$ per year, which is the 2011 federal poverty guidelines (FPG) for one person. The table is updated for the 2022 FPG for one person $(\$ 13,590)$. When gross income is below the SSR, a 10 percent minimum order is shown. The minimum order also applies to incomes slightly above that to account for payment of the minimum order. For example, a gross income of $\$ 16,000$ would have a minimum order of $\$ 1,600$. Assuming the obligor is the only parent to have income and pays the full $\$ 1,600$, that would leave the obligor $\$ 14,400$ per year to meet the obligor's subsistence needs. Further, the obligor would incur payroll taxes of about $\$ 1,613$ per year. This would leave the obligor with $\$ 12,787$ after payment of child support and payroll taxes, which is below the SSR. Payment of the minimum order and payroll taxes does not leave the obligor with income above the SSR until a gross income of $\$ 16,800$ per year. To that end, the minimum order is applied to incomes below this level.

For annual incomes of $\$ 16,800$ and above, the SSR is phased out by comparing the difference between the obligor's after-tax income and the SSR weighted by a "work incentive" to the BR-calculated amount, and the lower of the two is put in the table. The work incentive ensures that not every additional dollar in income is assigned to child support. Instead, 90 percent of the difference is assigned for one child and one additional percentage is assigned for each additional child (e.g., $91 \%$ for two children, $92 \%$ for three children, and so forth, up to $95 \%$ for six children). For example, the BR amount for one child at an income of $\$ 18,000$ is $\$ 175$ per week. In contrast, the difference between the SSR $(\$ 13,590)$ and the after-tax income from $\$ 18,000(\$ 15,918)$ is $\$ 2,328$ per year ( $\$ 45$ per week). When the difference is multiplied by 90 percent (which is the work incentive), the amount is $\$ 40$ per week. This is the amount that appears in the table because it is lower than $\$ 175$ per week.

The area adjusted for the SSR is shown by the shaded area of the table.

## Consumer Expenditure Data

Most studies of child-rearing expenditures, including the BR measurements, draw on expenditures data collected from families participating in the Consumers Expenditures Survey (CE) that is administered by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS). Economists use the CE because it is the most comprehensive and detailed survey conducted on household expenditures and consists of a large sample. The CE surveys about 7,000 households per quarter on expenditures, income, and household characteristics (e.g., family size). Households remain in the survey for four consecutive quarters, with households rotating in and out each quarter. Most economists, including Betson, use three or four quarters of expenditures data for a surveyed family. This means that family expenditures are averaged for about a year rather than over a quarter, which may not be as reflective of typical family expenditures.

In all, the BR5 study relies on expenditures/outlays data from almost 14,000 households, in which over half had a minor child present in the household. The subset of CE households considered for the BR5
measurements used to develop the existing updated table consisted of married couples of child-rearing age with no other adults living in the household (e.g., grandparents), households with no change in family size or composition during the survey period, and households with at least three completed interviews. Other family types were considered, which also changed the sample size, but the percentage of child-rearing expenditures in these alternative assumptions did not significantly change the percentage of expenditures devoted to child-rearing expenditures. The other family types included in these expanded samples were households with adult children living with them and domestic partners with children.

The CES asks households about expenditures on over 100 detailed items. Exhibit A-5 shows the major categories of expenditures captured by the CE. It includes the purchase price and sales tax on all goods purchased within the survey period. In recent years, the CE has added another measure of "expenditures" called "outlays." The key difference is that outlays essentially include installment plans on purchases, mortgage principal payments, and payments on home equity loans, while expenditures do not. To illustrate the difference, consider a family who purchases a home theater system during the survey period, puts nothing down, and pays for the home theater system through 36 months of installment payments. The expenditures measure would capture the total purchase price of the home theater system. The outlays measure would only capture the installment payments made in the survey period.

The BLS designed the CE to produce a nationally representative sample and samples representative of the four regions (Midwest, Northeast, South, and West). The sample sizes for each state, however, are not large enough to estimate child-rearing costs for families within a state. We know of no state that has seriously contemplated conducting a survey similar to the CE at a state level. The costs and time requirements would be prohibitive.

Transportation expenses account for about one-sixth of total family expenditures. In the category of "transportation," the CES includes net vehicle outlays; vehicle finance charges; gasoline and motor oil; maintenance and repairs; vehicle insurance; public transportation expenses; and vehicle rentals, leases, licenses, and other charges. The net vehicle outlay is the purchase price of a vehicle less the trade-in value. Net vehicle outlays account for just over one-third of all transportation expenses. Net vehicle outlays are an important consideration when measuring child-rearing expenditures because the family's use of the vehicle is often longer than the survey period. In Betson's first three studies, he excluded them because in his earlier estimates that consider expenditures the vehicle can be sold again later, after the survey period. In contrast, Betson's 2020 estimates that consider outlays capture vehicle payments made over the survey period. The USDA, which relies on expenditures, includes all transportation expenses including net vehicle outlays. There are some advantages and disadvantages to each approach. Excluding it makes sense when the vehicle may be part of the property settlement in a divorce. An alternative to that would be to include a value that reflects depreciation of the vehicle over time, but that information is not available. Including the entire net vehicle outlay when expenditures are used as the basis of the estimate likely overstates depreciation. When the basis of the estimates is outlays, it includes only vehicle installment payments rather than net vehicle outlays. This effectively avoids the issues of vehicle equity and depreciation.

Exhibit A-4: Partial List of Expenditure Items Considered in the Consumer Expenditure Survey

| Housing | Rent paid for dwellings, rent received as pay, parking fees, maintenance, and other expenses for <br> rented dwellings; interest and principal payments on mortgages, interest and principal payments <br> on home equity loans and lines of credit, property taxes and insurance, refinancing and <br> prepayment charges, ground rent, expenses for property management and security, homeowners' <br> insurance, fire insurance and extended coverage, expenses for repairs and maintenance <br> contracted out, and expenses of materials for owner-performed repairs and maintenance for <br> dwellings used or maintained by the consumer unit. Also includes utilities, cleaning supplies, <br> household textiles, furniture, major and small appliances, and other miscellaneous household <br> equipment (tools, plants, decorative items). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Food | Food at home purchased at grocery or other food stores, as well as meals, including tips, <br> purchased away from home (e.g., full-service and fast-food restaurants, vending machines). |
| Transportation | Vehicle finance charges, gasoline and motor oil, maintenance and repairs, vehicle insurance, public <br> transportation, leases, parking fees, and other transportation expenditures. |
| Entertainment | Admission to sporting events, movies, concerts, health clubs, recreational lessons, <br> television/radio/sound equipment, pets, toys, hobbies, and other entertainment equipment and <br> services. |
| Apparel | Apparel, footwear, uniforms, diapers, alterations and repairs, dry cleaning, sent-out laundry, <br> watches, and jewelry. |
| Other | Personal care products, reading materials, education fees, banking fees, interest paid on lines of <br> credit, and other expenses. |

Betson excludes some expenditure items captured by the CE because they are obviously not childrearing expenses. Specifically, he excludes contributions by family members to Social Security and private pension plans, and cash contributions made to members outside the surveyed household. The USDA also excludes these expenses from its estimates of child-rearing expenditures.

Gross and net incomes are reported by families participating in the CE. The difference between gross and net income is taxes. In fact, the CE uses the terms "income before taxes" and "income after taxes" instead of gross and net income. Income before taxes is the total money earnings and selected money receipts. It includes wages and salary, self-employment income, Social Security benefits, pension income, rental income, unemployment compensation, worker's compensation, veterans benefits, public assistance, and other sources of income. Income and taxes are based on self-reports and not checked against actual records.

The BLS has concerns that income may be underreported in the CE. Although underreporting of income is a problem inherent to surveys, the BLS is particularly concerned because expenditures exceed income among low-income households participating in the CE. The BLS does not know whether the cause is underreporting of income or that low-income households are actually spending more than their incomes because of an unemployment spell, the primary earner is a student, or the household is otherwise withdrawing from its savings. To improve income information, the BLS added and revised income questions in 2001. The new questions impute income based on a relationship to its expenditures when households do not report income. The 2010 and 2020 Betson-Rothbarth measurements rely on these new questions. Previous Betson measurements do not.

The BLS also had concerns with taxes being underreported. Beginning in 2013, the BLS began calculating taxes for families using a tax calculator, rather than relying self-reported amounts. This also affected differences between the BR5 measurements and earlier measurements.

The BLS also does not include changes in net assets or liabilities as income or expenditures. In all, the BLS makes it clear that reconciling differences between income and expenditures and precisely measuring income are not parts of the core mission of the CES. Rather, the core mission is to measure and track expenditures. The BLS recognizes that at some low-income levels, the CES shows that total expenditures exceed after-tax incomes, and at very high incomes, the CES shows total expenditures are considerably less than after-tax incomes. However, the changes to the income measure, the use of outlays rather than expenditures, and use of the tax calculator have lessened some of these issues.

Appendix B: Updated Table

| Proposed Schedule of Basic Support Obligation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' | Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined Annual Gross Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | Self-Support Reserve (shaded area) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 10\% when below \$16,800 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16800 | 24 | 12 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| 17400 | 32 | 16 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 6 |
| 18000 | 40 | 20 | 14 | 10 | 8 | 7 |
| 18600 | 48 | 24 | 16 | 12 | 10 | 8 |
| 19200 | 56 | 28 | 19 | 15 | 12 | 10 |
| 19800 | 64 | 32 | 22 | 17 | 13 | 11 |
| 20400 | 72 | 36 | 25 | 19 | 15 | 13 |
| 21000 | 78 | 40 | 27 | 21 | 17 | 14 |
| 21600 | 80 | 44 | 30 | 23 | 18 | 15 |
| 22200 | 82 | 48 | 33 | 25 | 20 | 17 |
| 22800 | 84 | 53 | 35 | 27 | 22 | 18 |
| 23400 | 86 | 57 | 38 | 29 | 23 | 20 |
| 24000 | 88 | 60 | 41 | 31 | 25 | 21 |
| 24600 | 90 | 64 | 43 | 33 | 27 | 22 |
| 25200 | 92 | 68 | 46 | 35 | 28 | 24 |
| 25800 | 94 | 71 | 49 | 37 | 30 | 25 |
| 26400 | 96 | 73 | 51 | 39 | 31 | 26 |
| 27000 | 98 | 74 | 54 | 41 | 33 | 28 |
| 27600 | 99 | 76 | 57 | 43 | 35 | 29 |
| 28200 | 101 | 77 | 59 | 45 | 36 | 31 |
| 28800 | 103 | 79 | 62 | 47 | 38 | 32 |
| 29400 | 105 | 80 | 64 | 49 | 40 | 33 |
| 30000 | 107 | 82 | 66 | 51 | 41 | 35 |
| 30600 | 109 | 83 | 67 | 53 | 43 | 36 |
| 31200 | 111 | 84 | 68 | 55 | 44 | 37 |
| 31800 | 113 | 86 | 69 | 57 | 46 | 39 |
| 32400 | 115 | 87 | 70 | 59 | 48 | 40 |
| 33000 | 117 | 89 | 72 | 60 | 49 | 41 |
| 33600 | 119 | 90 | 73 | 61 | 51 | 43 |
| 34200 | 121 | 92 | 74 | 62 | 52 | 44 |
| 34800 | 122 | 93 | 75 | 63 | 54 | 46 |
| 35400 | 124 | 95 | 76 | 64 | 56 | 47 |
| 36000 | 126 | 96 | 77 | 65 | 57 | 48 |
| 36600 | 128 | 98 | 79 | 66 | 58 | 50 |
| 37200 | 130 | 99 | 80 | 67 | 59 | 51 |
| 37800 | 132 | 101 | 81 | 68 | 60 | 52 |
| 38400 | 134 | 102 | 82 | 69 | 61 | 54 |
| 39000 | 136 | 103 | 83 | 70 | 61 | 55 |
| 39600 | 138 | 105 | 84 | 71 | 62 | 56 |


| Proposed Schedule of Basic Support Obligation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' | Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined Annual Gross Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 40200 | 140 | 106 | 86 | 72 | 63 | 57 |
| 40800 | 141 | 108 | 87 | 73 | 64 | 58 |
| 41400 | 143 | 109 | 88 | 74 | 65 | 59 |
| 42000 | 145 | 111 | 89 | 75 | 66 | 60 |
| 42600 | 147 | 112 | 90 | 76 | 67 | 60 |
| 43200 | 149 | 113 | 91 | 77 | 67 | 61 |
| 43800 | 151 | 115 | 93 | 78 | 68 | 62 |
| 44400 | 153 | 116 | 94 | 79 | 69 | 63 |
| 45000 | 155 | 118 | 95 | 80 | 70 | 63 |
| 45600 | 157 | 119 | 96 | 81 | 71 | 64 |
| 46200 | 159 | 121 | 97 | 81 | 72 | 65 |
| 46800 | 160 | 122 | 98 | 82 | 73 | 66 |
| 47400 | 162 | 123 | 99 | 83 | 73 | 66 |
| 48000 | 164 | 125 | 100 | 84 | 74 | 67 |
| 48600 | 166 | 126 | 101 | 85 | 75 | 68 |
| 49200 | 168 | 127 | 102 | 86 | 75 | 68 |
| 49800 | 170 | 128 | 103 | 87 | 76 | 69 |
| 50400 | 172 | 130 | 104 | 87 | 77 | 70 |
| 51000 | 174 | 131 | 105 | 88 | 78 | 70 |
| 51600 | 176 | 132 | 106 | 89 | 78 | 71 |
| 52200 | 177 | 133 | 107 | 90 | 79 | 72 |
| 52800 | 179 | 135 | 108 | 91 | 80 | 72 |
| 53400 | 181 | 136 | 109 | 91 | 80 | 73 |
| 54000 | 183 | 137 | 110 | 92 | 81 | 73 |
| 54600 | 185 | 138 | 111 | 93 | 82 | 74 |
| 55200 | 187 | 140 | 112 | 94 | 82 | 75 |
| 55800 | 188 | 141 | 113 | 94 | 83 | 75 |
| 56400 | 190 | 142 | 114 | 95 | 84 | 76 |
| 57000 | 191 | 143 | 115 | 96 | 85 | 77 |
| 57600 | 193 | 144 | 116 | 97 | 85 | 77 |
| 58200 | 194 | 146 | 117 | 98 | 86 | 78 |
| 58800 | 196 | 147 | 118 | 98 | 87 | 78 |
| 59400 | 197 | 148 | 119 | 99 | 87 | 79 |
| 60000 | 199 | 149 | 119 | 100 | 88 | 80 |
| 60600 | 200 | 151 | 120 | 101 | 89 | 80 |
| 61200 | 201 | 152 | 121 | 102 | 89 | 81 |
| 61800 | 203 | 153 | 122 | 102 | 90 | 82 |
| 62400 | 204 | 154 | 123 | 103 | 91 | 82 |
| 63000 | 206 | 155 | 124 | 104 | 92 | 83 |
| 63600 | 207 | 157 | 125 | 105 | 92 | 84 |
| 64200 | 209 | 158 | 126 | 106 | 93 | 84 |
| 64800 | 210 | 159 | 127 | 106 | 93 | 85 |
| 65400 | 211 | 159 | 127 | 107 | 94 | 85 |


| Proposed Schedule of Basic Support Obligation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' | Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined Annual Gross Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66000 | 212 | 160 | 128 | 107 | 94 | 86 |
| 66600 | 214 | 161 | 129 | 108 | 95 | 86 |
| 67200 | 215 | 162 | 130 | 109 | 96 | 87 |
| 67800 | 216 | 163 | 130 | 109 | 96 | 87 |
| 68400 | 217 | 164 | 131 | 110 | 97 | 87 |
| 69000 | 218 | 165 | 132 | 110 | 97 | 88 |
| 69600 | 220 | 166 | 132 | 111 | 98 | 88 |
| 70200 | 221 | 167 | 133 | 112 | 98 | 89 |
| 70800 | 222 | 168 | 134 | 112 | 99 | 89 |
| 71400 | 223 | 168 | 135 | 113 | 99 | 90 |
| 72000 | 224 | 169 | 135 | 113 | 100 | 90 |
| 72600 | 226 | 170 | 136 | 114 | 100 | 91 |
| 73200 | 227 | 171 | 137 | 114 | 101 | 91 |
| 73800 | 227 | 172 | 137 | 115 | 101 | 91 |
| 74400 | 228 | 172 | 137 | 115 | 101 | 92 |
| 75000 | 229 | 173 | 138 | 115 | 102 | 92 |
| 75600 | 230 | 173 | 138 | 116 | 102 | 92 |
| 76200 | 230 | 174 | 139 | 116 | 102 | 93 |
| 76800 | 231 | 174 | 139 | 116 | 102 | 93 |
| 77400 | 232 | 175 | 139 | 117 | 103 | 93 |
| 78000 | 233 | 175 | 140 | 117 | 103 | 93 |
| 78600 | 233 | 176 | 140 | 117 | 103 | 94 |
| 79200 | 234 | 176 | 141 | 118 | 104 | 94 |
| 79800 | 235 | 177 | 141 | 118 | 104 | 94 |
| 80400 | 236 | 177 | 141 | 118 | 104 | 94 |
| 81000 | 236 | 178 | 142 | 119 | 105 | 95 |
| 81600 | 237 | 178 | 142 | 119 | 105 | 95 |
| 82200 | 238 | 179 | 143 | 119 | 105 | 95 |
| 82800 | 238 | 179 | 143 | 120 | 105 | 95 |
| 83400 | 239 | 180 | 143 | 120 | 105 | 96 |
| 84000 | 240 | 180 | 143 | 120 | 106 | 96 |
| 84600 | 240 | 180 | 143 | 120 | 106 | 96 |
| 85200 | 241 | 181 | 144 | 120 | 106 | 96 |
| 85800 | 242 | 181 | 144 | 121 | 106 | 96 |
| 86400 | 242 | 182 | 144 | 121 | 106 | 96 |
| 87000 | 243 | 182 | 144 | 121 | 106 | 96 |
| 87600 | 244 | 182 | 144 | 121 | 106 | 96 |
| 88200 | 244 | 183 | 145 | 121 | 107 | 97 |
| 88800 | 245 | 183 | 145 | 121 | 107 | 97 |
| 89400 | 246 | 184 | 145 | 122 | 107 | 97 |
| 90000 | 246 | 184 | 145 | 122 | 107 | 97 |
| 90600 | 247 | 184 | 145 | 122 | 107 | 97 |
| 91200 | 248 | 185 | 146 | 122 | 107 | 97 |


| Proposed Schedule of Basic Support Obligation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' | Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined Annual Gross Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91800 | 248 | 185 | 146 | 122 | 108 | 98 |
| 92400 | 249 | 186 | 147 | 123 | 108 | 98 |
| 93000 | 250 | 187 | 148 | 124 | 109 | 99 |
| 93600 | 251 | 188 | 148 | 124 | 109 | 99 |
| 94200 | 252 | 188 | 149 | 125 | 110 | 99 |
| 94800 | 253 | 189 | 150 | 125 | 110 | 100 |
| 95400 | 254 | 190 | 150 | 126 | 111 | 100 |
| 96000 | 255 | 191 | 151 | 126 | 111 | 101 |
| 96600 | 256 | 191 | 152 | 127 | 112 | 101 |
| 97200 | 257 | 192 | 152 | 128 | 112 | 102 |
| 97800 | 258 | 193 | 153 | 128 | 113 | 102 |
| 98400 | 258 | 194 | 154 | 129 | 113 | 103 |
| 99000 | 259 | 194 | 154 | 129 | 114 | 103 |
| 99600 | 260 | 195 | 155 | 130 | 114 | 104 |
| 100200 | 261 | 196 | 156 | 130 | 115 | 104 |
| 100800 | 262 | 197 | 156 | 131 | 115 | 104 |
| 101400 | 263 | 197 | 156 | 131 | 115 | 104 |
| 102000 | 263 | 197 | 157 | 131 | 115 | 105 |
| 102600 | 264 | 198 | 157 | 131 | 116 | 105 |
| 103200 | 264 | 198 | 157 | 132 | 116 | 105 |
| 103800 | 265 | 198 | 157 | 132 | 116 | 105 |
| 104400 | 265 | 199 | 157 | 132 | 116 | 105 |
| 105000 | 266 | 199 | 157 | 132 | 116 | 105 |
| 105600 | 266 | 199 | 158 | 132 | 116 | 105 |
| 106200 | 267 | 200 | 158 | 132 | 116 | 105 |
| 106800 | 268 | 200 | 158 | 132 | 116 | 105 |
| 107400 | 268 | 200 | 158 | 132 | 117 | 106 |
| 108000 | 269 | 200 | 158 | 133 | 117 | 106 |
| 108600 | 269 | 201 | 158 | 133 | 117 | 106 |
| 109200 | 270 | 201 | 159 | 133 | 117 | 106 |
| 109800 | 270 | 201 | 159 | 133 | 117 | 106 |
| 110400 | 271 | 202 | 159 | 133 | 117 | 106 |
| 111000 | 272 | 202 | 159 | 133 | 117 | 106 |
| 111600 | 272 | 203 | 160 | 134 | 118 | 107 |
| 112200 | 273 | 203 | 160 | 134 | 118 | 107 |
| 112800 | 274 | 204 | 160 | 134 | 118 | 107 |
| 113400 | 275 | 204 | 161 | 135 | 118 | 107 |
| 114000 | 275 | 205 | 161 | 135 | 119 | 108 |
| 114600 | 276 | 205 | 161 | 135 | 119 | 108 |
| 115200 | 277 | 206 | 162 | 135 | 119 | 108 |
| 115800 | 278 | 206 | 162 | 136 | 119 | 108 |
| 116400 | 278 | 207 | 162 | 136 | 120 | 108 |
| 117000 | 279 | 207 | 163 | 136 | 120 | 109 |


| Proposed Schedule of Basic Support Obligation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' | Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined Annual Gross Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 117600 | 280 | 208 | 163 | 137 | 120 | 109 |
| 118200 | 280 | 208 | 163 | 137 | 120 | 109 |
| 118800 | 281 | 209 | 164 | 137 | 121 | 109 |
| 119400 | 282 | 209 | 164 | 137 | 121 | 110 |
| 120000 | 283 | 210 | 164 | 138 | 121 | 110 |
| 120600 | 284 | 210 | 165 | 138 | 122 | 110 |
| 121200 | 285 | 211 | 166 | 139 | 122 | 111 |
| 121800 | 286 | 212 | 166 | 139 | 122 | 111 |
| 122400 | 287 | 213 | 167 | 140 | 123 | 111 |
| 123000 | 288 | 214 | 167 | 140 | 123 | 112 |
| 123600 | 289 | 214 | 168 | 141 | 124 | 112 |
| 124200 | 291 | 215 | 168 | 141 | 124 | 112 |
| 124800 | 292 | 216 | 169 | 142 | 125 | 113 |
| 125400 | 293 | 217 | 170 | 142 | 125 | 113 |
| 126000 | 294 | 217 | 170 | 143 | 125 | 114 |
| 126600 | 295 | 218 | 171 | 143 | 126 | 114 |
| 127200 | 296 | 219 | 171 | 143 | 126 | 114 |
| 127800 | 297 | 220 | 172 | 144 | 127 | 115 |
| 128400 | 298 | 221 | 172 | 144 | 127 | 115 |
| 129000 | 299 | 221 | 173 | 145 | 128 | 116 |
| 129600 | 301 | 222 | 174 | 145 | 128 | 116 |
| 130200 | 302 | 223 | 174 | 146 | 128 | 116 |
| 130800 | 303 | 224 | 175 | 146 | 129 | 117 |
| 131400 | 304 | 224 | 175 | 147 | 129 | 117 |
| 132000 | 305 | 225 | 176 | 147 | 130 | 117 |
| 132600 | 306 | 226 | 176 | 148 | 130 | 118 |
| 133200 | 307 | 227 | 177 | 148 | 131 | 118 |
| 133800 | 308 | 228 | 178 | 149 | 131 | 119 |
| 134400 | 309 | 228 | 178 | 149 | 131 | 119 |
| 135000 | 310 | 229 | 179 | 150 | 132 | 119 |
| 135600 | 311 | 230 | 179 | 150 | 132 | 120 |
| 136200 | 312 | 230 | 180 | 151 | 133 | 120 |
| 136800 | 313 | 231 | 181 | 151 | 133 | 121 |
| 137400 | 313 | 232 | 181 | 152 | 134 | 121 |
| 138000 | 314 | 232 | 182 | 152 | 134 | 121 |
| 138600 | 315 | 233 | 182 | 153 | 134 | 122 |
| 139200 | 316 | 234 | 183 | 153 | 135 | 122 |
| 139800 | 317 | 234 | 183 | 154 | 135 | 122 |
| 140400 | 318 | 235 | 184 | 154 | 136 | 123 |
| 141000 | 318 | 236 | 185 | 155 | 136 | 123 |
| 141600 | 319 | 236 | 185 | 155 | 137 | 124 |
| 142200 | 320 | 237 | 186 | 156 | 137 | 124 |
| 142800 | 321 | 238 | 186 | 156 | 137 | 124 |


| Proposed Schedule of Basic Support Obligation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' | Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined Annual Gross Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 143400 | 322 | 238 | 187 | 157 | 138 | 125 |
| 144000 | 322 | 239 | 187 | 157 | 138 | 125 |
| 144600 | 323 | 240 | 188 | 158 | 139 | 126 |
| 145200 | 324 | 240 | 189 | 158 | 139 | 126 |
| 145800 | 325 | 241 | 189 | 159 | 139 | 126 |
| 146400 | 326 | 242 | 190 | 159 | 140 | 127 |
| 147000 | 327 | 242 | 190 | 159 | 140 | 127 |
| 147600 | 327 | 243 | 191 | 160 | 141 | 128 |
| 148200 | 328 | 244 | 192 | 161 | 141 | 128 |
| 148800 | 329 | 244 | 192 | 161 | 142 | 128 |
| 149400 | 330 | 245 | 193 | 161 | 142 | 129 |
| 150000 | 330 | 245 | 193 | 162 | 142 | 129 |
| 150600 | 331 | 246 | 193 | 162 | 142 | 129 |
| 151200 | 332 | 246 | 194 | 162 | 143 | 129 |
| 151800 | 333 | 247 | 194 | 162 | 143 | 130 |
| 152400 | 333 | 247 | 194 | 163 | 143 | 130 |
| 153000 | 334 | 248 | 195 | 163 | 143 | 130 |
| 153600 | 335 | 248 | 195 | 163 | 144 | 130 |
| 154200 | 335 | 249 | 195 | 164 | 144 | 130 |
| 154800 | 336 | 249 | 196 | 164 | 144 | 131 |
| 155400 | 337 | 250 | 196 | 164 | 145 | 131 |
| 156000 | 337 | 250 | 196 | 165 | 145 | 131 |
| 156600 | 338 | 251 | 197 | 165 | 145 | 131 |
| 157200 | 339 | 251 | 197 | 165 | 145 | 132 |
| 157800 | 340 | 252 | 197 | 165 | 146 | 132 |
| 158400 | 340 | 252 | 198 | 166 | 146 | 132 |
| 159000 | 341 | 253 | 198 | 166 | 146 | 132 |
| 159600 | 342 | 253 | 199 | 166 | 146 | 133 |
| 160200 | 342 | 254 | 199 | 167 | 147 | 133 |
| 160800 | 343 | 254 | 199 | 167 | 147 | 133 |
| 161400 | 344 | 255 | 200 | 167 | 147 | 133 |
| 162000 | 345 | 255 | 200 | 168 | 147 | 134 |
| 162600 | 346 | 256 | 200 | 168 | 148 | 134 |
| 163200 | 346 | 256 | 201 | 168 | 148 | 134 |
| 163800 | 347 | 257 | 201 | 169 | 148 | 134 |
| 164400 | 348 | 258 | 202 | 169 | 149 | 135 |
| 165000 | 349 | 258 | 202 | 169 | 149 | 135 |
| 165600 | 350 | 259 | 203 | 170 | 149 | 135 |
| 166200 | 351 | 260 | 203 | 170 | 150 | 136 |
| 166800 | 352 | 260 | 203 | 170 | 150 | 136 |
| 167400 | 353 | 261 | 204 | 171 | 150 | 136 |
| 168000 | 354 | 261 | 204 | 171 | 151 | 136 |
| 168600 | 355 | 262 | 205 | 171 | 151 | 137 |


