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## Office of Commissioner of Highways, Augusta, January i, igo8.

To His Excellency, William T. Cobb, Governor, and the Honorable Council:
I have the honor to present the third annual report of the Commissioner of Highways.

Very respectfully,
PAUL D. SARGENT, Commissioner.

## REPORT.

During the first three months of 1907 the time of the commissioner was almost wholly occupied in explaining to members of the Legislature and others the provisions of the bill which was offered in the last report as a basis for a new state road law.

Immediately after adjournment of the Legislature requests began to come in from municipal officers for advice concerning the construction of state roads. Beginning in the middle of April and continuing until the last of November with the exception of two weeks in May and two weeks in June when county road meetings were held, from four to five days each week were spent by the commissioner in visiting towns and in looking after road and bridge work for which the Legislature made special appropriations.

Besides the regular office work a large amount of time has been devoted to carrying on the investigation of the bridges of the State which was ordered by the last Legislature. The result of this investigation will be found in this report.

## NEW STATE ROAD LAW.

In the last report a bill was offered as a basis for new state road law. Two well attended committee hearings were held on the bill. The time of the first hearing was devoted to hearing the proponents of the bill. Appearing in behalf of it were a committee of the State Board of Trade, a committee of the State Grange and a committee of the County Commissioners' Association of the State. There were also presented to the Legislature and referred to the committee 453 petitions carrying I3,947 names representing cities, towns and subordinate granges throughout the State asking for the passage of the bill.

At the second hearing the opponents appeared. These included representatives of four cities and one town.

After being considered at several executive sessions of the committee the bill finally was reported to the Legislature and unanimously passed as a law in the form in which it appears in this report.

The law inclutes in general all the provisions of the bill. The only part that was materially changed was cutting down the amount of the appropriation and the consequent reduction in the amount of joint fund for the several cities and towns. The amount of aid granted to towns with a valuation in excess of $\$ \mathrm{I}, 000,000$ was also increased from 50 per cent to 75 per cent of the amounts furnished by those towns.

## Explanation of the Law.

We apprehend that most people would rather be told in a few words what any law means or how it works than to try to find out for themselves by perusing the same. We realize that it is the function of the court to say what any law means so that proposition will not be touched upon at this time; we will attempt to explain some of the provisions of the new law and procedure under the same.

Section two provides that the main thoroughfare of each town which votes to build state road shall be designated as state road. Also that a majority of the voters in any town or of the municipal officers in any city may petition for a change in the location of the state road if they are satisfied the road as designated "is not the main traveled thoroughfare in that town."

This section also provides that a second state road may be laid out in any town after completion of the first state road.

Section three creates the State Highway Department and provides for a state highway commissioner, an assistant commissioner, one clerk and one stenographer.

Sections four, five and six cover the financial part of the law.
Section four declares that each town must set aside from its regular appropriation for highways a certain amount of money to be used in making permanent improvements on its highways, and names the amounts which towns must so set apart. The provisions of this section also extend to unincorporated townships. The idea meant to be conveyed in this section is that
the amount set aside under it may be used for the permanent improvement of some leading line of highway in the town but not necessarily the state road. This section works automatically.

If, however, the town desires to draw state aid it must according to section five, make a special appropriation equal to fifty per cent of the amount necessary to be set aside under section four. This special appropriation shall be made in addition to the amount regularly raised for the maintenance of highways. The section also provides that application for state aid must be made to the state highway department prior to April fifteenth or the town will forfeit its right to state aid that year.

Section six names the amount of state aid that shall be apportioned to the different classes of towns according to their valuation.

Section seven declares that the three sums-that set aside under section four, the special appropriation made under section five and the state aid apportioned under section six shall together constitute a joint fund for the permanent improvement of the state road-also that towns shall file prior to May fifteenth a proposal showing the location and nature of work which they desire to do.

Towns which do not apply for state aid shall file a similar proposal prior to June first showing where and how they pro-' pose to expend the money set aside under section four. Such towns may also have the services of any engineer in the employ of the State for consultation and advice concerning the improvement of their highways.

Towns which make improvement under section four must file statement of improvements made by November first.
Section eight provides for doing work costing one thousand dollars or more by contract and tells what provisions shall apply to such work; also gives towns right to bid on work located within their borders.
The section also provides that towns in which less than one thousand dollars is expended may have the services of an engineer for survey and superintendence of the work.

Section ten describes the manner of making payment of the State's share of the joint fund; also the manner of paying for contract work.

Section thirteen provides for the building of connecting links of road between the state roads laid out by the county commissioners; the idea being that eventually a complete system of continuous main thoroughfares may be realized.

The other sections of the law are taken up with details and further prescribe the duties of the commissioner.

There will probably be discovered in the course of operation of the law points which will need to be amended and changed and these points can only be discovered by trying the law.

It is planned to handle the work as far as possible on uniform blanks which will be supplied by the department.

The attention of municipal officers is called to the fact that the law requires certain returns to be made to the department on or before certain dates and it is hoped that town officers will bear in mind that the work of the department which of necessity will be heavy will be materially lightened and expedited if these returns are forwarded promptly on the dates specified.

The following schedule of duties of selectmen with regard to the law may serve as a helpful reminder:

In all tozuls.
Insert the following or similar articles in town warrant:
(Last paragraph, sec. 5.)
"Article . To see if the town will vote 'yes' or 'no' upon the adoption of the provisions of Chapter in of the Public Laws of Maine, for the year 1907 relating to the appropriation of money necessary to entitle the town to state aid for highways for the year 1908."
"Article . To see if the town will raise, appropriate and set apart, for the permanent improvement of the main highways within the town, such sum of money as is contemplated and directed by Section 5 of Chapter 112 of the Public Laws of Maine for the year 1907, being the sum of \$ ."
A. In tozms voting to apply for state aid.
I. Make application for state aid before April 15 . (Time extended to June 15 for cities.)
(Sec. 5.)
2. File proposal giving location and kind of work before May 15. (Time extended to July 15 for cities.)
(Sec. 7.)
3. File certificate of cost of road before Nov. I. (Last paragraph, sec. 8.)
B. Tozens not voting to apply for state aid.
r. File proposal giving location and kind of work to be done with money set aside under section four, before June I. (Time extended to July I5 for cities.)
(Second paragraph, sec. 7.)
2. File certificate of cost of road before Nov. i.
(Last sentence, second paragraph, sec. 7.)
The full text of the law together with a financial statement showing its application to every town will be found in this report.

## FIELD WORK.

During the last two weeks of May and the first two weeks of June, seventeen county road meetings were held--two in Aroostook county and one in each of the other counties. There was a fair attendance at these meetings and a good degree of interest was manifested by those present. In nearly every meetins the same line of questions was presented for discussion as in the meetings of the year previous. This is due largely to the fact that many towns follow the practice of changing road commissioners frequently, an evil which has been discussed in an earlier report.

An attempt was made to hold these meetings at such time and place in each county as would give opportunity for viewing some first-class job of road work under construction. Arrangements looking to this end could not seem to be perfected. Ai Belfast, however, Commissioner Bennett had a section of his state road work under way and the delegates to the meeting adjourned to the scene of the work and made an inspection of it. The work consisted of building a stone underdrain on a clay hill and surfacing the hill with gravel. A section of the underdrainage work was being done on the day of the meeting; and it gave all an opportunity to see how this work should be done properly. The commissioner believes that actual demonstrations of practical road work would be a valuable adjunct to each of these meetings.

Besides the towns in which county meetings were held the following have been visited officially: Kennebunk, Old Orchard, Sanford, South Berwick, Saco, Brunswick, Harpswell, Norway, Fayette, East Livermore, Monmouth, St. George, Thomaston, Manchester, Pittston, Washington, Oakland, Bingham, Madison, Anson, Norridgewock, Mercer, Concord, Old Town, Hampden, Glenburn, Macwahoc, Presque Isle, Foxcroft, Bel-
fast, Detroit, Monson, Oakfield, Fort Kent, St. Francis Plt., Rangeley, Van Buren, Fort Fairfield, Whitneyville, Machias, East Machias, Calais, Baileyville, Baring, Eastport, Jackman, Charlotte, Windsor, China, Albion, Winslow, Benton, Clinton, Vassalboro, Readfield, Vienna, Mt. Vernon, Belgrade.

In most of these towns state road construction occupied the attention of the commissioner. In some, however, advice was given in relation to bridge work as well as to road work, and in some the work attended to was the result of special appropriations by the Legislature. Several of these towns were visited two or more times.

## STATE ROAD WORK IN 1907.

The year 1907 was a red letter year for state road work in Maine. It was the first year in which claims for state aid exceeded the State's appropriation. Three hundred and twentynine towns have filed claims, which will probably be allowed, aggregating in round numbers $\$ 73,500$ while the appropriation to meet these claims is but $\$ 70,000$. Seventy-nine miles of road have been reconstructed at a cost of $\$ 169,900$, making the average cost per mile a little rising $\$ 2,150$. As this is $\$ 300$ per mile more than the average cost of the work done in 1906 and the cost that year averaged $\$ 230$ per mile more than for the year previous we feel that our efforts during the last two years in trying to raise the standard of state road work have not been without avail.

Included in this seventy-nine miles of work we find there were 5.23 miles of road surfaced with macadam varying in width from 12 to 43 feet and in thickness from 5 to 8 inches and that the average cost per mile for all classes of this work was $\$ 5,828$. The average cost per square yard was 40 cents.
About 60 miles were surfaced with gravel, a large part of this work having been underdrained with "V" stone drain, varying from 8 to 12 feet wide and from 18 inches to 24 inches deep. Gravel was spread not less than 12 feet wide and six inches deep and in many cases the width and depth were considerably increased.

About I3 miles were underdrained, graded and surfaced with the material at hand, no gravel or crushed stone being available.

Madison built 3,185 feet of sand-clay road 16 feet wide at a
cost of $\$ 589.24$. Kennebunk built 300 feet of asphalt macadam 30 feet wide at a cost of $\$ 967.46$. In a few towns the money was expended for bridge work.

In addition to above claims, Bingham, Bucksport and Randolph constructed state roads but their claims were disallowed as each town had received special aid from the Legislature on account of its highways or bridges.

There were also twenty-five towns which made appropriations for state road work but which for various reasons-principally on account of lack of labor-did not do any work. Consequently it may be said that there were 357 towns in the State interested in state road work in 1907.

## WORK UNDER SPECIAL RESOLVES.

Herewith is given a summary of road and bridge work performed under special resolves of the Legislature in which the commissioner has been interested.
St. Francis Plantation: Appropriation of $\$ 800$ to repair washout in highway on bank of St. John river. Money to be expended under supervision of state highway commissioner. Examined site with assessors of plantation and a large delegation of citizens on July sixteenth and recommended that location of road be changed and new section of road built instead of attempting to build a retaining wall or crib work to support the highway and keep back the St. John river which if properly done would have cost from $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 8,000$. A road was laid out on the new location by the plantation assessors, accepted by the voters and constructed by the road commissioner of the plantation according to instructions from this office. Road examined and accepted October 3I and payment of $\$ 800$ made to treasurer of plantation at December meeting of Governor and Council.

Survey of St. Joln river at Van Buren for International Bridge: Appropriation $\$ 400$. On a trip through Aroostook county in July a call was made with Senator Therriault on Hon. John B. Costigan of Edmundston, N. B., Canadian senator, to ascertain his views as to whether it would be possible to secure the co-operation of the Canadian government in making this survey. We were unable to see Mr. Costigan owing to his absence from town on the day of the call. A letter of inquiry
and a certified copy of the resolve of the Legislature was later forwarded to Mr. Costigan and as yet no reply has been received.

In October another attempt was made to see him but only to learn that he had left the day before for Ottawa. Nothing has yet been done on the survey.

Bridge between Machiasport and Eiast Machias: Appropriation $\$ 8,000$, to be expended by board of trustees appointed by Governor.

Met and advised with board of trustees about six times. Furnished general plans for work and schedules of material. Also selected steel draw span from competitive bids and made final inspection of work passing especially upon the draw which was furnished by the American Bridge Company on contract. The remainder of the work was performed by day labor.

The work consisted in virtually rebuilding an old pile trestle with a draw in it. All of the work in the channel of the river, that is, between banks at low water was put in new, making about 350 feet of new work. The shore approaches, about 300 feet more, were built as far as possible upon the old piling, new piles being driven wherever necessary. The old draw consisted of one channel 43 feet wide over which was a double lift wooden bridge. The new draw provides two channels 45 feet each, spanned by a swinging steel bridge.

The work cost complete upwards of $\$ 9,500$.
Old Town and Milford Bridges: By chapter 402 oi Private and Special Laws, 1907, the State assumed the care of these two bridges. On June 28 the state commissioner with the Penobscot county commissioners made an inspection of these bridges. They were found to be in a very poor state of repair. Temporary repairs have been made as the bridges do not appear to be worth repairing permanently.

No appropriation was made for this work and the commissioners of Penobscot county whom the law directs to make the repairs have met the bills and will ask the next Legislature to reimburse the county.

Visits were also made to this bridge July io and October 26.
Bridge at Oakfield: Appropriation $\$ 750$ provided town expends equal amount. To be expended under supervision of Aroostook county commissioners and state highway commis-
sioner. This job was visited in April and again in July. Nothing done as yet on the construction of the bridge. Town has voted to relocate the bridge in order to obtain a right angle crossing of the stream as recommended by this office.

Whitneyville: Appropriation $\$ 500$ to repair bridge across Machias river. Plans were prepared for a concrete abutment at the suggestion of the selectmen. A very poor wooden abutment was built.

Macwahoc: Appropriation of $\$ \mathrm{I}, 250$ for repairing bridge across Molunkus stream and the bridge across Macwahoc stream to be expended under direction of state commissioner of highways and the county commissioners of Aroostook county.

Both of these bridges were examined on June 15. Specifications for the repairs to each bridge were drawn in this office and forwarded to the Aroostook county commissioners' who attended to letting the contracts and supervising the work. The contract for repairs to the Molunkus bridge was let to Seward Waster for $\$ 275$ and during the progress of the work the Aroostook commissioners ordered extra labor and material to the amount of $\$ 85.00$ making the total for repairs to this bridge $\$ 360$.

The contract for the Macwahoc bridge was let to Frank P . Kimball for $\$ 650$. This work consists of rebuilding abutments and on account of high water all through the season of 1907 it has not been done. The work will be done, however, in the season of 1908.
Road from Roach River to Grant Farm: Appropriation of $\$ \mathrm{I}, 250$, to be expended by agent appointed by the Governor and Council.

During the last week in June at the request of L. E. Moore of Sebec, agent, the commissioner made a trip over this road with Mr. Moore advising in relation to the repairs.

The total appropriation was expended on this work together with a similar amount furnished by the Great Northern Paper Company.

Road leading from Northwest Carry on Moosehead Lake to Pittston Farm: Appropriation $\$ 750$ to be expended under direction of an agent appointed by the Governor and Council.

During the first week in July upon request of H. L. Colby of Jackman, agent, a trip was made over this road for the purpose of advising as to repairs. No work was done, however,
on account of the scarcity of labor during the season and the appropriation has been carried over for expenditure in 1908.

Town of Concord: Appropriation of $\$ 564.03$ to aid in building and repairing highway leading to Bingham and Concord bridge to be expended under the direction of commissioners of Somerset county.

This work was inspected the latter part of September after its completion. A large amount of drainage work and ledge excavation was done, the material excavated being used to fill a low portion of the road. A much needed improvement was made in the approach to the bridge. The full amount of the appropriation was expended.

Road at Bingham: Appropriation same as for Concord and expended in a similar way; total of $\$ 382.53$ expended to date.
Bridge in town of Rangeley: In addition to above work the commissioner has aided a committee of the town of Rangeley in selecting and buying a bridge which has been erected over the outlet of Rangeley lake. During the month of August a visit was made to the site and plans and specifications for concrete abutments were prepared which the committee followed in carrying out the work. The completed job was inspected for the town of Rangeley January 7, 1908.

## CONVENTIONS.

County Commissioners' Convention at Belfast, August I4: The commissioner attended this convention and as in the past two years advised with the county commissioners relative to state road work for 1907 and also reviewed the new law and its course through the Legislature.

A similar report was made on the general bridge bill which was before the last Legislature.

Convention of American Road Makers: The commissioner made preparations and plans to attend the fourth annual convention of the American Road Makers held at Pittsburg, March twelfth and thirteenth, but as the new state road bill was just emerging from committee at the same time he did not deem it advisable to be absent. This association is composed of all state highway commissioners and others interested in the question of road improvement.

Good Roads Convention in Springficld, Massachusetts, September twenty-fourth and twenty-fifth: The commissioner attended this convention which was held under the auspices of the Springfield Automobile Club. The motto of the meeting was "Good Roads and the Sane Use of Them."

Representatives of the state highway departments of Rhode Island, Connecticut, Massachusetts and Pennsylvania were in attendance at this meeting as well as delegates from several other states. The sessions were most interesting and instructive. Your commissioner gave a short address on state road work in Maine.

As indicative of the status of highway improvement in the states above named it may be said that a large part of the discussion of the meeting was devoted to considering the best methods of preserving macadam roads and laying the dust on the same; in other words the building of macadam roads is the established policy in those states and the proper maintenance and preservation of those roads is the question that is now being agitated.

We were interested to hear a representative of the Metropolitan Park Commission say that the split log drag was used in maintaining certain sections of the system which were surfaced with gravel.

## SYSTEMATIC MAINTENANCE OF HIGHWAYS.

The following paper was prepared under the title of "The First Thing to be done to secure an Improvement in the Highways in the Rural Districts" and read at a meeting of the Central Maine Fair Association at Waterville, November 30, 1907.

It is an elaboration of the ideas we had in mind when discussing the question of road maintenance on pages 18,19 and 20 of the first report of this office.

It is reproduced in the hope that some of the suggestions therein contained may be found practicable in some towns.

There is no need today of discussing the desirability of good roads. Their economic value is well established and is well known by people who have given the matter the most casual attention.

The government through the Department of Agriculture has several times collected statistics from all parts of the country to learn just what it was costing to transport farm products from the farm to the shipping point over unimproved roads. A careful compilation of the information obtained shows us that the average cost over ordinary country roads is twenty-five cents per ton per mile. This would be equivalent to a team costing four dollars per day hauling $\mathrm{r}, 600$ pounds twenty miles in a day and I think is not far from what teams can do on an average in this State taking all seasons of the year into consideration. At least I know this is about what teams "toting" supplies into the woods in my part of the State can handle and we pride ourselves on having as good roads in Washington County as there are in the State.
It has been learned at the same time that over improved roads the cost is from one-fourth to one-third as much or an average
of about seven cents per ton mile. Indeed in a recent report to the Government from Consul General R. P. Skinner of Marseille the statement is made that the standard road of France is such that one good draft horse can travel 18.6 miles per day hauling a load of 3,300 pounds, and that public opinion demands that all roads be kept up to this standard. If we assume that two good horses will haul just twice as much or 6,600 pounds the same distance and that the daily cost for such a team is four dollars the cost per ton mile figures out just six and one-half cents or practically one-fourth of the cost over unimproved roads. This means either that all of the work done under present conditions by our horses could be done by one-fourth as many horses or that under better conditions all our horses could do four times the work they are now doing. This certainly ought to interest an association like your own which has for one of its objects the development of horses which can haul larger loads as well as those which can make faster time. Wouldn't it be well to inquire whether the horses are having a fair chance to show what they can do? The most of us would condemn any railroad manager for buying powerful locomotives and operating them upon track so poor that their full efficiency could not be realized.

Do not think for a moment that the advent of conditions under which one horse could perform the work of four would lessen the demand for horses. Better transportation facilities always bring about an increase of travel and I am sure that improved highways would increase rather than diminish ordinary wagon travel.

Granting then that improved roads are highly desirable the all important question is, How can they be realized? Or to confine ourselves more closely to the topic assigned for discussion let us consider "The first thing to be done to secure an Improvement in the Highways in the Rural Districts."

In order to discuss this question intelligently it would seem fair and proper to first consider the present conditions under which highway work in the rural towns is carried on.

In 1905 inquiry was made from each town in the State as to the mileage and condition of its roads and the amount of money annually appropriated for the maintenance of the same. We learned that for the whole State there were 25,530 miles of road
of which 2,238 miles were surfaced with gravel, 22 miles with granite block pavement and 65 miles with macadam; the remaining mileage being ordinary dirt road. In other words only 9 per cent of the roads in the State have been improved as to surface and 9i per cent are still surfaced with the natural earth of which they are constructed.

By a process of segregation we have also learned that 7.6 per cent of the total road mileage of the State was found within the twenty cities and 92.4 per cent outside the cities. I do not mean for you to infer that all the improved roads were found within the cities and all the unimproved roads outside of them but it is true that a larger percentage of improved roads is found in the cities than in the country. The reason for this is found in what I am about to say.

In 1904 the total highway expenditures of the State amounted to $\$ 1,377$, ig 6 or an average for the State of $\$ 54.00$ per mile of road. Of this amount $\$ 490,526$ was expended in the twenty cities allowing them an average expenditure per mile of $\$ 254$ while the balance of $\$ 886,670$ was expended outside the cities or an average expenditure of $\$ 37.58$ per mile. Deduct from this figure $\$ 9.56$, the average cost per mile for handling snow, outside the twenty cities, and we have the magnificent sum of $\$ 28.02$ per mile for maintaining our highways and making permanent improvements upon them. It should be borne in mind that these figures are not those for the rural districts alone; they include all towns, many of which like Bar Harbor, Lisbon, Brunswick, Farmington and Skowhegan ought properly to be classed with the cities as far as highway expenditures go. Taking out these large and wealthy towns would certainly reduce the available funds for highway maintenance and improvement to an average annual expenditure of not over $\$ 20.00$ per mile.

Our state road work the last six years has averaged to cost $\$ \mathrm{I}, 553$ per mile and I think no one familiar with this work will charge that it is any too good or that the work has been done at an excessive cost. Under present conditions, then, in the smaller towns, with an average annual expenditure, say of $\$ 20.00$ per mile, it is clearly apparent that the first thing to be done in order to secure highway improvement is to make every dollar of this highway expenditure go as far as possible.

Let us suppose for a few mintites that we were asked to formulate a policy for managing a maintenance of way department to care for all the railroads in this State with the understanding that the expenditure per mile of track should not exceed a certain amount. It seems to me under such circumstances my instructions to road masters and section foremen would be something like the following:

Until further notice this department is obliged to practice rigid economy and you will therefore immediately reduce forces to the lowest number consistent with safety. Your aim must be to keep each and every mile of track in a safe condition with as little expense as possible. Do only such surfacing work as is necessary to keep your track level transversely, except on curves, which must be maintained with a uniform elevation. Pay particular attention to keeping culverts, water-courses and ditches clear for the free passage of water. During heavy rain storms have your track patrolled in order to keep water moving in its proper channels and for the purpose of removing any wash which may be brought upon the track. Pay particular attention to all exposed places. During any emergency, of course, you are authorized to employ extra help but this help must be discharged as soon as the damage consequent upon the emergency has been repaired.

By pursuing such a policy with quite strict supervision I should figure to keep expenditures under the limit allowed per mile and with the accumulated savings from the several miles I should plan as often as possible to do some quite extensive reconstruction work beginning with the poorest sections of those portions of the track which carried the heaviest traffic. It seems to me by pursuing this policy it would be possible after a time to gradually improve all of the poorer sections of track, until ultimately all would be of standard construction.

I presume nearly every man present would advocate a similar policy under similar circumstances. May we not compare the highway system of a town with respect to the town's local transportation facilities to the railroad system of our whole State? If this is a fair comparison why should not a similar policy be applicable to each town's highway system? And if so applied why would it not produce similar results? I believe it would.

The policy which I have outlined applied to towns would mean the regular, systematic care of every mile of highway under the supervision of a man whose training and experience had been along this line of work. The roads would probably be laid off in sections each under the care of one or two men whose duty it would be to make repairs at stated intervals; these intervals would vary according to the importance of the road and available funds; in some cases repairs would be made daily; in others only one day a week or perhaps one week in a month, but the all important thing would be to have the work done systematically. The work that would receive attention would be clearing loose rocks and other obstructions from the surface of the road; draining water from ruts and low places and filling the same with suitable material, keeping ditches and culverts clear of waste and drift material and seeing that all culverts and ditches were supplied with proper outlets to carry the water away from the road. Bushes growing along the roadsides could be kept trimmed back and this is a matter that is sadly neglected. These same men too would be on hand during rain storms to protect hills and in this way often save repair bills many times the cost of their wages. I think you will agree that if the items of road work just enumerated could receive regular attention from someone interested a vast improvement in the condition of our roads would soon be noticed.

If it was deemed advisable to work each section only one week out of the month, sections could be worked in rotation so as to furnish continuous employment to men undertaking the work and this feature alone would go a long way towards securing efficient help for highway work.

The competition resulting from a system of this kind should prove to be considerable of an incentive to do good work. Any man having the care of a section would take pride in its appearance and this would be a stimulus to good, honest work. It seems to me it is really pride in their successful achievements, rather than premiums, which induces farmers and others to exhibit the results of their season's labors at fairs like the one held by this society.

