

# **Maine Heating Fuels Inventory 2002**

Maine State Planning Office Report to the Maine Legislature Utilities and Energy Committee Betsy Elder 1/30/2003

.

,

Maine Heating Fuels Inventory Maine State Planning Office - Betsy Elder -1/30/2003

# **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary	<b>m</b> aga i
Winter 2000 leads to Oil Inventory Project Mondate	page 1
Global Oil Issues 2001 02	page 1
Moine Heating Evel Market True Is	page 2
Name Heating Fuel Market Trends.	page 3
Distillate Trade and Export Trends	page 5
New England's Regional Distribution System	page 6
Maine's Oil Distribution System	page 9
Active Maine Primary Terminal Facilities	page 9
Maine Heating Oil and Kerosene Inventories	page 10
Maine Monthly Oil Transfer Trends	page 17
Maine Consumer Heating Fuels Price Issues	page 20
2001-2002 Maine Heating Oil Price Trends	page 20
2001-2002 Maine Price Trends for No.1 Fuel Oil/Kerosene	page 26
Propane	page 27
Strategic Petroleum Reserve	
Existing Maine Storage Potential	
Anticipated Reductions, Curtailments or Shortfalls in Product Deliveries	F-8
or Storage Inventories in the Coming Months	
State Interagency Energy Planning Team	nage 32
Conclusions and Recommendations.	nage 33
Appendices	
Appendix 1 - Interagency Heating Fuel Planning Team	
Appendix 2 - Active Primary Terminal Listings Maine DEP	
Appendix 3 - MDFP Raw Data Tables for Barrels of Petroleum Products	Francformed
in to Maine from 1995- Oct 2002	Tansieneu
Appendix 4 - Distillate Inventory Undate API January 15, 2002	
Appendix 5 Gasolino Situation ADI January 15, 2003	
Appendix 6 DOE/EIA Man of New Product 4 F	
Appendix 0 - DOE/EIA Map of New England Energy Infrastructure	

Printed under the appropriation #01307B3350012

## **Executive Summary**

Maine is dependent on oil supplies stored south of us in the rest of New England and when delivery systems are disrupted, it can be challenging for petroleum supplies to get to points north, including Maine. With only a five to six day storage capacity in the event of a supply disruption, does Maine ever have enough oil inventory? Any inventory of oil supplies, conducted in Maine, can only give a snapshot of a very dynamic situation, which can change radically overnight. Plentiful supplies in the morning can quickly be gone by nightfall with the onset of a cold snap incentivizing consumers to fill their tanks. Maine consumers are vulnerable to market volatility because of our current storage and delivery system. The behavior of the oil industry has changed over the past decade to *just-in-time* inventories, which is risk-averse for the industry but often causes the Maine consumer to absorb the brunt of volatile prices in the current marketplace. Because conditions can change rapidly which re-supply or deplete *regional* inventories and supplies, any report on Maine's current heating oil inventories may be irrelevant. It is the *regional* inventories, which offer a more meaningful indicator of the state of Maine's supplies.

Having discounted the significance of an accounting of Maine's inventories, SPO's research into the state of heating oil and kerosene inventories does show some very basic things. Based on the information that SPO has received from Primary Terminal Operators, Maine's 2002 inventories of heating oil were more voluminous than 2001 during most of the heating season but have been less plentiful this fall compared to last year. Heating oil inventories for 2002 in general display less seasonal fluctuation than 2001, which had inventories dropping down to as low as 21% of available storage in June. By contrast, June 2002 heating oil inventories stood at 62% of available storage and the lowest point of inventory storage was in April at the end of the heating season when storage was only filled to 46% of capacity. Year 2002, in general, found inventories consistently in the 60-70% range. The data shows that heating oil inventories were building during spring and summer 2002 to a high point in August when 75% of heating oil storage capacity was filled.

The data seems to imply that dealers held almost as much oil in August 2002 as they did at the height of the heating season in 2001. What does this mean? Since the low point in April 2002, oil dealers were gradually, month by spring and summer month, increasing the volume of their stored heating oil in anticipation of the heating season. With the onset an early and cold winter, supplies dwindled during fall 2002 so that in November and December 2002, we were only holding enough inventory to fill half of the available storage capacity. Building excessive stock is a costly practice that competitive enterprises will seek to minimize. The overall decline in seasonal heating oil inventories during the last decade is an indicator of the increased competitiveness and risk aversion, which now characterizes the oil industry. Each individual supplier chooses to keep the level of inventory that will maximize business prospects. Suppliers face stiff penalties in the competitive market for failing to correctly estimate the level of inventories that they will need to satisfy contracts to customers. If inventories are too high, suppliers pay unnecessary storage costs, thereby reducing profits. If inventories are too low, they lose customers to competitors. Thus, market incentives insure that private unregulated suppliers of distillate adequately prepare for each winter. Marketers have to manage a risk that they didn't have before with these kinds of contractural demands.

In October 2000, Maine heating oil inventories stood at 45% of possible storage capacity. In October 2001 we were 20% higher at 65% of storage capacity filled and this year in October 2002 storage capacity was only 58% filled with 1,016,711 thousand barrels. New England heating oil inventories have been down 30% compared to last year. Adequate New England inventories are important to Maine consumers because 273,430 Maine households or 57.3% of the population heats with #2 heating oil. In November 2001 Maine heating oil stood at 61% of storage capacity and November 2002 found us at only 50% of storage capacity holding 874,044 thousand barrels. In December 2001, Maine heating oil stood at 71% of capacity and this December 2002 we were only filled to 55% of capacity with 966,766 thousand barrels. Heating oil prices have been stable but rising gradually in Maine during the first three months of the heating season during the end of 2002.

Based on the information that SPO has received from Primary Terminal Operators this year, Maine 2002 kerosene inventories overall were more robust than last year but fall inventories have been slack in 2002 compared to 2001. Like heating oil, kerosene supplies have been somewhat diminished by demand resulting with an early and fierce Maine winter weather pattern. Typically, stored supplies decline in summer and start to build during September and October and peak in January, February and March. Unlike heating oil, whose stocks were anomalously full during last summer, kerosene supplies did cycle-down and diminished to half-full levels over the summer months. Kerosene inventories began to build in during the fall to 83% in October 2002. Compared with October 2001, when Maine kerosene inventories were filled to 72% of storage capacity, kerosene inventories this year were robust.

Maine's storage capabilities fluctuate from month to month, but it appears that our statewide capacity to store kerosene has decreased over the past couple of years. Recent kerosene storage capacities indicate that in October 2001, Maine's kerosene storage capacity expanded to 567,246 thousand barrels, which is comparable with storage in September 1999 and 2000. In May 2002, kerosene storage decreased to 428,000 thousand barrels and has stayed at this level until November 2002 when it increased to 497,246 thousand barrels. The attacks of September 11th and the ensuing war on terror, have had effects which belie the best state of inventory readiness. Increased domestic security has had effects on localized energy infrastructure in Maine including deliveries to Portland Harbor. Border-crossing delays can affect deliveries of New England heating oil supplies as can winter weather. The entire country has experienced an economic downturn putting downward pressure on prices of most commodities; oil products notwithstanding. Potential supply uncertainty, the strike in Venezuela, OPEC manipulations, and the uncertainty of the impacts from a war on Iraq all contribute this season to the price volatility for heating fuels that we are currently experiencing in Maine.

# Winter 2000 leads to Oil Inventory Project Mandate

During the winter of 1999-2000, Maine experienced a weather induced demand surge in the midst of an already strained heating oil market, which caused price volatility. During mid-January 2000 prices jumped almost 50 % in a few weeks prompting speculation about the causes of such a dramatic price spike. Similar price increases were experienced in the rest of the Northeast and in the Midwest. Cold weather contributed to a reduction in New England regional inventories and created transportation obstacles which were key factors causing the price spike. As a result of this, the Maine legislature created LD 2668 which requires SPO to prepare an annual report on the state of Maine oil inventories and provide information to the Joint Standing Committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over energy matters, in this case the Utilities and Energy Committee, on the following energy issues;

1. Recent Statewide storage inventory for petroleum products including and especially, No.2 heating oil and kerosene

2. Historic trends of the statewide storage inventory over the prior twelve months

3.Anticipated reductions, curtailments or shortfalls in product deliveries or storage inventories in the coming months.

4. If the SPO determines that there may be a significant shortfall in heating oil supply inventories or anticipated deliveries into the State, (it shall issue) a report including;

- the information suggesting a supply shortfall
- current and anticipated inventories of home heating oil storage supplies
- SPO recommendations for State action in response to the supply shortfall.

This report provides documentation of SPO's 2001-02 research into the status of Maine petroleum inventories. SPO maintained communication with Primary Terminal Operators and upheld reporting requirements. Bi-weekly reports provide information about Maine's inventories but SPO prefaces this report by stating that the inventory information may not be especially relevant in ascertaining with certainty the adequacy of supplies or the level of preparedness of Maine's petroleum industry for winter. Because of the lack of storage in Maine, we are dependent on regional inventories, which are primarily stored south of us. The importance of Maine's storage inventories should not be over-stated or over-rated.

Unanticipated demand was the single largest factor affecting oil prices during 2000, but a variety of other factors contributed to the magnitude of the price hike. The first months of winter were warm and dealers had little incentive to store product. January 2000's surge in demand for heating oil and the resulting price increase was compounded by the fact that extreme cold weather placed unanticipated stresses on other energy markets in the northeast. Fuel switching by commercial and industrial interruptible natural gas users in New York State extracted heating oil out of the northeast market. This had a significant effect on heating oil prices and supplies for New England. Above a certain threshold, weather-induced increases in the energy demand tend to have a compounding effect on heating oil market volatility, as other energy markets become stressed and turn to spot-market distillate as an alternative back-up.

Non-residential consumption, especially within the electric utility sector, is less sensitive to price than residential consumption because maintenance of a reliable service is essential to stay in business and because utilities generally pass changes in the price of fuel used in power generation directly on to their ratepayers. To the extent that interruptible and utility customers were better able to absorb (or pass on) higher distillate prices, their substantial and unexpected presence in the January-February 2000 market may have significantly augmented the aggregate demand relationship between prices and total end-use sales. The surprising presence of the non-residential sector in the January-February 2000 markets resulted in an acceleration in the bidding process for scarce supplies, thereby inducing price overreaction to the cold weather and tight market conditions. Additionally, transportation and distribution problems further complicated matters. Supply shortages, transportation bottlenecks, low inventories and refinery outages all threatened prices and made the market unpredictable for commodity traders. As heating oil markets tightened, East Coast supply and distribution systems were severely strained. Transportation delays and other distribution problems contributed to the price spike by causing temporary spot run-outs at several major New England terminals.

# Global Oil Issues 2001-2002

As one can see in the following chart, the price of crude oil has fluctuated drastically in the past five years. After peaking in September 2000, world crude oil prices declined over the past fourteen months as weakening global demand allowed inventories to recover from relatively low levels of year 2000. During the summer of 2001, the price of crude oil declined steadily to a rock bottom price of less than \$20.00 per barrel. Since then, the price of crude has risen almost steadily to current levels, which, at \$34.00/barrel, are at their highest levels in two years. The combination of industrial and power generation demand increases and the effects of OPEC quota cuts, which totaled 5 million barrels per day since the start of 2001, have contributed to current low crude oil inventories. In addition to production control by OPEC nations, higher refinery margin costs, higher natural gas wellhead prices, resulting from low levels of drilling activity earlier this year and impacts of the Venezuelan strike have all contributed to the decline of crude oil inventories and the incline in crude oil prices. Market uncertainty over the possibility of war with Iraq, the cessation of oil imports out of Venezuela and colder than normal weather this winter are all contributing to inclining petroleum prices and declining inventories.

