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## STATE OF MAINE.

## Office of Commissioner of Highways, <br> Augusta, January 17, i9ir.

To His Excellency, Frederick W. Plaisted, Governor, and the Honorable Council:
I have the honor to present the sixth annual report of the Commissioner of Highways.

Very respectfully,
PAUL D. SARGENT, Commissioner.

## ORGANIZATION OF STATE HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT.

PAUL D. SARGENT, Machias Commissioner
IRVIN W. BARBOUR, Portland Asst. Commissioner
L. D. BARROWS, Foxcroft ..... Clerk
ANNIE P. BIBBER, Lisbon Falls Stenographer
LILLIAN W. CHUTE, Augusta Stenographer

## REPORT

The year igio has been by far the most successful year in the history of state road building in Maine. A total expenditure of $\$ 428,638.74$ was made during the year on state aid and trunk line roads, of which the state furnished $\$ 211,48 \mathrm{I}$. 3 I .
112.33 miles of state aid road and 7.99 miles of trunk line road have been completed during the year. All this has been accomplished with very little friction between the state highway department and the municipal officers of the towns. This has been brought about by a better understanding by all parties interested of the requirements of the state highway law and the specifications under which the work is done.

The department has exercised closer supervision this year over all state road work and as has happened in the past where we have expended the most time and money for supervision we have secured the best roads.

A total of 505 applications for state aid were received as follows:

$$
\text { Cities .............................................. } 18
$$

Towns ..... 416
Organized plantations ..... 35
County commissioners for unincorporatedtownships36
Total ..... 505

Of the above number fifteen towns have applied to the department for permission to allow their joint funds to lay over until IgI I and be expended at that time. Permission has been granted.

In these fifteen towns a total joint fund of nine thousand three hundred five dollars and sixty-two cents (\$9,305.62)
is available in igri. Upon satisfactory expenditure of this amount, state aid amounting to four thousand six hundred twenty-six dollars and twelve cents ( $\$ 4,626.12$ ) already ayportioned will be approved and paid.

In three towns the work has not been completed; in two towns the work done did not pass inspection; in one town work was satisfactorily completed but state aid has not been paid as a portion of the right of way over which the road has been built has not yet been settled by the town. From two towns final reports have not been received. To these eight towns state aid amounting to four thousand seventy-five dollars ( $\$ 4,075.00$ ) was apportioned and will be paid on proper evidence of satisfactory work having been completed.

In four hundred and eighty-two places the joint funds have been expended in completing as many pieces of highway improvement. Collectively the results are as follows:


* Does not include Westbrook 1909 work completed in 1910.
$\dagger$ Does not include Brunswick (not completed.)
$\ddagger$ Of this amount 222 feet is macadam, cost not separated.
§ Of this amount $35,829.5$ feet earth and 1,285 feet macadam, cost not separated.
** Cost includes $\$ 959.75$, which was expended on Trunk Line work in Kittery ; aid includes $\$ 450.00$, paid on Kittery Trunk Line.
$\dagger \dagger$ Cost includes $\$ 1.182 .73$, which was expended on Trunk Line work in York; aid includes \$ 81.98 , paid on York Trunk Line.
|l Of these towns Mars Hill applied to have 1910 joint fund laid over to 1911 but expended enough this year to receive 1909 unexpended balance of $\$ 127.78$, so that total number of jobs=483.
Total number of miles $=111.38$. Average cost per mile $=\$ 3,121.56$ (not including cost of trunk lines, 3 culvert jobs, 1 bridge job and 7 unincorporated township jobs on which data was not received; the last three items in above table.)


Earth and drainage 14.757 " " " " 2,50298
In figuring cost per mile, etc., amounts expended on York and Kittery Trunk Lines, 3 culverts, 1 bridge and towns from which data has not been received, have not been included.

It is thus seen that a total expenditure of $\$ 351,831.23$ has been made under the impetus of the law. Of this amount the
state has furnished $\$ 162,075.34$ of 1910 aid and $\$ 7,275.15$ of 1909 and 1908 aid. Included in this expenditure are the joint funds for five towns which were brought forward from 1909 and expended with the 1910 joint funds in doing one piece of work. Four other jobs were completed independent of the 1910 work in the same towns at a total cost of $\$ 12,117.70$ and against this expense state aid amounting to $\$ 2,35$ r. 08 was paid, so that the total expenditure during the year on state aid work was $\$ 363,948.93$ and the total payment of state aid was $\$ 171,701.57$.

In addition to the expenditure on state aid work there has also been expended on trunk line work $\$ 66,822.39$. Of this amount $\$ 2,132.58$ of joint funds expended on trunk line work is reported in the above table. This leaves an expenditure on trunk lines of $\$ 64,689.8 \mathrm{I}$, which added to the cost of state aid work makes a gross expenditure for state aid and trunk line roads during igio of $\$ 428,638.74$. Of the cost of the trunk lines $\$ 24,910.07$ was appropriated by towns and subscribed by individuals, making the net expenditure by the state on account of trunk lines $\$ 39,779.74$, which added to the state aid above reported makes a total expenditure by the state on state aid and trunk line roats of $\$ 2 \mathrm{II}, 48 \mathrm{I} .3 \mathrm{I}$.

1909 WORK COMPLETED IN I9IO.
Besides the work performed by the expenditure of the 1910 joint funds, eight jobs which were started last year have been completed this year. The joint funds of five of these towns were combined with the 1910 joint funds and the work completed as a whole. The total expenditure on account of the three remaining towns was ten thousand eight hundred four dollars and fifty-seven cents ( $\$ 10,804 \cdot 57$ ). One town which appropriated no money for its state road this year expended one thousand three hundred thirteen dollars and thirteen cents ( $\$ 1,313.13$ ) against the 1909 joint fund which was laid over last year. This makes a total expenditure this year on account of 1909 work of twelve thousand one hundred seventeen dollars and seventy cents ( $\$ 12,117.70$ ). Against this expenditure state aid amounting to two thousand two hundred ninety-seven dollars and ninety-nine cents ( $\$ 2,297.99$ ) apportioned in 1909 and state aid apportioned in 1908 amounting to fifty-three dollars and nine cents (\$53.09), making a total of two thousand three hundred
fifty-one dollars and eight cents ( $\$ 2,351.08$ ) has been paid to the towns. The work performed was as follows:

> Ig09 work completeb in tgio.

| Kind | Sq. yds. | ngth in | t. Cost. | Aid. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gravel | 3,685 | 1,530 | \$2,683 60 | \$1,013 62 |
| Earth | 922 | 395 | 71763 | 35850 |
| Bituminous Macadam | 6,190 | 3,072 | 8,716 47 | 92587 |
| Totals | 10,797 | 4,997 | \$12,117 70 | \$2,351 08* |

A tabular statement of above work will be found elsewhere in this report.

STATEMENT OF 1908 APPROPRIATION.
CR.
Jan. 1910. By balance to Kittery and Portland Trunk Line $\$ 3,91253$
DR.
To Engineers' services during year ............. 62387
To balance in above account ....................... 3,288 66
$\$ 3,91253$
*
STATEMENT OF IgOG APPROPRIATION.
CR.

DR.
To 1909 state aid paid during i910.......................... \$9,297 78
To Rockland-Bangor trunk line apportionment paid ....... 5,000 00
To paid inspectors' services and expenses .................... 8,446 39
To 1909 unpaid apportionments $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$.

\$27,528 97
Statement of igio appropriation.
CR.
By appropriation 3-4 mill on state valuation ................. 321,159 35

[^0]| DR. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To state aid apportioned and paid |  | \$162,075 3416,72830 |
| To state aid apportioned and not paid |  |  |
| To trunk line apportionment expended | \$39,779 74 |  |
| To trunk line apportionment unexpended | 49,220 26 | 89,000 00 |
| To balance to rimi |  | 53,355 71 |
| ! |  | \$321,159 |

Besides the regular state aid work outlined above, the department has undertaken the construction of nine sections of trunk line road under the provisions of section thirteen of the state road law.

The roads selected for improvement are located in the following towns: Poland, Casco, Rockland, Rockport, South Portland, Kennebunk, Kittery, York, Wells, Scarboro and Topsham.

Total apportionments of eighty-nine thousand dollars (\$89,000.00 ) have been made for these roads. Subscription funds and appropriations amounting to twenty-four thousand nine hundred ten dollars and seven cents ( $\$ 24,910.07$ ) have also been used; and joint funds amounting to two thousand one hundred thirty-two dollars and fifty-eight cents ( $\$ 2, \mathrm{I} 32.58$ ) have been expended in the work.

Contracts have been let for 13.1 I miles of road and 7.99 miles have been completed, the remaining mileage being now under contract and partly completed.

The total expenditure in connection with this work has been sixty-six thousand eight hundred twenty-two dollars and thirtynine cents $(\$ 66,822.39)$. Of this the state has furnished thirty nine thousand seven hundred seventy-nine dollars and seventyfour cents ( $\$ 39,779.74$ ).

There has also been charged against trunk lines one thousand forty-two dollars and fifty cents ( $\$ 1,042.50$ ), cost of surveys for work which will be taken up later.

We believe the time is ripe for the legislature to declare a definite system of main thoroughfares upon which the state shall undertake systematic and continuous improvement and maintenance.

We also believe the state is losing valuable transient tourist business and permanent summer home seekers every year this
work is delayed. The department is in constant receipt of inquiries from this class of people asking about our best routes of state highways, and also asking what we plan to do in a general way towards the improvement of our main thoroughfares. Nor is it uncommon to receive complaints both from residents and non-residents regarding the condition of some of our most traveled, though poorest roads. If the report could go abroad that Maine had established a definite progressive policy of improvement of main thoroughfares it would be the best single advertisement that could go out from the state.

A description of each of these sections with statement of improvements made, state funds apportioned, subscription funds, if any, and cost of work is given elsewhere in this report.

## Meetings.

In January your commissioner attended a meeting of highway enginecrs held at the Society House of the American Society of Civil Engineers, New York City, where a day's time was given to a discussion of the construction of bituminous roads. This was a very profitable meeting.

The customary series of county road meetings was held in April, with about the usual attendance.

In December the commissioner attended the seventh annual convention of the American Road Builders' Association at Indianapolis, Indiana. Delegates were present from about forty states and several of the Canadian Provinces. Interesting sessions were held for four days.

## Work with State Grange Committee.

During the summer of 1910 your commissioner held several meetings with a special committee of the State Grange appointed by the state master for the purpose of inyestigating ordinary road conditions and expenditures. An honest attempt was made to get at the real facts and conditions surrounding ordinary road work.

The most noticeable fact disclosed by a careful study of figures collected by the state highway department during 1905 is the very great inequality in the highway burden as it lies upon the various cities and towns today. It is a fact that many poor
towns have a large road mileage and a very heavy tax rate for highway purposes. No attempt was made to study out any plan for equalizing their burden. There is only one way, in fact, in which it can be done, and that is to have the state assume entire control of all roads, levy a tax sufficient to properly take care of them, and apportion the same according to the needs of each particular locality.

The committee particularly addressed its attention to a possible means of securing better results from the expenditure of ordinary road funds as now handled, i. e., through the jurisdiction of each town.

Statistics show that the average length of term of office of road commissioners in Maine is two years and eight months-a period less than is required of apprentices in any trade. Yet this army of inexperienced, untrained men are annually expending one million three hundred seventy-seven thousand dollars ( $\$_{1}$,$377,000.00$ ) of road funds in this state. A few good commissioners are developed each year, but their official lives are generally short. A man with good ideas generally does not have an opportunity longer than one year to put them into practice. He is then either relieved by the voters of further control of road affairs or else he has become so disgusted that he is ready to drop the whole business.

It seems to us, and the committee agreed in this view, that if some provision could be made for putting road work under the supervision of trained men that practically all the complaints which we hear today would disappear. Work wisely planned, intelligently directed and economically executed would be the direct results of trained supervision.

It also seemed to us that the only way to start trained supervision would be for the state to take the initiative. This could be done by paying a small amount of state aid for each mile of road under the care of such towns as would accept state supervision and state instruction and do their road work accordingly.

The possibility of securing aid and the liability of losing it would cause towns to make careful selection of men to take charge of road work. After once getting supervision-or in other words, good road commissioners-started, it seems as though they would justify themselves with the people and before
we fully realized what was taking place the transition to good business methods would be complete.

New methods and new ideas are contagious, especially when they produce good results. No better proof of this statement is needed than a glance at the growth of the state road movement. It seems fair to assume that with a proper organization practically all the roads of the state could be brought under trained supervision in from five to ten years, simply through the medium of state aid.

In connection with the foregoing report of matters taken up with the State Grange committee it is interesting to refer to a resolution unanimously adopted at a meeting of the state highway commissioners of New England held in Boston on December eighth, 1908, which is as follows:
"On a motion of Mr. Gates, duly seconded it was unanimously
Resolved, That if good roads are to be built and maintaned at any reasonable cost, it is essential that expenditures for such work be made only after proper study and upon plans and specifications made by experienced experts. Under the present system, or lack of system, of local control and supervision, a great amount of money is wasted. As a preliminary step in the right direction we recommend that all the main highways and thoroughfares outside of the thickly settled portions of the cities and towns be put as soon as possible under the supervision of a central control or authority and its engineers; that all money spent thereon be expended under the direction and supervision of such central authority; and that all money needed therefor be provided by the states, counties, cities or towns in whole or in part by each, as may be deemed expedient. The question of maintenance is at least as important as that of original construction. It is of no use to build roads at great expense and then allow them to go to pieces for lack of necessary repairs. We recommend that, whenever any money provided by the State has been spent on any highway, thereafter such highway shall be repaired and maintained under the direction and supervision of the central authority and its engineers; that provisions be made by law to provide yearly the necessary money, this being done by dividing the expense between the State, county, city or town in such way as seems best, either in the proportion which each paid for the original construction, or otherwise; and that a part of the money be also provided from the fees and fines collected under the laws of the State relating to the registration and operation of motor vehicles and the licensing of the operators thereof."

## Recommendations.

In the past more or less difficulty has been experienced in securing competent foremen to take charge of state road work. In some towns road commissioners are elected; in some towns road work is left for the selectmen to supervise; in many towns where commissioners are elected there is constant friction between selectmen and the commissioner. When there is a lack of co-operation between these officials or the least bit of jealousy or friction, road work suffers and under such circumstances the department has found it most difficult to secure good results from the expenditure of state road funds. In some towns, too, incompetent officials are elected and doing work through such officials adds materially to the care of the highway department.

We have felt for some time that if a law could be passed authorizing the state highway commissioner and the selectmen to name the foreman to have charge of the state road work that it might prove very beneficial to the work. This need has been discovered elsewhere and some of the states have already made such provision as we recommend. For example: In the Vermont state road law in Section 4005 we find the following:
"All money appropriated or apportioned to towns by this chapter shall be laid out and expended by a commissioner appointed by the selectmen of each town with the consent and approval of the state highway commissioner, except that the selectmen of any town and the state highway commissioner may agree upon any plan of expending the state money in that town that may seem to them best under existing conditions. Whenever the selectmen fail or refuse to act, the state highway commissioner may act, and, in all cases, shall have full control of the expenditure of the money provided for in this chapter."

In the New Hampshire state road law in Section 7 we find the following:
"In case proposals have been invited and notice of such invitation shall have been advertised in accordance with the regulations heretofore mentioned and no proposals are submitted, or such proposals as are submitted are, in the opinion of the state engineer, unreasonable, and he shall so affirm to the governor and council, they may, with the approval of the selectmen of a town or the mayor of a city, authorize and employ an agent or agents to perform the contract upon such terms as shall be satisfactory to the governor and council and to the selectmen of a town or the city authorities having jurisdiction over highway expenditures."

Another matter which might well be considered is the provision for changing state road designations which is not entirely satisfactory.

As the law stands today state roads are designated by the county commissioners of each county. The designation may be changed by a petition of a majority of the voters of any town lodged with the highway department. The petition must state the thoroughfare which in the judgment of the petitioners should be designated. A hearing is held and a board consisting of the state commissioner of highways, a member of the board of municipal officers and a county commissioner from an adjoining county, as provided by law, must either approve the original designation or designate the road petitioned for.

The law might well be amended to provide that a majority of the voters, if dissatisfied with the road as designated might petition the state highway department for a review of the county commissioners' findings and at the same time confer authority upon the board, as above named, to designate the main thoroughfare or state road in such town. Under such an arrangement the board would be entitled to review the whole case and designate whatever road the testimony should indicate was the main thoroughfare.

Another matter which should be taken up at once is the question of maintenance of state roads already built. In the original bill presented to the legislature in 1907 was the following section:
"Section io. Any highway within any city or town improved by the expenditure of said joint fund shall thereafter be maintained, as are other highways, within the city, town, plantation or township within which it is located, and to the satisfaction of the state commissioner of highways.
Any town which neglects or refuses to make repairs on its state road within sixty days after being notified by the state commissioner of highways what repairs are necessary to be made, shall be not eligible to state aid the succeeding year-nor shall said town again be eligible to state aid until all repairs required by the state commissioner have been made in a manner satisfactory to him."
The last paragraph of this section was stricken out by the committee and the bill was reported and passed in this form.

Under this provision it has been found very difficult to have state roads properly maintained; probably not over one-third of the towns in the state comply with the maintenance provision.

Other states have found the same trouble and in practically every state aid state it has been found necessary to put the maintenance of state roads absolutely under the control of the state highway department in order to have the work properly attended to.

Most of the states today, however, receive their maintenance money from the annual licensing of motor vehicles and the operators thereof. Such a bill was introduced at the session of the 1909 legislature, but failed of passage. It will be introduced again this winter and should by all means be given a passage.

It is estimated that the number of automobiles owned in the state today would probably produce in license fees from forty to fifty thousand dollars annually. This money should be used first for the maintenance of state roads already built and the residue, if any, after providing for maintenance, should be used in the construction of main thoroughfares.

In this connection see copy of a resolution passed by the highway commissioners of New England at their meeting in Boston on December eighth, 1908, which is found at the end of the report on work with the committee of the State Grange, printed elsewhere in this report.

Section thirteen of the state road law, which authorizes the expenditure of surplus funds, after the payment of state aid has been provided for, in the construction of trunk lines, should be so amended that contracts for this work can be executed between the contractor and the state commissioner of highways, representing the state.

The attorney general advised the commissioner that under this section, as it is now worded, these contracts must be between the contractor and the municipal officers of the town or city in which the work is located. This causes more or less delay in the signing of contracts and is purely a technical point, as the state goes ahead with the construction and supervision of the road and pays the bills independent of the local municipality.

CHANGES OF LOCATION.

During the year there have been received at the department eight petitions for change of location of state road. In addition to these eight petitions which were heard, a petition received from Lexington Plantation on July twenty-seventh, 1909, was heard, making in all nine petitions.
petitions heard.
Bradford, Penobscot County. Original designation: "Road leading from Orneville to Hudson by the way of North Bradford and Bradford village."

Road petitioned for: "Road leading in an easterly direction from Charleston line near the residence of Frank Wellington, through Bradford Corner and Bradford Center to Bradford R. R. station."

Petition signed by 147 voters out of a total of 273 , received at department April ii, igio.

Hearing ordered for May if, i9ıо, at Bradford. Hearing held as ordered.

Decision rendered May 26, 1910, ordering that the road as originally designated be designated as state road.

Sitting at hearing,
Paul D. Sargent, State Commissioner of Highways, Newell Randall, Chairman, Selectmen,
George T. Tibbetts, County Commissioner, Somerset County.
Chapman Plantation, Aroostook County. Original designation: "Road beginning on the 'Center Line Road,' so called, at the south line of Mapleton, thence southerly on said road to the 'Grendell Road,' so called, to the 'Littlefield Road,' so called, thence southerly to the terminus of said 'Littlefield Road.' "

Road petitioned for: "Road beginning at the Mapleton town line between lots No. 3 and 4, leading south on lot line to south line of lots No. 27 and 28, then nearly southwest."

Petition, signed by 44 voters out of a total of 72 , received at department April 26, 1910.

Hearing ordered for June 15, i910, at Chapman Plantation. Hearing held as ordered.

Decision rendered June 27 , 1910, ordering that the road as petitioned for be designated as the state road.

Sitting at hearing,
Paul D. Sargent, State Commissioner of Highways,
S. D. Grendell, Chairman, Selectmen,
F. V. Buzzell, County Commissioner, Penobscot County.

Corinth, Penobscot County. Original designation: "Road beginning at the Charleston town line on the Bangor and Moosehead Lake Road, so called, thence southerly by said road on the line of the Penobscot Central Railroad through the town of Corinth to the Kenduskeag town line."

Road petitioned for: "Road extending westerly from East Corinth village in said town of Corinth, to Exeter, a town adjoining said Corinth on the west."

Petition, signed by 190 voters out of a total of 319 , received at department April 28, 1910.

Hearing ordered for June 17, 1910, at Corinth. Hearing held as ordered.

At the hearing it was brought out that the road as petitioned for did not describe a complete thoroughfare and at the request of all present the petition was amended to describe the complete thoroughfare as follows:
"Beginning at the line between Exeter and Corinth at the eastern terminus of the designated state road in said Exeter; thence easterly by the Exeter road, so called, to Megquier's Corner; thence northerly by Main street in East Corinth to the junction of Main street and road leading to East Ridge road; thence easterly by said road leading to the East Ridge road across East Ridge road to the Trim schoolhouse; thence northerly on the Charleston road, so called, about one-quarter of a mile to the Hudson road; thence easterly by the Hudson road, so called, to the Hudson town line."

Decision rendered June 27, i910, ordering that the road petitioned for, as amended, be designated as the state road.

Sitting at hearing,
Patul D. Sargent, State Commissioner of Highways, W. M. Oakman, Chairman, Selectmen,

Fred R. Page, County Commissioner, Hancock County.
Lagrange, Penobscot County'. Original designation: "Road running southwesterly through the town of Lagrange from the Howland line by the way of Lagrange village to the Orneville town line."

Road petitioned for: "Road beginning at the south line of the town and running in a northerly direction, the same being the main road from Oldtown to Brownville, and terminating at the north line of said Lagrange, the same being the only north and south road in said town."

Petition, signed by ing voters out of a total of 177, received at department April 26, 1910.

Hearing ordered for June 16, i910, at Lagrange. Hearing held as ordered.

Decision rendered July 5, 1910, ordering that the road as originally designated be designated as state road.

Sitting at hearing,
Paul D. Sargent, State Commissioner of Highways,
Willard Snell, Chairman, Selectmen,
Fred R. Page, County Commissioner, Hancock County.
Lecuiston,Androscoggin County. Original designation: "Road beginning at the Webster town line on Sabattus street and running to Davis Corner, thence continuing on Sabattus street to Main street and thence along Main street to the Androscoggin river and the city of Auburn."

Road petitioned for: "Main street in Lewiston from Sabattus street, to Greene, by the way of Fogg's Corner, so called."

Petition, signed by the mayor and four other municipal officers of the city of Lewiston, received at department April 4, 1910.

Hearing ordered for May 6, 1910, in city of Lewiston. Hearing held as ordered.

Decision rendered June in, 1910, ordering that the road petitioned for be designated as state road.

Sitting at hearing,
Paul D. Sargent, State Commissioner of Highways,
Frank A. Morey, Mayor,
W. D. Haley, County Commissioner, Kenrebec County.

Lexington Plantation, Somerset County. Original designation: "Road beginning at Highland town line and extending to Lexington town line, via East road."

Road petitioned for: "Road called the Center road, or the Flat road, located west of Sandy stream."

Petition, signed by 38 voters out of a total of 67 , received at department July 27, 1909.

Hearing ordered for May 17, 1910, at Lexington. Hearing held as ordered. On agreement of counsel for petitioners and opponents that the original designation by county commissioners has now been invalidated by subsequent legislation this petition was dismissed and the state commissioner was to notify the county commissioners to make ${ }^{\circ}$ designation.

On July 5, 1910, the following was received at the office of the state commissioner of highways:
"Whereas, the County Commissioners of Somerset Countr, on the thirty-first day of May, A. D. r9ıo, designated the main traveled thoroughfare in the plantation of Lexington, in said County, to be known as the state road, as follows:
'Beginning at the north line of the town of New Portland, which is also the south line of the plantation of Lexington, and running northerly through the plantation of Lexington via the east stage road through said plantation to the south line of Highland Plantation. This road is approximately seven miles in length.' "

Road petitioned for: "Road beginning at the north line of the town of New Portland, on what is called the Dead River road, thence northerly through the plantation of Lexington across Lexington Flat, over the stage road west of Sandy stream, to the south line of Highland Plantation."

Petition, signed by 42 voters out of a total of 70 , received at department July 5, riso.

Hearing ordered for August 16, 1910, at Lexington. Hearing held as ordered.
Decision rendered September 6, 1910, ordering that road described in second petition be designated as the state road.

Sitting at hearing,
Paul D. Sargent, State Commissioner of Highways,
Alton J. Albee, Chairman, Selectmen,
Irving R. Holmes, County Commissioner. Piscataquis County.

Waterford, Oxford County. Original designation: "Road leading from Norway town line, on stage road, through North Waterford to Albany town line."
Road petitioned for: "Road beginning at the town house in the village of Waterford and running in a southerly direction to the bridge over Mill brook near the chair factory building in the village of South Waterford."

Petition, signed by 147 voters out of a total of 287 , received at department May 23, 1910.

Hearing ordered for June 21, 1910. Hearing held as ordered.
Decision rendered June 28, 1910, ordering that the road as originally designated be designated as the state road.

Sitting at hearing,
Paul D. Sargent, State Commissioner of Highways,
Warren V. Kneeland, Chairman, Selectmen,
A. B. Nealey, County Commissioner. Androscoggin County.

West Bath, Sagadahoc County. Original designation: 『'The highway running through said town from the Bath line at Standish bridge, so called, to the Bull Rock bridge at New Meadows river, the same being known as the , 'Bull Rock Bridge Road.' "

Road petitioned for: "Road beginning at the Witch Spring near the junction of the Bull Rock Bridge road and the Berry's Mills road, thence southerly to Prince's corner, then northeast to the Winnegance line."

Petition, signed by 67 voters out of a total of 95 , received at department May i6, 19 Io.

Hearing ordered for June io, 1910, at Court House, Bath. Hearing held as ordered.

Decision rendered June 23, 1910, ordering that the road as originally designated be designated as the state road.

Sitting at hearing,
Paul D. Sargent, State Commissioner of Highways,
Frank G. Coombs, Chairman, Selectmen,
W. D. Haley, County Commissioner, Kennebec County.

Vassalboro, Kennebec County. Original designation: "Road known as the 'Vassalboro Road' extending from the south line of the town of Winslow near the grist mill of C. A. Priest; south through the village of North Vassalboro and East Vassalboro and along the west shore of China Lake to the west line of the town of China, near the Brag place."

Road petitioned for: "Road beginning at the Four Corners near the center of East Vassalboro village; thence running westerly to the road known locally as the 'Quaker Lane' road; thence southerly along said last mentioned road to a point near the residence of George H. Pope; thence westerly through the new Pope road (so-called) to the corner near the residence of Albert $P$. Robinson; thence southerly and westerly along the only existing road to a point near the residence of J. P. Gardner; thence westerly and southwesterly to the River Road (so-called) near the residence of Mrs. Martha Getchell; thence southerly to the Augusta town line."

Petition, signed by 330 voters, out of a total of 585 , received at department May 25, i910.

Hearing ordered for June 20, 1910. Hearing held as ordered.
Decision rendered July 5, i9Io, ordering that the road as petitioned for be designated as the state road.

Sitting at hearing,
Paul D. Sargent, State Commissioner of Highways,
O. J. Hussey, chairman, Selectmen,

George T. Tibbetts, County Commissioner, Somerset County.