| Proposed Schedule of Basic Support Obligation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' | Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined Annual Gross Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 169200 | 356 | 263 | 205 | 172 | 151 | 137 |
| 169800 | 357 | 263 | 205 | 172 | 151 | 137 |
| 170400 | 357 | 264 | 206 | 172 | 152 | 137 |
| 171000 | 358 | 264 | 206 | 173 | 152 | 138 |
| 171600 | 359 | 265 | 207 | 173 | 152 | 138 |
| 172200 | 360 | 266 | 207 | 174 | 153 | 138 |
| 172800 | 361 | 266 | 208 | 174 | 153 | 139 |
| 173400 | 362 | 267 | 208 | 174 | 153 | 139 |
| 174000 | 363 | 267 | 208 | 175 | 154 | 139 |
| 174600 | 364 | 268 | 209 | 175 | 154 | 139 |
| 175200 | 365 | 269 | 209 | 175 | 154 | 140 |
| 175800 | 366 | 269 | 210 | 176 | 155 | 140 |
| 176400 | 367 | 270 | 210 | 176 | 155 | 140 |
| 177000 | 367 | 270 | 210 | 176 | 155 | 141 |
| 177600 | 368 | 271 | 211 | 177 | 155 | 141 |
| 178200 | 369 | 272 | 211 | 177 | 156 | 141 |
| 178800 | 370 | 272 | 212 | 177 | 156 | 141 |
| 179400 | 371 | 273 | 212 | 178 | 156 | 142 |
| 180000 | 371 | 273 | 212 | 178 | 156 | 142 |
| 180600 | 372 | 273 | 212 | 178 | 157 | 142 |
| 181200 | 372 | 274 | 213 | 178 | 157 | 142 |
| 181800 | 373 | 274 | 213 | 178 | 157 | 142 |
| 182400 | 374 | 274 | 213 | 178 | 157 | 142 |
| 183000 | 374 | 275 | 213 | 179 | 157 | 142 |
| 183600 | 375 | 275 | 213 | 179 | 157 | 143 |
| 184200 | 375 | 275 | 214 | 179 | 158 | 143 |
| 184800 | 376 | 276 | 214 | 179 | 158 | 143 |
| 185400 | 376 | 276 | 214 | 179 | 158 | 143 |
| 186000 | 377 | 276 | 214 | 180 | 158 | 143 |
| 186600 | 377 | 277 | 215 | 180 | 158 | 143 |
| 187200 | 378 | 277 | 215 | 180 | 158 | 143 |
| 187800 | 378 | 277 | 215 | 180 | 158 | 144 |
| 188400 | 379 | 278 | 215 | 180 | 159 | 144 |
| 189000 | 379 | 278 | 215 | 180 | 159 | 144 |
| 189600 | 380 | 278 | 216 | 181 | 159 | 144 |
| 190200 | 380 | 279 | 216 | 181 | 159 | 144 |
| 190800 | 381 | 279 | 216 | 181 | 159 | 144 |
| 191400 | 381 | 279 | 216 | 181 | 159 | 144 |
| 192000 | 382 | 280 | 216 | 181 | 160 | 144 |
| 192600 | 382 | 280 | 217 | 181 | 160 | 145 |
| 193200 | 383 | 280 | 217 | 182 | 160 | 145 |
| 193800 | 383 | 281 | 217 | 182 | 160 | 145 |
| 194400 | 384 | 281 | 217 | 182 | 160 | 145 |


| Proposed Schedule of Basic Support Obligation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' | Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined Annual Gross Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 195000 | 384 | 281 | 217 | 182 | 160 | 145 |
| 195600 | 385 | 282 | 218 | 182 | 160 | 145 |
| 196200 | 385 | 282 | 218 | 182 | 161 | 145 |
| 196800 | 386 | 282 | 218 | 183 | 161 | 146 |
| 197400 | 387 | 283 | 218 | 183 | 161 | 146 |
| 198000 | 387 | 283 | 219 | 183 | 161 | 146 |
| 198600 | 388 | 284 | 219 | 184 | 162 | 146 |
| 199200 | 389 | 285 | 220 | 184 | 162 | 147 |
| 199800 | 390 | 285 | 220 | 185 | 162 | 147 |
| 200400 | 391 | 286 | 221 | 185 | 163 | 147 |
| 201000 | 392 | 287 | 221 | 185 | 163 | 148 |
| 201600 | 393 | 287 | 222 | 186 | 164 | 148 |
| 202200 | 394 | 288 | 222 | 186 | 164 | 149 |
| 202800 | 395 | 289 | 223 | 187 | 164 | 149 |
| 203400 | 396 | 289 | 224 | 187 | 165 | 149 |
| 204000 | 397 | 290 | 224 | 188 | 165 | 150 |
| 204600 | 398 | 291 | 225 | 188 | 166 | 150 |
| 205200 | 399 | 291 | 225 | 189 | 166 | 150 |
| 205800 | 400 | 292 | 226 | 189 | 166 | 151 |
| 206400 | 400 | 293 | 226 | 190 | 167 | 151 |
| 207000 | 401 | 294 | 227 | 190 | 167 | 151 |
| 207600 | 402 | 294 | 227 | 190 | 168 | 152 |
| 208200 | 403 | 295 | 228 | 191 | 168 | 152 |
| 208800 | 404 | 296 | 228 | 191 | 168 | 153 |
| 209400 | 405 | 296 | 229 | 192 | 169 | 153 |
| 210000 | 406 | 297 | 230 | 192 | 169 | 153 |
| 210600 | 407 | 298 | 230 | 193 | 170 | 154 |
| 211200 | 408 | 298 | 231 | 193 | 170 | 154 |
| 211800 | 409 | 299 | 231 | 194 | 170 | 154 |
| 212400 | 410 | 300 | 232 | 194 | 171 | 155 |
| 213000 | 411 | 300 | 232 | 195 | 171 | 155 |
| 213600 | 412 | 301 | 233 | 195 | 172 | 155 |
| 214200 | 413 | 302 | 233 | 195 | 172 | 156 |
| 214800 | 413 | 302 | 234 | 196 | 172 | 156 |
| 215400 | 414 | 303 | 234 | 196 | 173 | 157 |
| 216000 | 415 | 304 | 235 | 197 | 173 | 157 |
| 216600 | 416 | 305 | 236 | 197 | 174 | 157 |
| 217200 | 417 | 305 | 236 | 198 | 174 | 158 |
| 217800 | 418 | 306 | 237 | 198 | 174 | 158 |
| 218400 | 419 | 307 | 237 | 199 | 175 | 158 |
| 219000 | 420 | 307 | 238 | 199 | 175 | 159 |
| 219600 | 421 | 308 | 238 | 200 | 176 | 159 |
| 220200 | 422 | 309 | 239 | 200 | 176 | 159 |


| Proposed Schedule of Basic Support Obligation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' | Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined Annual Gross Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 220800 | 423 | 309 | 239 | 200 | 176 | 160 |
| 221400 | 424 | 310 | 240 | 201 | 177 | 160 |
| 222000 | 425 | 311 | 240 | 201 | 177 | 161 |
| 222600 | 426 | 311 | 241 | 202 | 178 | 161 |
| 223200 | 427 | 312 | 241 | 202 | 178 | 161 |
| 223800 | 427 | 313 | 242 | 203 | 178 | 162 |
| 224400 | 428 | 314 | 243 | 203 | 179 | 162 |
| 225000 | 429 | 314 | 243 | 204 | 179 | 162 |
| 225600 | 430 | 315 | 244 | 204 | 180 | 163 |
| 226200 | 431 | 316 | 244 | 205 | 180 | 163 |
| 226800 | 432 | 316 | 245 | 205 | 180 | 163 |
| 227400 | 433 | 317 | 245 | 205 | 181 | 164 |
| 228000 | 434 | 317 | 246 | 206 | 181 | 164 |
| 228600 | 434 | 318 | 246 | 206 | 181 | 164 |
| 229200 | 435 | 318 | 246 | 206 | 181 | 164 |
| 229800 | 436 | 319 | 246 | 207 | 182 | 165 |
| 230400 | 437 | 319 | 247 | 207 | 182 | 165 |
| 231000 | 437 | 320 | 247 | 207 | 182 | 165 |
| 231600 | 438 | 320 | 247 | 207 | 182 | 165 |
| 232200 | 439 | 321 | 248 | 208 | 183 | 165 |
| 232800 | 439 | 321 | 248 | 208 | 183 | 166 |
| 233400 | 440 | 322 | 248 | 208 | 183 | 166 |
| 234000 | 441 | 322 | 249 | 208 | 183 | 166 |
| 234600 | 442 | 323 | 249 | 209 | 184 | 166 |
| 235200 | 442 | 323 | 249 | 209 | 184 | 167 |
| 235800 | 443 | 324 | 250 | 209 | 184 | 167 |
| 236400 | 444 | 324 | 250 | 209 | 184 | 167 |
| 237000 | 444 | 324 | 250 | 210 | 185 | 167 |
| 237600 | 445 | 325 | 251 | 210 | 185 | 167 |
| 238200 | 446 | 325 | 251 | 210 | 185 | 168 |
| 238800 | 446 | 326 | 251 | 211 | 185 | 168 |
| 239400 | 447 | 326 | 252 | 211 | 185 | 168 |
| 240000 | 448 | 327 | 252 | 211 | 186 | 168 |
| 240600 | 449 | 327 | 252 | 211 | 186 | 168 |
| 241200 | 449 | 328 | 253 | 212 | 186 | 169 |
| 241800 | 450 | 328 | 253 | 212 | 186 | 169 |
| 242400 | 451 | 329 | 253 | 212 | 187 | 169 |
| 243000 | 451 | 329 | 254 | 212 | 187 | 169 |
| 243600 | 452 | 330 | 254 | 213 | 187 | 170 |
| 244200 | 453 | 330 | 254 | 213 | 187 | 170 |
| 244800 | 454 | 330 | 254 | 213 | 188 | 170 |
| 245400 | 454 | 331 | 255 | 213 | 188 | 170 |
| 246000 | 455 | 331 | 255 | 214 | 188 | 170 |


| Proposed Schedule of Basic Support Obligation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' | Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined Annual <br> Gross Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 246600 | 456 | 332 | 255 | 214 | 188 | 171 |
| 247200 | 456 | 332 | 256 | 214 | 189 | 171 |
| 247800 | 457 | 333 | 256 | 215 | 189 | 171 |
| 248400 | 458 | 333 | 256 | 215 | 189 | 171 |
| 249000 | 458 | 334 | 257 | 215 | 189 | 171 |
| 249600 | 459 | 334 | 257 | 215 | 189 | 172 |
| 250200 | 460 | 335 | 257 | 216 | 190 | 172 |
| 250800 | 461 | 335 | 258 | 216 | 190 | 172 |
| 251400 | 461 | 336 | 258 | 216 | 190 | 172 |
| 252000 | 462 | 336 | 258 | 216 | 190 | 172 |
| 252600 | 463 | 337 | 259 | 217 | 191 | 173 |
| 253200 | 463 | 337 | 259 | 217 | 191 | 173 |
| 253800 | 464 | 337 | 259 | 217 | 191 | 173 |
| 254400 | 465 | 338 | 260 | 217 | 191 | 173 |
| 255000 | 466 | 338 | 260 | 218 | 192 | 174 |
| 255600 | 466 | 339 | 260 | 218 | 192 | 174 |
| 256200 | 467 | 339 | 261 | 218 | 192 | 174 |
| 256800 | 468 | 340 | 261 | 219 | 192 | 174 |
| 257400 | 468 | 340 | 261 | 219 | 193 | 174 |
| 258000 | 469 | 341 | 261 | 219 | 193 | 175 |
| 258600 | 470 | 341 | 262 | 219 | 193 | 175 |
| 259200 | 470 | 342 | 262 | 220 | 193 | 175 |
| 259800 | 471 | 342 | 262 | 220 | 193 | 175 |
| 260400 | 472 | 343 | 263 | 220 | 194 | 175 |
| 261000 | 473 | 343 | 263 | 220 | 194 | 176 |
| 261600 | 473 | 344 | 263 | 221 | 194 | 176 |
| 262200 | 474 | 344 | 264 | 221 | 194 | 176 |
| 262800 | 475 | 344 | 264 | 221 | 195 | 176 |
| 263400 | 475 | 345 | 264 | 221 | 195 | 177 |
| 264000 | 476 | 345 | 265 | 222 | 195 | 177 |
| 264600 | 477 | 346 | 265 | 222 | 195 | 177 |
| 265200 | 478 | 346 | 265 | 222 | 196 | 177 |
| 265800 | 478 | 347 | 266 | 223 | 196 | 177 |
| 266400 | 479 | 347 | 266 | 223 | 196 | 178 |
| 267000 | 480 | 348 | 266 | 223 | 196 | 178 |
| 267600 | 480 | 348 | 267 | 223 | 197 | 178 |
| 268200 | 481 | 349 | 267 | 224 | 197 | 178 |
| 268800 | 482 | 349 | 267 | 224 | 197 | 178 |
| 269400 | 482 | 350 | 268 | 224 | 197 | 179 |
| 270000 | 483 | 350 | 268 | 225 | 198 | 179 |
| 270600 | 484 | 351 | 269 | 225 | 198 | 179 |
| 271200 | 484 | 351 | 269 | 225 | 198 | 180 |
| 271800 | 485 | 352 | 270 | 226 | 199 | 180 |


| Proposed Schedule of Basic Support Obligation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' | Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined Annual Gross Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 272400 | 485 | 352 | 270 | 226 | 199 | 180 |
| 273000 | 486 | 353 | 271 | 227 | 199 | 181 |
| 273600 | 487 | 353 | 271 | 227 | 200 | 181 |
| 274200 | 487 | 354 | 272 | 227 | 200 | 181 |
| 274800 | 488 | 354 | 272 | 228 | 201 | 182 |
| 275400 | 489 | 355 | 272 | 228 | 201 | 182 |
| 276000 | 489 | 356 | 273 | 229 | 201 | 182 |
| 276600 | 490 | 356 | 273 | 229 | 202 | 183 |
| 277200 | 490 | 357 | 274 | 229 | 202 | 183 |
| 277800 | 491 | 357 | 274 | 230 | 202 | 183 |
| 278400 | 492 | 358 | 275 | 230 | 203 | 184 |
| 279000 | 492 | 358 | 275 | 231 | 203 | 184 |
| 279600 | 493 | 359 | 276 | 231 | 203 | 184 |
| 280200 | 493 | 359 | 276 | 231 | 204 | 185 |
| 280800 | 494 | 360 | 277 | 232 | 204 | 185 |
| 281400 | 495 | 360 | 277 | 232 | 204 | 185 |
| 282000 | 495 | 361 | 278 | 233 | 205 | 185 |
| 282600 | 496 | 361 | 278 | 233 | 205 | 186 |
| 283200 | 497 | 362 | 279 | 233 | 205 | 186 |
| 283800 | 497 | 362 | 279 | 234 | 206 | 186 |
| 284400 | 498 | 363 | 280 | 234 | 206 | 187 |
| 285000 | 498 | 364 | 280 | 235 | 207 | 187 |
| 285600 | 499 | 364 | 281 | 235 | 207 | 187 |
| 286200 | 500 | 365 | 281 | 235 | 207 | 188 |
| 286800 | 500 | 365 | 282 | 236 | 208 | 188 |
| 287400 | 501 | 366 | 282 | 236 | 208 | 188 |
| 288000 | 502 | 366 | 283 | 237 | 208 | 189 |
| 288600 | 502 | 367 | 283 | 237 | 209 | 189 |
| 289200 | 503 | 367 | 284 | 238 | 209 | 189 |
| 289800 | 503 | 368 | 284 | 238 | 209 | 190 |
| 290400 | 504 | 368 | 284 | 238 | 210 | 190 |
| 291000 | 505 | 369 | 285 | 239 | 210 | 190 |
| 291600 | 505 | 369 | 285 | 239 | 210 | 191 |
| 292200 | 506 | 370 | 286 | 240 | 211 | 191 |
| 292800 | 507 | 370 | 286 | 240 | 211 | 191 |
| 293400 | 507 | 371 | 287 | 240 | 211 | 192 |
| 294000 | 508 | 372 | 287 | 241 | 212 | 192 |
| 294600 | 508 | 372 | 288 | 241 | 212 | 192 |
| 295200 | 509 | 373 | 288 | 242 | 213 | 193 |
| 295800 | 510 | 373 | 289 | 242 | 213 | 193 |
| 296400 | 510 | 374 | 289 | 242 | 213 | 193 |
| 297000 | 511 | 374 | 290 | 243 | 214 | 193 |
| 297600 | 511 | 375 | 290 | 243 | 214 | 194 |


| Proposed Schedule of Basic Support Obligation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' | Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined Annual Gross Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 298200 | 512 | 375 | 291 | 244 | 214 | 194 |
| 298800 | 513 | 376 | 291 | 244 | 215 | 194 |
| 299400 | 513 | 376 | 292 | 244 | 215 | 195 |
| 300000 | 514 | 377 | 292 | 245 | 215 | 195 |
| 300600 | 515 | 377 | 293 | 245 | 216 | 195 |
| 301200 | 515 | 378 | 293 | 246 | 216 | 196 |
| 301800 | 516 | 378 | 294 | 246 | 216 | 196 |
| 302400 | 516 | 379 | 294 | 246 | 217 | 196 |
| 303000 | 517 | 379 | 295 | 247 | 217 | 197 |
| 303600 | 518 | 380 | 295 | 247 | 217 | 197 |
| 304200 | 518 | 381 | 295 | 248 | 218 | 197 |
| 304800 | 519 | 381 | 296 | 248 | 218 | 198 |
| 305400 | 520 | 382 | 296 | 248 | 219 | 198 |
| 306000 | 520 | 382 | 297 | 249 | 219 | 198 |
| 306600 | 521 | 383 | 297 | 249 | 219 | 199 |
| 307200 | 521 | 383 | 298 | 250 | 220 | 199 |
| 307800 | 522 | 384 | 298 | 250 | 220 | 199 |
| 308400 | 523 | 384 | 299 | 250 | 220 | 200 |
| 309000 | 523 | 385 | 299 | 251 | 221 | 200 |
| 309600 | 524 | 385 | 300 | 251 | 221 | 200 |
| 310200 | 524 | 386 | 300 | 252 | 221 | 201 |
| 310800 | 525 | 386 | 301 | 252 | 222 | 201 |
| 311400 | 526 | 387 | 301 | 252 | 222 | 201 |
| 312000 | 526 | 387 | 302 | 253 | 222 | 201 |
| 312600 | 527 | 388 | 302 | 253 | 223 | 202 |
| 313200 | 528 | 389 | 303 | 254 | 223 | 202 |
| 313800 | 528 | 389 | 303 | 254 | 223 | 202 |
| 314400 | 529 | 390 | 304 | 254 | 224 | 203 |
| 315000 | 529 | 390 | 304 | 255 | 224 | 203 |
| 315600 | 530 | 391 | 305 | 255 | 225 | 203 |
| 316200 | 531 | 391 | 305 | 256 | 225 | 204 |
| 316800 | 531 | 392 | 306 | 256 | 225 | 204 |
| 317400 | 532 | 392 | 306 | 256 | 226 | 204 |
| 318000 | 533 | 393 | 306 | 257 | 226 | 205 |
| 318600 | 533 | 393 | 307 | 257 | 226 | 205 |
| 319200 | 534 | 394 | 307 | 258 | 227 | 205 |
| 319800 | 534 | 394 | 308 | 258 | 227 | 206 |
| 320400 | 535 | 395 | 308 | 258 | 227 | 206 |
| 321000 | 536 | 395 | 309 | 259 | 228 | 206 |
| 321600 | 536 | 396 | 309 | 259 | 228 | 207 |
| 322200 | 537 | 397 | 310 | 260 | 228 | 207 |
| 322800 | 537 | 397 | 310 | 260 | 229 | 207 |
| 323400 | 538 | 398 | 311 | 260 | 229 | 208 |


| Proposed Schedule of Basic Support Obligation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' | Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined Annual Gross Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 324000 | 539 | 398 | 311 | 261 | 229 | 208 |
| 324600 | 539 | 399 | 312 | 261 | 230 | 208 |
| 325200 | 540 | 399 | 312 | 262 | 230 | 208 |
| 325800 | 541 | 400 | 313 | 262 | 231 | 209 |
| 326400 | 541 | 400 | 313 | 262 | 231 | 209 |
| 327000 | 542 | 401 | 314 | 263 | 231 | 209 |
| 327600 | 542 | 401 | 314 | 263 | 232 | 210 |
| 328200 | 543 | 402 | 315 | 264 | 232 | 210 |
| 328800 | 544 | 402 | 315 | 264 | 232 | 210 |
| 329400 | 544 | 403 | 316 | 264 | 233 | 211 |
| 330000 | 545 | 403 | 316 | 265 | 233 | 211 |
| 330600 | 546 | 404 | 317 | 265 | 233 | 211 |
| 331200 | 546 | 405 | 317 | 266 | 234 | 212 |
| 331800 | 547 | 405 | 317 | 266 | 234 | 212 |
| 332400 | 547 | 405 | 318 | 266 | 234 | 212 |
| 333000 | 548 | 406 | 318 | 266 | 234 | 212 |
| 333600 | 549 | 406 | 318 | 267 | 235 | 213 |
| 334200 | 549 | 407 | 319 | 267 | 235 | 213 |
| 334800 | 550 | 407 | 319 | 267 | 235 | 213 |
| 335400 | 551 | 408 | 319 | 268 | 235 | 213 |
| 336000 | 551 | 408 | 320 | 268 | 236 | 214 |
| 336600 | 552 | 409 | 320 | 268 | 236 | 214 |
| 337200 | 553 | 409 | 320 | 268 | 236 | 214 |
| 337800 | 553 | 410 | 321 | 269 | 237 | 214 |
| 338400 | 554 | 410 | 321 | 269 | 237 | 214 |
| 339000 | 555 | 410 | 322 | 269 | 237 | 215 |
| 339600 | 555 | 411 | 322 | 270 | 237 | 215 |
| 340200 | 556 | 411 | 322 | 270 | 238 | 215 |
| 340800 | 556 | 412 | 323 | 270 | 238 | 215 |
| 341400 | 557 | 412 | 323 | 271 | 238 | 216 |
| 342000 | 558 | 413 | 323 | 271 | 238 | 216 |
| 342600 | 558 | 413 | 324 | 271 | 239 | 216 |
| 343200 | 559 | 414 | 324 | 271 | 239 | 216 |
| 343800 | 560 | 414 | 324 | 272 | 239 | 217 |
| 344400 | 560 | 415 | 325 | 272 | 239 | 217 |
| 345000 | 561 | 415 | 325 | 272 | 240 | 217 |
| 345600 | 562 | 416 | 325 | 273 | 240 | 217 |
| 346200 | 562 | 416 | 326 | 273 | 240 | 217 |
| 346800 | 563 | 416 | 326 | 273 | 240 | 218 |
| 347400 | 564 | 417 | 326 | 273 | 241 | 218 |
| 348000 | 564 | 417 | 327 | 274 | 241 | 218 |
| 348600 | 565 | 418 | 327 | 274 | 241 | 218 |
| 349200 | 565 | 418 | 327 | 274 | 241 | 219 |


| Proposed Schedule of Basic Support Obligation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' | Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined Annual Gross Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 349800 | 566 | 419 | 328 | 275 | 242 | 219 |
| 350400 | 567 | 419 | 328 | 275 | 242 | 219 |
| 351000 | 567 | 420 | 328 | 275 | 242 | 219 |
| 351600 | 568 | 420 | 329 | 275 | 242 | 220 |
| 352200 | 569 | 421 | 329 | 276 | 243 | 220 |
| 352800 | 569 | 421 | 329 | 276 | 243 | 220 |
| 353400 | 570 | 422 | 330 | 276 | 243 | 220 |
| 354000 | 571 | 422 | 330 | 277 | 243 | 220 |
| 354600 | 571 | 422 | 331 | 277 | 244 | 221 |
| 355200 | 572 | 423 | 331 | 277 | 244 | 221 |
| 355800 | 573 | 423 | 331 | 277 | 244 | 221 |
| 356400 | 573 | 424 | 332 | 278 | 244 | 221 |
| 357000 | 574 | 424 | 332 | 278 | 245 | 222 |
| 357600 | 574 | 425 | 332 | 278 | 245 | 222 |
| 358200 | 575 | 425 | 333 | 279 | 245 | 222 |
| 358800 | 576 | 426 | 333 | 279 | 245 | 222 |
| 359400 | 576 | 426 | 333 | 279 | 246 | 223 |
| 360000 | 577 | 427 | 334 | 280 | 246 | 223 |
| 360400 | 577 | 427 | 334 | 280 | 246 | 223 |
| 360800 | 578 | 427 | 334 | 280 | 246 | 223 |
| 361200 | 578 | 428 | 334 | 280 | 246 | 223 |
| 361600 | 579 | 428 | 335 | 280 | 247 | 223 |
| 362000 | 579 | 428 | 335 | 280 | 247 | 224 |
| 362400 | 580 | 428 | 335 | 281 | 247 | 224 |
| 362800 | 580 | 429 | 335 | 281 | 247 | 224 |
| 363200 | 580 | 429 | 335 | 281 | 247 | 224 |
| 363600 | 581 | 429 | 336 | 281 | 247 | 224 |
| 364000 | 581 | 430 | 336 | 281 | 248 | 224 |
| 364400 | 582 | 430 | 336 | 282 | 248 | 225 |
| 364800 | 582 | 430 | 336 | 282 | 248 | 225 |
| 365200 | 583 | 431 | 337 | 282 | 248 | 225 |
| 365600 | 583 | 431 | 337 | 282 | 248 | 225 |
| 366000 | 583 | 431 | 337 | 282 | 249 | 225 |
| 366400 | 584 | 431 | 337 | 283 | 249 | 225 |
| 366800 | 584 | 432 | 338 | 283 | 249 | 225 |
| 367200 | 585 | 432 | 338 | 283 | 249 | 226 |
| 367600 | 585 | 432 | 338 | 283 | 249 | 226 |
| 368000 | 586 | 433 | 338 | 283 | 249 | 226 |
| 368400 | 586 | 433 | 338 | 284 | 250 | 226 |
| 368800 | 586 | 433 | 339 | 284 | 250 | 226 |
| 369200 | 587 | 434 | 339 | 284 | 250 | 226 |
| 369600 | 587 | 434 | 339 | 284 | 250 | 227 |
| 370000 | 588 | 434 | 339 | 284 | 250 | 227 |