Crude stocks have diminished and in order to make up for the reduction in Venezuelan imports, refiners have drawn upon inventories to keep refinery throughputs at relatively high levels so far. With little prospect of a resolution to the ongoing Venezuela general strike in the offing, the market focus on Iraq and the build up to potential military intervention in early 2003, there is speculation that crude oil prices will increase to as high as \$35.00.bbl. before this upward trend will end. OPEC is expected to respond aggressively to a potential supply crisis by increasing flows well above new quota levels with a significant surge in supply from Middle East producers with excess capacity. Concerned that if prices remain at these high levels, world economic growth and oil demand will falter, Saudi and other OPEC producers are ready to fill any supply shortfall with a collective 500,000 barrels per day, provided the OPEC reference basket remains above the \$22.00-\$28.00/barrel price range. However, the market would be vulnerable to any perceptions of military or terrorist threats or damage to Middle East oil fields or production in Iraq. The possibility of civil unrest in key Middle East oil producing countries could raise the stakes even higher.



# **Maine Heating Fuels Market Trends**

Several key structural changes have taken place in the oil industry during the past decade. Due to oil price deregulation and trade in oil product futures, petroleum prices are subject to commoditization. They respond to supply and demand conditions in much the same way as pork bellies or soybeans. Oil, like other commodities, is competing in a global marketplace and because of this competition in the broad international market, it is less likely that any one player or sector has enough market influence to effectively dominate or control price and supply patterns. But while the industry may be more competitive, the market has become more volatile, reacting or overreacting to real or perceived changes in demand or market conditions. According to DOE/EIA,"...the movement away from producer pricing or market-related pricing...has tended to increase both competitiveness and volatility of petroleum markets. The current pricedetermining process involves many more market participants, with varying expectations, including traders, brokers, speculators, retailers, wholesalers and refiners. More transactions are now directly or indirectly linked to spot or futures markets prices which encourages sellers to *follow the market*, which can rise or fall relatively quickly on either technical factors, or on changing near-term perceptions of market fundamentals" (1)

Consumers need to recognize that the same forces, which compel higher prices in response to increased demand, also serve to keep overall heating oil prices as low as possible under normal market conditions. In fact, the trend toward a more competitive, *through-put* oriented heating oil market has helped to insure that oil prices, on an inflation-adjusted basis, remain highly competitive relative to other fuels. However, the way in which market dynamics operate, especially when viewed in the context of increasing New England dependence on natural gas for heavy industrial uses and electric generation, suggests that price volatility will recur.

The high heating oil prices in all of New England during January 2000 were not only because of high crude prices, but primarily were a result of regionalized low inventories. Consumers often perceive heating oil prices to be constantly on the incline because the market dictates higher costs during the winter months when consumer demand and dependence are greatest. Maine consumers may feel gouged by paying higher prices at a time when they are most vulnerable to market forces and seasonal temperature changes. Prices for heating oil tend to be highest when the demand for heating oil is at its peak and consumers feel the effects that strong demand and low stocks may have on prices. Because the Northeast residential sector is highly dependent on home heating oil, whose prices are normally highest in the winter, colder than normal winter weather will further increase demand and concurrently the potential for price volatility. If heating oil re-supply problems are coupled with additional distillate fuel oil demand from interruptible or *fuel-switching* customers, home heating oil prices can rise sharply, as they did in the winter of 1999-2000.

Supply issues and consumer tastes and preferences dictate the market but the most significant factor influencing demand in the Maine home heating oil market is the weather. Seasonal temperature change, cold weather, snow, and harbor icing all inhibit efficient deliveries. As one would expect in the Northeast United States, the winter months of December, January and February are also the months of highest heating oil consumption. The lowest consumption rates occur in summer. The ability oil suppliers to re-supply kerosene and heating oil, if the transportation system is stressed by weather or other factors, is primary. If this is flawed or unreliable, the forces of a New England winter can dictate supply conditions. Ice, snow, wind and cold make slippery roads and frozen harbors; both of which have a deleterious impact on flawless deliveries; on which Maine is dependent. Industry reliance on just-in-time inventories is

1) Analysis of Heating Fuel Market Behavior 1989-90, United States Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration, June 1990, page 37

risky, as it assumes a flawless delivery system. Ninety percent of New England heating oil moves by sea and is dependent upon a smooth and well-oiled operation. The heating oil industry says, "Don't look at our inventories. Look at our ability to re-supply." But even when the refineries and transportation systems all function optimally and the northeast is well supplied, it is still appropriate to consider our regional and local energy consumption patterns and promote practical strategies for the consumer.

# **Distillate Trade and Export Trends**

The role of distillate trade, imports and exports in the U.S. supply/demand balance deserves some attention here. Distillate fuel oil is used primarily for production of heating oil and diesel fuel. The Northeast has no refinery and no distillate pipeline and is this country's biggest consumer of heating oil. The Northeast uses 70% of the heating oil supply in 12 weeks compared to the Mid-west, which uses only 14%. Among all the states, Maine ranks 6th in the nation for its total consumption of this product and consumers here burn an average of 13,755,000 barrels of No.2 fuel oil per year.

Distillate oil can be divided into two classes; low-sulfur and high-sulfur. Residential heating systems can usually tolerate both types of distillate, however high-sulfur distillate is what is usually thought of as heating oil. High sulfur distillate oil can also be used in off-road equipment. 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments require on-highway transportation, primarily heavy trucks, to use a distillate fuel with a sulfur content of less than 0.05 percent (referred to as low-sulfur diesel). Low sulfur diesel currently makes up about 67 percent of the total distillate sold. (American Petroleum Institute - API).

Trade does play a critical role in influencing winter peak demands. Overall the U.S. is a net importer of distillate. On a year average basis, exports and imports of high sulfur distillate are about in balance but in peak winter months, imports move up sharply while exports fall back. The distillate trade (and generically the petroleum trade) is significantly affected by geographic logistics and regulation. The bulk of U.S. refining capability is in the Gulf Coast while the greatest need for heating oil is in the Northeast. Much, if not all of the U.S. Gulf distillate production moves by pipeline to other parts of the country. The remainder must move by ship. Under the Jones Act, shipments between U.S. ports must be made on U.S. flag-ships, which are usually more expensive than foreign flag carriers, increasing the attractiveness of exports from both the supplier's viewpoint and from the domestic viewpoint, relative to domestic sources. Proposals to limit distillate exports are unlikely to have the intended effect of increasing supplies to East Coast customers. Without a waiver of the Jones Act, domestic production under an export ban would be reduced.



# New England's Regional Oil Distribution System

Northeast crude supplies originate primarily in Venezuela and Canada. The Northeast gets most of it's distillate supply, a refined product, from the Gulf Coast via the Colonial pipeline to Linden, New Jersey. The nearest refineries are in Philadelphia and New Jersey, which send products to Boston and New York harbors. From there deliveries are made to Maine harbors through terminals in Portland, South Portland, Searsport, Bucksport and Belfast. The St. John, New Brunswick (Irving) refinery, which just completed a half-billion dollar renovation, provides a reliable source of refined products from the north.

Maine is dependent on imports from other U.S. regional supplies and 60-75% of our distillate supply is imported. New England stocks are the nearest source of supply and it can be challenging to get imports during the winter. We need to have stocks on hand to address immediate and unexpected draws because weather uncertainty is always a factor. During the past few years, warm winters held heating oil demand down while diesel demand grew. The import dependency in Maine is different that the rest of the northeast in that we are farther from supply centers and more vulnerable to supply disruption from weather. Also, because we are not in close proximity to refineries and supplies dwindle the further out from the refinery one goes, we are at

the end of the supply chain. The bulk of New England's storage capacity resides in other States such as Connecticut, New York and Massachusetts. We are dependent upon 75% of our imports from other New England states in the region.







# Maine's Oil Distribution System

Maine's oil distribution system has three categories. There are **primary** terminal facilities, which receive shiploads of products, **secondary** (or bulk storage facilities) and **tertiary** (or local) distribution facilities. Maine's oil distribution system is dependent on the status of supplies and the accessibility of regional inventories. Unlike other New England states, we have very little tank storage capacity, no pipelines (except for what is under construction for natural gas), and no refineries. Due to changes in the behavior of oil dealers, Maine doesn't have much storage or capacity to hold inventories. Over the years, petroleum product distribution has changed towards the elimination of large storage tanks for environmental and economic reasons. *Just-in-time inventories* or *fresh supply operations* are the mode of operation. Because of this, at any given time in Maine we have only enough storage to deliver and distribute about 4 to 5 days worth of oil. For these reasons we are vulnerable to market forces in the rest of New England and the potential for supply disruption and price volatility.

Bulk storage facilities are designed to receive quantities of oil for further distribution by truck to tertiary distributors. Since just-in-time inventories have become the standard mode of operation, tertiary storage facilities have decreased in Maine. However, tertiary facilities are by far the most numerous type of storage in Maine with over 270 oil dealers and a high ratio of oil dealers competing within a small population. Competition between the larger statewide retail oil delivery corporations such as; Irving, Dead River and C.N. Brown and the smaller, locally owned delivery businesses results in a relatively stable consumer market. Historically, Maine has enjoyed the lowest home heating oil prices of any state in New England. Ninety-five percent of Maine's customers pay the cash price compared to other states in New England, which pay 10% higher retail prices. Also, State fuel taxes are higher in many of our neighboring New England states. Most consumers in Maine continue to purchase heating oil in spite of high seasonal prices because it's a necessity to heat their homes. It's not a choice. Heating oil demand relative to price is inelastic because the demand for heating oil is influenced by factors other than price. Because the inventories of bulk-storage facilities can change significantly in a matter of hours, during an intense period of rapid response deliveries, the quantities that are delivered to Primary Terminals are more relevant. The following companies operate in Maine at the indicated locations.

# Active Maine Terminal Facilities for Primary Petroleum Storage and Distribution

Company Name - Location(s) Motiva - South Portland, Bangor Gulf Oil Ltd. Partnership - South Portland Sprague Energy - Bucksport, Searsport, South Portland ExxonMobil- Portland & Bangor via pipeline Irving - Searsport Webber Oil - Bangor Webber Tanks - Bucksport, Brewer Florida Power and Light (FPL) Yarmouth, Wiscassett (#6 heavy oil only) Note: Global and Sunoco are through-putters. With no terminal of their own, they *put through* another company's terminal. Portland Pipeline Corp. transports only crude oil via pipeline to Canada.

Section 3307-C of Maine Statute mandates that these facililities report inventory, storage and anticipated delivery information to the State Planning Office on a bi-weekly basis. SPO has received information from and established good communication with all of these companies.

## Maine Heating Oil and Kerosene Inventories

Any analysis of Maine heating fuels inventory must first take into account New England inventories. Because Maine doesn't have refineries, pipelines or much storage, it's distillate inventory health can be measured against that of New England, or PADD I which serves New England. As one can observe in the graphs on pages 7,11,12 and 13, heating oil stocks in both New England and Maine are cyclical, diminishing in spring through summer and augmenting during August through February. During the past five years, stocks have been lower than what were average values for previous years. There are several factors at work here. OPEC behavior (intentional production cuts), global markets and risk-averse changes in the behavior of oil distributors are all contributing factors. The scarcity of heating oil stocks now compared to five years ago is reflected in prices. The statewide average price of heating oil in Maine is currently \$1.36 per gallon, which is twenty-five cents higher than the price average last year at this time. Heating oil stocks in New England this year are depressed. According to DOE/EIA, New England heating oil stocks are now 30% lower than last year and than the five-year average.

Any inventory can only give a snapshot of a very dynamic situation, which can change radically overnight. What may have looked like plentiful inventory in the morning can quickly be gone by nightfall with the onset of a cold snap incentivizing consumers to fill their tanks. For these reasons, a report on historical and current heating oil inventories may be irrelevant. Conditions can change rapidly which re-supply or deplete the region. But, SPO research into the state of heating oil and kerosene inventories does show some very basic things. Based on the information that SPO received from Primary Terminal Operators this year, inventories of heating oil were more voluminous than 2001 during most of the heating season but have been less plentiful this fall (2002) compared to last year. Heating oil inventories for 2002 in general display less seasonal fluctuation than 2001, which had inventories dropping down to as low as 21% of available storage in June. They stayed low during spring and summer 2002. By contrast, June 2002 heating oil inventories stood at 62% of available storage was only filled to 46% of capacity. Year 2002, in general found inventories consistently in the 60-70% range.