## CONTRACTS.

Contracts are handled the same as they have been since the organization of the department.

It has seemed to us that contract prices especially on our trunk line jobs have run a little high, but until the State is willing to provide for an increased engineering force to supervise contract work it will be necessary to contlnue our present system of letting on the lump sum price basis. A change to the unit price basis would, we believe, tend to lower prices on this work, but would call for an increased engineering force and increased expenses of supervision.

The following write-ups give the leading features in connection with each contract job performed during the year.

As formerly, these contracts have been under the immediate supervision of Mr. I. W. Barbour, Assistant Commissioner.

## AUBURN.

Contract No. 49, Contractor, city of Auburn. F. F. Goss, street commissioner, R. A. Swift, city engineer. Nature of improvement, granite block paving; area, 1605 sq. yds. Cost per sq. yd., \$1.68.

Work begun September 28th; completed October 2Ist.
The section of state road improved begins at Court street and extends southerly along the westerly half of Minot avenue, between the electric car tracks and the curbing.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department: 722 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.24.
I, 444 sq. yds. of granite block pavement @ \$1.57. I catch basin, $\$ 35.00$
Lump sum amount of contract $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . .$. . $\$ 2,50525$
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers. Length, 722 feet ; width, 20 feet. Grading 17328
Paving blocks ..... 2,519 36
Catch basin ..... 35 oo
Total cost of work ..... \$2,727 64
Amount appropriated by city, section 4, ..... 1,400 00
Unexpended balance from 1909 ..... 5525
State aid apportioned under section 6. ..... 1,050 00
Joint fund ..... \$2,505 25
Additional amount furnished by city ..... 22239
Net cost of work ..... 2,727 64
Cost to city ..... 1,622 39
State aid approved ..... \$I,105 25

## AUGUSTA.

Contract No. 46. Contractor, city of Augusta; James F. Pierce, street commissioner; W. B. Getchell, city engineer; nature of improvement, grading, drainage, gravel surface, also macadam surface ; area gravel surface, 8,166 sq. yds.; cost per sq. yd., $\$ 0.188$; area macadam surface, 1,365 sq. yds.; cost per sq. yd., $\$ 0.60$; work begun September 28 th ; completed November 18th.

The section of state road improved begins at the northerly end of the 1909 work and extends northerly along the Bond brook and Belgrade roads; also section on State street from Union street southerly.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
3,200 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.075.
7,466 sq. yds. gravel road complete @ \$0.25.
57 lineal feet of 18 inch metal culvert @ \$1.40.
950 lineal feet of underdrain @ \$0.24.
Lump sum amount of contract.................. $\$ 2,45000$
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, gravel surface, 3,500 feet; width, 21 feet;depth, 9 inches; length macadam surface, 585feet; width, 2 I feet; depth, 8 inches.
Gravel section.
Grading ..... \$50 00
Underdrain, I,OI2 feet $\times 2$ feet $\times 3$ feet ..... 20800
Gravel surface, 3,500 feet ..... 1,276 62
Metal culvert, 18 inches x 56 feet ..... 7600
Engineering and advertising ..... 3490
Macadam section.
Grading ..... 2650
Macadam surface, 585 feet ..... 79462
Engineering ..... 10 00
Total cost of work ..... \$2,476 64
Amount appropriated by city, section 4 ..... 1,400 00
State aid apportioned, section 6 ..... 1,050 00
Joint fund ..... $\$ 2,450 \quad 00$
Additional amount furnished by city ..... 2664
Net cost of work ..... \$2,476 64
Cost to city ..... 1,426 64
State aid approved \$1,050 00

## BATH.

Contract No. 35. Contractor, city of Bath; Oscar F. Williams, street commissioner; Stephen Litchfield, engineer; nature of improvement, grading, drainage and macadam surface ; area, 3,360 sq. yds.; cost per sq, yd., \$0,754; work begun August I5th: completed November 19th.

The section of state road improved begins at the southerly end of the 1909 section of state road at Bath street and extends southerly along High street.

Ouantities and unit prices estimated by the department: 1.700 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.44.

3,244 sq. yds. of macadam surface @ \$0.465. 40 lineal feet of 8 inch vitrified pipe @ \$0.50. 36 lineal feet of to inch vitrified pipe @ \$o.6o.

| 56 feet of wooden guardrail @ \$o.30. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Concrete culvert 36 feet long 30 inches in diameter, $\$ 72.00$. 2 catch basins @ \$30.00. |  |
| Lump sum amount of contract. | \$2,450 00 |
| Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers: |  |
| Length, $\mathrm{I}, 680$ feet; width, 28 feet. |  |
| Grading | \$663 90 |
| Macadam surface, $\mathrm{I}, 680$ feet $\times 18$ feet $\times 9 \mathrm{in} .$. | r,870 00 |
| I vitrified pipe culvert 40 feet $\times 8$ inches diameter | 20 |
| I vitrified pipe culvert 36 feet x io inches. | 21 |
| I vitrified pipe culvert 38 feet $\times 27$ inches including one concrete end wall io feet long, average thickness i foot 9 inches. |  |
| 2 catch basins | 60 00 |
| Total cost of work | \$2,730 50 |
| Amount appropriated by city | 1,400 00 |
| State aid apportioned under section 6 | 1,050 00 |
| Joint fund. | ,450 00 |
| Additional amount furnished by city | 28050 |
| Net cost of work. | \$2,730 50 |
| Cost to city. | I,680 50 |
| State aid approved |  |

## BELFAST.

Contract No. I3. Contractor, city of Belfast; H. S. Cunningham, street commissioner; A. D. Hayes, city engineer; nature of improvement, grading, drainage, macadam surface and bituminous macadam surface; area, $\mathrm{I}, 354$ sq. yds.; cost per sq. yd., $\$ 0.83$; work begun August I3th; completed September 30th.

The section of state road improved begins at High street and extends northerly along Bridge street.

Ouantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
580 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.25.
816 2-3 sq. yds. bituminous macadam surface @ \$o.85.
536 2-3 sq. yds. macadam surface @ \$0.55.
20 lineal feet of 12 inch metal culvert @ \$1.15.
30 lineal feet of 12 inch metal culvert relaid @ \$0.20.
36 cu. yds. concrete masonry @ \$10.oo.
108 sq. yds. block paved gutters 3 feet wide @ \$1.06. .
I25 lineal feet of underdrain @ \$0.40.
Lump sum amount of contract. ..... \$I,8I2 00
Details and cost items compiled from certificatesof municipal officers:
Length, 580 feet; width, 21 feet. Grading ..... $\$ 16350$
Bituminous macadam surface 836 sq. yds., mac- adam surface 5 I 8 sq . yds ..... 1,125 10
50 feet io inch metal culvert ..... 5000
$40 \mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{yds}$. concrete masonry, culvert and wall ..... 37480
2 catch basins and blacksmith work ..... 9600
Engineering ..... 5750
Block paved gutters 103 feet $\times 3$ feet. ..... 130 63
Total cost of work ..... \$1,997 53
Amount appropriated by city ..... 1,100 00
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... 71200
Joint fund \$1,812 00
Additional amount furnished by city ..... 18553
Net cost of work \$1,997 53
Cost to city ..... 1,285 53
State aid approved $\$ 71200$

## BIDDEFORD.

Contract No. 43. Contractor, city of Biddeford; Clarence E. Richards, street commissioner; W. T. Allen, engineer; nature of improvement, grading, draining, macadam surface; area, 3,087 sq. yds. ; cost per sq. yd., $\$ 0.85$; work begun October 14th; completed November i2th.

The section of state road improved begins at the southwesterly end of the 1909 work and extends southwesterly along Elm street.

| Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1,324 lineal feet of road graded. |  |
| 3,087 sq. yds. of macadam road (including grading) @ \$o.8o. 5 catch basins @ \$25.00. |  |
| Lump sum amount of contract | \$2,625 00 |
| Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers : |  |
| Length, $\mathrm{I}, 324$ feet; width, 21 feet; depth, 10 inches. |  |
| Grading | \$327 50 |
| Macadam surface | 2,130 20 |
| Catch basins | 10000 |
| Engineering and advertising | 68 00 |
| Total cost of work | \$2,625 70 |
| Amount appropriated by city, section | 1,500 00 |
| State aid apportioned, section 6. | 1,125 00 |
| Joint fund | \$2,625 00 |
| Additional amount furnished by city | 70 |
| Net cost of work. | \$2,625 70 |
| Cost to city. | 1,500 70 |
| State aid approved. | \$1,125 00 |

BREWER.
Contract No. 34. Contractor, city of Brewer; S. D. Copeland, road commissioner; R. E. Mullaney, engineer; nature of improvement, grading, drainage and macadam surface; area, 3,333 sq. yds.; cost per sq. yd., $\$ 0.585$; work begun August 30th ; completed October 20th.

The section of state road imprged begins at station 34 of the 1910 plan, opposite the cemetery, and extends southerly.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department: 1,500 lineal feet of road graded @ \$o.io.
3,333 sq. yds. macadam surface @ \$o.35.
2 cu. yds. concrete masonry end walls @ \$8.00.
2 drop inlets @ \$15.00.
Lump sum amount of contract................... \$1,438 12

Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, I,500 feet; width, 40 feet.
Grading ........................................ \$750 00
Concrete end walls ............................... 1600
Drop inlets........................................ . 3000
Macadam surface.................................. 1,20084
Total cost of work.......................... . $\$ 1,99684$
Amount appropriated by city..................... 838 12
State aid apportioned under section $6 \ldots . . . .$. . 60000
Joint fund
\$1,438 12
Additional amount furnished by city
55872
Net cost of work
\$1,996 84
Cost to city........................................ I,396 84
State aid approved.
$\$ 60000$

## BRIDGTON.

Contract No. 42. Contractor, town of Bridgton; John S. Ames, road commissioner; D. Eugene Chaplin, engineer; nature of improvement, grading, drainage, gravel surface ; area, 4,166 sq. yds.; cost per sq. yd., \$o.355; work begun August 29th; completed October 22nd.

The section of state road improved begins at the old Sandy Creek road and extends southerly along the Portland road toward Naples.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
2,500 feet of grading @ \$0.26.
4,166 sq. yds. of gravel surface @ \$0.II.
48 lineal feet of guardrail @ \$0.30.
400 lineal feet of underdrain \$0.40.
One cement stone culvert $18^{\prime \prime} \times 24^{\prime \prime} \times 26$ feet, $\$ 50.00$.
One cement stone culvert $36^{\prime \prime} \times 36^{\prime \prime} \times 30$ feet, $\$ 150.00$.
Lump sum amount of contract.................. \$I,471 00
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, 2,500 feet; width, 2I feet; depth, 4 inches. Grading ..... 30000
Gravel surface $2,500 \mathrm{ft}$. x I 5 ft . x 4 in . ..... 48000
Guardrail (built by town).
Underdrain, 1,000 feet ..... 38669
Clearing ..... 233 I3
Cement stone culvert $18^{\prime \prime} \times 24^{\prime \prime} \times 26$ feet ..... 6000
Cement stone culvert $36^{\prime \prime} \times 36^{\prime \prime} \times 30$ feet ..... 12000
Engineering and advertising ..... 7787
Total cost of work \$I,657 69
Amount appropriated by town, section 4 ..... 60000
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... 48000
Joint fund ..... \$1,080 00
Net cost of work ..... 1,657 69
Cost to town ..... 1,177 69
State aid approved $\$ 480 \quad 00$
CALAIS.
Contract No. 17. Contractor, city of Calais; Ansley P. Gard-ner, street commissioner; Charles F. Pray, engineer; nature ofimprovement, grading, drainage, macadam surface; area, 3,267sq. yds.; cost per sq. yd., \$o.528; work begun August 26th;completed October 20th.The section of state road improved begins at Union streetand extends along North street to Chandler street.Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:I,400 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.5237.3,267 sq. yds. macadam surface @ \$0.25.Lump sum amount of contract................... \$1,550 00Details and cost items compiled from certificatesof municipal officers:
Length, 1,400 feet ; width, 21 feet; depth, 8 inches. Grading ..... \$619 56
Macadam surface ..... 97597
Engineering ..... 3000
Total cost of work ..... \$1,725 53
Amount appropriated by city, section 4 ..... 90000
State aid apportioned, section 6 ..... 675 oo
Joint fund \$1,575 00
Additional amount furnished by city ..... 15053
Net cost of work ..... \$I,725 53
Cost to city ..... 1,050 53
State aid approved $\$ 675$ oo

## CARIBOU.

Contract No. 4. Contractor, town of Caribou; E. F. Shaw, road commissioner ; P. L. Hardison, engineer; rature of improvement, grading, drainage and macadam surface ; area, 2,319 sq. yds.; cost per sq. yd., $\$ 0.85$; work begun June 13th; completed August 6th.

The section of state road improved begins at the west end of the 1909 work and extends west 293 feet, also beginning 240 feet east of Farnham brook and extending west 480 feet.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
773 lineal feet of road graded @ \$o.33.
2,333 sq. yds. of macadam surface @ $\$ 0.46$.
40 feet of 30 -inch metal culvert @ $\$ 2.75$.
40 feet of 12 -inch metal culvert @ \$0.90.
8.3 cu. yds. concrete culvert ends @ $\$ 6.00$.

100 ft . "V" drain @ \$0.45.
I catch basin $\$ 30.00$.
Lump sum amount of contract.................... \$1,669 96
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, 773 feet; width, 27 feet.
Grading .......................................... . 49000
Underdrainage ...................................... 100 оо
Macadam surface.................................. 80000
Clearing ........................................... 100 оо
Rolling .......................................... 200 oo
Guardrail ....................................... io 00
Metal culvert, 30 inches diameter, 40 feet long... 1 io oo
Metal culvert, i2 inches diameter, 40 feet long... 3600
Concrete endwalls, 40 ft . long, 5 ft . high, 9 in . thick ..... 9750
Reinforcement for walls ..... 10 00
Engineering and advertising ..... 5000
Other work ..... 23050
Total cost of work ..... \$2,234 00
Amount appropriated by town, section 4 ..... 75000
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... 56200
Unexpended balance from 1909 ..... 35796
Joint fund ..... \$1,669 96
Additional amount furnished by town ..... 56404
Net cost of work \$2,234 00
Cost to town ..... I,3I4 04
State aid approved ..... $\$ 91996$

## CAMDEN.

Contract No. 52. Contractor, town of Camden; Fred B. Annis, street commissioner ; F. H. Marshall, engineer ; nature of improvement, grading, drainage, broken stone and gravel surface ; area, 3,033 sq. yds. ; cost per sq. yd., $\$ 0.502$; work begun October 1st; completed November igth.

The section of state road improved begins at the easterly end of the 1908 section and extends easterly.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
1,050 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.42.
2,450 sq. yds. of stone and gravel surface @ $\$ 0.36$.
44 lineal feet of 12 -inch metal culvert @ \$1.20. 2 cubic yards of concrete end walls @ \$8.oo.
Lump sum amount of contract.................. \$1,48700
Details and cost items compiled from certificates
of municipal officers:
Length, $\mathrm{I}, 300$ feet; width, 2 I feet; depth, 9 inches.
Grading
77 00
Gravel and lime rock surface................... r,438 oo
Vitrified pipe drain, 8 inches diameter, 417 feet long
Metal culvert ro-inch diameter, 38 feet long ..... 2800
Metal culvert 12 -inch diameter 44 feet long. ..... 3520
Metal culvert 6 -inch diameter 26 feet long ..... IO 40
2 small concrete catch basins with covers ..... 2850
Rolling ..... 4000
Engineering and advertising ..... 3850
Total cost of work ..... \$1,883 25
Appropriated by town, section 4 ..... 85000
State aid apportioned, section 6 ..... 63700
Joint fund \$1,487 00
Additional amount furnished by town ..... $396 \quad 25$
Net cost of work ..... \$1,883 25
Cost to town ..... 1,246 25
State aid approved ..... $\$ 63700$
DEXTER.Contract No. 39. Contractor, town of Dexter ; G. D. Chan-dler, road commissioner; Walter B. Gould, engineer; nature ofimprovement, underdrainage and macadam surface ; area, r,661sq. yds. ; cost per sq. yd., \$0.70; work begun August 17th ; com-pleted September 2ist.

The section of state road improved begins at Center streetand extends northerly along Spring street.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
700 lineal feet of road graded @ $\$ 0.25$.
1,633 sq. yds. of macadam surface @ \$0.36. 700 lineal feet of "V" drain @ \$0.60.
Lump sum amount of contract.................. \$1,209 91
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, 712 feet; width, 25 feet. Grading
Macadam surface, 712 ft . long, 21 ft . wide ..... 64020
Underdrainage ..... 35I 64
Engineering and advertising ..... 450
Other work ..... 74 18
Total cost of work ..... \$1,239 67:
Amount appropriated by town, section 4 ..... 550 oo
Unexpended balance from 1909 ..... 192 91
State aid apportioned, section 6 ..... 467 oo
Joint fund ..... \$1,209 91
Additional amount furnished by town. ..... 2976
Net cost of work ..... \$1,239 67
Cost to town ..... 57976
State aid approved $\$ 659$ 91
DOVER.
Contract No. 33. Contractor, town of Dover; W. S. Judkins,road commissioner ; E. J. Smith, engineer ; nature of improve-ment, grading and macadam surface; area, $\mathrm{I}, 820 \mathrm{sq}$. yds. ; costper sq. yd., $\$ 0.66$; work begun September 23d ; completed Oc-tober 24th.

The section of state road improved begins at the easterly end of the 1908 section of state road and extends easterly along Main street.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
450 lineal feet of road graded @ \$o.io.$1,500 \mathrm{sq}$. yds. of macadam surface @ \$0.50.400 lineal feet of underdrainage @ \$o.6o.
Lump sum amount of contract ..... \$1,199 41
Details and cost items compiled from certificatesof municipal officers:
Length, 455 feet ; width, 36 feet. Grading, 530 feet ; width, 42 feet ..... 6425
Underdrainage ..... 19800
Macadam surface, 455 feet long, 36 feet wide, 9 inches deep ..... 932 or
Metal culvert, 8 inches diameter, 14 feet long ..... 9 IO
Brick and cement ..... 370
Engineering and advertising ..... $155^{\circ}$Total cost of work.\$1,222 56
Amount appropriated by town ..... 50000
Unexpended balance from 1909 ..... 239 4I
State aid apportioned under section 6. ..... 460 oo
Joint fund \$I,199 4I
Additional amount furnished by town ..... 2315
Net cost of work ..... \$1,222 56
Cost to town ..... 52315
State aid approved ..... $\$ 6994 \mathrm{I}$
EAST LIVERMORE.
Contract No. 22. Contractor, town of East Livermore;George W. Dyke, road commissioner; I. T. Monroe, engineer;nature of improvement, grading and macadam surface; area,2,375 sq. yds. ; cost per sq. yd., \$0.455; work begun August 15 th ;completed October ist.
The section of state road improved begins at the Maine Central Railroad crossing of Main street and extends northerly.
Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
360 lineal feet of road graded.I, 633 sq. yds. of macadam surface @ \$o.50.62 lineal feet of 12 -inch metal culvert @ \$1.0o.3 cubic yards concrete end walls@\$8.00.I 32.5 sq. yds. cobble paved gutters @ \$r.oo.I catch basin $\$ 30.00$.
Lump sum amount of contract ..... $\$ \mathrm{I}, 08000$
Details and cost items compiled from certificatesof municipal officers:
Length, 475 feet; width, 45 feet.
Macadam surface 475 feet long, 45 feet wide;cobble gutter 8io feet long, 4 feet wide ; concreteend wallsI,08I 84
Iron culverts, 16 inches diameter, 75 feet long ..... 2625
Engineering ..... 400Total cost of work\$1,112 09Note: After the macadam surface had been completed thetown at its own expense applied a surface treatmentof Tarvia. Cost of Tarvia, $\$ 360.08$.
Amount appropriated by town, section 4 ..... $\$ 60000$
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... 480 oo
Joint fund \$1,080 00
Net cost of work ..... 1,112 09
Cost to town ..... 63209
State aid approved $\$ 48000$
EASTPORT.Contract No. 47. Contractor, city of Eastport; T. H. Buck-nam, street commissioner ; C. F. Pray, engineer; nature of im-provement, grading, drainage and gravel surface; area, 4,750 sq.yds. ; cost per sq. yd., \$o.22; work begun September ist ; com-pleted October 30th.

The section of state road improved begins at the northwesterly end of the 1909 section and extends northwesterly.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
1,800 lineal feet of road graded @ \$o.i29.
4,200 sq. yds. of gravel surface @ \$0.i89.
26 lineal feet of r8-inch metal culvert @ \$1.55.
$3 \mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{yds}$. of concrete end walls @ \$8.00.
Lump sum amount of contract . ................... \$I, 3 , 8 oo
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Grading, 260 feet $\times 40$ feet $\times 3.5$ feet ..... $\$ 42000$
Gravel surface, 2,375 feet $\times 18$ feet $x$ io inches. ..... 44085
Clearing, 2,375 feet $\times 30$ feet ..... II3 25
Metal culvert, 34 feet $\times \mathrm{I} 8$ inches ..... 39 го
Concrete ends, 4 feet $\times 3$ feet $\times 3$ feet ..... 4679
Incidentals ..... 4875
Engineering ..... 2000
Total cost of work ..... \$I,128 74
Amount appropriated by city ..... 65000
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... $488 \quad 00$
Joint fund ..... $\$ \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I} 3800$
Net cost of work ..... 1,128 74
Cost to town ..... 65000
State aid approved ..... $\$ 47874$
Unexpended balance available for expenditure in 1911 ..... \$9 26
EDENContract No. 3. Contractor, H. F. Emery ; E. I. Lord, engi-neer ; nature of improvement, grading, drainage, macadam sur-face ; area, 2,936 sq. yds. ; cost per sq. yd., $\$ 0.806$; work begunJune 16th; completed June 29th.The section of road improved begins 150 feet south of Duckbrook and extends northerly.
Quantities and unit prices submitted by the contractor:
725 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.14.2,936 sq. yds. macadam surface @ \$0.75.43 lineal feet 8 -inch metal culvert @ \$1.io.
I catch basin $\$ 30.00$.
Lump sum amount of contract ..... \$2,4II 05Details and cost items compiled from certificatesof municipal officers:
Length, 725 feet; width, 34 feet; depth, 8 inches. Amount of contract ..... \$2,4II 05
Engineering and advertising ..... 33 91
Total cost of work ..... \$2,444 96
Amount appropriated by town, section 4 ..... 1,500 00
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... 97500
Joint fund ..... \$2,475 00
Net cost of work ..... 2,444 96
Cost to town ..... 1,500 00
State aid approved ..... $\$ 94496$
Unexpended balance available for expenditure in I9II ..... $\$ 3004$

## FAIRFIELD.

Contract No. I. Contractor, town of Fairfield; Frederic H. E. Bragg, street commissioner; Greene \& Wilson, engineers; nature of improvement, drainage, grading and gravel surface; area, 2,500 sq. yds.; cost per sq. yd. $\$ \mathrm{I} .325$; work begun June IIth; completed September 17th.

The section of state road improved begins at the road leading from Waterville to Fairfield Center and extends toward Fairfield.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
r,500 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.14.
2,500 sq. yds. of gravel surface @ \$0.15.
960 lineal feet of "V" drain @ \$0.40.
I cement stone masonry culvert with concrete top \$150 00
Lump sum amount of contract $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$............ \$1,138 oo
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, $\mathrm{I}, 500$ feet; width, 22 feet.
Grading, 960 feet, 12 feet wide................... \$1,213 05
"V" drain, 960 feet, i2 feet wide................. 72776
raval surface, 1,500 feet $\times 15 \mathrm{ft}$. $\times 8$ inches.... $\mathrm{I}, 37308$
Clearing ......................................... II8 . 59
Concrete culvert, 18 in. $\times 36$ in. x 30 ft ......... 20536
Engineering and advertising ..................... 14890
Total cost of work . ................................ \$3,786 74
Amount appropriated by town $\ldots \ldots . . . . .$. ...... 65000
State aid apportioned under section 6.......... 488 oo

Additional amount furnished by town ........... 2,648 74
Net cost of work . .................................. $\$ 3,78674$
Cost to town ...................................... 3, 3,149 84
State aid approved ............................. 488 oo
State aid, special engineering inspection ........ 14890

## FARMINGTON.

Contract No. 27. Contractor, town of Farmington; W. L. Butler, in charge of work; W. G. Mallet, engineer; nature of improvement, underdrainage and gravel surface; area, $2,000 \mathrm{sq}$. yds.; cost per sq. yd. \$0.64; work begun August 8th; completed September 17th.

The section of state road improved begins at the cemetery gate on the Farmington Falls road and extends southerly.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
800 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.12.
1,333 sq. yds. gravel surface @ \$0.57.
800 lineal feet of "V" drain @ \$0.50.
Lump sum amount of contract $\ldots \ldots . . . . . .$. . \$1,278 29
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Underdrainage
$\$ 74756$
Earth, stone and gravel surfacing, $\mathbf{I}, 200$ feet long 15 feet wide, 9 inches deep 50988
Metal culvert 8 inches diameter, 42 feet long ..... 23 Io
Meadow hay, blacksmithing, oil, lights, etc., ..... 26 91
Total cost of work ..... \$1,307 45
Amount appropriated by town ..... 70000
Unexpended balance from 1909 ..... 5329
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... 52500
Joint fund ..... \$1,278 29
Additional amount furnished by town ..... 2916
Net cost of work ..... \$1,307 45
Cost to town ..... 72916
State aid approved ..... $\$ 578$ ..... 29

## FORT FAIRFIELD.

Contract No. 19. Contractor, town of Fort Fairfield; Chas. J. Knight, road commissioner ; P. L. Hardison, engineer ; nature of improvement, grading, drainage and macadam suriace; area, 1,664 sq. yds.; cost per sq. yd. \$0.70; work begun July 23rd; completed August 27th.

The section of state road improved begins at the Bangor and Aroostook crossing on the Presque Isle road and extends northeasterly.
Quantities and unit prices estimated by department:
832 lineal feet of road graded @ \$o.2o.
1,664 sq. yds. of macadam surface @ \$0.45.
400 lineal feet of "V" drain @ \$o.50.
64 lineal feet of 16 -inch metal culvert @ \$2.70.
6 cu. yds. concrete masonry @ \$8.oo.
325 lineal feet of guard rail @ $\$ 0.25$.
Lump sum amount of contract .................
\$1,487 oo
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, 832 feet ; width, 32 feet.
Grading, 832 feet ................................. $\$ 16640$
"V" drain, 500 feet ............................. 250 oo
Macadam surface, 1,664 sq. yds. @ \$0.45 ....... 74880
Metal culvert, 16 inches diameter, 64 feet long.
Metal culvert, 30 inches diameter, 32 feet long.. 19260
Concrete end walls ............................... . 48 oo
Guard rail, 325 feet long .......................... 8 . 20
Total cost of work ............................... . \$1,487 oo
Amount appropriated by town ................ 850 oo
State aid apportioned under section 6............ 63700

| Joint fund | \$1,487 оо |
| :---: | :---: |
| Net cost of work | 1,487 00 |
| Cost to town | 850 oo |

State aid approved
$\$ 637$ oo

## FREEPORT.

Contract No. 45. Contractor, town of Freeport ; S. H. Fitts, road commissioner; Stephen Litchfield, engineer; nature of improvement, grading, drainage and macadam surface ; area, I. 633 sq. yds.; cost per sq. yd., $\$ 0.526$; work begun September 24th; completed October 20th.