| Proposed Schedule of Basic Support Obligation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' | Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined Annual Gross Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 370400 | 588 | 435 | 340 | 285 | 250 | 227 |
| 370800 | 589 | 435 | 340 | 285 | 251 | 227 |
| 371200 | 589 | 435 | 340 | 285 | 251 | 227 |
| 371600 | 589 | 435 | 340 | 285 | 251 | 227 |
| 372000 | 590 | 436 | 341 | 285 | 251 | 227 |
| 372400 | 590 | 436 | 341 | 286 | 251 | 228 |
| 372800 | 591 | 436 | 341 | 286 | 251 | 228 |
| 373200 | 591 | 437 | 341 | 286 | 252 | 228 |
| 373600 | 592 | 437 | 341 | 286 | 252 | 228 |
| 374000 | 592 | 437 | 342 | 286 | 252 | 228 |
| 374400 | 592 | 438 | 342 | 286 | 252 | 228 |
| 374800 | 593 | 438 | 342 | 287 | 252 | 229 |
| 375200 | 593 | 438 | 342 | 287 | 252 | 229 |
| 375600 | 594 | 439 | 343 | 287 | 253 | 229 |
| 376000 | 594 | 439 | 343 | 287 | 253 | 229 |
| 376400 | 595 | 439 | 343 | 287 | 253 | 229 |
| 376800 | 595 | 439 | 343 | 288 | 253 | 229 |
| 377200 | 595 | 440 | 344 | 288 | 253 | 229 |
| 377600 | 596 | 440 | 344 | 288 | 253 | 230 |
| 378000 | 596 | 440 | 344 | 288 | 254 | 230 |
| 378400 | 597 | 441 | 344 | 288 | 254 | 230 |
| 378800 | 597 | 441 | 345 | 289 | 254 | 230 |
| 379200 | 598 | 441 | 345 | 289 | 254 | 230 |
| 379600 | 598 | 442 | 345 | 289 | 254 | 230 |
| 380000 | 598 | 442 | 345 | 289 | 254 | 231 |
| 380400 | 599 | 442 | 345 | 289 | 255 | 231 |
| 380800 | 599 | 443 | 346 | 290 | 255 | 231 |
| 381200 | 600 | 443 | 346 | 290 | 255 | 231 |
| 381600 | 600 | 443 | 346 | 290 | 255 | 231 |
| 382000 | 601 | 443 | 346 | 290 | 255 | 231 |
| 382400 | 601 | 444 | 347 | 290 | 256 | 231 |
| 382800 | 601 | 444 | 347 | 291 | 256 | 232 |
| 383200 | 602 | 444 | 347 | 291 | 256 | 232 |
| 383600 | 602 | 445 | 347 | 291 | 256 | 232 |
| 384000 | 603 | 445 | 348 | 291 | 256 | 232 |
| 384400 | 603 | 445 | 348 | 291 | 256 | 232 |
| 384800 | 604 | 446 | 348 | 292 | 257 | 232 |
| 385200 | 604 | 446 | 348 | 292 | 257 | 233 |
| 385600 | 604 | 446 | 348 | 292 | 257 | 233 |
| 386000 | 605 | 447 | 349 | 292 | 257 | 233 |
| 386400 | 605 | 447 | 349 | 292 | 257 | 233 |
| 386800 | 606 | 447 | 349 | 292 | 257 | 233 |
| 387200 | 606 | 447 | 349 | 293 | 258 | 233 |


| Proposed Schedule of Basic Support Obligation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' | Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined Annual Gross Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 387600 | 607 | 448 | 350 | 293 | 258 | 233 |
| 388000 | 607 | 448 | 350 | 293 | 258 | 234 |
| 388400 | 607 | 448 | 350 | 293 | 258 | 234 |
| 388800 | 608 | 449 | 350 | 293 | 258 | 234 |
| 389200 | 608 | 449 | 351 | 294 | 258 | 234 |
| 389600 | 609 | 449 | 351 | 294 | 259 | 234 |
| 390000 | 609 | 450 | 351 | 294 | 259 | 234 |
| 390400 | 610 | 450 | 351 | 294 | 259 | 235 |
| 390800 | 610 | 450 | 351 | 294 | 259 | 235 |
| 391200 | 610 | 450 | 352 | 295 | 259 | 235 |
| 391600 | 611 | 451 | 352 | 295 | 259 | 235 |
| 392000 | 611 | 451 | 352 | 295 | 260 | 235 |
| 392400 | 612 | 451 | 352 | 295 | 260 | 235 |
| 392800 | 612 | 452 | 353 | 295 | 260 | 235 |
| 393200 | 613 | 452 | 353 | 296 | 260 | 236 |
| 393600 | 613 | 452 | 353 | 296 | 260 | 236 |
| 394000 | 613 | 453 | 353 | 296 | 260 | 236 |
| 394400 | 614 | 453 | 354 | 296 | 261 | 236 |
| 394800 | 614 | 453 | 354 | 296 | 261 | 236 |
| 395200 | 615 | 454 | 354 | 297 | 261 | 236 |
| 395600 | 615 | 454 | 354 | 297 | 261 | 237 |
| 396000 | 616 | 454 | 354 | 297 | 261 | 237 |
| 396400 | 616 | 454 | 355 | 297 | 261 | 237 |
| 396800 | 616 | 455 | 355 | 297 | 262 | 237 |
| 397200 | 617 | 455 | 355 | 298 | 262 | 237 |
| 397600 | 617 | 455 | 355 | 298 | 262 | 237 |
| 398000 | 618 | 456 | 356 | 298 | 262 | 237 |
| 398400 | 618 | 456 | 356 | 298 | 262 | 238 |
| 398800 | 619 | 456 | 356 | 298 | 262 | 238 |
| 399200 | 619 | 457 | 356 | 298 | 263 | 238 |
| 399600 | 619 | 457 | 357 | 299 | 263 | 238 |
| 400000 | 620 | 457 | 357 | 299 | 263 | 238 |



1 Child
2 Children
3 Children
4 Children
5 Children
6 Children


|  | 1 Child |  |  |  | 2 Children |  |  |  | 3 Children |  |  |  | 4 Children |  |  |  | 5 Children |  |  |  | 6 Children |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \frac{N}{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{5} \\ & \text { is } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & \text { \% } \\ & \text { 5 } \\ & \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{80}{7} \\ & \frac{5}{⿺ 𠃊} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{10}{8} \\ & \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ed } \\ & \text { " } \\ & \frac{5}{3} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\text { y }}{\frac{5}{6}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { e } \\ & \text { 른 } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { \% } \\ & \text { \& } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { 坒 }}{\frac{5}{6}}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{M} \\ & \frac{2}{5} \\ & \stackrel{y}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{80}{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿹ㅡㅇ } \\ & \text { 䨤 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { है } \\ & \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { 르́ }}{\frac{5}{\mathbf{y}}}$ |  | $\%$ 0 5 5 |  |
| 122400 | 266 | 287 | 21 | 8．0\％ | 189 | 213 | 24 | 12．6\％ | 147 | 167 | 20 | 13．4\％ | 121 | 140 | 19 | 15．4\％ | 107 | 123 | 16 | 14．8\％ | 97 | 111 | 14 | 14．7\％ |
| 123000 | 267 | 288 | 21 | 8．0\％ | 190 | 214 | 24 | 12．4\％ | 147 | 167 | 20 | 13．8\％ | 122 | 140 | 18 | 14．8\％ | 108 | 123 | 15 | 14．2\％ | 98 | 112 | 14 | 14．0\％ |
| 123600 | 267 | 289 | 22 | 8．4\％ | 191 | 214 | 23 | 12．2\％ | 147 | 168 | 21 | 14．2\％ | 122 | 141 | 19 | 15．2\％ | 108 | 124 | 16 | 14．6\％ | 98 | 112 | 14 | 14．4\％ |
| 124200 | 268 | 291 | 23 | 8．4\％ | 191 | 215 | 24 | 12．6\％ | 148 | 168 | 20 | 13．8\％ | 122 | 141 | 19 | 15．6\％ | 108 | 124 | 16 | 14．9\％ | 98 | 112 | 14 | 14．7\％ |
| 124800 | 269 | 292 | 23 | 8．4\％ | 192 | 216 | 24 | 12．4\％ | 148 | 169 | 21 | 14．2\％ | 122 | 142 | 20 | 16．0\％ | 109 | 125 | 16 | 14．3\％ | 98 | 113 | 15 | 15．1\％ |
| 125400 | 270 | 293 | 23 | 8．5\％ | 192 | 217 | 25 | 12．8\％ | 149 | 170 | 21 | 13．8\％ | 123 | 142 | 19 | 15．5\％ | 109 | 125 | 16 | 14．7\％ | 99 | 113 | 14 | 14．4\％ |
| 126000 | 270 | 294 | 24 | 8．9\％ | 193 | 217 | 24 | 12．7\％ | 149 | 170 | 21 | 14．2\％ | 123 | 143 | 20 | 15．9\％ | 109 | 125 | 16 | 15．1\％ | 99 | 114 | 15 | 14．8\％ |
| 126600 | 271 | 295 | 24 | 8．9\％ | 193 | 218 | 25 | 13．1\％ | 149 | 171 | 22 | 14．6\％ | 123 | 143 | 20 | 16．3\％ | 109 | 126 | 17 | 15．5\％ | 99 | 114 | 15 | 15．1\％ |
| 127200 | 271 | 296 | 25 | 9．3\％ | 193 | 219 | 26 | 13．5\％ | 150 | 171 | 21 | 14．2\％ | 124 | 143 | 19 | 15．7\％ | 110 | 126 | 16 | 14．8\％ | 99 | 114 | 15 | 15．5\％ |
| 127800 | 272 | 297 | 25 | 9．3\％ | 194 | 220 | 26 | 13．3\％ | 150 | 172 | 22 | 14．6\％ | 124 | 144 | 20 | 16．1\％ | 110 | 127 | 17 | 15．2\％ | 100 | 115 | 15 | 14．8\％ |
| 128400 | 273 | 298 | 25 | 9．3\％ | 194 | 221 | 27 | 13．7\％ | 150 | 172 | 22 | 15．0\％ | 124 | 144 | 20 | 16．5\％ | 110 | 127 | 17 | 15．6\％ | 100 | 115 | 15 | 15．1\％ |
| 129000 | 273 | 299 | 26 | 9．7\％ | 195 | 221 | 26 | 13．5\％ | 151 | 173 | 22 | 14．6\％ | 125 | 145 | 20 | 15．9\％ | 110 | 128 | 18 | 15．9\％ | 100 | 116 | 16 | \％ |
| 129600 | 274 | 301 | 27 | 9．7\％ | 195 | 222 | 27 | 13．9\％ | 151 | 174 | 23 | 15．0\％ | 125 | 145 | 20 | 16．3\％ | 111 | 128 | 17 | 15．3\％ | 100 | 116 | 16 | － |
| 130200 | 275 | 302 | 27 | 9．7\％ | 196 | 223 | 27 | 13．7\％ | 151 | 174 | 23 | 15．3\％ | 126 | 146 | 20 | 15．8\％ | 111 | 128 | 17 | 15．7\％ | 100 | 116 | 16 | 16．3\％ |
| 130800 | 275 | 303 | 28 | 10．1\％ | 197 | 224 | 27 | 13．5\％ | 152 | 175 | 23 | 15．0\％ | 126 | 146 | 20 | 16．2\％ | 111 | 129 | 18 | 16．1\％ | 101 | 117 | 16 | 15．5\％ |
| 131400 | 276 | 304 | 28 | 10．1\％ | 197 | 224 | 27 | 13．9\％ | 152 | 175 | 23 | 15．3\％ | 126 | 147 | 21 | 16．6\％ | 111 | 129 | 18 | 16．4\％ | 101 | 117 | 16 | 15．9\％ |
| 132000 | 277 | 305 | 28 | 10．1\％ | 197 | 225 | 28 | 14．3\％ | 152 | 176 | 24 | 15．7\％ | 126 | 147 | 21 | 16．9\％ | 111 | 130 | 19 | 16．8\％ | 101 | 117 | 16 | 16．3\％ |
| 132600 | 277 | 306 | 29 | 10．5\％ | 198 | 226 | 28 | 14．2\％ | 153 | 176 | 23 | 15．3\％ | 127 | 148 | 21 | 16．4\％ | 112 | 130 | 18 | 16．2\％ | 102 | 118 | 16 | 15．5\％ |
| 133200 | 278 | 307 | 29 | 10．5\％ | 198 | 227 | 29 | 14．5\％ | 153 | 177 | 24 | 15．7\％ | 127 | 148 | 21 | 16．8\％ | 112 | 131 | 19 | 16．5\％ | 102 | 118 | 16 | 15．9\％ |
| 133800 | 278 | 308 | 30 | 10．9\％ | 199 | 228 | 29 | 14．4\％ | 154 | 178 | 24 | 15．3\％ | 127 | 149 | 22 | 17．2\％ | 112 | 131 | 19 | 16．9\％ | 102 | 119 | 17 | 16．3\％ |
| 134400 | 279 | 309 | 30 | 10．9\％ | 199 | 228 | 29 | 14．7\％ | 154 | 178 | 24 | 15．7\％ | 128 | 149 | 21 | 16．6\％ | 112 | 131 | 19 | 17．3\％ | 103 | 119 | 16 | 5．5 |
| 135000 | 280 | 310 | 30 | 10．8\％ | 200 | 229 | 29 | 14．5\％ | 154 | 179 | 25 | 16．1\％ | 128 | 150 | 22 | 17．0\％ | 113 | 132 | 19 | 16．6\％ | 103 | 119 | 16 | 5.9 |
| 135600 | 281 | 311 | 30 | 10．7\％ | 200 | 230 | 30 | 14．8\％ | 155 | 179 | 24 | 15．7\％ | 128 | 150 | 22 | 17．4\％ | 113 | 132 | 19 | 17．0\％ | 103 | 120 | 17 | 6.3 |
| 136200 | 281 | 312 | 31 | 11．0\％ | 201 | 230 | 29 | 14．6\％ | 155 | 180 | 25 | 16．1\％ | 128 | 151 | 23 | 17．8\％ | 114 | 133 | 19 | 16．4\％ | 103 | 120 | 17 | $16.7 \%$ |
| 136800 | 282 | 313 | 31 | 10．9\％ | 201 | 231 | 30 | 14．9\％ | 156 | 181 | 25 | 15．7\％ | 129 | 151 | 22 | 17．2\％ | 114 | 133 | 19 | 16．7\％ | 103 | 121 | 18 | 17.0 |
| 137400 | 283 | 313 | 30 | 10．8\％ | 201 | 232 | 31 | 15．3\％ | 156 | 181 | 25 | 16．1\％ | 129 | 152 | 23 | 17．6\％ | 114 | 134 | 20 | 17．1\％ | 104 | 121 | 17 | 16.3 |
| 138000 | 284 | 314 | 30 | 10．7\％ | 202 | 232 | 30 | 15．0\％ | 156 | 182 | 26 | 16．5\％ | 129 | 152 | 23 | 18．0\％ | 115 | 134 | 19 | 16．5\％ | 104 | 121 | 17 | 16．7\％ |
| 138600 | 284 | 315 | 31 | 11．0\％ | 203 | 233 | 30 | 14．8\％ | 156 | 182 | 26 | 16．8\％ | 130 | 153 | 23 | 17．4\％ | 115 | 134 | 19 | 16．8\％ | 104 | 122 | 18 | 17．0\％ |
| 139200 | 285 | 316 | 31 | 10．9\％ | 203 | 234 | 31 | 15．1\％ | 157 | 183 | 26 | 16．5\％ | 130 | 153 | 23 | 17．8\％ | 115 | 135 | 20 | 17．2\％ | 104 | 122 | 18 | 17.4 |
| 139800 | 286 | 317 | 31 | 10．8\％ | 204 | 234 | 30 | 14．9\％ | 157 | 183 | 26 | 16．8\％ | 131 | 154 | 23 | 17．3\％ | 115 | 135 | 20 | 17．6\％ | 105 | 122 | 17 | 16.7 |
| 140400 | 287 | 318 | 31 | 10．6\％ | 204 | 235 | 31 | 15．2\％ | 158 | 184 | 26 | 16．5\％ | 131 | 154 | 23 | 17．7\％ | 116 | 136 | 20 | 16．9\％ | 105 | 123 | 18 | 17．0\％ |
| 141000 | 287 | 318 | 31 | 10．9\％ | 205 | 236 | 31 | 15．0\％ | 158 | 185 | 27 | 16．8\％ | 131 | 155 | 24 | 18．0\％ | 116 | 136 | 20 | 17．3\％ | 105 | 123 | 18 | 17．4\％ |
| 141600 | 288 | 319 | 31 | 10．8\％ | 205 | 236 | 31 | 15．3\％ | 159 | 185 | 26 | 16．5\％ | 131 | 155 | 24 | 18．4\％ | 116 | 137 | 21 | 17．7\％ | 105 | 124 | 19 | 17.8 |
| 142200 | 288 | 320 | 32 | 11．1\％ | 205 | 237 | 32 | 15．6\％ | 159 | 186 | 27 | 16．8\％ | 132 | 156 | 24 | 17．9\％ | 116 | 137 | 21 | 18．0\％ | 105 | 124 | 19 | 18. |
| 142800 | 289 | 321 | 32 | 11．0\％ | 206 | 238 | 32 | 15．4\％ | 159 | 186 | 27 | 17．2\％ | 132 | 156 | 24 | 18．2\％ | 117 | 137 | 20 | 17．4\％ | 106 | 124 | 18 | 17．4\％ |
| 143400 | 290 | 322 | 32 | 10．9\％ | 206 | 238 | 32 | 15．7\％ | 160 | 187 | 27 | 16．8\％ | 132 | 157 | 25 | 18．6\％ | 117 | 138 | 21 | 17．8\％ | 106 | 125 | 19 | 17.7 |
| 144000 | 290 | 322 | 32 | 11．2\％ | 207 | 239 | 32 | 15．5\％ | 160 | 187 | 27 | 17．2\％ | 132 | 157 | 25 | 19．0\％ | 117 | 138 | 21 | 18．19 | 106 | 125 | 19 | 18．1\％ |
| 144600 | 291 | 323 | 32 | 11．1\％ | 207 | 240 | 33 | 15．8\％ | 160 | 188 | 28 | 17．5\％ | 133 | 158 | 25 | 18．5\％ | 117 | 139 | 22 | 18．5\％ | 106 | 126 | 20 | 18．5\％ |
| 145200 | 292 | 324 | 32 | 11．0\％ | 208 | 240 | 32 | 15．6\％ | 161 | 189 | 28 | 17．2\％ | 133 | 158 | 25 | 18．8\％ | 118 | 139 | 21 | 17．9\％ | 106 | 126 | 20 | 18.8 |
| 145800 | 292 | 325 | 33 | 11．3\％ | 209 | 241 | 32 | 15．3\％ | 161 | 189 | 28 | 17．5\％ | 133 | 159 | 26 | 19．2\％ | 118 | 139 | 21 | 18．2\％ | 107 | 126 | 19 | 18.1 |
| 146400 | 293 | 326 | 33 | 11．2\％ | 209 | 242 | 33 | 15．7\％ | 161 | 190 | 29 | 17．9\％ | 134 | 159 | 25 | 18．7\％ | 118 | 140 | 22 | 18．6\％ | 107 | 127 | 20 | 18.5 |
| 147000 | 294 | 327 | 33 | 11．1\％ | 209 | 242 | 33 | 16．0\％ | 162 | 190 | 28 | 17．5\％ | 134 | 159 | 25 | 19．0\％ | 118 | 140 | 22 | 18．9\％ | 108 | 127 | 19 | 17.78 |
| 147600 | 294 | 327 | 33 | 11．4\％ | 210 | 243 | 33 | 15．8\％ | 162 | 191 | 29 | 17．9\％ | 134 | 160 | 26 | 19．4\％ | 118 | 141 | 23 | 19．3\％ | 108 | 128 | 20 | 18．1\％ |
| 148200 | 295 | 328 | 33 | 11．3\％ | 210 | 244 | 34 | 16．1\％ | 163 | 192 | 29 | 17．6\％ | 134 | 161 | 27 | 19．8\％ | 119 | 141 | 22 | 18．7\％ | 108 | 128 | 20 | 18.5 |
| 148800 | 296 | 329 | 33 | 11．2\％ | 211 | 244 | 33 | 15．9\％ | 163 | 192 | 29 | 17．9\％ | 135 | 161 | 26 | 19．2\％ | 119 | 142 | 23 | 19．0\％ | 108 | 128 | 20 | 18.8 |
| 149400 | 296 | 330 | 34 | 11．4\％ | 211 | 245 | 34 | 16．1\％ | 163 | 193 | 30 | 18．1\％ | 135 | 161 | 26 | 19．5\％ | 120 | 142 | 22 | 18．3\％ | 109 | 129 | 20 | 17．9\％ |
| 150000 | 297 | 330 | 33 | 11．3\％ | 212 | 245 | 33 | 15．8\％ | 164 | 193 | 29 | 17．6\％ | 135 | 162 | 27 | 19．7\％ | 120 | 142 | 22 | 18．5\％ | 109 | 129 | 20 | 18．2\％ |
| 150600 | 298 | 331 | 33 | 11．1\％ | 212 | 246 | 34 | 16．0\％ | 164 | 193 | 29 | 17．8\％ | 136 | 162 | 26 | 19．0\％ | 120 | 142 | 22 | 18．7\％ | 109 | 129 | 20 | 18.4 |
| 151200 | 299 | 332 | 33 | 11．0\％ | 213 | 246 | 33 | 15．7\％ | 164 | 194 | 30 | 18．0\％ | 136 | 162 | 26 | 19．2\％ | 120 | 143 | 23 | 18．9\％ | 109 | 129 | 20 | 18.6 |
| 151800 | 299 | 333 | 34 | 11．2\％ | 213 | 247 | 34 | 15．9\％ | 165 | 194 | 29 | 17．5\％ | 136 | 162 | 26 | 19．5\％ | 121 | 143 | 22 | 18．2\％ | 109 | 130 | 21 | 18．8\％ |
| 152400 | 299 | 333 | 34 | 11．5\％ | 214 | 247 | 33 | 15．6\％ | 165 | 194 | 29 | 17．8\％ | 137 | 163 | 26 | 18．8\％ | 121 | 143 | 22 | 18．4\％ | 110 | 130 | 20 | 18.08 |
| 153000 | 300 | 334 | 34 | 11．3\％ | 214 | 248 | 34 | 15．8\％ | 165 | 195 | 30 | 18．0\％ | 137 | 163 | 26 | 19．0\％ | 121 | 143 | 22 | 18．6\％ | 110 | 130 | 20 | 18．2\％ |
| 153600 | 301 | 335 | 34 | 11．2\％ | 215 | 248 | 33 | 15．5\％ | 166 | 195 | 29 | 17．5\％ | 137 | 163 | 26 | 19．2\％ | 121 | 144 | 23 | 18．8\％ | 110 | 130 | 20 | 18．4\％ |
| 154200 | 301 | 335 | 34 | 11．4\％ | 215 | 249 | 34 | 15．7\％ | 166 | 195 | 29 | 17．7\％ | 138 | 164 | 26 | 18．6\％ | 122 | 144 | 22 | 18．1\％ | 110 | 130 | 20 | 18．6\％ |
| 154800 | 302 | 336 | 34 | 11．3\％ | 215 | 249 | 34 | 16．0\％ | 166 | 196 | 30 | 17．9\％ | 138 | 164 | 26 | 18．8\％ | 122 | 144 | 22 | 18．3\％ | 110 | 131 | 21 | 18．8\％ |
| 155400 | 303 | 337 | 34 | 11．1\％ | 216 | 250 | 34 | 15．7\％ | 166 | 196 | 30 | 18．1\％ | 138 | 164 | 26 | 19．0\％ | 122 | 145 | 23 | 18．5\％ | 111 | 131 | 20 | 18.0 |
| 156000 | 303 | 337 | 34 | 11．4\％ | 216 | 250 | 34 | 15．9\％ | 167 | 196 | 29 | 17．6\％ | 138 | 165 | 27 | 19．2\％ | 122 | 145 | 23 | 18．7\％ | 111 | 131 | 20 | 18．2\％ |
| 156600 | 304 | 338 | 34 | 11．2\％ | 216 | 251 | 35 | 16．1\％ | 167 | 197 | 30 | 17．8\％ | 139 | 165 | 26 | 18．6\％ | 122 | 145 | 23 | 18．9\％ | 111 | 131 | 20 | 18．4\％ |
| 157200 | 305 | 339 | 34 | 11．1\％ | 217 | 251 | 34 | 15．8\％ | 167 | 197 | 30 | 18．0\％ | 139 | 165 | 26 | 18．8\％ | 123 | 145 | 22 | 18．2\％ | 111 | 132 | 21 | 18．6\％ |
| 157800 | 305 | 340 | 35 | 11．3\％ | 217 | 252 | 35 | 16．0\％ | 168 | 197 | 29 | 17．6\％ | 139 | 165 | 26 | 19．0\％ | 123 | 146 | 23 | 18．4\％ | 111 | 132 | 21 | 18．8\％ |
| 158400 | 306 | 340 | 34 | 11．2\％ | 218 | 252 | 34 | 15．7\％ | 168 | 198 | 30 | 17．8\％ | 139 | 166 | 27 | 19．2\％ | 123 | 146 | 23 | 18．6\％ | 111 | 132 | 21 | 19．0\％ |