Furthermore, I believe it would tend towards the creation of a force of trained road builders; for besides the regular maintenance work these employees would be assembled occasionally
to make up a road crew for doing more or less extensive jobs of construction. In this way they would be constantly learning the business of road building and repairing and it would indeed be strange if some exceptionally good men were not developed. From the ranks of these men, too, we could fill the office of road commissioner with men thoroughly conversant with all features of road work.

Here, then, is one way of bringing about an improvement in the highways in the rural sections. It is a way which does not call for increased appropriations for highways nor for the expenditure of more labor than is now put forth upon them but simply asks that the same amount of labor and energy be more carefully and systematically directed and expended. This proposition has been laid before the road commissioners of the State for two years but none of them have as yet seen fit to put the system into operation. It will be the method of taking care of all roads, though, some day.

Thus far, we have considered the possibility of improving our present roads so as to make them more agreeable and more usable and it certainly behooves us to keep this point in mind when we remember, as noted above, that gi per cent of our road mileage is unimproved as to surface and of necessity must be so for a great many years.

In this connection I cannot refrain from referring to the simple device known as the King Split Log Drag which has been the means in many western and central states of changing many miles of wet, mirey, mud roads into good hard earth roads at all seasons of the year. I will only say of this device that any man can build one in three hours time at an expense of not over $\$ 2.00$ and it can be operated at a cost as low as fifty cents per mile under good conditions, although the cost of operation may run to $\$ 2.50$ or $\$ 3.00$ per mile. The drag has been used in several of the towns in Maine with most excellent results. Its success depends upon its constant and systematic use. One commissioner thinks in the use of the drag he sees the salvation of the rural towns of Mainc. In a letter written one year ago, he says, clescribing his experience:
"Each way from the writer's residence (one mile east of South Standish) is a section of highway of about three-fourths
of a mile in extent which is one of the last pieces of road in Cumberland county to become dry and firm in the spring.
"The first use of the drag was upon this section of road April 28, 1906. At commencement the muld was from two to eight inches or more in depth. Within three hours teams passed at a trot without sinking half the depth of a hoof or burying a wheel rim. Although the frost was not wholly out of the road at that time, and in spite of the repeated and heavy rains of June, there has, not been a day nor even one hour when the preceding sentence would not be a correct description.
"Pecple passing over the road have pronounced it in the finest shape of any piece of earth road they ever saw. The writer has lived by this piece of road all his life and not for forty years has the road in question been so free of mud and dust.
"West of the writer's place a section of road of over a mile in length was worked with the road machine in the month of May. This became in such bad shape that parties who had seen the effect of dragging where I first worked demanded that this section be dragged also. This I did by order of the commissioner, June 4 and 9 , making a fine piece of road.
"In all about five and one-half miles, contiguously, have bern dragged. Although nearly four months have elapsed since the drag has been used it can readily be told where the dragged and undragged sections join.
"The least expense per mile has been about $\$ 1.50$; the greatest a little rising $\$ 6.00$; the average expense per mile for the five and one-half miles, a little less than $\$ 3.00$. This would amount to $\$ 275$ for the entire highway mileage of the town."

If Mr. Sanborn had added at this point that the highway appropriations in his town have averaged \$2100 per year for several years and that $\$ 1400$ of this was available for summer work and that the full amount has been expended it seems to me that his statement, that by the use of the split log drag every mile of road in their town could be maintained in the splendid condition which his letter indicates at a cost not to exceed $\$ 275$ per year, would be very much more forceful. This alou ind:cates clearly that in this tow alone by raising no more money than at present upwards of $\$ 1000$ per year would be available for making permanent improvements.

A letter received from Mr. Sanborn this fall states that he has had entire charge of the roads in his town this year. This is probably due to the results of his work last year with the split $\log$ drag. The present season about eleven miles of road have been operated upon, the results being fully equal to those obtained in 1906. His letter states that work began on the second day of April and that all of the eleven miles had been put in thorough shape previous to May fifteenth and that he has found it unnecessary to use the drag since on any of these sections.

The following paragraph from Mr. Sanborn's recent letter so well illustrates the point that I have been trying to impress, that by systematic and regular work we can keep our highways in a good passable condition at comparatively small expense per mile and thereby have considerable money to expend in permanent work, that I cannot refrain from quoting same.
"The use of the drag in addition to producing the excellent roadway as described above has enabled us to make improvement at many points. The drainage has been improved by rebuilding several old culverts, by putting in culverts at several points where formerly there were none and by such other means as available. At other points, notably certain hilly sections of road, about two miles in extent in the aggregate, drainage bars have been built; sluice-ways and off-take ditches cleared out; many cart loads of material hauled onto the badly denuded parts; bushes cleared out and removed; the roadway straightened and widened to the extent of a carriage by filling the deep and uncalled for ditches, or rifle pits, digged by the road machine; and a bad ledge and boulders removed from the roadway. From five to six miles of bushes have been cut and piled in a thorough and careful manner. For a large part of this distance a strip of bushes from six to sixteen feet in width was cut inside of the original ditches and upon what was clear and passable roadway when the road machine came to town. The very first year of the adoption of the road drag has enabled us to make these improvements while the conditions which called for them have been constantly growing worse under the use of the road machine. The use of the drag for a few years with the better methods which its use not only admits of, but calls for and entails, will enable us to continue these better-
ments, and as time goes on a larger and increasing part of the highway fund can be used in operating the drag, and the bene ${ }_{7}$ fits will be apparent to all. Continue the use of the drag for one-fourth part as long as the false and destructive road machine system has been in vogue and the aspect and usefulness of our highways will undergo an immense change for the better."

The remarks of Mr. Sanborn in relation to the misuse of the road machine are none too strong and I can heartily concur in them.

You may think after hearing this quotation from Mr. Sanborn's letter that I should have said in the beginning that we should use the split $\log$ drag in order to make improvements in the highways in the rural districts but all the things which I have indicated as necessary to be done should be done in connection with using the drag. The first thing to do is to have some definite scheme for operating the highways. Give the work of highway keeping over to some competent man as a business and he will be willing and anxious to use whatever device is shown him for lessening labor and producing good results in his work. It almost seems sometimes as though road commissioners were not looking for labor-saving devices but rather for some means of furnishing as much labor as possible upon the road.
In the final analysis no one will doubt but that the first thing necessary to be done, in order to bring about an improvement in the highways, is to create a public sentiment which will demand highway improvement; but strange as it may seem experience everywhere has shown that the only way to create that sentiment at large is by first building and maintaining model sections of road for the people to see and use. One section of good road in any community is the best possible argument that can be put forth for more, and it invariably leads to a demand for more of the same kind.

If you ask me how model sections of road popularly known as permanent roads are to be constructed in the rural towns I will say it is well nigh impossible to do such work except through the medium of state aid. This point seems to have been given due consideration by the Legislature as well as the other fact that highway taxes are from three to ten times as
much in the rural towns as they are in our cities. In the state road law passed at the last session, provision was made for paying to these small and financially weak towns a much larger percentage of aid than will be paid to the cities. It is hoped that under the stimulus of this law a start on permanent work will be made in every town. As appropriations will be small in many towns only a limited amount of work can be done. On account of lack of machinery stone roads, which have become the standard type of improved roads for country highways, cannot be extensively built at present. We can, however, build good gravel roads in many sections. As compared with stone roads they are cheaper in first cost and more easily and economically taken care of ; and in the opinion of many people are more pleasant to use. There is plenty of drainage work and grading to be done. Hills can be cut down, swamps raised, and crainage systems installed which will materially improve any road. All of these works, too, are entirely necessary before any kind of permanent surfacing work is undertaken. The law provides that the main traveled thoronghfare in each town shall be designated by the county commissioners as state road. The state commissioner will use his influence with towns to have them rebuild each year the poorest section of the state road then remaining, the idea being that as a chain is no stronger than the weakest link so is a road no better than its poorest place, and the object of the department will be to increase the loading possible on any of these roads with the expenditure of each year's appropriation.

Much has already been accomplished under our present state road law which has been in operation seven years. An increasing number of towns have each year taken advantage of the law and this shows conclusively that the towns are willing to help themselves when proper indlucements are offered. In many towns since the advent of this law special appropriations have been made for the express purpose of reconstructing some bad section of the town roads on lines similar to those pursued in the state road work. In this way a good healthy public sentiment is being created which after a time will certainly be effective in demanding more improved and economical methods in handling ordinary highway work.

We have all heard of the wonderful highway system of France which stands today as a monnment to the administrative greatness of Napoleon. In the report of Consul-General Skinner, above referred to, he explains why the French roads are good, as follows:
"French roads are good, not because of any superiority of raw materials, as the same materials exist everywhere; they are not good because of any special talent for road building, as the formula was furnisher by an Englishman, and some roads just as fine may be seen in parts of the United States. The real superiority of the French highway system is attributable to the fact that it is under the constant intelligent supervision of an army of trained men, who discover within the organization opportunities for advancement and professional distinction which no mere county administration can offer."

Let us hope that the day is not far distant when trained men will have charge of road work and it will be done according to some systematic plan, in place of our present method of working a section here and a section there when we can find nothing better to do and letting the most of it go uncared for practically all of the time.

STATE ROAD LAW.

Note: Words in italics at the beginning of each section are inserted for convenience of reference and are no part of the law.

Public Laws of 1907. Chapter II2.

An Act to provide for State Aid, and for the expenditure of other public moneys, in the permanent improvement of main highways or State Roads.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in Legislature assembled, as follows:

Section r. Objects of this act. The objects of this act are to obtain a more uniform system for the permanent improvement of main highways throughout the state, to secure the co-operation of the municipalities and the state in providing means therefor, and to provide for more efficient and economical expenditure of moneys appropriated for highway construction and repair.

Section 2. State roads defined-county commissioners to designate-appeal from their decision-provision for second state road when first is completed. The following described roads shall be considered main highways or state roads within the meaning of this act; in towns which have already availed themselves of the provisions of sections ninety-nine to one hundred and five of chapter twenty-three, revised statutes, nineteen hundred and three, and acts amendatory thereof and additional
thereto, such roads as have heretofore been designated state roads by the county commissioners; in towns which have not heretofore availed themselves of the provisions of sections ninety-nine to one hundred and five of chapter twenty-three, revised statutes, nineteen hundred and three, and acts amendatory thereof and additional thereto, but which vote to accept the provisions of this act, such roads as may hereafter be designated as the state road, under the provisions of this act. When notified by the state commissioner of highways that any town has voted to accept the provisions of this act, it shall be the duty of the county commissioners of the county in which such town is located, on or before June first of the year of such notification, to make such designation, and the clerk of each board of county commissioners shall return forthwith to the state commissioner of highways a record of their proceedings in each town and a description of each road designated as state road.

Provided, however, that upon petition of a majority of the legal voters in any town or a majority of the municipal officers of any city presented to the state commissioner of highways stating that in the judgment of the petitioners the road as designated by the county commissioners is not the main traveled thoroughfare in that town and that public convenience would be better served by the designation of some other road as the state road, which other road must be described in the petition, it shall be the duty of the state commissioner of highways, after such notice as he may order, to give a public hearing upon said petition at some convenient place where all parties interested may be heard. The state commissioner of highways, the mayor, or street commissioner or city engineer, if designated by the mayor to act in his stead, in the case of cities; the chairman of the selectmen or one member of the board whom the chairman shall designate, in the case of towns; the chairman of the board of assessors, or one member of the board of assessors who shall be designated by the chairman, in the case of plantations; and a county commissioner from an adjoining county to be chosen jointly by the state commissioner and the representative of the city, town or plantation as provided herein, shall constitute a board to hear said petitions and parties interested and designate the state road, which designation shall be final. County commissioners when hearing said petitions shall receive three dollars
per day and expenses, to be paid together with advertising and incidental expenses from the treasury of the county in which the town is located. In case the decision is against the petitioners said board shall order in their decision that the petitioners repay to the county within a fixed time all said costs in connection with the hearing of the petition. In case such payment shall not be made within the time so fixed then the treasurer of the county within which the petition was heard shall commence an action of debt against said petitioners or any of them in the name of the county for the recovery of said costs and expenses.

Provided, further, that when the state road in any town has been reconstructed in a permanent manner within the meaning of this act it shall be the duty of the county commissioners to designate the next important main thoroughfare as state road. Municipal officers may notify the state commissioner of highways when in their opinion the state road is entirely reconstructed as above, but such notification must be made immediately upon the completion of the road. It shall then be the duty of the state commissioner of highways, together with the county commissioners of the county in which the road is located to make an inspection of the road and to determine whether or not it is complete. If they find the road to be not complete they shall specify to the municipal officers in what particulars, and the municipal officers shall,in their next proposal for expenditure of joint funds, specify that it is desired to use said joint funds in completing said road according to said specifications returned by the state commissioner of highways and the county commissioners. If the road is complete the county commissioners shall designate another state road as provided in this section.

Section 3. State highway department-officers and salaries. To carry out the provisions of this act there is hereby created and established a state highway department whose chief officer shall be called the state commissioner of highways. Said commissioner shall be a civil engineer and shall be appointed by the governor with the advice and consent of the council, within ten days after this act takes effect. The term of office of said commissioner shall be four years and until his successor is appointed and qualified. He shall receive an annual salary of two thousand five hundred dollars, and in addition thereto such actual
expenses, not exceeding fifteen hundred dollars annually, as he may personally incur in the execution of the duties of his office, the same to be approved by the governor and council. Said commissioner shall be furnished with suitable offices at the seat of government properly provided with all necessary furniture, equipment and stationery, and he shall personally superintend the work of the department. Said commissioner may appoint, if the work of the department requires it, subject to the approval of the governor and council, one assistant commissioner who shall be a civil engineer and experienced in road building. Said assistant commissioner shall receive an annual salary not to exceed fifteen hundred dollars and actual expenses incurred when on official business within the state, the same to be approved by the governor and council. He may also appoint one clerk and bookkeeper at a salary not to exceed thirteen hundred dollars and one stenographer at such salary as may be determined upon and approved by the governor and council.

He may also employ such other help as the execution of this act shall make necessary upon terms to be approved by the governor and council.

All salaries and expenses called for in this section shall be charged against administration except as hereinafter provided.

Section 4. Towns to make permanent improvement of main highzeays and amounts to be set apart for that purpose-county commissioners to act for unincorporated townships. Each town shall, of the amount of money annually raised and appropriated for the repair of its highways, set apart the following amounts, to be used for the permanent improvement of its main highways, such improvements to be under the advice of the state commissioner of highways.

Towns having a valuation of less than two hundred thousand dollars, fifty cents on each one thousand dollars of valuation, and towns of two hundred thousand dollars and less than one million dollars, thirty-three and one-third cents on each one thousand dollars of their valuation ; towns of one million dollars and less than three million dollars valuation, twenty-five cents on each one thousand dollars; towns of three million dollars and less than five million dollars, sixteen and two-third cents on each one thousand dollars; towns of five million dollars and less than fifteen million dollars, eleven and one-ninth cents on
each one thousand dollars; and towns of fifteen million dollars. and upwards, eight and one-third cents on each one thousand dollars.

And the commissioners of each county within which are located unincorporated townships shall set apart of the money raised and appropriated for the repair of highways in such unincorporated townships, thirty-three and one-third cents on each one thousand dollars of the valuation of each unincorporated township in which there are highways, to be expended for permanent improvements of said highways as indicated in the first paragraph of this section.

Section 5. Additional sum to be appropriated if town desires state aid-application for state aid-selectmen to insert article in - warrant for town meeting. If any city or town or organized plantation or the county commissioners for any unincorporated township desire state aid, as contemplated by this act, for the permanent improvement of the main highways within such city, town, organized plantation or unincorporated township, in addition to the improvements provided for by the amount set apart, as required by section four of this act, such city or town or organized plantation and the commissioners of the county for such unincorporated township, shall raise, appropriate, and set apart an additional sum equal to fifty per cent of the amount required to be set apart for permanent improvements under section four of this act, and all money set apart by any city, town or organized plantation or the county commissioners for any unincorporated township under this section, meaning the additional sum equal to fifty per cent of the amount required to be set apart under section four of this act, shall be raised, appropriated, and set apart in addition to the amount regularly raised for the maintenance of highways. Application for such state aid in any year, and notice of the raising, appropriation, and setting apart of such additional sum by any city or town, or organized plantation or by the commissioners of such counties as have unincorporated townships entitled to state aid, shall on or before April fifteenth of such year, be made and given to the state commissioner of highways by the clerks of such cities, towns, plantations or boards of county commissioners; except that in the case of cities the time may be extended to June fif-
teenth. Otherwise they shall not be entitled to such aid for such year.

It shall be the duty of the selectmen of each town to insert in the warrant for each annual town meeting an article calling upon the voters to vote 'yes' or 'no' on the adoption of the provisions of this act relating to the appropriation of money necessary to entitle the town to state aid for highways, for the year in which such meeting is to be held.

Section 6. Apportionment of state aid. The state commissioner of highways shall apportion from the amount appropriated under the provisions of this act, to each city, town, organized plantation and unincorporated township which has applied for state aid and has raised, appropriated, and set apart the additional amount provided for in section five entitling it to state aid, for the permanent improvement of its highways, for each dollar so set apart by such city, town or organized plantation, or for such unincorporated township, under sections four and five, the following amounts:

Towns, organized plantations and unincorporated townships, having a valuation of less than one hundred thousand clollars, two dollars for each one dollar set apart under sections four and five; towns, organized plantations and unincorporated townships having a valuation of one hundred thousand dollars and less than two hundred and fifty thousand dollars, one dollar and fifty cents; towns, organized plantations and unincorporated townships having a valuation of two hundred and fifty thousand dollars and less than five hundred thousand dollars, one dollar and twenty-five cents; towns having a valuation of five hundred thousand dollars and less than one million dollars, one dollar ; cities and towns having a valuation of one million dollars and upwards, seventy-five cents.

Section 7. Joint fund for improvement of state road-proposal to be fled; by towns applying for state aid; by towns not applying-state commissioner may furnish engineers free of charge-special expenses-how charged-statement of improvements to be fled-joint fund or any part thereof may be expended succeeding year. The amount of money set apart by such city, town, organized plantation, or for such unincorporated township as applies for state aid, as provided for in sec-
tions four and five, with the amount apportioned by the state commissioner of highways, as provided for in section six, shall constitute a joint fund for the permanent improvement of the state road in each of said cities, towns, organized plantations or unincorporated townships. And on or before May fifteenth of each year it shall be the duty of the officers having jurisdiction over highways in said cities, towns, organized plantations and unincorporated townships to file with the state commissioner of highways a proposal setting forth the location on the state road and nature of the permanent improvements desired to be made; except that in the case of cities the time may be extended to July fifteenth. The state commissioner of highways shall upon receipt of this proposal notify the said officers whether or not the proposed location and the proposed work meets with his approval, and if not, his reasons therefor.

Such cities, towns, organized plantations and unincorporated townships as do not apply for state aid under section five of this act may expend the money set apart under section four for permanent improvements upon such highways as the officers having jurisdiction over highways in such cities, towns, organized plantations or unincorporated townships may designate. And on or before June first of each year it shall be the duty of the said officers having jurisdiction over highways in said towns, organized plantations and unincorporated townships to file with the state commissioner of highways a proposal setting forth the location and nature of the permanent improvements desired to be made; and cities shall have until July fifteenth to file said proposal. The state commissioner of highways shall upon receipt of this proposal notify the said officers whether or not the proposed work meets with his approval, and if not, his reason therefor. He may also, upon the request of the said officers of any city not employing a city engineer, or town or organized plantation or unincorporated township, furnish to such city, town, organized plantation or unincorporated township, free of charge, the services of any engineer in the employ of the state under this act for the purpose of consultation and advice concerning the construction, improvement and repair of the highways in such city, town, organized plantation or unincorporated township. And any special expenses incurred in providing such engineers shall be charged against administration and shall be
paid for out of the general appropriation made under this act. But towns may, if they see fit, pay for such services out of any moneys appropriated for highway repairs. The officers having jurisdiction over highways in such cities, towns, organized plantations, or unincorporated townships as shall make improvements under section four of this act, and do not take advantage of state aid, shall file with the state commissioner of highways on or before November first a statement that said improvements have been made according to the proposal filed by them on or before the fifteenth day of July and accepted by him, together with a detailed statement of the cost of same.

Any part of said joint fund not expended during the year for which it is set apart and apportioned, may be expended during the succeeding year. If, in the opinion of the state commissioner of highways, said joint fund or any part thereof, for any year cannot be advantageously expended, the same may be expended the succeeding year.

Section 8. Work costing over $\$ 1,000$ to be done by con-tract-provisions-tozuns may bid-inspectors; appointment; duties; state may furnish engineering superintendence for work costing less than $\$ r, 000-c e r t i f i c a t e ~ o f ~ c o s t ~ t o ~ b e ~ f i l e d . ~ A s ~ s o o n ~$ as the location and general character of the proposed work has been determined upon in towns where one thousand dollars or more of joint fund is to be expended under the provisions of this act, it shall be the duty of the state commissioner of highways to make surveys, plans, estimates, and specifications for the proposed improvement. These plans and specifications shall conform substantially to the proposal filed under the preceding section and agreed upon between the state commissioner of highways and the selectmen or other officers having jurisdiction over highways. Changes of grade and alignment may be made when the road will be benefited thereby and authority is hereby given to make such changes. Said plans and specifications shall, upon completion, be forwarded to the selectmen or other officers having jurisdiction over highways in the said town in which the particular work is located, whose duty it shall be to immediately advertise for bids for doing said work according to said plans and specifications in two or more public newspapers, printed or circulated in the county, for three weeks successively, at least once in each week. This advertisement shall state the place
where bidders may examine said plans and specifications, and the time and place where the bids for said work will be received by the board of selectmen or other local officers having jurisdiction. Each bidder must accompany his bid with a certified check payable to the treasurer of the city, town, plantation or county as the case may be, for ten per cent of the amount of his bid as a guarantee that if the work is awarded to him, he will enter into a contract with said board for the same. All bids so submitted shall be immediately and publicly read at the time for opening the same, as stated in said advertisement, and referred to the state commissioner of highways for his approval. The selectmen or other local officers having jurisdiction and the state commissioner of highways shall have the right to reject any or all bids, if in their opinion good cause exists therefor, but otherwise they shall award the contract to the lowest responsible bidder. The successful bidder shall give satisfactory evidence of his ability to perform the contract, and shall within fifteen days from the awarding of the contract also furnish bonds in the penal sum of at least the amount of the contract with two or more sureties, owners of real estate in the county, or a surety or trust company, authorized to transact business within the state, to be approved by both the board receiving the bids and by the state commissioner of highways, conditioned for the faithful performance of said work in strict conformity with the contract, plans and specifications for the same. The contract, plans and specifications shall be executed in triplicate, one copy going to the contractor, one to the local board of officers having jurisdiction and one to the state commissioner of highways. Whenever the mayor and city council or such other board as has jurisdiction over highways in a city, or the selectmen of any town, or the assessors of any organized plantation, or the county commissioners for unincorporated townships, shall desire in behalf of such city, town, plantation or unincorporated township to bid upon work located within said city, town, plantation or unincorporated township, they shall submit their bids to the state commissioner of highways at least one day prior to the time specified for the opening of the other bids as stated in the advertisement for bids, and all bids submitted in behalf of town shall be subject to the requirements made and provided for in this section, except that no certified check or bond shall be
required of any town or city making bids or accepting contract for construction.

No bids in behalf of towns shall be opened by the state commissioner of highways until after the other bids for the same work shall have been publicly opened and read by the board receiving them, as required by this section, and forwarded to the state commissioner of highways. If the state commissioner of highways shall find from the bids so submitted that the bid in behalf of the town is the lowest, the state commissioner of highways shall thereupon award the contract to such town, whereupon the board of local officers having jurisdiction over highways in such town shall forthwith execute a contract in behalf of such town with the state commissioner of highways in behalf of the state, to fulfill all the requirements and terms of the specifications and plans for said work, under which their bid was submitted. The state commissioner of highways, on all work executed by contract, shall make such inspection from time to time as he may deem•necessary and all material furnished and labor performed shall be to his satisfaction.

The state commissioner of highways may appoint inspectors if he deems it necessary to supervise the construction of all roads built by contract under the provisions of this act. He shall prescribe their salaries, which shall be satisfactory to the governor and council; said salaries, however, and any special expense incurred in making surveys, plans and layouts for contract work shall be charged against the joint fund for the particular work in question.

The inspector shall require all provisions of the contract and specifications to be strictly adhered to by the contractors and immediately after the completion of each contract and before final payment is made the inspector shall make oath that all work has been completed according to contract, plans and specifications.