The section of state road improved begins at the East end of the 1909 work on Main street near the residence of W. M. Bailey and extends easterly to the Baptist church.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department: 700 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.20. I,633 sq. yds of macadam surface @ \$0.42. I 30 lineal feet 10 -inch vitrified pipe @ \$o.70.
2 catch basins @ \$30.oo.
3 catch basins to be adjusted @ \$5.00.
Lump sum amount of contract .................. \$1,or7 oo
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, 700 feet; width, 21 feet, not including electric railroad track.
Grading ............................................ \$260 10
${ }^{3} 30$ feet vitrified pipe 4860
Macallam surface, 700 feet long, 2I feet wide.... 813 2I
Engineering and advertising .................... 5000
Catch basins ....................................... 5883
Total cost of work ................................ \$1,230 74
Credit Portland-Brunswick Electric R. R....... 21400
Net cost of work.............................. \$1,016 74
Amount appropriated by town................... 550 oo
State aid apportioned under section $6 \ldots \ldots . \ldots$...... 46700


Cost to town........................................... 55000
State aid approved
$\$ 46674$
Unexpended balance available for expenditure in
I9II ....................................................... 26

## GORHAM.

Contract No. 37. Contractor, town of Gorham; W. H. Diran, in charge of work: H. W. Grant, engineer; nature of improvement, grading, underdrainage and gravel surface; area, 2n母า sn. yds.; cost per sq. yd., \$0.428; work begun August 29th; completed October 15th.

The section of state road improved begins at the west end of the 1909 section and extends westerly toward Gorham village.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
1,200 lineal feet of road graded @ \$o.i8.
2,000 sq. yds. of gravel surface @ \$0.30.
650 lineal feet of stone base with side outlets @ \$0.37.
Lump sum amount of contract................... \$1,085 48
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, $\mathrm{I}, 250$ feet ; width, 23 feet.
Grading
\$I44 27
Stone base, 800 ft . long, 9 inches deep.......... 105 oo
Gravel surface $\mathbf{I}, 250$ feet long, 15 feet wide..... 64 I 75
Metal culvert, io inches in diameter, 20 ft . long. . 2520
Engineering and advertising.................... $30^{\circ} 48$
Other work .................................. 21 oo
Total cost of work........................... $\$ 96770$
Amount appropriated by town ................. 60000
Unexpended balance from 1909.................. 548
State aid apportioned under section 6.......... 480 oo

Net cost of work ............................... $96770^{\circ}$.
Cost to town...................................... 60000
State aid approved
$\$ 36770$
Unexpended balance available for expenditure in I9II
\$117 78

## HALLOWELL.

Contract No. 9. Contractor, city of Hallowell; John Burns, street commissioner ; E. E. Greenwood \& Co., engineers; nature of improvement, grading and macadam surface ; area, 1,950 sq. yds. ; cost per sq. yd., \$o.627; work begun July 28th; completed August 22nd.
The section of state road improved begins at the south end of the 1909 section and extends south; and at the north end of the 1909 section and extends north.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:

I,94I sq. yds. of road graded @ \$o. io.
I,94I sq. yds. of macadam surface @ \$0.45.
Lump sum amount of contract. ................. \$1,080 00
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, 437 feet; width, 39 feet.
Grading
\$195 00
Surfacing, 437 feet long, 39 feet wide, 6 inches deep 1,028 80
Engineering 1710

| Total cost of w | \$I,240 90 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Amount appropriated by city. | 60000 |
| State aid apportioned under section 6. | 48000 |
| Joint fund | \$1,080 00 |
| Additional amount furnished by city. | 16090 |
| Net cost of work. | \$1,240 90 |
| Cost to city . . . . . . . . . . . . L. | 76090 |
| State aid approved. | \$480 00 |

## HOULTON.

Contract No. 20. Contractor, town of Houlton; A. H. Porter, road commissioner; P. N. Burleigh, engineer; nature of improvement, grading, drainage and macadam surface; area, 3,422 sq. yds.; cost per sq. yd., \$o.55I ; work begun July 6th; completed July 28 th.

The section of road improved begins at the southerly end of the 1909 work and extends southerly.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
r,400 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.07.
2,800 sq. yds. of macadam surface @ \$0.36.
56 lineal feet of 12 -inch metal culvert @ \$1.00.
28 lineal feet of r6-inch metal culvert @ \$1.30.
7 cu. yds. concrete end walls @ \$8.00.
300 lineal feet of "V" drain @ \$0.40.
Concrete arch culvert, 6-ft. span, 30 feet long, \$350.00.
Lump sum amount of contract. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$1,750 00


Houlton. Concrete culvert on 1910 State Road

| Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Length, $\mathrm{r}, 400$ feet; width, 22 feet. <br> 200 feet " $V$ " drain, 15 feet wide, 15 inches dèp. . | \$187 50 |
| Gravel surface, I,400 feet long, 22 feet wide, 3 inches deep | 20000 |
| Macadam surface, I,400 feet long, 2I feet wide, 8 inches deep | 1,500 00 |
| Metal culverts, 12 -inch diameter, 84 feet long... | 4200 |
| Concrete end walls, 20.5 feet $\times 12$ inches $\times 3.5$ feet | 2250 |
| Concrete bridge, material, \$200.00, labor, \$100.00 | 300 oo |
| Total cost of work | \$2,252 00 |
| Amount appropriated by town | 1,000 00 |
| State aid apportioned, section 6 | 75000 |
| Joint fund | \$1,750 00 |
| Additional amount furnished by town | 50200 |
| Net cost of work | 2,252 00 |
| Cost to town | 1,502 00 |
| State aid approved |  |

JAY.

Contract No. 48. Town of Jay, contractor; E. P. Bryant, road commissioner ; I. T. Monroe, engineer ; nature of improvement, grading, drainage and macadam surface; area, $1,916 \mathrm{sq}$. yds.; cost per sq. yd., $\$ \mathrm{\$} .635$; work begun October ist; completed November i2th.

The section of state road improved begins at the first railroad crossing of the state road south of the Jay railroad station and extends northerly toward Jay station.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
1,100 feet of road graded @ \$o.io.
1,833 sq. yds. macadam surface @ \$0.50.
26 lineal feet of 12 -inch metal culvert @ \$1.20.
2 cu . yds. concrete masonry @ $\$ 8.00$. 150 lineal feet of "V" drain @ \$0.70.
Lump sum amount of contract.................. \$1,225 00

## Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:

Length, I, 150 ; width, 2I feet.
Grading . ........................................... . . $\$ 6868$
I50 lineal feet "V" drain........................ 10445
Metal culvert io-inch diameter, 26 feet long..... 17 8I
Macadam surface and concrete end walls........ 1,04462
Total cost of work. ............................ \$1,235 56
Amount appropriated by town.................. 70000
State aid apportioned under section 6. . . . . . . . . . 52500
Joint fund . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$1,225 00
Additional amount furnished by town. . . . . . . . . . . 50 Io 56
Net cost of work. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$, 235 5
Cost to town. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 7 . 5 10 56

State aid approved
$\$ 52500$

## KENNEBUNK.

Contract No. 24. Contractor, town of Kennebunk ; A. J. Wiggin, in charge of work; W. S. Marsh, engineer; nature of improvement, grading, drainage and gravel surface; area, ir,657 sq. yds.; cost per sq. yd., \$0.20; work begun September 30th; completed November 25th.
The section of state road improved begins at the Mousam river bridge and extends southwesterly.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
2,896 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.267.
4,826 sq. yds. of new gravel road @ \$0.412.
1,833 sq. yds. of old gravel road re-shaped, trimmed and rolled @ \$0.15.
21 lineal feet of i2-inch metal culvert with one concrete end and one drop inlet complete, $\$ 57.05$.
Lump sum amount of contract.
\$3,080 37
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, 4,996 feet; width, 21 ft . to 25 ft .
Grading ..... $\$ 7600$
Stone base $\mathrm{I}, 000$ feet x I 3.5 feet x 8 inches. ..... 20000
Gravel surface, 4,296 feet $\times 15$ feet to 21 feet wide $x 8$ inches to 24 inches in depth ..... 1,680 15
Macadam surface, 700 feet $x$ i5 feet to 24 feet wide $\times 5$ inches deep ..... 33132
Clearing and side ditch, 1,000 feet. ..... 2500
Guardrails, 128 feet, wood ..... 1482
Metal culvert, 12 inches diameter, 22 feet long ..... 3672
Metal culvert, 24 inches diameter, 21 feet long ..... 2570
Cement stone masonry culvert, 24 inches $\mathbf{x} 30$ inches $\times 26$ feet ..... 2400
Advertising ..... 600
Surveying, 1909 ..... 1600
Total cost of work ..... \$2,435 71
Amount appropriated by town in 1909 ..... 91050
Amount appropriated by town in igio. ..... 68287
State aid apportioned under section 6, 1909 ..... 850 oo
State aid apportioned under section 6, ig1o. ..... 63700
Joint funds ..... \$3,080 37
Net cost of work ..... 2,435 71
Cost to town ..... 1,585 71
State aid approved $\$ 850$ o
Unexpended balance available for expenditure in 1911 ..... 64429
Town's part of unexpended balance ..... 729
State aid carried over and available in rgri. ..... $\$ 637$ oo

## LEWISTON.

Contract No. 12. Contractor, city of Lewiston; John J. Ryan, superintendent of streets; R. A. Swift, engineer; nature of improvement, grading and bituminous macadam surface; area, 4,942 sq. yds.; cost per sq. yd., \$0.814; work begun July 28th ; completed October 22nd.

The section of state road improved begins at Union street and extends northerly along Main street to the south side of Curtis street.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
1,200 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.2r.
4,192 sq. yds. of bituminous macadam surface @ \$0.90.
Lump sum amount of contract.................. \$4,025 00
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, $\mathrm{I}, 400$ feet; width, 4 I .5 feet.
Grading and 5 -inch bottom course of macadam. . $\quad \$ 1,22547$
Bituminous macadam surface, 2 inches deep.... 2,799 53
Total cost of work. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 4,025$ oo
Amount appropriated by city.................... $\$ 2,300$ oo
State aid apportioned under section $6 \ldots \ldots \ldots$. 1,72500

Net cost of work. ................................... . $\$ 4,025$ oo
Cost to city....................................... 2,30000
State aid approved......................... \$1,725 oo

## LISBON.

Contract No. 50. Contractor, town of Lisbon; George H. McIntosh, road commissioner ; Stephen Litchfield, engineer ; nature of improvement, grading, drainage and gravel surface; area, 4,800 sq. yds.; cost per sq. yd., \$0.208; work begun September 19th; completed October 21st.

The section of state road improved begins at the northeasterly end of the 1909 work and extends northeasterly to a bridge near the old Cowan Tavern, but does not include bridge in this section.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the deparment:
r,797 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.1544.
4,792 sq. yds. gravel surface @ \$0.135.
35 lineal feet of 12 -inch metal culvert @ \$1.2o.
2 cubic yards of concrete masonry end walls @ $\$ 8.00$.
Lump sum amount of contract
\$1,400 oo
Details and cost items compiled from certificatesof municipal officers:
Length, I,800 feet; width, 24 feet. Grading ..... $\$ 16750$
Gravel surface, 1,800 feet long, 24 feet wide, 12 inches deep ..... 65656
Clearing ..... 10 00
Metal culvert, 36 feet long, 12 inches diameter, with 2 concrete end walls, each 5 feet $\times 13.5$ inches ..... 1500
Engineering ..... 4400

- Other expenses ..... r 5996
Total cost of work ..... \$1,053 02
Amount appropriated by town ..... $\$ 800$ oo
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... 600 oo
Joint fund \$1,400 oo
Net cost of work ..... \$I,053 02
Cost to town ..... 80000
State aid approved ..... \$253 02
Unexpended balance available for expenditure in I9II ..... 34698


## MADISON.

Contract No. 44. Contractor, town of Madison ; B. F. Burns, road commissioner; Snow \& Humphreys, engineers; nature of improvement, grading and Tarvia macadam surface; area, 3,189 sq. yds.; cost per sq. yd., \$r.ori; work begun August 15th, completed October irth.

The section of state road improved begins at Madison street and extends easterly along Main street.

The work contracted for covered a distance of 250 feet, but the town of Madison made a special appropriation and extended the same construction to the westerly side of Weston avenue.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department: 250 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.40. 1,236 sq. yds. Tarvia macadam surface @ \$0.89. Lump sum amount of contractDetails and cost items compiled from certificatesof municipal officers:Length, 645 feet ; width, 44.5 feet.Labor\$1,158 68
Material ..... 2,068 00
Total cost of work ..... \$3,226 68
Amount appropriated by town. ..... $\$ 70000$
State aid appropriated under Section 6 ..... 52500
Joint fund ..... \$1,225 00
Additional amount furnished by town ..... 2,001 68
Net cost of work ..... \$3,226 68
Cost to town ..... 2,701 68
State aid approved ..... $\$ 52500$
MILLINOCKET.
Contract No. 29. Contractor, town of Millinocket; F. M.Gates, Road Commissioner; H. S. Ferguson, Engineer; na-ture of improvement, grading and gravel surface; area, 5000sq. yds. ; cost per sq. yd., \$0.214; work begun August 9th, com-pleted September 28th.The section of state road improved begins at Highland ave-nue and extends along Central street and Katahdin avenue.Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:1,500 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.16.5,000 sq. yds. of gravel surface @ \$0.15.Lump sum amount of contract\$r,017 00Details and cost items compiled from certificatesof municipal officers:
Length, $\mathrm{I}, 500$ feet; width, 30 feet.Grading$\$ 260$ 10
Gravel surface, $\mathrm{r}, 500$ feet, width, 30 feet, depth4 inches75000
Engineering ..... 4497
Other work ..... 1625
Total cost of work ..... \$1,07I 32


Sebec. I9Io section of State Road. Gravel
Amount appropriated by town ..... $\$ 550$ oo
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... 46700
Joint fund ..... \$1,017 00
Additional amount furnished by town ..... 5432
Net cost of work ..... \$1,071 32
Cost to town ..... 60432
State aid approved $\$ 46700$
MILOContract No. 21. Contractor, town of Milo; Frank E. Gould,road commissioner; R. E. Mullaney, engineer; nature ofimprovement, grading and gravel surface; area, 3,500 sq.yds.; cost per sq. yd., \$o.26; work begun September i2th, com-pleted October 29th.The section of state road improved begins at station zero ofthe igio plan and extends easterly along Pleasant street.Quantities and unit prices estimated by the de-partment:1,000 lineal feet of road graded @ \$o.i4.2,000 sq. yds. of gravel surface @ \$0.35.300 feet of stone base, 12 feet wide, 12 inchesdeep, @ \$o.44.
Lump sum amount of contract ..... \$1,014 25Details and cost items compiled from certificatesof municipal officers:Length, $\mathrm{I}, 500$ feet; width, 2I feet.Grading$\$ 5574$
Stone base, 335 feet long, 12 feet wide, 12 inches deep ..... 11226
Gravel surface, 1,500 feet long, 21 feet wide, 15 inches deep ..... 64163
Concrete culvert, 30 feet long, 20 inches wide, 18 inches high ..... 81 82
Engineering and advertising ..... 2435
Other work ..... 10250
Total cost of work ..... \$1,018 30
Amount appropriated by town ..... $\$ 500$ oo
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... 460 oo
Unexpended balance from 1909 ..... 5425
Joint fund \$1,o14 25
Additional amount furnished by town ..... 405
Net cost of work \$I,or8 30
Cost to town ..... 50405
State aid approved ..... $\$ 51425$
MT. DESERT (1909 contract).

Contract No. 48. (See 1909 report, page 63) ; work begun in 1909, not completed and accepted until 1910. Area, 2,518 sq. yds.; cost per sq. yd., \$o.506; work begun November ist, 1909; completed December 23rd, 1909.
Lump sum amount of contract, $\$ 1,256.71$.
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{o}$ feet, width, 22 feet Gravel surface 4 inches deep .................... \$202 40
Grading ......................................... 1,072 17
Metal culvert. Length, 24 feet; diameter, 15 inches

2470
Guardrail, 750 feet......................... . 7120
Total cost of work ............................ \$I,370 47
Amount set aside and appropriated by town..... $\$ 73350$
Unexpended balance from 1908 ............... 5309
State aid apportioned under section $6 \ldots . .$. ... 550 12

Additional amount furnished by town .......... 3376
Net cost of work ................................... \$1,370 47.
Cost to town ....................................... . 76726
State aid approved .................................. \$603 2r

## MT. DESERT.

Contract No. 54. Contractor, town of Mt. Desert; Joseph W. Small, in charge of work; C. P. Simpson, engineer ; nature of improvement, grading, drainage, gravel surface.

The section of State road improved begins at the west end of the 1908 section of road and extends northwesterly toward Northeast Harbor.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department: 900 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.87. 1,500 sq. yds. of gravel surface @ \$0.15. 26 lineal feet of 18 inch metal culvert, $\$ 3$ r.oo.
26 lineal feet of 16 inch metal culvert, \$27.00. 26 lineal feet of 16 inch metal culvert (relaid). 6 concrete masonry end walls, $\$ 40.00$. 585 lineal feet of wooden guardrail @ \$o.ro. Lump sum amount of contract $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. ............. $\$ 1,22500$
Amount appropriated by town.................... \$700 oo
State aid apportioned under section $6 \ldots \ldots .$. . 52500
Joint fund
\$1,225 oo
Work not completed.

## NORWAY.

Contract No. 25. Contractor, town of Norway; E. D. Millett, road commissioner ; J. H. Stuart, engineer; nature of improvement, grading, drainage, gravel surface; area, 2,602 square yards; cost per square yard, $\$ 0.345$; work begun August 15th, completed October 17th.

The section of State road improved begins at the west end of the 1909 section and extends westerly.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department: 1,000 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.06.
I,666 square yards of gravel surface. @ \$o.25.
r,ooo lineal feet of " $V$ " drain @ \$0.40.
2 cement stone culverts, each 2 feet x 2 feet $\times 25$
feet long @ \$2.00.
Extend culvert at station I, three feet @ \$2.00.
Extend and repair culvert at station 6, 5 feet @ \$2.00.

Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II} 5$ feet, width, 23 feet. Grading, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II} 5$ feet ..... \$303 97
"V" drain ..... 46750
Gravel surface, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II} 5$ feet $\times 21$ feet $\times 3$ inches ..... 9659
Clearing, I , 065 feet x 8 feet ..... II 50
Stone culverts, 2 feet $\times 2$ feet $\times 58$ feet ..... 13085
Engineering ..... 1820
Total cost of work ..... \$I,028 6I
Amount appropriated by town ..... $\$ 550$ oo
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... 46700
Unexpended balance from 1909 ..... 1 16
Joint fund ..... \$1,o18 16
Additional amount furnished by town ..... 10 45
Net cost of work \$1,028 61
Cost to town ..... 56045
State aid approved ..... \$468 16

## OLDTOWN

Contract No. 36. Contractor, city of Oldtown; Eben T. Hartwell, road commissioner; J. W. Sewall, engineer; nature of improvement, grading, drainage and macadam surface; area, 2,345 square yards; cost per square yard, $\$ 0,746$; work begun August 16th; completed September 22d.

The section of State road improved begins at the south end of the 1908 section of road and extends southerly along Main street to Congress street.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
1,000 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.22.
2,333 square yards macadam surface, @ \$0.50.
24 lineal feet of 16 inch metal culvert @ \$r.40. 200 lineal feet of stone and cinder underdrain @ \$0.40. I catch basin, \$25.00.
Lump sum amount of contract .................. \$1,575 00
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, I,005 feet, width, 2I feet. Grading ..... \$210 00
Stone base, 1,005 feet $\times 15$ feet x I 2 inches ..... 32181
Macadam surface, 1,005 feet $x 21$ feet $x$ io inches ..... 1,227 99
Metal culvert, 5 feet $\times 12$ inches ..... 1422
Metal culvert, 26 feet long, 16 inches diameter ..... 2600
Cement stone masonry culvert, 26 feet long x 20 inches x I8 inches high ..... $2 I 91$
Total cost of work ..... \$1,82I 93
Amount appropriated by city ..... $\$ 90000$
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... 675 oo
Joint fund ..... \$1,575 00
Additional amount furnished by town ..... 24693
Net cost of work ..... \$I,82I 93
Cost to town ..... I,I46 93
State aid approved ..... $\$ 675$ oo
ORONO.

Contract No. 6. Contractor, town of Orono; Llewellyn Spencer, road commissioner ; R. E. Mullaney, engineer ; nature of improvement, grading, drainage and gravel surface; area, 5000 square yards; cost per square yard, \$o.209; work begun June 20th; completed July 15th.

The section of State road improved begins at the cross-walk opposite the hotel and near the town hall, and extends southwesterly.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
r,200 lineal feet of road graded @ \$o.io.
3,333 square yards of gravel surface @ \$0.233.
roo lineal feet of "V" drain @ \$o.40.
1 catch basin, \$30.00.
Grading 200 lineal feet of surface ditch @ $\$ 0.05$.
Lump sum amount of contract
\$1,043 20Details and cost items compiled from certificatesof municipal officers:
length, $\mathrm{I}, 800$ feet, width, 25 feet.
Grading, $\mathrm{I}, 800$ feet $\times 25$ feet $\times 8$ inches ..... $\$ 54000$
"V" drain 100 feet $\times 12$ feet $\times 14$ inches ..... 11000
Gravel surface ..... 33175
Engineering ..... 5200
Incidental expenses ..... 945
Total cost of work ..... \$1,043 20
Amount appropriated by town ..... $\$ 550$ oo
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... 46700
Unexpended balance from 1909 ..... 2620
Joint fund ..... \$1,043 20
Net cost of work ..... \$1,043 20
Cost to town ..... 55000
State aid approved ..... $\$ 49320$
PARIS.

Contract No. 7. Contractor, town of Paris; W. B. Russell, road commissioner; J. H. Stuart, engineer ; nature of improvement, grading, drainage, gravel surface; area, 4028 square yards; cost per square yard, $\$ 0.215$; work begun August 3rd, completed September 20th.

The section of State road improved begins 100 feet north of the Grand Trunk Railway between Paris and Norway and at the north end of the 1909 section of State road and extends northerly.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department: 1,300 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.05. 3,6II square yards of gravel surface @ \$0.2r. 54 lineal feet of ro inch metal culvert @ \$o.80. 4 catch basins @ \$30.00. 204 lineal feet of to inch tile pipe @ \$0.30. Lump sum amount of contract


Houlton. 1909 section of State Road. Photo. taken June, i910. Macadam


Orono. I9Io section of State Road. Gravel
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, $\mathrm{I}, 450$ feet, width, 25 feet.
Grading ..... $\$ 1600$
Gravel surface ..... 81998
Metal culvert 27 feet $x$ io inches ..... 3106
Metal culvert 27 feet $\times 12$ inches ..... 3376
Catch basins ..... 46 21
Tile drain ..... 9989
Engineering ..... 33 10
Total cost of work \$1,080 oo
Amount appropriated by town ..... $\$ 600$ oo
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... 480 oo
Joint fund \$1,080 oo
Net cost of work. ..... \$1,080 oo
Cost to town 600State aid approved$\$ 48000$

## PITTSFIELD.

Contract No. 14. Contractor, town of Pittsfield; E. F. Pennell, in charge of the work; O. E. Libby, engineer; nature of improvement, grading, drainage, earth surface; area, 3,878 square yards; cost per square yard \$0.24; work begun August 15th; completed September 3oth.

The section of State road improved begins at the northerly end of the 1909 section and extends north; another section also improved begins at the southerly end of the 1908 section and extends southerly.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
1,625 lineal feet of road graded @ \$o.ro.
3,792 square yards of earth surface @ \$0.05.
60 cubic yards of cement stone masonry @ $\$ 2.00$.
I,625 lineal feet of "V" drain @ \$0.36.
Lump sum amount of contract .................. \$1,085 13
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
L,ength, 1,662 feet, width, 2I feet.
"V" drain, $\mathrm{x}, 662$ feet x 12 feet x 2 feet ..... \$921 79
48 feet cement stone culvert 3 feet $\times 18$ inches ..... 14542
Inspection ..... 1600
Engineering ..... 1000
Total cost of work ..... \$1,093 2 I
Amount appropriated by town ..... \$600 00
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... 48000
Unexpended balance from 1909 ..... 5 I3
Joint fund ..... \$1,085 13
Additional amount furnished by town ..... 8 o8
Net cost of work ..... \$r,093 2 I
Cost to town ..... 60808
State aid approved ..... $\$ 485$ I3
PORTLAND.

Contract No. ir. Contractor, Hassam Paving Company; Bion Bradbury, Jr., Commissioner of Public Works; nature of improvement, grading, drainage and bituminous macadam surface; area, 6,052 square yards; cost per square yard, $\$ \mathrm{I} .43$; work begun August 3rd; completed September i6th.

The section of State road contracted for begins at the easterly side of High street and extends along Cumberland avenue, to the westerly side of Chestnut street. The section of road built begins at the easterly side of High street and extends to the westerly side of Elm street.

Quantities and unit prices submitted by the contractor: 2,200 lineal feet of road graded @ \$o.90.
7,820 square yards of bituminous macadam surface @ \$0.90.
214 lineal feet of 8 inch vitrified pipe @ \$r.oo.
620 square yards of cobble paved gutters @ \$1.oo.
1,303 square yards cobble gutters relaid @ \$o.30.
9 catch basins @ \$125.00.
Lump sum amount of contract .................. \$ir,36790

Details and cost items compiled from certificates
of municipal officers:
Length, $\mathrm{I}, 702$ feet, width, 40 feet;
Grading, $\mathrm{I}, 702$ feet $\times 3^{2}$ feet $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \begin{aligned} & \text { \$1,53I } 80\end{aligned}$
Bituminous macadam surface, 1,702 feet $\times 32$ feet x oo inches

5,757 66

Cobble gutter relaid, $2,205.38$ feet long $\times 4$ feet

9 catch basins, brick, 4 feet diameter $\ldots \ldots \ldots$....... 1,125 00
I 30 feet 8 inch vitrified pipe to catch basins ...... 130 oo
Handling tarite .............................. .. 7597
Tarite asphalt, 214 bbls. plus freight and barrels 1,039 25
Incidentals ...................................... 9 12
Engineering and advertising .................... 25583
Total cost of work ............................. \$10,702 56
Amount appropriated by city.................. $\$ 6,700$ oo
State aid apportioned under section $6 \ldots \ldots$. . . . . 5,025 oo
Unexpended balance from 1909 ............... 1,50942
Over-payment made in 1909 ..................... I3 30
Joint fund ............................................ \$13,371 72

Cost to city $\ldots$.................................. 6, 637 30
State aid $\ldots . .$. . .......................... $\$ 3,86526$
Unexpended balance available for expenditure in 19II
\$2,669 16

## PRESQUE ISLE.

Contract No. 32. Contractor, town of Presque Isle; J. E. Bishop, in charge of work; P. L. Hardison, engineer; nature of improvement, grading, drainage and gravel surface; area, 4,514 square yards; cost per square yard, $\$ 0.30$; work begun August 8th ; completed September 3rd.

The section of State road improved begins at the northerly end of the 1908 work and extends northerly.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
1,600 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.27.
4,514 square yards gravel surface @ \$o.r8.
75 lineal feet of I 2 inch vitrified pipe @ \$0.60.
I catch basin $\$_{3}$.
1 concrete culvert 2 feet $\times 2$ feet $\times 33$ feet or 15.3 cubic yards @ \$10.00.