|  | 1 Child |  |  |  | 2 Children |  |  |  | 3 Children |  |  |  | 4 Children |  |  |  | 5 Children |  |  |  | 6 Children |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{8}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y y}{7} \\ & \frac{5}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿹ㅠㄲ } \\ & \frac{10}{8} \\ & \frac{8}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { 5 } \\ & \text { is } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\text { 룬 }}{\frac{5}{6}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 프 } \\ & \frac{15}{8} \\ & \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { 00 } \\ & \frac{5}{3} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\stackrel{y y}{5}}{\frac{5}{6}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \frac{5}{5} \\ & h \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\frac{5}{5}}{\frac{5}{6}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 를 } \\ & \text { 흄 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \text { 0 } \\ & \text { 5 } \\ & \text { w } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{8}{\frac{8}{5}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& } \\ & \text { 0 } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 른 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흐 } \\ & \frac{5}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \text { i } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \text { 82 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 159000 | 306 | 341 | 35 | 11.4\% | 218 | 253 | 35 | 15.9\% | 168 | 198 | 30 | 18.0\% | 139 | 166 | 27 | 19.5\% | 123 | 146 | 23 | 18.8\% | 112 | 132 | 20 | 18.2\% |
| 159600 | 307 | 342 | 35 | 11.3\% | 219 | 253 | 34 | 15.6\% | 169 | 199 | 30 | 17.5\% | 140 | 166 | 26 | 18.8\% | 123 | 146 | 23 | 19.0\% | 112 | 133 | 21 | 18.4\% |
| 160200 | 307 | 342 | 35 | 11.5\% | 219 | 254 | 35 | 15.9\% | 169 | 199 | 30 | 17.7\% | 140 | 167 | 27 | 19.0\% | 123 | 147 | 24 | 19.2\% | 112 | 133 | 21 | 18.6\% |
| 160800 | 308 | 343 | 35 | 11.4\% | 219 | 254 | 35 | 16.1\% | 169 | 199 | 30 | 17.9\% | 140 | 167 | 27 | 19.2\% | 124 | 147 | 23 | 18.5\% | 112 | 133 | 21 | 18.8\% |
| 161400 | 309 | 344 | 35 | 11.3\% | 219 | 255 | 36 | 16.3\% | 170 | 200 | 30 | 17.4\% | 140 | 167 | 27 | 19.5\% | 124 | 147 | 23 | 18.7\% | 112 | 133 | 21 | 19.0\% |
| 162000 | 309 | 345 | 36 | 11.5\% | 220 | 255 | 35 | 16.0\% | 170 | 200 | 30 | 17.7\% | 141 | 168 | 27 | 18.9\% | 124 | 147 | 23 | 18.9\% | 112 | 134 | 22 | 19.3\% |
| 162600 | 310 | 346 | 36 | 11.5\% | 220 | 256 | 36 | 16.3\% | 170 | 200 | 30 | 17.9\% | 141 | 168 | 27 | 19.1\% | 124 | 148 | 24 | 19.2\% | 113 | 134 | 21 | 18.5\% |
| 163200 | 311 | 346 | 35 | 11.4\% | 221 | 256 | 35 | 16.1\% | 171 | 201 | 30 | 17.5\% | 141 | 168 | 27 | 19.3\% | 124 | 148 | 24 | 19.4\% | 113 | 134 | 21 | 18.7\% |
| 163800 | 311 | 347 | 36 | 11.7\% | 221 | 257 | 36 | 16.3\% | 171 | 201 | 30 | 17.7\% | 141 | 169 | 28 | 19.6\% | 125 | 148 | 23 | 18.7\% | 114 | 134 | 20 | 17.9\% |
| 164400 | 312 | 348 | 36 | 11.6\% | 222 | 258 | 36 | 16.1\% | 171 | 202 | 31 | 18.0\% | 142 | 169 | 27 | 19.0\% | 126 | 149 | 23 | 18.0\% | 114 | 135 | 21 | 18.2\% |
| 165000 | 313 | 349 | 36 | 11.6\% | 222 | 258 | 36 | 16.4\% | 171 | 202 | 31 | 18.2\% | 142 | 169 | 27 | 19.2\% | 126 | 149 | 23 | 18.3\% | 114 | 135 | 21 | 18.4\% |
| 165600 | 313 | 350 | 37 | 11.9\% | 223 | 259 | 36 | 16.1\% | 172 | 203 | 31 | 17.8\% | 142 | 170 | 28 | 19.5\% | 126 | 149 | 23 | 18.5\% | 114 | 135 | 21 | 18.6\% |
| 166200 | 314 | 351 | 37 | 11.8\% | 223 | 260 | 37 | 16.4\% | 172 | 203 | 31 | 18.0\% | 143 | 170 | 27 | 18.9\% | 126 | 150 | 24 | 18.7\% | 114 | 136 | 22 | 18.9\% |
| 166800 | 314 | 352 | 38 | 12.1\% | 223 | 260 | 37 | 16.7\% | 172 | 203 | 31 | 18.2\% | 143 | 170 | 27 | 19.1\% | 126 | 150 | 24 | 19.0\% | 115 | 136 | 21 | 18.1\% |
| 167400 | 315 | 353 | 38 | 12.0\% | 224 | 261 | 37 | 16.4\% | 173 | 204 | 31 | 17.8\% | 143 | 171 | 28 | 19.4\% | 127 | 150 | 23 | $18.3 \%$ | 115 | 136 | 21 | 18.3\% |
| 168000 | 315 | 354 | 39 | 12.3\% | 224 | 261 | 37 | 16.7\% | 173 | 204 | 31 | 18.0\% | 143 | 171 | 28 | 19.6\% | 127 | 151 | 24 | 18.5\% | 115 | 136 | 21 | 18.6\% |
| 168600 | 316 | 355 | 39 | 12.2\% | 224 | 262 | 38 | 16.9\% | 174 | 205 | 31 | 17.6\% | 144 | 171 | 27 | 19.0\% | 127 | 151 | 24 | 18.8\% | 115 | 137 | 22 | 18.8\% |
| 169200 | 317 | 356 | 39 | 12.2\% | 225 | 263 | 38 | 16.7\% | 174 | 205 | 31 | 17.8\% | 144 | 172 | 28 | 19.3\% | 127 | 151 | 24 | 19.0\% | 115 | 137 | 22 | 19.1\% |
| 169800 | 317 | 357 | 40 | 12.5\% | 225 | 263 | 38 | 17.0\% | 174 | 205 | 31 | 18.1\% | 144 | 172 | 28 | 19.5\% | 127 | 151 | 24 | 19.3\% | 116 | 137 | 21 | 18.3\% |
| 170400 | 318 | 357 | 39 | 12.4\% | 226 | 264 | 38 | 16.7\% | 175 | 206 | 31 | 17.6\% | 144 | 172 | 28 | 19.8\% | 128 | 152 | 24 | 18.6\% | 116 | 137 | 21 | 18.5\% |
| 171000 | 318 | 358 | 40 | 12.7\% | 226 | 264 | 38 | 17.0\% | 175 | 206 | 31 | 17.9\% | 145 | 173 | 28 | 19.2\% | 128 | 152 | 24 | 18.8\% | 116 | 138 | 22 | 18.8\% |
| 171600 | 319 | 359 | 40 | 12.6\% | 227 | 265 | 38 | 16.7\% | 175 | 207 | 32 | 18.1\% | 145 | 173 | 28 | 19.4\% | 128 | 152 | 24 | 19.0\% | 116 | 138 | 22 | 19.0\% |
| 172200 | 319 | 360 | 41 | 12.9\% | 227 | 266 | 39 | 17.0\% | 175 | 207 | 32 | 18.4\% | 145 | 174 | 29 | 19.7\% | 128 | 153 | 25 | 19.3\% | 116 | 138 | 22 | 19.2\% |
| 172800 | 320 | 361 | 41 | 12.8\% | 228 | 266 | 38 | 16.8\% | 176 | 208 | 32 | 17.9\% | 145 | 174 | 29 | 19.9\% | 128 | 153 | 25 | 19.5\% | 116 | 139 | 23 | 19.5\% |
| 173400 | 321 | 362 | 41 | 12.8\% | 228 | 267 | 39 | 17.0\% | 176 | 208 | 32 | 18.2\% | 145 | 174 | 29 | 20.1\% | 128 | 153 | 25 | 19.8\% | 116 | 139 | 23 | 19.7\% |
| 174000 | 321 | 363 | 42 | 13.1\% | 228 | 267 | 39 | 17.3\% | 176 | 208 | 32 | 18.4\% | 146 | 175 | 29 | 19.6\% | 129 | 154 | 25 | 19.1\% | 117 | 139 | 22 | 18.9\% |
| 174600 | 322 | 364 | 42 | 13.0\% | 229 | 268 | 39 | 17.0\% | 176 | 209 | 33 | 18.6\% | 146 | 175 | 29 | 19.8\% | 129 | 154 | 25 | 19.3\% | 117 | 139 | 22 | 19.2\% |
| 175200 | 323 | 365 | 42 | 12.9\% | 229 | 269 | 40 | 17.3\% | 177 | 209 | 32 | 18.2\% | 146 | 175 | 29 | 20.0\% | 129 | 154 | 25 | 19.6\% | 117 | 140 | 23 | 19.4\% |
| 175800 | 323 | 366 | 43 | 13.2\% | 229 | 269 | 40 | 17.6\% | 177 | 210 | 33 | 18.4\% | 147 | 176 | 29 | 19.5\% | 129 | 155 | 26 | 19.8\% | 117 | 140 | 23 | 19.6\% |
| 176400 | 324 | 367 | 43 | 13.1\% | 230 | 270 | 40 | 17.3\% | 177 | 210 | 33 | 18.7\% | 147 | 176 | 29 | 19.7\% | 129 | 155 | 26 | 20.0\% | 117 | 140 | 23 | 19.9\% |
| 177000 | 325 | 367 | 42 | 13.1\% | 230 | 270 | 40 | 17.6\% | 178 | 210 | 32 | 18.2\% | 147 | 176 | 29 | 19.9\% | 130 | 155 | 25 | 19.3\% | 118 | 141 | 23 | 19.1\% |
| 177600 | 325 | 368 | 43 | 13.4\% | 231 | 271 | 40 | 17.3\% | 178 | 211 | 33 | 18.5\% | 147 | 177 | 30 | 20.2\% | 130 | 155 | 25 | 19.6\% | 118 | 141 | 23 | 19.3\% |
| 178200 | 326 | 369 | 43 | 13.3\% | 231 | 272 | 41 | 17.6\% | 178 | 211 | 33 | 18.7\% | 148 | 177 | 29 | 19.6\% | 130 | 156 | 26 | 19.8\% | 118 | 141 | 23 | 19.6\% |
| 178800 | 326 | 370 | 44 | 13.6\% | 232 | 272 | 40 | 17.3\% | 179 | 212 | 33 | 18.2\% | 148 | 177 | 29 | 19.8\% | 130 | 156 | 26 | 20.0\% | 118 | 141 | 23 | 19.8\% |
| 179400 | 327 | 371 | 44 | 13.4\% | 232 | 273 | 41 | 17.5\% | 179 | 212 | 33 | 18.4\% | 148 | 178 | 30 | 19.9\% | 131 | 156 | 25 | 19.2\% | 118 | 142 | 24 | 19.9\% |
| 180000 | 327 | 371 | 44 | 13.6\% | 232 | 273 | 41 | 17.7\% | 179 | 212 | 33 | 18.5\% | 149 | 178 | 29 | 19.3\% | 131 | 156 | 25 | 19.4\% | 119 | 142 | 23 | 19.0\% |
| 180600 | 328 | 372 | 44 | 13.4 | 233 | 273 | 40 | 17.3\% | 180 | 212 | 32 | 18.0\% | 149 | 178 | 29 | 19. | 132 | 157 | 25 | 18.6\% | 119 | 142 | 23 | 19.2 |
| 181200 | 329 | 372 | 43 | 13.2\% | 233 | 274 | 41 | 17.5\% | 180 | 213 | 33 | 18.1\% | 149 | 178 | 29 | 19.5\% | 132 | 157 | 25 | 18.7\% | 120 | 142 | 22 | 18.3\% |
| 181800 | 329 | 373 | 44 | 13.4\% | 234 | 274 | 40 | 17.1\% | 180 | 213 | 33 | 18.2\% | 149 | 178 | 29 | 19.7\% | 132 | 157 | 25 | 18.9\% | 120 | 142 | 22 | 18.4\% |
| 182400 | 330 | 374 | 44 | 13.2\% | 234 | 274 | 40 | 17.3\% | 181 | 213 | 32 | 17.7\% | 149 | 178 | 29 | 19.8\% | 132 | 157 | 25 | 19.0\% | 120 | 142 | 22 | 18.6\% |
| 183000 | 330 | 374 | 44 | 13.4\% | 234 | 275 | 41 | 17.4\% | 181 | 213 | 32 | 17.8\% | 150 | 179 | 29 | 19.1\% | 132 | 157 | 25 | 19.1\% | 120 | 142 | 22 | 18.7\% |
| 183600 | 331 | 375 | 44 | 13.2\% | 235 | 275 | 40 | 17.1\% | 181 | 213 | 32 | 18.0\% | 150 | 179 | 29 | 19.2\% | 133 | 157 | 24 | 18.3\% | 120 | 143 | 23 | 18.8\% |
| 184200 | 332 | 375 | 43 | 13.0\% | 235 | 275 | 40 | 17.2\% | 182 | 214 | 32 | 17.4\% | 150 | 179 | 29 | 19.4\% | 133 | 158 | 25 | 18.5\% | 121 | 143 | 22 | 17.9\% |
| 184800 | 332 | 376 | 44 | 13.2\% | 236 | 276 | 40 | 16.8\% | 182 | 214 | 32 | 17.5\% | 150 | 179 | 29 | 19.5\% | 133 | 158 | 25 | 18.6\% | 121 | 143 | 22 | 18.1\% |
| 185400 | 332 | 376 | 44 | 13.3\% | 236 | 276 | 40 | 17.0\% | 182 | 214 | 32 | 17.6\% | 151 | 179 | 28 | 18.8\% | 133 | 158 | 25 | 18.7\% | 121 | 143 | 22 | 18.2\% |
| 186000 | 333 | 377 | 44 | 13.2\% | 237 | 276 | 39 | 16.6\% | 182 | 214 | 32 | 17.8\% | 151 | 180 | 29 | 18.9\% | 133 | 158 | 25 | 18.8\% | 121 | 143 | 22 | 18.3\% |
| 186600 | 334 | 377 | 43 | 13.0\% | 237 | 277 | 40 | 16.8\% | 183 | 215 | 32 | 17.2\% | 151 | 180 | 29 | 19.0\% | 133 | 158 | 25 | 18.9\% | 121 | 143 | 22 | 18.4\% |
| 187200 | 334 | 378 | 44 | 13.1\% | 237 | 277 | 40 | 16.9\% | 183 | 215 | 32 | 17.3\% | 151 | 180 | 29 | 19.1\% | 133 | 158 | 25 | 19.0\% | 121 | 143 | 22 | 18.5\% |
| 187800 | 335 | 378 | 43 | 12.9\% | 238 | 277 | 39 | 16.5\% | 183 | 215 | 32 | 17.4\% | 152 | 180 | 28 | 18.5\% | 134 | 158 | 24 | 18.2\% | 121 | 144 | 23 | 18.6\% |
| 188400 | 336 | 379 | 43 | 12.8\% | 238 | 278 | 40 | 16.7\% | 183 | 215 | 32 | 17.6\% | 152 | 180 | 28 | 18.6\% | 134 | 159 | 25 | 18.4\% | 122 | 144 | 22 | 17.8\% |
| 189000 | 336 | 379 | 43 | 12.9\% | 238 | 278 | 40 | 16.8\% | 183 | 215 | 32 | 17.7\% | 152 | 180 | 28 | 18.7\% | 134 | 159 | 25 | 18.5\% | 122 | 144 | 22 | 17.9\% |
| 189600 | 337 | 380 | 43 | 12.7\% | 239 | 278 | 39 | 16.5\% | 184 | 216 | 32 | 17.1\% | 152 | 181 | 29 | 18.8\% | 134 | 159 | 25 | 18.6\% | 122 | 144 | 22 | 18.0\% |
| 190200 | 337 | 380 | 43 | 12.9\% | 239 | 279 | 40 | 16.6\% | 184 | 216 | 32 | 17.3\% | 153 | 181 | 28 | 18.1\% | 135 | 159 | 24 | 17.8\% | 122 | 144 | 22 | 18.1\% |
| 190800 | 338 | 381 | 43 | 12.7\% | 240 | 279 | 39 | 16.2\% | 184 | 216 | 32 | 17.4\% | 153 | 181 | 28 | 18.2\% | 135 | 159 | 24 | 17.9\% | 122 | 144 | 22 | 18.2\% |
| 191400 | 338 | 381 | 43 | 12.8\% | 240 | 279 | 39 | 16.4\% | 185 | 216 | 31 | 16.8\% | 153 | 181 | 28 | 18.4\% | 135 | 159 | 24 | 18.0\% | 122 | 144 | 22 | 18.3\% |
| 192000 | 339 | 382 | 43 | 12.7\% | 240 | 280 | 40 | 16.5\% | 185 | 216 | 31 | 17.0\% | 153 | 181 | 28 | 18.5\% | 135 | 160 | 25 | 18.2\% | 123 | 144 | 21 | 17.5\% |
| 192600 | 339 | 382 | 43 | 12.8\% | 240 | 280 | 40 | 16.6\% | 185 | 217 | 32 | 17.1\% | 154 | 181 | 27 | 17.8\% | 135 | 160 | 25 | 18.3\% | 123 | 145 | 22 | 17.6\% |
| 193200 | 340 | 383 | 43 | 12.6\% | 241 | 280 | 39 | 16.3\% | 186 | 217 | 31 | 16.5\% | 154 | 182 | 28 | 17.9\% | 136 | 160 | 24 | 17.5\% | 123 | 145 | 22 | 17.7\% |
| 193800 | 340 | 383 | 43 | 12.8\% | 241 | 281 | 40 | 16.4\% | 186 | 217 | 31 | 16.7\% | 154 | 182 | 28 | 18.0\% | 136 | 160 | 24 | 17.6\% | 123 | 145 | 22 | 17.8\% |
| 194400 | 341 | 384 | 43 | 12.6\% | 242 | 281 | 39 | 16.1\% | 186 | 217 | 31 | 16.8\% | 154 | 182 | 28 | 18.1\% | 136 | 160 | 24 | 17.7\% | 123 | 145 | 22 | 17.9\% |
| 195000 | 342 | 384 | 42 | 12.4\% | 242 | 281 | 39 | 16.2\% | 187 | 217 | 30 | 16.3\% | 154 | 182 | 28 | 18.3\% | 136 | 160 | 24 | 17.8\% | 123 | 145 | 22 | 18.0\% |