The data shows that heating oil inventories were building, during spring and summer 2002, to a high point in August when 75% of heating oil storage capacity was filled. The data

# Maine Heating Oil Inventories

Based on Bi-Weekly Reports of Primary Terminals to the MSPO January 7, 2003 B.Elder



thousands of bbls. ——— storage capacity

-11-

# **Maine Heating Oil Inventories**

Based on Bi-Weekly Reports from Primary Terminals to the MSPO January 8, 2003



seems to indicate that dealers held almost as much oil in August 2002 as they did at the height of the heating season in 2001. What does this mean? Since the low point in April 2002, oil dealers were gradually, month by spring and summer month, increasing the volume of their stored heating oil in anticipation of the heating season. With the onset an early and cold winter, supplies dwindled during fall 2002 so that in November and December 2002, we were only holding inventory to fill half of the available storage capacity.

In October 2000, Maine heating oil inventories stood at 45% of possible storage capacity. In October 2001 we were 20% higher at 65% of storage capacity filled and this year in October 2002 storage capacity was only 58% filled with 1,016,711 thousand barrels. New England heating oil inventories have been down 28% compared to last year. Adequate New England inventories are important to Maine consumers because 273,430 Maine households or 57.3% of the population heats with #2 heating oil. In November 2001, Maine heating oil stood at 61% of storage capacity and November 2002 found us at only 50% of storage capacity holding 874,044 thousand barrels. In December 2001, Maine heating oil stood at 71% of capacity and this December 2002 we were only filled to 55% of capacity with 966,766 thousand barrels. Prices have been stable but rising gradually in Maine during the first three months of the heating season during the end of 2002.

# Maine Heating Oil Stocks Fall 2001-2002 Comparison of percentage of storage filled MSPO January 2003



According to 1995 SPO data, 39,607 Maine households, or 8.3% of the population, heats with kerosene. Kerosene supplies are very cyclical. Usually, stored supplies decline in summer and start to build in Maine during September and October and peak in January, February and March. Based on the information that SPO has received from Primary Terminal Operators this year, Maine 2002 kerosene inventories overall were more robust than last year but fall inventories have been slack in 2002 compared to 2001. Like heating oil, kerosene supplies have been somewhat diminished by demand resulting with an early and fierce Maine winter weather pattern. Unlike heating oil, whose capacity was anomalously high last summer, kerosene supplies did cycle-down and diminished to half full levels over the summer months. Kerosene inventories began to build during the fall to 83% in October 2002. Compared with October 2001, when Maine kerosene inventories were filled to 72% of storage capacity, kerosene inventories this year look robust.

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

thousands of barrels storage capacity

-51-

# **Maine Kerosene Inventories**

Based on Bi-weekly reports from Primary Terminals to the MSPO

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

Maine's storage capabilities fluctuate from month to month, but it appears that our statewide capacity to store kerosene has decreased over the past couple of years. Recent kerosene storage capacities indicate that in October 2001, Maine's kerosene storage capacity expanded to 567,246 thousand barrels, which is comparable with storage in September 1999 and 2000. In May 2002, kerosene storage decreased to 428,000 thousand barrels and has stayed at this level until November 2002 when it increased to 497,246 thousand barrels. Currently, only Sprague, Irving, Webber and Webber Tanks (half-owned by Dead River) supply kerosene in Maine.

Tankage is not always a consistent indicator of inventory capacity. Often a tank, which was allocated for one product, may be used the next year for a more marketable alternative. There is an anomalous use of fuels for different purposes as the market dictates. Many tanks in Maine are used for multiple purposes. The same tank may be used half the year for gasoline and half the year for heating oil or some other distillate. Maine's storage capabilities fluctuate from month to month, but it appears that our capacity to store kerosene has diminished over the past couple of years. In October 2001, Maine's kerosene storage capacity expanded to 567,246 bbl. which is comparable with storage in September 2000 and falls short of what Maine held for storage capacity in Sept.1999 which, at 625,000bbl., was at its highest point since SPO has been tracking this data.

# **Monthly Oil Transfer Trends**

The charts on the following pages give information from DEP on the volumes of taxed kerosene and distillate fuels, which arrived on Maine shores during the past several years. Distillate includes No.2 heating oil and diesel combined. (See Appendix 3 for raw data). The DEP data indicates that with respect to distillate, during year 2002, volumes that were transferred into Maine tracked lower than 2001, except in late spring and the month of February. During most years, heating oil supplies follow a seasonal cycle with the highest volumes of heating oil arriving during the months of December, January, February and March. Generally, in 2002 distillate followed the seasonal pattern of being at maximum capacity in January and February and diminishing during the summer months.

According to the data, which does not yet include November and December, the highest volumes of kerosene arrived in Maine during year 2002 in January and September. Like the previous year, a paucity of kerosene was in the Maine market in the crucial heating month of February 2002. This contrasts with 1999-2000 when volumes of kerosene brought to Maine increased when you would expect them to, during November, December, January and February, the prime heating months. In Maine, the use of kerosene or No.1 heating oil is popular for space heating and monitor heaters. Purchased quantities are often smaller than for No.2 heating oil or propane.

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Annual Totals by Year of Petroleum Products Transferred into Maine

MSPO January 2003 Data Source: Maine DEP

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Maine Consumer Heating Fuels Price Issues**

Maine's energy profile is unique relative to the national average. While coal and natural gas dominate the rest of the nation's energy supply profile, until now they have played a minimal role in Maine's energy mix. Maine is very dependent on oil and the choices of the Maine energy consuming public have long been dictated by oil prices and the economic influences, which drive price in the petroleum marketplace. While great strides have been made to diversify our energy mix over the past three decades, Maine is still highly dependent on petroleum products. The oil price shocks of the late 1970's sent both the nation and Maine into a recession, which led to significant decreases in oil consumption. Both consumption of oil and use of renewable energy grew dramatically during the 1980's. Total oil consumption in Maine increased by almost 30 percent during that decade mostly because of increased use of gasoline and diesel fuel for transportation.

As oil prices subsided through the eighties and the national economy flourished, energy demand in Maine grew rapidly. By 1989, Maine was using more oil than ever before in it's history. When the state and region entered into a recession in 1990, energy consumption dropped and the demand for energy did not surpass the 1989 peak until 1994 as the economy began to flourish again. As you can see from the following chart showing heating oil prices for the last nine years, Maine consumers paid more for fuel oil last year than they have during the past nine years, with the exception of price volatility experienced for four weeks during winter 2000.

# 2001-2002 Maine Price Trends for No. 2 Heating Oil

SPO conducts a heating fuels price survey throughout the heating season, from October through the end of March. As you can see from the graphs, which follow, Maine price trends for No.2 heating oil during 2002 were lower and flatter than the year 2001. The statewide average price for heating oil for the 2000-01 season was \$1.463, twenty-nine cents higher than the previous year's average of \$1.17/gallon. The season's average for 2000-01 was one of the highest in recent Maine history exceeding by seven cents the statewide average in 1980 which was \$1.39/gallon. The 2001-02 season statewide average price for heating oil was \$1.13/gallon. The prices for 2002-03 so far are also lower than during 1999-2001, but higher than last year.

The price fluctuation for heating oil over the course of any given heating season is typically about ten cents per gallon. This year was very typical in its range of fluctuation, which was from \$1.20 to \$1.10. As one might note in the following graphs, compared to the past two years of recent history, prices were low last year. Compared to the first five years in the nine-year graph (1994-2000), the year 2001-02 ranks 7<sup>th</sup> highest.

Market stability characterized most year 2002 and all of it's heating season. The season's first survey of home heating oil prices in October 2001 revealed the statewide average to be \$1.20 per gallon, down twelve cents from SPO's last report in March and twenty-five cents lower than the previous year. U.S. refineries and pipelines increased security measures subsequent to

# Maine Statewide Average Cash Price for No.2 Heating Oil

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Nine-year Comparison of Maine Statewide Average Cash Prices for No.2 Heating Oil MSPOJanuary 2003 B. Elder

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

-22-

the terrorist attacks on New York and Washington D.C. on September 11<sup>th</sup>. Prior to the attacks, OPEC had stated that it was unlikely to increase oil production in the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter. Spot and futures prices were depressed as falling consumer confidence applied downward pressure on prices and fueled concerns about a global recession. Crude spot and futures prices were mixed in response to the U.S. bombing campaign in Afghanistan. Crude markets ignored bullish signals, such as OPEC's consideration of production cuts and industry data showing hefty stockdraws, in favor of bearish demand figures. A strong distillate stockbuild in September 2001 grew U.S. inventories to above the normal season range by late October 2001.

By early November 2001, Maine's statewide average for No. 2 heating oil had declined to \$1.16 per gallon and OPEC had virtually agreed to cut production by one million barrels per day. Speculation on how much of an impact this would have was rampant as several member countries were producing over the quota. By mid-November, DOE/EIA reported that New England heating oil stocks had built to a robust 8.4 million barrels of supply compared with the previous year's 3.9 MMB.. After nearly two months of overall declines in U.S. crude oil and petroleum product spot and futures prices, largely attributed to economic weakness in the wake of the 9/11 attacks, OPEC finally established a degree of credibility for proposed reductions in oil output by its members and other exporters, in order to tighten global supply balance. A decision to cut production by 1.5 million barrels per day (MMBD), on the stipulation that non-OPEC producers agree to cut their output by 0.5 MMBD, contributed to this effect. Although Norway and Mexico were willing to cooperate with OPEC, Russia took its time in evaluating the extent to which it would collaborate.

With the shift in month to December 2001, Maine's statewide heating oil average continued it's decline to \$1.11/gallon, which was forty-four cents lower than the previous years price. Ongoing mild weather slowed heating oil demand, tightening the spread between heating oil and gasoline. Release of a government forecast predicting low U.S. petroleum growth demand continued to affect a decline in crude oil spot and futures prices. Just after Christmas, prices for crude oil recorded their largest incline of the year on the New York Mercantile Exchange as traders became convinced that oil-producing nations would cut production in January. Indeed OPEC officials announced on the first of the year that they had received pledges from major non-OPEC producers, led by Russia, Mexico and Norway, to cooperate by cutting their production by another 500,000 barrels per day. The belated arrival of cold weather to the northeast United States in January and the attendant demand that accompanied winter, was also a contributing factor to the price increase. East coast heating oil inventories were 15% higher than the previous year.

Initially the market seemed to take support from these pledges to cut production. Petroleum product prices were pushed higher by this speculation and by cold weather in New England, but the statewide average in Maine held steady at \$1.11/gallon for six weeks through all of January and half of February 2002. Ample and growing inventories and shrinking demand were the prevailing conditions in January 2002. Skepticism about the effectiveness of OPEC's production cuts pervaded the marketplace stemming in part from news that Russian ports in the Baltic and Black Sea were exporting fuel oil. With weather in New England about 17% warmer than normal, soft demand continued to be the dominant market influence as we entered the month of February 2002. Refinery production remained low as a result of lower crude throughput. Regional distillate inventories on the East Coast were 38% higher than the previous year and 19% higher than the five-year average.

By mid-February 2002, the decline in crude oil inputs shifted reliance off of refinery production onto product inventories to supply product demand. Stock levels for both distillate and gasoline were in the normal range and New England distillate inventories were ample. Maine's statewide average for heating oil fluctuated downward a penny to \$1.10/gallon and was still thirty-two cents lower than the previous year. In late February, for the first time in twenty-three weeks, U.S. crude oil, distillate and motor gasoline inventories all fell in unison. While declines in distillate and gasoline stocks are not unusual at this time of the year, the decline in crude oil was somewhat unusual. Mild weather and subsequent low demand on the East Coast contributed to the stability in residential prices here in Maine, which stayed at \$1.10/gallon for another five weeks.