Lump sum amount of contract ................ . \$1,577 80
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, $\mathrm{I}, 600$ feet, width, 2 I and 24 feet.
Grading
$\$ 25500$
75 feet of drainage ............................ 4375
Underdrainage, $\mathrm{I}, 400$ feet x 3 feet x 2.5 feet .... 10094

Guardrail, wood, is feet ....................... 8 ェ8
Concrete culvert 33 feet $\times 2$ feet $\times 2$ feet, including one end wall 7 feet $\times 4$ feet $\times 12$ inches thick and another 16 feet $\times 6$ feet $\times 12$ inches thick 16200
I catch basin ............... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5245
Engineering ............................................ . 4725
Superintendence ............................... 7586
Incidentals ............................................. 3212
Total cost of work ......... .................. \$1,675 05
Amount appropriated by town................... $\$ 850$ oo
State aid apportioned under section $6 \ldots . . .$. . 63700
Unexpended balance from 1909 ............... 9080
Joint fund
\$1,577 80
Additional amount furnished by town .......... 9725
Net cost of work ......... .. ................. \$1,675 05
Cost to town .................................. 94725
State aid approved
$\$ 72780$

## ROCKLAND.

Contract No. 2. Contractor, city of Rockland; Dexter Simmons, road commissioner; L. O. Norwood, city engineer; nature of improvement, grading, drainage, gravel and ledge chip surface; area, 5333 square yards; cost per square yard, $\$ 0.363$; work begun June 24th; completed September 9th.

The section of State road improved begins at the northerly end of the 1908 section and extends northerly 1,000 feet to the 1909 section; also another section beginning at the south end of the 1908 section and extending southerly $\mathrm{I}, 000$ feet.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
2,000 lineal feet of road graded @ \$o.i39.
4,888 square yards gravel surface @ $\$ 0.25$.
28 lineal feet of 16 inch metal culvert, $\$ 43.70$.
800 lineal feet of wood guardrail repaired @ $\$ 0.05$. 400 lineal feet of "V" drain @ \$0.40.
I concrete culvert 2 feet $\times 2$ feet $\times 28$ feet, $\$ 135.00$.
1,000 lineal feet or 888 square yards of side ditch
4 feet wide, 6 inches deep, built with stone chips
@ $\$ 0.25$ per square yard.
Lump sum amount of contract $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$........... \$2,100 00
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, 2,000 feet, width, 24 feet.
Grading ....................................... $\$ 43588$
"V" drain 400 feet x 12 feet x 2 feet ......... 27347
Gravel surface, 2,000 feet $\times 24$ feet $\times 6$ inches.. 14475
Stone chips, 2,000 feet x I4 feet x I2 inches .... . 86739
Guardrails, wood, 490 feet $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . .$. ........ 9021
Concrete masonry culvert 2 feet $\times 2$ feet $\times 28$ feet

10712
Concrete masonry culvert 15 inches diameter, 8
inches thick and 28 feet long $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$.
End-wall 6 feet $\times 5$ feet 3 inches $\times 17$ inches thick
End-wall 6 feet $\times 5$ feet 9 inches $\times 17$ inches thick
Engineering ..................................... 10008
Operating steam roller and incidentals ........ II4 86
Total cost of work
\$2,193 74
Amount appropriated by city \$1,200 oo
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... 90000
Joint fund ..... \$2,100 00
Additional amount furnished by city ..... 9374
Net cost of work ..... \$2,193 74
Cost to city ..... 1,293 74
State aid approved January 3rd, igiI $\$ 90000$

## RUMFORD.

Contract No. 23. Contractor, town of Rumford; Chas. H. Graham, road commissioner ; Henry C. French, engineer; nature of improvement, grading, drainage and macadam surface; area, 7,200 square yards; cost per square yard, $\$ 0.80$; work begun July 20th; completed, October 8th.
The section of State road improved begins at the westerly end of the 1909 section of road and extends westerly.
Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department: 4,320 lineal feet of road graded at $\$ 0.15$.
7,200 square yards of macadam surface @ $\$ 0.50$. Completion of bridge at station $4+75$
\$100 00 300 lineal feet of "V"drain @ \$0.90.
Placing two 12 inch metal culverts 26 feet lony with concrete end-walls @ \$29.20.
I cement stone culvert 2 feet x 2 feet x 26 feet, $\$ 125.00$.
4 new 12 inch metal culverts with concrete endwalls @ \$50.00.
Lump sum amount of contract .................. \$5,00I 40
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, 4,320 feet; width, 23 feet.
Grading, clearing and road machine work
$\$ 670$ oo
320 lineal feet of "V" drain 12 feet wide, 2 feet deep
27500
Macadam surface 4,320 feet $\times 15$ feet $x$ ro inches 4,63406
6 metal culverts 12 inches diameter, each 26 feet long, including concrete end-walls, 4.5 feet long, 4 feet high, 20 inches thick
25000
I cement stone masonry culvert, 26 feet $\times 2$ feet x 2 feet, ends stepped ..... 12000
Completing bridge by placing I-beams and build- ing concrete floor ..... 7500
Engineering and inspection ..... 20350
Total cost of work ..... \$6,227 56
Amount appropriated by town ..... \$r,000 00
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... 75000
Joint fund ..... \$1,750 00
Additional amount furnished by town ..... 4,477 56
Net cost of work ..... $\$ 6,22756$
Cost to town ..... 5,477 56
State aid approved $\$ 75000$
SACO.Contract No. 28. Contractor, city of Saco; R. W. Libby,street commissioner and engineer; nature of improvement,grading, drainage and macadam surface; area, 2,417 squareyards; cost per square yard, \$0.7I ; work begun September ist;completed September 30th.

The section of State road improved begins at the easterlyend of the 1909 work and extends easterly along Main street.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
700 lineal feet of road graded @ \$o.40.
2,256 square yards of macadam surface @ \$o.62.
550 lineal feet of 8 inch vitrified pipe @ \$0.30.
3 catch basins @ \$25.00.
Lump sum amount of contract
\$1,925 00
Quantities and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, 750 feet; width, 35 feet.
Grading
$\$ 77941$
500 feet 8 inch v*rified pipe drain ............ . 15725
Earth shoulders, 750 feet $\times 6$ feet $\times 6$ inches .... 2000
Macadam surface 750 feet $\times 29$ feet $\times 7$ inches ..... 91718
3 catch basins ..... 6800
Total cost of work \$1,94I 84
Amount appropriated by city ..... \$1,100 00
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... 82500
Joint fund ..... \$1,925 00
Additional amount furnished by city ..... 1684
Net cost of work ..... \$1,94I 84
Cost to city ..... ı, in 68
State aid approved $\$ 82500$
SANFORD.

Contract No. io. Contractor, town of Sanford ; J. G. Ridley, road commissioner and engineer; nature of improvement, grading and gravel surface; area, 4,222 square vards; cost per square yard, $\$ 0.4$ II; work begun August 8th, completed October 22d.

The section of State road improved begins at the south end of the 1909 work and extends southerly.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department: r,900 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.i9.
4,222 square yards of gravel surface @ \$0.30.
500 feet of wood guard rail @ \$0.25.
Lump sum amount of contract ................... \$r,750 00
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{goo}$ feet; width, 26 feet.
r,925 lineal feet of grading..................... \$458 o6
Gravel surface, $\mathrm{I}, 900$ feet x 20 feet x 12 inches .. $\mathrm{I}, 262$ io
500 feet of wood guard rail .................... 12500
Engineering ................................... I5 I3
Total cost of work .............................. \$1,860 29
Amount appropriated by town.................... \$r,000 oo


Saco. 1909 and igio sections of State Road. Macadam

| State aid apportioned under section 6 | 75000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Joint fund | \$1,750 00 |
| Additional amount furnished by town. | 11029 |
| Net cost of work | \$1,860 29 |
| Cost to town | 1,110 29 |
| State aid approved | \$750 |

## SCARBORO.

Contract No. 53. Contractor, town of Scarboro; E. S. Oliver, in charge of work; P. H. Richardson, engineer; nature of provement, grading, drainage and gravel surface ; area, 4666 square yards; cost per square yard, \$0.225; work begun October 7 th; completed November ist.

The section of State road improved begins at the west end of the 1909 work and extends westerly.
Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department: 2,000 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.ri9.
4,666 2-3 square yards of gravel surface @ $\$ 0.137$ 200 feet of stone base @ \$0.40.
Lump sum amount of contract .................. \$1,017 oo
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, 2,000 feet; width, 21 feet.
Grading ....................................... $\$ 15285$
Underdrainage, 200 feet $\times 15$ feet $\times 8$ inches .... 3685
Gravel surface, 2,000 feet $\times 21$ feet $\times 12$ inches .... 84785
Miscellaneous .................................. . 10 58
Total cost of work ................................. \$1,048 I3
Amount appropriated by town................... $\$ 550$ oo
State aid apportioned under section $6 \ldots \ldots .$. . 46700
Joint fund ............................................ \$1,017 oo
Net cost of work ........................................ \$ı,OI7 00
Cost to town ..................................... 550 oo
State aid approved .......................... $\$ 467$ oo

## SKOWHEGAN.

Contract No. 15. Contractor, town of Skowhegan; E. L. Ford, road commissioner ; E. E. Greenwood, engineer; nature of improvement, grading, drainage and earth surface; area, 5.91I square yards; cost per square yard, $\$ 0.27$; work begun August 8th; completed October 19th.

The section of state road improved begins at Station 6 of the 1910 plan of the Canaan road and extends easterly.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department: 1,200 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.50.
3.734 square yards of earth surface including clearing and excavating culvert outlet ditch @ \$0.o6.
56 lineal feet of 18 inch metal culvert @ $\$_{\text {I. }}$.
6 cubic yards of concrete masonry end-walls @ $\$ 8.00$.
r,o50 lineal feet of 4 inch land tile @ \$o.37.
r,o50 lineal feet of 6 inch land tile @ \$0.37.
Lump sum amount of contract
\$1,750 00
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, $\mathrm{I}, 900$ feet ; width, 28 feet.
Grading, $\mathrm{I}, 750$ feet x 28 feet wide \$620 79
Side drains $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{loo}$ feet on each side .. ........ 62668
Clearing 3,100 feet $\times 15$ feet wide $\ldots \ldots . .$. ........ 4023
18 inch metal culverts, 56 feet $\ldots . . . . . . . . .$. ..... 13043
Superintendence ................................ 13625
Transportation of men and material ........... 152 6o
Repairs and tools ............................ 985
Engineering .................................... 3400
Total cost of work $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$.
Amount appropriated by town.................. $\$$, 1,000 oo
State aid apportioned under section $6 \ldots \ldots$. ..... 75000
Joint fund .................................... \$1,75000
Additional amount furnished by town $\ldots . . .$. ... 83
Net cost of work .................................... \$1,75083
Cost to town$\$ 75000$
SOUTH BERWICK.Contract No. 8. Contractor, Edward A. Warren; W. A.Grover, engineer; nature of improvement, grading, gravel andmacadam surface; area, i,866 square yards; cost per squareyard, $\$ 0.5^{2}$; work begun August ist; completed September5th.

The section of state road improved begins at the southerly end of the 1909 work and extends southerly along Academy street.
Estimated quantities and contractors' prices submitted for the purpose of monthly estimates:
752 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.25.
1,253 square yards of macadam surface @ \$0.52.
14. lineal feet of 12 inch vitrified pipe (a) $\$ 0.75$.

20 lineal feet of 8 inch vitrified pipe @ \$0.50.
26 lineal feet of 14 inch metal culvert © $\$ 1.15$.
2 cubic yards concrete masonry end walls @ \$7.50.
I drop inlet, $\$ 15.00$.
2 reinforced vitrified pipe ditch outlets $\$ 15.00$
Lump sum amount of contract .................. \$949 96
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, 800 feet; width, 21 feet.
800 feet of grading ........................... \$200 00
1,333 I-3 square yards macadam surface ...... 70908
2 drop inlets
3000
26 feet of 18 inch metal culvert ................... 650
Vitrified pipe and extra labor ............... 1220
Engineering .................................... 19 is 66
Inspection and telephone charges ............. 3325
Advertising .......................................... 625
Total cost of work ............................. \$1,016 94
Amount appropriated by town ................. $\$ 55000$
State aid apportioned under section $6 \ldots \ldots$. . . . 46700
Joint fund ............................................. \$1,01700
Net cost of work ..... \$1,016 94
Cost to town ..... 55000
State aid approved ..... $\$ 46694$
Unexpended balance available for expenditure in I9II ..... o6
WATERVILLE.
Contract No. 16. Contractor, city of Waterville; H. C.Morse, street commissioner ; J. H. Burleigh, engineer ; nature ofimprovement, grading, drainage and gravel surface; area, 4,000square yards; cost per square yard, \$o.45; work begun August3d; completed November 3d.The section of state road improved begins at Cool street andextends westerly along Oakland street toward Rangeway road.Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
r,8co lineal feet of road graded @ \$o.ir.
4,000 square yards of gravel surface @ $\$ 0.375$.Lump sum amount of contract\$1,750 oo
Details and cost items compiled from certificatesof municipal officers:
Length, $\mathrm{I}, 800$ feet, width, 29 feet.
Grading, $\mathrm{I}, 350$ feet $\times 20$ feet $\times 5$ inches ..... \$291 16
Surface drainage ..... II4 II
Gravel surface, $\mathrm{I}, 800$ feet x 20 feet x I8 inches ..... 1,357 78
Engineering ..... 4000
Total cost of work ..... \$1,803 05
Amount appropriated by city ..... \$t,coo oo
State aid apportioned under section 6 750 oo
Joint fund \$1,750 00
Additional amount furnished by city ..... $53 \quad 05$
Net cost of work ..... \$1,803 05
Cost to city ..... I,053 05
State aid approved $\$ 750$ oo

## WESTBROOK 1909 CONTRACT.

Contract No. 17, 1909 (See page 78 of 1909 report for work done in 1909). Work begun May 9th; completed July rst, 1910.

Details and cost items of work done in 1910 to complete 1909 contract; area, 1,900 square yards; cost per square yard, $\$ 1.07$. Length, 955 feet; width, 14.6 to 19.6 feet; depth, 7 inches.
Grading, stone, rolling, etc., to prepare 5 -inch base
for bituminous top, $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{goo}$ square yards
\$1,436 37
Bituminous surface, 1,900 square yards 2 inches deep

59595
Cost of completing 1909 contract

\$2,032 32

Cost of work done in 1909 ...... .............. 6,68415
Total cost of work done under 1909 contract $\ldots$... $\$ 8,71647$
Amount appropriated by city $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$............ $\$ \mathrm{I}, 23450$
State aid apportioned under section $6 \ldots . . .$. . 92587
Joint fund .................................... \$2,160 37
Additional amount furnished by city ........... 6,556 ro

Cost to city ..................................... 7 . 7,79060
State aid approved
$\$ 92587$
The 1909 section of state road in Westbrook was completed July ist, igio, and the following statement of quantities, amount of work and cost of same as given below, apply to last year's uncompleted section only:
Amount of work as per state road contract No.
17
5,993 sq. yds.
Amount of work done last year ................4,290 sq. yds.
Amount of work remaining to be done 1,703 sq. yds.
The amount actually done this year is $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{goo}$ square yards; the additional 197 square yards is owing to the removal of trees and the consequent widening of the roadway.
Length of road improved 955 feet.Width of finished road, 14.6 to 19.6 feet.Width of crushed stone surface, 14.6 to 19.6 feet.Amount, $\mathrm{I}, 900$ square yards.Cost of $\mathrm{I}, 900$ square yards of road prepared to re-ceive bituminous macadam surface, includinggrading, rolling and cost of stone\$1,436 37
Cost of metal surfacing, including labor and ma- terial ..... 59595
Total cost of work ..... \$2,032 32
The following is a detailed statement of cost of extra work on account of construction of the Bituminous Macadam surface:
3,000 gals. Tarite from American Tar Co. $\$ 19500$
I,66o gals. Asphalt, Texaco, grade " J ", from the Texas Co. 16002
I tar man II days @ $\$ 4.00$ ..... 4400
I tar man II days @ $\$ 2.00$ ..... 2200
6 laborers 9 days mixing stone or
I laborer 54 days @ \$1. 75 ..... 9450
6 laborers 15 days or i laborer 9 days @ \$r.75 ..... 1575
2 laborers 7 days drying stone or 1 laborer 14 days @ \$1.66 2-3 ..... 2333
Wood, etc. ..... 12000
Inspection ..... 5500
Total ..... \$629 70
Credit ..... 3375
Net cost ..... $\$ 59595$Average No. of sq. yds. laid per day $1900 \div 9=$$2 \mathrm{II}+\mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{yds}$.
Used 1.75 gal. of Bituminous binder material per square yard.
Used 0.55 gal. of Tar in painting.
Used 240 gal. of Tar in painting curb and paving.
Cost per square yard $\$ 595.95 \div 1900=\$ 0.313$.

| Material Purchased. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 737 tons crushed trap rock | \$593 75 |
| 3,000 gals. Tarite @ .065 | 19500 |
| 1,660 gals. Asphaltum @ .096 | 16002 |

> Total
$\$ 94877$
Work on the above section of road was commenced May ninth and completed July first, 1910.

The section of road done last year that ravelled has been repaired by putting in new stone. This part of the road has been opened about three weeks and is in fine shape.

The remainder of last year's work looks well, the warm weather making the road better every day.

## WESTBROOK.

Contract No. 5. Contractor, city of Westbrook; George Cobb, street commissioner ; H. W. Grant, engineer ; nature of improvement, grading, drainage and bituminous macadam surface; area, 2,146 square yards; cost per square yard, \$1.06r; work begun June 27th; completed August 12th.

The section of state road improved begins at the westerly end of the 1909 work and extends westerly 765 feet; also a section beginning at the Portland line and extending westerly.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
165 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.483.
1,479 square yards bituminous macadam surface @ \$0.87.
195 square yards grading and bituminous
macadam surface @ \$0.87.
3 catch basins @ $\$ 35.00$.
Lump sum amount of contract ............... \$1,925 00
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Total length, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I} 65$ feet; width, I 3.3 feet to 23
feet; depth, 7 inches.
Section I: length, 765 feet; width, 13.3 to 18.7 feet.
1,479 square yards of macadam base, 5 inches deep, prepared for bituminous surface........ \$1,003 39
1,479 square yards of bituminous surface ..... 55380
Catch basins complete ..... 5325
Engineering and inspection ..... 9825
Incidentals ..... 813
Cost ..... \$1,716 82
Cost per square yard of macadam base.. \$0.786
Cost per square yard of bituminous sur- face ..... 0.290
Cost per square yard of painting bitumin- ous surface ..... 0.084
Cost per square yard of finished road ..... $\$ 1.160$
Average number of square yards of bituminous mixture per
day 185.
Section 2: Length, 400 feet; width, 23 feet;depth, 7 inches. 667 square yards macadambase, 15 feet wide, 5 inches deep, having cin-der bed of 6 inches below macadam surface... $\$ 28430$
667 square yards bituminous surface, 2 inchesdeep23052
Shoulders 4 feet wide on each side ..... 6432
Total cost of section 2 ..... \$579 14
Cost per square yard of macadam base ..... \$0.425
Cost per square yard of bituminous sur- face ..... 0.262
Cost per square yard of painting bi- tuminous surface ..... 0.084
Cost per square yard of earth shoulders ..... 0.097
Cost of finished road per square yard ..... \$0.868
Average number square yards bituminous mix-ture per day 19 r .
Total number square yards built in 1910, 2,146.
Cost per square yard in 1910, \$1.061.\$1,100 0
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... 82500
Joint fund \$1,925 00
Additional amount furnished by city ..... 37096
Net cost of work ..... \$2,295 96
Cost to city ..... 1,470 96
State aid approved ..... $\$ 825$ oo
WINSLOWContract No. 40. Contractor, town of Winslow ; F. E. Rey-nolds, road commissioner ; J. H. Burleigh, engincer ; nature ofimprovement, grading and granite block paving; area, 1,315square yards; cost per square yard, \$1.54; work begun OctoberIst ; completed October 28.

The section of state road improved begins at the easterlyend of Ticonic bridge and extends easterly to connect withsection of road built by town in 1909.Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
800 square yards of road graded @ \$o.25.
800 square yards granite block paving @ \$1.40.
i20 lineal feet of 8 inch vitrified pipe @ \$o.6o.
2 catch basins @ \$45.00.
Lump sum amount of contract ..... $\$ 1,48700$
Details and cost items compiled from certificatesof municipal officers:
Length, 260 feet; width, $45 \cdot 5$ feet.
Grading, 1,315 square yards ..... \$2I7 35
Granite block paving, 1,315 square yards ..... 1,769 19
2 catch basins and connections ..... 99 5I
Excavation for catch basins ..... 3736
Engineering ..... 4525
Total cost of work ..... \$2,168 66
Amount appropriated by town ..... \$8.n oo
State aid apportioned under section 6 ..... 63700
Joint fund ..... \$1,487 oo
Additional amount furnished by town ..... 68I 66
Net cost of work ..... \$2,168 66
Cost to town ..... 1,531 66
State aid approved $\$ 637$ oo
WINTHROP.Contract No. 18. Contractor, C. H. Gale ; C. H. Gale, roadcommissioner ; E. E. Greenwood \& Co., engineers ; nature of im-provement, grading, drainage, earth and gravel surface; area,2,116 square yards; cost per square yard, \$0.27; work begunOctober ist; completed November i2th.
The section of state road improved begins at the east end of the 1909 work and extends east 500 feet, also begins at the west end of the 1909 work and extends west 450 feet.
Quantities and unit prices submitted by contractor:
500 lineal feet of road graded @ \$0.05.
1,166 square yards of earth surface @ \$0.io.
450 lineal feet of road graded @ \$o.io.
1,050 square yards gravel surface @ $\$ 0.20$.
500 lineal feet of "V" drain @ \$0.50.
Cement rubble culvert, 30 inches $\times 4$ feet $\times 22$ feet @\$5.00.
Cement rubble culvert, 4.6 feet $\times 6$ feet $\times 22$ feet @ \$1i.05.
Lump sum amount of contract ...... ......... \$1,000 oo
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, 950 feet ; width, 21 feet.
Earth surface, 500 feet.
Gravel surface, 450 feet.
"V" drain 500 feet x 12 feet $\times 2$ feet.
Cement rubble culvert 36 inches $\times 48$ inches $\times 22$ feet
Cement rubble culvert 56 inches $\times 78$ inches $\mathbf{x} 22$ feet
Engineering and advertising .................... 3015
Total cost of work

| Amount appropriated by town | \$600 00 |
| :---: | :---: |
| State aid apportioned under section 6 | 48000 |
| Joint fund | \$1,080 00 |
| Net cost of work | \$1,030 I5 |
| Cost to town | 60000 |
| State aid approved | \$430 15 |
| Unexpended balance available for expenditure in I9II | \$49 85 |

## YARMOUTH.

Contract No. 38. Contractor, town of Yarmouth; John W. Gooch, road commissioner ; F. B. Merrill, engineer; nature of improvement, grading and gravel surface; area, 3,626 square yards; cost per square yard, \$0.45; work begun September 27th; completed November 12th.

The section of state road improved begins at the west branch of Cousin's river, and extends westerly.

Quantities and unit prices estimated by the department:
1,363 lineal feet of road graded @ $\$ 0.28$.
2,272 square yards of gravel surface @ \$0.33.
Lump sum amount of contract $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$.
Details and cost items compiled from certificates of municipal officers:
Length, 1,360 feet; width, 24 feet.
Drainage, 175 feet $\times 4$ feet $\times 2$ feet.............. $\$ 7500$
Gravel surface, $\mathrm{I}, 360$ feet $\times 24$ feet $\times 8$ inches .. $\quad 95000$
Grading ....................................... 61348
Guard rail, 850 lineal feet ......................... 100 . 0
Total cost of work .............................. \$1,738 $_{48}$
Amount appropriated by town............ . $\$ 65000$
State aid apportioned under section $6 \ldots . . . .$.

Additional amount furnished by town ........ 60048
Net cost of work .. .......................... $\$ 1,73848$
Cost to town .................................... 1,250 48
State aid approved
$\$ 48800$

## TABLE OF COMPARATIVE COSTS.

## 1910 State Road Contracts.

| Town or City. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auburn. | 722 | 19.5 | 18 |  | 1605 |  |  | \$1.68 |  |  |
| Augusta* | 4085 | 25 | 21 |  | 9531 | \$0.60 | \$0.188 |  |  |  |
| Bath. ... | 1680 | 28 | 18 |  | 3369 | 0.754 |  |  |  |  |
| Belfast $\dagger$ | 580 | 21 | ${ }_{21}^{21}$ |  | 1385 | 0.83 |  |  | \$0.83 |  |
| Riddefo | 1324 | 21 | 21 |  | ${ }^{3807}$ | 0.85 |  |  |  |  |
| Brewer | 1500 | ${ }_{2}^{28}$ | 20 |  | ${ }_{4166}^{333}$ | 0.585 |  |  |  |  |
| Bridgton | 2500 1400 | ${ }_{21}^{21}$ | 15 |  | ${ }_{3266}^{4166}$ |  | 0.355 |  |  |  |
| Calais Camden | 1400 | 21 | $\stackrel{21}{21}$ |  | ${ }^{3267}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.528}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Camden | 1300 773 | ${ }_{27}^{21}$ | 21 21 | 8 | ${ }_{2319}^{3033}$ | 0.502 0.85 |  |  |  |  |
| Dexter | 712 | 25 | 21 |  | 1661 | 070 |  |  |  |  |
| Dover | 455 | 36 | 30 |  | 1820 | 0.66 |  |  |  |  |
| East Livermore | 475 | 45 | 45 |  | 2375 | 0.455 |  |  |  |  |
| Eastpor | 2375 | 30 | 18 |  | 4750 |  | 0.22 |  |  |  |
| Eden. | 725 | 34 | 34 |  | 2936 | 0.806 |  |  |  |  |
| Fairfield | 1500 | 22 | 15 |  | 2500 |  | 1.325 |  |  |  |
| Farmingto | 1200 | 23 | 15 |  | 2000 |  | 0.64 |  |  |  |
| Fort Fairield | 832 | 32 | 18 |  | 1664 | 0.70 |  |  |  |  |
| Freeport | 703 | ${ }_{2}^{21}$ | 21 | ${ }_{7}$ | 16331 | 0.526 |  |  |  |  |
| Gorham | 1250 | 23 | 15 |  | 2083 |  | 0.428 |  |  |  |
| Hallowe | 437 1400 | 39 22 | 39 21 | 8 | 1950 3422 | 0.627 <br> 0.551 |  |  |  |  |
| Jay | 1150 | 21 | 15 | 7 | 1916 | 0.635 |  |  |  |  |
| Kennebun | 4996 | 23 | 21 |  | 11,657 |  | 0.20 |  |  |  |
| Lewiston. | 1400 | ${ }^{41.5}$ | 33.5 |  | 4942 |  |  |  | 0.814 |  |
| Lisbon.. | 1800 | 24 | ${ }_{44}^{24}$ | 9 | 4800 3189 |  | 0.208 |  |  |  |
| Madison | 645 1500 | ${ }_{30}^{44.5}$ | 44.5 30 | 4 | 3189 <br> 5000 <br> 200 |  | 0.214 |  | 1.011 |  |
| Milo | 1500 | 21 | 18 | 7 | 3500 |  | 0.26 |  |  |  |
| Mount Des | 1030 | 22 | 22 | 4 | 2518 |  | 0.506 |  |  |  |
| Norway. | 1115 | ${ }^{23}$ | 21 |  | ${ }_{2345}^{2602}$ |  | 0.345 |  |  |  |
| Oldto | 1005 | $\stackrel{21}{25}$ | 21 | 10 | 2345 5000 |  | 0.209 |  |  |  |
| Paris. | 1450 | 25 | 25 | 6 | 4028 |  | 0.215 |  |  |  |
| Pittsfield | 1662 | 21 | 21 | 8 | 3878 |  |  |  |  | \$0.24 |
| Portland. | 1702 | 40 | 32 | 7 | 6052 |  |  |  | 1.43 |  |
| Presque Isl | 1600 | 23 | 23 |  | 4514 |  | 0.30 |  |  |  |
| Rock ${ }^{\text {Randph }}$ | 128 | 53 | 53 |  | 649 5333 |  |  |  | 1.47 |  |
| Rock land Richmond* | 2000 300 | 24 44 4 | 24 44 | 7 | 5333 1466 |  | 0.36 |  | 0.92 |  |
| Rumford | 4320 | 23 | 15 | 7 | 7200 | 0.80 |  |  |  |  |
| Saco | 750 | 35 | 29 | 7 | 2417 | 0.71 |  |  |  |  |
| Sanford | 1900 | 26 | 20 | 6 | 4222 |  | 0.411 |  |  |  |
| Scarboro. | 2000 | 21 | 21 | 6 | 4666 |  | 0.225 |  |  |  |
| Skowhegan | 1900 800 | 28 | 28 |  | 5911 1866 | 0.52 |  |  |  | 0.27 |
| Waterville | 1800 | ${ }_{29}^{21}$ | 20 |  | 4000 |  | 0.45 |  |  |  |
| Westbrook $\dagger$ | 955 | 17 | 17 |  | 1900 |  |  |  | 1.07 |  |
| Westbrook | 1165 | 15 | 15 | 7 | 2146 |  |  |  | 1.061 |  |
| Winslow | ${ }_{950}^{260}$ | 45.5 | 45.5 |  | 1315 |  |  | 154 | ...... |  |
| Winthropt | 950 1360 | 24 | 21 | 6 | 2116 3626 |  | 0.27 0.45 |  |  |  |
| Average |  |  |  |  | ...... | \$0.646 | \$0.37 | \$1.61 | \$1.07 | \$0.255 |

Cost per square yard is figured with grading, underdrainage and engineering included; culverts, guard rails and other items are not included.