In towns where less than one thousand dollars of joint fund is to be expended the state commissioner of highways may, upon application of the selectmen or other officers having jurisdiction, or when in his opinion more economical results will be obtained by so doing, make surveys, plans, estimates and layouts and furnish such superintendence as may be necessary for the proper prosecution and completion of state road work. Any
expense incurred in doing such work shall be a proper charge against the joint fund for that particular work. A certificate of the cost of every road constructed under the provisions of this act not upon a contract shall be filed with the state commissioner of highways, by the selectmen or authorized authority over the work of the town in which such road shall have been constructed, on or before November first. Survey notes, copies of all plans and contracts together with all other records pertaining to the expenditure of any state moneys under this act or any subsequent act for the improvement of highways shall be filed and remain of record in the office of the state commissioner of highways.

Section 9. Payment of state aid-how made-payments for contract work. Payment of the state's share of the joint fuid for any town shall be made as follows: When the selectmen of said town shall certify under oath to the state commissioner of highways, that said town has paid out on account of the state road construction the full amount of its share of the joint fund, the state commissioner of highways shall notify the governor and council of that fact and they shall draw a warrant upon the state treasurer in favor of the town for one-half the state's share of said joint fund, for said town. And upon the completion of work in said town the state commissioner of highways shall notify the governor and council of the amount due said town and they shall draw a warrant upon the state treasurer in favor of the town for said amount. Provided, however, that the state's payment may in the discretion of the state commissioner of highways be made in one sum after completion of the work. Work performed by individuals or corporations, not towns, under contract shall be paid for as follows: At or near the end of each calendar month during the progress of the work the state commissioner of highways shall certify to the selectmen of each town in which such contract work is being performed, the amount and value of the work done on such contract during the month, together with a statement of eighty-five per cent of the value of such work, which shall be the amount due the contractor and payable to him by the town treasurer not later than the fifteenth of the month succeeding the month in which the work was done; provided, however, that thirty days after the state commissioner of highways shall certify to the select-
men that all work in connection with any such contract has been completed, inspected and accepted, the full unpaid balance of said contract as shown in said certificate shall be payable to the contractor by the town and not before.

Section 10. Maintenance of state roads. Any highway within any city or town improved by the expenditure of said joint fund.shall thereafter be maintained, as are other highways, within the city, town, plantation or township within which it is located, and to the satisfaction of the state commissioner of highways.

Section in. Liability for damages. The state shall not be liable to any person or corporation for danages arising from the construction, rebuilding, improvement or maintenance of any highway under this act. In case any person or persons or corporation shall sustain damage by any change in grade or by taking of land to alter the location of any highway which may be improved under this act the person or persons or corporation injured thereby shall be entitled to compensation to be assessed by the officers having jurisdiction where the road lies, said damage to be assessed and paid according to provisions of statute. In case the award of damages is not satisfactory, parties aggrieved shall have the same right of appeal as is provided by law in the case of damages for altering highways.

Section 12. State road fund, how raised-unexpended balance to be carried to succeeding year. To provide funds for the purposes of this act, there shall be assessed annually on all property in the state a tax of one-third of one mill on each dollar of valuation and the money derived from said tax shall be for the exclusive uses and purposes set forth in this act. Any unexpended balance at the end of any year shall be added to the fund for the next year. From this fund shall be paid all state aid for road improvement as provided for under this act.

Section I3. Connecting links of road to be built. After providing for the payment of state aid applied for, the balance of the fund or any part of it may be expended by the state commissioner of highways in building connecting roads between state roads as designated by the county commissioners with the object of establishing as far as possible a complete system of continuous main highways throughout the state. Provided, however, that no expenditure shall be made under this section
in any town which shall fail to accept the provisions of this act as to application for state aid and expenditure of joint funds. Any expenditures made under authority of this section shall be apportioned among the several counties of the state each year on the basis of total road mileage in the comnty to total mileage in the state and the location of roads to be improved under this section shall be determined for each county by the, state commissioner of highways and the county commissioners of the county. The same general provisions made for the construction and maintenance of other state roads under this act shall apply to roads constructed under authority of this section ; except that the whole cost of "construction may be paid by the state.

Provided, further, that the state commissioner of highways may, subject to the approval of the governor and council, apportion in any one year, in addition to the amounts apportioned under section six, not exceeding twenty-five per centum of said unexpended balance of the appropriation hereunder, after providing for the payment of state aid applied for, to towns in which the joint fund is insufficient to properly complete the work proposed or undertaken and necessary to be done as one job.

Section 14. Definitions; fiscal year; valuation. The fisca! year for the purposes of this act shall end December thirty-ore. Wherever the word 'valuation' is used in this act it shall mean the valuation last made by the state board of assessors. Wherever the word city, town or organized plantation or unincorporated township is used singly in this act and the phrase or clause in which it is used could as well apply to all four classes of political subdivisions or to any other one class it shall be understood to so apply.

Section 工5. Other duties of state commissioner of high-ways-disseminate knowledge-hold county meetings. In connection with the foregoing duties the state commissioner of highways, having first regard for the performance of those duties, shall also compile statistics relating to the public ways in the cities and towns of the state, and make such investigation relating thereto as he shall deem expedient, in order to secure better and more improved highways in the state. He shall also by means of maps, charts, cuts, drawings, prints, publications, printed or written articles, lectures, or otherwise, disseminate knowledge throughout the state concerning the best known eco-
nomical methods for the building and maintaining of highways, including bridges, in the cities and towns of the state, and particularly to impart such information, in manner as aforesaid, to the county commissioners of counties, the street commissioners of cities, the selectmen of towns and other municipal officers whose duties it may be to have the care and management of the expenditure of money and the building and keeping in repair of the highways in the state. Said commissioner shall hold each year under the auspices of the county commissioners, a meeting in each county for the open discussion of questions relating to the building and maintaining of public ways, of which due notice shall be given to the towns and cities in each county by the said county commissioners.

Section 16. Annual report. The state commissioner of highways shall make an annual report to the governor and council of the operations of the state highway department. This report shall show the number of miles, cost and character of the roads built under its direction, together with a statement of expenses of the department and such other information concerning the condition of public roads of the state and the progress of their improvement as may be proper. He shall also make recommendations for any legislation which to him seem expedient and necessary.

His report shall be transmitted to the secretary of state as soon after the first Wednesday of January of each year as possible.

Section 17. County and municipal officers to furnish information relative to ways and bridges. County commissioners and city and town officers having the care of and authority over public ways and bridges throughout the state shall, on request, furnish said commissioner any information which they may possess and required by him, concerning ways and bridges within their jurisdiction.

Section 18. Repealer. Sections ninety-nine to one hundred and five inclusive of chapter twenty-three, revised statutes of nineteen hundred and three and acts amendatory thereof, and chapter one hundred and forty-six of the public laws of nineteen hundred and five are hereby repealed when this act takes effect.

Section 19. When act takes effect. This act shall take effect January first, nineteen hundred and eight.

## FINANCIAL STATEMENT SHOWING APPLICATION OF STATE ROAD LAW TO EACH TOWN.

Note: To simplify appropriations we have assumed that towns would prefer to set aside under Section 4 only the whole number of dollars represented by their thousands of valuation and have accordingly dropped off the odd cents in figuring this amount. State Assessors' 1907 valuation used.

| Town. | County. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A, R. 2. Twp. | Aroostook | \$48,437 | aa\$233 | \$16 | \$8.00 | \$24.00 | \$48.00 | \$72.00 |
| A, R. 7. Twp | Penobscot. | 260,914 | au176 | 86 | 43.00 | 129.00 | 161.25 | 290.25 |
| Abbot. | Piscataquis. | 172,648 | 1,200 | 86 | 43.00 | 129.00 | 193.50 | 322.50 |
| Acton. . | York. | 268,874 | 900 | 89 | 44.50 | 133.50 | 166.87 | 300.37 |
| Addison. | Washington. | 192,862 | 1,000 | 96 | 48.00 | 144.00 | 216.00 | 360.00 |
| Albany.. | Oxford. | 156,573 | 2,000 | 78 | 39.00 | 117.00 | 175.50 | 292.50 |
| Albion.. | Kennebec. | 378,909 | 1,000 | 126 | 63.00 | 189.00 | 236.25 | 425.25 |
| Alexander. | Washington | 58,898 | 800 | 29 | 14.50 | 43.50 | 87.00 | 130.50 |
| Alfred.. | York. | 332,583 | 1,700 | 110 | 55.00 | 165.00 | 206.25 | 371.25 |


| Allagash Pl... | Aroostook. . | *183,913 | aa1,074 | 91 | 45.50 | 136.50 | 204.75 | 341.25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alna | Lincoln | 165,917 | 1,200 | 82 | 41.00 | 123.00 | 184.50 | 307.50 |
| Alton. | Penobscot. | 76,206 | 450 | 38 | 19.00 | 57.00 | 114.00 | 171.00 |
| Amberst. | Hancock | 80,828 | 300 | 40 | 20.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 180.00 |
| Amity. | Aroostook | 121,471 | 1,000 | 60 | 30.00 | 90.00 | 135.00 | 225.00 |
| Andover. | Oxford. | 263,283 | 1,200 | 87 | 43.50 | 130.50 | 163.12 | 293.62 |
| Andover West Surplus Twp | Oxford. | 28,782 | aa77 | 19 | 4.50 | 13.50 | 27.00 | 40.50 |
| Andover No. Surplus Twp. | Oxford | 71,869 | $a, 411$ | 23 | 11.50 | 34.50 | 69.00 | 103.50 |
| Anson. | Somerset | 745,907 | 2,300 | 248 | 124.00 | 372.00 | 372.00 | 744.00 |
| Appleton. | Knox. | 249,731 | 1,600 | 83 | 41.50 | 124.50 | 186.75 | 311.25 |
| Argyle. | Penobscot. | 58,698 | 300 | 29 | 14.50 | 43.50 | 87.00 | 130.50 |
| Arrowsic. | Sagadahoc. | 64,673 | 625 | 32 | 16.00 | 48.00 | 96.00 | 144.00 |
| Ashland. | Aroostook | 477,915 | 1,600 | 159 | 79.50 | 238.50 | 298.12 | 536.62 |
| Athens. | Somerset. | 308,265 | 2,500 | 102 | 51.00 | 153.00 | 191.25 | 344.25 |
| Atkinson. | Piscataquis | 154,673 | 900 | 77 | 38.50 | 115.50 | 173.25 | 288.75 |
| Auburn. | Androscoggin | 7,282,434 | 36,550 | 809 | 404.50 | 1213.50 | 910.12 | 2,123.62 |
| Augusta. | Kennebec. | 7.518,992 | 16,000 | 835 | 417.50 | 1252.50 | 939.37 | 2,191.87 |
| Aurora. | Hancock. | 46,193 | 175 | 23 | 11.50 | 34.50 | 69.00 | 103.50 |
| Avon. | Franklin. | 166,646 | 1,200 | 83 | 41.50 | 124.50 | 186.75 | 311.25 |
| Baileyville. | Washington. | 233,051 | 640 | 77 | 38.50 | 115.50 | 173.25 | 288.75 |
| Bald Mountain Twp. 2 R. 3. | Somerset. | 154,200 | aa75 | 51 | 25.50 | 76.50 | 114.75 | 191.25 |
| Baldwin. | Cumberland. | 358,540 | 1,200 | 119 | 59.50 | 178.50 | 223.12 | 401.62 |
| Bancroft. | Aroostook. | 56,347 | 600 | 28 | 14.00 | 42.00 | 84.00 | 126.00 |
| Bangor. | Penobscot. | 19,061,521 | 50,000 | 1,588 | 794.00 | 2,382.00 | 1,786.50 | 4,168.50 |

$\overline{a a}$ Organized plantations or unincorporatcd townships in which the county commissioners assess and expend the highway appropriations.

* Valuation for Allagash Pl., includes valuation of the four Allagash townships.
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| Town. | County. | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Burlington... | Penobscot. | \$148,581 | \$ 400 | \$ 74 | \$37.00 | \$111.00 | \$166.50 | \$277.50 |
| Burnham. | Waldo. | 231,514 | 900 | 77 | 38.50 | 115.50 | 173.25 | 288.75 |
| Buxton. | York. | 774,752 | 2,900 | 258 | 129.00 | 387.00 | 387.00 | 774.00 |
| Byron. . | Oxford. | 96,562 | 800 | 48 | 24.00 | 72.00 | 144.00 | 216.00 |
| C Twp. | Oxford. | 208,583 | *410 | 69 | 34.50 | 103.50 | 155.25 | 258.75 |
| Calais. | Washington. | 2,631.039 | 5.100 | 657 | 328.50 | 985.50 | 739.12 | 1,724.62 |
| Cambridge. | Somerset. | 128,619 | 1,200 | 64 | 32.00 | 96.00 | 144.00 | 240.00 |
| Camden. | Knox. | 2,390,706 | 6,000 | 597 | 298.50 | 895.50 | 671.62 | 1,567.12 |
| Canaan. | Somerset. | 300,697 | -2,350 | 100 | 50.00 | 150.00 | 187.50 | 337.50 |
| Canton.. | Oxford. . | 327,610 | 1,200 | 109 | 54.50 | 163.50 | 204.37 | 367.87 |
| Cape Elizabeth | Cumberland. | 884,892 | 1,700 | 294 | 147.00 | 441.00 | 441.00 | 882.00 |
| Caribou. | Aroostook. | 1,846,177 | 7,000 | 461 | 230.50 | 691.50 | 518.62 | 1,210.12 |
| Carmel. | Penobscot. | 296.713 | 1,400 | 98 | 49.00 | 147.00 | 183.75 | 330.75 |
| Caratunk Pl. | Somerset. | 97,291 | 500 | 48 | 24.00 | 72.00 | 144.00 | 216.00 |
| Carroll. | Penobscot. | 119,997 | 500 | 59 | 29.50 | 88.50 | 132.75 | 221.25 |


| Carrying Place Carthage. Cary Pl. | Somerset. . Franklin... Aroostook. | 35,532 156,794 36,315 | $\begin{array}{r} * 162 \\ 1,000 \\ 800 \end{array}$ | 11 78 18 | $\begin{array}{r} 5.50 \\ 39.00 \\ 9.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.50 \\ 117.00 \\ 27.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.00 \\ 175.50 \\ 54.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.50 \\ 292.50 \\ 81.00 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Casco. | Cumberland | 283,115 | 1,700 | 94 | 47.00 | 141.00 | 176.25 | 317.25 |
| Castine. | Hancock. | 551,721 | 1,250 | 183 | 91.50 | 274.50 | 274.50 | $549.00^{\circ}$ |
| Castle Hill. | Aroostook. | 109,181 | 1,000 | 54 | 27.00 | 81.00 | 121.50 | 202.50 |
| Caswell Pı. | Aroostook | 54,417 | 540 | 27 | 13.50 | 40.50 | 81.00 | 121.50 |
| Centerville. | Washington. | 53,531 | 250 | 26 | 13.00 | 39.00 | 78.00 | 117.00 |
| Chapman Pl.. | Aroostook | 75,401 | 600 | 37 | 18.50 | 55.50 | 111.00 | 166.50 |
| Charleston. | Penobscot. | 303,720 | 1,850 | 101 | 50.50 | 151.50 | 189.37 | 340.87 |
| Charlotte. | Washington. | 80,733 | 275 | 40 | 20.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 180.00 |
| Chelsea. | Kennebec. | 231,668 | 1,750 | 77 | 38.50 | 115.50 | 173.25 | 288.75 |
| Cherryfield. | Washington. | 460,824 | 2,300 | 153 | 76.50 | 229.50 | 286.87 | 516.37 |
| Chester. | Penobscot. | 72,798 | 500 | 36 | 18.00 | 54.00 | 108.00 | 162.00 |
| Chesterville. | Franklin. | 253,736 | 2,300 | 84 | 42.00 | 126.00 | 157.50 | 283.50 |
| China. | Kennebec. | 541,611 | 5,500 | 180 | 90.00 | 270.00 | 270.00 | 540.00 |
| Clifton. | Penobscot. | 61,405 | 350 | 30 | 15.00 | 45.00 | 90.00 | 135.00 |
| Clinton | Kennebec. | 583,172 | 1,700 | 194 | 97.00 | 291.00 | 291.00 | 582.00 |
| Codyville PI.. | Washington. | 70,550 | *263 | 35 | 17.50 | 52.50 | 105.00 | 157.50 |
| Columbia. | Washington. | 105,930 | 700 | 52 | 26.00 | 78.00 | 117.00 | 195.00 |
| Columbia Falls. | Washington. | 137,725 | 600 | 68 | 34.00 | 102.00 | 153.00 | 255.00 |
| Concord. | Somerset. | 82,301 | 1,000 | 41 | 20.50 | 61.50 | 123.00 | 184.50 |
| Connor Pl.. | Aroostook. | 64,846 | 800 | 32 | 16.00 | 48.00 | 96.00 | 144.00 |
| Cooper. | Washington. | 53,862 | 500 | 26 | 13.00 | 39.00 | 78.00 | 117.00 |

*Organized plantations or unincorporated townships in which the county commissioners assess and expend the highway appropriations.
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| Town, | County. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coplin PI. | Franklin. | \$132,240 | \$350 | \$ 66 | \$33.00 | \$99.00 | \$148.50 | \$247.50 |
| Corinna. | Penobscot. | 451,088 | 2,900 | 150 | 75.00 | 225.00 | 281.25 | 506.25 |
| Corinth | Penobscot. | 438,939 | 1,125 | 146 | 73.00 | 219.00 | 273.75 | 492.75 |
| Cornish. | York. | 368,085 | 700 | 122 | 61.00 | 183.00 | 228.75 | 411.75 |
| Cornville. | Somerset. | 319,637 | 2,300 | 106 | 53.00 | 159.00 | 198.75 | 357.75 |
| Cranberry Isles. | Hancock. | 206,754 | 565 | 68 | 34.00 | 102.00 | 153.00 | 255.00 |
| Crawford. | Washington. | 37,286 | 310 | 18 | 9.00 | 27.00 | 54.00 | 81.00 |
| Criehaven Pl. | Knox. | 20,255 | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crockertown Twp. 4, R. 2. | Franklin. | 110,020 | *515 | 36 | 18.00 | 54.00 | 81.00 | 135,00 |
| Crystal. | Aroostook. | 118,971 | 1,000 | 59 | 29.50 | 88.50 | 132.75 | 221.25 |
| C, Surplus Twp | Oxford. | 87.200 | *50 | 29 | 14.50 | 43.50 | 8700 | 130.50 |
| Cumberland. | Cumberland | 999,043 | 2,800 | 333 | 166.50 | 499.50 | 499.50 | 999.00 |
| Cushing . | Knox. . | 128,548 | 750 | 64 | 32.00 | 9600 | 144.00 | 240.00 |
| Cutler. | Washington. | 88,161 | 500 | 44 | 22,00 | 66.00 | 132.00 | 198.00 |
| Cyr PI.. | Aroostook. | 57,811 | 800 | 28 | 14.00 | 42.00 | 84.00 | 126.00 |
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| Town. | County. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East Machias . | Washington | 8415,050 | \$1,900 | \$ 138 | \$69.00 | \$207.00 | \$258.75 | \$465.75 |
| East Millinocket | Penobscot. | 212,539 | 500 | 70 | 35.00 | 105.00 | 157.50 | 262.50 |
| Easton. | Aroostook | 406,007 | 2,500 | 135 | 67.50 | 202.50 | 253.12 | 455.62 |
| Eastport. | Washington. | 1,658,238 | 3,200 | 414 | 207.00 | 621.00 | 465.75 | 1,086.75 |
| Eddington. | Penobscot. | 168,434 | 800 | 84 | 42.00 | 126.00 | 189.00 | 315.00 |
| Eden. | Hancock. | 6,381,675 | 25,900 | 709 | 354.50 | 1,063.50 | 797.62 | 1,861.12 |
| Edgecomb . | Lincoln. | 186,362 | 1,100 | 93 | 46.50 | 139.50 | 209.25 | 348.75 |
| Edinburg. | Penobscot. | 22,103 | 175 | 11 | 5.50 | 16.50 | 33.00 | 49.50 |
| Edmunds. | Washington. | 93,017 | 500 | 46 | 23.00 | 69.00 | 138.00 | 207.00 |
| Eliot., | York. | 554,460 | 1,675 | 184 | 92.00 | 276.00 | 276.00 | 552.00 |
| Elliottsville Pl. | Piscataquis. | 118,688 | *391 | 59 | 29.50 | 88.50 | 132.75 | 221.25 |
| Ellsworth. | Hancock | 2,015,498 | 6,350 | 503 | 251.50 | 754.50 | 565.87 | 1,320.37 |
| Embden. | Somerset. | 265,403 | 1,500 | 88 | 44.00 | 132.00 | 165.00 | 297.00 |
| Enfield. | Penobscot. | 244,648 | 1,650 | 81 | 40.50 | 121.50 | 182.25 | 303.75 |
| Etna. | Penobscot | 131,373 | 1,200 | 65 | 32.50 | 97.50 | 146.25 | 243.75 |



* Organized plantations or unincorporated townships in which the county commissioners assess and expend the highway appropriations.
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| Town. | County. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Garland. | Penobscot. | \$298,656 | \$2,000 | \$ 99 | \$49.50 | \$148.50 | \$185.62 | \$334.12 |
| Georgetown | Sagadahoc | 266,532 | 1,100 | 88 | 44.00 | 132.00 | 165.00 | 297.00 |
| Gilead. | Oxford. | 127,743 | 400 | 63 | 31.50 | 94.50 | 141.75 | 236.25 |
| Glenburn. | Penobscot. | 129,908 | 800 | 64 | 32.00 | 96.00 | 144.00 | 240.00 |
| Glenwood Pl. | Aroostook. | 55.000 | *506 | 27 | 13.50 | 40.50 | 81.00 | 121.50 |
| Gorham. | Cumberland | 1,517,492 | 5,000 | 379 | 189.50 | 568.50 | 426.37 | 994.87 |
| Gouldsboro. | Hancock. | 332,871 | 2,000 | 110 | 55 | 165 | 206.25 | 371.25 |
| Grafton. | Oxford. | 98,217 | 450 | 49 | 24.50 | 73.50 | 147.00 | 220.50 |
| Grand Falls Pl. | Penobscot. | 66,120 | *239 | 33 | 16.50 | 49.50 | 99.00 | 148.50 |
| Grand Isle | Aroostook. | 122,711 | 800 | 61 | 30.50 | 91.50 | 137.25 | 228.75 |
| Grand Lake Stream Pl | Washington | 104,195 | *360 | 52 | 26.00 | 78.00 | 117.00 | 195.00 |
| Gray. | Cumberland. | 554,340 | 2,100 | 184 | 92.00 | 276.00 | 276.00 | 552.00 |
| Greenbush. | Penobscot. | 83,251 | 1,100 | 41 | 20.50 | 61.50 | 123.00 | 184.50 |
| Greene. | Androscoggin. . | 306,621 | 2,500 | 102 | 51.00 | 153.00 | 191.25 | 344.25 |
| Greenfield. | Penobscot. | 43,803 | 150 | 21 | 10.50 | 31.50 | 63.00 | 94.50 |
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| Town． | County． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hope． | Knox． | \＄203，350 | \＄1，000 | \＄ 67 | \＄33．50 | \＄100．50 | 150.75 | \＄251．25 |
| Houlton． | Aroostook． | 3，064，751 | 15，000 | 510 | 255.00 | 765.00 | 573.75 | 1，338．75 |
| Howland． | Penobscot | 275，812 | 1，100 | 91 | 45，50 | 136.50 | 170.62 | 307.12 |
| Hudson． | Penobscot． | 110，339 | 500 | 55 | 27.50 | 82.50 | 123.75 | 206.25 |
| Hurricane Isle． | Knox． | 58，135 | 75 | 29 | 14.50 | 43.50 | 87.00 | 130.50 |
| Industry ． | Franklin | 110，090 | 1，500 | 55 | 27.50 | 82.50 | 123.75 | 206.25 |
| Island Falls． | Aroostook | 340，524 | 1，000 | 113 | 56.50 | 169.50 | 211.87 | 381.37 |
| Isle au Haut． | Hancock． | 79，841 | 450 | 39.00 | 19.50 | 58.50 | 117.00 | 175．50 |
| Isleboro． | Waldo． | 913,079 | 1，800 | 304 | 152.00 | 456.00 | 456.00 | 912.00 |
| Jackman Pl． | Somerset． | 154，280 | ＊850 | 77 | 38.50 | 115.50 | 173.25 | 288.75 |
| Jackson． | Waldo． | 143，367 | 1，200 | 71 | 35.50 | 106.50 | 159.75 | 266.25 |
| Jay． | Franklin． | 1，738，942 | 6，400 | 434 | 217.00 | 651.00 | 488.25 | 1，139．25 |
| Jefferson． | Lincoln． | 427，960 | 2，050 | 142 | 71.00 | 213.00 | 266.25 | 479.25 |
| Jerusalem Twp | Franklin． | 102，692 | ＊917 | 34 | 17.00 | 51.00 | 76.50 | 127.50 |
| Johnson Mountain Twp | Somerset． | 107，960 | ＊401 | 35 | 17.50 | 52.50 | 78.75 | 131.25 |