|  | 1 Child |  |  |  | 2 Children |  |  |  | 3 Children |  |  |  | 4 Children |  |  |  | 5 Children |  |  |  | 6 Children |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{8}{6}$ | $\frac{\text { y }}{5}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \text { \% } \\ & \text { 告 } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { ¢ } \\ & \text { 88 } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { 른 }}{\frac{H}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 边 } \\ & \frac{5}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { " } \\ & \frac{5}{3} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\frac{y y}{7}}{\frac{5}{10}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { w } \\ & \text { 穿 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { \% } \\ & \text { 50 } \\ & \text { x } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{5}{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흘 } \\ & \text { 흉 } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { i } \\ & \text { en } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\frac{8}{5}}{\frac{5}{6}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 픙 } \\ & \text { W⿳亠口冋口亏 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \text { in } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\stackrel{y y}{7}}{\frac{5}{6}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흐 } \\ & \frac{5}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\%$ 0 $\frac{\pi}{5}$ 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \frac{\%}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{3} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 195600 | 342 | 385 | 43 | 12．6\％ | 243 | 282 | 39 | 15．9\％ | 187 | 218 | 31 | 16．4\％ | 155 | 182 | 27 | 17．6\％ | 137 | 160 | 23 | 17．1\％ | 123 | 145 | 22 | 18．1\％ |
| 196200 | 343 | 385 | 42 | 12．4\％ | 243 | 282 | 39 | 16．0\％ | 187 | 218 | 31 | 16．5\％ | 155 | 182 | 27 | 17．7\％ | 137 | 161 | 24 | 17．2\％ | 124 | 145 | 21 | 17．3\％ |
| 196800 | 343 | 386 | 43 | 12．5\％ | 243 | 282 | 39 | 16．1\％ | 187 | 218 | 31 | 16．6\％ | 155 | 183 | 28 | 17．8\％ | 137 | 161 | 24 | 17．3\％ | 124 | 146 | 22 | 17．4\％ |
| 197400 | 344 | 387 | 43 | 12．4\％ | 244 | 283 | 39 | 15．8\％ | 188 | 218 | 30 | 16．1\％ | 155 | 183 | 28 | 17．9\％ | 137 | 161 | 24 | 17．4\％ | 124 | 146 | 22 | 17．5\％ |
| 198000 | 344 | 387 | 43 | 12．6\％ | 244 | 283 | 39 | 16．0\％ | 188 | 219 | 31 | 16．3\％ | 155 | 183 | 28 | 18．2\％ | 137 | 161 | 24 | 17．7\％ | 124 | 146 | 22 | 17．8\％ |
| 198600 | 344 | 388 | 44 | 12．9\％ | 244 | 284 | 40 | 16．3\％ | 188 | 219 | 31 | 16．6\％ | 156 | 184 | 28 | 17．7\％ | 138 | 162 | 24 | 17．1\％ | 124 | 146 | 22 | 18．1\％ |
| 199200 | 344 | 389 | 45 | 13．2\％ | 244 | 285 | 41 | 16．6\％ | 188 | 220 | 32 | 16．9\％ | 156 | 184 | 28 | 18．0\％ | 138 | 162 | 24 | 17．4\％ | 124 | 147 | 23 | 18．4\％ |
| 199800 | 344 | 390 | 46 | 13．4\％ | 244 | 285 | 41 | 16．9\％ | 188 | 220 | 32 | 17．2\％ | 156 | 185 | 29 | 18．3\％ | 138 | 162 | 24 | 17．7\％ | 124 | 147 | 23 | 18．7\％ |
| 200400 | 345 | 391 | 46 | 13．4\％ | 244 | 286 | 42 | 17．2\％ | 188 | 221 | 33 | 17．5\％ | 156 | 185 | 29 | 18．6\％ | 138 | 163 | 25 | 18．0\％ | 124 | 147 | 23 | 18．9\％ |
| 201000 | 345 | 392 | 47 | 13．6\％ | 245 | 287 | 42 | 17．0\％ | 188 | 221 | 33 | 17．8\％ | 156 | 185 | 29 | 18．9\％ | 138 | 163 | 25 | 18．3\％ | 124 | 148 | 24 | 19．2\％ |
| 201600 | 346 | 393 | 47 | 13．6\％ | 245 | 287 | 42 | 17．3\％ | 188 | 222 | 34 | 18．1\％ | 156 | 186 | 30 | 19．2\％ | 138 | 164 | 26 | 18．6\％ | 124 | 148 | 24 | 19．5\％ |
| 202200 | 346 | 394 | 48 | 13．8\％ | 245 | 288 | 43 | 17．5\％ | 188 | 222 | 34 | 18．3\％ | 156 | 186 | 30 | 19．5\％ | 138 | 164 | 26 | 18．9\％ | 125 | 149 | 24 | 18．9\％ |
| 202800 | 346 | 395 | 49 | 14．1\％ | 245 | 289 | 44 | 17．8\％ | 188 | 223 | 35 | 18．6\％ | 156 | 187 | 31 | 19．8\％ | 138 | 164 | 26 | 19．1\％ | 125 | 149 | 24 | 19．2\％ |
| 203400 | 346 | 396 | 50 | 14．4\％ | 245 | 289 | 44 | 18．1\％ | 188 | 224 | 36 | 18．9\％ | 156 | 187 | 31 | 20．1\％ | 138 | 165 | 27 | 19．4\％ | 125 | 149 | 24 | 19．4\％ |
| 204000 | 346 | 397 | 51 | 14．7\％ | 245 | 290 | 45 | 18．4\％ | 188 | 224 | 36 | 19．2\％ | 156 | 188 | 32 | 20．4\％ | 138 | 165 | 27 | 19．7\％ | 125 | 150 | 25 | 19．7\％ |
| 204600 | 346 | 398 | 52 | 14．9\％ | 245 | 291 | 46 | 18．7\％ | 188 | 225 | 37 | 19．5\％ | 156 | 188 | 32 | 20．6\％ | 138 | 166 | 28 | 20．0\％ | 125 | 150 | 25 | 20．0\％ |
| 205200 | 347 | 399 | 52 | 14．9\％ | 245 | 291 | 46 | 19．0\％ | 188 | 225 | 37 | 19．8\％ | 156 | 189 | 33 | 20．9\％ | 138 | 166 | 28 | 20．3\％ | 125 | 150 | 25 | 20．3\％ |
| 205800 | 347 | 400 | 53 | 15．1\％ | 245 | 292 | 47 | 19．2\％ | 188 | 226 | 38 | 20．1\％ | 156 | 189 | 33 | 21．2\％ | 138 | 166 | 28 | 20．6\％ | 125 | 151 | 26 | 20．6\％ |
| 206400 | 347 | 400 | 53 | 15．4\％ | 245 | 293 | 48 | 19．5\％ | 189 | 226 | 37 | 19．7\％ | 156 | 190 | 34 | 21．5\％ | 138 | 167 | 29 | 20．9\％ | 126 | 151 | 25 | 19．9\％ |
| 207000 | 347 | 401 | 54 | 15．7\％ | 246 | 294 | 48 | 19．3\％ | 189 | 227 | 38 | 20．0\％ | 156 | 190 | 34 | 21．8\％ | 138 | 167 | 29 | 21．2\％ | 126 | 151 | 25 | 20．2\％ |
| 207600 | 347 | 402 | 55 | 15．9\％ | 246 | 294 | 48 | 19．6\％ | 189 | 227 | 38 | 20．3\％ | 156 | 190 | 34 | 22．1\％ | 138 | 168 | 30 | 21．5\％ | 126 | 152 | 26 | 20．5\％ |
| 208200 | 347 | 403 | 56 | 16．2\％ | 246 | 295 | 49 | 19．9\％ | 189 | 228 | 39 | 20．6\％ | 156 | 191 | 35 | 22．4\％ | 138 | 168 | 30 | 21．8\％ | 126 | 152 | 26 | 20．8\％ |
| 208800 | 348 | 404 | 56 | 16．1\％ | 246 | 296 | 50 | 20．2\％ | 189 | 228 | 39 | 20．9\％ | 156 | 191 | 35 | 22．7\％ | 138 | 168 | 30 | 22．0\％ | 126 | 153 | 27 | 21．1\％ |
| 209400 | 348 | 405 | 57 | 16．4\％ | 246 | 296 | 50 | 20．4\％ | 189 | 229 | 40 | 21．2\％ | 157 | 192 | 35 | 22．2\％ | 138 | 169 | 31 | 22．3\％ | 126 | 153 | 27 | 21．4\％ |
| 210000 | 348 | 406 | 58 | 16．7\％ | 246 | 297 | 51 | 20．7\％ | 189 | 230 | 41 | 21．4\％ | 157 | 192 | 35 | 22．5\％ | 138 | 169 | 31 | 22．6\％ | 126 | 153 | 27 | 21．7\％ |
| 210600 | 348 | 407 | 59 | 16．9\％ | 246 | 298 | 52 | 21．0\％ | 189 | 230 | 41 | 21．7\％ | 157 | 193 | 36 | 22．8\％ | 138 | 170 | 32 | 22．9\％ | 126 | 154 | 28 | 21．9\％ |
| 211200 | 348 | 408 | 60 | 17．2\％ | 246 | 298 | 52 | 21．3\％ | 189 | 231 | 42 | 22．0\％ | 157 | 193 | 36 | 23．1\％ | 138 | 170 | 32 | 23．2\％ | 126 | 154 | 28 | 22．2\％ |
| 211800 | 348 | 409 | 61 | 17．5\％ | 247 | 299 | 52 | 21．1\％ | 189 | 231 | 42 | 22．3\％ | 157 | 194 | 37 | 23．3\％ | 138 | 170 | 32 | 23．5\％ | 126 | 154 | 28 | 22．5\％ |
| 212400 | 349 | 410 | 61 | 17．4\％ | 247 | 300 | 53 | 21．3\％ | 189 | 232 | 43 | 22．6\％ | 157 | 194 | 37 | 23．6\％ | 138 | 171 | 33 | 23．8\％ | 126 | 155 | 29 | 22．8\％ |
| 213000 | 349 | 411 | 62 | 17．7\％ | 247 | 300 | 53 | 21．6\％ | 189 | 232 | 43 | 22．9\％ | 157 | 195 | 38 | 23．9\％ | 138 | 171 | 33 | 24．1\％ | 126 | 155 | 29 | 23．1\％ |
| 213600 | 349 | 412 | 63 | 17．9\％ | 247 | 301 | 54 | 21．9\％ | 189 | 233 | 44 | 23．2\％ | 157 | 195 | 38 | 24．2\％ | 139 | 172 | 33 | 23．5\％ | 126 | 155 | 29 | 23．4\％ |
| 214200 | 349 | 413 | 64 | 18．2\％ | 247 | 302 | 55 | 22．2\％ | 189 | 233 | 44 | 23．5\％ | 157 | 195 | 38 | 24．5\％ | 139 | 172 | 33 | 23．8\％ | 126 | 156 | 30 | 23．7\％ |
| 214800 | 349 | 413 | 64 | 18．5\％ | 247 | 302 | 55 | 22．5\％ | 189 | 234 | 45 | 23．7\％ | 157 | 196 | 39 | 24．8\％ | 139 | 172 | 33 | 24．0\％ | 126 | 156 | 30 | 24．0\％ |
| 215400 | 349 | 414 | 65 | 18．7\％ | 247 | 303 | 56 | 22．7\％ | 189 | 234 | 45 | 24．0\％ | 157 | 196 | 39 | 25．1\％ | 139 | 173 | 34 | 24．3\％ | 126 | 157 | 31 | 24．2\％ |
| 216000 | 350 | 415 | 65 | 18．7\％ | 247 | 304 | 57 | 23．0\％ | 189 | 235 | 46 | 24．3\％ | 157 | 197 | 40 | 25．4\％ | 139 | 173 | 34 | 24．6\％ | 126 | 157 | 31 | 24．5\％ |
| 216600 | 350 | 416 | 66 | 18．9\％ | 247 | 305 | 58 | 23．3\％ | 189 | 236 | 47 | 24．6\％ | 157 | 197 | 40 | 25．7\％ | 139 | 174 | 35 | 24．9\％ | 126 | 157 | 31 | 24．8\％ |
| 217200 | 350 | 417 | 67 | 19．2\％ | 247 | 305 | 58 | 23．6\％ | 189 | 236 | 47 | 24．9\％ | 157 | 198 | 41 | 26．0\％ | 139 | 174 | 35 | 25．2\％ | 126 | 158 | 32 | 25．1\％ |
| 217800 | 350 | 418 | 68 | 19．5\％ | 248 | 306 | 58 | 23．4\％ | 189 | 237 | 48 | 25．2\％ | 157 | 198 | 41 | 26．2\％ | 139 | 174 | 35 | 25．5\％ | 126 | 158 | 32 | 25.4 |
| 218400 | 351 | 419 | 68 | 19．4\％ | 248 | 307 | 59 | 23．6\％ | 190 | 237 | 47 | 24．8\％ | 157 | 199 | 42 | 26．5\％ | 139 | 175 | 36 | 25．8\％ | 126 | 158 | 32 | 25．7\％ |
| 219000 | 351 | 420 | 69 | 19．7\％ | 248 | 307 | 59 | 23．9\％ | 190 | 238 | 48 | 25．1\％ | 157 | 199 | 42 | 26．8\％ | 139 | 175 | 36 | 26．1\％ | 126 | 159 | 33 | 26．0\％ |
| 219600 | 351 | 421 | 70 | 19．9\％ | 248 | 308 | 60 | 24．2\％ | 190 | 238 | 48 | 25．4\％ | 157 | 200 | 43 | 27．1\％ | 139 | 176 | 37 | 26．3\％ | 126 | 159 | 33 | 26．3\％ |
| 220200 | 351 | 422 | 71 | 20．2\％ | 248 | 309 | 61 | 24．5\％ | 190 | 239 | 49 | 25．7\％ | 158 | 200 | 42 | 26．6\％ | 139 | 176 | 37 | 26．6\％ | 126 | 159 | 33 | 26．5\％ |
| 220800 | 351 | 423 | 72 | 20．5\％ | 248 | 309 | 61 | 24．8\％ | 190 | 239 | 49 | 25．9\％ | 158 | 200 | 42 | 26．9\％ | 139 | 176 | 37 | 26．9\％ | 126 | 160 | 34 | 26．8\％ |
| 221400 | 352 | 424 | 72 | 20．4\％ | 248 | 310 | 62 | 25．0\％ | 191 | 240 | 49 | 25．6\％ | 158 | 201 | 43 | 27．2\％ | 139 | 177 | 38 | 27．2\％ | 126 | 160 | 34 | 27．1\％ |
| 222000 | 352 | 425 | 73 | 20．6\％ | 248 | 311 | 63 | 25．3\％ | 191 | 240 | 49 | 25．9\％ | 158 | 201 | 43 | 27．5\％ | 139 | 177 | 38 | 27．5\％ | 126 | 161 | 35 | 27．4\％ |
| 222600 | 352 | 426 | 74 | 20．9\％ | 248 | 311 | 63 | 25．6\％ | 191 | 241 | 50 | 26．1\％ | 158 | 202 | 44 | 27．7\％ | 139 | 178 | 39 | 27．8\％ | 126 | 161 | 35 | 27．7\％ |
| 223200 | 352 | 427 | 75 | 21．2\％ | 248 | 312 | 64 | 25．9\％ | 191 | 241 | 50 | 26．4\％ | 158 | 202 | 44 | 28．0\％ | 139 | 178 | 39 | 28．1\％ | 126 | 161 | 35 | 28．0\％ |
| 223800 | 352 | 427 | 75 | 21．4\％ | 249 | 313 | 64 | 25．6\％ | 191 | 242 | 51 | 26．7\％ | 158 | 203 | 45 | 28．3\％ | 139 | 178 | 39 | 28．4\％ | 127 | 162 | 35 | 27．3\％ |
| 224400 | 353 | 428 | 75 | 21．4\％ | 249 | 314 | 65 | 25．9\％ | 191 | 243 | 52 | 27．0\％ | 158 | 203 | 45 | 28．6\％ | 139 | 179 | 40 | 28．6\％ | 127 | 162 | 35 | 27．5\％ |
| 225000 | 353 | 429 | 76 | 21．6\％ | 249 | 314 | 65 | 26．2\％ | 191 | 243 | 52 | 27．3\％ | 158 | 204 | 46 | 28．9\％ | 139 | 179 | 40 | 28．9\％ | 127 | 162 | 35 | 27．8\％ |
| 225600 | 353 | 430 | 77 | 21．9\％ | 249 | 315 | 66 | 26．5\％ | 191 | 244 | 53 | 27．6\％ | 158 | 204 | 46 | 29．2\％ | 139 | 180 | 41 | 29．2\％ | 127 | 163 | 36 | 28．1\％ |
| 226200 | 353 | 431 | 78 | 22．2\％ | 249 | 316 | 67 | 26．8\％ | 191 | 244 | 53 | 27．8\％ | 158 | 205 | 47 | 29．5\％ | 139 | 180 | 41 | 29．5\％ | 127 | 163 | 36 | 28．4\％ |
| 226800 | 353 | 432 | 79 | 22．4\％ | 249 | 316 | 67 | 27．0\％ | 191 | 245 | 54 | 28．1\％ | 158 | 205 | 47 | 29．8\％ | 139 | 180 | 41 | 29．8\％ | 127 | 163 | 36 | 28．7\％ |
| 227400 | 353 | 433 | 80 | 22．7\％ | 249 | 317 | 68 | 27．3\％ | 191 | 245 | 54 | 28．4\％ | 158 | 205 | 47 | 30．0\％ | 139 | 181 | 42 | 30．0\％ | 127 | 164 | 37 | 28．9\％ |
| 228000 | 353 | 434 | 81 | 22．9\％ | 249 | 317 | 68 | 27．5\％ | 191 | 246 | 55 | 28．5\％ | 158 | 206 | 48 | 30．2\％ | 139 | 181 | 42 | 30．2\％ | 127 | 164 | 37 | 29．1\％ |
| 228600 | 353 | 434 | 81 | 23．1\％ | 249 | 318 | 69 | 27．7\％ | 191 | 246 | 55 | 28．7\％ | 158 | 206 | 48 | 30．4\％ | 139 | 181 | 42 | 30．4\％ | 127 | 164 | 37 | 29．3\％ |
| 229200 | 354 | 435 | 81 | 22．9\％ | 249 | 318 | 69 | 27．9\％ | 191 | 246 | 55 | 28．9\％ | 158 | 206 | 48 | 30．5\％ | 140 | 181 | 41 | 29．6\％ | 127 | 164 | 37 | 29．4\％ |
| 229800 | 354 | 436 | 82 | 23．1\％ | 250 | 319 | 69 | 27．5\％ | 191 | 246 | 55 | 29．1\％ | 158 | 207 | 49 | 30．7\％ | 140 | 182 | 42 | 29．8\％ | 127 | 165 | 38 | 29．6\％ |
| 230400 | 354 | 437 | 83 | 23．3\％ | 250 | 319 | 69 | 27．7\％ | 192 | 247 | 55 | 28．6\％ | 158 | 207 | 49 | 30．9\％ | 140 | 182 | 42 | 30．0\％ | 127 | 165 | 38 | 29．8\％ |
| 231000 | 354 | 437 | 83 | 23．5\％ | 250 | 320 | 70 | 27．9\％ | 192 | 247 | 55 | 28．7\％ | 158 | 207 | 49 | 31．0\％ | 140 | 182 | 42 | 30．1\％ | 127 | 165 | 38 | 29．9\％ |
| 231600 | 354 | 438 | 84 | 23．7\％ | 250 | 320 | 70 | 28．1\％ | 192 | 247 | 55 | 28．9\％ | 158 | 207 | 49 | 31．2\％ | 140 | 182 | 42 | 30．3\％ | 127 | 165 | 38 | 30．1\％ |


|  | 1 Child |  |  |  | 2 Children |  |  |  | 3 Children |  |  |  | 4 Children |  |  |  | 5 Children |  |  |  | 6 Children |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y y}{7} \\ & \frac{5}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{H}_{0}^{2} \\ & \frac{\pi}{5} \\ & \text { is } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\text { 른 }}{\frac{5}{\overline{0}}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ँㅡㄹ } \\ & \text { हĩ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \stackrel{0}{8} \\ 0 \\ \frac{5}{5} \\ n \end{array}$ |  | $\frac{\text { 弚 }}{\frac{5}{6}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \text { 兴 } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { 0} \\ & \text { 50 } \\ & \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\frac{3}{5}}{\frac{5}{6}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 휼 } \\ & \text { 흄 } \\ & \text { ? } \end{aligned}$ | an en in in | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{y} \\ & \text { \% } \\ & \text { 5 } \\ & \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\frac{8}{5}}{\frac{5}{6}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 픙 } \\ & \text { W⿳亠口冋口亏 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\text { y }}{\frac{y y y}{\mid c}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 휴 } \\ & \text { 宕 } \\ & \frac{0}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\%$ 0 0 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { \% } \\ & \text { 亏5 } \\ & \text { æ8 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 232200 | 354 | 439 | 85 | 23．9\％ | 250 | 321 | 71 | 28．3\％ | 192 | 248 | 56 | 29．0\％ | 159 | 208 | 49 | 30．5\％ | 140 | 183 | 43 | 30．5\％ | 127 | 165 | 38 | 30．3\％ |
| 232800 | 355 | 439 | 84 | 23．8\％ | 250 | 321 | 71 | 28．5\％ | 192 | 248 | 56 | 29．2\％ | 159 | 208 | 49 | 30．7\％ | 140 | 183 | 43 | 30．6\％ | 127 | 166 | 39 | 30．5\％ |
| 233400 | 355 | 440 | 85 | 24．0\％ | 250 | 322 | 72 | 28．7\％ | 192 | 248 | 56 | 29．4\％ | 159 | 208 | 49 | 30．9\％ | 140 | 183 | 43 | 30．8\％ | 127 | 166 | 39 | 30．6\％ |
| 234000 | 355 | 441 | 86 | 24．2\％ | 250 | 322 | 72 | 28．8\％ | 192 | 249 | 57 | 29．5\％ | 159 | 208 | 49 | 31．1\％ | 140 | 183 | 43 | 31．0\％ | 127 | 166 | 39 | 30．8\％ |
| 234600 | 355 | 442 | 87 | 24．4\％ | 251 | 323 | 72 | 28．5\％ | 192 | 249 | 57 | 29．7\％ | 159 | 209 | 50 | 31．2\％ | 140 | 184 | 44 | 31．1\％ | 127 | 166 | 39 | 31．0\％ |
| 235200 | 355 | 442 | 87 | 24．6\％ | 251 | 323 | 72 | 28．7\％ | 192 | 249 | 57 | 29．9\％ | 159 | 209 | 50 | 31．4\％ | 140 | 184 | 44 | 31．3\％ | 127 | 167 | 40 | 31．1\％ |
| 235800 | 355 | 443 | 88 | 24．8\％ | 251 | 324 | 73 | 28．9\％ | 192 | 250 | 58 | 30．0\％ | 159 | 209 | 50 | 31．6\％ | 140 | 184 | 44 | 31．5\％ | 127 | 167 | 40 | 31．3\％ |
| 236400 | 356 | 444 | 88 | 24．6\％ | 251 | 324 | 73 | 29．1\％ | 192 | 250 | 58 | 30．2\％ | 159 | 209 | 50 | 31．7\％ | 140 | 184 | 44 | 31．6\％ | 127 | 167 | 40 | 31．5\％ |
| 237000 | 357 | 444 | 87 | 24．5\％ | 251 | 324 | 73 | 29．3\％ | 192 | 250 | 58 | 30．4\％ | 159 | 210 | 51 | 31．9\％ | 140 | 185 | 45 | 31．8\％ | 127 | 167 | 40 | 31．6\％ |
| 237600 | 357 | 445 | 88 | 24．7\％ | 251 | 325 | 74 | 29．4\％ | 192 | 251 | 59 | 30．5\％ | 159 | 210 | 51 | 32．1\％ | 140 | 185 | 45 | 32．0\％ | 127 | 167 | 40 | 31．8\％ |
| 238200 | 357 | 446 | 89 | 24．9\％ | 251 | 325 | 74 | 29．6\％ | 192 | 251 | 59 | 30．7\％ | 159 | 210 | 51 | 32．2\％ | 140 | 185 | 45 | 32．2\％ | 127 | 168 | 41 | 32．0\％ |
| 238800 | 357 | 446 | 89 | 25．1\％ | 251 | 326 | 75 | 29．8\％ | 192 | 251 | 59 | 30．9\％ | 159 | 211 | 52 | 32．4\％ | 140 | 185 | 45 | 32．3\％ | 127 | 168 | 41 | 32．1\％ |
| 239400 | 357 | 447 | 90 | 25．3\％ | 251 | 326 | 75 | 30．0\％ | 192 | 252 | 60 | 31．0\％ | 159 | 211 | 52 | 32．6\％ | 140 | 185 | 45 | 32．5\％ | 127 | 168 | 41 | 32．3\％ |
| 240000 | 357 | 448 | 91 | 25．5\％ | 252 | 327 | 75 | 29．7\％ | 192 | 252 | 60 | 31．2\％ | 159 | 211 | 52 | 32．7\％ | 140 | 186 | 46 | 32．7\％ | 127 | 168 | 41 | 32．5\％ |
| 240600 | 357 | 449 | 92 | 25．7\％ | 252 | 327 | 75 | 29．9\％ | 192 | 252 | 60 | 31．4\％ | 159 | 211 | 52 | 32．9\％ | 140 | 186 | 46 | 32．8\％ | 127 | 168 | 41 | 32．6\％ |
| 241200 | 358 | 449 | 91 | 25．5\％ | 252 | 328 | 76 | 30．0\％ | 192 | 253 | 61 | 31．5\％ | 159 | 212 | 53 | 33．1\％ | 140 | 186 | 46 | 33．0\％ | 128 | 169 | 41 | 31．8\％ |
| 241800 | 358 | 450 | 92 | 25．7\％ | 252 | 328 | 76 | 30．2\％ | 192 | 253 | 61 | 31．7\％ | 159 | 212 | 53 | 33．2\％ | 141 | 186 | 45 | 32．2\％ | 128 | 169 | 41 | 31．9\％ |
| 242400 | 358 | 451 | 93 | 25．9\％ | 252 | 329 | 77 | 30．4\％ | 193 | 253 | 60 | 31．2\％ | 159 | 212 | 53 | 33．4\％ | 141 | 187 | 46 | 32．4\％ | 128 | 169 | 41 | 32．1\％ |
| 243000 | 358 | 451 | 93 | 26．1\％ | 252 | 329 | 77 | 30．6\％ | 193 | 254 | 61 | 31．4\％ | 160 | 212 | 52 | 32．7\％ | 141 | 187 | 46 | 32．5\％ | 128 | 169 | 41 | 32．3\％ |
| 243600 | 358 | 452 | 94 | 26．3\％ | 252 | 330 | 78 | 30．8\％ | 193 | 254 | 61 | 31．5\％ | 160 | 213 | 53 | 32．9\％ | 141 | 187 | 46 | 32．7\％ | 128 | 170 | 42 | $32.4 \%$ |
| 244200 | 358 | 453 | 95 | 26．5\％ | 252 | 330 | 78 | 31．0\％ | 193 | 254 | 61 | 31．7\％ | 160 | 213 | 53 | 33．1\％ | 141 | 187 | 46 | 32．9\％ | 128 | 170 | 42 | 32．6\％ |
| 244800 | 359 | 454 | 95 | 26．3\％ | 252 | 330 | 78 | 31．1\％ | 193 | 254 | 61 | 31．8\％ | 160 | 213 | 53 | 33．2\％ | 141 | 188 | 47 | 33．0\％ | 128 | 170 | 42 | 32．8\％ |
| 245400 | 359 | 454 | 95 | 26．5\％ | 253 | 331 | 78 | 30．8\％ | 193 | 255 | 62 | 32．0\％ | 160 | 213 | 53 | 33．4\％ | 141 | 188 | 47 | 33．2\％ | 128 | 170 | 42 | 32．9\％ |
| 246000 | 359 | 455 | 96 | 26．7\％ | 253 | 331 | 78 | 31．0\％ | 193 | 255 | 62 | 32．2\％ | 160 | 214 | 54 | 33．6\％ | 141 | 188 | 47 | 33．4\％ | 128 | 170 | 42 | 33．1\％ |
| 246600 | 359 | 456 | 97 | 26．9\％ | 253 | 332 | 79 | 31．2\％ | 193 | 255 | 62 | 32．3\％ | 160 | 214 | 54 | 33．7\％ | 141 | 188 | 47 | 33．5\％ | 128 | 171 | 43 | 33．3\％ |
| 247200 | 359 | 456 | 97 | 27．1\％ | 253 | 332 | 79 | 31．4\％ | 193 | 256 | 63 | 32．5\％ | 160 | 214 | 54 | 33．9\％ | 141 | 189 | 48 | 33．7\％ | 128 | 171 | 43 | 33．4\％ |
| 247800 | 360 | 457 | 97 | 27．0\％ | 254 | 333 | 79 | 31．0\％ | 193 | 256 | 63 | 32．7\％ | 160 | 215 | 55 | 34．1\％ | 141 | 189 | 48 | 33．9\％ | 128 | 171 | 43 | 33．6\％ |
| 248400 | 361 | 458 | 97 | 26．8\％ | 254 | 333 | 79 | 31．2\％ | 194 | 256 | 62 | 32．2\％ | 161 | 215 | 54 | 33．4\％ | 142 | 189 | 47 | 33．1\％ | 128 | 171 | 43 | 33．8\％ |
| 249000 | 361 | 458 | 97 | 27．0\％ | 254 | 334 | 80 | 31．4\％ | 194 | 257 | 63 | 32．3\％ | 161 | 215 | 54 | 33．6\％ | 142 | 189 | 47 | 33．3\％ | 129 | 171 | 42 | 32．9\％ |
| 249600 | 362 | 459 | 97 | 26．8\％ | 255 | 334 | 79 | 31．1\％ | 194 | 257 | 63 | 32．5\％ | 161 | 215 | 54 | 33．7\％ | 142 | 189 | 47 | 33．4\％ | 129 | 172 | 43 | 33．0\％ |
| 250200 | 363 | 460 | 97 | 26．7\％ | 255 | 335 | 80 | 31．3\％ | 195 | 257 | 62 | 32．0\％ | 161 | 216 | 55 | 33．9\％ | 142 | 190 | 48 | 33．6\％ | 129 | 172 | 43 | 33．2\％ |
| 250800 | 363 | 461 | 98 | 26．9\％ | 256 | 335 | 79 | 30．9\％ | 195 | 258 | 63 | 32．1\％ | 162 | 216 | 54 | 33．2\％ | 143 | 190 | 47 | 32．8\％ | 129 | 172 | 43 | 33．4\％ |
| 251400 | 364 | 461 | 97 | 26．7\％ | 256 | 336 | 80 | 31．1\％ | 195 | 258 | 63 | 32．3\％ | 162 | 216 | 54 | 33．4\％ | 143 | 190 | 47 | 33．0\％ | 129 | 172 | 43 | 33．5\％ |
| 252000 | 364 | 462 | 98 | 26．9\％ | 256 | 336 | 80 | 31．3\％ | 196 | 258 | 62 | 31．8\％ | 162 | 216 | 54 | 33．6\％ | 143 | 190 | 47 | 33．2\％ | 130 | 172 | 42 | 32．7\％ |
| 252600 | 365 | 463 | 98 | 26．8\％ | 257 | 337 | 80 | 31．0\％ | 196 | 259 | 63 | 31．9\％ | 162 | 217 | 55 | $33.7 \%$ | 143 | 191 | 48 | 33．3\％ | 130 | 173 | 43 | 32．8\％ |
| 253200 | 365 | 463 | 98 | 27．0\％ | 257 | 337 | 80 | 31．1\％ | 197 | 259 | 62 | 31．4\％ | 163 | 217 | 54 | 33.19 | 144 | 191 | 47 | 32．6\％ | 130 | 173 | 43 | 33．0\％ |
| 253800 | 366 | 464 | 98 | 26．8\％ | 258 | 337 | 79 | 30．8\％ | 197 | 259 | 62 | 31．6\％ | 163 | 217 | 54 | 33．2\％ | 144 | 191 | 47 | 32．79 | 130 | 173 | 43 | 33．2\％ |
| 254400 | 367 | 465 | 98 | 26．7\％ | 258 | 338 | 80 | 31．0\％ | 197 | 260 | 63 | 31．8\％ | 163 | 217 | 54 | 33．4\％ | 144 | 191 | 47 | 32．9\％ | 130 | 173 | 43 | 33．3\％ |
| 255000 | 367 | 466 | 99 | 26．8\％ | 258 | 338 | 80 | 31．2\％ | 197 | 260 | 63 | 31．9\％ | 164 | 218 | 54 | 32．8\％ | 144 | 192 | 48 | 33．0\％ | 131 | 174 | 43 | 32．5\％ |
| 255600 | 368 | 466 | 98 | 26．7\％ | 259 | 339 | 80 | 30．8\％ | 198 | 260 | 62 | 31．4\％ | 164 | 218 | 54 | 32．9\％ | 145 | 192 | 47 | 32．3\％ | 131 | 174 | 43 | 32．6\％ |
| 256200 | 369 | 467 | 98 | 26．5\％ | 259 | 339 | 80 | 31．0\％ | 198 | 261 | 63 | 31．6\％ | 164 | 218 | 54 | 33．1\％ | 145 | 192 | 47 | 32．5\％ | 132 | 174 | 42 | 31．8\％ |
| 256800 | 369 | 468 | 99 | 26．7\％ | 260 | 340 | 80 | 30．7\％ | 198 | 261 | 63 | 31．7\％ | 165 | 219 | 54 | 32．4\％ | 145 | 192 | 47 | 32．6\％ | 132 | 174 | 42 | 32．0\％ |
| 257400 | 370 | 468 | 98 | 26．6\％ | 260 | 340 | 80 | 30．9\％ | 199 | 261 | 62 | 31．2\％ | 165 | 219 | 54 | 32．6\％ | 145 | 193 | 48 | 32．8\％ | 132 | 174 | 42 | 32．1\％ |
| 258000 | 370 | 469 | 99 | 26．8\％ | 261 | 341 | 80 | 30．6\％ | 199 | 261 | 62 | 31．4\％ | 165 | 219 | 54 | 32．8\％ | 145 | 193 | 48 | 32．9\％ | 132 | 175 | 43 | 32．3\％ |
| 258600 | 371 | 470 | 99 | 26．6\％ | 261 | 341 | 80 | 30．7\％ | 199 | 262 | 63 | 31．6\％ | 165 | 219 | 54 | 32．9\％ | 146 | 193 | 47 | 32．2\％ | 132 | 175 | 43 | 32．4\％ |
| 259200 | 371 | 470 | 99 | 26．8\％ | 262 | 342 | 80 | 30．4\％ | 200 | 262 | 62 | 31．1\％ | 166 | 220 | 54 | 32．3\％ | 146 | 193 | 47 | 32．4\％ | 133 | 175 | 42 | 31．6\％ |
| 259800 | 372 | 471 | 99 | 26．7\％ | 262 | 342 | 80 | 30．6\％ | 200 | 262 | 62 | 31．2\％ | 166 | 220 | 54 | 32．4\％ | 146 | 193 | 47 | 32．5\％ | 133 | 175 | 42 | 31．8\％ |
| 260400 | 373 | 472 | 99 | 26．5\％ | 263 | 343 | 80 | 30．3\％ | 200 | 263 | 63 | 31．4\％ | 166 | 220 | 54 | 32．6\％ | 147 | 194 | 47 | 31．8\％ | 133 | 175 | 42 | 31．9\％ |
| 261000 | 373 | 473 | 100 | 26．7\％ | 263 | 343 | 80 | 30．4\％ | 200 | 263 | 63 | 31．5\％ | 166 | 220 | 54 | 32．8\％ | 147 | 194 | 47 | 31．9\％ | 133 | 176 | 43 | 32．1\％ |
| 261600 | 374 | 473 | 99 | 26．5\％ | 263 | 344 | 81 | 30．6\％ | 201 | 263 | 62 | 31．0\％ | 167 | 221 | 54 | 32．1\％ | 147 | 194 | 47 | 32．1\％ | 133 | 176 | 43 | 32．2\％ |
| 262200 | 375 | 474 | 99 | 26．4\％ | 264 | 344 | 80 | 30．3\％ | 201 | 264 | 63 | 31．2\％ | 167 | 221 | 54 | 32．3\％ | 147 | 194 | 47 | 32．2\％ | 134 | 176 | 42 | 31．4\％ |
| 262800 | 375 | 475 | 100 | 26．6\％ | 264 | 344 | 80 | 30．5\％ | 201 | 264 | 63 | 31．4\％ | 167 | 221 | 54 | 32．4\％ | 148 | 195 | 47 | 31．5\％ | 134 | 176 | 42 | 31．6\％ |
| 263400 | 376 | 475 | 99 | 26．4\％ | 265 | 345 | 80 | 30．2\％ | 202 | 264 | 62 | 30．9\％ | 167 | 221 | 54 | 32．6\％ | 148 | 195 | 47 | 31．7\％ | 134 | 177 | 43 | 31．7\％ |
| 264000 | 377 | 476 | 99 | 26．3\％ | 265 | 345 | 80 | 30．3\％ | 203 | 265 | 62 | 30．4\％ | 168 | 222 | 54 | 32．0\％ | 148 | 195 | 47 | 31．8\％ | 134 | 177 | 43 | 31．9\％ |
| 264600 | 377 | 477 | 100 | 26．5\％ | 266 | 346 | 80 | 30．0\％ | 203 | 265 | 62 | 30．5\％ | 168 | 222 | 54 | 32．1\％ | 148 | 195 | 47 | 32．0\％ | 134 | 177 | 43 | 32．1\％ |
| 265200 | 378 | 478 | 100 | 26．3\％ | 266 | 346 | 80 | 30．2\％ | 203 | 265 | 62 | 30．7\％ | 168 | 222 | 54 | 32．3\％ | 149 | 196 | 47 | 31．3\％ | 135 | 177 | 42 | 31．2\％ |
| 265800 | 379 | 478 | 99 | 26．2\％ | 267 | 347 | 80 | 29．9\％ | 204 | 266 | 62 | 30．2\％ | 169 | 223 | 54 | 31．7\％ | 149 | 196 | 47 | 31．4\％ | 135 | 177 | 42 | 31．4\％ |
| 266400 | 379 | 479 | 100 | 26．4\％ | 267 | 347 | 80 | 30．1\％ | 204 | 266 | 62 | 30．4\％ | 169 | 223 | 54 | 31．8\％ | 149 | 196 | 47 | 31．6\％ | 135 | 178 | 43 | 31．5\％ |
| 267000 | 380 | 480 | 100 | 26．2\％ | 268 | 348 | 80 | 29．8\％ | 204 | 266 | 62 | 30．5\％ | 169 | 223 | 54 | 32．0\％ | 149 | 196 | 47 | 31．7\％ | 135 | 178 | 43 | 31．7\％ |
| 267600 | 380 | 480 | 100 | 26．4\％ | 268 | 348 | 80 | 29．9\％ | 204 | 267 | 63 | 30．7\％ | 170 | 223 | 53 | 31．4\％ | 150 | 197 | 47 | 31．0\％ | 135 | 178 | 43 | 31．9\％ |
| 268200 | 381 | 481 | 100 | 26．3\％ | 268 | 349 | 81 | 30．1\％ | 205 | 267 | 62 | 30．2\％ | 170 | 224 | 54 | 31．5\％ | 150 | 197 | 47 | 31．2\％ | 136 | 178 | 42 | 31．0\％ |