* Augusta. 8166 square yards gravel; 1365 square yards macadam.
$\dagger$ Belfast. 518 square yards macadam; 836 square yards bituminous macadam.
Kerinebunk, 10.491 square yards gravel; 1166 square yards macadam.
Mount Desert, 1909 work completed in 1910; 1910 work not completed.
Randolph; special contract.
Rockland; Certificate of completion not received until January 3rd, 1911.
** Richmond ; work not done by contract.
$\dagger$ Westbrook; 1909 contract completed in 1910.
If Winthrop; earth and gravel surface.

CONTRACTS.

| City or Town. | Survey and plan made by. | Work in charge of. | Inspector. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auburn. | R. A. Swift | F. F. Goss. | Department. |
| Augusta | W. B. Getchell. | J. F. Pierce | Department. |
| Bath. | Stephen Litchfield. | Oscar F. Williams. | Stephen Litchfield. |
| Belfast. | A. D. Hayes........ | H.S. Cunningham. | Departinent. |
| Biddefor | W. T. Allen... | C. E. Richards.. | Department. |
| Brewer | R. E. Mullaney | S. D. Copeland. | Department. |
| Bridgton | D. E. Chaplin. | John S. Ames. | Department. |
| Calais | C. F. Pray.. | A. P. Gardiner. | C. F. Pray. |
| Caribou | P. L. Hardison | E. F. Shaw. | P. L. Hardison. |
| Camden | F. H. Marshall | Fred B. Annis. | F. H. Marshall. |
| Dexter | W. B. Gould. | G. D. Chandler | H. S. Towne. |
| Dorer | E. J. Smith. | W. S. Judkins. | H. S. Towne. |
| East Live | I. T. Monroe | George W. Dyke. | George W. Dyke. |
| Eastport. | C. F. Pray. | T. H. Bucknam.. | C. F. Pray. |
| Eden | E. I. Lord.. | H. F. Emery.. | C. M. Willey. |
| Fairfield | Greene \& Wil | F. H. E. Bragg | Greene \& Wilson. |
| Fort Fairfield | P. L. Hardison | Charles J. Knight. | P. L. Hardison. |
| Freeport | Stephen Litchfield.... | S. H. Fitts... | Stephen Litchfield. |
| Gorbam. | H. W. Grant........... | W. H. Duran | H. W. Grant. |
| Hallowell | E. E. Greenwood \& Co | John Burns.. | Department. |
| Houlton | P. N. Burleigh......... | A. H. Porter. | E. E. Burleigh. |
| Jay. | I. T. Monroe. | E. P. Bryant. | H. W. Cilman. |
| Kennebu | W. S. Marsh. | A. J. Wiggin. | A. J. Wiggin. |
| Lewiston | R. A. Swift | J. J. Ryan. | Department. |
| Lisbon | Stephen Litchfield. | George H. McIntosh. | Department. |
| Madison | Snow \& Humphreys... | B. F. Burns... | Department. |
| Millinoc | H. S. Ferguson | F. M. Gates | H. s. Ferguson. |
| Milo. | R. E. Mullaney......... | F. E. Gould. | H. S. Towne. |
| Mount De | C. P. Simpson | Joseph W. Small | Department, |
| Norway. | J. H. Stuart.. | E. D. Millett. | Department. |
| Oldtow | J. W. Sewall. | E. T. Hartwel | Department. |
| Orono | R. E. Mullaney | Llewellyn Spencer... | Department. |
| Paris | J. H. stuart. | W. B. Russell | Department. |
| Pittsfield | O. E. Libby.. | E. F. Yennell. | Department. |
| Portland | Bion Bradbury, Jr | Hassam Paving Co | Bion Bradbury, Jr. |
| Presque Is | P. L. Hardison | J. E. Bishop.. | Department. |
| Rumford | H. C. French. | C. H. Graham | H. C. French. |
| Saco | R. W. Libby. | R. W. Libby. | Department. |
| Sanford. | J. G. Ridley. | J. G. Ridley | Department. |
| Scarboro | P. H. Richards | E. S. Oliver. | A. J. Wiggin. |
| Skowhegan | E. E. Greenwood \& Co | E. L. Ford. | Departiuent. |
| South Herwic | W. A. Grover. | Edward A. Warren.. | A. J. Wiggin. |
| Waterville | J. H. Burleigh | H. C. Morse. | Department. |
| Westbrook. | H. W. Grant... | George Cobb | H. W. Grant. |
| Winslow | J. H. Burleigh.......... | F. E. Reynolds | J. H. Burleigh. |
| Winthrop | E. E. Greenwood \& Co | C. H. Gale.... | Department. |
| Yarmo | F. B. Merrill........... | John W. Gooc | C. H. Mitchell. |

TABULAR STATEMENT OF
Note :-In column shozeing material with which road is surfaced macadam, $\|$ indicates granite block paving.

| Town. | County. |  | 葉 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abbot......................... | Piscataquis ....... | 1250 | 21 | 125 | 1250 |
| Acton.. | York | 502 | 22 | - | 602 |
| Addison | Washington ....... | § | - | - | - |
| Albany ........................ | Oxford . | 830 | 23 | - | +880 |
| Albion......................... | Kennebec . . . . . . . | 2350 | 22 | - | 2350 |
| Alexander................... | Washington ...... | 1500 | 20 | - | 1500 |
| Alfred........................... | York | 580 | 21 | 280 | 580 |
| Alns...... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Lincoln............. | 800 | 23 | - | 801 |
| Alton | Penobscot . . . . . . . . | 610 | 21 | 610 | 610 |
| Amherst . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Hancock ..... ... | 838 | 21 | 561 | 838 |
| Amity......................... | Aroostook . . . . . . . . | 400 | 22 |  | 400 |
| Andover | Oxford | 1600 | 21 | - | 1600 |
| Anson ....................... | Somerset . . . . . . . . | 800 | 26 | - | 800 |
| Appleton ..................... | Knox............... | 1375 | 24 | 1100 | 1375 |
| Argyle ......................... | Penobscot......... | 325 | 21 | 325 | 325 |
| Arrowsic 1...................... | Sagadahoc . . . . . . | 1390 | 18 to 21 |  | 1390 |
| Ashland ...................... | Aroostook ......... | 4507 | 24 | 507 | 4507 |
| Athens. | Somerset | 1111 | 21. | 1111 | $\dagger 1111$ |
| Atkinson ..................... | Piscataquis........ | 800 | 21 | 800 | $\dagger 800$ |
| Auburn ............ ......... | Androscoggin .... | 722 | 20 |  | 1722 |
| Augusta 2..................... | Kennebec......... | 4085 | 21 | - | 4085 |
| Aurors.......................... | Hancock | 655 | 21 | 150 | 655 |
| Avon ........................ | Franklin ......... | 1200 | 25 | - | 1200 |
| Baileyville.................... . | Washington ....... | 750 | 23 | - | 750 |
| Bald Mt Twp. 4 R. 3......... | Somerset . ........ | 300 | 18 | - | 300 |
| Baldwiu 8....................... | Cumberland ...... | 490 | 21 | - | $\dagger 490$ |
| Bancroft. | A roostook . | 375 | 21 | 375 | $\dagger 375$ |
| Baring . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Washington ....... | 2580 | 21 | - | 2580 |
| Bath | Sagadahoc......... | 1680 | 28 | - | *1680 |
| Beddington.................... | W'ashington . . . . . . | 800 | 21 | - | 2 800 |

[^1]
## STATE ROAD WORK IN 1910.

* indicates macadam, $\dagger$ indicates carth, $\ddagger$ indicates bituminous Figures with no index show gravel surface.


Tabular Statement of State


1 Belfast: 222 feet of macadam.
2 Benedicta: Joint fund for 1909 and 1910 expended together
${ }^{3}$ Bigelow Pl. : Money expended in building culvert.
4 Bluehill: Joint fund for 1909 and 1910 expended together.
5 Bowerbank: Reinforced concrete cover.
6 Brighton Pl. : Joint funds of 1999 and 1910 expendod together.
§ Laid over. IT Not satisfactory.

Road Work in rion-Continued.


Tabular Statement of State

| Town. | County. | + | Finished width-feet. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brooksville .. | Hancock ........... | 1150 | 21 | - | 1150 |
| Broukton..................... . . | Washington ....... | 1200 | 22 to 25 | 625 | 1200 |
| Brownfield | Oxford ............ | 2000 | 23 | $\rightarrow$ | 2000 |
| Brownville . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Piscataquis........ | 560 | 21 | 300 | 560 |
| Brunswick ........ .......... | Cumberland....... | ** |  |  |  |
| Buckfield 1. | Oxford | 717 | 21 | 300 | 717 |
| Burlington.................... | Penobscot | 490 | 18 | 400 | 490 |
| Burnham | Waldo............. | 2240 | 22 | - | 2240 |
| Buxton. | York................ | 388 | 21 | 388 | 388 |
| Byron 2. | Oxford | 1450 | 21 | - | 1450 |
| Calais | Washington | 1400 | 23 | - | *1400 |
| Cambridge. | Somerset.......... | 1060 | 21 | 720 | 1060 |
| Camden | Knox .............. | 1300 | 24 | - | *1300 |
| Canaan 3..................... | Somerset . . . . . . . . | - | - | - |  |
| Canton | Oxford ............. | 2200 | 21 | - | 2200 |
| Cape Elizabeth............... | Cumberland....... | 705 | 30 | - | 705 |
| Caribou. | Aroostook ......... | 773 | 27 | 200 | *778 |
| Carmel. | Penobscot.......... | 1500 | 22 | 264 | 1500 |
| Carroll ........................ | Penobscot......... | 1122 | 25 | 1122 | $\dagger 1122$ |
| Carrying Place Township 4. . | Somerset | - | - | - | - |
| Carthage . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Franklin . . . . . . . . | 1500 | 21 | $\rightarrow$ | 1500 |
| Cary Pl....................... | Aroostook ......... | 825 | 21 | 825 | 825 |
| Casco. | Camberland ...... | 3530 | 25 | - | 8530 |
| Castine 5........................ | Hancock | 150 | 22 | - | 150 |
| Castle Hill. | Aroostook . . . . . . . | 420 | 21 | 420 | 420 |
| Caswell Pl....... ........... | Aroostook . . . . . . . . | 2250 | :11 | 400 | 2250 |
| Centerville................... | W ashington ....... | 600 | 21 |  | 600 |

[^2]Road Work in 1910-Continued.

| Culverts. |
| :--- |

Tabular Statement of State

| Town. | Gounty. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ghapman Pl................ | Aroostook.. | 1950 | 22 | 450 | 1950 |
| Charleston ...... | Penobscot......... | 600 | 21 | 490 | 600 |
| Charlotte................... | Washington....... | 1512 | 22 | - | 1512 |
| Chelsea <br> Cherryfield | Wennebec .......... | 150 1250 | ${ }_{21}^{24}$ | - | 150 1250 |
| Chester......................... | Penobscot........... | 1575 | 20 | 375 | 1575 |
| Chesterville................. | Franklin | 650 | 28 | 650 | 650 |
| China........................ | Kennebec ......... | 3900 | 21 | - | 3900 |
| Clifton. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Penobscot........ | 775.5 | 24 | 775.5 | 775.5 |
| Clinton.. .. ................. | Kennebec ......... | 2450 | 16 | - | 2450 |
| Columbia. | Washington | 2175 | 21 | - | 2175 |
| Columbia Falls.............. | Washington....... | 1685 | 21 | - | 1685 |
| Concord.................... |  | 1065 900 | $\stackrel{22}{24}$ |  | 1065 |
| Connor Pl.................... | Aroostook ........... <br> Washington ...... | 900 1130 | $\stackrel{24}{21}$ | 125 650 | 900 1130 |
| Coplin Pl..................... | Franklin .......... | 700 | 22 | 10 | 700 |
| Corinna....................... | Penobscot......... | 1402 | 32 | 1402 | $\dagger 1402$ |
| Corinth ....................... | Penobscot........... | 560 | 23 | 500 | 560 |
| Cornish.. ................... | York | 1600 | 23 | - | 1600 |
| Cornville.................... | Somerset . | 775.5 | 28 | 577.5 | $\dagger 775.5$ |
| Grawford.................... | Washington ....... | 800 | 21 | 200 | 800 |
| Crockertown Township 4,R2 | Franklin 4. | 165 | - | - | $\dagger 165$ |
| Cumberland................. | Cumberland ...... | 1750 | 23 | 700 | 1750 |
| Cusbing....................... | Knox............. | 750 | 22 | 475 | 750 |
| Cutler ${ }_{\text {Cyr Pl }}$.................................... | Washington <br> Aroostook | § 2650 | 21 | 600 | 2650 |
| Dallas Pl . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Franklin ........... | 900 | 18 | - | 900 |
| Damariscotta ................. | Lincoln............ | § 680 |  |  |  |
| Danforth .................... | Washington....... | 680 | 28 | 288 | 680 |
| Dayton ...................... | York | 1270 | 28 | - | 1270 |
| Dead River Pl. ............... | Somerset .......... | 1350 | 24 | - | 1350 |
| Dedham..................... | Hancock .......... | 800 | 25 |  | 800 |

[^3]Road Work in 1910－Continued．

| Culverts． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 品 | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\infty} \\ & \text { む } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \mathbb{1} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ |  | 艺 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stone． | $12 \times 12$$20 \times 72$1612$18 \times 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 5.5 \\ & 26 \\ & 2.2 \\ & 2.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\|$8  <br> 142 13 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 61078 \\ 42172 \\ 60671 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 600 \\ 400 \\ 400 \\ 600 \end{array} 00$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} \$ 400 \\ 200 \\ 200 \\ 3 \div 9 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | \＄ 01 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1093 \\ 2172 \\ 611 \end{array}$ | \＄ 31 |
| Concrete．．． |  |  | 5440 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metal．．．．．． |  |  | 24 <br> 29 <br> 29 |  |  |  |  |  | 70 |
| Concrete．． |  |  | 4385 |  |  |  |  |  | 40 |
|  | $\bar{Z}_{16}$ | $\underset{24}{\overline{-}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \overline{-} \\ 22 \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 99 & 75 \\ 393 & 71 \\ 417 & 75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 100 & 00 \\ 400 & 00 \\ 300 & 00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 49 & 75 \\ 193 & 71 \\ 200 & 00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ 629 \\ -\quad 29 \end{array}$ | $\overline{\overline{-}} \overline{117} 75$ | 673127 |
| Metal． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stone． | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \times 21 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 10 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ -\quad 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \\ & 22 \\ & 22 \\ & 4 \\ & 17 \\ & 12 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 7100 | 45052 | 40000 | 19972 | 28 | 5052 | 69 |
| Metal． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metal．．．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metal． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metal ．．． |  |  | 10106 | 79631 | 80000 | 39631 | 369 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 41156 | 60000 | 21156 | 18844 | － | 53 |
| \｛ Metal | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 12 \\ 54 \times 48 \\ 16 \times 212 \\ 42 \times 48 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 22 \\ & 24 \\ & 24 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} 65 & 10 \\ 224 & 45 \\ 52 & 25 \\ 164 & 22 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 594 & 00 \\ 588 & 77 \\ 591 & 34 \end{array}$ |  | 297 | － | － | 24 |
| \｛ Metal |  |  |  |  | 59400 |  |  |  |  |
| Stone． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |
| Stone． |  |  |  |  | 60000 | 388 390 61 | $\begin{array}{r}11 \\ 939 \\ \hline 9\end{array}$ | － | ${ }_{35}$ |
| Metal | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ -18 \times 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & -\overline{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3284 \\ & 19-08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61369 \\ & 14687 \end{aligned}$ <br> 59391 | 60003 <br> 14400 <br> 60000 | $\begin{array}{rr} 398 & 0 \\ 96 & 00 \\ 393 & 91 \end{array}$ | $200$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1369 \\ 287 \end{array}$ | 5816 |
| Stone． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metal． | 10$30 \times 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 90 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1883 \\ & 65 \\ & 47 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | 30329 <br> 80133 <br> 56045 | 300800800 | $\begin{aligned} & 20000 \\ & 40000 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll}3 & 29 \\ 133\end{array}$ | 4357 |
| Metal． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stone．． |  |  |  |  | 40003 | 20000 | － | 16045 | 100 |
| Stone ．．．．． | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \times 18 \\ 12 \\ -\quad 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 22 \\ & 22 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2350 \\ 5125 \\ \end{gathered}$ | 80010 <br> 46375 <br> 16074 | $\begin{array}{ll} 800 & 00 \\ 400 & 00 \\ 150 & 00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40000 \\ & 20000 \\ & 10000 \end{aligned}$ | － | $\begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{r} 010 \\ 63 \\ 63 \\ 1075 \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 050 \\ & 060 \\ & 0 \quad 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Metal．．．．} \\ \text { Metal．．．}\end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \overline{-} \\ 20 \times 18 \\ 20 \times 18 \\ 20 \times 18 \end{gathered}$ | -28282828 | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 76 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 11050 | 11000 | 5500 |  | 050 | 067 |
| S Stone．．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Stone．．．．} \\ \text { Stone．．．}\end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  | 96887 | 96000 | 46000 | － | 887 | 055 |
| Meta | $={ }^{24}$ | 32 | 612017172 | $\begin{aligned} & 60638 \\ & 73458 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60000 \\ & 73500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40000 \\ & 399 \end{aligned}$ |  | 63813458 | 081 |
| Bridge．．．．．． |  | － |  |  |  |  | 042 |  | 028 |
| Stone． | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \times 20 \\ & 24 \times 30 \end{aligned}$ | 2246 | $\begin{gathered} 4605 \\ 22147 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34560 \\ & 84764 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30000 \\ & 80000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20000 \\ & 40000 \end{aligned}$ | － |  | $\begin{aligned} & 038 \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ |
| Conerete．． |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |
| （Metal．．．． | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 12 \\ 10 \\ 24 \times 36 \\ 18 \times 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 26 \\ & 20 \\ & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 65 & 00 \\ 84 & 50 \\ 60 & 39 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59460 \\ & 617 \\ & 646 \\ & 456 \quad 57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60000 \\ & 60 C 00 \\ & 45000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29460 \\ & 384 \\ & 304 \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | $540$ <br> 1574 | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 17 \\ \hline 76 \\ 657 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 0 & 47 \\ 0 & 86 \\ 0 & 57 \end{array}$ |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Metal．．．．} \\ \text { Metal．．．}\end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stone．．．．．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stone．．．．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Tabular Statement of State

| Town. | County. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deer Isle | Hancock. | 1200 | 21 | 810 | 1200 |
| Denmark | Oxford | 1460 | 21 |  | 1460 |
| Dennistown Pl . . . . . . . . . . . | Somerset . | 600 | 20 | - | 600 |
| Dennysville................... | Washington. | 930 | 28 |  | 930 |
| Detroit........................ | Somerset.... | 755 | 85 | 755 | $\dagger 755$ |
| Dexter ..................... | Penobscot... | 712 | 25 | 712 | *712 |
| Dixfield. | Oxford.... | § |  |  |  |
| Dixmont 1. | Penobscot. | 1598 | 26 | 1584 | 1598 |
| Dover.. | Piscataquis. | 455 | 36 | 400 | *455 |
| Dresden... | Lincoln... | 2350 | 21 | 555 | 2350 |
| Drew Pl 2. | Penobscot | 200 | 21 | 200 | $\dagger 200$ |
| Durham | Androscoggin | 1400 | 24 | - | $\dagger 1400$ |
| Dyer Brook.................. | Aroostook | 400 | 24 | 400 | 400 |
| Eagle Lake Pl............... | Aroostook | 1500 | 21 | 590 | 1500 |
| Eastbrook................... | Hancock . | 540 | 21 | 100 | 540 |
| East Livermore $\qquad$ | Androscoggin Washington | 475 1650 | 45 21 | - | ${ }^{*} 4655$ |
| East Millinocket ............ | Penobscot | 2450 | 22 | - | 2450 |
| Easton | Aroostook | 2500 | 22 to 24 | 922 | 2500 |
| Eastport....................: | Washington | 2375 | 30 | - | 2375 |
| Eddington .................. | Penobscot... | 2112 | 33 | - | *2112 |
| Eden ${ }^{\text {8 }}$. | Hancock | 725 | 34 |  | *725 |
| Edgecomb.................... | Lincoln.. | 800 | 21 | 250 | 800 |
| Edinburg .................. | Penobscot... | 2700 | 20 | - | +2700 |
| Edmunds ............. | Washington | 600 | 21 | - | 600 |
| Eliot . ....................... | York | 1950 | 22 | 400 | , 1950 |
| Ellsworth | Hancock | II |  |  |  |
| Embden......... ............ | Somerset.. | 300 | 21 | 225 | 300 |
| Enfield........................ | Penobscot. | 350 | 28 | 750 | 850 |
| Etna ......................... | Penobscot.... | 900 | 21 | 378 | 900 |
| Eustis ................ . . . . | Franklin | 750 | 22 | 3711 | 750 |
| Exeter ............... ...... | Penobscot. | 1300 | 30 | 1200 | $\dagger 1300$ |
| Fairfield | Somerset ... | 1500 | 22 | 960 | 1500 |

[^4]
## Road Work in 1910－Continued．

| Culverts． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 苗 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stone | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \times 24 \\ -\quad 10 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}27 \\ -18 \\ \hline 22 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \$ 30 & 00 \\ 12-60 \\ 12 & 40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 808 \\ 802 \\ 85 \\ 148 \\ \hline 50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 800 \\ 800 \\ 800 \\ 12100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 40000 \\ 400 \\ 00 \\ 60 \\ 50 \end{array}$ | － | $\begin{array}{cc} \$ 8 & 55 \\ 2 & 03 \\ 27 & 50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 067 \\ 0 \\ 055 \end{array}$ |
| Me．tal．．．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 025 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & - \\ & \hline- \\ & 60 \times 84 \\ & 60 \times 84 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | --- | $\begin{array}{r}578 \\ 569 \\ 56 \\ 1239 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60000 \\ 600 \\ 1000 \\ 1017 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 378 & 75 \\ 369 & 41 \\ 467 & 00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ 21 & 25 \\ 30 & 59 \\ - \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}062 \\ 0 & 75 \\ 174\end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bridge |  | 22 | 8266 | 59532 | 80000 | 19380 | 20620 | － | 037 |
| Metal．． |  | 14 | ${ }_{8}^{810}$ | 122256 | 96000 | 46000 | － | 26256 | 269 |
| Bridge．．．．．． | － |  | 754－94 | $\begin{array}{r} 820 \\ 1270 \\ 120 \\ 417 \\ 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 800000 \\ & 600 \\ & 400 \\ & 400 \end{aligned}$ | 40000 40000 20000 | － | $\begin{array}{r} 2070 \\ 670 \\ 605 \\ 17 \end{array}$ | 035 <br> 258 <br> 03 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete | $24 \times 20$1616-16- | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & 21 \\ & 21 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8148 \\ 12050 \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 746 & 38 \\ 800 & 15 \\ 137 & 49 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 600 \\ & \hline \end{aligned} 00$ | $\begin{array}{r} 400 \\ 400 \\ 400 \\ 87 \end{array} 49$ | $1251$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14638 \\ 015 \\ - \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 112 \\ & 053 \\ & 025 \end{aligned}$ |
| Metal． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metal．．．．．． | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 16 \\ & 12 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 24 \\ & 25 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2625 \\ 4092 \\ 11460 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 1112 & 69 \\ 427 & 44 \\ 799 & 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1080 \\ 400 \\ 400 \\ 800 \end{array} 00$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 480 & 00 \\ 200 & 00 \\ 399 & 18 \end{array}$ | $\overline{-}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} 32 & 09 \\ 27 & 44 \\ - \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | 234 |
| Metal．．．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 026 |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Metal．．．．} \\ \text { Metal．．．}\end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 033 |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Metal．．．．} \\ \text { Metal．．．}\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 10 \\ 18 \\ 18 \times 16 \\ 18816 \\ 18 \times 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 26 \\ & 34 \\ & 28 \\ & 28 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{ll} 56 & 20 \\ 85 & 89 \\ 49 & 75 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 808 \quad 22 \\ 1128 \\ 74 \\ 676 \quad 33 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 800 \\ 1138 \\ 00 \\ 600 \\ 00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 400 \\ & 478 \\ & 47 \\ & 400 \end{aligned}$ | $926$ | 822 <br> 7633 | $\begin{aligned} & 032 \\ & 048 \\ & 032 \end{aligned}$ |
| Metal．．．．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Stone．．．．} \\ \text { Stone }\end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Stone．．．．．}\end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metal．．．．．． | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 24 \times 24 \\ 10 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \end{array}$ | 3828222626262626 | $\begin{aligned} & -{ }^{-} \\ & 3790 \\ & 97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2444 \\ 430 \\ \\ \hline 95 \\ 313 \\ \\ \hline 67 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2475 \\ 418 \\ 4180 \\ \\ 450 \end{array} 00$ | $\begin{aligned} & 944 \quad 96 \\ & 278 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 03 \\ \\ 13693 \end{array}$ | 1i 55 | $\begin{aligned} & 337 \\ & 054 \end{aligned}$ |
| Stone．．．．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metal． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 012 |
| Metal． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} -12 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -22 \\ 26 \\ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 53 \end{gathered}$ | 7265480076 | 60000800 | $\begin{array}{ll} 400 & 00 \\ 400 & 00 \end{array}$ | － | $\begin{array}{r} 12654 \\ 076 \end{array}$ | 122041 |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Metal.... } \\ \text { Metal.... } \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete．． | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \times 48 \\ 16 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | 62824 | $\begin{array}{ll} 42 & 51 \\ 40 & 50 \\ 46 & 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 335 & 37 \\ 831 & 27 \\ 600 & 00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 300000 \\ & 800 \\ & 600 \\ & 600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & 400 \\ & 400 \\ & 400 \\ & 400 \end{aligned}$ |  | 35 <br> 81 <br> 81 | 112098066 |
| Metal．．．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metal．．．．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metal． | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 38 \times 28 \\ 36 \times 18 \end{array}$ | 252430 | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 1344 \\ 52 \\ 205 \\ 2056 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4187 \\ 41444 \\ 378674 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40000 \\ 40000 \\ 113800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ 200 \\ 200 \\ 488 \\ 480 \end{gathered}$ | － | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 14 \\ 144 \\ 2648 \\ 264 \end{array}$ | 056 <br> 032 <br> 252 |
| Stone．．．．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete ．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Tabular Statement of State

| Town. | County. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Falmouth | Cumberland ...... | 1400 | 30 | 270 | 1400 |
| Farmingdale................. | Kennebec . . . . . . . . | $\delta$ |  |  |  |
| Farmington.................. | Franklin ......... | 1200 | 23 | 1200 | 1200 |
| Fayette...................... | Kennebec . | 1200 | 21 | 1100 | 1200 |
| Flagstaff Pl $1 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | Somerset ............ |  |  |  |  |
| Forest City . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Washington........ | 580 | - 22 |  | 580 |
| Fort Fairfield................ | A roostook | 832 | 32 | 400 | *832 |
| Fort Kent.................... | Aroostook | 2030 | 21 to 24 |  | 2030 |
| Foxcroft | Piscataquis........ | 725 | 22 | 275 | *725 |
| Frankfort | Waldo ... | 930 | 30 | - | *930 |
| Franklin | Hancock ........ | 445 | 21 to 23 |  | 445 |
| Freedom | Waldo............... | 1116 | 22 | 682 | $\dagger 1116$ |
| Freeman.............. .... | Franklin .......... | 600 | 16 | - | 600 |
| Freeport 2. | Cumberland | 700 | 29 | - | *700 |
| Friendship................... | Knox.............. | 700 | 28 | 550 | 700 |
| Fryeburg.................... | Oxford ............ | 1000 | 21 | - | 1000 |
| Gardiner <br> Georgetown | Kennebec | § | 23 | 130 | $\dagger 300$ |
| Gilead | Oxford | 700 | 22 | - | +700 |
| Glenburn...................... | Penobscot. | 1200 | 22 | - | 1200 |
| Gorham .. | Cumberland ...... | 1250 | 23 | 800 | 1250 |
| Gouldsboro ................. | Hancock .......... | 175 | 21 | - | 175 |
| Grafton...................... | Oxford | 2145 | 21 | 231 | 2145 |
| Grand Falls P13............ | Penobscot | 240 | 21 | - | 240 |
| Grand Isle 4................. | Aroostook .. | 760 | 22 | 760 | 760 |
| Gray ........................ | Cumberland ...... | 2085 | 23 | - | 2085 |
| Greenbush ................... | Penobscot......... | 2000 | 24 | - | $\dagger 2000$ |
| Greene . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Androscoggin ..... | 700 | 28 | 350 | 700 |
| Greenfield.................. | Penobscot......... | 2145 | 29 | 1072 ${ }^{2}$ | 2145 |
| Greenville | Piscataquis........ | 686 | 22 |  | 686 |
| Greenwood................. | Oxford. | 1400 | 22 | - | 1400 |
| Guilford ${ }^{\text {c................... }}$ | Piscataquis........ | 860 | 21 | 663 | 860 |
| Hallowell................... | Kennebec ........ | 437 | 39 |  | *437 |

[^5]Road Work in 1910-Continued.