| Jonesboro. | Washington... | 107,314 | 500 | 53 | 26.50 | 79.50 | 119.25 | 198.75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jonesport. | Washington. | 488,429 | 3,700 | 162 | 81.00 | 243.00 | 303.75 | 546.75 |
| Kenduskeag | Penobscot | 174,461 | 800 | 87 | 43.50 | 130.50 | 195.75 | 326.25 |
| Kennnebunk | York. | 2,273,060 | 7,000 | 568 | 284.00 | 852.00 | 639.00 | 1,491.00 |
| Kennebunkport | York. | 1,493,618 | 5,000 | 373 | 186.50 | 559.50 | 419.62 | 979.12 |
| Kingfield | Franklin | 372,599 | 1,550 | 124 | 62.00 | 186.00 | 232.50 | 418.50 |
| Kingman. | Penobscot. | 158,861 | 500 | 79 | 39.50 | 118.50 | 177.75 | 296.25 |
| Kingsbury Pl. | Piscataquis. | 96,000 | *532 | 48 | 24.00 | 72.00 | 144.00 | 216.00 |
| Kittery | York | 860,522 | 4,300 | 286 | 143.00 | 429.00 | 429.00 | 858.00 |
| Knox | Waldo. | 190,570 | 2,000 | 95 | 47.50 | 142.50 | 213.75 | 356.25 |
| Kossuth Twp | Washington. | 86,280 | *216 | 28 | 14.00 | 42.00 | 84.00 | 126.00 |
| Lagrange. | Penobscot | 223,355 | 750 | 74 | 37.50 | 111.50 | 167.25 | 278.75 |
| Lake View Pl. | Piscataquis. | 157,620 | * 49 | 78 | 39.00 | 117.00 | 175.50 | 292.50 |
| Lakeville Pl | Penobscot | 138,750 | 275 | 69 | 34.50 | 103.50 | 155.25 | 258.75 |
| Lambert Lake Twp. | Washington. | 81,277 | *200 | 27 | 13.50 | 40.50 | 81.00 | 121.50 |
| Lamoine. | Hancock | 185,927 | 600 | 92 | 46.00 | 138.00 | 207.00 | 345.00 |
| Lang Pl. | Franklin | 88.160 | 230 | 44 | 22.00 | 66.00 | 132.00 | 198.00 |
| Lebanon. | York | 396,224 | 4,800 | 132 | 66.00 | 198.00 | 247.50 | 445.50 |
| Lee. | Penobscot | 128,385 | 650 | 64 | 32.00 | 96.00 | 144.00 | 240.00 |
| Leeds. | Androscoggin | 333,159 | 2,000 | 111 | 55.50 | 166.50 | 208.12 | 374.62 |
| $\dagger$ Letter E Twp | Franklin. | 47,555 |  | 15 | 7.50 | 22.50 | 45.00 | 67.50 |
| Levant. | Penobscot. | 243,634 | 1,500 | 81 | 40.50 | 121.50 | 182.25 | 303.75 |
| Lewiston. | Androscoggin | 14,596,279 | 47,693 | 1,621 | 810.50 | 2,431.50 | 823.62 | 4,255.12 |
| Lexington Pl. | Somerset. | 82,650 | *731 | 41 | 20.50 | 61.50 | 123.00 | 184.50 |
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| Town． | County． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liberty． | Waldo． | \＄204，730 | \＄1，600 | \＄ 68 | \＄34．00 | \＄102．00 | \＄153．00 | \＄255．00 |
| Lily Bay Twp | Piscataquis | 152，812 | ＊250 | 50 | 25.00 | 75.00 | 112.50 | 187.50 |
| Limerick． | York． | 453，771 | 1，200 | 151 | 75.50 | 226.50 | 283.12 | 509.62 |
| Limestone． | Aroostook． | 424，489 | 2，585 | 141 | 70.50 | 211.50 | 264.37 | 475.87 |
| Limington． | York． | 331，075 | 2，000 | 110 | 55.00 | 165.00 | 206.25 | 371.25 |
| Lincoln． | Penobscot | 594，125 | 1，600 | 198 | 99.00 | 297.00 | 297.00 | 594.00 |
| Lincoln Pl． | Oxford． | 140，171 | 300 | 70 | 35.00 | 105.00 | 157.50 | 262．50 |
| Lincolnville | Waldo． | 308，007 | 2，300 | 102 | 51.00 | 153.00 | 191.25 | 344.25 |
| Linneus． | Aroostook． | 263，551 | 1，500 | 87 | 43.50 | 130.50 | 163.12 | 993.62 |
| Lisbon． | Androscoggin． | 2，312，717 | 6，650 | 578 | 289.00 | 867.00 | 650.25 | 1，517．25 |
| Litchfield | Kennebec． | 358，630 | 1，500 | 119 | 59.50 | 178.50 | 223.12 | 401.62 |
| Littleton． | Aroostook． | 363，831 | 2，000 | 121 | 60.50 | 181.50 | 226.87 | 408.37 |
| Livermore． | Androscoggin． | 454，614 | 3，000 | 151 | 75.50 | 226.50 | 283.12 | 509.62 |
| Long Island Pl． | Hancock． | 26，270 | 75 | 13 | 6.50 | 19.50 | 39.00 | 58.50 |
| Lovell | Oxford． | 393，530 | 1，500 | 131 | 65.50 | 196.50 | 245.62 | 442.12 |


| Lowell. | Penobscot. | 72,614 | 400 | 36 | 18.00 | 54.00 | 108.00 | 162.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lubec | Washington | 886,559 | 2,800 | 295 | 147.50 | 442.50 | 442.50 | 885.00 |
| Ludlow. | Aroostook. | 110,941 | 1,000 | 55 | 27.50 | 82.50 | 123.75 | 206.25 |
| Lyman. | York. | 349,664 | 1,000 | 116 | 58.00 | 174.00 | 217.00 | 391.50 |
| Machias. | Washington | 847,833 | 3,500 | 282 | 141.00 | 423.00 | 423.00 | 846.00 |
| Machiasport. | Washington | 182,629 | 1,000 | 91 | 45.50 | 136.50 | 204.75 | 341.25 |
| Macwahoe Pl. | Aroostook | 50,427 | 95 | 25 | 12.50 | 37.50 | 75.00 | 112.50 |
| Madawaska. | Aroostook. | 195,526 | 1,400 | 97 | 48.50 | 145.50 | 218.25 | 363.75 |
| Madison | Somerset. | 1,828,593 | 5,000 | 457 | 228.50 | 685.50 | 514.12 | 1,199.62 |
| Madrid. | Franklin. | 88,859 | 800 | 44 | 22.00 | 66.00 | 132.00 | 198.00 |
| Magalloway Pl. | Oxford. | 199,745 | 250 | 99 | 49.50 | 148.50 | 222.75 | 371.25 |
| Manchester. | Kennebec | 290,683 | 900 | 96 | 48.00 | 144.00 | 180.00 | 324.00 |
| Mapleton. | Aroostook. | 270,748 | 1,400 | 90 | 45.00 | 135.00 | 168.75 | 303.75 |
| Mariaville | Hancock | 51,202 | 500 | 25 | 12.50 | 37.50 | 75.00 | 112.50 |
| Marion. | Washington | 36,918 | 300 | 18 | 9.00 | 27.00 | 54.00 | 81.00 |
| Marshfield. | Washington | 61,308 | 350 | 30 | 15.00 | 45.00 | 90.00 | 135.00 |
| Mars Hill | Aroostook. | 378,208 | 3,150 | 1.26 | 63.00 | 189.00 | 236.25 | 425.25 |
| Masardis. | Aroostook. | 134,009 | 600 | 67 | 33.50 | 100.50 | 150.75 | 251.25 |
| Mason. | Oxford | 52,792 | 300 | 26 | 13.00 | 39.00 | 78.00 | 117.00 |
| Matinicus Isle Pl. | Knox | 38,712 | $\ddagger$ | 19 | 9.50 | 28.50 | 57.00 | 85.50 |
| Mattamiscontis Twp | Penobscot. | 18,250 | *150 | 6 | 3. 00 | 9.00 | 18.00 | 27.00 |
| Mattawamkeag. | Penobscot. | 140,352 | 500 | 70 | 35.00 | 105.00 | 157.50 | 262.50 |
| Maxfield. | Penobscot. | 31,730 | 200 | 15 | 7.50 | 22.50 | 45.00 | 67.50 |
| Mayfield Pl. | Somerset. | 99,750 | *600 | 49 | 24.50 | 73.50 | 147.00 | 220.50 |

[^4]$\ddagger$ No appropriation for highways.
*Organized plantations or unincorporated townships in which the county commissioners assess and expend the highway appropriations.
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| Town. | County. |  | $\text { noIferidoidde } \begin{array}{r} \text { 206I IOJ } \\ \text { s، } \mathrm{UANO} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mechanic Falls. | Androscoggin . | 861,089 | 2,000 | 287 | 143.50 | 430.50 | 430.50 | 861.00 |
| Meddybemps. | Washington. | 29,773 | 200 | 14 | 7.00 | 21.00 | 42.00 | 63.00 |
| Medford. | Piscataquis. | 92,459 | 300 | 46 | 23.00 | 69.00 | 138.00 | 207.00 |
| Medway. | Penobscot | 60,407 | 300 | 30 | 15.00 | 45.00 | 90.00 | 135.00 |
| Mercer. | Somerset. | 169,496 | 1,600 | 84 | 42.00 | 126.00 | 189.00 | 315.00 |
| Merrill Pl. | Aroostook | 86,182 | 800 | 43 | 21.50 | 64.50 | 129.00 | 193.50 |
| Mexico | Oxford | 592,373 | 2,000 | 197 | 98.50 | 295.50 | 295.50 | 591.00 |
| Milbridge. | Washington | 441,145 | 1,400 | 147 | 73.50 | 220.50 | 275. 62 | 496.12 |
| Milford. | Penobscot. | 464,095 | 1,900 | 154 | 77.00 | 231.00 | 288.75 | 519.75 |
| Millinocket | Penobscot | 864,643 | 1,500 | 288 | 144.00 | 432.00 | 432.00 | 861.00 |
| Milo | Piscataquis. | 990,639 | 5,000 | 330 | 165.00 | 495.00 | 495.00 | 990.00 |
| Milton Pl. | Oxford. | 65,713 | 600 | 32 | 16.00 | 48.00 | 96.00 | 144.00 |
| Minot | Androscoggin. | 346,748 | 2,300 | 115 | 57.50 | 172.50 | 215.62 | 388.12 |
| Molunkus Twp. A., R. 5. | Aroostook | 86,252 | *374 | 28 | 14.00 | 42.00 | 84.00 | 126.00 |
| Monhegan Pl., | Lincoln. | 38,475 | 50 | 19 | 9.50 | 28.50 | 57.00 | 85.50 |

'SXVMHDIH HO Y'NOISSINTHOD


[^5]Financial Statement-Continued.

| Town. | County. |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 岂 } \\ \text { B } \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$號 <br>  들 \# 르응 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Sharon. | Franklin. | 391,540 | 2,500 | 130 | 65.00 | 195.09 | 243.75 | 438.75 |
| New Sweden. | Aroostook | 181,916 | 2,800 | 90 | 45.00 | 135.00 | 202.50 | 337.50 |
| New Vineyard | Franklin. | 181,232 | 1,200 | 90 | 45.00 | 135.00 | 202.50 | 337.50 |
| Nobleboro. | Lincoln. | 241,786 | 1,800 | 80 | 40.00 | 120.00 | 180.00 | 300.00 |
| Norridgewock. | Somerset | 583,954 | 4,000 | 194 | 97.00 | 291.00 | 291.00 | 582.00 |
| North Berwick | York. | 744,709 | 4,500 | 248 | 124.00 | 372.00 | 372.00 | 744.00 |
| Northfield | Washington | 43,085 | 250 | 21 | 10.50 | 31.50 | 63.00 | 94.50 |
| North Haven. | Kinox. | 260,468 | 800 | 86 | 43.00 | 129.00 | 161.25 | 290.25 |
| Northport. | Waldo. | 331,501 | 1,500 | 110 | 55.00 | 165.00 | 206.25 | 371.25 |
| North Yarmouth. | Cumberland. | 327,489 | 900 | 109 | 54.50 | 163.50 | 204.37 | 367.87 |
| Norway.. | Oxford. | 1,313,026 | 3,000 | 328 | 164.00 | 49200 | 369.00 | 861.00 |
| No. 1] R. 4 | Aroostook | 105,061 | *332 | 35 | 17.50 | 52.50 | 78.75 | 1.31 .25 |
| No. 11, R. 4. | Aroostook. | 74,130 | *152 | 24 | 12.00 | 36.00 | 72.00 | 108.00 |
| No. 16, R, 4. | Aroostook. | 103,180 | *326 | 34 | 17.00 | 51.00 | 76.50 | 127.50 |
| No. 17, R. 4. | Aroostook. | 46,585 | *139 | $15^{\prime}$ | 7.50 | 22.50 | 45.00 | 67.50 |


a Organized plantations or unincorporated townships in which the county commissioners assess and expend the highway appropriations.
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| Town． | County． |  | 品 |  |  |  |  | 芯 <br>  농認 3获 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No．27，Ea．Div | Washington | 65，240 | ＊173 | 21 | 10.50 | 31.50 | 63.00 | 4.50 |
| No．24，Mid．Div． | Washington | 45，600 | ＊165 | 15 | 7.50 | 22.50 | 45.00 | 67.50 |
| No．29，Mid．Div． | Washington． | 62，880 | ＊220 | 20 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 90.00 |
| No．30，Mid．Div． | Washington． | 62，240 | ＊55 | 20 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 90.00 |
| No． 31 Mid．Div． | Washington． | 74，481 | ＊220 | 24 | 12.00 | 36.00 | 72.00 | 108.00 |
| No． 8 R． 3. | Washington ． | 83，385 | ＊220 | 27 | 13.50 | 40.50 | 81.00 | 121.50 |
| No． 10 R． 3. | Washington． | 57，787 | ＊220 | 19 | 9.50 | 28.50 | 57.00 | 85.50 |
| No． 8 R． 4. | Washington． | 38，588 | ＊220 | 12 | 6.00 | 18.00 | 36.00 | 54.00 |
| Oakfield． | Aroostook． | 104，060 | 1，350 | 52 | 26.00 | 78.00 | 117.00 | 195.00 |
| Oakland． | Kennebec． | 992，858 | 3，500 | 330 | 165.00 | 495.00 | 495.00 | 990.00 |
| Old Orchard． | York． | 1，085，105 | 3，800 | 271 | 135.50 | 406.50 | 304.87 | 711.37 |
| Old Town． | Penobscot． | 2，061，885 | 7，400 | 515 | 257.50 | 772.50 | 579.37 | 1，351．87 |
| Orient． | Aroostook． | 71,465 | 600 | 35 | 17.50 | 52.50 | 105.00 | 157.50 |
| Orland． | Hancock | 274，465 | 1，150 | 91 | 45.50 | 136.50 | 170.62 | 307.12 |
| Orneville． | Piscataquis． | 108，365 | 1，161 | 54 | 27.00 | 81.00 | 121.50 | 202.50 |


| Orono. | Penobscot. | 1,087,804 | 2,000 | 271 | 135.50 | 406.50 | 304.87 | 711.37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orrington | Penobscot | 416,305 | 1,600 | 138 | 69.00 | 207.00 | 258.75 | 465.75 |
| Otis. . | Hancock. | 36,812 | 200 | 18 | 9.00 | 27.00 | 54.00 | 81.00 |
| Otisfield. | Cumberiand. | 245,417 | 1,200 | 81 | 40.50 | 121.50 | 182.25 | 303.75 |
| Oxbow Pl | Aroostook | 70,000 | *303 | 35 | 17.50 | 52.50 | 105.00 | 157.50 |
| Oxford. | Oxford | 480,546 | 1,600 | 160 | 80.00 | 240.00 | 300.00 | 540.00 |
| Palermo. | Waldo. | 210,375 | 1,500 | 70 | 35.00 | 105.00 | 157.50 | 262.50 |
| Palmyra. | Somerset | 346,528 | 2,000 | 115 | 57.50 | 172.50 | 215.62 | 388.12 |
| Paris. | Oxford | 1,421,674 | 3,500 | 355 | 177.50 | 532.50 | 399.37 | 931.87 |
| Parkman. | Piscataquis | 232,882 | 1,600 | 77 | 38.50 | 115.50 | 173.25 | 288.75 |
| Parlin Pond Twp. No. 3, R. 7 | Somerset | 99,220 | *250 | 33 | 16.50 | 49.50 | 99.00 | 148.50 |
| Parsonsfield. | York. | 449,706 | 1,700 | 149 | 74.50 | 223.50 | 279.37 | 502.87 |
| Passadumkeag | Penobscot | 95,53! | 350 | 47 | 23.50 | 70.50 | 141.00 | 211.50 |
| Patten. | Penobscot. | 598,215 | 1,850 | 199 | 99.50 | 298.50 | 298.50 | 597.00 |
| Pembroke. | Washington. | 340,674 | 800 | 113 | 56.50 | 169.50 | 211.87 | 381.37 |
| Penobscot. | Hancock. | 266,907 | 1,400 | 88 | 44.00 | 132.00 | 165.00 | 297.00 |
| Perham. | Aroostook | 158,746 | 1,000 | 79 | 39.50 | 118.50 | 177.75 | 296.25 |
| Perkins. | Sagadahoc. | 41,427 | 180 | 20 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 90.00 |
| Perkins Twp. . | Franklin | 24,028 | *356 | 8 | 4.00 | 12.00 | 24.00 | 36.00 |
| Perry. | Washington. | 182,518 | 1,000 | 91 | 45.50 | 136.50 | 204.75 | 341.25 |
| Peru. | Oxford. | 253,641 | 2,800 | 84 | 42.00 | 126.00 | 157.50 | 283.50 |
| Phillips. | Franklin | 609,365 | 3,350 | 203 | 101.50 | 304.50 | 304.50 | 609.00 |
| Phippsburg. | Sagadahoc. | 419,203 | 2,000 | 139 | 69.50 | 208.50 | 260.62 | 469.12 |
| Pittsfield. | Somerset. | 1,513,488 | 3,200 | 378 | 189.00 | 567.00 | 425.25 | 992.25 |

[^6]Financial Statement-Continued.

| Town. | County. | 号 | 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pittston. | Kennebec. | 467,750 | 2,000 | 155 | 77.50 | 232.50 | 290.62 | 523.12 |
| Pleasant Ridge Pl. | Somerset. | 60,462 | *350 | 30 | 15.00 | 45.00 | 90.00 | 135.00 |
| Plymouth. | Penobscot. | 178,672 | 1,300 | 89 | 44.50 | 133.50 | 200.25 | 333.75 |
| Poland. | Androscoggin. | 898,081 | 3,700 | 299 | 149.50 | 448.50 | 448.50 | 897.00 |
| Portage Lake Pl. | Aroostook. | 132,706 | 450 | 66 | 33.00 | 99.00 | 148.50 | 247.50 |
| Porter. | Oxford. | 236.767 | 800 | 78 | 39.00 | 117.00 | 175.50 | 292.50 |
| Portland. | Cumberland | 54,798,286 | 201,794 | 4,566 | 2,283 | 6,849.00 | 5,136.75 | 11,985.75 |
| Pownal. | Cumberland. | 264,674 | 900 | 88 | 44.00 | 132.00 | 165.00 | 297.00 |
| Prentiss. | Penobscot. | 98,061 | 500 | 49 | 24.50 | 73.50 | 147.00 | 220.50 |
| Presque Isle. | Aroostook. | 1,980,818 | 7,000 | 495 | 247.50 | 742.50 | 556.87 | 1,299.37 |
| Princeton. | Washington | 258,235 | 2,050 | 86 | 43.00 | 129.00 | 161.25 | 290.25 |
| Prospect. | Waldo. | 172,937 | 1.175 | 86 | 43.00 | 129.00 | 193.50 | 322.50 |
| Randolph. | Kennebec. | 299,755 | 1,300 | 99 | 49.50 | 14.50 | 185.62 | 334.12 |
| Rangeley. | Franklin. | 665,660 | 2,500 | 221 | 11050 | 321.50 | 331.50 | 663.00 |
| Rangeley P1. | Franklin, | 306,600 |  | 102 | 51.00 | 153.00 | 191.25 | 344.25 |


| Raymond. <br> Readfield. <br> er Reed PI. | Cumberland. Kennebec. Aroostook. | $\begin{aligned} & 246,783 \\ & 499,290 \\ & 131,555 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & 2,500 \\ & 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ 166 \\ 65 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.00 \\ & 83.00 \\ & 32.50 \end{aligned}$ | 123.00 249.00 97.50 | $\begin{aligned} & 184.50 \\ & 311.25 \\ & 146.25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 307.50 \\ & 560.25 \\ & 243.75 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Richmond | Sagadahoc. | 996,938 | 3,200 | 332 | 166.00 | 498.00 | 498.00 | 996.00 |
| Riley Twp. | Oxford. | 145,856 | *104 | 48 | 24.00 | 72.00 | 108.00 | 180.00 |
| Ripley. . | Somerset. | 142,816 | 1,700 | 71 | 35.50 | 106.50 | 159.75 | 266.25 |
| Robbinston. . | Washington. | 148,697 | 550 | 74 | 37.00 | 111.00 | 166.50 | 277.50 |
| Rockland | Knox | 5,679,038 | 16,000 | 631 | 315.50 | 946.50 | 709.87 | 1,656.37 |
| Rockport... | Knox. | 1,383,069 | 4,300 | 345 | 172.50 | 517.50 | 388.12 | 905.62 |
| Rome. | Kennebec. | 104,510 | 1,100 | 52 | 26.00 | 78.00 | 117.00 | 19500 |
| Roque Bluffs. | Washington | 30,939 | 300 | 15 | 7.50 | 22.50 | 45.00 | 67.50 |
| Roxbury. | Oxford. | 111,143 | 1,200 | 55 | 27.50 | 82.50 | 123.75 | 206.25 |
| Rumford | Oxford. | 3,240,149 | 6,500 | 540 | 270.00 | 810.00 | 607.50 | 1,417.50 |
| Saco. | York. | 4,033,657 | 12,800 | 672 | 336.00 | 1,008.00 | 756.00 | 1,764.00 |
| St. Agatha. | Aroostook | 117,717 | 1,500 | 58 | 29.00 | 87.00 | 130.50 | 217.50 |
| St. Albans. | Somerset | 406,703 | 3,600 | 135 | 67.50 | 202.50 | 253.12 | 455.62 |
| St. Francis Pl. | Aroostook | 92,978 | 950 | 46 | 23.00 | 69.00 | 138.00 | 207.00 |
| St. George. | Knox. | 400,713 | 2,150 | 133 | 66.50 | 199.50 | 249.37 | 448.87 |
| St. John Pl. | Aroostook. | 57,220 | 600 | 28 | 14.00 | 42.00 | 84.00 | 126.00 |
| Salem. | Franklin. | 62,779 | 300 | 31 | 15.50 | 46.50 | 93.00 | 139.50 |
| Sandy River Pl. | Franklin | 92,160 | 700 | 46 | 23.00 | 69.00 | 138.00 | 207.00 |
| Sandy Bay Twp. | Somerset. | 89,875 | *339 | 29 | 14.50 | 43.50 | 87.00 | 130.50 |
| Sanford. | York | 3,385,234 | 7,575 | 564 | 282.00 | 846.00 | 634.50 | 1,480.50 |
| Sangerville. | Piscataquis. | 539,083 | 3,000 | 179 | 89.50 | 268.50 | 268.50 | 537.00 |