With the onset of March 2002, mild weather and subsequent low demand on the East Coast continued to contribute to the stability in residential prices here in Maine. Total U.S. commercial petroleum inventories (excluding the SPR) fell nearly 16 million barrels in three weeks. Such a steep decline in total petroleum inventories hadn't occurred since 1996, when they dropped 26.4 million barrels over three weeks. OPEC production cuts and reduced Iraqi exports had an impact on available crude supplies resulting in reduced crude exports. With less crude oil available worldwide, inputs to refineries fell, putting increased reliance on product inventories to supply market demand. March 2002 came and went with very little drama concluding one of the mildest winters on record in New England.

On October 8, 2002, the MSPO survey of home heating oil prices found the statewide average price for No.2 heating oil to be up six cents from the previous March at \$1.18 per gallon. Commercial crude oil inventories had been in decline all summer and West Texas Intermediate domestic crude hovered at over \$30.00/barrel. While oil markets were plagued with uncertainty, they were not over-supplied. U.S. crude oil and distillate inventories started fall 2002 at very low levels. National distillate inventories in October were below the five-year average. Heating oil inventories in New England were nearly ten percent higher than 2001 but below the five-year average. Low refinery margins, continuing strong demand for gasoline and low summer distillate production levels were partially responsible for the paucity in distillate stocks but the most significant factor working on distillate stock levels was the scarcity of crude oil supply necessary to make other petroleum products. DOE cast predictions that if winter weather behaved normally this year, that is if it was cold, that mid-winter stock levels for distillate would deteriorate relative to normal thus posing a risk of higher prices for consumers. Meanwhile prices stayed in the range of \$1.18-\$1.20 per gallon through October and November 2002.

By late November 2002, the price of crude had fallen to \$25.00/barrel, which was unusual due to the fact that crude oil inventories were near their lowest level in many years. This inconsistency can be explained with *forward fundamentals*. Prices were reflecting *perceptions* of the supply and demand balance *anticipated sometime in the near future*. With anticipated increased supplies of crude oil expected to enter the U.S. market in early December, the market speculated that oil prices would fall. Speculators sharply increase their net short positions by selling early contracts at a lower price. Interpreting the supply and demand balance and quantifying its impact on prices requires recognition of current conditions but also an accounting of market expectations for that balance several months forward.

Meanwhile, in Maine, prices started to creep upward during December 2002. Impacts from the cessation of oil exports out of Venezuela, as the result of a general strike in that country, were anticipated after Christmas. Demand for heating oil was up in the northeast as temperatures were about 10% colder than normal during November 2002, compared with nearly 19% warmer than usual temperatures the previous year. By December 23, 2002, heating oil prices were eighteen cents higher than 2001 at \$1.28/gallon. In addition to an early onset of winter and the situation in Venezuela, uncertainty about the possibility of war with Iraq and OPEC's decision to increase production quotas while cutting back on actual production all contributed to an upward drift in prices. The price of crude oil inclined \$5.00/barrel by mid-November and by December 23<sup>rd</sup>, was being traded at around \$30.00/barrel. Another impact on this increasingly volatile market was the paucity of crude oil exports from Venezuela to the Hovensa refinery in St. Croix, Virgin Islands, which is partially owned by PdVSA, the Venezuelan oil company and receives half of it's crude oil from them. DOE forecast, at this point, that there would be a reduction in gasoline and distillate imports from the Virgin Islands to U.S. ports, particularly along the east coast, until alternate sources of crude oil could be found to replace the missing Venezuelan crude oil.

SPO's December 30, 2002 heating oil survey, the last of the calendar year, found the statewide average price for heating oil to be up four cents from the previous week and twenty-one cents higher than the previous year at \$1.32/gallon. Crude futures during Christmas week soared to \$32.00/barrel, the highest level in almost two years. OPEC was expected to respond aggressively to a potential supply crisis by increasing flows well above new quota levels with a significant supplement from Middle East producers with excess capacity. Markets continued to be vulnerable to perceptions of military or terrorist threats or damage to Mideast oil fields or production in Iraq. Speculation about the possibility of civil unrest in key Middle East oil producing countries raised the stakes even higher.

All of these market perceptions were fueling a rise in prices. Weekly data from the American Petroleum Institute (API) and the Department of Energy's Energy Information Administration (DOE/EIA) suggested that U.S. crude oil inventories slumped by 9.1 Mbbl. in the last week of the year and would likely be drawn down even further. In order to make up for the reduction in Venezuelan imports, refiners have drawn upon inventories to keep refinery throughputs at relatively high levels. Light, sweet crude oil on the N.Y. Mercantile

Exchange (NYMEX) ended the year at \$33.08/bbl. and Saudi producers became concerned that if prices stay at these levels, world economic growth and oil demand would suffer. Saudi Arabia and other OPEC producers expressed willingness to re-supply a potential shortfall with a collective 500,000 barrels per day, provided the OPEC reference basket remained above the \$22.00-\$28.00 price range.

By January 13, 2003, SPO's survey showed that the statewide average price for heating oil had climbed to \$1.36/gallon, which was twenty-five cents higher than the price last year at the same time. With U.S. crude oil inventories near a two-year low and potential war with Iraq threatening to cause supply disruptions, crude oil futures rose to as high as \$33.21/bbl. on the NYMEX. U.S. crude oil inventories are, at this writing, less than 3 million barrels above the Lower Operation Inventory level of 270 million barrels, which marks a state of national inventories beyond which little discretionary buffer remains. With U.S. oil production relatively flat and unable to make up for lost Venezuelan imports, less supply into the U.S. crude oil market has the effect of less crude oil processing through refineries, crude oil inventories being drawn down to replace the lost supply or a combination of both. From this vantage point, it looks like tight supplies and price volatility are the watchwords for the rest of the heating season.

# 2001-2002 Maine Price Trends for No. 1 Fuel Oil/Kerosene

In Maine, kerosene, or No.1 heating oil, is popular for use in space heating applications and monitor heaters. The statewide average price for kerosene in the 2001-2002 heating season was \$1.41 per gallon, which is roughly thirty-two cents lower than the previous year's annual average of \$1.73 per gallon and more comparable to the 1999-2000 season average of \$1.38 per gallon. Kerosene was subject to the same market forces described in the heating oil price summary. Like heating oil, kerosene prices were flatter and lower this year than last. This was good news for the roughly 39,607 households in Maine, which heat primarily with kerosene. This represents 8.3% of the total population in the State. Because the kerosene market has shrunk in Maine, the consumer sector, which relies on kerosene as its primary heating fuel, is the most vulnerable of any Maine heating population. Kerosene users may be well advised to have backup systems, which are not subject to kerosene supply disruptions.

On October 8, 2002, the MSPO survey of home heating oil prices found the statewide average price for No.1 heating oil or kerosene to be up four cents from the previous March and five cents lower than last year at \$1.43 per gallon. Like heating oil, kerosene prices were stable most of the fall at this level, until December when prices gradually inclined. On December 30, 2002, the statewide average price for kerosene was \$1.55/gallon and currently the statewide average price for kerosene is \$1.60/gallon.

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Propane

Propane is the primary heating fuel for roughly 26,245 Maine households or 5.5% of the population. Propane is comprised of crude oil with natural gas additives so propane price movements correspond with those of crude oil and natural gas. When natural gas prices soared during winter 2000-01, propane prices inclined, tracking the inflated natural gas prices and resulting in an annual statewide average for 2000-01 of \$1.60/gallon. This is twenty-five cents higher than the previous year's average of \$1.35/gallon. During 2001-02, the statewide average price for propane was more in line with the1999-2000 season at \$1.43/gallon. This average, which is seventeen cents lower than the previous year, corresponds with the market trends already described. Propane was subject to the same market forces as other products described in the analysis of heating oil. It should also be noted that these propane prices represent the price per gallon for a 600-gallon domestic tank used for heating and are not representative of smaller volumes purchased for other applications such as cooking.

The October 2001 season starting price of \$1.47 per gallon for propane was thirteen cents lower than the last report in March 2001 and only a penny higher than the previous year. Like heating oil and kerosene, propane prices were flatter and lower this year than last. As one can see in the graph below, during most of 2001-02, propane prices only fluctuated within a two-cent range of the \$1.43 average. In other words, during most of the season, propane prices were either two cents lower or two cents higher than the average of \$1.43/gallon. Propane ended the 2000-02 season at \$1.42/gallon.

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

On October 8, 2002, the MSPO survey of home heating oil prices found the statewide average price for propane to be up seven cents from the previous March price at \$1.49/gallon. In correspondence with crude product price movements during fall 2002, propane prices have been gradually fluctuating upward, especially since December. Currently, the statewide average price for propane is \$1.58/gallon. The SPO doesn't receive bi-weekly reports on propane inventories from terminal operators but we do monitor regional supply levels and East Coast propane inventories have been declining but are within the average range for this time of year.

Propane demand is highly seasonal but fresh supply is not. Forty percent of the natural gas stream is propane, which is extracted during production. It is very expensive to store because it is under pressure. Propane stocks are an important part of the mid-west winter supply and provide a primary means of crop drying often using 8-12% of propane supplies. New England receives propane in three ways. Algerian and Middle Eastern propane comes via *sea-going terminals* in Providence, Rhode Island and Portsmouth, New Hampshire. The TEPPCO (Texas Eastern Products Pipeline Company) pipeline from Texas to Albany, New York provides more supply and outsourced Canadian propane arrives in Maine via railroad. Seventy percent of Maine's propane arrives by rail to a depot in Auburn, Maine. The product comes from refineries in Canada and is provided by an affiliate of Duke Energy Field Services (DEFS). The affiliate of Duke Energy, entitled Duke Energy NGL Services, acquired Gas Supply Resources (GSR) in May 2001 and integrated GSR's propane terminal operation into it's existing import facility in Providence, Rhode Island.

Duke Energy is the largest supplier of propane in New England and is a diversified multinational energy company with an integrated network of energy assets and expertise. Duke Energy manages a dynamic portfolio of natural gas and electric supply, delivery and trading businesses, which generated revenues of more than \$49 billion in year 2000. Duke Energy is a Fortune 100 company. DEFS was formed in a merger of Duke Energy and Phillips Petroleum natural gas gathering and processing operations. It is a premier North American midstream energy company that is among the nation's leaders in gathering, processing, transportation, marketing and storage of natural gas and natural gas liquids (NGLs). The Denver-based company is also a general partner of the TEPPCO pipeline.

In mid-October 2001, DEFS opened its sixth propane New England terminal in Montpelier, Vermont. This additional terminal contributes to New England supply along with Duke's existing northeast network in other locations including, Albany, New York, Westfield, Massachusetts, York, Pennsylvania and two in Maine located in Auburn and Bangor. The Auburn facility has an on-site storage capacity of 120,000 gallons and Duke operates a joint venture with R.H. Foster in Bangor with a storage capacity of 60,000 gallons. At first blush, one might surmise that Auburn provides 2/3's and Bangor 1/3 of Maine's propane supply. However, due to more significant capabilities for rail delivery in Auburn, it actually is the distribution source of ¾ of Maine's propane supply. Duke Energy Services has a dedicated rail-fleet to bring product to New England. They have firm, guaranteed, long-term contracts with major producers and because Duke is a neutral wholesaler, which distributes broadly across the market, they offer stability, flexibility and reliability.