Tabular Statement of State

| Town. | County. | 苞 | 苋 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hamlin Pl . | Aroostook . . . . . . . . | 1380 | 24 | 230 | 1380 |
| Hammond Pli. | Aroostook .......... | 300 | 19 |  | 300 |
| Hampden . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Penobscot .......... | 3040 | 24 | 760 | 3040 |
| Hancock .................... | Hancock . . . . . . . . | 850 | 21 | 850 | 850 |
| Hanover . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Oxford . | 550 | 21 | - | 550 |
| Harmony . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Somerset . . . . . . . . . | 800 | 21 to 26 | 20 | 800 |
| Harpswell. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Cumberland ...... | 1750 | 21 | - | 1750 |
| Harrington.................... | Washington ....... | 300 | 24 | 300 | 300 |
| Harrison 2. | Cumberland | 2314 | 30 | 425 | 2314 |
| Hartford . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Oxford ............ | 1960 | 21 to 24 | 555 | 1960 |
| Hartland | Somerset . . . . . . . . . | 891 | 22 | 891 | $\dagger 891$ |
| Haynesville................... | Aroostook . . . . . . . . | 950 | 21 | - | 950 |
| Hebron... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Oxford ... | 250 3150 | 23 | 175 | 250 3150 |
| Hersey . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Aroostook . . . . . . . | 3225 | 24 | - | 3225 |
| Highland P18................. | Somerset . . . . . . . . | - | - | - | - |
| Hiram. | Oxford . . . . . . . . . . | 350 | 21. | - | 350 |
| Hodgdon...................... | Aroostook . . . . . . . . | 1030 | 21 | 1030 | 1030 |
| Holden. . | Penobscot......... | 1200 | 21 | 800 | 1200 |
| Hollis . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | York . . . . . . . . . . . . | 500 | 21 | 500 | 500 |
| Hоре . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Knox | 630 | 21 | 530 | 630 |
| Houlton 4.............. | Aroostook . . . . . . . . | 1400 | 22 | 300 | *1400 |
| Howland. | Penobscot......... | $2194 \frac{1}{2}$ | 26 | - | 2194\% |
| Hudson........................ | Penobscot......... | 382 | 21 | 382 | 382 |

[^6]Road Work in igio-Continued.


Tabular Statement of State

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Town. \& County. \&  \&  \&  \&  <br>
\hline Industry,.................... \& Franklin .. \& 1600 \& 21 \& 900 \& 1600 <br>
\hline Island Falls ................. \& A roostook ......... \& 2325 \& 21 \& 1250 \& 2325 <br>
\hline Isle au Haut................. \& Hancock . \& 700 \& 16 \& - \& 700 <br>
\hline Islesboro .................... \& Waldo............ \& 515 \& 22 \& 365 \& 515 <br>
\hline Jackman Pl................. \& Somerset ... \& 200 \& 21 \& - \& 200 <br>
\hline Jackson...................... \& Waldo ............. \& 915 \& 23 \& 405 \& 915 <br>
\hline Jav......................... \& Franklin .......... \& 1150 \& 21 \& 150 \& $* 1150$

2650 <br>
\hline Jerusalem Township........ \& Franklin ............. \& 25 \& 16 \& \& $\dagger 25$ <br>
\hline Johnson Mt. Township 1.... \& Somerset ... \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Jonesboro................... \& Washington.. \& 1700 \& 22 \& - \& 1700 <br>
\hline Jonesport.................... \& Washington. ...... \& 900 \& 21 \& - \& 900 <br>
\hline Kenduskeag................. \& Penobscot......... \& 830 \& 28 \& 830 \& 830 <br>
\hline Kennebunk 2................ \& York............... \& 4996 \& 21-25 \& 1000 \& 4996 <br>
\hline Kennebunkport............. \& York............... \& § \& \& \& <br>
\hline Kingfield 3................... \& Franklin \& 1040 \& 23 \& - \& 1040 <br>

\hline  \& | Penobscot............ |
| :--- |
| York................ | \& § \& - \& - \& - <br>

\hline Knox. \& Waldo ............ \& 1260 \& 23 \& 1083 \& 1260 <br>
\hline Lagrange .................... \& Penobscot......... \& 1500 \& 21 \& - \& 1500 <br>
\hline Lakeville Pl 5................ \& Penobscot........... \& 330 \& 23 \& - \& 330 <br>
\hline Lamoine ..................... \& Hancock \& 1578 \& 21 \& 142 \& 1578 <br>
\hline Lang Pl........................ \& Franklin ............. \& 732 \& 22 \& \& 732 <br>
\hline Lebanon .................... \& York............... \& 1200 \& 23 \& 1200 \& 1200 <br>
\hline Lee.......................... \& Penobscot ......... \& 1930 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ \& 24 \& - \& 19301 <br>
\hline Leeds. \& Androscoggin...... \& 2040 \& 21 \& - \& 2040 <br>
\hline Levant...................... \& Penobscot......... \& 1200 \& 28 \& 165 \& 1200 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## § Laid over.

1 Johnson Mt. Township: data not received.
2 Kennebunk : did not expend enough to receive any 1910 State aid. 1909 and 1910 joint funds combined, 700 feet of macadam.
3 Kingtield : stone abutments with reinforced concrete cover.
4 Kittery : expended 1910 joint fund on trunk line work.
5 Lakeville Pl.: bridge span 6 feet, width 28 feet, cement stone abutments, wooden floor.

Road Work in 1910-Continued.


Tabular Statement of State


[^7]Road Work in 1910-Continued.


Tabular Statement of State

| Town. | County. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maxfield .................... | Penobscot......... | 4200 | 22 | - | 4200 |
| Mayfield Pl1............... | Somerset | - |  | - | - |
| Mechanic Falls $2 . . . . . . . . . .$. | Androscoggin ..... | 1750 | 22 | 440 | 1750 |
| Meddybemps $3 . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | Washington...... | 1250 | 21 | - | 1250 |
| Medford ..................... | Piscataquis........ | 800 | 21 | 675 | 800 |
| Mercer | $\overline{\text { Samerset }}$ | 825 | 28 | 825 | 825 |
| Merrill Pl ..................... | Aroostook .......... | 800 | 21 | 300 | 800 |
| Mexico 5 ..................... | Oxford ............. | 625 | 24 |  | 625 |
| Milbridge .................... | Washington ....... | 1450 | 21 | - | 1450 |
| Milford...................... | Penobscot......... | 990 | 33 | - | 990 |
| Millinocket.................. | Penobscot......... | 1500 | 30 | - | 1500 |
| Milo ......................... | Piscataquis ........ | 1500 | 21 | 335 | 1500 |
|  | Oxford ............ | 363 | 23 |  | 363 |
| Monmouth: . ................ | Kennebec ......... | 1790 | 22 | 1790 | $\dagger 1790$ |
| Monroe...................... | Waldo.. | 1030 | 21 | - | $\dagger 1030$ |
| Monson ..................... | Piscataquis....... | 2800 | 21 | - | $\dagger 2800$ |
| Monticello .................. | Aroostook ......... | 720 | 21 | 700 | 720 |
| Montville ................... | Waldo............ | 1485 | 23 | 500 | $\dagger 1485$ |
| Moose River Pl $6 . . . . . . . . . .$. | Somerset .......... | 2145 | 23 | 429 | 2145 |
| Moro Pl | Aroostook | 1425 | 21 | 300 | 1425 |
| Morrill | Waldo ............. | 1447 | 23 | 600 | $\dagger 1447$ |
| Moscow 7 | Somerset | 350 | 21 | - | $\dagger 350$ |

[^8]Road Work in 1910-Continued.


Tabular Statement of State

| Town. | County. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moxie Gure . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Somerset ........ | 500 | 21 |  | 500 |
| Mt. Chase.. | Penobscot.......... | 1250 | 21 | 1200 | 1250 |
| Mt. Desert.................... | Hancock .......... | I |  |  |  |
| Mt. Vernon................... | Kennebec. | 2300 | 23 | 1250 | 2300 |
| Naples 1...................... | Cumberland ...... | 315 | 26 |  | 315 |
| Newburg................... | Penobscot.. | 2458 | 21 | 1419 | 2458 |
| Newcastle ..... | Lincoln | 1100 | 21 | 550 | 1100 |
| Newfield .... ............... | York | 1000 | 23 | 1000 | $\dagger 1000$ |
| New Gloucester | Cumberland. | 2760 | 25 | 750 | 2760 |
| New Limerick............... | Aroostook ......... | 850 | 22 | 850 | 850 |
| Newport..................... | Penobscot.......... | 1980 | 30 | 1485 | $\dagger 1980$ |
| New Portland................ | Somerset | 3960 | 22 |  | 3960 |
| Newry....................... | Óxford | 718 | 21 | - | 718 |
| New Sharon. | Franklin ........... | 1000 | 23 | 750 | 1000 |
| New Sweden.. ............ | Aroostook......... | 764 | 21 | 764 | 764 |
| New Vineyard .............. | Franklin .......... | 1300 | 21 to 24 | - | 1300 |
| Nobleboro2................. | Lincoln . | 2000 | 21 | - | 2000 |
| Norrlagewock ............... | Somerset. | 1086 | $21 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1086 | *1086 |
| North Berwick.............. | York | 763 | 28 | 380 | *763 |
| Northfield................... | Washington. | 800 | 21 |  | 800 |
| North Haven. | Knox. | 450 | 24 | 450 | 450 |
| Northport. | Waldo. | 450 | ${ }_{2}^{23}$ | - | $\dagger 450$ |
| North Yarmouth.............. | Cumberland ....... | 2075 | 22 | - | 2075 |
| Norway 3.................. | Oxford | 1115 | 23 | 1115 | 1115 |
| No. 6, North of Weld........ | Franklin | 100 | 25 | - | $\dagger 100$ |
| No. 7, South Division | Hancock .......... | 175 | 21 | - | 175 |
| No. 9, South Division ....... | Hancock ... ..... | 75 | 21 | - | 75 |
| No. 10, South Division 4..... | Hancock | 75 |  |  |  |
| No. 21, Pl.................... | Hancock ........... | 608 | 21 | 608 | 608 |
| No. 22, M. Division.......... | Hencock ......... | 75 | 21 | 75 | +75 |
| No. 28, M. Division. | Hancock | 120 | 21 | 120 | $\dagger 120$ |
| No. 33, Pl................... | Hancock ........... | 300 | 21 | 300 | $\dagger 300$ |
| No. 14, Pl.................... | Washington....... | 312 | 21 |  | 312 |

1 Naples: dry stone retaining wall.
2 Nobleboro: outside dimensions given for concrete culvert.
3 Norway: old culverts lengthened.
4 No. 10, South Division, Hancock County: no state aid received.
If Not completed.

Road Work in 19io－Continued．

| Culverts． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 范 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | － | － | 15800 70039 | 15800 76650 | 7900 33389 | 6611 | 10039 | 032 0 06 |
|  | － | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ - \\ 11278 \end{gathered}$ | 600 3： 86570 45065 | $\begin{aligned} & 60000 \\ & 80000 \\ & 40000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 300 & 00 \\ 400 & 00 \\ 200 & 00 \end{array}$ | － | $\begin{array}{r} 033 \\ 6570 \\ 5065 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 0 & 26 \\ 0 & 27 \\ 0 & 18 \end{array}$ |
| Y $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal．．．．} \\ & M\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 36 \times 18 \\ & 182424 \\ & 18224 \\ & 18 \times 24 \\ & 12 \times 18 \\ & 12 \times 18 \end{aligned}$ | 26 44 50 <br> 26 51 00 <br> 26   <br> 26 77 50 <br> 26   <br> 24 15 60 <br> 30 105 16 <br> 30   |  | $\begin{aligned} & 79823 \\ & 8060 \end{aligned}$ | 80000 | 39823 | 177 | － | 073 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 80000 | 40000 | － | 601 | 081 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 95849 | 96000 | 45849 | 151 | － | 035 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 18 \times 24 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \\ 30 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | 1680 |  | 62230 | $\begin{aligned} & 60000 \\ & 900 \\ & 900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40000 \\ & 450 \\ & 400 \end{aligned}$ | － | 2230013 | 073 |
| Metal． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stone． |  |  | 30 6500 60 |  | 900 800 80 |  |  |  |  | 045 0 |
| Metal． |  |  | 1760-- | $\begin{aligned} & 42474 \\ & 49205 \\ & 60182 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 400 \\ & 400 \\ & 400 \\ & 600 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & 200 \\ & 200 \\ & 400 \\ & 400 \end{aligned}$ | － | $\begin{array}{rr} 24 & 74 \\ 92 & 05 \\ 1 & 82 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}0 & 59 \\ 0 & 49 \\ 0 & 79\end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metal．．．．T1e ${ }^{\text {Til．}}$（Conerete．．Iron．．．．．． | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 8 \\ 10 \\ 26 \times 18 \\ -\quad 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 14 \\ & 4 \\ & 30 \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} 89 & 96 \\ 16 & 72 \\ 10 & 00 \\ - \end{array}\right\|$ | 64360 | 60000 | 400 （10） | － | 4360 | 050 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 80206 | 80000 | 40000 | － | 206 | 040 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 80000 | 80000 | 40000 | － | － | 074 |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} -10 \\ 10 \\ 30 \times 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 22 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ \hline 19 \\ 76 \\ 76 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 124049 \\ 29531 \\ 800 \quad 00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80000 \\ & 30000 \\ & 80000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40000 \\ & 19531 \\ & 40000 \end{aligned}$ | $469$ | $440 \quad 49$- | $\begin{aligned} & 163 \\ & 037 \\ & 176 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Metal．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stone．．．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metal．．．．．．．${ }_{\text {Stone }} \begin{aligned} & \text { Stone．．．} \\ & \text { Stone．．．} \\ & \text { Stone．．．} \\ & \text { Stone．．．．}\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 24 \times 24 \\ 24 \times 24 \\ 24 \times 24 \\ 24 \times 24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 32 \\ 28 \\ 25 \\ 26 \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3160 \\ & 11085 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 218 \\ 337 \\ \\ \\ 1028 \\ 101 \end{array}$ | 20000 30000 <br> 101700 | $\begin{array}{ll} 100 & 00 \\ 150 & 00 \\ 467 & 00 \end{array}$ | － | 1891 | 0480 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3735 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1161 | 092 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | － | － | 12398 | 9400 | 4700 | － | 2998 |  |  |
|  | － | － | － | 5350 1200 | 5350 1200 | 2650 600 | － |  | O30 |  |
|  | － | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stone．．．．． | $24 \times 24$ | ${ }_{-}{ }^{-}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} - \\ 49 \\ - \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2900 \\ 300 \\ 4500 \\ 45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \\ 300 \\ 300 \\ 45 \\ 45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \overline{-} \\ 200 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2900 \\ & - \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 039 \\ & 049 \\ & 060 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\overline{-}$ | － | － | $\begin{array}{r} 6600 \\ 14465 \\ 7837 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6600 \\ 14700 \\ 6000 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{ll} 33 & 00 \\ 95 & 50 \\ 30 & 00 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & 250 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1837 | 048 0 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1837 |  |  |

Tabular Statement of State

| Town. | County. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. 18, East Division... | Washington....... | 212 | 21. | - | 212 |
| No. 19, East Division........ | Washington........ | 250 | 21 | - | $\dagger 250$ |
| No. 24, Middle Division..... | Washington....... | 225 | 21 | - | $\dagger 225$ |
| No. 29 Middle Division 1.... | Washington... | 15 | 2 | - | +315 |
| No. 30, Middle Division..... | Washington....... | 315 | 21 | - | $\dagger 315$ |
| No. 31, Middle Division ..... | Washington. ..... | 8 |  |  |  |
| Oakfield 2.................... | A roostook | 3168 | 21 | - | 3168 |
| Oakland... | Kennebec . | 990 | 25 | 125 | 990 |
| Old Orchard 3 . | York ............. | 1500 | 25 | 1500 | 1500 |
| Old Town . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Penobscot......... | 1005 | 21 | 1005 | *1005 |
| Orient... | A roostook | 1048 | 23 | 548 | $\dagger 1048$ |
| Orland | Hancock | 3813 | 21 | - | 3813 |
| Orneville | Piscataquis........ | 510 | 21 | 500 | $\dagger 510$ |
| Orono. . | Penobscot.......... | 1800 | 25 | 100 | 1800 |
| Orrington. | Penobscot....... | 1025 | 30 | 865 | 1025 |
| Otis | Hancock | 858 | 21 | 385 | 858 |
| Otisfield. | Cumberiand ...... | $3547 \frac{1}{2}$ | 23 | 430 | 3547 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Oxford 4.. | Oxford | 2900 | 23 | - | $\dagger 2900$ |
| Palermo.. | Waldo. | 625 | 21 |  | +625 |
| Palmyra..................... | Somerset | 959 | 30 | 534 | $\dagger 959$ |
| Paris....................... | Oxford | 1450 | 25 | - | 1450 |
| Parkman................... | Piscataquis........ | $\S$ |  |  |  |
| Parlin Pond, T'p No. 3, R. 7 | Somerset ........... | 100 550 | 21 | - | 100 |
| ${ }_{-}{ }^{\text {Prsonsfield................. }}$ | York ............... | 550 | 22 | - | 550 |
| Passadumkeag ........ .... | Penobscot | 325 | 24 | 325 | 325 |
| Patten.... | Penobscot...... | 1924 | 18 | 210 | *1224 |
| Pembroke................... | Washington . ..... | 300 | 21 | - | 300 |
| Penobscot.................. | Hancock ... | 3150 | 21 | - | 3150 |
| Perham 5.......... ......... | Aroostook ........ | 2400 | 22 | 2350 | 2400 |
| Perkins Township .......... | Frankiln | 40 | 25 | - | $\dagger 40$ |

${ }^{1}$ No. 29, Mid. Div., Wash. : no road built. Money expended in building culvert.
2 Oakfield: diameter of concrete culvert not given.
3 Old Orchard : surfaced with ledge rock.
4 Oxford: surfaced with sand-clay mixture.
5 Perham: expended joint fund for 1909 and 1910 together.
§ Laid over.

Road Work in igio-Continued.


Tabular Statement of State

| Town. | County. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perry... .................... | Washington ....... | 2100 | 21 | - | 2100 |
| Peru........................ | Oxford ............ | 700 | 21 | - | 700 |
| Phillips ..................... | Franklin | 3150 | 21 | - | 3150 |
| Phippsbụrg ................. | Sagadahoc ........ | 900 | 21 | 250 | 900 |
| Pittsfield ..................... | Somerset.......... | 1662 | 21 | 1662 | +1662 |
| Pittston...................... | Kennebec.......... | 1240 | 23 | - | 1240 |
| Pleasant Ridge Pl $1 . . . . . . . .$. | Somerset.......... | - | - | - | - |
| Plymouth ................... | Penobscot......... | 1050 | 20 | 1050 | 1050 |
| Poland ...................... | Androscoggin..... | 1400 | 22 | 1250 | 1400 |
| Porter 2. | Oxford | 850 | 32 | - | 850 |
| Pownal....................... | cumberland | 1100 | 23 | - | 1100 |
| Prentiss..................... | Penobscot.. | 841 | 24 | 841 | 841 |
| Presque Isle.................. | Aroostook........... | 1600 | 21 to 24 | 1400 | 1600 |
| Princeton .................. | Washington ........ | 1200 | 21 | 900 | 1200 |
| Prospect..................... | Waldo............ | 2000 | 21 | 248 | 2000 |
| Randolph .................. | Kennebec ......... | 128 | 53 | - | $\ddagger 128$ |
| Rangeley...................... | Franklin ............ | 450 | 30 | - | 450 |
| Rangeley Pl................. | Franklin | 1241 | 23 | - | $\dagger 1241$ |
| Raymond ${ }^{\text {a }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . | Cumberland | 2000 | 26 | - | $\dagger 2000$ |
| Readfield ................... | Kennebec.......... | 1746 | 23 | 1746 | 1746 |
| Reed Pl.. | A roostook | 475 | 22 | 475 | $\dagger 475$ |
| Richmond .................. | Sagadahoc ......... | 300 | 44 | - 640 | $\pm 300$ +760 |
| Ripley........................ | Somerset .......... | 760 | 21 | 640 | $\dagger 760$ |

[^9]Road Work in 1910-Continued.


Tabular Statement of State

| Town. | County. |  | 芯 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Robbinston.................... | Washington . | 3300 | 24 | - | 3300 |
| Rockland..................... | Knox.............. | I |  |  |  |
| Rome............. ........... | Kennebec . . . . . . . . | 1100 | 21 | - | 1100 |
| Roque Bluffs . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Washington ....... | 850 | 21 | - | 850 |
| Roxbury .................... | Oxford | 1323 | 22 | * | 1323 |
| Rumford . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Oxford | 4320 | 23 | 320 | *4320 |
| Saco. | York | 750 | 17.5 | - | *750 |
| St. Albans | Somerset | 990 | 30 | 990 | t990 |
| St. Francis Pl 1................ | Aroostook | 3212 | 20 | - | 3212 |
| St. George 2.................. | Knox.............. | 800 | 21 | 150 | *800 |
| St. John Pl. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Aroostook.......... | 2960 | 20 | - | 2960 |
| Salem 3.. | Franklin | 600 | 21 | - | 600 |
| Sandy River Pl............... | Franklin . . . . . . . . | 200 | 21 | - | 200 |
| Sandy Bay Township 5, R. 3. | Somerset . . . . . . . | 800 1900 | 18 | - | 800 1900 |
| Sanford...................... | York . . . . . . . . . . . | 1900 | 26 | - | 1900 |
| Sangerville.................. | Piscataquis. . . . . . | 1000 | 21 | 1000 | 1000 |
| Scarborough ................ | Cumberland ...... | 2000 | 24 | 200 | 2000 |
| Searsmont................... | Waldo . | 975 | 23 | 950 | $\dagger 975$ |
| Searsport................ ... | Waldo........ .... | $\S$ |  |  |  |
| Sebago ....................... | Cumberland ...... | 435 | 21 |  | 435 |
| Sebec.......................... | Piscataquis........ | 775 | 21 | 750 | 775 |
| Seboeis Pl.............. ..... | Penobscot.......... | 2013 | 25 | - | $\dagger 2018$ |
| Sedgwick..................... | Hancock .......... | 2900 | 21 | 60 | 2900 |
| Shapleigh .................... | York . . . . . . . . . . | 1400 | 21 | - | 1400 |

[^10]Road Work in 1910-Continued.


Tabular Statement of State


[^11]Road Work in igio-Continued.


Tabular Statement of State

| Town. | County. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sweden 1 $\qquad$ | Oxford ............ | 3375 420 | 221 | 420 | 3375 420 |
| Temple... ..... ............ | Franklin | 1150 | 21 | 600 | 1150 |
| The Forks Pl. 2............. | Somerset . . . . . . . . | - | - | - | - |
| Thomaston................. | Knox. | 630 | 26 | 630 | 630 |
| Thorndike.. | Waldo. | 870 | 23 | 550 | 870 |
| Topsfield....................... | Washington........ Sagadahoc....... | 867 550 | 24 87.5 | 425 | 867 +550 + |
| Tremont. | Hancock ............ | 550 | 21 | 525 | 550 |
| Trenton . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Hancock .......... | 500 | 21 | 500 | 500 |
| Trescott.... ................ | Washington....... | 700 | 21 | 100 | 700 |
| Troy.... | Waldo............. | 1510 | 22 | 1260 | $\dagger 1510$ |
| Turner . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Androscoggin...... | 2241 | 23-28 | 1909 | 2241 |
| Union . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Knox. | 1150 | 21 | 350 | 1150 |
| Unity........................ | Waldo. | 900 | 22 | 780 | $\dagger 900$ |
| Unity Pl..................... | Kennebec | 200 | 23 |  | $\dagger 200$ |
| Van Buren....................... |  | 600 | 21 | 600 900 | 600 |
| Vanceboro .................. | Washington | 2215 | 21 | - | $\dagger 2215$ |
| Vassalboro .................. . | Kennebec. | 2800 | 21-23 | - | 2800 |
| Verona | Hancock | 1400 | 21 | 195 | 1400 |
| Vienna...................... | Kennebec.. | 6000 | 21 | - | $\dagger 6000$ |
| Vinalhaven ................. | Knox. | 400 | 22 | 400 | 400 |
| Wade Pl..................... | Aroostook......... | 300 | 21 |  | 800 |
| Waite........................ | Washington ....... | 975 | 24 | 580 | 975 |
| Waldo....................... | Waldo............. | 1500 | 23 | 400 | 1500 |
| Waldoboro | Lincoln.. | 820 | 23 | - | *820 |
| Wales........................ | Androscoggin .. .. | 455 | 23 | - | 455 |
| Wallagrass Pl................ | Aroostook .......... | § 800 | 21 | - | 800 |

1 Sweden: Size of culvert not given.
2 The Forks Plantation: Data not received.
8 Waltham: Expended 1909 and 1910 joint funds together.
§ Laid over.