*Organized plantations or unincorporated townships in which the county commissioners assess and expend the highway appropriations.
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| Solon. | Somerset. | 381,007 | 1,450 | 127 | 63.50 | 190.50 | 238.12 | 428.62 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Somerville. | Lincoln | 59,460 | 800 | 29 | 14.50 | 43.50 | 87.00 | 130.50 |
| Sorrento | Hancock | 273,941 | 1,000 | 91 | 45.50 | 136.50 | 170.62 | 307.12 |
| South Berwick. | York | 1,256,242 | 3,600 | 314 | 157.00 | 471.00 | 353.25 | 824.25 |
| Southport | Lincoln | 382,350 | 820 | 127 | 63.50 | 190.50 | 238.12 | 428.62 |
| South Portland | Cumberland | 3,051,249 | 5,500 | 508 | 254.00 | 762.00 | 571.50 | 1.333 .50 |
| South Thomaston | Knox | 376,931 | 2,250 | 125 | 62.50 | 187.50 | 234.37 | 421.87 |
| Southwest Harbor. | Hancock | 405,306 | 800 | 135 | 67.50 | 202.50 | 253.12 | 455.62 |
| Springfield. | Penobscot | 117,358 | 600 | 58 | 29.00 | 87.00 | 130.50 | 217.50 |
| Stacyville Pl. | Penobscot | 105,000 | 700 | 52 | 26.00 | 78.00 | 117.00 | 195.00 |
| Standish. | Cumberland | 739,922 | 2,750 | 246 | 123.00 | 369.00 | 369.00 | 738.00 |
| Starks. | Somerset | 233,395 | 1,500 | 77 | 38.50 | 115.50 | 173.25 | 288.75 |
| Stetson. | Penobscot. | 214,894 | 1,100 | 71 | 35.50 | 106.50 | 159.75 | 266.25 |
| Steuben | Washington | 179,995 | 1,175 | 89 | 44.50 | 133.50 | 200.25 | 333.75 |
| Stockholm Pl. | Aroostook | 143,234 | *667 | 71 | 35.50 | 106.50 | 159.75 | 266.25 |
| Stockton Springs | Waldo | 477,396 | 1,450 | 159 | 79.50 | 238.50 | 298.12 | 536.62 |
| Stoneham | Oxford | 109,904 | 800 | 54 | 27.00 | 81.00 | 121.50 | 202.50 |
| Stonington. | Hancock | 389,937 | 2,391 | 129 | 64.50 | 193.50 | 241.87 | 435.37 |
| Stow. | Oxford | 137,826 | 500 | 68 | 34.00 | 102.00 | 153.00 | 255.00 |
| Strong. | Franklin. | 282,225 | 1,500 | 94 | 47.00 | 141.00 | 176.25 | 317.25 |
| Sullivan. | Hancock | 337,867 | 1,700 | 112 | 56.00 | 168.00 | 21000 | 378.00 |
| Summit Twp. 1, N | Penobscot. | 60,720 | *176 | 20 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 90.00 |
| Sumner | Oxford | 279,644 | 2,200 | 93 | 46.50 | 139.50 | 174.37 | 313.87 |
| Surry . | Hancock. | 191,351 | 885 | 95 | 47.50 | 142.50 | 213.75 | 356.25 |

$a$ Organized plantations or unincorporated townships in which the county commissioners assess and expend the highway appropriations.
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| Town． | County． | 号 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Swan＇s Island． | Hancock． | 132，570 | 900 | 66 | 33.00 | 99.00 | 148.50 | 247.50 |
| Swanville． | Waldo | 151，878 | 1，500 | 75 | 37.50 | 112.50 | 168.75 | 281.25 |
| Sweden． | Oxford． | 167，742 | 400 | 83 | 41.50 | 124.50 | 186.75 | 311.25 |
| Talmadge． | Washington． | 59，072 | 400 | 29 | 14.50 | 43.50 | 87.00 | 130.50 |
| Temple． | Franklin． | 138，010 | 800 | 69 | 34.50 | 103.50 | 155.25 | 258.75 |
| The Forks Pl．． | Somerset | 88，160 | ＊500 | 44 | 22.00 | 66.00 | 132.00 | 198.00 |
| Thomaston． | Knox． | 1，265，441 | 4，380 | 316 | 158.00 | 474.00 | 355.50 | 829.50 |
| Thorndike． | Waldo． | 214，038 | 1，500 | 71 | 35.50 | 106.50 | 159.75 | 266.25 |
| Topsfield | Washington． | 95，849 | 600 | 47 | 23.50 | 70.50 | 141.00 | 211.50 |
| Topsham． | Sagadahoc． | 1，198，347 | 3，000 | 299 | 149.50 | 448.50 | 336.37 | 784.87 |
| Tremont． | Hancock． | 253，666 | 1，000 | 84 | 42.00 | 126.00 | 157.50 | 283.50 |
| Trenton． | Hancock | 134，745 | 500 | 67 | 33.50 | 100.50 | 150.75 | 251.25 |
| Trescott． | Washington | 59，360 | 650 | 29 | 14.50 | 43.50 | 87.00 | 130.50 |
| Troy．． | Waldo． | 271，164 | 1，200 | 90 | 45.00 | 135.00 | 168.75 | 303.75 |
| Turner．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | Androscoggin． | 893，062 | 5，000 | 297 | 148.50 | 445.50 | 445.50 | 891.00 |


| Union. <br> Unity... <br> Unity PI. | Knox Waldo. . . . . Kennebec. | $\begin{gathered} 509,581 \\ 335,804 \\ 15,767 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,000 \\ 2,200 \\ 125 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 169 \\ 111 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84.50 \\ 55.50 \\ 3.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 253.50 \\ 166.50 \\ 10.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 253.50 \\ 208.12 \\ 21.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 507.00 \\ 374.62 \\ 31.50 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upton...... <br> Van Buren. <br> Vanceboro. | Oxford..... <br> Aroostook. <br> Washington | $\begin{aligned} & 119,922 \\ & 583,842 \\ & 161,087 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 500 \\ 3,100 \\ 250 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \\ 194 \\ 80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.50 \\ & 97.00 \\ & 40.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88.50 \\ 291.00 \\ 120.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 132.75 \\ & 291.00 \\ & 180.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 221.25 \\ & 582.00 \\ & 300.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Vassalboro. <br> Veazie. <br> Verona | Kennebec. <br> Penobscot. <br> Hancock. | $\begin{array}{r} 1,042,198 \\ 284,054 \\ 68,167 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,500 \\ 300 \\ 150 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 260 \\ 94 \\ 34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 130.00 \\ 47.00 \\ 17.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 390.00 \\ 141.00 \\ 51.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 292.50 \\ & 176.25 \\ & 102.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 682.50 \\ & 317.25 \\ & 153.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Vienna.... <br> Vinalhaven <br> Wade Pl.. | Kennebec <br> Knox. . . <br> Aroostook | $\begin{array}{r} 127,562 \\ 701,318 \\ 81,133 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,500 \\ 1,800 \\ 700 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63 \\ 233 \\ 40 \\ 40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.50 \\ 116.50 \\ 20.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94.50 \\ 349.50 \\ 60.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141.75 \\ & 349.50 \\ & 120.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 236.25 \\ & 699.00 \\ & 180.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Waite..... <br> Waldo. <br> Waldoboro | Washington Waldo. Lincoln. . . | $\begin{array}{r} 24,773 \\ 144,506 \\ 1,096,992 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 300 \\ 800 \\ 3,850 \end{array}$ | 12 72 274 | $\begin{array}{r} 6.00 \\ 36.00 \\ 137.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.00 \\ 108.00 \\ 411.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.00 \\ 162.00 \\ 308.25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54.00 \\ 270.00 \\ 719.25 \end{array}$ |
| Wales....... Wallagrass Pl. Waltham. | Androscoggin Aroostook. Hancock. | 212,026 66,684 78,250 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,200 \\ 200 \\ 350 \end{array}$ | 70 33 39 | $\begin{aligned} & 35.00 \\ & 16.50 \\ & 19.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105.00 \\ 49.50 \\ 58.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 157.50 \\ 99.00 \\ 117.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 262.50 \\ & 148.50 \\ & 175.50 \end{aligned}$ |
| Warren.... <br> Washburn. <br> Washington. | Knox. <br> Aroostook <br> Knox. | $\begin{aligned} & 839,256 \\ & 283,867 \\ & 271,606 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,300 \\ & 1,750 \\ & 2,000 \end{aligned}$ | 279 94 90 | $\begin{array}{r} 139.50 \\ 47.00 \\ 45.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 418.50 \\ & 141.00 \\ & 135.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 418.50 \\ & 176.25 \\ & 168.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 837.00 \\ 317.25 \\ 303.75 \end{array}$ |
| Washington Tw <br> Waterboro..... <br> Waterford..... | Franklin York. Oxford. | $\begin{array}{r} 5,292 \\ 36,383 \\ 307,012 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} a 131 \\ 2,000 \\ 1,500 \end{array}$ | 2 121 102 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.00 \\ 60.50 \\ 51.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.00 \\ 181.50 \\ 153.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.00 \\ 226.87 \\ 191.25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.00 \\ 408.37 \\ 344.25 \end{array}$ |

* Organized plantations or unincorporated townships in which the county commissioners assess and expend the highway appropriations.

Financial Statement-Concluded.

| Town. | County. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Waterville. | Kennebec. | 6,278,494 | 19,500 | 697 | 348.50 | 1,045.50 | 784.12 | 1,829.62 |
| Wayne. | Kennebec | 234,111 | 2,500 | 78 | 39.00 | 117.00 | 175.50 | 292.50 |
| Webster. | Androscoggin | 545,952 | 4,100 | 181 | 90.50 | 271.50 | 271.50 | 543.00 |
| Webster Pl. | Penobscot. | 52,500 | 593 | 26 | 13.00 | 39.00 | 78.00 | 117.00 |
| Weld. | Franklin. | 244,098 | 1,650 | 81 | 40.50 | 121.50 | 182.25 | 303.75 |
| Wellington. | Piscataquis. | 125,358 | 1,400 | 62 | 31.00 | 93.00 | 139.50 | 232.50 |
| Wells. | York. | 950,499 | 3,550 | 316 | 158.00 | 474.00 | 474.00 | 948.00 |
| Wesley. | Washington. | 46,309 | 350 | 23 | 11.50 | 34.50 | 69.00 | 103.50 |
| West Bath. | Sagadahoc. | 163,571 | 700 | 81 | 40.50 | 121.50 | 182.25 | 303.75 |
| Westbrook. | Cumberland | 4,550,649 | 9,500 | 758 | 379.00 | 1,137.00 | 852.75 | 1,989.75 |
| Westfield Pl. | Aroostook | 151,511 | 1,200 | 75 | 37.50 | 112.50 | 168.75 | 281.25 |
| West Forks Pl. | Somerset. | 97,338 | *700 | 48 | 24.00 | 72.00 | 144.00 | 216.00 |
| West Gardiner. | Kennebec. | 307,723 | 800 | 102 | 51.00 | 153.00 | 191.25 | 314.25 |
| Westmanland Pl. | Aroostook | 99,180 | *333 | 49 | 24.50 | 73.50 | 147.00 | 220.50 |
| Weston. | Aroostook | 65,170 | 800 | 32 | 16.00 | 48.00 | 96.00 | 144.00 |


| Westport <br> Whitefield. <br> Whiting. . | Lincoln. . . <br> Lincoln. . . . <br> Washington | $\begin{array}{r} 91,159 \\ 415,983 \\ 100,847 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 425 \\ 2,400 \\ 600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \\ 138 \\ 50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.50 \\ & 69.00 \\ & 25.00 \end{aligned}$ | 67.50 207.00 75.00 | $\begin{aligned} & 135.00 \\ & 258.75 \\ & 112.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 202.50 \\ 465.75 \\ 187.50 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whitneyville. | Washington. | 55,093 | 450 | 27 | 13.50 | 40.50 | 81.00 | 121.50 |
| Williamsburg. | Piscataquis. | 51,655 | 250 | 25 | 12.50 | 37.50 | 75.00 | 112.50 |
| Willimantic. | Piscataquis. | 81,833 | 450 | 40 | 20.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 180.00 |
| Wilton. | Franklin. | 950,212 | 4,000 | 316 | 158.00 | 474.00 | 474.00 | 948.00 |
| Windham. | Cumberland. | 1,098,155 | 3,500 | 274 | 13700 | 411.00 | 308.25 | 719.25 |
| Windsor. | Kennebec. | 251,441 | 1,000 | 83 | 41,50 | 124.50 | 155.62 | 280.12 |
| Winn. | Penobscot | 164,102 | 300 | 82 | 41.00 | 123.00 | 184.50 | 307.50 |
| Winslow | Kennebec. | 2,304,504 | 900 | 576 | 288.00 | 864.00 | 648.00 | 1,512.00 |
| Winter Harbor. | Hancock | 499,238 | 1,200 | 166 | 83.00 | 249.00 | 311.25 | 560.25 |
| Winterport. | Waldo. | 564,219 | 4,100 | 188 | 94.00 | 282.00 | 282.00 | 564.00 |
| Winterville Pl. | Aroustook | 88,320 | *311 | 44 | 22.00 | 66.00 | 132.00 | 198.00 |
| Winthrop. | Kennebec. | 1,293,511 | 4,250 | 323 | 161.50 | 484.50 | 363.37 | 847.87 |
| Wiscasset. | Lincoln. | 480,972 | 2,500 | 160 | 80.00 | 240.00 | 300.00 | 54000 |
| Woodland. | Aroostook. | 237,133 | 1,500 | 79 | 39.50 | 118.50 | 177.75 | 296.25 |
| Woodstock. | Oxford. | 271,014 | 1,500 | 90 | 45.00 | 135.00 | 168.75 | 303.75 |
| Woodville. | Penobscot. | 58,211 | 600 | 29 | 14.50 | 43.50 | 87.00 | 130.50 |
| Woolwich. | Sagadahoc. | 341,994 | 1,500 | 113 | 56.50 | 169.50 | 211.87 | 381.37 |
| Wyman Twp. No 4, R. 3 | Franklin. | 59,380 | *255 | 19 | 9.50 | 28.50 | 57.00 | 85.50 |
| Yarmouth . | Cumberland. | 1,486,238 | 2,500 | 371 | 185.50 | 556.50 | 417.37 | 973.87 |
| York. | York. | 2,497,337 | 8,200 | 624 | 312.00 | 936.00 | 702.00 | 1,638.00 |
| Totals for State. |  | \$366,815,093 | \$1,378,796 | \$81,977 | \$40,989.00 | \$122,966.00 | \$130,550.90 | \$253,516.90 |

*Organized plantations or unincorporated townships in which the county commissioners assess and expend the highway appropriations.

## REPORT OF STATE ROAD WORK FOR 1906.

Following the practice of the past two years we herewith present a compilation giving a brief description of state road work done in 1906. The information is taken from the county commissioners' returns to the governor and council.

It is apparent by a close inspection of the reports that a considerable number of towns in making report of the cost of work give only the maximum expenditure against which they can draw aid when in reality the expenditure has been considerably more. Such a case for example occurs in report for city of Augusta. In this case we have substituted for the figures reported by the county commissioners, viz. $\$ 600$, the actual cost, \$2,250.

Consequently the reports of work costing exactly $\$ 600$ cannot always be depended upon as showing the true cost of work reported.

## SlATE ROAD WORK FOR 1906.

## Compiled from County Commissioners' returns to the Governor and Council.

## Androscoggin County.

| Town. | Length in feet. | Description. | Cost. | Cost per foot. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Durham | 870 | No description given. | \$269.70 | . 31 |
| E. Livermore | 1,485 | Surfacing with crushed stone and other material. <br> $\$ 386.41$ |  |  |
|  |  | Labor. 186.68 | 573.09 | . 39 |
| Lewiston | 440 | Surfacing with a layer of stone 18 inches in depth, covering with clay to a depth of about 6 inches surfacing with gravel. | 400.00 | . 91 |
| Lisbon | 742 | Widening; grading; surfacing with gravel to a length of 742 feet and a depth of about 1 foot. | 894.49 | 1.21 |
| Mechanic Falls | 100 | Putting in culvert; grading; erecting suitable fence. | 203.50 | 2.03 |
| Poland | 2,560 | Surfacing sandy road with clay and gravel to a depth of 1 foot in the middle and tapering off to the sides. | 600.00 | 23 |
| Wales | 342 | Rock foundation to a depth of from 1 to 2 feet; surfacing with dirt and gravel. | 234.43 | . 69 |
| Webster | 1,200 | Putting in culvert; underdraining; surfacing with gravel. | 600.00 | . 50 |
| Total, | $\begin{array}{r} 7,739 \mathrm{f} \\ \quad 1.47 \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | Total cost, <br> Cost per mile at same ra | $\begin{gathered} \$ 3,775.21 \\ e, \$ 2,568.16 \end{gathered}$ | \$0.49 |
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| Aroostcok County. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Town. | ILength in feet. | Description. | Cost. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { foot. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Amity | 300 | Swamp road; rock filling; rock shoulders to widen, covering with 6 inches of pounded rock, gravel surfacing. | \$250.50 | . 83 |
| Ashland | 1,361 | Turnpiking; ditching; draining; building large stone culvert. | 447.78 | . 33 |
| *Bancroft | 1,815 | Turnpiking; widening, building four culverts. | 200.33 | . 11 |
| Blaine | 1,133 | Turnpiking; widening; graveling, | 314.67 | . 28 |
| Bridgewater | 1,496 | Building 313 feet of rock foundation; turnpiking subgrade; graveling 1188 feet; building new railing on Dead Brook bridge. | 264.90 | . 18 |
| Caribou | 1,320 | Grading; underdraining; covering with crushed stone 30 feet wide; rolling with steam roller. | 1,000.00 | . 76 |
| Castle Hill | 1,881 | Turnpiking; filling with rock; graveling. | 349.27 | . 19 |
| Chapman Pl. | 1,000 | Grading with rocks and gravel. | 100.00 | . 10 |
| Connor Pl. | 1,320 | Turnpiking; rock filling; graveling. | 450.00 | . 34 |
| Crystal | 1,353 | Turnpiking; ditching; rock foundation in center for distance of 1,155 feet. | 200.00 | . 15 |
| Dyer Brook | 2,640 | Rock foundation; covering with dirt; surfacing with gravel. | 250.00 | . 09 |
| Eagle Lake Pl. | 6,600 | Turnpiking; ditching, widening; putting in stone culverts. | 300.00 | . 04 |
| Easton | 680 | Road across bog; grading with rock; graveling. | 600.00 | . 09 |
| Ft. Fairfield | 2,640 | Turnpiking; ditching; draining; covering with crushed rock. | \$700.00 | . 27 |
| Haynesville | 316 | Widening; filling in low place with gravel; graveling. Putting in stone culvert. | 300.00 | . 95 |
| Hersey | 220 | Building new bridge; building and raising approaches to same of rock and gravel. | 225.45 | 1.01 |
| Hodgdon | 742 | Building with rock foundation in center; covering with 8 inches of gravel. Putting in a tile culvert. | 400.00 | . 54 |
| Houlton | 1,750 | Building of crushed rock; wetting and rolling with steam roller ; surfacing with 607 loads of gravel. | 1,145.25 | . 65 |
| Limestone | 2,640 | Turnpiking; grading ; graveling; blasting ledges. | 409.50 | . 15 |
| Linneus | 990 | Building rock foundation, 18 feet wide, 1 foot deep on edges, 18 inches in center; covering with dirt. | 325.00 | . 33 |
| Littleton | 1,320 | Turnpiking; graveling. | 520.00 | . 39 |
| Ludlow | 1,128 | Building rock foundation; covering with gravel. | 400.00 | 36 |
| Macwahoc Pl. | 900 | Turnpiking; graveling; removing rosks; draining. | 150.00 | .17 |
| *Hardly up | standard | d. Co. Com'rs. |  |  |

## Aroostrook County-Continued.

| Town. | Length in feet. | Description. | Cost. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { foot. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mapleton | 275 | Building a stone bridge or grade 28 feet wide with 20 foot roadway between railings. Average depth of rock fill 9 feet. | 686.20 | 2.50 |
| Mars Hill | 1,914 | Building rock foundation; covering with dirt; surfacing with gravel. | 511.13 | 26 |
| Masardis | 300 | Cutting down two steep hills, making fill between. | 230.00 | .77 |
| Merrill Pl. | 1,336 | Building rock foundation, covering with gravel; blasting ledge; putting in drain pipe culvert. | 400.00 | . 30 |
| Monticello | 5.280 | Turnpiking; graveling to a depth of about 2 feet in center; putting in $\$ 100$ worth of sewer pipe for drainage. | 624.50 | 12 |
| Moro Pl. | 2,095 | Turnpiking; widening; ditching; draining. | 225.50 | . 11 |
| New Limerick | 693 | Excavating 12 feet wide, 6 inches deep; filling with stone; covering with 6 inches of clay; covering 60 feet of same with 6 inches of gravel; putting in two 12 inch drain pipe culverts. Width of road worked 24 feet. | 254.55 | . 37 |
| New Sweden | 3,960 | Turnpiking; blasting; filling; draining. | 427.04 | . 11 |
| Oakfield | 1,155 | Turnpiking; widening; removing rocks; putting in seven stone culverts. | 313.89 | . 27 |
| Orient | 495 | Raising road by putting in about 1000 loads of gravel; widening to a width of 24 feet; putting in two stone culverts. | 201.00 | . 41 |
| Perham | 2,093 | Turnpiking; grading with rock and gravei. | 401.45 | . 20 |
| Presque Isle | 2,856 | Filling one ditch with rocks; covering whole width with rocks and pounding up with sledges; covering with 12 inches of gravel for 495 feet. Also turnpiking and graveling with beach gravel for 2361 feet. | 606.69 | . 21 |
| Reed Pl. | 503 | Building rock foundation; covering with gravel; draining. | 302.40 | . 60 |
| Sherman | 2,287 | Swamp road; rock filling; widening; covering with crushed rock. | 600.00 | . 26 |
| Silver Ridge Pl. | 300 | Filling center of road with rock; covering with dirt and gravel; ditching and draining. | 237.00 | . 79 |
| Smyrna | 1,400 | Swamp road; rock filling; covering with gravel; putting in culverts of eight inch tiling. | 400.00 | . 29 |
| St. Francis Pl. | 3,085 | Cutting out bank; draining; widening. | 300.00 | . 10 |

## Aroostoor County-Continued.

| Town. | Length in feet. | Description. | Cost. | Cost per foot. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Van Buren | 1,150 | Graveling; putting in an iron culvert. | 672.92 | . 59 |
| Wade Pl. | 264 | Building with rock and gravel; putting in a concrete culvert. | 152.36 | . 57 |
| Washburn | 288 | Filling with rock; covering with dirt and gravel; putting in a stone culvert. | 200.00 | . 69 |
| Weston | 175 | Rock filling to a depth of from 1 to $4 \frac{1}{2}$ feet; covering with dirt; surfaced with gravel. | 210.25 | 1.20 |
| Woodland | 681 | Rock filling; covering with heavy layer of gravel. | 408.97 | 60 |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 68,130 \\ 12.90 \end{array}$ | eet. Total cost <br> miles. Cost per mile at same rate, | $\begin{gathered} 17,668.50 \\ 1,369.65 . \end{gathered}$ | \$0.26 |

Cumberland County.
Baldwin

Bridgton

Brunswick

Building two-24' $\times 2^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ culverts 43.00
Grading $270 \mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{yds} \quad 95.00$
Gravel surfacing, width 15 feet, depth 8 inches, $\quad 238.80$
Building guard rails, 72 feet, 21.00
Width of improved road

Graveling and sanding whole length, 21 feet wide, 18 inches deep, $\quad 110.00$
Surfacing with crushed stone, length 550 feet, width, 15 feet, depth, 14 inches, $\quad 944.60$
Laying vitrified pipe culvert, 34 feet long, $\quad 15.90$

23 feet.
4,550 Clearing right of way, $\quad 28.56$
25.00

Building one culvert of stone, $\quad 16.50$
Building one stone waterway,
38.65

Earth filling, 185 yds., $\quad 48.28$
Stone filling, $594 \frac{1}{2}$ yds., $\quad 215.62$
Surfacing, 650 feet long, 30 feet wide, 6 inches deep, 156.09
Building guard rails, 410 feet, $\quad 21.30$
Width of improved road, 33 feet,
500 Clearing right of way, $\quad 129.50$
$\$ 404.30$. 25
550.00
. 12
Width of imp. road, 24 feet $1,200.00 \quad 2.40$

## Cumberland County-Continued.
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| Town. | Length in feet. | Description. |  | Cost. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { foot. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gorham | 2,240 | Clearing right of way, |  |  |  |
|  |  | Grading, | 306.20 |  |  |
|  |  | Putting in " V " drain, length 650 feet, width 12 feet, depth from 8 to 20 inches, | 204.00 |  |  |
|  |  | Stone filling, length 150 feet, width 15 feet, depth, 12 inches, | $50.00$ |  |  |
|  |  | Graveling 2,240 feet $\times 9$ feet $x 9$ inches, | $312.80$ |  |  |
|  |  | Building stone culvert, 25 feet long, $2 \times 2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet, Width of improved road, 25 feet. | $25.00$ | 910.00 | . 40 |
| Gray | 1,996 | Cleaning and clearing right of way, | 15.00 |  |  |
|  |  | Excavating 30 yards, earth filling 30 yards, | 90.99 |  |  |
|  |  | Surfacing, length 1,996 feet, width 12 feet, depth 6 inches, | $236.91$ |  |  |
|  |  | Building two culverts, one of 6 inch tile, length 26 feet, one of stone repaired, | 15.49 |  |  |
|  |  | Width of earth shoulders, $4 \frac{1}{2}$ feet; |  |  |  |
|  |  | Width of improved road, 21 feet. |  | 358.39 | . 18 |
| Harrison | 1,930 | Cleaning and clearing right of way, | 50.00 |  |  |
|  |  | Stone filling, 14 feet wide, 2 feet deep, 250 feet long; building a blind stone drain, 100 feet long and |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 feet wide, | 80.00 |  |  |
|  |  | Surfacing with gravel, | 85.00 |  |  |
|  |  | Building 2 split stonetculverts 24 feet $\times 2$ feet $\times 2$ feet, | 40.00 |  |  |
|  |  | Width of improved road, 24 feet. |  | 255.00 | . 13 |
| Naples | 1,190 | Cleaning and clearing right of way, | 24.00 |  |  |
|  |  | Graveling, 18 to 20 feet wide, 6 to 8 inches deep, | $122.50$ |  |  |
|  |  | Building two culverts, 1-12 inch drain pipe 60 feet long, 1-6 inch drain pipe |  |  |  |
|  |  | 20 feet long, <br> Grading, | $\begin{aligned} & 37.50 \\ & 26.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  | Width of improved road, 30 feet. |  | 210.00 |  |