# **Strategic Petroleum Reserve**

The Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR) is the U.S. government's 599 million barrel emergency supply of crude oil, which is stored at four sites near the Texas and Louisiana Gulf Coast in over fifty salt caverns that are 2,000 to 4,000 feet below the earth's surface and have a total capacity of 700 MMB. The artificially created, underground caverns offer advantages over above ground storage tanks including higher security and significantly lower storage costs. Additionally, their location near the Gulf Coast offers the advantage of close proximity to the nation's commercial oil network of pipelines, ships, barges and refineries. The U.S. government is the landowner of the U.S. Outer Continental Shelf and is entitled to one-sixth royalty on all oil and gas production. Producing companies usually pay cash to royalty holders on the value of the wellhead revenues but sometimes pay, "in-kind," rather than in cash. Under the, "royalty-inkind," (RIK) program, the government would take possession of the physical barrels and store them in the SPR.

Reserves in the SPR have been used on several occasions over the past seven years to address short-term supply situations that have arisen at various points in the chain of distribution. Rather than an outright sale of stock in the SPR, these transactions have been in the form of exchanges. The most significant occurred in the fall of 2000, shortly after President Clinton announced plans to establish the Northeast Home Heating Oil Reserve (NHHOR) in response to a relatively low stock of heating oil. Over 2.8 million barrels of crude oil were exchanged for 2 million barrels of heating oil and the one-year use of storage facilities in the Northeast. Also in 2000, the President authorized the exchange of up to 30 million barrels to help avert the potential for fuel shortages in the winter. This 30 million barrel oil exchange required the companies that received oil from the SPR to return the same quantity, plus an additional amount, to the SPR in the fall of 2001.

As a result of summer 2002's solicitations, the SPR awarded contracts to the same terminals as before. The SPR issued one-year contracts with options to extend the contracts annually for four years. In mid-November 2002 and several times since then, SPR has conducted tests of its on-line heating oil bidding platform. Prior to Christmas, 2002, SPR delayed deliveries into the reserve due to developments in Venezuela. The strike in Venezuela has held world market exports at one-fifth their normal levels and has brought U.S. inventories of crude oil to their lowest levels in 26 years. Shortly after January first 2003 the U.S. DOE extended the delivery date to September 30, 2003 for 3.1 million barrels of crude oil to be returned to the SPR. Oil companies will pay in-kind interest on deferred deliveries and ultimately even more oil will be placed in SPR storage when returns are accomplished.

### **Northeast Home Heating Oil Reserve**

After experiencing extreme price volatility and having the prospect of spot shortages for home heating oil in the Northeast, the NHHOR was established as part of the SPR in the year 2000. While NHHOR was established as a temporary measure to ensure that adequate supplies of heating oil would be available for the winter of 2000-01, it has become a somewhat permanent component of the nation's energy preparedness effort. As it's name suggests, the NHHOR stock consists of a refined product stored in the northeast region, which as stated previously, is heavily dependent on imports of home heating oil to meet its winter heating needs. The Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 2000, sets conditions for the release of the Northeast Home Heating Oil Reserve at the discretion of the President who **may** make the requisite finding of a severe energy supply interruption for the sale of product under the following two conditions: (1) if there is " a dislocation in the heating oil market," or (2) a circumstance exists (other than the defined dislocation) that is a regional supply shortage of significant scope and duration and the Reserve's release would significantly reduce its adverse impact. The law deems a dislocation to have occurred only when, "The price differential between crude oil and No. 2 heating oil increases by over 60% over its five-year rolling average for the months of mid-October through March, and continues for seven consecutive days; and the price differential continues to increase during the most recent week for which price information is available." The stock in the NHHOR is stored at four locations; two in Connecticut, one in New Jersey and one in Rhode Island. Each location holds the stock in privately owned, surface tanks contracted for by the Department of Energy. The two million barrels stock of home heating oil represents a ten-day supply for the 5.3 million households in the Northeast that use the product. It takes ten days to ship home heating from the Gulf of Mexico to New York Harbor.

# **Existing Maine Storage Potential**

The fact that oil prices can rise and fall capriciously has caused wholesale and retail dealers to become increasingly averse to the risks, as well as the costs, associated with maintaining inventories at levels which may have been typical a couple of decades ago. More stringent environmental regulations for oil storage have also influenced their decision to reduce actual storage capacity at the wholesale, retail and end-use levels. Although sufficient capacity appears to be available throughout New England at the terminal level, SPO's 1990 survey of Maine oil dealers revealed that on average, a typical company has gross storage capacity which, if full, would provide only six percent of its yearly sales volumes, far less than an entire heating season's supply. Maine's retail oil industry is unwilling, because of the associated risks, and unable, due to the lack of sufficient storage capacity, to maintain enough inventory cushion to protect Maine consumers from exposure to volatility and disruptions in upstream markets. As the industry has become more competitive, consumers have been forced to bear an increasing share of the risks of competition. Storage is expensive so a growing dependence on through-put, (or just-in-time inventory rather than pre-season stockpiling), to meet winter needs leaves both wholesale and retail oil dealers less likely to capture higher than normal profit levels during a widespread price run-up.

It should be noted that Maine prices often fall faster and farther than the rest of New England, in part because Maine appears to have a more diverse and competitive retail industry. However, many rural regions of Maine do not enjoy this price phenomenon because dealers in those areas depend more on their own expensive bulk storage than dealers in close proximity to the major wholesale terminals in Southern and Central Maine. Maine storage capacities are underutilized. For reference, SPO has researched statewide oil storage capabilities. The Maine Department of Transportation, Maine Emergency Management Agency, Maine Department of Revenue Services and the Maine Fire Marshall's Office have all provided data on storage capacity throughout the State which is available in SPO's Maine Heating Fuels Inventory 2000, Appendices 8-13.

# Anticipated Reductions, Curtailments or Shortfalls in product deliveries or storage inventories in the coming months

According to the statute dictating production of this report, if the SPO determines that there may be a significant shortfall in heating oil supply inventories or anticipated deliveries into the State, (it shall issue) a report including;

- information suggesting a supply shortfall

-SPO recommendations for State action in response to the supply shortfall.

SPO information indicates adequate volumes of Maine heating fuels are in storage this year. Border crossing delays resulting from increased Customs and Immigration security could affect New England heating oil and propane deliveries. Harbor deliveries of LNG in Boston are carefully guarded. Oil and gas companies increased their security measures since the September

11th attacks and this condition persists. The wholesale kerosene market has shrunk in Maine and consumers should be aware of this in winter planning. Maine has only four terminals which distribute kerosene including; Irving, Sprague, Webber Oil and Webber Tanks.

The Maine Emergency Management Agency (MEMA), in conjunction with the SPO and representatives from other departments, conducted a series of meetings during 2000-01 to analyze the logistics and details of how an energy crisis might unfold, including highly unlikely and extreme situations in which the Governor could use his emergency powers. A <u>State of Maine Energy Emergency Plan</u> was developed by MEMA and SPO in coordination with a stakeholder group of Maine State agencies and submitted to DOE in 2002. The State's response to an energy crisis would employ the same emergency systems, which are applied in any other hazard situation. MEMA believes that any fuel supply emergency would be a slowly emerging situation (compared to less predictable disasters such as a flood or hurricane) wherein existing local and county response plans would be utilized before the situation developed into an event requiring state response.

# State Interagency Energy Planning Team

Throughout the years 2000-01, oil supply and price issues have been a focus of concern for agencies throughout Maine State Government. In fall 2000 the State initiated a coordinated effort to insure preparedness in the event of an energy supply crisis this winter. The State's initiatives included the creation and coordination of a State Interagency Energy Planning Team. The Team brings together over 25 staff from thirteen State agencies to address contingency plans in the event of heating fuel shortages or other potential energy emergencies. It also serves to inform and educate the public about ways to help themselves and who to contact when seeking LIHEAP or other assistance at the State level. The Energy Team is coordinated by the Governor's Office and the Maine State Planning Office and is designed to respond effectively to public needs as they arise. It offers a wide array of services and expertise, which fall into five categories listed below. (See Appendix 1 for a listing of the agency contacts and their essential information/phone numbers and e:mail addresses)

Marketing and Education - Chair: Peter Wintle- MSHA Infrastructure / Emergency Planning - Chair: Gordon Nelson -DAFS Regional and Industry Outreach - Chair: Governor's Office Regulations - Chair: David Maxwell - DEP Data Collection and Management - Chair: Betsy Elder - SPO

Each of these sub-teams is designed to focus on a particular area and to marshall the necessary and available expertise to facilitate service to the public. The agencies bring a host of resources to the table and are participating in the following areas.

Financial Resources - PUC, SPO, MEMA Human Resources - MEMA, DEP Printed Conservation/Other Educational Materials - DECD, MSHA,PUC,AG,OPA Data and Information - SPO, DOT, DEP, PUC, AG Information Outlets/Mailing Lists - DEP, PUC, OPA, MSHA Physical Resources- DAFS and DOT(storage) MEMA(meeting space, phone banks and emergency war room)

The Bundle Me Up Web Site (www.bundlemeup.org) and Program was designed to inform and educate consumers about energy options and conservation tips. A toll free help line, (1-866-HEATTIP), a Maine State Housing Authority hotline (1-800-452 -4668) and Attorney General Consumer Mediation Hotline (207-626-8849) are all available to assist the consumer. These services were made available without expending any tax dollars. Maine State Government has launched its own *in-house* Bundle Me Up campaign to raise awareness and reduce energy consumption in the workplace.

### **Conclusions, Recommendations**

As a matter of State energy policy, there are several ways in which Maine can work to become more self-sufficient and resilient relative to current volatile oil markets. The most important of these is a renewed commitment within the State to conservation and energy efficiency initiatives. In addition, the encouragement of technologies, which reduce our own dependence on combustible fuels, OPEC and non-OPEC produced, is sensible. Practical thinking about renewable and alternative energy technologies could increase Maine's energy independence. Reducing overall energy demand continues to be the most effective hedge against future energy uncertainty. Promoting strategies to consumers, which insulate them from price and supply volatility is a challenge in the open market. Government wants to inform the consumer of supply problems, possible antidotes and options, but it doesn't want to create public anxiety or a panic buy-up of product. Purchasing most of one's oil supplies during the off-season to allow heating oil customers to take advantage of seasonal price swings and increasing personal, residential tank storage are both proactive measures to increase Maine's resiliency against price swings and supply disruptions.

Consumers may benefit from the many budget payment plans currently offered by the industry. Many programs allow customers to pay for their heating season needs using equal monthly payments and avoiding the stress of major cash expenditures during the heating season. Many budget plans are based on the cash price at the time of delivery and therefore place no additional costs or conditions on the consumer. Comparative shopping and reading of the fine print is recommended. While budget plans do not guarantee protection against seasonal price fluctuation, they do minimize the financial shocks, which accompany wholesale price volatility. Many dealers have budget plan arrangements available which facilitate bulk pre- or post-season purchases.