Road Work in igio-Continued.


Tabular Statement of State

| Town. | County. |  | Finished width-feet. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Warren | Knox. | 1580 | 22 | 1580 | 1580 |
| Washburn. | Aroostook ......... | 1165 | 32 | 865 | 1165 |
| Washington................ | Knox............. | 1595 | 21 | - | 1595 |
| Waterboro.................. | York | 1400 | 24 | - | 1400 |
| Waterford................... | Oxford ............ | 1825 | 24 | - | 1825 |
| Waterville.................. | Kennebec . . . . . . . . | 1800 | 29 | - | 1800 |
| Wayne...................... | Kennebec | 450 | 23 | 400 | 450 |
| Webster | Androscoggin ..... | 1500 | 21 | 1500 | 1500 |
| Webster Pl.................. | Penobscot......... | 596 | 22 | 215 | 596 |
| Weld | Franklin | 2700 | 22 | - | 2700 |
| Wellington................... | Piscataquis........ | 720 | 21 | - | +720 |
| Wells....................... | York. .............. | 1600 | 21 | - | 1600 |
| Wesley....................... | Washington....... | 820 | 21 | - | 820 |
| West Bath. | Sagadahoc ........ | 1285 | 21 | - | 1285 |
| Westbrook................... | Cumberland ...... | 1165 | 13.3-23 | - | $\ddagger 1165$ |
| Westfleld Pl.. | Aroostook | 930 | 22 | 295 | 930 |
| West Forks Pl ${ }_{\text {West }}$ Gardiner....... | Somerset... | 1200 |  |  |  |
| Weston | Aroostook | 610 | 21 | - | 610 |
| Westport..................... | Lincoln | 650 | 18 | - | 650 |
| Whitefield. | Lincoln.. | 1298 | 21 | 250 | $\dagger 1298$ |
| Whiting..................... | Washington....... | 1550 | 22 | - | 1550 |
| Whitneyville................ | Washington. | 500 | 26 | - | 500 |
| Williamsburg.. | Piscataquis ........ | 700 | 21 | - | $\dagger 700$ |
| Willimantic.. | Piscataquis | 430 | 21 | 230 | 430 |
| Wilton....................... | Franklin .......... | 1630 | 30 | 1130 | 1630 |
| Windham 1................. | Cumberland ...... | 3400 | 24 | - | 3400 |
| Windsor..................... | Kennebec. | 3800 | 21 |  | 3800 |
| Winn. | Penobscot. | 608 | 24 | 503 | +608 |
| Winslow. | Kennebec | 260 | 45.5 |  | 1260 |
| Winter Harbor. . | Hancock |  | 20 | 100 | 800 |
| Winterport. | Waldo. | 2800 | 21 |  | 2800 |
| Winthrop................... | Kennebec ......... | 950 | 21 | 500 | 950 |

[^12]Road Work in 1910-Continued.


Tabular Statement of State

| Town. | County. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wiscasset. | Lincoln ... | 1000 | 26 | 1000 | 1000 |
| Woodland 1.................. | Aroostook... | 3080 | 22 | 1530 | 3080 |
| Woodstock | Oxford .... | 1355 | 21 | - | 1355 |
| Woodville........... | Penobscot.. | 1360 | 21 | 1360 | $\dagger 1369$ |
| Wyman Township, No.4, R. 3 | Franklin ..... | 330 1360 | $\stackrel{22}{24}$ | 175 | 330 1360 |
| York 2. ...................... | York. | - | - | - | - |

1 Woodland: expended joint fund for 1909 and 1910 together.
2 York: joint fund expended on trunk line work.

TABLE II.
Tabular Statement of 1909 State

| Town. | County. |  | Finished width-feet. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bucksport. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Hancock . . . . . . . . . | 500 | 25 | - | 500 |
| Mt. Desert. | Hancock . . . . . . . | 1030 | 22 |  | 1080 |
| Vinalhaven. | Knox............... | 395 | 21 | 395 | $\dagger 395$ |
| Westbrook | Cumberland ...... | 3072 | 13 to 23 | 1872 | \$8072 |

Road Work in 1910-Concluded.


TABLE II.
Road Work Completed in 1910.

| Culverts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\ldots$ <br> 15 <br> $36 \times 24$ <br> $\ldots \ldots .$. |  | - | \$1313 13 | \$92700 | \$463 50 | - | \$386 18 | \$2 63 |
| Iron |  | 24 | \$24 70 | 137047 | 128862 | 55012 | - | 8685 | 133 |
| Stone. |  | 24 | 11313 | 71768 | 71700 | 35850 | - | 063 | 182 |
|  |  | - |  | 871647 | 692587 | 92587 | - | 179060 | 284 |

## TABLE III.

## Statement Showing Amounts of Unexpended Balances of 1908 and 1909 Aid Paid in 1910.



TABLE IV.

## Statement Showing Towns Having an Unexpended Balance of State Aid Standing to Their Credit, and Amounts of Same.

(1908-1909-1910.)


TABLE IV-Concluded.


## NAMES OF INSPECTORS OF STATE ROAD WORK.

## Work Performed by Towns and Cost of Same.

H. H. ADAMS, Belgrade, Maine, 17 towns.

| Belgrade | $\$ 91447$ | Rome | \$647 56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fayette. | 60213 | Sidney | 83778 |
| Greene | 43520 | Smithfield | 58042 |
| Leeds | 10955 | Turner | 91940 |
| Litchfield | 80380 | Vienna | 46683 |
| Monmouth | 80000 | Wales | 30431 |
| Mt. Vernon | 60033 | Wayne | 37491 |
| Oak land | 88219 | West Gardiner | 39906 |
| Readfield | 80692 |  |  |

D. A. BALLARD, Fryeburg, Maine, 22 towns.

G. S. P. BRANNEN, Danforth, Maine, 35 towns.

E. C. BUZZELL, Fryeburg Center, Maine, 15 towns.

| Albany | \$128 25 | Otisfield. | 879843 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brownfield | 80450 | Parls | 1,109 31 |
| Denmark | 80203 | Porter. | 44843 |
| Fryeburg. | 89782 | Sweden. | 31760 |
| Harrison | 81057 | Stoneham. | 45543 |
| Hiram. | 42980 | Stow | 30029 |
| Lovell. | 81000 | Waterford. | 80933 |
| Norway | 1,028 61 |  |  |

## NAMES OF INSPECTORS-Continued.

F. V. BUZZELL, Lincoln, Maine, 27 towns.

| Bradley | \$443 79 | Lincoln | \$857 02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Burlington | 61545 | Lowell | 59940 |
| Chester. | 41775 | Mattamiscontis | 2750 |
| Clifton | 41156 | Mattawamkeag | 34000 |
| East Millinocket | 79918 | Maxfield | 30156 |
| Edinburg | 31307 | Medway. | 81163 |
| Eddington | 67633 | Milford. | 80119 |
| Enfield. | 83127 | Millinocket | 1,071 32 |
| Grand Falls Plantation | 22785 | Orrington | 61473 |
| Greenbush | 64451 | Passadumkeag | 56090 |
| Greenfield | 62679 | Seboeis. | 20454 |
| Holden. | 60485 | Winn. | 60387 |
| Howland | 79855 | Woodville | 60707 |
| Lee. | 28210 |  |  |

J. W. DAVIDSON, Houlton, Maine, 27 towns.

| Bridgewater | \$800 00 | Ludlow | \$601 51 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cary Plantation | 49355 | Madawaska | 46216 |
| Caswell Plantation | 46139 | Mars Hill | 31646 |
| Castle Hill | 24808 | Mapleton | 93001 |
| Chapman Plantation | 61093 | Monticello | 78182 |
| Connor Plantation. | 14687 | New Limerick | 62230 |
| Cyr Plantation. | 73458 | New Sweden | 60182 |
| Easton | 80822 | Perham | 96421 |
| Grand Isle | 66210 | Van Buren | 84362 |
| Hamlin Plantation | 48494 | Wade Plantation | 54106 |
| Hammond Plantation |  | Washburn | 40677 |
| Hodgdon. | 81850 | Westfield. | 61239 |
| Limestone | 40935 | Woodland | 1,154 38 |
| Littleton.. | 80534 |  |  |

H. W. Gilman, West Farmington, Maine, 27 towns.


IRA B. HAGAN, Jr., Ellsworth, Maine, 18 towns.

| Amherst. | $\$ 58453$ | No. 33 Plantation | \$144 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aurora | 30185 | No. 21 Plantation | 30000 |
| Dedham | 45657 | Otis | 60502 |
| Eastbrook | 13749 | Sorrento | 29751 |
| Franklin | 40000 | Sullivan. | 67978 |
| Gouldsbor | 40713 | Tremont | 87082 |
| Hancock | 81180 | Trenton | 60133 |
| Lamoine | 60199 | Waltham | 40051 |
| Mariaville | 23025 | Winter Harbor | 74207 |

L. E. JACKMAN, Sherman Mills, Maine, 20 towns.

| Ashland. | \$803 83 | Moro Plantation | \$641 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benedicta | 77181 | Mt. Chase | 70039 |
| Dyer Brook | 74638 | Oakfield | 59138 |
| Eagle Lake PI | 80015 | Patten | 80709 |
| Fort Kent | 80847 | St. Francis Plantation | 63054 |
| Hersey | 59675 | St. John Plantation. | 57410 |
| Island Fall | 81432 | Sherman | 80500 |
| Macwahoc Plantation | 30150 | Silver Ridge Plantatio | 60949 |
| Masardis | 29961 | Smyrna.............. | 61528 |
| Merrill Plantatio | 61677 | Stacyville Plantati | 67878 |

## NAMES OF INSPECTORS-Continued.

## B. J. LIBBY, Oakland, Maine, 13 towns.


F. H. Marshall, Camden, Maine, 15 towns.

| Appleton | \$800 02 | South Thomaston. | \$874 37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Camden | 1,883 25 | Swans Island | 60606 |
| Cushing | 60638 | Thomaston. | 84000 |
| Friendship | 50592 | Union | 73040 |
| Hope | 39846 | Vinalbaven | 79758 |
| Isle au Haut | 65653 | Warren. | 92009 |
| North Haven. | 80000 | Washington. | 40677 |
| St. George | 86751 |  |  |

GEORGE MAWHINNEY, Jonesboro, Maine, 17 towns.


CHAS. MITCHELL, North Yarmouth, Maine, 17 towns.

| Baldwin | $\$ 20145$ | North Yarmouth. | \$337 35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cape Elizabeth | 1.10442 | Poland | 92238 |
| Casco. | 80052 | Pownal | 40000 |
| Cumberland | 96887 | Raymond | 50000 |
| Falmouth | 89931 | Sebago | 26278 |
| Freeport | 1,016 74. | Standish | 93132 |
| Gray | 73825 | Windham | 98111 |
| Mechanic Falls New Gloucester | $\begin{aligned} & 89508 \\ & 059 \end{aligned}$ | Yarmouth | 173848 |

A. T. RANDLETT, Pittsfield, Maine, 17 towns.

| Carmel | \$600 00 | Kenduskeag | \$622 46 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corinna | 80133 | Levant | 79956 |
| Dixmont | 59532 | Newburg | 45065 |
| Etna | 60000 | Newport. | 90013 |
| Exeter | 41444 | Palmyra | 39099 |
| Glenburn | 60027 | Plymouth | 62019 |
| Hampden | 86795 | St. Albans. | 51718 |
| Hartland. | 54791 | Stetson | 80548 |
| Hermon | 80090 |  |  |

C. W. SHOREY, Belfast, Maine, 22 towns.

| Belmont. | \$604 49 | North port | \$218 91 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brooks | 80568 | Prospect. | 68435 |
| Frankfort | 80000 | Searsmont | 80075 |
| Freedom | 60219 | Stockton Springs. | 63312 |
| Islesboro | 65751 | Swanville | 60153 |
| Jackson | 59983 | Thorndike | 4724 |
| Knox | 82379 | Troy. | 9122 |
| Liberty | 47812 | Unity | 80534 |
| Monroe | 88541 | Unity Plantation. | 12225 |
| Montville | 50192 | Waido. | 61946 809 |
| Morrill | 64110 | W | 80959 |

## NAMES OF INSPECTORS-Concluded.

## E. E. SMITH, Winslow, Maine, 12 towns.

| Albion. | \$386 03 | Clinton. | \$59400 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benton | 66379 | Detroit | 56941 |
| Burnha | 59505 | Palermo. | 54394 |
| Canaan | 77512 | Pittston | 57188 |
| China | 79631 | Vassalbor | 1,175 80 |
| Chelsea | 9975 | Windsor. | 795 |

J. Jo SPINNEY, Bath, Maine, 25 towns.


AUSTIN T. STEVENS, Surry, Maine, 11 towns.

| Bluehill | \$1,441 78 | Penobscot | \$798 57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brooklin | 33921 | Sedgwick | 78242 |
| Brooksville. | 32979 | Stonington | 84837 |
| Castine. | 49909 | surry. | 60045 |
| Deer Isle | 80855 | Verona | 60025 |
| Orland | 83911 |  |  |

HENRY S. TOWNE, East Dover, Maine, 29 towns.

| Abbot. | \$588 00 | Guilford. | 880439 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alton. | 61152 | Hudson | 35478 |
| Argyle | 30600 | Lagrange | 80000 |
| Atkinson | 60632 | Medford | 60620 |
| Blanchard | 29978 | Milo | 1,018 30 |
| Bowerbank | 30000 | Monson | 59660 |
| Bradford | 82923 | Orneville | 30231 |
| Brown ville | 60144 | Ripley. | 63324 |
| Cambridge | 57237 | sangerville | 79018 |
| Charleston | 42172 | Sebec. | 59832 |
| Corinth | 56045 | Shirley | 63134 |
| Dexter | 1,239 67 | Wellington | 59780 |
| Dover | 1.22256 | Williamsburg | 31751 |
| Foxcroft | 1,076 59 | Willimantic. | 24633 |
| Greenville | 88689 |  |  |

A. J. WIGGIN, Kennebunk, Maine, 22 towns.

| Acton | \$300 00 | Limington | \$400 00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alfred | 40270 | Lyman | 41050 |
| Berwick | 1,627 98 | Newfield | 80601 |
| Buxto | 40500 | North Berwick | 1,240 49 |
| Cornisb | 80010 | Old Orchard | 95785 |
| Dayton | 59460 | Parsonsfield | 495 24 |
| Eliot | 80076 | Scarboro | 1,048 18 |
| Hollis | 43083 | Shapleigh | 1,096 48 |
| Kenneb | 2,435 71 | South Berwick | 1,016 94 |
| Lebanon | 84716 | Waterboro | 842 19 |
| Limerick | 80000 | Wells. | 1,184 18 |

## Statement Showing Names of Inspectors, Number of Days Worked, Salaries and Expenses Paid, Cost of Work Inspected, and Percentage Cost of Inspection.



EXPENDITURES OF OFFICE, 1910.

|  | Cr. | Dr. | Balances. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salary of Commissioner. | \$2,500 00 | \$2,500 00 |  |
| Salary of Assistant Commissioner. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,500 00 | 1,500 00 |  |
| Salary of Clerk and Book-keeper..................... | , 1,300 00 | 1,191 65 | \$108 35 |
| Salary of Stenographer and extra clerk hire...... | 2,700 00 | 1,654 54 | 1,045 46 |
| Traveling expenses of Commissioner and Assistant. . | 2,000 00. | 1,519 14 | 48086 |
| Office furnishings and equipment. | 1,572 89. | 97383 | 59906 |
| Printing reports, blank forms, stationery, supplies, express, telephone, postage, etc | 3,550 00 | 2,743 98 | 80602 |

## TRUNK LINE CONTRACTS.

We herewith present short descriptions of each of the trunk line jobs undertaken this year. As noted elsewhere contracts for I3.II miles of this work were let during the year and 7.99 miles were completed. As this was the first attempt at this kind of work only a few jobs were started. Surveys and plans, however, for some 20 miles of this construction have been completed and we hope to find time to prepare specifications for this work before the road building season opens, so that contracts may be let and the work started early in 191 I.

## CASCO.

Section of road on trunk line leading from White Mountains to Poland Springs via Fryeburg, Bridgton, Naples, Casco and Poland; contractor, F. E. Tenney.

Nature of improvement, clearing, grading and drainage.
Work begun, April 28th; completed, August 2oth.
Estimated quantities and prices for same submitted by contractor:
5,300 lineal feet of grading and surfacing @ \$o.r8.
12 dry stone culverts ................. $\$ 219$ oo
500 lineal feet wood guardrail @ \$o.ro.
Lump sum amount of contract .................. \$1,219 oo
Advertising ...................................... 40 o6
Total cost of work ........................... \$1,259 o6
State apportionment............... ........ \$750 00
Subscribed by A. B. Ricker ...................... 15000
Appropriated by town of Casco ............... 359 o6
\$1,259 06

## POLAND.

Section of road on trunk line leading from White Mountains to Poland Springs, via Fryeburg, Bridgton, Naples, Casco and Poland. Contractor, David McLellan.

Nature of improvement : clearing, grading and drainage.
Work begun April 28th; completed, August 20th.
Estimated quantities and prices for same submitted by contractor:
5,362 lineal feet of road surfaced @ \$0.235.
5 dry stone culverts, \$125.00.
I culvert to be lengthened 6 ft ., $\$$ 1o.00.
Brush mattress 12 inches deep, $\$ 47,50$.
Clearing, \$io.oo.
300 feet of side ditch, $\$ 20.00$.
Lump sum amount of contract ................... \$1,472 57
Advertising .................................... 4006
Total cost of work ................................ \$1,512 63
State apportionment..................... . $\$ 75000$
Subscribed by A. B. Ricker ...................... 25000
Appropriated by town of Poland ............... 51263
\$1,512 63

## KITTERY, SECTION NO. i.

Contract "E." Contractors, Tyrrell \& Gill. W. A. Grover, engineer ; A. J. Wiggin and Walter H. Hawkes, inspectors.

Nature of improvement, grading, drainage and macadam surface ; area, 13,474 sq. yds.; cost per sq. yd., including grading, \$1.22.

Work begun August ist ; completed, December 3rd.
The section of road improved begins at the bridge between Portsmouth and Kittery and extends easterly to the state road work done by the town in 1909. On July 15th bids were received, ranging from $\$ 16,800.00$ to $\$ 23,000.00$.

The contract was awarded to Tyrrell \& Gill, the lowest bidders, and consists of the following items:
Grading, lineal feet, 8,084 @ \$0.66.
Macadam surface, square yards, 13,474 @ \$0.74.
Placing 12 inch metal culverts, feet, 112 @ \$0.30.
" 18 " " " 60 @ \$0.30.
Concrete masonry, cubic yards, 53.9 @ \$12.00.
Wood guard rail, lineal feet, 220 @\$0.50.
Steel bars, lbs., 2,392 @ \$0.04.
Stone base, lineal feet, 1,150 @ \$0.59.

Lump sum amount of contract $\$ 16,80000$
Extra work:
12 inch metal culvert, 32 feet ..... $\$ 960$
Concrete end walls, 2 cubic yards ..... 2400
.Guard rail, 132 lineal feet ..... 6600
Total payments on contract ..... \$16,899 60
Engineering and inspection ..... $4{ }^{18} 52$
Advertising ..... 1868
Metal culverts ..... 17499
Total cost of work ..... \$17,51I 79
Amount appropriated by town of Kittery ..... $\$ 45000$State aid apportioned for improvement of stateroads50975
State aid apportioned for improvement of trunk lines ..... 17,000 00
Amount available for expenditure ..... \$17,959 75
Cost of work ..... 17,511 79
Unexpended balance ..... $\$ 44796$
KITTERY, SECTION NO. 2.Contract "F." Contractor, American Truck Co.; W. A.Grover, engineer ; A. J. Wiggin, inspector.Nature of improvement, drainage, grading and gravel sur-face. Work begun, September roth.

This section begins at the Brixham road and extends to the York town line.

Bids were received September 3rd ranging from $\$ 7,338.50$ to $\$ 11,840.00$. The contract was awarded to the lowest bidder and consists of the following items:
Grading, lineal feet, 7,144 @ \$0.58.
Gravel surface, square yards, 11,907 @ $\$ 0.23$.
12 inch metal culvert, feet, 104 @ \$0.25.
18 " " " 26 @ \$0.30.
Concrete masonry, cubic yards,.11 @ \$8.o0.
Stone base, lineal feet, 870 @ \$0.35.
Side ditch, lineal feet, 300 @ \$0.1o.
Lump sum amount of contract ..... $\$ 7,33^{8} 50$
Payments on contract to Jan. Ist, i9II.
$85 \%$ of work done ..... \$4,907 52
Advertising ..... 2384
Engineering and inspection ..... 20090
Total cost to January ist, ig I ..... \$5,132 26
State apportionment ..... \$10,000 00
Cost of work to Jannary ist, igir ..... 5,132 26
Unexpended balance $\$ 4,86774$Work not completed.
YORK.Contract "G," Contractor, American Truck Company ; W. A.Grover, engineer; A. J. Wiggin, inspector.Nature of improvement, drainage, grading and gravel sur-face.Work begun, September 3oth; not completed in i910.The section of road improved begins at the Kittery town lineand extends easterly.On September 3 rd, bids ranging from $\$ 6,756.62$ to $\$ 7,980.00$were received and the contract was awarded to the AmericanTruck Company, the lowest bidder.Estimated quantities and the prices submitted by the con-tractor are as follows :
Grading, lineal feet, 5,356 @ \$0.67.
Gravel surface, square yards, 8,927 @ \$o.3o.
I2 inch metal culvert, lineal feet, 130 @ \$0.25.Wood guard rail, lineal feet, 260 @ \$0.25."V" drain, lineal feet, 200 @ \$o.70.
Stone base, lineal feet, 650 @ \$0.35.
Retaining wall repairs, \$25.00.
Lump sum amount of contract ..... \$6,756 62
Advertising ..... $\$ 2384$
Engineering and inspection ..... 19574
Metal culverts ..... 9880
Payment on contracts ..... 3,135 73
Total payments by State of Maine ..... $\$ 3,454$ I I
Payments on contract by town of York ..... I,I72 83
Total cost of work ..... \$4,626 94
Apportionment for trunk line. ..... \$8,000 00
Payments by State of Maine ..... 3,454 II
Unexpended apportioned balance ..... $\$ 4,54589$
Statement of state road account: Joint fund ..... \$1,575 75
Amount paid by town of York ..... \$1,172 83
Town's part of joint fund ..... 90000
Aid due town of York ..... $\$ 27283$
Aid paid town of York ..... 28273
Overpayment of aid ..... $\$ 990$
Actual unexpended balance forward to I9II ..... \$393 02
Aid due on completion of contract ..... 38312
WELLS.

Section of road built by day labor under the supervision of A. J. Wiggin, located on the Kittery and Portland Trunk Line, beginning at the westerly end of the section built by the town of Wells in 1910 and extending westerly to 140 feet west of the Boston \& Maine Railway crossing.

The work was begun November ist and continued until November 27th.

Work consists of grading and graveling. Length, 1,800 feet.
State apportionment ............................... \$1,800 oo
Payments for labor and material. . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 89971$
Engineering and inspection ........................ 5483
Total payments in 1910 ................... $\$ 95454$
Unexpended balance ................................ $\$ 84546$
KENNEBUNK.
The joint funds for 1909 and 1910 were available for expenditure in Kennebunk. The municipal officers and the state commissioner together decided that these funds could best be expended, together with an apportionment of trunk line funds,
in reconstructing so much of the designated state road as lies between Mousam bridge in the village of Kennebunk and the Wells line, a distance of about two miles. This is a section of the trunk line leading from Portland, Maine to Portsmouth, N. H., which was in very bad shape.

Specifications were prepared for the reconstruction of this road with the following estimated quantities:
Grading, lineal feet, 7,996.
Gravel surface, square yards, 3,666 .
Macadam surface, square yards. 13,327.
or gravel, " " " 13,327.
I2 inch metal culvert, feet, 2I
16 " " " $\quad 25$
18 " " " " 25 .
24 " " " " 7 I .
Concrete masonry, cubic yards, 17.22.
Wooden fencing, lineal feet, 24.
Drop inlets, complete, 2.
Rebuilding culvert.
Outlet ditch, feet, 1,100 .
Twenty-two hundred feet ( 2200 ft .) or 3,666 square yards of this road had been previously graveled, and the work called for on this section consisted of a three-inch (3-inch) surfacing only, to conform to the new grade and crown of the road.

All material and labor to be furnished by the contractor except metal culverts.

On June twenty-ninth the following lump sum bids were received:

For macadam surface:
Angelo Lorello, Portland, Maine . ............... $\$ 20,4087$ I
Fred E. Ellis, Melrose, Mass . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 21,25509
For gravel surface:
Angelo Lorello 17,476•77
Fred E. Ellis, Melrose, Mass. .................... I3, 1325
These bids were rejected as being too high.
The highway commissioner reported these conditions to the governor and council and asked for permission to construct a portion of this section by day-labor in charge of one of the inspectors of the department. On the assurance that the work could be done at a much less price than had been bid, the gov-
ernor and council authorized the state commissioner to proceed and a crew was organized and put upon the work under the supervision of A. J. Wiggin, state road inspector.

5,200 feet of road, beginning at the Wells line and extending towards Kennebunk was rebuilt in a much more substantial manner than had been specified for the contract work. The cost of rebuilding this section, including 1, roo feet of old gravel surface which was really reconstructed, was $\$ 3,399.25$.
Work done by State of Maine:
Labor and material
\$3,074 60
Advertising . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2560
Culverts, gravel, tools, etc. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 20629
Engineering and inspection ...................... . 9276
Cost
\$3,399 25
A contract was let to the town of Kennebunk for the reconstruction of 3,996 lineal feet, beginning on the road at the Mousam bridge and running westerly, including, as stated above, $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{Ioo}$ feet which had already been graveled. The lump sum price for doing this work, including grading, draining and incidental work was three thousand eighty dollars and thirtyseven cents ( $\$ 3,080.37$.) After the contract was executed permission was given to substitute macadam for gravel on the first 700 feet of the work. This work was under the general charge of Mr. Wiggin and was completed according to specifications, including the 700 feet of macadam and an extra 1,000 feet of grading and graveling at a cost of two thousand four hundred thirty-five dollars and seventy-one cents (\$2,435.71). For details of this work see Kennebunk contract No. 24.

It is thus seen that the complete cost of reconstructing this two-mile section of trunk line was $\$ 5,834.96$.

Available for this work:
State apportionment ............................. \$6,500 oo
Joint funds for 1909-10 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3,080 37
Total ........................ ......... \$9,580 37
Cost of work ........................... $\$ 5,83496$

Total length of road, 10,196 feet ; width, 21 feet.
Macadam surface, 700 feet $\times 20$ feet.
Gravel surface, 9,496 feet $\times 18$ feet.
Total number square yards, 21,525 .
Cost per square yard, $\$ 0.27$.
Unexpended balance of Trunk Line apportionment \$3,100 75

## SOUTH PORTLAND.

Contract "D". John W. Gulliver, contractor ; H. W. Grant, engineer; Walter H . Hawkes, inspector.

Section of road on the trunk line between Kittery and Portland, beginning at the Standard Oil Company's plant near Vaughan's Bridge and extending westerly to Cash's Corner.