## Cumberland County-Continued.
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## Franklin County.

| Avon Town. | Length in feet. | Description. | Cost. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Cost } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { foot. } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 600 | Stone work, 219.85 |  |  |
|  |  | Earth work, $\quad 55.80$ | 275.65 | . 46 |
| Carthage | 924 | Grading with gravel;building one stone culvert. | 221.62 | . 24 |
| Eustis | 1,155 | Graveling, 12 feet in width, 8 inches in depth. |  |  |
|  |  | Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 200.00 | . 17 |
| Farmington | 833 | Building road of crushed stone, | 884.78 | 1.06 |
| Jay | 495 | Grading with crushed stone and coal ashes. |  |  |
|  |  | Width of improved road, 20 feet. | 600.00 | 1.01 |
| Kingfield | (Culvert work) | Building stone culverts. | *401.30 |  |
| Madrid | 1,402 | Gravel filling; building stone culverts; widening. | 399.81 | . 29 |
| New Vineyard | 462 | Stone filling; covering with gravel. | 312.10 | . 68 |
| Phillips | 2 ,475 | Underdraining for 825 feet; graveling for 3,960 feet; building five split stone culverts. | 646.39 | . 26 |
| Rangeley | 2,100 | Cutting hill; filling sides; widening road, | 150.00 | . 07 |
| Salem | 1,880 | Building stone abutments for a bridge, | 104.88 | . 06 |
| Strong | 600 | Cutting down hill; filling in at foot, | 205.00 | . 34 |
| Temple | 1,204 | Stone foundation; surfacing with gravel, | 584.95 | . 49 |
| Weld | 5,280 | Grading; graveling, | 360.27 | . 07 |
| Wilton | 1,500 | Grading; surfacing with crushed rock, | 635.78 | . 42 |
| Total, | $\begin{array}{r} 20,910 \\ 3.96 \end{array}$ | Total cost, miles. Cost per mile at same rate, | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,982.53 \\ & * 1,409.40 \end{aligned}$ | *\$0.27 |

## Hancock County.

| Town. | Length in feet. | Description. | Cost. | Cost per foot. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amherst | 1,204 | ' 'V'' drain 10 feet wide, 2 |  |  |
|  |  | feet deep, $\quad \$ 176.50$ |  |  |
|  |  | Two stone culverts, $\quad 24.00$ |  |  |
|  |  | Surfaced with gravel, 1 foot deep at center, 4 inches at shoulders, <br> 73.50 |  |  |
|  |  | Width of road 24 feet. | 274.00 | . 23 |
| Aurora | 363 | Rock filling; surfacing with gravel, | 102.44 | 28 |
| Bluehill | 2,565 | Excavating; building spilt stone culvert 3 feet $\times 1$ foot 6 inches; two 10 inch tile culverts; surfacing with about 600 loads of gravel; width of road 21 feet. | 587.66 | . 23 |
| Brooksville | 2,640 | Three styles of construction used; one section surfaced with crushed rock; another with gravel and the third with a stone foundation 18 feet wide and 18 to 20 inches deep. |  |  |
|  |  | Three split stone culverts built. | 443.76 | . 17 |
| Bucksport ${ }^{7}$ | 686 | Building drain to a width of 6 feet, 4 feet in depth, covering same with cedar boughs, clay and gravel; surfacing with gravel the entire length to a depth of 6 inches; side ditches and culverts well cleaned and repaired. | 603.50 | . 88 |
| Castine | 700 | Digging trench to a width of 4 feet, 4 feet in depih; filling same with rock and putting grass and hay on top; surfacing with dirt and on top of that crushed rock and beach gravel; building bridge 21 feet in width with 4 foot outlet, of granite $8 \times 10 \times 16$; grade of road raised about $1 \frac{1}{2}$ feet; width of improved road from 21 to 25 feet. | 617.05 | . 88 |
| Dedham | 660 | Underdraining about 450 feet; putting in two stone culverts; raising road for about 210 feet; stone filling; grading and surfacing with earth. | 154,71 | . 23 |
| Deer Isle | 594 | ' $V$ ' ' drain 12 feet wide, 18 inches deep; surfacing with dirt and gravel in accordance with plans received from the State Commissioner of Highways. | 450.00 | .76 |
| Eastbrook | 400 | This work is done in stone and gravel. On the lower side a wall from 2 to 4 feet high filled with small stones, covered first with turf, then with loam and then graveled. There are five stone culverts in the length of the road. | 122.25 | . 31 |

## Hancock County-Continued.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Eden Town. \& Length in feet. 1,060 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Description. \\
Filling with 3 grades of crushed stone to a depth of 8 inches, thoroughly rolled with a 12 ton steam roller. Road bed 16 feet wide; width of improved road from ditch to ditch 24 feet with a 12 inch crown.
\end{tabular} \& Cost.

2,00000 \& Cost per foot.

$$
1.89
$$ <br>

\hline Ellsworth \& 2,640 \& Putting in 1,000 feet of drain pipe, filling trench with gravel, also constructing $\frac{1}{2}$ mile macadamized road and repairing to the amount of $\$ 200$. \& 928.96 \& . 35 <br>
\hline Franklin \& (Bridge work) \& Building stone bridge with masonry abutments and wing wails, to a distance of about 160 feet. Contract job. \& *895.00 \& <br>
\hline Hancock \& 2,010 \& Excavating to a length of 1,610 feet, a width of 8 feet and a depth of 2 feet; stone filling, properly draining and bringing to a true grade with dirt and clay to a depth of 12 inches above stone filling; surfacing with coarse gravel to a width of 10 feet and a depth of 6 inches. There has also been constructed 400 feet of turnpiked road 28 fect in width and graveled as above; putting in three permanent stone culverts in place of wooden ones; opening up ditches from each of them so that no water will stand near the road. \& 600.00 \& . 30 <br>
\hline Lamoine \& 1,584 \& Straightening, turapiking and grading for full length of improved road to a depth of from 7 to 14 inches; 825 feet of above built on Telford plan; rock filling to a depth of $1 \frac{1}{2}$ feet with rock drain; putting in three sewer pipe culverts, also six culverts in pther places in town on state road. \& 423.21 \& . 27 <br>

\hline Mt. Desert \& 550 \& | Excavating and blasting; filling with stone; surfacing with clay and gravel to a depth of from 6 to 8 inches; the road is well drained by one stone culvert and one stone ware pipe culvert. |
| :--- |
| Width of improved road, 22 feet. | \& 898.53 \& 1.63 <br>

\hline Orland \& 954 \& Underdraining with stone to a length of 754 feet, and a depth of 4 feet; This was crowned and a gravel surfacing put on to a length of 100 feet; surfacing with gravel to the length of an additional 100 feet. \& 213.95 \& . 22 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## Hancock County-Continued.

| Town. | Length in feet. | Description. | Cost. | Cost per foot. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Otis | 627 | Stone filling to a width of 9 feet and $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet in depth; surfacing with earth and gravel; putting in one culvert at each end to a length of 20 feet, 3 feet in width and 15 inches in height; surfacing with split stone to a thickness of 8 inches. |  | . |
|  |  | Width of improved road, 22 feet. | 396.05 | . 63 |
| Sedgwick | 360 | Excavating to a width of 12 feet and 20 inches in depth; filling with cobble stones layed to a depth of 18 inches; surfacing with dirt; surfacing with gravel to a depth of from 4 to 6 inches; putting in a grood stone causeway near the center, and a good drain from the road. | 290.50 | . 81 |
| S. W. Harbor | 800 | Bualding stone bridge and subdraining 638 feet in length, 4 inch drain pipe, pipe covered with 10 inches of loam, trench filled with stone; graveling 6 inches in depth. | 539.01 | . 67 |
| Stonington | 550 | ' $V$ '' drain 14 feet wide, $1 \frac{1}{2}$ feet deep; putting in 2 stone culverts $3 \frac{1}{2}$ feet x 2 feet in the clear; surfacing with dirt and gravel; width of improved road, 21 feet. | 300.00 | . 54 |
| Sulivan | 2,575 | Three styles of construction used; one section consisting of rock foundation 14 inches in depth; covercd with clay 8 inches in depth; surfaced with gravel 4 inches in depth and crowned to about 5 inches; second section center drained to width of 4 feet and depth of $2 \frac{1}{3}$ feet, stone filled, covered with sea weed, surfaced with clay and gravel; third section blasted ledge from top of sharp hill down 2 feet, putting in stone foundation, covered with sea weed, surfaced with clay and gravel to width of 18 feet. | 567.96 | . 22 |
| Surry | 6,000 | Graveling to full length of road to a depth of 6 inches. | 364.50 | . 06 |

## Hancock County-Continued.



* $\$ 895$ for bridge work in Franklin not included in averages per mile and foot.


## Kennebec County.

| Town. | Length in feet. | Description. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { foot. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albion | 4,290 | surfacing with good coat of gravel. | \$389.95 | 09 |
| Augusta | 1,750 | Grades reduced; drainage improved; macadam surface placed. | 2,250.00 | 1.31 |
| Belgrade | 1,070 | Blasting; widening road bed; grading; surfacing with gravel. | 406.55 | . 38 |
| China | 2,805 | Excavating and stone filling to a Iength of 1,815 feet; surfacing with gravel; turnpiking and graveling to a length of 990 feet; putting in three stone culverts. | 432.59 | . 15 |
| Clinton | 350 | Stone filling and gravel surfacing to a depth of from eighteen to thirty inches; widening road about ten feet. Width of improved road, 28 feet. | 525.00 | 1.50 |
| Fayette | 850 | Cutting down grade of hill; raising road through bog by using corduroy and gravel; surfacing with gravel. <br> Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 402.39 | . 47 |
| Hallowell | 273 | Putting in macadam surfacing to a depth of eight inches. Width of improved road, 26 feet. | 300.00 | 1.10 |
| Litchfield | 1.370 | Rock filling to a depth of three feet; surfacing with gravel to a depth of twenty inches (about 330 feet in length); surfacing with clay to a length of 1,039 feet, 1 foot in depth; surfacing same with a gravel to a depth of 1 foot. | 622.12 | . 45 |
| Manchester | 792 | Excavating road to a width of 12 feet, and $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet in depth; filling with field stone, largest at bottom, smallest at top; surfacing with 10 inches of gravel. Width of improved road, 23 feet. | 493.93 | . 62 |
| Mt. Vernon | 1,007 | Excavating and filling with stone, covering same with shingle hair and dirt. | 295.95 | . 29 |
| Oakland | 1,183 | Stone underdraining. | 606.30 | . 50 |
| Randolph | 1,000 | Rock filling; gravel surfacing. | 400.00 | . 40 |
| Readfield | 660 | No description given. | 518.00 | . 78 |
| Rome | 578 | Grading to a height of 18 inches from center of road bed to ditch, covering with marl and gravel to full width of road. <br> Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 309.96 | . 54 |
| Vassalboro | 2,640 | Surfacing with 1,160 loads of gravel; putting in culverts. | 470.64 | . 17 |
| Vienna | 749 | Widening road; putting in one stone culvert 20 feet in length, 3 feet in width, 3 feet in depth; surfacing same with gravel. Width of improved road, 20 feet. | 203.48 | 27 |

## Kennebec County-Continued.

| Town | Length in feet. | Description. | Cost. | Cost foot. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W. Gardiner | 350 | Excavating to a width of 15 feet and a depth of 15 inches; surfacing with clay to a depth of 6 inches; surfacing with gravel to a depth of 8 inches. | 289.50 | . 83 |
| Windsor | 3,150 | Widening road; surfacing with gravel; lowering grade, making fills with foundations; putting in two culverts. | 427.50 | . 14 |
| Winslow | 250 | Surfacing with gravel to a length of 250 feet, 20 feet in width, 3 feet in depth, <br> Putting in one culvert 35 feet x 10 feet x 6 feet, stone abutments, concrete covering, 625.00 Width of improved road, 20 feet. $\qquad$ | 982.93 | 3.93 |
| Winthrop | 1,280 | Excavating to a width of 7 feet, and from $2 \frac{1}{2}$ to 3 feet in depth; putting in water course 1 foot square at bottom; stone filling; surfacing with gravel. | 600.00 | . 47 |
| Total, | $26,397$ | Total cost, | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,926.79 \\ 2.185 .35 \end{array}$ | \$0.41 |

## Knox County.

Appleton 5,181 Cleaning and clearing right of way; excavating; stone filling; surfacing with gravel; putting in stone culverts.
600.00 • 12

| Camden | 1,300 | Building road of limestone chips and gravel. | 887.96 | . 68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cushing | 980 | Building perfect road bed; covering with stones; surfacing with 8 to 10 inches of gravel; putting in good culverts. | 309.74 | . 32 |
| Friendship | 500 | Excavating to a depth of 3 feet; filling with coarse stone; covering with a layer of finer stone; surfacing with 14 inches of gravel; putting in two iron culverts and one stone culvert; digging out ditches. | 474.88 | . 95 |
| Hope | 592 | Excavating to a depth of 2 feet, 12 feet in width; stone filling; surfacing with gravel or dirt to a depth of 18 inches. | 230.00 | . 39 |
| North Haven | 592 | Excavating ledge; stone filling; gravel surfacing. | 312.88 | . 53 |

## Knox County-Continued.

| Town. | Length in feet. | Description. | Cost. | Cost per foot. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rockport | 1,220 | Crowning 12 inches with lime rock chips; graveling. Width of road improved, 30 feet. | 877.70 | . 72 |
| So. Thomaston | 340 | Cleaning and clearing right of way; stone filling to a depth of 2 feet; surfacing with clay to a depth of 10 inches; surfacing with gravel to a depth of 15 inches; whole thoroughly drained. | 328.50 | . 97 |
| St. George | 1,125 | Rock filling of several sizes to raise road, 2050 loads used; surfacing with granite dust; building three stone bridges. | 1,014.82 | . 90 |
| Thomaston | 650 | Excavating to a depth of 2 feet; rock filling to a depth of 2 feet; surfacing with rock chips to a depth of 1 foot; surfacing with gravel to the depth of $\frac{1}{2}$ foot, draining for entire length. | 720.90 | 1.10 |
| Union | 1,000 | Excavating to a depth of 3 feet, 6 feet in width; stone filling; crowning with gravel. | 713.27 | . 71 |
| Vinalhaven | 563 | Rock filling; crownirg; surfacing with gravel to a depth of 15 inches. Width of improved road, 20 feet. | 606.94 | 1.08 |
| Warren | 368 | Excavating to a depth of $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet, 7 feet in width; stone filling; crowning and surfacing with coarse and fine gravel to a depth of 18 inches. | 400.10 | 1.09 |
| Washington | 7,650 | Cleaning and clearing right of way; excavating to a length of 100 feet; stone filling to the same length; surfacing with clay and gravel to a length of 1,320 feet. The balance of the road being across a gravelly plain which forms a perfect road bed, it was simply graded, all obstructions being removed. | 600.45 | . 08 |
| Total, | $\begin{array}{r} 22,061 \\ 4.18 \end{array}$ | feet. Total cost, miles. Cost per mile at same rate, | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,078.14 \\ 1,932.57 \end{array}$ | \$0.37 |

Lincoln County.


## Oxford County.

| Town. | Length in feet. | Description. | Cost. | Cost per foot. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andover | 1,500 | Excavating 480 yards stone filling, $1 \frac{1}{2}$ feet to 2 feet deep, 825 feet |  |  |
|  |  | long; gravel filling 6 inches deep; surfacing 750 feet in length, building one split stone culvert. Width of improved road, 22 feet. | \$434.59 | . 29 |
| Bethel | 1,320 | 568 yards of stone filling; surfacing 13 inches in depth, 23 feet in width; graveling 6 inches in depth, 18 feet in width; building two split stone culverts. Width of improved road, 23 feet. | 581.44 | . 44 |
| Brownfield | 600 | Gravel filling, 16 inches in depth, 21 feet in width; building culvert of tiling 60 feet long. Width of improved road, 30 feet. | 402.27 | . 67 |
| Buckfield | 742 | Stone filling $24: 7$ feet in length, 15 feet wide and 1 foot in depth; gravel filling 742 feet in length, 14 feet in width, $1 \frac{1}{2}$ feet in depth. Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 400.00 | . 54 |
| Canton | 1,900 | Excavating 1,600 yards; earth filling, 831 yards; gravel filling, 969 yards; putting in four water courses, two culverts, one of split stone and one of drain pipe. Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 600.00 | . 32 |
| Dixfield | 535 | Gravel filling to grade, 18 inches deep for entire distance. Width of improved road, 35 feet. | 435.00 | . 81 |
| Fryeburg | 2,800 | Excavating 600 cubic yards; stone filling 520 feet in length, 16 feet in width and $4^{\frac{1}{4}}$ feet in depth; earth filling 980 feeet in length, 16 feet in width, 6 inches in depth; gravel filling 1,820 feet in length, 16 feet in width, 1 foot in depth; putting in two split stone culverts. <br> Width of improved road, 24 feet, | 605.00 | . 22 |
| Greenwood | 300 | Blasting 250 yards of stone; earth filling 20 feet in width, 9 inches in depth; surfacing with gravel; putting in three stone culverts. Width of improved road, 20 feet. | 314.80 | 1.05 |
| Hanover | 266 | Gravel filling 4 to 12 inches in depth. <br> Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 100.00 | . 38 |
| Lovell | 1,237 | Excavating sand to depth of 15 inches; stone filling 12 feet in width, 1 foot in depth; earth filling 6 inches in depth; gravel surfacing 18 inches in depth; putting in three stone culverts and one wooden water course. Width of improved road, 22 feet. | 600.00 | . 49 |

## Oxford County-Continued.

| Town. | Length in feet. | Description. | Cost. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { foot. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mexico | 330 | Excavating ledge 10 yards; stone filling 50 yards; gravel filling 18 feet in width, 2 feet in depth. Width of improved road, 20 feet. | 468.00 | 1.42 |
| Newry | 231 | Stone filling, 24 feet wide, 2 feet deep; gravel surfacing 24 feet wide and 18 inches deep. | 218.12 | . 94 |
| Norway | 481 | Excavating 600 cubic yards; filling 417 cubic yards, $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet in depth, 16 feet in width; gravel filling 616 cubic yards, 2 feet in depth, 21 feet in width; putting in one culvert of quarried stone $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet x 3 feet, 24 feet in length; putting guard rails on each side. Width of improved road, 25 feet. | 400.00 | . 83 |
| Paris | 1,996 | Gravel filling, 767 yards, 8 to 10 inches in center, 6 inches on sides; putting in three stone culverts, 24 feet long. <br> Width of improved road, $23 \frac{1}{2}$ feet. | 750.00 | . 38 |
| Peru | 500 | Earth filling 175 cubic yards; gravel filling, 100 cubic yards; building one split stone culvert. Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 201.09 | . 40 |
| Roxbury | 577 | Gravel filling, 9 inches in depth, 22 feet in width; putting in one split stone culvert. <br> Width of improved road, 22 feet. | 207.67 | . 36 |
| Rumford | 4,300 | Excavating 1,000 yards; stone filing, 8 inches in depth, 26 feet in width; earth filling, 18 inches in depth, 26 feet in width; putting in seven splitstone culverts. Width of improved road, 26 feet. | 4,800.00 | 1.12 |
| Stoneham | 575 | Stone filling, 4 feet $\times 2$ feet; gravel filling, 33 feet $\times 1$ foot; earth filling 33 feet $x 1$ foot; putting in six split stone culverts. Width of improved road, 35 feet. | 302.00 | . 53 |
| Stow | 148 | Stone filling of split stone 18 feet in width, 20 feet in length to reduce length of bridge from 60 to 40 feet; earth filling 150 yards. Width of improved road, 18 feet. | 455.57 | 3.07 |
| Sumner | 2,062 | Excavating two pieces of road, one 83 feet in length, 20 feet in width 3 feet in depth; one 247 feet in length, 10 feet in width, 4 feet in depth; stone filling 363 feet in length, 20 feet in width and $1 \frac{1}{2}$ feet in depth; earth filling 1,023 feet in length, 20 feet in width, 3 inches in depth; gravel filling 1,023 feet in length, 20 feet in width, 5 inches in depth; putting in two stone culverts. Width of improved road, 20 feet. | 600.00 | . 29 |

## Oxford County-Continued.

| Town. | Length in feet | Description. | Cost. | Cost per foot. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upton | 495 | Excavating 165 feet in length; stone filling 23 feet in width, $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet in depth; gravel filling 8 feet wide, 250 feet in length; earth filling 20 feet in Width, 10 inches in depth; putting in two stone culverts. |  |  |
|  |  | Width of improved road, 23 feet. | 400.00 | . 81 |
| Waterford | 1,650 | Gravel filling 1,650 feet in length, 22 feet in width, 1 foot in depth. Width of improved road, 22 feet. | 405.70 | . 25 |
| Woodstock | 1,238 | Stone filling 1,238 feet in length, 12 feet in width, 2 feet in depth; gravel filling 1,238 feet in length, 18 feet in width, $1 \frac{1}{2}$ feet in depth. |  |  |
|  |  | Width of improved road, 22 feet. | 601.95 | . 49 |
| Total, | $\begin{array}{r} 25,783 \\ 4.88 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { eet. } & \text { Total cost, } \\ \text { iniles. } & \text { Cost per mile at same rate, }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 14,283.20 \\ \$ 2,926.89 \end{array}$ | \$0.55 |

## Penobscot County.

| Alton | 276 | Rebuilding and widening bridge abutment; stone filling 276 feet in length, 24 feet in width, 3 feet in depth. <br> Width of improved road, 24 feet. | 327.78 | 1.19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bradford | 792 | Excavating 9 feet in width, 3 feet in depth; stone filling 9 feet in width, 21 inches in depth; filling with earth to a width of 9 feet, 18 inches in depth; putting in three tile culverts; surfacing with gravel to a width of 20 feet, 6 inches in depth. Width of improved road, 32 feet. | 444.90 | . 56 |
| Bradley | 270 | Blasting and excavating; stone filling 8 to 16 feet in width, 4 to 12 inches in depth; earth filling 4 inches in depth; gravel surfacing 4 inches in depth to the length and width of road lmproved; putting in one stone culvert. Width of road improved, 21 feet. | 224.87 | . 83 |
| Brewer | 1,024 | Stone filling to a depth of 24 inches; earth filling to a depth of 8 inches; gravel surfacing to a depth of 5 inches. Width of improved road, 30 feet. | 600.73 | . 59 |
| Carmel | 1,221 | Excavating 8 feet in width, $\frac{1}{2}$ foot in depth; surfacing with earth to a depth of 1 foot; putting in one stone culvert, also one bridge 6 feet in width, stone abutments and plank top. <br> Width of improved road, 32 feet. | 402.84 | . 33 |

## Penobscot County-Continued.

| Town. | Length in feet. | Description. | Cost. | Cost foot. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carroll | 490 | Rock filling for entire length to widen road; earth filling for same length to a depth of 2 feet; gravel filling to a depth of 1 foot. Width of improved road, 22 feet. | 200.00 | . 41 |
| Charleston | 642 | Excavating entire length of road to a width of 10 feet and a depth of $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet; stone filling for same length to a width of 10 feet and a depth of 20 inches; covering with earth to a depth of 10 inches and with gravel to a clepth of 4 inches. Width of improved road, 22 feet. | 200.46 | . 31 |
| Chester | 594 | Grading and surfacing entire length of road; putting in one stone culvert. Width of improved road, 28 feet. | 277.64 | . 47 |
| Clifton | 412 | Rock filling for sub-drainage; putting in one culvert. Width of improved road, 24 feet. | 200.00 | . 49 |
| Corinna | 693 | Rock filling for sub-drainage; putting in three culverts. Width of improved road, 24 feet. | 400.76 | . 58 |
| Corinth | 753 | Excavating 320 yards; stone filling same amount, 8 feet in width, 2 feet 4 inches in depth; filling with earth to a depth of 4 inches; filling with gravel to a depth of $\mathbf{I}$ foot; putting in one split stone culvert 29 feet in length. Width of improved road, 30 feet | 363.84 | . 48 |
| Dexter | 530 | Stone filling for sub-drainage; putting in one stone culvert. Width of improved road, 40 feet. | 1,115.83 | 1.92 |
| Dixmont | 1,270 | Earth excavation 904 cubic yards; stone filling $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet in depth, 8 feet in width for full length of road improved; covering with earth to a depth of 1 foot. width of 12 feet; surfacing with gravel to a depth of 1 foot, 12 feet in width; putting in four culverts, three of stone, one stone sides with cedar top. Width of improved road, 30 feet. | 302.61 | . 24 |
| Eddington | 1,204 | Rock filling for sub-drainage; putting in three culverts. Width of improved road, 33 feet. | 610.56 | . 51 |
| Exeter | 1,500 | Stone filling in valley 280 feet in length, 22 feet in width, 3 feet, in depth; earth surfacing 346 feet in length, 22 feet in width, 10 inches in depth; putting in one stone culvert. <br> Width of improved road, 28 feet. | 399.99 | . 27 |