SPO will continue to track inventories during the rest of this heating season and throughout the entire year. We will report again next year and will continue to be in communication with our sister agencies in State government and with energy planning counterparts in the northeast region.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1 State Interagency Heating Fuel Planning Team

# INTERAGENCY HEATING FUEL SWAT TEAM

State Planning Office: Laurie Lachance State Economist State Planning Office State House Station 38 Augusta, ME 04333 laurie.lachance@maine.gov	Phone 287-1479 Fax 287-6489
Betsy Elder Hydroelectric License Coordinator State Planning Office State House Station 38 Augusta, ME 04333 betsy.elder@maine.gov	Phone 287-8927 Fax 287-8059
Julie Hashem State Planning Office 187 State Street State House Station 38 Augusta, ME 04333 julie.hashem@maine.gov	Phone 287-9979 Fax 287-8059
Paula Craighead State Nuclear Safety Advisor State Planning Office 187 State Street #38 State House Station Augusta, ME. 04330 paula.craighead@state.maine.gov	Phone 287-8936 Fax 287-6424
Governor's Office: Richard Davies Executive Department-Governor's Office State House Station 1 Augusta, ME 04333 richard.davies@state.maine.gov	Phone 287-3531 ice Fax 287-1034
Lee Umphrey Executive Department-Governor's Off State House Station 1 Augusta, ME 04333 lee.umphrey@state.maine.gov	Phone 287-3531 ice Fax 287-1034

Main	e State Housing Authority:	
	Jo-Ann L. Choate	Phone $624-4601$
	Maine State Housing Authority	Fax 624-5780
	353 water Street	
	Augusta, ME 04330	
	Jenoate@maine housing.org	
	Peter Wintle	Phone 626-4601
	Maine State Housing Authority	Fax 624-5780
	353 Water Street	
	Augusta, ME 04330	
	pwintle@mainehousing.org	
	Dan Simpson	Phone 626-4601
	Maine State Housing Authority	Fax 624-5780
	353 Water Street	
	Augusta, ME 04330	
	dsimpson@mainehousing.org	
•		
Attor	ney General:	
	Francis Ackerman	Phone 626-8847
	Attorney General Office	Fax 624-7730
	State House Station 6	
	Augusta, ME 04333	
	francis.ackerman@maine.gov	
	Kathi Peters	Phone 626-8854
	Attorney General Office	Fax 624-7730
	State House Station 6	
	Augusta, ME 04333	
	kathi.peters.@maine.gov	
Dana	rtment of Environmental Drotection	
Depa	Deves I. Sereyl	Dhama 207 7040
	Bryce J. Sproul	Filone 207-7040
	State House Station 17	Fax 287-7820
	A MILL Commission	
	Awrite ME 04222 0017	
	Augusta, ME 04333-0017	
	bryce.J.sproui@maine.gov	
	David Maxwell	Phone 287-7872
	ME DEP	Fax 287-7826
	State House Station 17	
	Augusta, ME 04333	
	david.w.maxwell@maine.gov	

N S A n	Marcia Arnold ME DEP State House Station 17 Augusta, ME 04333 narcia.a.arnold@maine.gov	Phone Fax	287-6120 287-7826
Maine 1	Emergency Management Agency:		
L N S A I <u>1</u>	Lynette Miller MEMA State House Station 72 Augusta, ME 04333 ynette.c.miller@maine.gov	Phone Fax	626-4503 626-4499
E N S A E	Darla S. Chafin /IEMA State House Station 72 Augusta, ME 04333 Darla.S.Chafin@maine.gov	Phone Fax	626-4503 626-4499
Departn Ja N S O A ja	ment of Transportation: ohn E. Dority ADOT State House Station 16 Child Street Augusta, ME 04333 ohn.dority@maine.gov	Phone Fax	e 287-2551 287-8300
R D S A R	Rob Elder Department of Transportation State House Station 16 Augusta, ME 04333 Robert.elder@maine.gov	Phone Fax	287-2841 287-2032
Maine I O M 6 A O	Municipal Association: Geoff Herman Maine Municipal Association O Community Drive Augusta, ME 04330 GHERMAN@MEMUN.org	Phone Fax	623-8428 626-5947

.

Public Utilities Commission:	
Tom Welch	Phone 287-1361
Maine Public Utilities Commission	Fax 287-1039
State House Station 18	
Augusta, ME 04333	
Thomas.Welch@maine.gov	
Matt Thayer	Phone 287-1594
Maine Public Utilities Commission	Fax 287-1039
State House Station 18	
Augusta, ME 04333	
Matt.Thayer@maine.gov	
Derek Davidson	Phone 287-1596
Maine Public Utilities Commission	Fax 287-1039
State House Station 18	
Augusta, ME 04333	
Derek.D.Davidson@maine.gov	
Office of Public Advocate:	
Steve Ward	Phone 287-4312
Office of Public Advocate	Fax 287-4317
State House Station 112	
Augusta, ME 04333	
Stephen.G.Ward@maine.gov	
Department of Human Services:	
Cindy Boyd	Phone 287-3097
DHS - General Assistance	Fax 287-5096
State House Station 11	
Augusta, ME 04333	
cindy.boyd@maine.gov	
Mary Walsh	Phone 624-5335
DHS-Elder and Adult Services	Fax 624-5361
11 State House Station	
Augusta, ME. 04330	
mary.walsh@maine.gov	
Department of Administrative and Financial	Services:
Dick I nompson	Phone 624-7340

Dick ThompsonPhorDAFSFaxState House Station 76Augusta, ME 04333richard.b.thompson@maine.gov

287-6578

Department of Economic and Community Development:Brian DancausePhone 624-7498DECDFax287-5701State House Station 59Augusta, ME 04333brian.k.dancause@maine.govbrian.k.dancause@maine.gov

# Legislative Branch – For their information

Office of Fiscal and Program Review:	
Tim Leet	Phone 287-1635
Office of Fiscal and Program Review	Fax 287-6469
State House Station 5	
Augusta, ME 04333	
tim.leet@maine.gov	
Rachel Tremblay	Phone 287-1635
Office of Fiscal and Program Review	Fax 287-6469
State House Station 5	
Augusta, ME 04333	

Office of Policy and Legal Analysis:	
Jon Clark, Esq.	Р
Senior Attorney	F
Office of Policy and Legal Analysis	
Maine State Legislature	
State House Station 13	
Augusta, ME 04333	
jon.clark@maine.gov	

rachel.tremblay@maine.gov

Phone 287-1670 Fax 287-1790

Last Update: 2-7-03

APPENDIX 2 Active Primary Terminal Listings, Maine DEP

		· AC	TIVE TERMINAL FACI	LITIES	······································	
LICENSE		COMPANY	LOCATION	ST	CONTACT	CONTACT
STATUS	LIC #	NAME ,			-	TELEPHONE
Active	324	COLDBROOK ENERGY, INC.	HAMPDEN	ME	PAUL GERALD	207-945-9465
		Coldbrook has not brought in any product I	by vessel since 1/98.		1	
Active	308	FPL ENERGY INC	YARMOUTH	ME	SANDRA LINDENBERG	207-846-8101
Active	341	FPL ENERGY INC	WISCASSET	ME	SANDRA LINDENBERG	207-846-8101
Active	310	GLOBAL COMPANIES, LLC	SOUTH PORTLAND	ME	MARCUS B. THOMPSON	781-398-4348
Active	300	GULF OIL LIMITED PARTNERSHIP	SOUTH PORTLAND	ME	STEPHEN R SOMERS	617-889-9079
Active	322	IRVING OIL TERMINAL INC	SEARSPORT	ME	TAMMY SMITH	207-941-7316
Active	304	MOBIL OIL CORP.	SOUTH PORTLAND	ME	KIRT MATHEWS (DALLAS)	214-658-3631
Active	307	MOTIVA	SOUTH PORTLAND	ME	KEVIN ERRINGTON (TERM OP)	207-799-3394
Active	299	NAVAL COMMUNICATIONS UNIT	CUTLER	ME	NORMAN LABEGE	207-259-8211
		NCU has not transferred any product into the	ne state since 11/95			
Active	306	PORTLAND PIPE LINE CORP PIERI	SOUTH PORTLAND	ME	DAVID CYR	207-767-0450
Active	317	SPRAGUE ENERGY CORP	BUCKSPORT	ME	NATALIE HEBERT	603-430-7244
Active	319	SPRAGUE ENERGY CORP	SEARSPORT	ME	NATALIE HEBERT	603-430-7244
Active	302	SPRAGUE ENERGY CORP.	SOUTH PORTLAND	ME	NATALIE HEBERT/MARY O'BRYAN	603-430-7244
Active	325	WEBBER OIL CO.	BANGOR	ME	CANDICE MORRILL	207-942-5501
Active	326	WEBBER TANKS, INC.	BUCKSPORT	ME	JEFFERY MURDY	207-469-3165
Active	327	WEBBER TANKS, INC.	BREWER	ME	DEBORAH DORR	207-469-3165

.

,

APPENDIX 3 MDEP Raw Data Table for Barrels of Petroleum Products Transferred into Maine 1995 -October 2002

# BARRELS OF PETROLEUM PRODUCTS TRANSFERRED INTO MAINE FROM JANUARY 1995 TO OCTOBER 2002

	`				•	. ·	1			1	1		
KERC	DSENE #1	FUEL OIL		CODE 01									TOTAL BY
	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC	YEAR
		•		· ·									
2002	220,159	32,731	98,430	99;089	1,334	26,714	35,327	46,712	123,101	64,375			747,972
2001	256,581	10,059	74,456	2,608	15,415	79,584	177,577	112,354	76,672	45,192	74,854	72,161	997,513
2000	145,744	200,685	83,526	12,263	31,811	12,376	27,804	132,927	. 26,589	64,034	136,836	277,242	1,151,837
1999	231,592	109,672	. 29,218	28,441	192,711	140,790	2,153		÷ 432	. 18,714	75,050	193,036	1,022,658
1998	92,893	148,598	53,862	· 521	6,973	246,087	106,074	65,139	87,170	- 66,370	185,640	100,961	1,160,288
1997	104,295	130,714	148,834	24,941	269,640	6,461	• 169,328	6,818	159,817	82,193	56,695	414,839	1,574,575
1996	344,510	123,683	65,866	120,810	40,376	22,082	- 5,836	164,299	250,009	384,308	225,671	174,881	1,922,331
1995	335,740	152,149	42,560	1,016	34,253	6,364	13,092	59,966	27,283	250,560	160,208	291,267	1,374,458
ΤΟΤΑΙ	S 1,511,355	875,560	498,322	190,600	591,179	513,744	501,864	542,352	627,972	911,371	.914,954	1,524,387	9,203,660
		 							· •				
		IL #2		CODE 02	·····								TOTAL BY
-	JAN	· FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	YEAR
													· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2002	2,060,921	2,045,078	1,303,910	1,031,973	1,639,388	723,684	1,025,118	.918,334	934,766	969,340			12,652,512
2001	2,181,267	1,861,215	1,400,189	1,224,066	1,190,857	1,211,125	1,087,527	998,437	1,255,205	1,230,980	1,578,168	1,513,092	16,732,128
2000	1,551,329	1,797,347	1,412,866	959,420	.1,088,971	1,008,113	915,934	1,353,014	1,013,911	1,497,617	1,501,367	1,820,043	15,919,932
1999	2,129,155	1,833,978	1,476,505	1,310,261	668,621	1,339,274	981,713	877,592	677,156	1,304,114	1,252,722	1,055,264	14,906,355
1998	1,537,883	1,516,543	1,762,564	1,130,530	1,381,618	1,025,603	669,537	832,148	1,181,664	966,794	1,147,478	1,794;952	14,947,314
1997	1,814,240	1,737,803	1,488,201	1,291,253	1,101,445	1,124,311	928,033	1,072,717	1,116,793	1,080,464	1,418,141	1,947,005	16,120,406
1996	1,996,845	1,290,458	1,188,863	1,148,338	1,158,512	835,030	544,806	922,849	885,870	1,574,031	1,408,299	1,628,494	14,582,395
1995	2,011,276	1,759,648	1,395,592	1,174,954	1,201,872	602,394	1,148,280	815,797	952,223	730,029	1,676,850	2,260,685	15,729,600
	<u>_S  13,221,995</u>	11,796,992	10,124,780	8,238,822	7,791,896	7,145,850	6,275,830	6,872,554	7,082,822	8,384,029	9,983,025	12,019,535	108,938,130
FUE	OIL - RAIL	ROAD		CODE 03		-					•		TOTAL BY
[-]	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	YFAR
					۰								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2002	C	0	C	0	0	0	· 0	0	0	··· 0			
2001	C	0	343	219	0	. 0	0	57	0	0	0	0	619
2000	· · · C	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	· 0	0	0
1999	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.283	0	0	4,283
1998	C	0	0	0	. 0	· 0	. 0	0	26.267	0	0	.0	26,267
1997	C	0 0	0	0	· · · 0	0	0	· · · · · ·	0	, O	0	.0	
1996		0 0	0	0	0	· 0	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	3 0	0	0	0
1995	i   c	) · 0	) · (	) . 0	. 0	0	.0	0	0	11.587		<u>_</u>	11.597
ΤΟΤΑ	LS (	) . 0	343	3 219	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	-57	26,267	15.870	* 0		42 756
			1	1	1	1	1	1			<u> </u>	·	-+r"1 20