This work was advertised for the construction of 2,825 lineal feet of grading and 5,650 square yards of bituminous macadam surface, the contractor to perform all labor and furnish all material, except bituminous binder, and the following lump sum bids were received June 28th:
R. D. Shanahan, Portland ....................... $\$ 7,75575$

John W. Gulliver, Portland ..................... 7,784 oo
Forgione \& Romano Co., Portland ............. 8,80000
As only six thousand one hundred dollars ( $\$ 6,100.00$ ) was available for this improvement these bids were all rejected and the specifications were changed, substituting a gravel surface 24 feet wide in place of the bituminous macadam surface and the work was advertised as follows:
Grading, lineal feet, 2,825 .
Gravel surface, square yards, 7,534.
On July 18th the following lump sum bids were received: John W. Gulliver, Portland .................... $\$ 4,44900$
Forgione \& Romano Co., Portland............. 5,622 I5
Angelo Lorello, Portland ......................... 5, 550 00
R. D. Shanahan, Portland . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5,797 oo

The contract was awarded to John W. Gulliver, the lowest bidder, at the above price.

For the construction of this section of road, the sum of three thousand one hundred dollars ( $\$ 3,100.00$ ) was contributed by citizens of Portland through the efforts of Philip J. Deering,


South Portland. Section of Trunk Line, showing road before improvement


South Portland. Section of Trunk Line, showing road after improvement Gravel surface
$1$
representing the Portland Board of Trade, and three thousand dollars ( $\$ 3,000.00$ ) was apportioned by the Governor and Council from the trunk line fund.
A complete statement of expenditures is as follows:
Advertising ...................................... $\$ 36$ or
Payments on contract and extra work .......... 4,824 oo
Road oil .............................................. . 109 . 82
Engineering and inspection . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 26225

Total expenditure ............................ $\$ 5,232$ o8
The above statement of expenditures when separated into the subscription account and the State of Maine apportionment account is as follows:

## SUBSCRIPTION ACCOUNT.

Advertising . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 36$ or
Inspection .............................................. . . 155 05
Payments on contract . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2,90894
Unexpended balance .............................. 15 I 20
Amt. of subscriptions with interest .............. \$3, II5 20

STATE OF MAINE ACCOUNT.
Engineering and inspection ....................... . \$107 20
Payments on contract ................................. 1,915 o6
Road oil ............................................ 10982
Unexpended balance .... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 86792
State apportionment .......................... \$3,000 00

## ROCKLAND-ROCKPORT.

Five and one-half miles of road on the trunk line leading from Rockland to Bangor along Penobscot Bay and River. This section begins at Maverick Square, Rockland, and extends to the iron bridge in Rockport.

Specifications call for completely regrading this section of road, providing thorough surface and underdrainage and a bituminous macadam wearing surface fourteen feet wide sup-
ported by earth, gravel or stone shoulders three feet wide on each side, giving a twenty foot roadway.

Contractor furnishes all labor, tools and machinery of every kind; all stone for macadam; all cement and stone for masonry; receives metal culverts furnished by state at Rockland, delivers and places them; receives bituminous binder furnished by state, at Rockland, delivers, heats and applies same.

Specifications for bituminous surface are as follows:
"The materials used in building the Bituminous Macadam surface, shall be the hardest local limestone and refined tar.

The broken limestone of sizes called for by the following specifications shall be furnished by the party of the second part; refined tar shall be furnished by the party of the first part.

The road shall be built in two courses. The first course to consist of broken stone ranging in size from $21 / 2$ inches in diameter to $1 / 4$ inches in diameter placed and rolled to a finished depth of 4 inches on the sub-grade prepared as called for by Section 4, page 5; this first course shall be well filled with screenings and rolled until solid, care being taken that no surplus fine material remains on the surface, after the voids have been filled.

The second course to consist of broken stone ranging in size from $11 / 4$ inches in diameter to $3 / 4$ inches in diameter placed on the first course and lightly rolled to grade and crown, having a finished depth of $21 / 2$ inches. This second course shall be thoroughly dry before applying the tar binder.

The tar binder shall be distributed upon the second course at the rate of approximately $\mathrm{I} / 2$ gallons per square yard.

The tar should be heated in iron kettles holding not less than nine barrels, to a temperature of between $250^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. and $325^{\circ}$ F. and may either be poured from coal scuttles, watering pots with fan shaped nozzle, or run upon the road through a hose connected with the kettle, or applied from a mechanical distributor approved by the engineer. The tar should completely penetrate the second course of stone.

Clean $1 / 2$ inch stone, free from dust, shall be immediately spread upon the binder of sufficient quantity to fill the voids, and the road then rolled until firm.
A paint coat of hot tar should then be applied at the rate of approximately $\mathrm{I} / 2$ gallon per square yard and covered with $1 / 2$ inch screenings which may contain some dust.


Rockland-Rockport. Trunk Line, showing method of applying bituminous material
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The road should then be rolled and fresh screenings added where called for by the engineer.

The party of the first part reserves the right to change the bituminous binder at any time, but the general method of treatment shall be the same as specified herein.

As this work is located in two municipalities it was decided to let it in two separate contracts.
The following work is located in Rockland:
Grading, lineal feet
4,624
Bituminous Macadam, square yards ................. 7859 r-3
10" metal culvert, lineal feet ............................ 92
$12^{\prime \prime}$ metal culvert, lineal feet ................................. 214
16" metal culvert, lineal feet . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 46
$20^{\prime \prime}$ metal culvert, lineal feet . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 34
Cement stone masonry, cubic yards ................. 6
Concrete masonry, cubic yards ....................... 60
Wood fence, lineal feet ................................... 100
Catch basin ................................................ I
Side ditch, lineal feet ..................................... 300
Stone drain, lineal feet ................................. 447
Drop Inlet $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$.
The specifications for the Rockport section include the following work:
Grading, lineal feet .................................. 23,733
Bituminous macadam, square yards ............... 37,584 2-3
ro" metal culvert, lineal feet ......................... . I64
12" metal culvert, lineal feet .......................... 338
$14{ }^{\prime \prime}$ metal culvert, lineal feet ........................ 22
$16^{\prime \prime}$ metal culvert, lineal feet ......................... 24
$18^{\prime \prime}$ metal culvert, lineal feet ......................... 54
$20^{\prime \prime}$ metal culvert, lineal feet ......................... 48
Concrete masonry, cubic yards ..................... 85
Wood fence, lineal feet ............................... 740
Catch basin ....................................... I
Steel, lbs. .............................................. . 1,035
"V" drain, lineal feet ............................. 3,660
Stone base, lineal feet ............................. 300
Drop inlets ......................................... 2
This work was advertised for letting on May 24th, but no bids were received.

On June 9th the following lump sum bids were received:
Rockland Rockport Total
Hassam Paving Co.,
Worcester, Mass. ... $\$ 9,4543$ II $\quad \$ 4 \mathrm{I}, 526 \quad 73 \quad \$ 50,97604$
D. M. Susi,

Pittsfield, Me., ..... II,980 00 55,437 00 67,417 00 Bearce \& Clifford,

Lewiston, Me. ...... 15,771 00 57,371 00 73,142 00 All these bids were rejected as being too high.

On June 22nd the following bid was received and contract was awarded:
Rockland Rockport Total

Coleman Bros.,
Chelsea, Mass ...... \$7,700 oo \$35,200 00 \$42,900 00
On October 3Ist work was suspended for the season on account of cold weather, two and three-fourths miles of road having been completed. Work will be resumed as soon as weather will permit.

Expenditures to date on these two contracts are as follows: Paid account of contracts to Coleman Bros. . . . . \$19,676 о6
Paid for metal culverts and Bitumintus Binders. . 6,199 56
Paid for engineering, salary and expenses ....... I, 2 I4 24
Paid for advertising and general expenses ....... I62 52
Total . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$27,252 $3^{8}$
Available for this work:
State apportionments . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$33,000 00
Subscriptions from individuals .................. . 12,10000
Appropriated by city of Rockland . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4,00000
Appropriated by town of Rockport . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4,000 00
Interest on deposits . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 438 38
Total ............................................ . . $\$ 53,53^{8} 3^{8}$


Rockland-Rockport. Trunk Line. Finished section built in 1910. Bituminous Macadam
)

# SPECIAL CONTRACTS, (NOT REQUIRED UNDER THE STATE ROAD LAW) MADE AT REQUEST OF MUNICIPAL OFFICERS BY THE DEPARTMENT. 

## NAPLES.

Lewis P. Knight, $\quad$ Contractor.
G. A. Glover, Selectman, $\quad$ In charge of the work.
Nature of improvement, dry rubble rip-rap filling, split stone
coping, iron guard rail.
Roadway 21 feet wide with gravel surface i5 feet wide;
length, I95 feet.
Amount of contract, $\$ 599.00$.
Additional work of a similar kind was done by the town,
making total length 315 feet; width, 26 feet.

Details of work done by town are as follows:
Grading .............................................. \$117 oo

Earth surface ........................................ 45 oo
Guard rails (iron) 120 feet $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. ...... $5_{1} 30$
Stone coping, sharpening drills, etc. ................ 5340
Cost of additional work .......................... $\$ 26670$
Total cost of work .......................................... $\$ 86570$
Amount appropriated by town .................... $\$ 400$ oo
State aid apportioned under Section $6 \ldots \ldots . \ldots$..... 40000
Joint fund ........................................ $\$ 800$ oo
Additional amount furnished by town ............. 6570
Net cost of work ................................. $\$ 86570$
Cost to town ........................................ 46570
State aid approved .............................. $\$ 400$ oo

## RANDOLPH.



## WINDHAM.

David W. McLellan, W. H. Cram, Selectman,

Contractor.
In charge of the work.

Nature of improvement, grading, gravel surface, 15 feet wide; side ditch and cement stone culverts lengthened and rebuilt.
Length, 3,400 feet; width, 24 feet.
I cement stone culvert, lengthened 2 feet.
I cement stone culvert, lengthened 3 feet.
I cement stone culvert, rebuilt 28.5 feet.
I cement stone culvert, rebuilt 28 feet.
Amount of contract $\$ 95200$
Engineering and advertising 29 II

Total cost of work............................ \$98i II
Amount appropriated by town ..... $\$ 50000$
State aid apportioned under Section 6 ..... 460 oo
Joint fund ..... $\$ 960$ oo
Additional amount furnished by town ..... 2 I II
Net cost of work ..... \$98i II
Cost to town ..... 52I 00
State aid approved ..... $\$ 46000$
RAYMOND.
Chas. H. Berry, G. H. Hall, Selectman,
Contractor.
In charge of the work.Nature of improvement, grading, drainage, earth surface.Length, 2,000 feet ; width, 26 feet.
Grading, 2 stone culverts, each 12 inches $\times 15$ inches $\times 26$feet.
Amount of contract ..... $\$ 50000$
Amount appropriated by town ..... $\$ 25000$
State aid apportioned under Section 6 ..... 25000
Joint fund ..... $\$ 500$ oo
Cost of work ..... $\$ 50000$
Cost to town ..... 25000
State aid approved ..... $\$ 25000$

WORK UNDER SPECIAL LEGISLATIVE RESOLVES.

Following are reports of the estimates of the principal highway and bridge jobs referred to the state highway department for supervision under resolves of the Legislature of 1909.

RESOLVE IN FAVOR OF AID IN REPAIRING IIIGHWAY IN THE TOWN
OF GREENBUSH.

In the report for 1909 was included a report of the progress under this resolve stating that the work had been advertised and no bids had been received also that we were unable to close a contract with the selectmen of the town to take the work for the state appropriation.

On June eleventh, igio, the selectmen notified the department that the town had appropriated six hundred dollars ( $\$ 600.00$ ) to be expended with the state appropration. Bids were advertised to be opened on August sixteenth, i910, but none were received.

On August twenty-sixth another attempt was made to get the selectmen to take the contract for the work for the amount of the state appropriation; in this way leaving for the town to pay only the exact cost of the work over and above the amount of the state appropriation. On September fifth the selectmen notified the department that they could not arrange to do this and that in their opinion the appropriation had better be allowed to lapse. At the solicitation of some of the citizens of Oldtown the state commissioner arranged with Mr . E. T. Hartwell, street commissioner of Oldtown, to go to Greenbush early in October and see if any arrangement could be made for starting this work.

Mr. Hartwell reported that it was inadvisable to try to do the work so late in the season, which report confirmed the judg-
ment of the state highway commissioner. Consequently, nothing has been done under the special resolve, but the state road appropriations for 1909 and for 1910 have been expended on this section of road.

RESOLVE IN FAVOR OF ROADS IN INDIAN TOWNSHIP.
Resolved, that the sum of three thousand five hundred dollars be and hereby is appropriated for the construction and repair of roads and bridges in the Indian Township, Washington county, for the year nineteen hundred and nine, and three thousand five hundred dollars for the year nineteen hundred and ten. Each of the above appropriations shall be expended in each year as follows:

One thousand dollars for ordinary maintenance and repairs of the roads in said township, and two thousand five hundred dollars for the permanent improvement of said roads; said appropriation to be expended under the direction of the state commissioner of highways.

After a careful survey and examination of the road in Indian Township, it was decided that the most practical improvement which could be made would be to rebuild the culverts all of which were constructed of cedar or fir piles and covered with dirt, and make proper outlets for these culverts and proper side ditches, in other words to provide for drainage as far as possible, which was in very bad shape. As the work covered a length of six miles and was in small amounts consisting of the placing of twenty-eight or twenty-nine culverts, with concrete end walls and outlets to each culvert varying from 75 feet to 300 feet in length, it was decided to do the work on the day labor basis. A contract for furnishing labor was entered into with Chas. Murray of Woodland at the following rates:

Foreman per day of ten hours ........... \$4 00
Laborers per day of ten hours ........... 200
Teams per day of ten hours ............... 500
We kept an engineer to get grades for the ditches and to inspect the work, and he also kept time of the crew.

The following work was done:
One $12^{\prime \prime}$ culvert $26^{\prime}$ long.
Seven $14^{\prime \prime}$ culverts $26^{\prime}$ long.
Sixteen $16^{\prime \prime}$ culverts $26^{\prime}$ long.
Two $18{ }^{\prime \prime}$ culverts $26^{\prime}$ long.

Two $24^{\prime \prime}$ culverts $26^{\prime}$ long, all with standard concrete ends. One 14 " driveway culvert with ends.
4600 lineal feet of outlet ditches.
9100 lineal feet of side ditches.
Practically all of the outlet ditches had to be built through' dense woods, which necessitated clearing and grubbing, before any excavation could be made. The country is so flat that many of the outlets had to be 300 feet long to get a two foot drop from the culvert.

AVAILABLE FUNDS ANP COST OF WORK.
Balance from 1909 appropriation . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ 69280
For maintenance ..... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1,00000
Appropriation for 1910 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2,500 00
Total ............................................ \$4,192 80
Paid to Chas. Murray, for labor, cement, etc...... \$2,691 92
Paid to Chas. F. Pray of Calais, Engineering...... 25434
Paid to L. McKechnie \& Son ..................... 1489
Paid to C. F. Eaton, use of scow, etc............. 6000
Paid to John Breen ............................... $\quad$ I,000 00
Total expenditure ............................... \$4,021 15
Unexpended balance .............................. 17165
Note-These culverts were purchased in 1909. Gravel for the concrete ends had to be scowed across a lake and hauled from one to six miles with teams.

RESOLVE IN FAVOR OF A HIGHWAY BRIDGE OVER ST. JOHN RIVER retween van buren, maine, and st. Leonards, New

BRUNSWICK.
Under a resolve of the last legislature the sum of thirtyseven thousand five hundred dollars, one-half in the year nineteen hundred nine and one-half in the year nineteen hundred ten was appropriated to meet one-half the cost of constructing a highway bridge over the St. John river, between the towns of Van Buren, Maine, and St. Leonards, New Brunswick, provided the Province of New Brunswick or the Dominion of Canada


International Bridge Masonry between Van Buren, Maine and St. Leonards, New Brunswick. I9Io
furnish and expend an amount sufficient to meet one-half the cost of construction of said bridge.

The Dominion Parliment did not make appropriation for this purpose until igio.
S. J. Chapleau, District Engineer, Department Public Works, representing the Dominion and the State Commissioner of Highways entered into and completed preliminary arrangements for starting the work in June and July. Tenders were called for on the construction of both the substructure and superstructure on September ninth.

The general form of super-structure decided on was a through truss bridge of about 762 feet between back-walls, consisting of three 143 foot spans and one 322 foot span, c. to c. of end bearings.

Roadway to be 22 feet wide with one foot walk six feet wide. Headway to be 15 feet in the clear. Bridge is designed for a moving load of a ten-ton wagon on two axles ten feet apart having a six-foot gauge, and a twenty-four-ton street car on two axles seven feet apart; moving abreast of each other; or for a uniformly distributed load of one hundred pounds per square foot of road way and sidewalk.

Specifications for masonry called for prices on both cement stone masonry and concrete.

The following bids were received:

## SUPERSTRUCTURE.

Canton Bridge Co., Canton, Ohio ................ $\$ 51,082$ oo
Boston Bridge Co., Boston, Mass. ............... 47,452 oo
Penn Steel Co., Boston Office ................... 54,40000
American Bridge Co., New York City ......... 50,000 00
Penn Bridge Co., Beaver Falls, Penn. ........... 40,991 oo

## SUBSTRUCTURE.



The bid of Elie Roy was thrown out on account of check not being certified and two of the items submitted by him not being as called for in the specification.
A few days before bids were opened, September ninth, the U. S. War Department notified the state commissioner that on account of the international character of the bridge it would be necessary to obtain the consent of Congress before proceeding with the construction. On this account award of contract was delayed ten days while a waiver of objection to the construction was obtained from the Secretary of War on the understanding that authority of Congress would be obtained during its present session. This matter has been attended to by Senator Hale.

Upon receipt of this information award of the contract for substructure was made to Powers \& Brewer and in three days their outfit was on the ground and construction operations had begun. They proved to be first-class contractors and on the night of Thursday, November twenty-fourth, they completed the contract for the masonry having in the meantime done about eight thousand dollars worth of work on foundations for the piers, which had not been planned on when the contract was let but which it was found necessary to do as the work proceeded.

On account of the ten days' delay in the awarding of contract some difficulty was experienced in closing the contract for the superstructure.

The Penn Bridge Company claimed that they had made an error in figuring their bid and asked the Bridge Commission to allow them some two or three thousand dollars extra to compensate for their error. They also claimed that their proposal was in accordance with our specification and their plan submitted, which was found upon careful examination not to correspond with the specification in some particulars.

The matter was finally adjusted by agreement of all parties that the contract should be written for the amount of the Penn Bridge Company's proposal and that the bridge should be constructed according to our specifications and a revised plan which should meet those specifications and that the whole matter should then be submitted to a qualified bridge engineer, acceptable to all parties to the contract, who shall say how much additional material, if any, we have a right to exact from the
said Penn Bridge Company, that they should furnish; and as in the meantime the bridge is to be built according to our interpretation shall determine for how much extra material, if any, the Bridge Company shall be compensated. This referee will also decide the price per pound that we shall pay for such material, if any shall be found to be required by us. The expense of this reference shall be borne equally by the Penn Bridge Company and the Bridge Commission.

Accordingly, a contract with above stipulations attached was entered into with the Penn Bridge Company under date of September twenty-ninth and under the terms of the contract the State of Maine and the Dominion of Canada may be called upon to pay two thousand or three thousand dollars over and above the price submitted in the Bridge Company`s proposal.

Owing to the uncertainty which always attaches to foundation work no contract was let for the approach fills to this bridge when the other work was contracted for. As the work progressed and it became apparent that the expense of building the piers and abutments would be considerably in excess of the first estimate we saw that our appropriation would be insufficient to provide for making the approach fills. Local parties interested in the construction of the bridge were called together at Van Buren and the matter was laid before them and about a dozen of the leading business men of Van Buren, Maine, and St. Leonards, New Brunswick, offered to raise the money necessary to build the approach fills and then ask each Government to reimburse them for half their outlay. The approach fills were accordingiy made under this arrangement, the Bridge Commission allowing their resident engineer to lay out the work and supervise it and keep account of the expense in connection with this work.

We find that there has been expended to date on account of these approach fills five thousand eight hundred seventeen dollars and eighty-seven cents $(\$ 5,817.87)$ and it is estimated that the cost of completing the work, which was shut down on account of freezing weather, will be eight hundred dollars.

Under date of January seventh our chief engineer, Mr. E. E. Greenwood, gives us the following statement of expenditures
to date, and an estimate of the expenditures required to complete the structure, including approaches:
Advertising Bills certified . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 22960$
Masonry Bills certified . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 3, 150 I3
Approaches Bills certified .......................... 5,8 . 87
Approaches estimated to complete .................. 80000
Engineering Bills certified . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2,460 54
Engineering estimated to complete ................ 1,30000
Superstructure contract . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 40,99I 00
Superstructure contingent bill ..................... 2,000 00
Estimated total cost . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$84,749 I4
Appropriations . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 75,000 00
Deficiency . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .... . . . . . . . . \$9,749 14
Based on these figures it is seen that there will be a deficiency practically of ten thousand dollars above the original appropriations made by the two Governments. The Dominion Government has already made appropriation to take care of their part of the additional expense and the State of Maine in addition to reviving the appropriations for this work, which lapsed on the first day of January, should make an appropriation of five thousand dollars more.

RESOLVE IN FAVOR OF AID IN BUILDING A HIGHWAY BRIDGE
ACROSS THE EAST BRANCH OF THE PENOBSCOT RIVER, IN THE

> TOWN OF MEDWAY.

This work, which was started in 1909 and on which a progress report was made in the report for that year, was completed early in 1910. The following statement shows cost of the work and how it was borne :

ADVERTISING.
Bangor Daily News . . . . . . . . . . . . . ... \$5 25
Portland Publishing Co. . . . . . . . . . . . . $\quad 500$
Burleigh \& Flynt . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 400
\$I4 25

## ENGINEERING.

H. S. Ferguson ..... \$45 00
E. E. Greenwood ..... 27419
Pittsburgh Testing Laboratory ..... 7679
F. R. Patten72284 \$I, II8 82
MASONRY.
Reed, Steward \& Blunt ..... \$7,866 65 \$7,866 65
PLACING RIP-RAP AND INCIDENTAL WORK.
Pay rolls ..... $\begin{array}{llll}\$ 330 & 09 & \$ 330 & 09\end{array}$
Filling behind abutment, town of Med-SUPERSTRUCTURE.
American Bridge Co. ..... \$13,352 00 \$13,352 00
Total cost $\$ 22,946$ 8I
State appropriation ..... 20,000 00
Leaving balance to be paid by towns and county. ..... \$2,946 8I
Town of Medway pays under contract of October 2nd, 1909 ..... 60000
Leaving ..... \$2,346 8I
Penobscot county to pay under contract 1 - 3 of above balance ..... $782 \quad 27$
Leaving ..... \$1,564 54Millinocket and East Millinocket each to pay $1 / 2$ ofthis balance, or \$782.27 each.

|

## Road Section



Specifications:-
Thickness of courses after cilling is completed.
Formacadam surface placed in two courses, bottom course 5inches of center, 4 inches ot sides, top course 3 inches at center, 2 inches at sides, screenings or binder as called for:
For macadam surface placed in three courses, pottom course sinches at center, 3 inches at sides, middle course 2 inches, top course linch, screenings or binder as called for.
For gravel surface placed in two courses, bottom course sinches at center, 3 inches at sides, top counse 3 inches, binder as called for.

## Road Section



Specifications:-
Thickness of coursee after rolling is completed
For macadam surface placed in two courses, bottom course 5 inches at center, 4 inches of sides, top course 3 inches at center, 2 inches at sides, screenings or binder as called for.
For macadam surface placed in three courses, bottom course 5inches at center, Binches at sides, middle course 2 inches, top course Imch. Screenings or binder as called for
For graval suriface placed in tro courses, tottom course 5 inches at centar, Binches at sides, top course Binches, binder as called for.


## "V"Drain Foundation

To be used only where road lays over wet and heavy


Specification:-
Excavate center of road to the depth, width and form shown above. stones not exceeding eight inches in diameter shall be placed.in the bottom of the trench, over these shall be placed stones gradually diminishing in size until at the top small pebbles and gravel shall be used
The surface of this foundation shall be finished with a crown and thorowghly compacted
Side outlets shall be provided about every 200 feet.
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[^0]:    * Includes $\$ 53.09$ unexpended balance of 1908 aid paid to Mt. Desert on completion of 1909 work.

[^1]:    1 Arrowsic: Expended joint fund for 1909 and 1910 together.
    2 Augusta : 1012 feet of tile under drain. 585 feet of macadam.
    8 Baldwin : Surfaced with sand clay mixture.
    § Laid over. §Appleton length of culvert not given.

[^2]:    1 Buckfield, cost of culverts not given.
    2 Byron, length of culverts not given.
    ${ }^{3}$ Canaan : bridge span 26 feet, width 27 feet, stone abutments. Steel I beams with concrete floor.
    4 Carrying Place Township: 1910 and 1909 joint funds expended together. Complete data not received.
    ${ }^{5}$ Castine : cost of culvert not included in cost per foot.
    ** Not complete.

[^3]:    1 Cyr Pl.: expended 1910 and 1909 joint funds together. Brush mattress. Bridge stone abutinents, wooden floor, span 12 '.
    4 Width not given.
    § Laid over.
    \$ Papers not received.

[^4]:    1 Dixmont: two wooden bridges.
    2 Drew Pl. : Bridge, stone abutments, span 25 feet, width 25 feet, height 6 feet, steel 1 beams, plank floor.
    8 Eden : cost of culvert not given.
    § Laid over. $\quad$ I Not completed.

[^5]:    1 Flagstaff Pl.: expended money in building culvert and retaining walls.
    2 Freeport: $\$ 214$ paid by street railroad company.
    3 Grand Falls: expended 1909 and 1910 joint funds together.
    4 Grand Isle: brush mattress.
    5 Guilford: bridge: span 7 feet, heighth $4^{\prime} 9^{\prime \prime}$ width 30 feet, reinforced concrete floor, stone abutments.
    § Laid over.

[^6]:    1 Hammond Pl.: expended 1909 and 1010 joint funds together.
    2 Harrison: 600 feet of sand clay mixture.
    ${ }^{3}$ Highland Pl.: expended money in building culvert.
    4 Houlton : size of concrete culvert not given.

[^7]:    1 Lisbon: cost of laying culverts and end walls, $\$ 15.00$.
    2 Mars Hill: expended 1909 unexpended balance of $\$ 127.78,1910$ joint fund laid over.
    § Laid over.
    I Not completed.

[^8]:    1 Mayfield Pl.: complete data not received.
    2 Mechanic Falls: culverts used under driveways.
    3 Meddybemps: expended 1909 and 1910 joint funds together.
    4 Medway: expended 1909 and 1910 joint funds together.
    5 Mexico : concrete retaining wall built at cost \$415.17.
    6 Moose River: Tile underdrain.
    7 Moscow : bridge: span $19^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime}$ width 21 feet, cement stone masonry abutments, wooden floor. Cost of bridge not included in cost per foot.
    § Laid over.

[^9]:    1 Pleasant Ridge Pl. : complete data not received.
    2 Porter": 315 foot, $6^{\prime \prime}$ tile drain-3 catch basins.
    3 Raymond: cost of culverts not given.

[^10]:    1 St. Francis Pl. : cost of culverts included in 1909 work ; $\$ 13.50$ expended for concrete end walls.

    2 St . George: length of stone culvert not given.
    3 Salem: bridge : span 14 , height $7^{\prime}$, width $24^{\prime}$. Cement stone masonry abutments and wing walls. Cost per foot does not include cost of bridge.
    § Papers not received.
    § Not satisfactory.

[^11]:    1 Skuwhegan: tile side drains.
    2 .outhport: 270 feet of sea wail built at cost of $\$ 192.09$.
    3 ; ac $\boldsymbol{y}$ ville Pl . : size of culvert not given.
    

[^12]:    1 Windham: Cost of culverts not given.
    2 Complete data not received.