| 268800 | 382 | 482 | 100 | 26.1\% | 269 | 349 | 80 | 29.8\% | 205 | 267 | 62 | 30.4\% | 170 | 224 | 54 | 31.7\% | 150 | 197 | 47 | 31.3\% | 136 | 178 | 42 | 31.29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 269400 | 382 | 482 | 100 | 26.3\% | 269 | 350 | 81 | 30.0\% | 205 | 268 | 63 | 30.6\% | 171 | 224 | 53 | 31.2\% | 150 | 197 | 47 | 31.6\% | 136 | 179 | 43 | 31.59 |
| 270000 | 383 | 483 | 100 | 26.19 | 269 | 350 | 81 | 30.2\% | 206 | 268 | 62 | 30.2\% | 171 | 225 | 54 | 31.4\% | 150 | 198 | 48 | 31.8\% | 136 | 179 | 43 | 31.7\% |
| 270600 | 384 | 484 | 100 | 25.9\% | 270 | 351 | 81 | 29.9\% | 206 | 269 | 63 | 30.4\% | 171 | 225 | 54 | 31.6\% | 151 | 198 | 47 | 31.2\% | 137 | 179 | 42 |  |
| 271200 | 384 | 484 | 100 | 26.19 | 270 | 351 | 81 | 30.1\% | 206 | 269 | 63 | 30.7\% | 171 | 225 | 54 | $31.9 \%$ | 151 | 198 | 47 | 31.49 | 137 | 180 | 43 |  |
| 271800 | 385 | 485 | 100 | 25.9\% | 271 | 352 | 81 | 29.8\% | 207 | 270 | 63 | $30.3 \%$ | 171 | 226 | 55 | 32.1\% | 151 | 199 | 48 | 31.6\% | 137 | 180 | 43 |  |
| 272400 | 385 | 485 | 100 | 26.19 | 271 | 352 | 81 | 30.0\% | 207 | 270 | 63 | $30.5 \%$ | 172 | 226 | 54 | 31.6\% | 151 | 199 | 48 | 31.9\% | 138 | 180 | 42 |  |
| 273000 | 386 | 486 | 100 | 25.9\% | 271 | 353 | 82 | 30.2\% | 207 | 271 | 64 | 30.7\% | 172 | 227 | 55 | 31.8\% | 152 | 199 | 47 | 31.2\% | 138 | 181 | 43 | 30.9 |
| 273600 | 387 | 487 | 100 | $25.8 \%$ | 272 | 353 | 81 | 29.9\% | 208 | 271 | 63 | 30.3\% | 172 | 227 | 55 | 32.0\% | 152 | 200 | 48 | 31.5\% | 138 | 181 | 43 | 31.2 |
| 274200 | 387 | 487 | 100 | 25.9\% | 272 | 354 | 82 | 30.1\% | 209 | 272 | 63 | 29.9\% | 173 | 227 | 54 | 31.5\% | 152 | 200 | 48 | 31.7\% | 138 | 181 | 43 | 31.4\% |
| 274800 | 388 | 488 | 100 | 25.8\% | 273 | 354 | 81 | 29.8\% | 209 | 272 | 63 | 30.2\% | 173 | 228 | 55 | 31.7\% | 153 | 201 | 48 | 31.1\% | 138 | 182 | 44 | $31.6 \%$ |
| 275400 | 388 | 489 | 101 | 25.9\% | 273 | 355 | 82 | 30.0\% | 209 | 272 | 63 | 30.4\% | 173 | 228 | 55 | 32.0\% | 153 | 201 | 48 | 31.3\% | 139 | 182 | 43 | 30.9\% |
| 276000 | 389 | 489 | 100 | 25.7\% | 274 | 356 | 82 | 29.8\% | 209 | 273 | 64 | 30.6\% | 173 | 229 | 56 | 32.2\% | 153 | 201 | 48 | 31.5\% | 139 | 182 | 43 | 31.1\% |
| 276600 | 390 | 490 | 100 | 25.6\% | 274 | 356 | 82 | 30.0\% | 209 | 273 | 64 | 30.8\% | 174 | 229 | 55 | 31.7\% | 153 | 202 | 49 | 31.8\% | 139 | 183 | 44 | 31.49 |
| 277200 | 390 | 490 | 100 | 25.7\% | 275 | 357 | 82 | 29.7\% | 210 | 274 | 64 | 30.4\% | 174 | 229 | 55 | 31.9\% | 154 | 202 | 48 | 31.19 | 139 | 183 | 44 |  |
| 277800 | 391 | 491 | 100 | 25.6\% | 275 | 357 | 82 | 29.9\% | 210 | 274 | 64 | 30.7\% | 174 | 230 | 56 | 32.1\% | 154 | 202 | 48 | 31.4\% | 139 | 183 | 44 |  |
| 278400 | 391 | 492 | 101 | $25.7 \%$ | 276 | 358 | 82 | 29.6\% | 210 | 275 | 65 | 30.9\% | 175 | 230 | 55 | 31.6\% | 154 | 203 | 49 | 31.6\% | 140 | 184 | 44 |  |
| 279000 | 392 | 492 | 100 | 25.69 | 276 | 358 | 82 | 29.8 | 211 | 275 | 64 | 30.5\% | 175 | 231 | 56 | 31 | 154 | 203 | 49 | 31.8 | 140 | 184 | 44 |  |
| 279600 | 393 | 493 | 100 | 25.48 | 276 | 359 | 83 | 30 | 211 | 276 | 65 | 30.7\% | 175 | 231 | 56 | 32.19 | 155 | 203 | 48 | 31.2\% | 140 | 184 | 44 |  |
| 280200 | 393 | 493 | 100 | 25.6\% | 277 | 359 | 82 | $29.7 \%$ | 211 | 276 | 65 | 31.0\% | 175 | 231 | 56 | 32.3\% | 155 | 204 | 49 | $31.4 \%$ | 140 | 185 | 45 | 31.8 |
| 280800 | 394 | 494 | 100 | 25.4\% | 277 | 360 | 83 | 29 | 212 | 277 | 65 | 30.6\% | 176 | 232 | 56 | 31.8\% | 155 | 204 | 49 | 31.7\% | 140 | 185 | 45 | 32.0 |
| 281400 | 395 | 495 | 100 | 25.2\% | 278 | 360 | 82 | 29. | 212 | 277 | 65 | 30.8\% | 176 | 232 | 56 | 32.0\% | 155 | 204 | 49 | 31.9\% | 141 | 185 | 44 | 31 |
| 282000 | 395 | 495 | 100 | 25.4\% | 278 | 361 | 83 | 29.8\% | 212 | 278 | 66 | 31.0\% | 176 | 233 | 57 | 32.2\% | 156 | 205 | 49 | 31.3\% | 141 | 185 | 44 |  |
| 282600 | 396 | 496 | 100 | 25.2\% | 278 | 361 | 83 | 30.0\% | 213 | 278 | 65 | 30.6\% | 177 | 233 | 56 | 31.7\% | 156 | 205 | 49 | 31.5\% | 141 | 186 | 45 |  |
| 283200 | 397 | 497 | 100 | 25.1\% | 279 | 362 | 83 | 29.7\% | 213 | 279 | 66 | 30.9\% | 177 | 233 | 56 | 31.9 | 156 | 205 | 49 | 31.7\% | 141 | 186 | 45 |  |
| 283800 | 397 | 497 | 100 | 25.2\% | 280 | 362 | 82 | 29.4\% | 213 | 279 | 66 | 31.1\% | 177 | 234 | 57 | 32.1\% | 156 | 206 | 50 | 31.9\% | 142 | 186 | 44 |  |
| 284400 | 398 | 498 | 100 | 25.1\% | 280 | 363 | 83 | 29.6\% | 214 | 280 | 66 | 30.7\% | 177 | 234 | 57 | 32.4\% | 156 | 206 | 50 | 32.2\% | 142 | 187 | 45 |  |
| 285000 | 399 | 498 | 99 | 24.9\% | 280 | 364 | 84 | 29.8\% | 214 | 280 | 66 | 30.9\% | 178 | 235 | 57 | 31 | 157 | 207 | 50 | 31.5 | 142 | 187 | 45 |  |
| 285600 | 399 | 499 | 100 | 25.1\% | 281 | 364 | 83 | 29.6\% | 215 | 281 | 66 | 30.5\% | 178 | 235 | 57 | 32.1\% | 157 | 207 | 50 | 31.8 | 142 | 187 | 45 |  |
| 286200 | 399 | 500 | 101 | 25.2\% | 281 | 365 | 84 | 29.7\% | 215 | 281 | 66 | 30.7\% | 178 | 235 | 57 | 32.3\% | 157 | 207 | 50 | 32.0\% | 143 | 188 | 45 |  |
| 286800 | 400 | 500 | 100 | 25.1\% | 282 | 365 | 83 | 29.5\% | 215 | 282 | 67 | $31.0 \%$ | 179 | 236 | 57 | 31.8\% | 157 | 208 | 51 | 32.28 | 143 | 188 | 45 |  |
| 287400 | 401 | 501 | 100 | 24.9\% | 282 | 366 | 84 | 29 | 216 | 282 | 66 | 30.6\% | 179 | 236 | 57 | 32.0 | 158 | 208 | 50 | 31.6 | 143 | 188 | 45 |  |
| 288000 | 40 | 502 | 101 | 25.1 | 282 | 366 | 84 | 29 | 216 | 283 | 67 | 30. | 179 | 237 | 58 | 32.2 | 158 | 208 | 50 | 31.8 | 143 | 189 | 46 |  |
| 288600 | 402 | 502 | 100 | 24.9\% | 283 | 367 | 84 | 29.6 | 21 | 283 | 67 | 31.0 | 180 | 237 | 57 | 31.7 | 158 | 209 | 51 | 32.1 | 144 | 189 | 45 |  |
| 289200 | 403 | 503 | 100 | 24.8\% | 283 | 367 | 84 | 29 | 216 | 284 | 68 | $31.3 \%$ | 180 | 238 | 58 | 31.9\% | 159 | 209 | 50 | 31.49 | 144 | 189 | 45 |  |
| 289800 | 403 | 503 | 100 | 24.98 | 284 | 368 | 84 | 29.5 | 217 | 284 | 67 | 30.9\% | 180 | 238 | 58 | 32.2\% | 159 | 209 | 50 | 31.7\% | 144 | 190 | 46 |  |
| 290400 | 404 | 504 | 100 | 24.8\% | 284 | 368 | 84 | 29 | 217 | 284 | 67 | 31.1\% | 180 | 238 | 58 | 32.4\% | 159 | 210 | 51 | 31.96 | 144 | 190 | 46 |  |
| 291000 | 405 | 505 | 100 | 24.6\% | 285 | 369 | 84 | 29 | 218 | 285 | 67 | 30.7\% | 181 | 239 | 58 | 31.9\% | 159 | 210 | 51 | 32.19 | 144 | 190 | 46 |  |
| 291600 | 405 | 505 | 100 | 24.8\% | 285 | 369 | 84 | 29.6\% | 218 | 285 | 67 | 30.9 | 181 | 239 | 58 | 32.1 | 160 | 210 | 50 | 31.5\% | 145 | 191 | 46 |  |
| 292200 | 406 | 506 | 100 | $24.6 \%$ | 286 | 370 | 84 | 29.3 | 218 | 286 | 68 | 31.1\% | 181 | 240 | 59 | 32.3\% | 160 | 211 | 51 | 31.79 | 145 | 191 | 46 |  |
| 292800 | 406 | 507 | 101 | 24.8\% | 286 | 370 | 84 | 29 | 219 | 286 | 67 | 30.8\% | 181 | 240 | 59 | 32.5 | 160 | 211 | 51 | 31.98 | 145 | 191 | 46 |  |
| 293400 | 407 | 507 | 100 | 24.6 | 287 | 371 | 84 | 29.3 | 219 | 287 | 68 | 31.0\% | 182 | 240 | 58 | 32.0\% | 160 | 211 | 51 | 32.2\% | 145 | 192 | 47 |  |
| 294000 | 408 | 508 | 100 | 24.4\% | 287 | 372 | 85 | 29.4 | 219 | 287 | 68 | 31.2\% | 182 | 241 | 59 | 32.3\% | 160 | 212 | 52 | 32.48 | 145 | 192 | 47 |  |
| 294600 | 408 | 508 | 100 | $24.6 \%$ | 287 | 372 | 85 | 29.6 | 220 | 288 | 68 | 30.8\% | 182 | 241 | 59 | 32.5\% | 161 | 212 | 51 | 31.8\% | 146 | 192 | 46 |  |
| 295200 | 409 | 509 | 100 | 24 | 288 | 373 | 85 | 29.4\% | 220 | 288 | 68 | 31.0\% | 182 | 242 | 60 | 32.7\% | 161 | 213 | 52 | 32.0\% | 146 | 193 | 47 |  |
| 295800 | 409 | 510 | 101 | $24.6 \%$ | 288 | 373 | 85 | 29.5\% | 220 | 289 | 69 | 31.3\% | 183 | 242 | 59 | 32.26 | 161 | 213 | 52 | 32.2 | 146 | 193 | 47 |  |
| 296400 | 410 | 510 | 100 | 24.4\% | 289 | 37 | 85 | 29.3\% | 221 | 289 | 68 | 30.9\% | 183 | 242 | 59 | 32.4\% | 162 | 213 | 51 | $31.6 \%$ | 146 | 193 | 47 |  |
| 297000 | 410 | 511 | 101 | 24.6\% | 289 | 37 | 85 | 29.5\% | 221 | 290 | 69 | 31.1\% | 183 | 243 | 60 | 32.6 | 162 | 214 | 52 | 31.8 | 147 | 193 | 46 |  |
| 297600 | 411 | 511 | 100 | 24.4\% | 289 | 375 | 86 | 29.7\% | 221 | 290 | 69 | 31.3\% | 183 | 243 | 60 | 32.9\% | 162 | 214 | 52 | 32.18 | 147 | 194 | 47 |  |
| 298200 | 412 | 512 | 100 | 24.3\% | 290 | 375 | 85 | 29.4\% | 222 | 291 | 69 | $30.9 \%$ | 184 | 244 | 60 | 32.3\% | 162 | 214 | 52 | 32.3\% | 147 | 194 | 47 | 32.14 |
| 298800 | 412 | 513 | 101 | 24.4\% | 290 | 376 | 86 | 29.6\% | 222 | 291 | 69 | 31.2\% | 184 | 244 | 60 | 32.6\% | 162 | 215 | 53 | 32.5\% | 147 | 194 | 47 | 32.3 |
| 299400 | 413 | 513 | 100 | 24.3\% | 291 | 376 | 85 | 29.3\% | 222 | 292 | 70 | 31.4\% | 184 | 244 | 60 | 32.8\% | 163 | 215 | 52 | 31.9\% | 148 | 195 | 47 | 31.6\% |
| 300000 | 414 | 514 | 100 | 24.1\% | 291 | 377 | 86 | 29.5\% | 223 | 292 | 69 | $31.0 \%$ | 185 | 245 | 60 | 32.3\% | 163 | 215 | 52 | 32.1\% | 148 | 195 | 47 | \%1.8 |
| 300600 | 414 | 515 | 101 | 24.3\% | 292 | 377 | 85 | 29.2\% | 223 | 293 | 70 | 31.2\% | 185 | 245 | 60 | 32.5\% | 163 | 216 | 53 | 32.3\% | 148 | 195 | 47 | 32.08 |
| 301200 | 415 | 515 | 100 | 24.1\% | 292 | 378 | 86 | 29.4\% | 223 | 293 | 70 | 31.4\% | 185 | 246 | 61 | 32.7\% | 164 | 216 | 52 | 31.7\% | 148 | 196 | 48 | 32.29 |
| 301800 | 416 | 516 | 100 | 24.0\% | 293 | 378 | 85 | 29.2\% | 223 | 294 | 71 | 31.6\% | 186 | 246 | 60 | 32.2\% | 164 | 216 | 52 | 32.0\% | 149 | 196 | 47 | 31.6 |
| 302400 | 416 | 516 | 100 | 24.1\% | 293 | 379 | 86 | 29.3\% | 224 | 294 | 70 | 31.3\% | 186 | 246 | 60 | 32.4\% | 164 | 217 | 53 | 32.2\% | 149 | 196 | 47 | 31.8 |
| 303000 | 417 | 517 | 100 | 24.0\% | 293 | 379 | 86 | 29.5\% | 224 | 295 | 71 | 31.5\% | 186 | 247 | 61 | 32.6\% | 164 | 217 | 53 | 32.4\% | 149 | 197 | 48 | 32. |
| 303600 | 418 | 518 | 100 | 23.8\% | 294 | 380 | 86 | 29.3\% | 225 | 295 | 70 | 31.1\% | 187 | 247 | 60 | 32.2\% | 165 | 217 | 52 | 31.8\% | 149 | 197 | 48 | 32 |
| 304200 | 418 | 518 | 100 | 24.0\% | 294 | 381 | 87 | 29.4\% | 225 | 295 | 70 | 31.3\% | 187 | 248 | 61 | 32.4\% | 165 | 218 | 53 | 32.0\% | 149 | 197 | 48 | 32. |
| 304800 | 419 | 519 | 100 | 23.8\% | 295 | 381 | 86 | 29.2\% | 225 | 296 | 71 | 31.5\% | 187 | 248 | 61 | 32.6\% | 165 | 218 | 53 | 32.2\% | 150 | 198 | 48 | 31. |