## Penobscot County-Continued.

| Town. | Length in feet. | Description. | Cost. | $\underset{\text { pers }}{\text { per }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Garland | 1,237 | Excavating 23,760 cubic feet; stone filling to a length 990 feet, 12 feet in width and 2 feet in depth also a piece 165 feet in length, 22 feet in width and 1 foot in depth; earth filling 13,375 cubic feet; gravel surfacing 16,136 cubic feet; putting in three stone culverts. <br> Width of improved road, 25 feet. | 418.23 | . 34 |
| Greenbush | 1,650 | Sandy road so sub-drainage was unnecessary; earth filling of 867 yards, gravel and clay mixed; surfacing to a length of 1,650 feet, 12 feet in width, 6 to 12 inches in depth; putting in one tile culvert laid in stone. Width of improved road, $\dot{2} 6$ feet. | 300.00 | . 18 |
| Greenfield | 924 | Cleaning and clearing right of way for 50 feet; grading to a length of 924 feet; surfacing to a width of 15 feet, 6 inches in depth; putting in one stone culvert. Width of improved road, 23 feet. | 202.45 | . 22 |
| Hampden | 3,800 | Excavating; stone filling to a length of 3,500 feet, 10 to 13 feet in width, $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet in depth; earth filling 1 foot in depth; gravel surfacing to a width of 12 feet, 3 inches in depth; putting in five stone culverts. Width of improved road, 33 feet. | 888.00 | . 23 |
| Hermon | 643 | Putting in two stone culverts. <br> Width of improved road, 24 feet. | 488.41 | . 76 |
| Holden | 710 | Stone filling; putting in stone culvert. <br> Width of improved road, 24 feet. | 200.00 | . 28 |
| Howland | 1,660 | Grading; surfacing, putting in one culvert. <br> Width of improved road, 26 feet. | 406.60 | . 24 |
| Kingman | 58 | Putting in one iron and stone culvert 66 feet in length, $3 \frac{1}{2}$ feet in width. <br> Width of improved road, 55 feet. | 300.76 | 5.17 |
| Lagrange | 825 | Stone filling to length of road worked, 8 feet in width, 2 feet in depth at center, 1 foot in depth at shoulder; covering with earth to a depth of 6 inches; surfacing with gravel to a width of 8 feet, 1 foot in depth; putting in four split stone culverts, 28 feet in length by 2 feet inside. Width of improved road, 28 feet. | 481.11 | . 53 |
| Lee | 1,320 | Grading; putting in two stone culverts. <br> Width of improved road, 23 feet. | 606.99 | . 46 |

## Penobscot Couxty-Continued.

| Town. | Length in feet. | Description. | Cost. | Cost per foot. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Levant | 1,700 | Stone filling to a length of 1,600 feet, 11 feet in width, 18 inches in depth; covering with earth to a depth of 9 inches; surfacing with gravel to a depth of 3 inches; putting in one stone culvert. |  |  |
|  |  | Width of improved road, 36 feet. | 596.60 | . 35 |
| Lincoln | 1,500 | Grading; surfacing; putting on guard rails, 100 feet in length. Width of improved road, 27 feet. | 900.00 | . 60 |
| Lowell | 858 | Excavating 800 feet in length, 12 feet in width, 3 feet in depth; stone filling same as above; covering with earth to a width of 12 feet, 1 foot in depth; gravel surfacing, 5 inches in depth; putting in one stone culvert covered with split stone. Width of improved road, 27 feet. | 305.14 | . 35 |
| Mattawamkeag | 2,640 | Putting in four stone culverts. Width of improved road, 25 feet. | 600.00 | . 23 |
| Maxfield | 4,500 | Surfacing with gravelly earth; putting in one split stone and cement culvert. <br> Width of improved road, 25 feet. | 202.13 | . 04 |
| Mt. Chase | 250 | Cleaning and clearing right of way, <br> Stone filling to a length of 165 feet, 14 feet in width, 3 feet in depth; surfacing with earth and gravel for the whole length of improved road to a depth of 1 foot; putting in one stone culvert covered with cedar stringers and hemlock plank. <br> Width of improved road, 22 feet. | 217.00 | . 87 |
| Newburg | 1,500 | Stone filling to length of road improved, 8 feet in width, 18 inches in depth; surfacing with earth to a depth of 1 foot; putting in four stone culverts. Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 399.20 | . 27 |
| Newport | 973 | Excavating to a length of 960 feet, 10 feet 6 inches in width, 3 feet in depth; stone filling same as excavating; surfacing with earth to the depth of 18 inches; putting in one split stone culvert. Width of improved road, 31 feet. | 606.90 | . 62 |
| Oldtown | 520 | Grading with crushed stone to a width of 24 feet, 4 to 20 inches in depth; putting in two culverts, one 20 inch tile and one 12 inch tile. <br> Width of improved road, 24 feet. | 1,030.84 | 1.98 |

## 96

## Penobscot County-Continued.

| Town. | Length in feet | Description. | Cost. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Cost } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { foot. } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orono | 1,600 | Stone filling; putting in one stone culvert. <br> Width of improved road, 20 feet. | 400.00 | 25 |
| Orrington | 1,023 | Earth filling; surfacing. <br> Width of improved road, 26 feet. | 601.53 | . 58 |
| Passadumkeag | 130 | Stone filling to a width of 8 feet, 8 feet, in depth; surfacing with earth to a depth of 2 feet; putting in one culvert of stone and cement; putting on guard rails. Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 318.50 | 2.45 |
| Patten | 170 | Stone filling, ; earth filling to a width of 52 feet, 10 inches in depth; gravel filling to a width of 52 feet, 8 inches in depth; putting in one large culvert, stone walls with cedar stringers and cedar covering. Widih of improved road, 52 feet. | 618.00 | 3.63 |
| Plymouth | 1,352 | Excavating for entire length of improved road, 12 feet in width, 15 inches in depth, stone filling for same; surfacing with earth to a depth of 1 foot. Width of improved road, 22 feet. | 380.78 | . 28 |
| Prentiss | 825 | Cleaning and clearing right of way; stone filling to a length of 330 feet, 10 feet in width, 2 feet in depth; surfacing with earth to a depth of 1 foot to the length and width of stone filling; putting in two stone culverts. <br> Width of improved road, 26 feet. | 388.84 | . 47 |
| Springfield | 2,600 | Stone filling to a length of 650 feet, 12 feet in wilth, 2 feet in depth; covering with earth to a depth of 1 foot; surfacing with gravel to a depth of 4 inches; putting in four split stone culverts. <br> Width of improved road, 22 feet. | 558.30 | . 21 |
| Stetson | 1,568 | Excavating to a length of 495 feet, 8 feet in width, 2 feet in depth; stone filling the same as excavating; covering with earth to a depth of 6 inches; surfacing with gravel to a depth of 6 inches; putting in one stone culvert. Width of improved road, 24 feet. | 328.48 | . 21 |
| Winn | 1,725 | Stone filling to a length of 225 feet, 4 feet in width, $1 \frac{1}{2}$ feet in depth; surfacing with gravel to a length of 225 feet, 20 feet in width, $1 \frac{1}{2}$ feet in depth; putting in one stone culvert; putting in one bridge with a 24 foot span. Erecting guard rails. Width of improved road, 24 feet. | 243.24 | . 14 |

## Penobscot County-Continued.

| Town. | Length in feet. | Description. | Cost. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { foot. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drew Pl. | 825 | Putting in two concrete culverts. Width of improved road, 24 feet. | 480.00 | . 58 |
| Stacyville Pl. | 1,230 | Surfacing with crushed stone to the entire length of road improved, 13 fect in width, 12 inches in depth; putting in one culvert with log sides covered with cedar ties. <br> Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 443.73 | . 36 |
| Total, | $\begin{gathered} 51,987 \\ 9.85 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{lc} \text { feet. } & \text { Total cost, } \\ \text { miles. } & \text { Cost per mile at same rate, } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 19,884.57 \\ 2,018.74 \end{array}$ | \$0.38 |
|  |  | cataguis County. |  |  |
| Abbott | 4,600 | Blasting and removing rocks; putting in 6 stone culverts, 24 to 30 feet in length, 2 feet $\times 2$ feet, with good outlets to carry water away from the road; turnpiking. Width of improved road, 24 feet. | \$400.00 | . 09 |
| Atkinson | 825 | Cutting down hill; center of road opened and filled with small stones entire length, with side drains to ditch; putting in two stone culverts 24 feet in length, 2 fect $x 2_{2}^{\frac{t}{2}}$ feet. <br> Width of improved road, 24 feet. | 202.50 | . 25 |
| Blanchard | 314 | Surfacing with gravel to a depth of 8 inches in center; $\$ 118.38$ Putting in two iron culverts, one 10 inch culvert 36 feet in length, one 10 inch culvert 24 feet in length, with 12 feet of stone additional, 81.37 Wilth of improved road, 24 fcet. $\qquad$ | 199.75 | . 64 |
| Brownville | 1,900 | Stone filling to a length of 400 feet, 15 inches in width, 10 to 18 inches in depth; turnpiking 700 feet, graveling 800 feet that had been previously widened to required width; putting in one stone culvert 24 feet in length and one tile culvert 24 feet in length and 1 foot in cliameter. Width of improved road, 24 feet. | 425.00 | . 22 |
| Dover | 1,300 | Opening road in center; laying stone drain whole length, 3 feet in depth; filling with small stone to the width of 8 feet. Width of improved road, 24 feet. | 588.51 | . 45 |

## Piscataquis County-Continued.

| Town. | Length in feet. | Description. | Cost. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { foot. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foxcroft | 1,650 | Removing rocks from road bed; opening 924 feet of middle of road and filling with small rocks to the width of 14 feet; putting blind stone underdrain in 693 feet of same; putting in four split granite culverts 26 feet in length. |  |  |
|  |  | Width of improved road, 26 feet. | 612.72 | . 37 |
| Greenville | 2,640 | Removing rocks and making ditches; zraveling whole length of road to a width of 20 feet, and 6 to 8 inches in depth. Width of improved road, 24 feet. | 600.00 | 23 |
| Guilford | 1,818 | Blasting and removing ledge and rocks; excavating 660 feet; rock filling, covering with gravel; 495 feet rock filling laid on one side, then filling made of rocks and gravel; surfacing with gravel; putting in two stone culverts, one 27 feet in length by 2 feet by 2 feet one 32 feet in length by 2 feet by 2 feet. <br> Width of improved road, 24 feet. | 679.46 | 37 |
| Medford | 2,787 | Widening and draining whole length; surfacing 264 feet with gravel, 18 feet in width, 6 inches in depth; putting in bridge with 24 ft . stone abutments, 7 feet in height, 25 ft . span covered with plank; putting in three culverts, "grout" covered with stone to a length of 24 feet; putting guard rails to a length of 150 feet. Width of improved rond, 24 feet. | 322.87 | 12 |
| Milo (Bridge work.) |  | Putting in bridge; stone abutments laid in cement for steel bridge; abutments 15 feet in height, 41 feet in width, 4 fret in width on base and $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet wide on top; approaches well graveled. | 561.75 (a) |  |
| Monson | 5,280 | Blasting and removing ledge and rocks; filling of rocks and earth between hills about 75 feet in length; another filling of same material about 40 feet in length; grading down tops of hills; turnpiking for whole distance; putting in five stone culverts each 24 feet in length, two of these culverts being 4 feet by 4 feet, one 3 feet by 3 feet, two 2 feet by 2 feet. |  |  |
|  |  | Width of improved road, 24 feet. | 593.44 | . 11 |

## Piscataquis County-Continued.


. $a \$ 551.75$ for bridge work in Milo not included in averages per mile and foot.

## Sagadahoc Coľty.

| Town. | Length in feet | Description. | Cost. | Cost <br> foot. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arrowsic | 650 | Putting in a layer of plank 4 to 6 inches thick, covering with dirt or loam; surfacing with gravel, $\quad \$ 416.65$ Putting on guard rails, $\quad 78.96$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | \$495.61 | . 76 |
| Bath | 1,090 | Surfaeing with crushed stone, average depth of 8 inches. Width of improved road, 16 feet. | 1,050.00 | . 96 |
| Bowdoin | 1,135 | Blasting; cleaning andclearing <br> right of way, $\$ 74.14$ <br> Grading, 79.01 <br> Surfacing with gravel, 420.79 <br> Building two culverts, 26.70 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 600.64 | . 53 |
| Bowdoinham | 825 | Surfacing with gravel, | 110.50 | . 13 |
| Georgetown | 1,650 | Blasting rocks and ledges to widen road, | 344.74 | . 21 |
| Phippsburg | 1,386 | Widening; making gutters; rock filling; surfacing with clay and gravel, | 614.90 | . 44 |
| Richmond | 818 | Excavating, taking from center of road about 260 cubic yards of earth and sawdust, done mostly by road machine, <br> $\$ 33.00$ Rock filling, using 590 tons of stone; 818 feet in length, 13 to 20 feet in width, 10 to 20 inches in depth, <br> Surfacing by putting on 538 double loads of gravel and some clay to the length of 818 feet, width of 22 feet, depth of 7 to 12 inches, Putting in three tile pipe culverts each 27 feet in length, 12 inches in diameter, Width of earth shoulders 5 feet. Width of improved road, 26 feet. $\qquad$ | 633.33 | . 77 |
| Topsham | 1,644 | Stone filling, 1,200 feet in length, 16 feet in width, 8 inches in depth; surfacing with stone and crushed rock, 1,644 feet in length, 22 feet in width, 1 foot in depth. <br> Width of earth shoulders, 6 feet. Width of improved road, 22 feet. | 687.06 | . 42 |
| Woolwich | 1,110 | Blasting ledges; surfacing with gravel 1,110 feet in length, 22 feet in width, 10 inches in depth. Width of improved road, 22 feet. | 577.93 | . 52 |
| Total, " | $\begin{array}{r} 10,308 \\ 1.95 \end{array}$ | Total cost, eet. miles. Cost per mile at same rate, | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,114.71 \\ 2,622.93 \end{array}$ | \$0.50 |

## Somerset County.

| Somerse't County. - |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\circ}$ Town. | Length in feet. | Description. | Cost. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { foot. } \end{gathered}$ |
| Athens | 1,650 | Excavating and stone filling, 412 feet long, 2 feet deep, 14 feet wide; gravel filling 1,412 feet; building four stone culverts. Width worked, 24 feet. | \$400.00 | . 24 |
| Bingham | 1,650 | Gravel filling, 14 inches deep, 20 feet wide. Width worked, 30 feet. | 384.81 | . 23 |
| Brighton Pl. | 214 | Gravel filling, 8 to 18 inches, 25 feet wide; constructing one stone culvert 60 feet long. Width worked, 30 feet. | 200.00 | . 93 |
| Cambridge | 264 | Stone filling, $1 \frac{1}{2}$ feet deep, 23 inches wide; earth filling 1 foot; constructing one split stone culvert. <br> Width worked, 23 feet. | 208.37 | . 79 |
| Canaan | (Culvert work) | Building four stone culverts, 2 feet high, 3 feet wide, 30 feet long. | 215.43 (a) |  |
| Cornville | 578 | Excavating and stone filling, 3 feet by 7 feet; earth filling 14 inches by 7 feet; constructing one stone culvert. |  |  |
|  |  | Width worked, 25 feet. | 211.00 | . 37 |
| Detroit | 627 | Excavating and stone filling, 2 to 3 feet deep, 9 to 12 feet wide. Width of road worked, 24 feet. | 278.29 | . 44 |
| Fairfield | 1,716 | Gravel filling, 12 feet wide, 15 inches deep. Width of road worked, 24 feet. | 600.00 | . 35 |
| Harmony | 450 | Excavating, 300 feet; stone filling, $1 \frac{1}{2}$ feet deep, 24 feet wide; earth filling, $\frac{1}{4}$ foot in depth, 22 feet in width; gravel filling $\frac{1}{4}$ foot in depth, 22 feet in width; constructing three split stone culverts. <br> Width or road worked, 24 feet. | 407.86 | . 90 |
| Hartland | 1,039 | Stone filling, $3 \frac{1}{2}$ feet, 10 feet wide; earth filling 2 feet; excavating $3 \frac{1}{2} \times 10$ feet $\times 516$ feet. Width of road work, excavated piece, 28 feet, stone side piece 20 feet; built two stone culverts, one of stone and one of stone and wood | 508.57 | . 49 |
| Madison | 990 | Excavating 12 feet wide, 18 inches deep; stone filling, 15 inches deep, 12 feet wide. Earth filling, 5 inches, same length. Constructing eight side drains of stone. <br> Width of road worked 30 feet. | 376.40 | . 38 |
| Mercer | 742 | Stone filling $1 \frac{1}{2}$ feet, 20 feet wide; gravel filling, 12 inches. | 600.00 | . 81 |

## Somerset County-Continued.



## Waldo County.



| 104 | COMMISSIONER OE HIGIIWAYS. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Waldo County-Continued. |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { foot. } \end{gathered}$ |
| Town. | Length in feet. | Description. | Cost. |  |
| Lincolnville | (Bridge work) | Stone filling; surfacing with earth and gravel; outer walls of the abutments to this bridge built of split stone, and filling of common field rock; cost of stone work about $\$ 1.25$ per cubic yard. <br> Width of improved road, 22 feet. | $302.77 \text { (a) }$ |  |
| Monroe | 1,980 | Stone filling; surfacing with gravel; bed of road 16 feet in width; ditches 21 to 23 feet apart; putting in one culvert built of stone and logs. |  |  |
|  |  | Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 635.10 | . 32 |
| Morrill | 1,765 | Cutting down grade of two hills, which necessitated the moving of 650 loads of dirt and rock; putting in one new culvert. Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 295.00 | . 17 |
| Northport | 1,584 | Cleaning and clearing right of way; turnpiking; putting in three stone culverts, 12 feet $\times 18$ feet. Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 210.86 | . 13 |
| Palermo | 1,567 | Cleaning and clearing right of way; excavating 1,485 feet; putting instone drain $3 \times 4$ feet; putting in two new culverts. Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 416.73 | . 27 |
| Prospect | 860 | Putting in blind drain for entire length of improved road to a width of 3 feet, $3 \frac{1}{2}$ feet in depth; grading same 21 feet in width; surfacing with gravel to the length of 12 feet at center of road, 8 inches deep at crown, grading to 6 inches on sides. Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 426.00 | . 50 |
| Searsmont | 2,060 | Excavating for the length of 1,300 feet and filling with stone; putting in 3 stone causeways; draining and grading for total length of improved road. Wilth of improved road, 21 feet. | 529.50 | 26 |
| Searsport | 500 | Putting in double rock drain in center $3 \frac{1}{2}$ feet by 4 feet; surfacing with shore gravel to the full length of improved road, 12 feet in width, 4 feet deep in center. Width of improved road, 25 feet. | 205.80 | . 41 |
| Thorndike | 1,732 | Excavating to a distance of 743 feet, 6 feet in width, 2 feet in depth; rock filling; surfacing with gravel; improving 990 feet of road in addition to diteh; putting in one large stone culvert. | 207.75 | . 12 |

## Waldo County-Continued.

| Town. | Length in feet. | Description. | Cost. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { foot. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Troy | 450 | Stone filling on top of old road to full length of road improved; surfacing with dirt. | 176.50 | . 39 |
| Unity | 3,696 | Turnpiking and grading; putting in stone drain to a length of 1.650 feet, in center; putting in four stone culverts. Width of improved road, 22 feet. | 410.01 | . 11 |
| Waldo | 1,075 | Ditching center of road; putting in blind stone drain; stone filling; surfacing with earth and gravel. Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 300.00 | . 28 |
| Winterport | 5,280 | Rebuilding Lewis Brook bridge and turnpiking and graveling to full length of improved road, using 600 loads of gravel. | 600.00 | . 11 |
| Total, | 38.597 feet. <br> Total cost, <br> 7.31 miles. Cost per mile at same rate, |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,851.65 \\ 1,128.44 \end{array}$ | \$0.21 |
| .a $\$ 602.77$ for bridge work in Lincolnville not included in averages per mile and foot. |  |  |  |  |
| Wasmington County. |  |  |  |  |
| Addison | 3,000 | Straightening road; filling low place to grade, 200 feet in length; gravel surfacing 12 feet in width, 4 inches in depth; putting in culverts as follows: One granite, $12 \times 18$ inches, One iron, $12 \times 8$ inches, Two iron, $12 \times 6$ inches, One iron, $12 \times 4$ inches, Width of improved road, 21 feet. | \$464 74 | 15 |
| Alexander | 400 | Reducing grade; removing boulder; surfacing with gravel; making good side drains. |  |  |
|  |  | Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 242.80 | . 61 |
| Baileyville | 1,760 | Grading, surfacing with gravel for whole length of improved road. Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 600.00 | . 34 |
| Baring | 400 |  | 217.04 (b) | . 54 |
| Brookton | (Culvert work) | Removing old bridge, $\$ 25.00$ <br> putting in one stone cul-  <br> vert containing 100  <br> yards of granite, 500.00 <br> Grading, 110.50 <br> Putting on guard rails  <br> to a length of 50 feet, 66.50 <br> Width of improved road, 32 feet.  | 702.50 (a) |  |
| Calais | 800 | Surfacing with crushed stone to full length of improved road. Width of improved road, 30 feet. | 655.99 | . 82 |

## Washington County-Continued.

| Town. | Length in feet. | Description. | Cost. | Cost. per foot. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charlotte | 245 | Grading; surfacing with gravel to entire length of improved road, 12 feet in width and 8 inches in depth at the center, $\$ 142.70$ Putting in two iron culverts, $12 \times 15$ inches, 65.73 Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 208.43 | . 85 |
| Cherryfield | 600 | Grading above spring floods by raising road 2 feet; surfacing with gravel, $\$ 148.04$ <br> Putting in granite culvert, $3 \frac{1}{2}$ feet $\times 1 \frac{1}{2}$ feet, made of blocks $18 \times 24$ inches, 6 feet in length, paved with same, Width of improved road, 21 feet. $\qquad$ | 295.33 | 49 |
| Columbia | 3,550 | Grading for full length of improved road with 676 loads of gravel; putting in two iron culverts, 4 inch. <br> Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 200.00 | . 06 |
| Danforth | 400 | Excavating to full length of improved road, 3 feet in length; filling with field rock; surfacing with dirt and rotten lodge; putting in one stone culvert $2 \times 3$ feet. <br> Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 579.66 | 1.45 |
| East Machias | 2,700 | Surfacing with gravel for full length of improved road, to a width of 12 feet, 4 inches in depth; putting in one stone culvert 2 feet x 3 feet. <br> Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 269.26 | . 10 |
| Eastport | 550 | Rebuilding road; putting in stone retaining wall. | 750.00 | 1.36 |
| Harrington | (Bridge work) | Building stone bridge with large granite blocks including top, 29 feet in length, 10 feet in width, 7 feet in height; surfacing with 2 feet of clay and gravel raising the grade to a height of 4 feet; putting on tubular iron guard rails. | 557.44 (a) |  |
| Lubec | 1,273 | Surfacing with gravel to full length of improved road, 12 feet in width, 4 inches in depth; putting in one 8 inch iron pipe culvert to a length of 24 feet. Width of earth shoulders, $4 \frac{1}{2}$ feet. |  |  |
|  |  | Width of improved road, 21 feet. | 600.00 | .47 |

## Washington County-Continued.


$a \$ 702.50$ for culvert work in Brookton, and $\$ 557.44$ for bridge work in Harrington not included in averages per mile and foot.
$b$ Baring and Meddybemps were not reported by county commissioners but got aid by special resolve of Legislature. Hence no description is filed with county commissioners' returns and none given here.

|  |  | York County. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Town. | Length in feet. | Description | Cost. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { per } \end{gathered}$ foot. |
| Berwick | 600 | Stone filling to a depth of 8 inches; surfacing with gravel and clay, three parts gravel and one of clay, to the depth of 8 inches and 15 feet wide. Width of improved road, 26 feet. | \$440.90 | . 73 |
| Buxton | 560 | Rock filling to an average of 15 feet, average depth of rock bed, 10 inches; gravel filling to a depth of 10 inches. <br> Width of improved road, 30 feet. | 700.00 | 1.25 |
| Dayton | 2,376 | Gravel surfacing to a width of 10 feet, and a depth of 10 inches. Width of improved road, $33 \frac{1}{2}$ feet. | 607.47 | . 26 |
| Eliot | 500 | Excavating to the width of 12 feet and a depth of $1 \frac{1}{2}$ feet; stone filling; gravel filling to a depth of 18 inches and width of 24 feet. <br> Width of improved road, 33 feet. | 375.00 | . 75 |
| Kittery | 1,400 | Excavating to the depth or 1 foot, 14 feet in width; stone filling; gravel filling to the depth of 6 inches; rolled; 1 foot of gravel added and again rolled to a width of 22 feet. <br> Width of improved road, 31 feet. | 900.00 | . 64 |
| Newfield | 500 | Rock filling to a depth of from 6 inches to $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet; surfacing with gravel. | 415.29 | . 83 |
| No. Berwick | 290 | The character of this work is a foundation of large stones duly laid and covered with different grades in fineness of Salem crushed rock. Width of improved road, 21 to 25 feet. | 605.00 | 2.08 |
| Saco | 570 | Ditching and draining; building rock foundation of broken stone, width 15 feet; surfacing with broken stone and gravel; grade about 2 feet in entire distance. | 684.44 | 1.20 |
| Sanford | 1,300 | Surfacing with gravel to a depth of 8 inches; crown of road 1 inch to 1 foot rolled with a roller weighing 14 tons; width of roadbed 18 feet; width between ditches, 22 feet. | 1,305.00 | 1.00 |
| Wells | 600 | Excavating in center to a depth of 18 inches for a width of from 10 to 12 feet; rock filling and surfacing with gravel to the depth of 12 to 15 inches, with a slope from center to sides of not less than 12 inches. | 610.00 | 1.02 |

## York County-Continued.



## Recapitulation by Countifs.

| Counties. | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | + |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Androscoggin | 8 | 7,739 | 1.47 | \$3,775.21 | \$0.49 | \$2,568.16 |
| Aroostook. | 45 | 68,130 | 12.90 | 17,668.50 | 0.26 | 1,369.65 |
| Cumberland. | 21 | 28,183 | 5.34 | 12,027.56 | 0.43 | 2,252.35 |
| Franklin. | 15 | 20,910 | 3.96 | 5,982.53 | * 0.27 | 1,409.40 |
| Hancock | 26 | 31,853 | 6.03 | 13,251.54 | * 0.39 | 2,049.19 |
| Kennebec. | 20 | 26,397 | 5.00 | 10,926.79 | 0.41 | 2,185.35 |
| Knox | 14 | 22,061 | 4.18 | 8,078.14 | 0.41 | 1,932.57 |
| Lincoln. | 10 | 15,112 | 2.86 | 4,218.42 | 0.28 | 1,474.94 |
| Oxford | 23 | 25,783 | 4.88 | 14,283.20 | 0.55 | 2,926.89 |
| Penobscot. | 45 | 51,987 | 9.85 | 19,884.57 | 0.38 | 2,018.74 |
| Piscataquis. | 16 | 34,319 | 6.49 | 6,928.87 | * 0.19 | 981.07 |
| Sagadahoc. | 9 | 10,308 | 1.95 | 5,114.71 | 0.50 | 2,622.93 |
| Somerset. | 21 | 19,119 | 3.62 | 8,673.39 | * 0.44 | 2,336.98 |
| Waldo. | 21 | 38,597 | 7.31 | 8,851.65 | * 0.21 | 1,128.44 |
| Washington. | 22 | 24,988 | 4.73 | 8,639.50 | * 0.29 | 1,560.18 |
| York. | 11 | 10,016 | 1.90 | 7,543.10 | 0.75 | 3,970.00 |
|  | 327 | 435,502 | 82.48 | \$155,847.68 | \$0.358 | \$1,889.52 |

*. Amounts used simply for bridge and culvert work where lengths are not given, are not used in working out the averages, but are added into total cost.