FUEL	DIL #5			CODE 04			.			•	· · · · · ·		TOTAL BY
	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	VEAR
			······································										
2002	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0
2001	<b>50</b> 0	333	500	333	333	167	167	167	167	167	0	0	2.834
2000	0	0	0	190	0	321	0	0	0	833	333	500	2.177
1999	0	0	· 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1998	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1997	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	.0	· 0	0	0	0
1996	0	146	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	146
1995	240	0	0	0	, 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	240
TOTALS	740	479	500	523	333	488	167	167	167	1,000	333	500	5,397
FUEL (	DIL #6												
		FFR	MAR		MAY			AU (0	055				
			187717			JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	001	NOV	DEC	
2002	561,322	424.359	678,848	234 151	540 592	73 291	420 564	357 518	506 245	467 500			4 054 500
2001	1,853,572	709.998	688,633	781.018	488.088	665 149	454 605	554 100	116 101	761 052	450 204	201 000	4,354,580
2000	865,565	1.064.545	872,104	595,002	777 595	849 898	590 784	732 732	-600 163	960 457	439,394	301,090	8,212,995
1999	1,316,998	1.075.360	798.256	1.023.423	1,818,732	843 627	1 109 485	997 080	725 458	030 134	768 702	904,940 770 675	9,700,099
1998	1,249,707	1,137,952	706,162	854,666	1.179.586	812.953	1,163,204	815,988	864 476	813 788	936 763	1 380 300	11 924 635
1997	701,747	807,270	994,203	990,930	637.830	1.129.538	959.757	650,544	680,767	960 186	1 129 839	1 273 588	10 916 199
1996	1,085,792	1,230,600	659,869	561,974	938,742	882.291	583.699	780,106	401,183	863,964	612 189	1 328 493	9 928 902
1995	1,114,317	743,628	1,130,197	1,015,242	724,441	791,854	868,282	761.969	740.390	867.829	783,856	1,091,945	10.633.950
TOTALS	8,187,698	6,769,353	5,849,424	5,822,255	6,565,014	5,975,310	5,729,816	5,292,618	4.437.628	6.066.410	5.664.552	7,142,132	73.502.210
												.,	
LEADE	D REGULA	AR GASOL	INE	CODE 21	. :								TOTAL BY
	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	YEAR
													· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2002	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	Û	0	0			0
2001	0	0	0	·0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Û	0	0
2000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,283	Ū	0	1,283
1999	0	0	1,044	451	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	1,495
1998	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0
1997	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0
1996	152,817	76,617	103,070	70,738	1,717	0	0	0	0, ۱	0	C	0	404,959
1995	56,478	77,116	97,226	113,031	113,576	113,709	240,172	150,897	135,065	93,848	140,376	222,606	1,554,100
IUIALS	209,295	153,733	201,340	184,220	115,293	113,709	240,172	150,897	135,065	95,131	140,376	222,606	1,961,837
					_								

.

LEADE	D PREMIU	M GASOLI	NE	CODE 22		,							TOTAL BY
	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	YEAR
2002	· 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0
2001	, 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	129	0	0	129
1999	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1998	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>	0	0
1997	0	0	0	0	0	33,349	0	· 0	0	0	0	0	33,349
1996	46,437	21,158	0	1,717	46,127	0	0	Ó	0	0	0	0	115,439
1995	2,012	31,124	57,157	5,708	45,855	24,544	3,140	76,421	37,262	31,485	1,784	23,971	340,463
TOTALS	48,449	52,282	57,157	7,425	91,982	57,893	3,140	76,421	37,262	31,614	1,784	23,971	489,380
UNLEA	DED GAS		G & SUPE										TOTAL DY
	.IAN	FFR	MAD		MAV		11 11	AUC					TOTAL BY
				Агк	IVIA T	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	001	NOV	DEC	YEAR
2002	1 514 664	1 497 451	1 363 001	1 511 555	1 740 201	1 748 000	1 055 290	2 090 240	1 007 024	1 702 044			17 100 0 10
2001	1 787 386	1 399 102	1 349 104	1 785 844	1,740,291	1,740,090	1,900,200	2,080,240	1,992,924	1,702,844	1 004 000	4 000 005	17,106,340
2000	1 395 082	1 548 238	1 755 580	1 283 303	1,801,408	1,473,909	1 025 527	1,079,808	1,993,294	1,502,992	1,231,203	1,686,825	20,027,148
1999	1 916 828	1 972 722	1 200 410	1,203,303	1,010,400	1,001,290	1,930,027	1,000,009	1,339,090	1,/01,040	1,800,538	1,319,764	19,278,290
1998	1,664,068	1 566 163	1 732 871	1 580 320	2 303 254	1,000,047	2 027 701	2,010,200	2 020 911	1,003,/4/	1,040,201	1,512,427	20,0/1,044
1997	1.823.312	1.378.965	1,869,550	1,665,044	1 895 978	2 030 352	1 878 268	2,244,207	2,025,011	2 110 723	1,000,757	1,293,000	21,402,270
1996	1,557,428	1.574.849	1.554.676	1,448,144	1,870,256	1 920 986	1 913 841	2,330,118	1 663 769	1 920 828	1 801 378	1 618 596	21 174 869
1995	1,643,804	1.778.853	1.433.309	1.681.322	1,567,849	1 759 187	1 971 520	1 942 232	1 340 400	1 903 718	1 067 035	1 751 484	19 840 713
TOTALS	11,787,908	11,218,892	10,904,509	10.941.928	12.803.238	12.164.019	13,745,739	13.925.278	11.880.456	12,822,499	11,164,432	10,789,871	144 148 769
												10,100,00,011	
AVIATI	ON GASO	LINE		CODE 24									TOTAL BY
	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	YEAR
-						10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -			***************************************			****	······
2002	1,143	207	<sup>`</sup> 803	2,091	2,123	1,143	40,563	2,320	3,494	1,675	4		55,562
2001	1,125	588	37,566	1,752	2,527	2,136	1,920	3,106	1,387	32,028	374	827	85,336
2000	900	1,036	1,185	1,098	2,961	2,313	2,239	3,110	2,641	1,853	1,208	1,197	21,741
1999	1,567	1,416	1,201	1,363	2,524	3,005	3,196	3,248	2,594	1,621	1,996	1,054	24,785
1998	985	1,320	1,373	2,012	2,844	1,863	4,286	4,006	2,686	1,980	1,535	1,125	26,015
1997	1,613	1,269	1,682	2,291	3,183	3,355	5,020	4,363	3,070	2,908	1,691	1,745	32,190
1996	1,652	1,390	2,315	2,079	2,756	3,589	4,355	4,814	2,752	4,032	2,027	1,410	33,171
1995	1,541	1,869	1,965	2,378	4,126	4,102	5,162	5,169	3,862	3,740	1,734	1,963	37,611
TOTALS	9,383	8,888	47,287	12,973	20,921	20,363	26,178	27,816	18,992	48,162	10,565	9,321	260,849

.

.

JET F	UEL JP-3			CODE 25		,							TOTAL BY
	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	YEAR
													**************************************
2002	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0
2001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2000	0	0	0	' 0	0	0	. O	0	0	0	0	0	0
1999	0	0	O	0	0	0	· •0	0	0	· 0	0	0	0
1998	0	0	Q	0	, 0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
1997	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1996	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1995	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JET F	UEL JP-4			CODE 26									
								-					TOTAL BY
	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	YEAR
2002	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	•		
2001	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			0	0
2000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0
1999	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1998	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1997	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1996	0	0	0	0	48,135	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48.135
1995	201,060	240,720	0	. 0	54,918	0	73,219	0	75,019	75,978	0	0	720,914
TOTAL	S 201,060	240,720	· 0	,· 0	103,053	0	73,219	0	75,019	75,978	0	0	769,049
JET F	UEL JP-1 &	JET-A	- 1	CODF 27									TOTAL BY
	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN		AUG	SEP	007	NOV	DEC	VEAD
2002	167,271	40,375	191,734	141,310	37,939	103.504	136.427	117,790	110.679	36,991			1.084.020
2001	161,977	66,578	93,883	93,177	135,374	65,470	185,117	127.699	172.446	312.837	198,260	86,614	1,699,432
2000	103,601	45,412	121,023	20,788	207,635	158,585	113.013	125.981	166.132	47,975	73,237	237 505	1 420 887
1999	188,326	98,587	70,709	117,183	27,858	187.885	206.122	108.439	138.273	72.880	157.390	72.492	1.446.144
1998	90,654	15,566	86,221	21,494	22,125	157,865	37.319	194.857	95.802	96.512	110.579	167.356	1.096.350
1997	4,043	55,469	57,320	4,396	106,887	25,300	44,706	111,331	97,236	21,371	103.290	22.353	653.702
1996	104,142	40,015	21,230	96,031	58,127	88,263	94,434	67,746	88,795	82,688	3,597	83,634	828,702
1995	52,039	65,561	18,899	1,709	66,023	2,020	84,513	36,617	70,121	83,508	86,888	1,289	569,187
TOTAL	5 704,782	387,188	469,285	354,778	624,029	685,388	765,224	772,670	828,805	717,771	733,241	671,243	7,714,404

	JAN	FFR	MAP		- BAAV								TOTAL BY
						JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	YEAR
2002	0	. O	· · · · ·	226 540	128 342	00.042	100.040						
2001	151,675	0	345	47 699	86 747	90,043	183,813	/9,285	181,080	46,740			935,84
2000	0	0	0	134 170	163 722	92,591	109,561	107,176	131,070	115,828	0	0	842,69
1999	· 0	109,941	519	51 604	221 602	79,009	208,501	225,233	69,462	123,954	14,477	48,107	1,127,49
1998	0	85.208	60 504	5 578	231,003	477.257	149,301	112,946	97,072	52,500	20,874	686	907,,31
1997	57.977	62 686	00,004	4 057	32,004	177,357	162,571	107,301	180,654	171,752	35,432	2,751	1,021,77
1996	38.914	0	256	6,062	99,001	182,487	104,849	227,583	84,372	109,706	33,567	34,203	1,000,98
1995	0	0	184	115 854	41,104	33,709	133,593	79,941	60,327	45,822	35,207	0	474,99
TOTALS	248.566	257 835	61 808	365.024	774.200	128,506	2/3,/14	232,494	190,566	152,994	42,898	64,754	1,317,83
			01,000	305,024	//1,208	//4,/30	1,202,150	1,092,674	813,523	772,556	182,455	150,501	6,693,09
OTHER	RPETROLE		UCTS	CODE 81				· · ·					1
	JAN	FEB	MAR										TOTAL BY
						JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	YEAR
2002	3.648	4 399	4 629	4 627	5 740	4 000							
2001	406	380	4,020	5 200	5,719	4,823	3,824	5,136	2,152	2,491			41,45
2000	1 944	2 097	2 750	2,300	0,138	5,492	3,864	5,290	3,062	4,200	5,026	4,837	47,60
1999	1,011	2,007		2,200	2,350	1,905	2,635	2,983	1,946	3,603	407	604	25,43
1998	1,100	321	4.0	947	954	791	1,573	1,417	. 786	1,270	.1,414	2,469	13,50
1997	503	521	103	/46	1,666	1,430	1,898	1,398	1,422	1,105	793	1,266	12,14
1996	326	244	160	<u>,</u> //1	593	1,312	473	1,240	314	- 4	160	· 665	6,85
1995	346	244	553	667	610	278	870	885	520	-512	681	395	6,54
TOTALS	4 6 2 9	905	531	637	577	796	734	594	616	408	477	390	7,01
IUTALS	4,020	4,922	9,099	11,362	11,888	12,004	12,047	13,807	8,666	11,102	8,958	10,626	119,109
													······································
CRUDE				0005.01									
				CODE 61		PIPELINE T	HRU-PUT T	O CANADA					TOTAL BY
		CED						· .			;		
	JAN	FED.		APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	YEAR
2002	13 630 468	10:426 705	11 463 700	11 962 010	11 000 070	40.000.50-							
2001	13 373 676	13 333 579	15 415 901	11,002,012	11,228,970	12,862,589	13,496,241	15,309,473	14,253,897	12,599,475	,		127,133,62
2000	11 829 142	11 400 266	13,415,601	11,158,371	16,423,318	12,086,637	12,823,708	11,960,189	13,303,409	14,648,533	10,161,458	10,818,366	155,507,044
1999	7 006 550	5 200 500	6 500 404	10,401,886	14,5/3,183	13,334,249	13,533,000	13,507,010	13,795,933	13,646,190	14,825,581	13,352,947	157,892,65
1998	5 001 001	5 224 444	0,002,401	7,717,109	9,089,730	9,181,055	10,865,274	9,499,576	8,363,895	10,812,360	11,269,562	10,585,049	107,272,130
1997	7 681 121	5 672 922	5,000,280	1,699,630	5,908,574	4,606,358	8,257,096	6,985,353	5,929,910	7,560,714	5,317,122	6,631,645	76,775,184
1996	6 572 207	5,072,032	5,993,909	4,917,603	5,939,245	5,600,136	6,873,751	6,712,070	4,763,434	8,184,223	5,873,311	7,163,487	75,375,132
4005	0,073,39/	0,208,532	/,488,549	4,358,709	5,033,631	6,080,937	6,787,223	5,452,013	5,529,962	5,627,988	6,568,774	4,477,644	70,187,359
1995	5,059,005	4,044,813	6,174,478	3,241,233	4,962,913	4,463,751	5,631,592	6,017,187	6,618,864	5,100,081	6,433,673	3,620,761	61,368,35
IUIALS	58,504,001	51,199,932	62,005,746	49,494,541	61,930,594	55,353,123	64,771,644	60.133.398	58.305.407	65.580.089	60 449 481	56 640 900	704 277 655