|  | 1 Child |  |  |  | 2 Children |  |  |  | 3 Children |  |  |  | 4 Children |  |  |  | 5 Children |  |  |  | 6 Children |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{8}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 坒 } \\ & \frac{5}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{17}{8} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} g_{0} \\ \frac{5}{5} \\ \text { is } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\frac{\text { 륻 }}{\frac{H}{x}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ँㅡ } \\ & \frac{10}{3} \\ & \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { " } \\ & \frac{5}{3} \\ & i n \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{8}{\frac{5}{5}} \frac{5}{6}$ |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l} \text { E } \\ \text { B } \\ \text { 드n } \\ \text { in } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { ¢ } \\ & \text { ¿8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { 른 }}{\frac{5}{6}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 를 } \\ & \text { 휼 } \\ & \text { ? } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \frac{\%}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{y} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{8}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 픙 } \\ & \text { 卨 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \& \\ & 0 \\ & \text { 0 } \\ & \text { is } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { 르́ } \\ & \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { 른 }}{}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \text { \% } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \frac{0}{01} \\ & \text { ¢ } \\ & \text { æ8 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 305400 | 419 | 520 | 101 | 24.0\% | 295 | 382 | 87 | 29.4\% | 226 | 296 | 70 | 31.2\% | 187 | 248 | 61 | 32.8\% | 165 | 219 | 54 | 32.4\% | 150 | 198 | 48 | 32.0\% |
| 306000 | 420 | 520 | 100 | 23.8\% | 296 | 382 | 86 | 29.1\% | 226 | 297 | 71 | 31.4\% | 188 | 249 | 61 | 32.3\% | 165 | 219 | 54 | 32.7\% | 150 | 198 | 48 | 32.2\% |
| 306600 | 421 | 521 | 100 | 23.7\% | 296 | 383 | 87 | 29.3\% | 226 | 297 | 71 | 31.6\% | 188 | 249 | 61 | 32.5\% | 166 | 219 | 53 | 32.1\% | 150 | 199 | 49 | 32.4\% |
| 307200 | 421 | 521 | 100 | 23.8\% | 297 | 383 | 86 | 29.0\% | 227 | 298 | 71 | 31.2\% | 188 | 250 | 62 | 32.7\% | 166 | 220 | 54 | 32.3\% | 150 | 199 | 49 | 32.6\% |
| 307800 | 422 | 522 | 100 | 23.7\% | 297 | 384 | 87 | 29.2\% | 227 | 298 | 71 | 31.4\% | 188 | 250 | 62 | 32.9\% | 166 | 220 | 54 | 32.5\% | 151 | 199 | 48 | 31.9\% |
| 308400 | 423 | 523 | 100 | 23.6\% | 298 | 384 | 86 | 29.0\% | 227 | 299 | 72 | 31.6\% | 188 | 250 | 62 | 33.2\% | 167 | 220 | 53 | 31.9\% | 151 | 200 | 49 | 32.2\% |
| 309000 | 423 | 523 | 100 | 23.7\% | 298 | 385 | 87 | 29.1\% | 228 | 299 | 71 | 31.3\% | 189 | 251 | 62 | 32.7\% | 167 | 221 | 54 | 32.1\% | 151 | 200 | 49 | 32.4\% |
| 309600 | 424 | 524 | 100 | 23.6\% | 298 | 385 | 87 | 29.3\% | 228 | 300 | 72 | 31.5\% | 189 | 251 | 62 | 32.9\% | 167 | 221 | 54 | 32.3\% | 151 | 200 | 49 | 32.6\% |
| 310200 | 425 | 524 | 99 | 23.4\% | 299 | 386 | 87 | 29.1\% | 228 | 300 | 72 | 31.7\% | 189 | 252 | 63 | 33.1\% | 167 | 221 | 54 | 32.5\% | 152 | 201 | 49 | 31.9\% |
| 310800 | 425 | 525 | 100 | 23.6\% | 299 | 386 | 87 | 29.2\% | 229 | 301 | 72 | 31.3\% | 189 | 252 | 63 | 33.3\% | 168 | 222 | 54 | 32.0\% | 152 | 201 | 49 | 32.1\% |
| 311400 | 426 | 526 | 100 | 23.4\% | 300 | 387 | 87 | 29.0\% | 229 | 301 | 72 | 31.5\% | 190 | 252 | 62 | 32.8\% | 168 | 222 | 54 | 32.2\% | 152 | 201 | 49 | 32.3\% |
| 312000 | 426 | 526 | 100 | 23.6\% | 300 | 387 | 87 | 29.2\% | 229 | 302 | 73 | 31.7\% | 190 | 253 | 63 | 33.0\% | 168 | 222 | 54 | 32.49 | 152 | 201 | 49 | 32.5\% |
| 312600 | 427 | 527 | 100 | 23.4\% | 301 | 388 | 87 | 28.9\% | 230 | 302 | 72 | 31.4\% | 191 | 253 | 62 | 32.5\% | 168 | 223 | 55 | 32.6\% | 153 | 202 | 49 | 31.9\% |
| 313200 | 427 | 528 | 101 | 23.6\% | 301 | 389 | 88 | 29.1\% | 230 | 303 | 73 | 31.6\% | 191 | 254 | 63 | 32.7\% | 168 | 223 | 55 | $32.8 \%$ | 153 | 202 | 49 | 32.1\% |
| 313800 | 428 | 528 | 100 | 23.4\% | 301 | 389 | 88 | 29.3\% | 231 | 303 | 72 | 31.2\% | 191 | 254 | 63 | 33.0\% | 169 | 223 | 54 | 32.2\% | 153 | 202 | 49 | 32.3\% |
| 314400 | 429 | 529 | 100 | 23.3\% | 302 | 390 | 88 | 29.0\% | 231 | 304 | 73 | 31.4\% | 192 | 254 | 62 | 32.5\% | 169 | 224 | 55 | 32.4\% | 153 | 203 | 50 | 32.5\% |
| 315000 | 429 | 529 | 100 | 23.4\% | 302 | 390 | 88 | 29.2\% | 231 | 304 | 73 | 31.6\% | 192 | 255 | 63 | 32.7\% | 169 | 224 | 55 | 32.6\% | 154 | 203 | 49 | 31.9\% |
| 315600 | 430 | 530 | 100 | 23.3\% | 303 | 391 | 88 | 28.9\% | 232 | 305 | 73 | 31.3\% | 192 | 255 | 63 | 32.9\% | 170 | 225 | 55 | 32.1\% | 154 | 203 | 49 | 32.1\% |
| 316200 | 431 | 531 | 100 | 23.1\% | 303 | 391 | 88 | 29.1\% | 232 | 305 | 73 | 31.5\% | 192 | 256 | 64 | 33.1\% | 170 | 225 | 55 | 32.3\% | 154 | 204 | 50 | 32.3\% |
| 316800 | 431 | 531 | 100 | 23.3\% | 304 | 392 | 88 | 28.9\% | 232 | 306 | 74 | 31.7\% | 193 | 256 | 63 | 32.6\% | 170 | 225 | 55 | 32.5\% | 154 | 204 | 50 | 32.5\% |
| 317400 | 432 | 532 | 100 | 23.1\% | 304 | 392 | 88 | 29.0\% | 232 | 306 | 74 | 31.9\% | 193 | 256 | 63 | 32.8\% | 170 | 226 | 56 | 32.7\% | 154 | 204 | 50 | 32.79 |
| 318000 | 432 | 533 | 101 | 23.3\% | 304 | 393 | 89 | 29.2\% | 233 | 306 | 73 | 31.5\% | 193 | 257 | 64 | 33.0\% | 171 | 226 | 55 | 32.1\% | 155 | 205 | 50 | 32.0\% |
| 318600 | 433 | 533 | 100 | 23.1\% | 305 | 393 | 88 | 29.0\% | 233 | 307 | 74 | 31.7\% | 193 | 257 | 64 | 33.2\% | 171 | 226 | 55 | 32.3\% | 155 | 205 | 50 | 32.2\% |
| 319200 | 433 | 534 | 101 | 23.3\% | 305 | 394 | 89 | 29.1\% | 233 | 307 | 74 | 31.9\% | 194 | 258 | 64 | 32.8\% | 171 | 227 | 56 | 32.5\% | 155 | 205 | 50 | 32.5\% |
| 319800 | 434 | 534 | 100 | 23.1\% | 306 | 394 | 88 | 28.9\% | 234 | 308 | 74 | 31.6\% | 194 | 258 | 64 | 33.0\% | 171 | 227 | 56 | 32.7\% | 155 | 206 | 51 | 32.7\% |
| 320400 | 435 | 535 | 100 | 23.0\% | 306 | 395 | 89 | 29.1\% | 234 | 308 | 74 | 31.8\% | 194 | 258 | 64 | 33.2\% | 171 | 227 | 56 | 33.0\% | 155 | 206 | 51 | 32.9\% |
| 321000 | 435 | 536 | 101 | 23.1\% | 307 | 395 | 88 | 28.8\% | 234 | 309 | 75 | 32.0\% | 194 | 259 | 65 | 33.4\% | 172 | 228 | 56 | 32.4\% | 156 | 206 | 50 | 32.2\% |
| 321600 | 436 | 536 | 100 | 23.0\% | 307 | 396 | 89 | 29.0\% | 235 | 309 | 74 | 31.6\% | 195 | 259 | 64 | 32.9\% | 172 | 228 | 56 | 32.6\% | 156 | 207 | 51 | 32.4\% |
| 322200 | 437 | 537 | 100 | 22.9\% | 308 | 397 | 89 | 28.7\% | 235 | 310 | 75 | 31.8\% | 195 | 260 | 65 | 33.1\% | 172 | 228 | 56 | 32.8\% | 156 | 207 | 51 | 32.6\% |
| 322800 | 437 | 537 | 100 | 23.0\% | 308 | 397 | 89 | 28.9\% | 235 | 310 | 75 | 32.0\% | 195 | 260 | 65 | 33.3\% | 173 | 229 | 56 | 32.2\% | 156 | 207 | 51 | 32.8\% |
| 323400 | 438 | 538 | 100 | 22.9\% | 309 | 398 | 89 | 28.7\% | 236 | 311 | 75 | 31.7\% | 195 | 260 | 65 | 33.5\% | 173 | 229 | 56 | 32.49 | 156 | 208 | 52 | 33.0\% |
| 324000 | 438 | 539 | 101 | 23.0\% | 309 | 398 | 89 | 28.8\% | 236 | 311 | 75 | 31.9\% | 195 | 261 | 66 | 33.7\% | 173 | 229 | 56 | 32.6\% | 157 | 208 | 51 | 32.4\% |
| 324600 | 439 | 539 | 100 | 22.9\% | 309 | 399 | 90 | 29.0\% | 237 | 312 | 75 | 31.5\% | 196 | 261 | 65 | 33.2\% | 173 | 230 | 57 | 32.8\% | 157 | 208 | 51 | 32.6\% |
| 325200 | 439 | 540 | 101 | 23.0\% | 310 | 399 | 89 | 28.8\% | 237 | 312 | 75 | 31.7\% | 197 | 262 | 65 | 32.8\% | 174 | 230 | 56 | 32.3\% | 157 | 208 | 51 | 32.8 |
| 325800 | 440 | 541 | 101 | 22.9\% | 310 | 400 | 90 | 28.9\% | 237 | 313 | 76 | 31.9\% | 197 | 262 | 65 | 33.0\% | 174 | 231 | 57 | 32.5\% | 157 | 209 | 52 | 33.0 |
| 326400 | 441 | 541 | 100 | 22.7\% | 311 | 400 | 89 | 28.7\% | 238 | 313 | 75 | 31.6\% | 197 | 262 | 65 | 33.2\% | 174 | 231 | 57 | 32.7\% | 158 | 209 | 51 | 32.4\% |
| 327000 | 441 | 542 | 101 | 22.9\% | 311 | 401 | 90 | 28.9\% | 238 | 314 | 76 | 31.8\% | 197 | 263 | 66 | 33.4 | 174 | 231 | 57 | 32.9\% | 158 | 209 | 51 | 32.6 |
| 327600 | 442 | 542 | 100 | 22.7\% | 311 | 401 | 90 | 29.0\% | 238 | 314 | 76 | 32.0\% | 198 | 263 | 65 | 32.9\% | 174 | 232 | 58 | 33.1\% | 158 | 210 | 52 | 32.8 |
| 328200 | 443 | 543 | 100 | 22.6\% | 312 | 402 | 90 | 28.8\% | 239 | 315 | 76 | 31.6\% | 198 | 264 | 66 | 33.1\% | 175 | 232 | 57 | 32.5\% | 158 | 210 | 52 | 33.0\% |
| 328800 | 444 | 544 | 100 | 22.5\% | 312 | 402 | 90 | 29.0\% | 239 | 315 | 76 | 31.8\% | 198 | 264 | 66 | $33.3 \%$ | 175 | 232 | 57 | 32.7\% | 159 | 210 | 51 | 32.3 |
| 329400 | 444 | 544 | 100 | 22.6\% | 313 | 403 | 90 | 28.7\% | 239 | 316 | 77 | 32.0\% | 198 | 264 | 66 | 33.5\% | 175 | 233 | 58 | 32.9\% | 159 | 211 | 52 | 32.5\% |
| 330000 | 445 | 545 | 100 | 22.5\% | 313 | 403 | 90 | 28.9\% | 240 | 316 | 76 | 31.7\% | 199 | 265 | 66 | 33.0\% | 176 | 233 | 57 | 32.4\% | 159 | 211 | 52 | 32.7\% |
| 330600 | 445 | 546 | 101 | 22.6\% | 314 | 404 | 90 | 28.7\% | 240 | 317 | 77 | 31.9\% | 199 | 265 | 66 | 33.2\% | 176 | 233 | 57 | 32.6\% | 159 | 211 | 52 | 32.9\% |
| 331200 | 446 | 546 | 100 | 22.5\% | 314 | 405 | 91 | 28.8\% | 240 | 317 | 77 | 32.1\% | 199 | 266 | 67 | 33.4\% | 176 | 234 | 58 | 32.8\% | 159 | 212 | 53 | 33.1\% |
| 331800 | 447 | 547 | 100 | 22.3\% | 315 | 405 | 90 | 28.6\% | 241 | 317 | 76 | 31.7\% | 199 | 266 | 67 | 33.6\% | 176 | 234 | 58 | 32.9\% | 160 | 212 | 52 | 32.5\% |
| 332400 | 447 | 547 | 100 | 22.5\% | 315 | 405 | 90 | 28.7\% | 241 | 318 | 77 | 31.8\% | 200 | 266 | 66 | 33.1\% | 176 | 234 | 58 | 33.1\% | 160 | 212 | 52 | 32.6\% |
| 333000 | 448 | 548 | 100 | 22.3\% | 315 | 406 | 91 | 28.9\% | 241 | 318 | 77 | 32.0\% | 200 | 266 | 66 | 33.2\% | 177 | 234 | 57 | 32.5\% | 160 | 212 | 52 | 32.7\% |
| 333600 | 448 | 549 | 101 | 22.5\% | 316 | 406 | 90 | 28.6\% | 242 | 318 | 76 | 31.6\% | 200 | 267 | 67 | 33.4\% | 177 | 235 | 58 | 32.6\% | 160 | 213 | 53 | 32.9\% |
| 334200 | 449 | 549 | 100 | 22.4\% | 316 | 407 | 91 | 28.7\% | 242 | 319 | 77 | 31.7\% | 200 | 267 | 67 | 33.5\% | 177 | 235 | 58 | 32.8\% | 161 | 213 | 52 | 32.2\% |
| 334800 | 450 | 550 | 100 | 22.2\% | 317 | 407 | 90 | 28.5\% | 242 | 319 | 77 | 31.9\% | 201 | 267 | 66 | 33.0\% | 177 | 235 | 58 | 32.9\% | 161 | 213 | 52 | 32.3\% |
| 335400 | 450 | 551 | 101 | 22.4\% | 317 | 408 | 91 | 28.6\% | 243 | 319 | 76 | 31.5\% | 201 | 268 | 67 | 33.1\% | 178 | 235 | 57 | 32.3\% | 161 | 213 | 52 | 32.5\% |
| 336000 | 451 | 551 | 100 | 22.2\% | 317 | 408 | 91 | 28.8\% | 243 | 320 | 77 | 31.6\% | 201 | 268 | 67 | 33.3\% | 178 | 236 | 58 | 32.4\% | 161 | 214 | 53 | 32.6\% |
| 336600 | 452 | 552 | 100 | 22.1\% | 318 | 409 | 91 | 28.5\% | 243 | 320 | 77 | 31.7\% | 201 | 268 | 67 | 33.4\% | 178 | 236 | 58 | 32.6\% | 161 | 214 | 53 | 32.8\% |
| 337200 | 452 | 553 | 101 | 22.3\% | 318 | 409 | 91 | 28.7\% | 244 | 320 | 76 | 31.3\% | 202 | 268 | 66 | 32.9\% | 179 | 236 | 57 | 32.0\% | 162 | 214 | 52 | 32.1\% |
| 337800 | 453 | 553 | 100 | 22.1\% | 319 | 410 | 91 | 28.4\% | 244 | 321 | 77 | 31.5\% | 203 | 269 | 66 | 32.4\% | 179 | 237 | 58 | 32.1\% | 162 | 214 | 52 | 32.2\% |
| 338400 | 453 | 554 | 101 | 22.3\% | 319 | 410 | 91 | 28.5\% | 244 | 321 | 77 | 31.6\% | 203 | 269 | 66 | 32.5\% | 179 | 237 | 58 | 32.3\% | 162 | 214 | 52 | 32.4\% |
| 339000 | 454 | 555 | 101 | 22.1\% | 320 | 410 | 90 | 28.3\% | 245 | 322 | 77 | 31.2\% | 203 | 269 | 66 | 32.7\% | 179 | 237 | 58 | 32.4\% | 162 | 215 | 53 | 32.5\% |
| 339600 | 454 | 555 | 101 | 22.3\% | 320 | 411 | 91 | 28.4\% | 245 | 322 | 77 | 31.4\% | 203 | 270 | 67 | 32.8\% | 180 | 237 | 57 | 31.8\% | 163 | 215 | 52 | 31.9\% |
| 340200 | 455 | 556 | 101 | 22.2\% | 321 | 411 | 90 | 28.2\% | 246 | 322 | 76 | 31.0\% | 204 | 270 | 66 | 32.3\% | 180 | 238 | 58 | 32.0\% | 163 | 215 | 52 | 32.0\% |
| 340800 | 456 | 556 | 100 | 22.0\% | 321 | 412 | 91 | 28.3\% | 246 | 323 | 77 | 31.1\% | 204 | 270 | 66 | 32.5\% | 180 | 238 | 58 | 32.1\% | 163 | 215 | 52 | 32.1\% |
| 341400 | 456 | 557 | 101 | 22.2\% | 322 | 412 | 90 | 28.1\% | 246 | 323 | 77 | 31.3\% | 204 | 271 | 67 | 32.6\% | 180 | 238 | 58 | 32.2\% | 163 | 216 | 53 | $32.3 \%$ |


|  | 1 Child |  |  |  | 2 Children |  |  |  | 3 Children |  |  |  | 4 Children |  |  |  | 5 Children |  |  |  | 6 Children |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\stackrel{0}{6}}{\frac{1}{6}}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y y}{7}}{\frac{0}{6}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 프 } \\ & \frac{10}{8} \\ & \frac{8}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { s } \\ & \text { \% } \\ & \text { 尔 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\text { 룬 }}{\frac{5}{6}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{5}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\frac{y y}{7}}{\frac{5}{6}}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { e } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { 0 } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\frac{5}{5}}{\frac{5}{6}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흘 } \\ & \text { 흉 } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | a 毕 in in | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{\&} \\ & \text { \# } \\ & \frac{1}{3} \\ & \mathscr{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{80}{\frac{5}{5}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿹ㅡㅇ } \\ & \text { 䨤 } \end{aligned}$ | 品 号 in |  |  |  | $\%$ 0 $\frac{5}{4}$ $i$ |  |
| 342000 | 457 | 558 | 101 | 22．0\％ | 322 | 413 | 91 | 28．2\％ | 246 | 323 | 77 | 31．4\％ | 204 | 271 | 67 | 32．7\％ | 180 | 238 | 58 | 32．4\％ | 164 | 216 | 52 | 31．6\％ |
| 342600 | 458 | 558 | 100 | 21．9\％ | 322 | 413 | 91 | 28．3\％ | 247 | 324 | 77 | 31．0\％ | 204 | 271 | 67 | 32．9\％ | 181 | 239 | 58 | 31．8\％ | 164 | 216 | 52 | 31．8\％ |
| 343200 | 458 | 559 | 101 | 22．1\％ | 323 | 414 | 91 | 28．1\％ | 247 | 324 | 77 | 31．1\％ | 205 | 271 | 66 | 32．4\％ | 181 | 239 | 58 | 31．9\％ | 164 | 216 | 52 | 31．9\％ |
| 343800 | 459 | 560 | 101 | 21．9\％ | 323 | 414 | 91 | 28．2\％ | 247 | 324 | 77 | 31．3\％ | 205 | 272 | 67 | 32．5\％ | 181 | 239 | 58 | 32．1\％ | 164 | 217 | 53 | 32．0\％ |
| 344400 | 459 | 560 | 101 | 22．1\％ | 324 | 415 | 91 | 28．0\％ | 248 | 325 | 77 | 30．9\％ | 205 | 272 | 67 | 32．7\％ | 181 | 239 | 58 | 32．2\％ | 164 | 217 | 53 | 32．2\％ |
| 345000 | 460 | 561 | 101 | 21．9\％ | 324 | 415 | 91 | 28．1\％ | 248 | 325 | 77 | 31．0\％ | 205 | 272 | 67 | 32．8\％ | 182 | 240 | 58 | 31．6\％ | 165 | 217 | 52 | 31．5\％ |
| 345600 | 461 | 562 | 101 | 21．8\％ | 325 | 416 | 91 | 27．9\％ | 248 | 325 | 77 | 31．2\％ | 206 | 273 | 67 | 32．3\％ | 182 | 240 | 58 | 31．8\％ | 165 | 217 | 52 | 31．7\％ |
| 346200 | 461 | 562 | 101 | 22．0\％ | 325 | 416 | 91 | 28．0\％ | 249 | 326 | 77 | 30．8\％ | 206 | 273 | 67 | 32．4\％ | 182 | 240 | 58 | 31．9\％ | 165 | 217 | 52 | 31．8\％ |
| 346800 | 462 | 563 | 101 | 21．8\％ | 326 | 416 | 90 | 27．8\％ | 249 | 326 | 77 | 30．9\％ | 206 | 273 | 67 | 32．6\％ | 182 | 240 | 58 | 32．1\％ | 165 | 218 | 53 | 31．9\％ |
| 347400 | 463 | 564 | 101 | 21．7\％ | 326 | 417 | 91 | 27．9\％ | 249 | 326 | 77 | 31．1\％ | 206 | 273 | 67 | 32．7\％ | 183 | 241 | 58 | 31．5\％ | 166 | 218 | 52 | 31．3\％ |
| 348000 | 463 | 564 | 101 | 21．9\％ | 326 | 417 | 91 | 28．0\％ | 250 | 327 | 77 | 30．7\％ | 207 | 274 | 67 | 32．2\％ | 183 | 241 | 58 | 31．6\％ | 166 | 218 | 52 | 31．4\％ |
| 348600 | 464 | 565 | 101 | 21．7\％ | 327 | 418 | 91 | 27．8\％ | 250 | 327 | 77 | 30．8\％ | 207 | 274 | 67 | 32．4\％ | 183 | 241 | 58 | 31．8\％ | 166 | 218 | 52 | 31．6\％ |
| 349200 | 465 | 565 | 100 | 21．6\％ | 327 | 418 | 91 | 27．9\％ | 250 | 327 | 77 | 31．0\％ | 207 | 274 | 67 | 32．5\％ | 183 | 241 | 58 | 31．9\％ | 166 | 219 | 53 | 31．7\％ |
| 349800 | 465 | 566 | 101 | 21．7\％ | 328 | 419 | 91 | 27．7\％ | 251 | 328 | 77 | 30．6\％ | 208 | 275 | 67 | 32．0\％ | 183 | 242 | 59 | 32．0\％ | 166 | 219 | 53 | 31．8\％ |
| 350400 | 465 | 567 | 102 | 21．9\％ | 328 | 419 | 91 | 27．8\％ | 251 | 328 | 77 | 30．7\％ | 208 | 275 | 67 | 32．1\％ | 184 | 242 | 58 | 31．5\％ | 167 | 219 | 52 | 31．2\％ |
| 351000 | 466 | 567 | 101 | 21．8\％ | 329 | 420 | 91 | 27．6\％ | 252 | 328 | 76 | 30．3\％ | 209 | 275 | 66 | 31．7\％ | 184 | 242 | 58 | 31．6\％ | 167 | 219 | 52 | 31．3\％ |
| 351600 | 467 | 568 | 101 | 21．6\％ | 329 | 420 | 91 | 27．7\％ | 252 | 329 | 77 | 30．5\％ | 209 | 275 | 66 | 31．8\％ | 185 | 242 | 57 | 31．0\％ | 167 | 220 | 53 | 31．5\％ |
| 352200 | 467 | 569 | 102 | 21．8\％ | 330 | 421 | 91 | 27．5\％ | 252 | 329 | 77 | 30．6\％ | 209 | 276 | 67 | 31．9\％ | 185 | 243 | 58 | 31．2\％ | 167 | 220 | 53 | 31．6\％ |
| 352800 | 468 | 569 | 101 | 21．7\％ | 330 | 421 | 91 | 27．6\％ | 253 | 329 | 76 | 30．2\％ | 209 | 276 | 67 | 32．1\％ | 185 | 243 | 58 | 31．3\％ | 168 | 220 | 52 | 31．0\％ |
| 353400 | 469 | 570 | 101 | 21．5\％ | 331 | 422 | 91 | 27．3\％ | 253 | 330 | 77 | 30．4\％ | 210 | 276 | 66 | 31．6\％ | 185 | 243 | 58 | 31．4\％ | 168 | 220 | 52 | 31．1\％ |
| 354000 | 469 | 571 | 102 | 21．7\％ | 331 | 422 | 91 | 27．5\％ | 253 | 330 | 77 | 30．5\％ | 210 | 277 | 67 | 31．7\％ | 186 | 243 | 57 | 30．9\％ | 168 | 220 | 52 | 31．2\％ |
| 354600 | 470 | 571 | 101 | 21．5\％ | 331 | 422 | 91 | 27．6\％ | 254 | 331 | 77 | 30．1\％ | 210 | 277 | 67 | 31．9\％ | 186 | 244 | 58 | 31．0\％ | 168 | 221 | 53 | 31．4\％ |
| 355200 | 471 | 572 | 101 | 21．4\％ | 332 | 423 | 91 | 27．4\％ | 254 | 331 | 77 | 30．3\％ | 210 | 277 | 67 | 32．0\％ | 186 | 244 | 58 | 31．1\％ | 169 | 221 | 52 | 30．7\％ |
| 355800 | 471 | 573 | 102 | 21．6\％ | 332 | 423 | 91 | 27．5\％ | 254 | 331 | 77 | 30．4\％ | 210 | 277 | 67 | 32．1\％ | 186 | 244 | 58 | 31．3\％ | 169 | 221 | 52 | 30．9\％ |
| 356400 | 472 | 573 | 101 | 21．4\％ | 332 | 424 | 92 | 27．7\％ | 255 | 332 | 77 | 30．0\％ | 211 | 278 | 67 | 31．6\％ | 186 | 244 | 58 | 31．4\％ | 169 | 221 | 52 | 31．0\％ |
| 357000 | 472 | 574 | 102 | 21．6\％ | 333 | 424 | 91 | 27．4\％ | 255 | 332 | 77 | 30．2\％ | 211 | 278 | 67 | 31．8\％ | 187 | 245 | 58 | 30．8\％ | 169 | 222 | 53 | $31.2 \%$ |
| 357600 | 473 | 574 | 101 | 21．5\％ | 333 | 425 | 92 | 27．6\％ | 255 | 332 | 77 | 30．3\％ | 211 | 278 | 67 | 31．9\％ | 187 | 245 | 58 | 31．0\％ | 169 | 222 | 53 | 31．3\％ |
| 358200 | 473 | 575 | 102 | 21．6\％ | 334 | 425 | 91 | 27．3\％ | 256 | 333 | 77 | 29．9\％ | 212 | 279 | 67 | 31．4\％ | 187 | 245 | 58 | 31．1\％ | 170 | 222 | 52 | 30．7\％ |
| 358800 | 474 | 576 | 102 | 21．5\％ | 334 | 426 | 92 | 27．4\％ | 256 | 333 | 77 | 30．1\％ | 212 | 279 | 67 | 31．6\％ | 187 | 245 | 58 | 31．3\％ | 170 | 222 | 52 | 30．8\％ |
| 359400 | 475 | 576 | 101 | 21．3\％ | 335 | 426 | 91 | 27．2\％ | 256 | 333 | 77 | 30．2\％ | 212 | 279 | 67 | 31．7\％ | 188 | 246 | 58 | 30．7\％ | 170 | 223 | 53 | 30．9\％ |
| 360000 | 476 | 577 | 101 | 21．2\％ | 335 | 427 | 92 | 27．3\％ | 257 | 334 | 77 | 29．8\％ | 212 | 280 | 68 | 31．8\％ | 188 | 246 | 58 | 30．8\％ | 170 | 223 | 53 | 31．1\％ |
| 360400 | 476 | 577 | 101 | 21．3\％ | 336 | 427 | 91 | 27．1\％ | 257 | 334 | 77 | 29．9\％ | 213 | 280 | 67 | 31．3\％ | 188 | 246 | 58 | 30．9\％ | 170 | 223 | 53 | 31．2\％ |
| 360800 | 476 | 578 | 102 | 21．4\％ | 336 | 427 | 91 | 27．1\％ | 257 | 334 | 77 | 30．0\％ | 213 | 280 | 67 | 31．4\％ | 188 | 246 | 58 | 31．0\％ | 171 | 223 | 52 | 30．5\％ |
| 361200 | 477 | 578 | 101 | 21．2\％ | 336 | 428 | 92 | 27．2\％ | 257 | 334 | 77 | 30．1\％ | 213 | 280 | 67 | 31．5\％ | 188 | 246 | 58 | 31．1\％ | 171 | 223 | 52 | 30．6\％ |
| 361600 | 477 | 579 | 102 | 21．3\％ | 336 | 428 | 92 | 27．3\％ | 257 | 335 | 78 | 30．2\％ | 213 | 280 | 67 | 31．6\％ | 188 | 247 | 59 | 31．2\％ | 171 | 223 | 52 | 30．7\％ |
| 362000 | 478 | 579 | 101 | 21．2\％ | 337 | 428 | 91 | 27．0\％ | 258 | 335 | 77 | 29．8\％ | 213 | 280 | 67 | 31．7\％ | 188 | 247 | 59 | 31．3\％ | 171 | 224 | 53 | 30．7\％ |
| 362400 | 478 | 580 | 102 | 21．3\％ | 337 | 428 | 91 | 27．1\％ | 258 | 335 | 77 | 29．9\％ | 214 | 281 | 67 | 31．2\％ | 189 | 247 | 58 | 30．7\％ | 171 | 224 | 53 | 30．8\％ |
| 362800 | 478 | 580 | 102 | 21．3\％ | 337 | 429 | 92 | 27．2\％ | 258 | 335 | 77 | 29．9\％ | 214 | 281 | 67 | 31．2\％ | 189 | 247 | 58 | 30．8\％ | 171 | 224 | 53 | 30．9\％ |
| 363200 | 479 | 580 | 101 | 21．2\％ | 337 | 429 | 92 | 27．3\％ | 259 | 335 | 76 | 29．5\％ | 214 | 281 | 67 | 31．3\％ | 189 | 247 | 58 | 30．9\％ | 171 | 224 | 53 | 31．0\％ |
| 363600 | 479 | 581 | 102 | 21．3\％ | 338 | 429 | 91 | 27．0\％ | 259 | 336 | 77 | 29．6\％ | 214 | 281 | 67 | 31．4\％ | 189 | 247 | 58 | 31．0\％ | 172 | 224 | 52 | 30．3\％ |
| 364000 | 480 | 581 | 101 | 21．1\％ | 338 | 430 | 92 | 27．1\％ | 259 | 336 | 77 | 29．7\％ | 215 | 281 | 66 | 30．9\％ | 189 | 248 | 59 | 31．0\％ | 172 | 224 | 52 | 30．4\％ |
| 364400 | 480 | 582 | 102 | 21．2\％ | 338 | 430 | 92 | 27．2\％ | 259 | 336 | 77 | 29．8\％ | 215 | 282 | 67 | 31．0\％ | 189 | 248 | 59 | 31．1\％ | 172 | 225 | 53 | 30．5\％ |
| 364800 | 480 | 582 | 102 | 21．3\％ | 339 | 430 | 91 | 26．9\％ | 259 | 336 | 77 | 29．9\％ | 215 | 282 | 67 | 31．1\％ | 190 | 248 | 58 | 30．5\％ | 172 | 225 | 53 | 30．6\％ |
| 365200 | 481 | 583 | 102 | 21．1\％ | 339 | 431 | 92 | 27．0\％ | 260 | 337 | 77 | 29．5\％ | 215 | 282 | 67 | 31．2\％ | 190 | 248 | 58 | 30．6\％ | 172 | 225 | 53 | 30．7\％ |
| 365600 | 481 | 583 | 102 | 21．2\％ | 339 | 431 | 92 | 27．1\％ | 260 | 337 | 77 | 29．6\％ | 215 | 282 | 67 | 31．3\％ | 190 | 248 | 58 | 30．7\％ | 172 | 225 | 53 | 30．8\％ |
| 366000 | 482 | 583 | 101 | 21．1\％ | 340 | 431 | 91 | 26．8\％ | 260 | 337 | 77 | 29．7\％ | 215 | 282 | 67 | 31．4\％ | 191 | 249 | 58 | 30．1\％ | 173 | 225 | 52 | 30．1\％ |
| 366400 | 482 | 584 | 102 | 21．1\％ | 340 | 431 | 91 | 26．9\％ | 260 | 337 | 77 | 29．7\％ | 216 | 283 | 67 | 30．8\％ | 191 | 249 | 58 | 30．2\％ | 173 | 225 | 52 | 30．2\％ |
| 366800 | 482 | 584 | 102 | 21．2\％ | 340 | 432 | 92 | 27．0\％ | 260 | 338 | 78 | 29．8\％ | 216 | 283 | 67 | 30．9\％ | 191 | 249 | 58 | 30．3\％ | 173 | 225 | 52 | 30．3\％ |
| 367200 | 483 | 585 | 102 | 21．1\％ | 341 | 432 | 91 | 26．7\％ | 260 | 338 | 78 | 29．9\％ | 216 | 283 | 67 | 31．0\％ | 191 | 249 | 58 | 30．4\％ | 173 | 226 | 53 | 30．4\％ |
| 367600 | 483 | 585 | 102 | 21．2\％ | 341 | 432 | 91 | 26．8\％ | 261 | 338 | 77 | 29．5\％ | 216 | 283 | 67 | 31．1\％ | 191 | 249 | 58 | 30．5\％ | 173 | 226 | 53 | 30．5\％ |
| 368000 | 484 | 586 | 102 | 21．0\％ | 341 | 433 | 92 | 26．9\％ | 261 | 338 | 77 | 29．6\％ | 216 | 283 | 67 | $31.2 \%$ | 191 | 249 | 58 | 30．6\％ | 173 | 226 | 53 | 30．6\％ |
| 368400 | 484 | 586 | 102 | 21．1\％ | 342 | 433 | 91 | 26．6\％ | 261 | 338 | 77 | 29．7\％ | 216 | 284 | 68 | 31．3\％ | 191 | 250 | 59 | 30．7\％ | 174 | 226 | 52 | 29．9\％ |
| 368800 | 484 | 586 | 102 | 21．2\％ | 342 | 433 | 91 | 26．7\％ | 262 | 339 | 77 | 29．3\％ | 217 | 284 | 67 | 30．8\％ | 192 | 250 | 58 | 30．1\％ | 174 | 226 | 52 | 30．0\％ |
| 369200 | 485 | 587 | 102 | 21．0\％ | 342 | 434 | 92 | 26．8\％ | 262 | 339 | 77 | 29．4\％ | 217 | 284 | 67 | 30．9\％ | 192 | 250 | 58 | 30．1\％ | 174 | 226 | 52 | 30．1\％ |
| 369600 | 485 | 587 | 102 | 21．1\％ | 342 | 434 | 92 | 26．9\％ | 262 | 339 | 77 | 29．5\％ | 217 | 284 | 67 | 30．9\％ | 192 | 250 | 58 | 30．2\％ | 174 | 227 | 53 | 30．2\％ |
| 370000 | 486 | 588 | 102 | 20．9\％ | 342 | 434 | 92 | 27．0\％ | 262 | 339 | 77 | 29．5\％ | 217 | 284 | 67 | 31．0\％ | 192 | 250 | 58 | 30．3\％ | 174 | 227 | 53 | 30．3\％ |
| 370400 | 486 | 588 | 102 | 21．0\％ | 343 | 435 | 92 | 26．7\％ | 263 | 340 | 77 | 29．1\％ | 217 | 285 | 68 | 31．1\％ | 192 | 250 | 58 | 30．4\％ | 174 | 227 | 53 | 30．4\％ |
| 370800 | 486 | 589 | 103 | 21．1\％ | 343 | 435 | 92 | 26．8\％ | 263 | 340 | 77 | 29．2\％ | 218 | 285 | 67 | 30．6\％ | 192 | 251 | 59 | 30．5\％ | 174 | 227 | 53 | 30．4\％ |
| 371200 | 487 | 589 | 102 | 21．0\％ | 343 | 435 | 92 | 26．9\％ | 263 | 340 | 77 | 29．3\％ | 218 | 285 | 67 | 30．7\％ | 193 | 251 | 58 | 29．9\％ | 175 | 227 | 52 | 29．8\％ |
| 371600 | 487 | 589 | 102 | 21．0\％ | 344 | 435 | 91 | 26．6\％ | 263 | 340 | 77 | 29．4\％ | 218 | 285 | 67 | 30．8\％ | 193 | 251 | 58 | 30．0\％ | 175 | 227 | 52 | 29．9\％ |
| 372000 | 488 | 590 | 102 | 20．9\％ | 344 | 436 | 92 | 26．7\％ | 263 | 341 | 78 | 29．5\％ | 218 | 285 | 67 | 30．9\％ | 193 | 251 | 58 | 30．1\％ | 175 | 227 | 52 | 30．0\％ |


| 372400 | 489 | 590 | 101 | 20.7\% | 345 | 436 | 91 | 26.4\% | 264 | 341 | 77 | 29.1\% | 218 | 286 | 68 | 31.0\% | 193 | 251 | 58 | 30.2\% | 175 | 228 | 53 | 30.1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 372800 | 489 | 591 | 102 | 20.8\% | 344 | 436 | 92 | 26.9\% | 264 | 341 | 77 | 29.2\% | 218 | 286 | 68 | 31.1\% | 193 | 251 | 58 | 30.3\% | 175 | 228 | 53 | 30.19 |
| 373200 | 489 | 591 | 102 | 20.9\% | 345 | 437 | 92 | 26.6\% | 264 | 341 | 77 | 29.3\% | 218 | 286 | 68 | 31.1\% | 193 | 252 | 59 | 30.4\% | 175 | 228 | 53 | 30.28 |
| 373600 | 490 | 592 | 102 | 20.7\% | 345 | 437 | 92 | 26.7\% | 264 | 341 | 77 | 29.4\% | 219 | 286 | 67 | 30.6\% | 193 | 252 | 59 | 30.4\% | 175 | 228 | 53 | 30.3\% |
| 374000 | 490 | 592 | 102 | 20.8\% | 346 | 437 | 91 | 26.4\% | 264 | 342 | 78 | 29.4\% | 219 | 286 | 67 | 30.7\% | 193 | 252 | 59 | 30.5\% | 176 | 228 | 52 | 29.79 |
| 374400 | 491 | 592 | 101 | 20.7\% | 346 | 438 | 92 | 26.5\% | 265 | 342 | 77 | 29.0\% | 220 | 286 | 66 | 30.2\% | 194 | 252 | 58 | 29.9\% | 176 | 228 | 52 | 29.8\% |
| 374800 | 491 | 593 | 102 | 20.8\% | 346 | 438 | 92 | 26.6\% | 265 | 342 | 77 | 29.1\% | 219 | 287 | 68 | $30.9 \%$ | 194 | 252 | 58 | 30.0\% | 176 | 229 | 53 | 29.8\% |
| 375200 | 491 | 593 | 102 | 20.8\% | 347 | 438 | 91 | 26.3\% | 265 | 342 | 77 | 29.2\% | 220 | 287 | 67 | 30.4\% | 194 | 252 | 58 | 30.1\% | 176 | 229 | 53 | 29.9\% |
| 375600 | 492 | 594 | 102 | 20.7\% | 347 | 439 | 92 | 26.4\% | 265 | 343 | 78 | 29.3\% | 220 | 287 | 67 | 30.5\% | 194 | 253 | 59 | 30.2\% | 176 | 229 | 53 | 30.0\% |
| 376000 | 492 | 594 | 102 | 20.8\% | 347 | 439 | 92 | 26.5\% | 266 | 343 | 77 | 28.9\% | 220 | 287 | 67 | 30.6\% | 194 | 253 | 59 | 30.3\% | 176 | 229 | 53 | 30.1\% |
| 376400 | 492 | 595 | 103 | 20.9\% | 347 | 439 | 92 | 26.6\% | 266 | 343 | 77 | 29.0\% | 220 | 287 | 67 | 30.7\% | 194 | 253 | 59 | 30.4\% | 176 | 229 | 53 | 30.2\% |
| 376800 | 493 | 595 | 102 | 20.7\% | 347 | 439 | 92 | 26.6\% | 266 | 343 | 77 | 29.1\% | 220 | 288 | 68 | 30.7\% | 195 | 253 | 58 | 29.8\% | 177 | 229 | 52 | 29.5\% |
| 377200 | 493 | 595 | 102 | 20.8\% | 348 | 440 | 92 | 26.4\% | 266 | 344 | 78 | 29.2\% | 221 | 288 | 67 | 30.2\% | 195 | 253 | 58 | 29.9\% | 177 | 229 | 52 | 29.6\% |
| 377600 | 494 | 596 | 102 | 20.6\% | 348 | 440 | 92 | 26.5\% | 266 | 344 | 78 | 29.3\% | 221 | 288 | 67 | 30.3\% | 195 | 253 | 58 | 30.0\% | 177 | 230 | 53 | 29.7\% |
| 378000 | 494 | 596 | 102 | 20.7\% | 348 | 440 | 92 | 26.5\% | 267 | 344 | 77 | 28.9\% | 221 | 288 | 67 | 30.4\% | 195 | 254 | 59 | 30.1\% | 177 | 230 | 53 | 29.8\% |
| 378400 | 495 | 597 | 102 | 20.6\% | 348 | 441 | 93 | 26.6\% | 267 | 344 | 77 | 28.9\% | 221 | 288 | 67 | 30.5\% | 195 | 254 | 59 | 30.2\% | 177 | 230 | 53 | 29.9\% |
| 378800 | 495 | 597 | 102 | 20.6\% | 349 | 441 | 92 | 26.4\% | 267 | 345 | 78 | 29.0\% | 221 | 289 | 68 | 30.6\% | 195 | 254 | 59 | 30.2\% | 177 | 230 | 53 | 30.0\% |
| 379200 | 495 | 598 | 103 | 20.7\% | 349 | 441 | 92 | 26.4\% | 268 | 345 | 77 | 28.6\% | 221 | 289 | 68 | 30.7\% | 196 | 254 | 58 | 29.7\% | 178 | 230 | 52 | 29.3\% |
| 379600 | 496 | 598 | 102 | 20.6\% | 349 | 442 | 93 | 26.5\% | 268 | 345 | 77 | 28.7\% | 222 | 289 | 67 | 30.2\% | 196 | 254 | 58 | 29.8\% | 178 | 230 | 52 | 29.4\% |
| 380000 | 496 | 598 | 102 | 20.79 | 350 | 442 | 92 | 26.3\% | 268 | 345 | 77 | 28.8\% | 222 | 289 | 67 | 30.3\% | 196 | 254 | 58 | $29.8 \%$ | 178 | 231 | 53 | $29.5 \%$ |
| 380400 | 497 | 599 | 102 | 20.5\% | 350 | 442 | 92 | 26.3\% | 268 | 345 | 77 | $28.9 \%$ | 222 | 289 | 67 | 30.4\% | 197 | 255 | 58 | $29.3 \%$ | 178 | 231 | 53 | 29.69 |
| 380800 | 497 | 599 | 102 | 20.6 | 350 | 443 | 93 | 26.49 | 268 | 346 | 78 | 29.0\% | 222 | 290 | 68 | 30.4\% | 197 | 255 | 58 | 29.4\% | 178 | 231 | 53 | 29.78 |
| 381200 | 497 | 600 | 103 | 20.7 | 351 | 443 | 92 | 26.2\% | 269 | 346 | 77 | 28.6\% | 222 | 290 | 68 | 30.5\% | 197 | 255 | 58 | 29.4\% | 178 | 231 | 53 | 29.8\% |
| 381600 | 498 | 600 | 102 | 20.5\% | 351 | 443 | 92 | 26.2\% | 269 | 346 | 77 | 28.7\% | 222 | 290 | 68 | 30.6\% | 197 | 255 | 58 | 29.5\% | 179 | 231 | 52 | 29.1\% |
| 382000 | 498 | 601 | 103 | 20.6 | 351 | 443 | 92 | 26.3\% | 269 | 346 | 77 | 28.8\% | 223 | 290 | 67 | 30.1\% | 197 | 255 | 58 | 29.6\% | 179 | 231 | 52 | 29.2\% |
| 382400 | 499 | 601 | 102 | 20.5\% | 352 | 444 | 92 | 26.1\% | 269 | 347 | 78 | 28.8\% | 223 | 290 | 67 | 30.2\% | 197 | 256 | 59 | 29.7\% | 179 | 231 | 52 | 29.3\% |
| 382800 | 499 | 601 | 102 | 20.5\% | 352 | 444 | 92 | 26.2\% | 269 | 347 | 78 | 28.9\% | 223 | 291 | 68 | 30.3\% | 197 | 256 | 59 | 29.8\% | 179 | 232 | 53 | 29.4\% |
| 383200 | 499 | 602 | 103 | 20.6\% | 352 | 444 | 92 | 26.2\% | 270 | 347 | 77 | 28.5\% | 223 | 291 | 68 | 30.4\% | 198 | 256 | 58 | 29.2\% | 179 | 232 | 53 | 29.5\% |
| 383600 | 500 | 602 | 102 | 20.5\% | 353 | 445 | 92 | 26.0\% | 270 | 347 | 77 | 28.6\% | 223 | 291 | 68 | 30.5\% | 198 | 256 | 58 | 29.3\% | 179 | 232 | 53 | 29.6\% |
| 384000 | 500 | 603 | 103 | 20.6\% | 353 | 445 | 92 | 26.1\% | 270 | 348 | 78 | 28.7\% | 224 | 291 | 67 | 30.0\% | 198 | 256 | 58 | 29.4\% | 179 | 232 | 53 | 29.6\% |
| 384400 | 501 | 603 | 102 | 20.4\% | 353 | 445 | 92 | 26.1\% | 270 | 348 | 78 | 28.8\% | 224 | 291 | 67 | 30.1\% | 198 | 256 | 58 | 29.5\% | 180 | 232 | 52 | 29.0\% |
| 384800 | 501 | 604 | 103 | 20.5\% | 353 | 446 | 93 | 26.2\% | 271 | 348 | 77 | 28.4\% | 224 | 292 | 68 | 30.1\% | 198 | 257 | 59 | 29.6\% | 180 | 232 | 52 | 29.1\% |
| 385200 | 501 | 604 | 103 | 20.6\% | 353 | 446 | 93 | 26.3\% | 271 | 348 | 77 | 28.5\% | 224 | 292 | 68 | 30.2\% | 198 | 257 | 59 | 29.6\% | 180 | 233 | 53 | 29.2\% |
| 385600 | 502 | 604 | 102 | 20.4\% | 354 | 446 | 92 | 26.0\% | 271 | 348 | 77 | 28.6\% | 224 | 292 | 68 | 30.3\% | 198 | 257 | 59 | 29.7\% | 180 | 233 | 53 | 29.3\% |
| 386000 | 502 | 605 | 103 | 20.5 | 354 | 447 | 93 | 26.1\% | 271 | 349 | 78 | 28.7\% | 225 | 292 | 67 | 29.8\% | 199 | 257 | 58 | 29.2\% | 180 | 233 | 53 | 29.4\% |
| 386400 | 503 | 605 | 102 | 20.3\% | 354 | 447 | 93 | 26.2\% | 271 | 349 | 78 | 28.7\% | 225 | 292 | 67 | 29.9\% | 199 | 257 | 58 | 29.3\% | 180 | 233 | 53 | 29.4 |
| 386800 | 503 | 606 | 103 | 20.4\% | 355 | 447 | 92 | 25.9\% | 272 | 349 | 77 | 28.4\% | 225 | 292 | 67 | 30.0\% | 199 | 257 | 58 | 29.3\% | 181 | 233 | 52 | 28.8\% |
| 387200 | 504 | 606 | 102 | 20.3\% | 355 | 447 | 92 | 26.0\% | 272 | 349 | 77 | 28.4\% | 225 | 293 | 68 | 30.1\% | 199 | 258 | 59 | 29.4\% | 181 | 233 | 52 | 28.9 |
| 387600 | 504 | 607 | 103 | 20.4\% | 355 | 448 | 93 | 26.1\% | 272 | 350 | 78 | 28.5\% | 226 | 293 | 67 | 29.6\% | 199 | 258 | 59 | 29.5\% | 181 | 233 | 52 | 29.0\% |
| 388000 | 504 | 607 | 103 | 20.4 | 355 | 448 | 93 | 26.2\% | 272 | 350 | 78 | 28.6\% | 226 | 293 | 67 | 29.7\% | 199 | 258 | 59 | $29.6 \%$ | 181 | 234 | 53 | 29.1\% |
| 388400 | 505 | 607 | 102 | 20.3\% | 356 | 448 | 92 | 25.9\% | 273 | 350 | 77 | 28.2\% | 226 | 293 | 67 | 29.8\% | 199 | 258 | 59 | 29.76 | 181 | 234 | 53 | 29.2\% |
| 388800 | 505 | 608 | 103 | 20.4\% | 356 | 449 | 93 | 26.0\% | 273 | 350 | 77 | 28.3\% | 226 | 293 | 67 | 29.8\% | 200 | 258 | 58 | 29.1\% | 181 | 234 | 53 | 29.2\% |
| 389200 | 506 | 608 | 102 | 20.2\% | 357 | 449 | 92 | 25.8\% | 273 | 351 | 78 | 28.4\% | 226 | 294 | 68 | 29.9\% | 200 | 258 | 58 | 29.2\% | 181 | 234 | 53 | 29.3\% |
| 389600 | 506 | 609 | 103 | 20.3\% | 357 | 449 | 92 | 25.8\% | 274 | 351 | 77 | 28.0\% | 226 | 294 | 68 | 30.0\% | 200 | 259 | 59 | 29.3\% | 181 | 234 | 53 | 29.4\% |
| 390000 | 506 | 609 | 103 | 20.4\% | 357 | 450 | 93 | 25.9\% | 274 | 351 | 77 | 28.1\% | 227 | 294 | 67 | 29.5\% | 200 | 259 | 59 | 29.4\% | 182 | 234 | 52 | 28.8\% |
| 390400 | 507 | 610 | 103 | 20.2\% | 358 | 450 | 92 | 25.7 | 274 | 351 | 77 | 28.2\% | 227 | 294 | 67 | 29.6\% | 200 | 259 | 59 | 29.5\% | 182 | 235 | 53 | 28.9\% |
| 390800 | 507 | 610 | 103 | 20.3\% | 358 | 450 | 92 | 25.8\% | 274 | 351 | 77 | 28.3\% | 227 | 294 | 67 | 29.7\% | 200 | 259 | 59 | 29.5\% | 182 | 235 | 53 | 29.0\% |
| 391200 | 507 | 610 | 103 | 20.4\% | 358 | 450 | 92 | 25.8\% | 274 | 352 | 78 | 28.3\% | 227 | 295 | 68 | 29.8\% | 200 | 259 | 59 | 29.6\% | 182 | 235 | 53 | 29.0\% |
| 391600 | 508 | 611 | 103 | 20.3\% | 358 | 451 | 93 | 25.9\% | 275 | 352 | 77 | 28.0\% | 227 | 295 | 68 | 29.9\% | 200 | 259 | 59 | 29.7\% | 182 | 235 | 53 | 29.1\% |
| 392000 | 508 | 611 | 103 | 20.3\% | 359 | 451 | 92 | 25.7\% | 275 | 352 | 77 | 28.0\% | 227 | 295 | 68 | 30.0\% | 201 | 260 | 59 | 29.2\% | 182 | 235 | 53 | 29.2\% |
| 392400 | 509 | 612 | 103 | 20.2\% | 359 | 451 | 92 | 25.7\% | 275 | 352 | 77 | 28.1\% | 227 | 295 | 68 | 30.0\% | 202 | 260 | 58 | 28.6\% | 182 | 235 | 53 | 29.3\% |
| 392800 | 509 | 612 | 103 | 20.3\% | 359 | 452 | 93 | 25.8\% | 275 | 353 | 78 | 28.2\% | 228 | 295 | 67 | 29.6\% | 202 | 260 | 58 | 28.7\% | 182 | 235 | 53 | 29.4\% |
| 393200 | 510 | 613 | 103 | 20.1\% | 359 | 452 | 93 | 25.9\% | 275 | 353 | 78 | 28.3\% | 228 | 296 | 68 | 29.6\% | 201 | 260 | 59 | 29.4\% | 183 | 236 | 53 | 28.8\% |
| 393600 | 510 | 613 | 103 | 20.2\% | 359 | 452 | 93 | 26.0\% | 276 | 353 | 77 | 27.9\% | 228 | 296 | 68 | 29.7\% | 201 | 260 | 59 | 29.5\% | 183 | 236 | 53 | 28.8\% |
| 394000 | 510 | 613 | 103 | 20.3\% | 360 | 453 | 93 | 25.7\% | 276 | 353 | 77 | 28.0\% | 228 | 296 | 68 | 29.8\% | 201 | 260 | 59 | 29.6\% | 183 | 236 | 53 | 28.9\% |
| 394400 | 510 | 614 | 104 | 20.4\% | 360 | 453 | 93 | 25.8\% | 276 | 354 | 78 | 28.1\% | 228 | 296 | 68 | 29.9\% | 201 | 261 | 60 | 29.7\% | 183 | 236 | 53 | 29.0\% |
| 394800 | 511 | 614 | 103 | 20.2\% | 360 | 453 | 93 | 25.9\% | 276 | 354 | 78 | 28.2\% | 229 | 296 | 67 | 29.4\% | 202 | 261 | 59 | 29.1\% | 183 | 236 | 53 | 29.1\% |
| 395200 | 512 | 615 | 103 | 20.1\% | 360 | 454 | 94 | 26.0\% | 276 | 354 | 78 | 28.3\% | 229 | 297 | 68 | 29.5\% | 202 | 261 | 59 | 29.2\% | 183 | 236 | 53 | 29.2\% |
| 395600 | 512 | 615 | 103 | 20.2\% | 361 | 454 | 93 | 25.7\% | 276 | 354 | 78 | 28.3\% | 229 | 297 | 68 | 29.6\% | 203 | 261 | 58 | 28.6\% | 183 | 237 | 54 | 29.3\% |
| 396000 | 512 | 616 | 104 | 20.2\% | 361 | 454 | 93 | 25.8\% | 277 | 354 | 77 | 28.0\% | 229 | 297 | 68 | 29.7\% | 203 | 261 | 58 | 28.7\% | 183 | 237 | 54 | 29.3\% |
| 396400 | 513 | 616 | 103 | 20.1\% | 361 | 454 | 93 | 25.9\% | 277 | 355 | 78 | 28.0\% | 229 | 297 | 68 | 29.8\% | 203 | 261 | 58 | 28.8\% | 184 | 237 | 53 | 28.7\% |

Side－by－Side Comparisons

|  | 1 Child |  |  |  | 2 Children |  |  |  | 3 Children |  |  |  | 4 Children |  |  |  | 5 Children |  |  |  | 6 Children |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{6}{0} \\ & \frac{5}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 号 } \\ & \frac{\square 5}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿹ㅡㄲ } \\ & \frac{10}{2} \\ & \frac{8}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & g \\ & \frac{8}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{3} \\ & v \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{8} \\ & \text { ¢ } \\ & \text { 8 } \\ & \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{C}{7}}{\frac{5}{⿺}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { تँ } \\ & \frac{5}{8} \\ & \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \text { " } \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\text { 弚 }}{\frac{5}{6}}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  | \％ 菭 un |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{8}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \& \\ & 0 \\ & \text { 0 } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 毕 } \\ & \frac{5}{\mathbf{6}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \frac{5}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \frac{0}{7} \\ & \text { 88 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 396800 | 513 | 616 | 103 | 20．2\％ | 362 | 455 | 93 | 25．6\％ | 277 | 355 | 78 | 28．1\％ | 230 | 297 | 67 | 29．3\％ | 203 | 262 | 59 | 28．9\％ | 184 | 237 | 53 | 28．8\％ |
| 397200 | 513 | 617 | 104 | 20．3\％ | 362 | 455 | 93 | 25．7\％ | 277 | 355 | 78 | 28．2\％ | 230 | 298 | 68 | 29．4\％ | 203 | 262 | 59 | 29．0\％ | 184 | 237 | 53 | 28．9\％ |
| 397600 | 514 | 617 | 103 | 20．1\％ | 363 | 455 | 92 | 25．5\％ | 277 | 355 | 78 | 28．3\％ | 230 | 298 | 68 | 29．4\％ | 203 | 262 | 59 | 29．1\％ | 184 | 237 | 53 | 29．0\％ |
| 398000 | 514 | 618 | 104 | 20．2\％ | 363 | 456 | 93 | 25．5\％ | 277 | 356 | 79 | 28．4\％ | 230 | 298 | 68 | 29．5\％ | 203 | 262 | 59 | 29．1\％ | 185 | 237 | 52 | 28．4\％ |
| 398400 | 515 | 618 | 103 | 20．0\％ | 363 | 456 | 93 | 25．6\％ | 278 | 356 | 78 | 28．0\％ | 230 | 298 | 68 | 29．6\％ | 204 | 262 | 58 | 28．6\％ | 185 | 238 | 53 | 28．4\％ |
| 398800 | 515 | 619 | 104 | 20．1\％ | 363 | 456 | 93 | 25．7\％ | 278 | 356 | 78 | 28．1\％ | 230 | 298 | 68 | 29．7\％ | 204 | 262 | 58 | 28．7\％ | 185 | 238 | 53 | 28．5\％ |
| 399200 | 515 | 619 | 104 | 20．2\％ | 364 | 457 | 93 | 25．4\％ | 278 | 356 | 78 | 28．2\％ | 231 | 298 | 67 | 29．2\％ | 204 | 263 | 59 | 28．8\％ | 185 | 238 | 53 | 28．6\％ |
| 399600 | 516 | 619 | 103 | 20．1\％ | 364 | 457 | 93 | 25．5\％ | 278 | 357 | 79 | 28．2\％ | 231 | 299 | 68 | 29．3\％ | 204 | 263 | 59 | 28．8\％ | 185 | 238 | 53 | 28．7\％ |
| 400000 | 516 | 620 | 104 | 20．1\％ | 364 | 457 | 93 | 25．6\％ | 278 | 357 | 79 | 28．3\％ | 231 | 299 | 68 | 29．4\％ | 204 | 263 | 59 | 28．9\％ | 185 | 238 | 53 | 28．8\％ |
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