# **KEROSENE #1 FUEL OIL**

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

APPENDIX 4 Distillate Inventory Update -API, January 15, 2003

# American Petroleum Institute Policy Analysis & Statistics

# Distillate Inventory Update – January 15, 2003

Distillate fuel oil is used primarily for heating oil and diesel fuel. For the first time in three straight weeks, the national average retail price for diesel showed a slight decrease against the previous week. The national average price of retail diesel fuel for January 13 was \$1.478 per gallon, 2.3 cent lower than last week's average price of \$1.501 per gallon. However, this was 32 cents higher than the national average price at this time last year of \$1.159 per gallon. All regions posted small decreases against week-ago prices, except in the Central Atlantic where prices rose by nearly 3 cents against the previous week. The national residential heating oil price for January 13 was \$1.431 per gallon, which nearly equaled last week's price of \$1.428 per gallon but was 27 cents higher than last year's price of \$1.166 per gallon. As shown in the chart below, diesel fuel prices generally track those of crude oil in the long run. The price of crude oil is the main factor in the price of diesel fuel, and fluctuations in the crude oil market greatly influence changes in diesel prices. Short-run factors that can cause divergences from this correlation include supply shortages resulting from refinery outages, transportation issues, adverse weather. conditions, or pipeline problems. During the most recent week, crude oil closed trading at \$31.68 per bairel, \$1.40 lower than last week's price of \$19.68 per barrel.

![](_page_56_Figure_3.jpeg)

National distillate inventories for the week ending January 10 were 134.3 million barrels, versus last year's level of 143.9 million barrels and the 1998-2002 average level of 134.4 million barrels. The current level is 49 million barrels greater than the National Petroleum Council's estimate of minimum operational inventories of 85 million barrels.

Regional inventories on the East Coast for the week ending January 10 were 53.6 million barrels, 12 percent lower than last year's level of 61.3 million barrels and 4 percent lower than the 5-year average level of 55.7 million barrels. Furthermore, regional stocks in the Midwest for the week ending January 10 were slightly lower than a year ago but were 5 percent higher compared to the 1998-2002 average level. Regional distillate inventories in the Midwest were 34.1 million barrels, versus last year's level of 34.5 million barrels and the 5-year average level of 32.6 million barrels.

For the week ending January 10, national distillate production was 3.71 million barrels per day, 5 percent lower than last week's level of 3.87 million barrels per day. However, this was about 100 thousand barrels per day higher compared to last year's production of 3.62 million barrels per day. Likewise, national high-sulfur distillate production for the week ending January 10 dropped from last week's level of 1.16 million barrels per day to 1.06 million barrels per day. This was about 80 thousand barrels per day higher than last year's level of 0.98 million barrels per day.

<u> </u>						
	Week ended Jan 10 Million Barrels	Week ended Jan 03 Million Barrels	Year Ago Million Barrels	% Change from Last Year	Average 1998-2002 Million Barrels	% Change from Average
National	134.3	134.4	143.9	-7	134.4	0
East Coast	53.6	53.1	61.3	-12	55.7	-4
New England	8.7	8.5	11.4	-24	:10 <b>.9</b> *	-20
Mid- Atlantic	29.8	~ 29.9	35.6	-16	32.1	-7
South Atlantic	15.2	14.8	14.3	6	12.8	19
Midwest	34.1	33.3	34.5	-1	32.6	5
Gulf Coast	30.4	32.3	33.5	-9	30.7	-1
Mountain	3.8	3.8	3.1	21	3.3	16
West Coast	12.4	11.9	11.6	7	12.1	2
	[ · _ ]	High Sulfur Dis	tillate Invento	ries (heating oil	)	
	Week ended Jan 10 Million	Week ended Jan 03 Million	Year Ago Million Barrels	% Change from Last Year	Average 1998-2002 Million Barrels	% Change from Average
	Barrels	Barrels	(0.0	10	61.1	10
Ivational Fast Caset	21.0	33.1	200	-12	260 -	-1 <i>2</i>
New England	6.3	6.2	8.7	-10 -28	8.7	-14
Mid- Atlantic	19.9	20.6	25.1	-21	23.1	-14
South Atlantic	5.7	5.7	5.0	12	5.1	. 12
Midwest	8.3	8.1	8.7	-5	9.1	-9
Gulf Coast	10.7	10.5	10.7	· 0	11.9	-10
Mountain	0.59	0.59	0.42	38	0.51	16
West Coast	2.1	2.0	2.2	-4	2.7	-24

Note: Data do not include 2 million barrels transferred from late September through mid-October of 2000 from commercially available inventories to the federal government's Heating Oil Reserve in the Northeast.

For more information, contact Maria Coronado, Statistical Analyst; Ron Planting, Manager, Information and Analysis; or John Felmy, Director, Policy Analysis and Statistics, American Petroleum Institute, (202) 682-8000. APPENDIX 5 Gasoline Situation - API, January 16, 2003

.

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Gasoline Situation – January 16, 2002

Gasoline prices rose for the fifth straight week, according to the most recent data from the U.S. Energy Information Administration. As of January 13, the price of a gallon of regular grade gasoline (including taxes) averaged \$1.454, an increase of 1 cent from the previous week. Prices are up more than 9 cents since the beginning of December and are some 34 cents above their level of one year ago.

As the chart below shows, gasoline prices generally track those of crude oil, which are subject to worldwide supply and demand conditions. In 2002, the United States imported almost 60 percent of its petroleum needs and is dependent upon world oil markets. Since crude oil costs represent the largest component of the price of gasoline, fluctuations in crude oil prices are the most important cause of gasoline price swings over the long term.

Though retreating slightly in the most recent week (closing trading at \$31.68 on January 10), crude oil prices are almost \$5 per barrel higher than in early December. That level is \$12 (or about 29 cents per gallon) higher than it was one year ago.

![](_page_59_Figure_5.jpeg)

In addition to the impact of the world crude oil market, gasoline prices are affected by other factors in the short term. These include: unplanned refinery and pipeline outages and the preponderance of "boutique" fuel specifications across the country that reduce the flexibility of refiners and distributors to respond to supply disruptions. These factors have led to price spikes in the past and remain relevant in 2003.

Gasoline demand continued to grow last year, rising nearly 2.7 percent on an annual basis. At the same time, refiners supplied significant volumes of fuel to U.S. consumers and gasoline production reached a record high. Strong rates of production have carried into the new year. API's statistics for the week ended 1/10 reported that gasoline output averaged more than 8.6

million barrels per day, up more than 5 percent from the same period last year. At the same time, nationwide inventories exceeded their year-ago mark and their average for this time of year. Inventories of reformulated gasoline (RFG), though, were slightly below both their year-ago and their time-of-year average levels.

Also during the latest week: total inputs into the nation's 150 refineries were up 4 percent compared to the corresponding period last year, with refinery utilization running at 92.3 percent of capacity. Production of distillate fuel oil – used primarily as diesel fuel and heating oil – averaged almost 3.7 million barrels per day, up almost 3 percent on a year-over-year basis.

	•	<b>Total</b> (	Gasoline Invo	entories		s.				
	Week ended Jan. 10 Million Barrels	Week ended Jan. 3 Million Barrels	Year Ago Million Barrels	% Change from Last Year	Average 1998-2002 Million Barrels	% Change from Average				
National	215.0	210.6	211.2	2	209,3	3				
East Coast	59.7	56.4	58.5	2	58.1	3				
New England	4.6	4.4	4.2	10	4.9	-5				
Mid- Atlantic	31,5	30.0	30.6	3	29.4	7				
South Atlantic	23.6	22.0	23.8	-1	23.7	-1				
Midwest	51.6	49.9	53.0	-3	52.4	2				
Gulf Coast	63.8	63.8	60.0	7	60.5	6				
Mountain	7.4	7.4	8.2	-9	7.3	2				
West Coast	32.5	33.2	31.6	3	30.9	± 5 ± 8.				
· · · · ·	Reformulated Gasoline (RFG) Inventories									
	Week ended	Week ended	Year Ago	% Change	Average	% Change				
	Jan. 10	Jan. 3	Million Barrels	from	1998-2002	from				
<i>i</i>	Million Barrels	<sup>*</sup> Million Barrels		Last Year	Million Barrels	Average				
National	40.8	41.9	44.2	-8	44.1	-7				
East Coast	20.0	20,3	19.0	5	20.0	0 ;				
New Englańd	3.7	3.4	3.4	10	4.0	=7				
Mid-	10 (	44.0	101		44.0					
	12.6	11.9	12.4	· 2	11,8	7				
Atlantic	12.6	11.9	12.4	2	i 11,8	7				
Atlantic South Atlantic	3.7	5.0	3.2	15	4.2	-11				
Atlantic South Atlantic Midwest	3.7 0.9	5.0 0.6	3.2 1.9	2 15 -53	11.8 4.2 1.5	-11 -41				
Atlantic South Atlantic Midwest Gulf Coast	12.6 3.7 0.9 9.4	5.0 0.6 9.1	12.4 3.2 1.9 11.0	2 15 -53 -15	11.8 4.2 1.5 10.3	7 -11 -41 -8				
Atlantic South Atlantic Midwest Gulf Coast Mountain	12.6 3.7 0.9 9.4	5.0 0.6 9.1	12.4 3.2 1.9 11.0	2 15 -53 -15	11.8 4.2 1.5 10.3	7 -11 -41 -8				

For more information contact: Timothy Gill, Senior Analyst, Policy Analysis and Statistics, American Petroleum Institute, (202) 682-8398, gillt@api.org. APPENDIX 6 DOE/EIA Map of New England Energy Infrastructure

![](_page_62_Figure_2.jpeg)