## TESTS OF ROAD MATERIAL.

At the request of this office the State Survey Commission of Maine employed Henry Leighton of the faculty of Cornell University, as geologist, to collect samples of rock suitable for road metal.

Twenty-five samples were collected from different localities in this State and one from a neighboring county in New Hampshire.

These samples were sent to the Office of Public Roads, Division of Tests, Department of Agriculture at Washington, where tests were made under the direction of Hon. L. W. Page, Director.

Duplicate samples are also on file in this office.
Correspondence has also been started with Mr. Leighton to define more closely the exact locality in which these rocks were found in order that any one interested might write this office and from information on file here locate the ledge exactly.

Herewith is printed a table showing the results of the tests and an explanation of the same.

In Bulletin No. 79, U. S. Department of Agriculture on the "Testing of Road Materials," by Logan Waller Page and Allerton S. Cushman, and Bulletin No. 85, on the "Cementing Power of Road Materials," by the same authors, may be found full descriptions of the various tests made on road materials in a modern laboratory. Following are brief abstracts from the above bulletins, sufficient in detail to explain the table, which gives the results of the tests of the samples sent from Maine.

There are three chief properties essential to good roads materials; they are hardness, toughness, and cementing or binding power.

Hardness will be defincd as the resistance which a material offers to the displacement of its particles by friction. The measure of hardness will be inversely as the loss of weight arising from the scouring by an abrasive agent. Only one test has yet been devised for determining the hardness of road materials. This is the Dorry test, which consists in grinding specimens with sand of standard size and quality.

In the consideration of road material, toughness is understood to mean the power possessed by a material to resist fracture under impact. As the surface of a road is continually subjected to the pounding of traffic, it can be seen that toughness is an important property from the standpoint of the road builder. The test is made on rock cylinders with an impact machine. The number of blows required to destroy the test piece is used to represent the toughness.

The abrasion test from which is obtained the per cent. of wear and the French coefficient of wear is made in the following manner: The sample to be tested is first broken in pieces that will pass, in all clirections, through a 2.4 inch ring, but not through a 1.2 inch ring. The stones are then cleansed, dried in a hot-air bath and cooled. Five kilograms are weighed and placed in one of the cylinders of the testing machine, the cover bolted on, and the cylincler rotated at the rate of 2,000 revolutions per hour for five hours. The contents of the cylincler are then placed on a sieve of i-I6 inch mesh. After the fragments of rock remaining have been cleansed, dried, and cooled, they are weighed and their weight subtracted from the original five kilograms. The difference obtained is the weight of detritus under I-I 6 inch worn off in the test. The per cent. of wear can now be easily ascertained. It has been found that only the best varieties of rock give less than 100 grams of detritus, or 20 grams per kilogram, or 2 per cent. of their weight. The number 20 was therefore adopted by the French engineers as a standard of excellence. The French coefficient of wear may be obtained by the following formula: French coefficient of wear $=20 \times \frac{20}{w}=\frac{400}{w}$, in which the "W" is the weight in grams of the detritus under m-i6 inch in size obtained per kilogram used.

The binding or cementing power of rock dust is determined by an impact test carried on as described below. After the rock has been broken into sufficiently small fragments, it is placed in a ball mill and ground for two and a half hours. This ball mill contains two chilled-steel balls, which weigh 25 pounds each, and is revolved at the rate of 2,000 revolutions per hour. The dust thus obtained which will pass through a very fine sieve is mixed with water and made into briquettes. After cooling they are tested in an impact machine. The standard fall of the hammer is 0.39 inch, and this blow is repeated until the bond of cementation of the material is destroyed. The number of blows required to destroy the bond is noted, and the average obtained upon five briquettes is given as the cementing value.

The above abstracts of Bulletins 79 and 85 are taken from Rhode Island Report, 1907.

Table Showing Results of Laboratory Tests on Maine Rocks.

| Town. | Locality. | 8080 | Name of Rock. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Remarks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bangor. | Highhead. | Pen. | Slate. | 2.70 | 168 | 0.07 | * | * | * | * | Fair (17). | *Sample only large enough for test for cementing value. |
| Brooksville. | . South Brooksville. | Han. | Altered Rhyolite. | 2.80 | 175 | 0.77 | 3.3 | 12.2 | 18.1 | 9 | Excellent. | A hard rock with low toughness, medfium resistance to wear and excellent cementing value. |
| Brooksville. | South Brooksville. Ames Point | Han. | Altered Andesite. | 2.95 | 184 | 0.22 | 2.1 | 19.4 | 16.5 | 15 | Good. | A rock of medium harduess and toughness, with high resistance to wear and good cementing value. |
| Camden. |  | Knox | Feldspathic Quartzite. | 2.70 | 168 | 0.16 | 2.7 | 14.7 | 18.8 | 9 | Fair. | A hard rock with low toughness, rather high resistance to wear and fair cementing value. |


| Eastport. |  | Was. | Altered Diabase. (Trap) | 2.85 | 178 | 0.67 | 2.0 | 20.0 | 18.2 | 20 | Good | A hard and tough rock with high resistance to wear and good cementing value. Should make a satisfactory road material. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hallowell. |  | Ken. | Granite. | 2.55 | 159 | 1.72 | 3.1 | 12.7 | 18.7 | 9 | Good. | A hard rock with low toughness, medium resistance to wear and good cementing value. |
| Harpswell. | One mile south of Harpswell Center | Cum. | Diabase. (Trap) | 3.00 | 187 | 0.37 | 2.1 | 18.0 | 18.4 | 30 | Very Good. | A hard and tough rock with high resistance to wear and very good cementing value. Best suitroads. |
| Jay. | North Jay. | Fra. | Granite. | 2.65 | 165 | 0.39 | 2.3 | 17.4 | * | * | Fair. | A rock with high resistance to wear and fair cementing value. *No piece large enough for hardness and toughness tests. |
| Kittery. |  | York. | Guartzite Schist | 2.75 | 172 | 0.49 | 2.3 | 17.5 | 16.5 | 18 | Good (47) | A rock of medium hardness and toughness with high resistance to wear and good cementing value. |
| Kittery. | One mile north of. | York | Gabbro | 2.85 | 178 | 1.03 | 3.2 | 12.4 | 17.8 | 11 | Excellent(325) | A fairly hard but not very tough rock, with rather high resistance to wear and excellent cementing value. Should give good results under ordinary conditions of traffic. |

Table Showing Results of Laboratory Tests-Continued.

| Town. | Locality. |  | Name-of Rock. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Remarks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Machias. | Two miles east of. | Was. | Altered Diabase. (Trap) | 2.90 | 181 | 0.22 | 2.8 | 14.5 | 16.9 | 11 | Good (58). | A rock of medium hardness and rather low toughness with fairly high resistance to wear and good cementing value. factory road material. |
| North Haven. |  | Knox. | Fragmental Rhyolite. | 2.70 | 168 | 0.50 | 3.2 | 12.6 | 18.0 | 7 | Excellent. | A hard rock with low toughness, meidium resistance to wear and excellent cementing value. |
| North Haven | North Haven Island | Knox. | Altered Andesite. | 2.95 | 184 | 0.41 | 2.7 | 15.0 | 17.6 | 12 | Good. | A fairly hard but not very tough rock, with rather high resistance to wear and good value. |



Table Showing Results of Laboratory Tests-Continued.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Town. \& Locality. \& + \& Name of Rock. \&  \&  \&  \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Hig } \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{gathered}
$$ \& Coefficient of wear. \&  \&  \&  \& Remarks. <br>
\hline Standish. \& \& Cum. \& Biotite Schist. \& $$
2.75
$$ \& 172 \& 0.39 \& 4.0 \& 10.0 \& $$
10.8
$$ \& 7 \& Good (26) \& A soft rock with low toughness, medium resistance to wear, and good cementing value. Best suited for light traffic roads. <br>
\hline Topsham. \& \& Sag.

Knox. \& Pegmatite. \& 2.65 \& 165 \& 0.43
0.33 \& 10.7 \& 3.7

17.4 \& * \& * \& Fair. \& A rock with low resistancetowear and fair cementing value. No piecelarge enough for hardness and <br>

\hline Vinalhaven. \& \& Knox. \& Olivine Diabase. (Trap) \& 3.00 \& 187 \& 0.33 \& 2.3 \& 17.4 \& 18.2 \& 12 \& Good. \& | toughness tests. |
| :--- |
| A hard but not very tough rock with high resistance to wear and good cementing value. | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

| Wells. | Wells Beach. | York. | Gabbroitic Diabase. (Trap) | 2.95 | 184 | 0.53 | 2.0 | 20.4 | 17.5 | 17 | \|Excellent(135) | A fairly hard rock with medium toughness, high resistance to wear and excellent cementing value. Should make a satisfactory road material especially under heavy traffic. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Woolwich. |  | Sag. | Gneissoid Granite. | 2.85 | 178 | 0.37 | 4.1 | 9.9 | 18.8 | 8 | Good. | A hard rock with low toughness, medium resistance to wear and good cementing value. Rocks of this type do not, as a rule, make satisfactory materials. |
|  | Hills Beach. | York, | Diabase Porphyry. | 2.95 | 184 | 0.42 | 2.6 | 15.4 | 16.2 | 11 | Excellent(500) | A rock of medium hardness and rather low toughness with high resistance to wear and excellent cementing value. Should make a satisfactory road material |
| - | Rockingham Co., N. H. |  | Altered Rhyolite. | 2.75 | 172 | 0.14 | 2.7 | 14.8 | 18.8 | 11 | Good. | A hard rock with rather low toughness rather high resistanc to wear and good cementing value. Should make a satisfactory road material |

## EXPENDITURES OF OFFICE, 1907.

DR.
To appropriation for 1907
\$5,000 oo under chapter 188 , Resolves 1907
30000
$\$ 5,30000$

CR.
By salary of commissioner. .......... $\$ 2,50000$
salary of clerk...................... . I,300 0
expended for stenographer and extra clerk ...................... 42 I 93
expended for office supplies. periodicals, etc.......................... 25890
expended for telephone and telegraph

9068
expended for railroad, steamer and electric fares

29587
expended for livery.................. II8 55
expended for hotel bills............ $24145 \quad 5,227 \quad 38$
unexpended balance . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 7262
$\$ 5,30000$
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## APPENDIX

# Report of Bridge Investigation made by State Commissioner of Highways 

as ordered by the

# Seventy-third Legislature 

of the

State of Maine
1907

# REPORT ON BRIDGE INVESTIGATION. 

## ORDER OF LEGISLATURE.

Ordered, The Senate concurring that the State Commissioner of Highways be and hereby is authorized and directed to make an investigation to ascertain the length, physical character and condition, original cost and annual cost of maintenance together with such other information as he may deem pertinent or necessary concerning each and every bridge within the State.

The results of this investigation shall be published as a part of the next annual report of the State Commissioner of Highways and one thousand copies of the results of the investigation shall be published in pamphlet form for the use of the next Legislature.

> (Passed March 25, 1907.)

INVESTIGATION.
Pursuant to the foregoing order a considerable amount of time has been devoted the past season in carrying on the investigation of the bridges of the State.

## Letter to Other States.

As a preliminary step in the investigation a letter was prepared and forwarded to each state in the Union from which we quote the following:
"If an investigation of this kind has ever been undertaken in your state I would thank you to forward me copies of the blanks used in making the investigation, if possible. If the results of such an investigation have been published in the form of a report I would also be glad to have a copy of that. If there is any general law in your state whereby the state or county
assumes any proportion of the cost of maintenance or re-construction of highway bridges, I would be glad to have a copy of the law."

## Replies.

We received replies to this letter from thirty-two states and from the Province of Ontario, Canada. It is learned from the letters that no state has ever made a similar investigation neither has any state a general law under which the state builds or maintains bridges. In general, it appears that bridges are built and maintained as are highways, that is, either by the town or by the county.

The following exceptions to this general rule are noted:
Pennsylvania: Certain large bridges have been built by the State; other bridges are built and maintained by the counties; still other bridges are built and maintained by the townships, but the different classes of bridges are not definitely fixed by law.

Vermont: State may pay half the cost of building or repairing a highway or bridge within a town when other towns in the vicinity are deemed to be benefited thereby and the town is excessively burdened with taxes raising at least $\$ \mathrm{I} .50$ on $\$ \mathrm{r} .00$ of the grand list for the previous five years for town and road purposes, not including schools.

Note: Grand list $\mathrm{I} \%$ of total valuation.
Iowa: Counties build and maintain all bridges over a certain length. The minimum length being i2 feet and the maximum 20 feet in the different counties. Bridges of less span are designated as culverts and are built and maintained by the townships.

Illinois: Towns take care of the construction of bridges except when the cost of a bridge will exceed 20c. on each $\$$ Ioo of the valuation of the town then counties may pay one-half the cost of the bridge

Wisconsin: Towns build and maintain bridges but they can compel the county to pay $50 \%$ of the cost of any bridge costing over $\frac{1}{4}$ of $\mathrm{I} \%$ of the total assessed valuation of the town.

We find that Vermont is the only state which has a law making it possible for a portion of the cost of construction or main-
tenance of a bridge to be borne by the State. It is also apparent that this law can only be invoked when the cost of the bridge will exceed a certain rate of taxation and that a special commission must be appointed to hear each case and decide whether or not the state shall pay aid. In Illinois counties may pay onehalf the cost of a bridge when the same will exceed a certain rate of taxation, viz: 20 c . on each $\$$ roo of valuation of the town. In Wisconsin towns can compel the county to pay one-half the cost of any bridge whose cost exceeds a certain rate of taxation, viz: $\frac{1}{4}$ of $1 \%$ of the total assessed valuation of the town.

These three states seem to be the only ones which have fixed any definite law governing the aiding of towns in the construction of bridges.

The method fixed by the laws of these three states for determining when aid shall be paid seems to us to be extremely fair and equitable inasmuch as it places the poorest town and the wealthiest city on the same basis.

## ABSTRACTS OF ALI, LHTTERS FROM STATES.

Believing that the correspondence received from the different states bearing upon this matter will prove interesting and instructive to all who care to make a thorough study of the question "What should be the State's policy in relation to the building and maintenance of bridges" we give herewith abstracts of such letters, including besides that part of the letter which shows the State's position on this matter, such opinion as has been volunteered on the general question. It will be noted that this question has been considered slightly by the State of Massachusetts and an opinion of the Massachusetts Highway Commission rendered to the Legislature in $190 \%$ is given in full.
We also include with the Massachusetts correspondence copy of the "Parker River Bridge Act" which is the only law establishing a state bridge received in reply to our inquiries. It is so carefully drawn and appears to be so equitable in its provisions that it is printed in full as a guide for possible future legislation.

No particular arrangement of the correspondence has been attempted except that the letters have been grouped as to states in which towns build and maintain bridges; states in which
counties bu:ld and maintain bridges; states in which bridge jurisdiction has been learned by reference to their laws and notes on the same have been added to the letter received from the state. In the arrangement of the letters the name of the state is first given then the title of the official from whom the letter came.

> States in which towns build and maintain bridges.
> Massachusetts, Secretary Highway Commission.

"No investigation of this subject, to my knowledge has ever been undertaken in this State.
"The question you raise, namely, of putting the maintenance and reconstruction of highway bridges upon the State, was considered to a slight extent this year in Massachusetts. A precedent was established in $1 g 06$ by a bill relating to the Parker River Bridge, a copy of which I enclose. This year an attempt was made to turn over to the State another bridge between Lynn and Saugus. I enclose a copy of a letter written by the Commission to the Ways and Means Committee defining the attitude of the Commission on the Lynn-Saugus bridge and other bridges
"No general Massachusetts law, under which the State or County assumes any proportion of the cost of maintenance and reconstruction of highway bridges, has been passed. Each bridge is considered by itself."

The following extract is from the letter addressed by the Commission to the committee on Ways and Means of the Massachusetts Legislature in 1907 on the question of what should be the policy of the Commonwealth concerning all bridges.
"The Commission is of the opinion that a bridge, to all intents and purposes, is as much a part of a highway as any other details of its construction. Bridges of short span have frequently been taken by the Board as parts of State highways and reconstructed and repaired as was necessary, but bridges of long span have not been so taken, because of the great expense to which the State would be thereby committed. The Board believes that it has ample authority under the State highway laws to assume control of bridges of long span, but it has thus far been unwilling to take the responsibility without direct
authorization by the legislature, by a special act appropriating the money needed and apportioning the cost of the work.
"For the purpose of this discussion the bridges may be divided into three classes:
"First: Those having State highzways at both ends, either constructed or projected in the near future.
"The Commission favors the taking of bridges of this kind, under legislation similar to the Parker River Bridge Act (Chap. 531, of the Acts of 1906), with the understanding that no part of the maintenauce of any draw shall be paid by the Commonwealth.
"Second. 7 hosc having a State highway at one end, with no prospective State road at the other end.
"If a State highway is likely to be continued on the other side of a bridge of this kind, the Commission sees no reason why it should not be treated in the same manner as those in the first class; but if a State highway is not likely to be so continued, the Commission is of the opinion that such a bridge should not be taken charge of by the Commonwealth at the present time.
"Third: Those having no State highzay at either end, and there being no State highrvay contemplated in the immediate vicinity.
"The Commission does not believe that bridges of this kind should be taken by the Commonwealth as a part of the State highway system at the present time.
"Your question as to how many bridges there are in the Commonwealth and how much it would cost to maintain them the Commission is unable to answer. To give a proper estimate of what would be involved in taking over all of the bridges would take many months of investigation and study."

## Chapter 53i, Acts of 1906.

An Act to authorize the Massachusetts Highway Commission
to repair, maintain and rebuild Parker River Bridge, so-called,
in the town of Newbury.
Be it enacted, etc., as follows:
Section I. The Massachusetts highway commission is hereby authorized and directed to lay out as a state highway the roadway and bridge over Parker river in the town of Newbury, on
the way locally known as High street, between the termini of the present state highway, and to maintain and keep in repair the said roadway, bridge and the approaches thereto. All expenses incidental thereto shall be paid out of the appropriations for the repair of state highways.

Section 2. If at any time the commission shall decide that public necessity and convenience require the rebuilding of said : Oadway, bridge and approaches, it shall, after full notice and a public hearing to all parties interested, prepare plans and make a careful estimate of the cost and expense of such rebui!ding and report its findings to the general court. If the general cout shall approve its findings and determine the proportionate amounts which the Commonwealth, the county of Essex, and such cities and towns as may be determined to be specially benefited should pay tcwards the cost of such rebuilding, and shall appropriate the Ccmmonwealth's share therefor, the county of Essex and such cities and towns as may be determined to be specially benefited, as aforesaid, shall within such time and in such manner as the commission may direct pay into the treasury of the Commonwealth the proportionate amounts to be paid by them. The cummission shall then within a reasonable time rebuild said roadway, bridge and approaches in accordance with the provisions of chapter forty-seven of the Revised Laws. The treasurer and receiver general shall pay from the sums approprated ind deposited in the treasury as aforesaid, on orders of the commission, such amounts as may be needed for the work. If after the completion of the work it appears that the total amcunt appropriated and deposited is in excess of the cost and expenses incurred the treasurer and receiver general shall pay back to said county and to such cities and towns as have been determined to be specially benefited their proportionate shares of the said excess, and the proportionate share of the Commonwealth of said excess shall revert to the treasury.

Section 3. In case any street railway or electric railroad company is granted a location upon the said bridge, it shall pay into the treasury of the Commonwealth as its part of the expense of rebuilding the bridge and the approaches thereto, a sum amounting to not less than ten per cent of the said expense, and shall also enter into an agreement with the Massachusetts highway commission to keep that part of the roadway on said bridge
lying between the tracks of the company and eighteen inches outside thereof on both sides of the track, in repair and safe for public travel; and no location shall be granted upon said bridge except upon the foregoing conditions. The treasurer and receiver general of the Commonwealth shall pay back to said county and to such cities and towns as have been determined to be specially benefited, their proportionate shares of such amount as has been paid under ṭhis act by any street railway or electric railroad company.

Section 4. The county of Essex and such cities and towns as have been determined to be specially benefited may borrow on the credit of the county and of such cities and towns, respectively, such sums of money as may be necessary to comply with the provisions of this act.

Section 5. This act shall take effect upon its passage.
(Approved June 28, 1906.)

## New Hampshire, State Engineer.

"The State of New Hampshire has nothing to do with the bridges of the State except what few bridges are on the state roads, neither do any counties have to do with the maintenance of bridges except in one or two specific instances where the legislature has provided that the county shall maintain the bridge."

## Vermont, State Highzuay Commissioner.

"Any town that is required to build, rebuild, or repair a highway or bridge wholly within said town and other towns in the vicinity are deemed to be benefited thereby, and the town is excessively burdened with taxes raising at least $\$ \mathrm{I} .50$ on a dollar of the grand list for the previous five years for town and road purposes, not including schools, the county court may appoint a commission on petition to inquire into the matter and if found as represented may assess the state not to exceed onehalf the expense and the balance to the petitioning town."

Rhode Island, Clerk of State Highway Commission.
"The State Board of Public Roads have nothing to do with the highway bridges as our highway law does not allow us to build or repair bridges, they are taken care of by the towns in which they are located."

## Connecticut, State Highway Commissioner.

"We have done nothing in regard to the subject matter that suggested your letter to me, namely, taking care of bridges. We have, however, paid a certain proportion of the abutment work upon all bridges on state roads about in the same proportion that we have paid for the construction of roads, leaving the superstructure entirely in the hands of the towns not only to construct, but also to keep in repair.
"We have a law here that has been on the statute books for a few years through which, on any bridge over which trolley cars are run-and said bridge is a draw bridge-the state pays $\$ 500$ a year to the town in which said bridge is located. I understand that this amount is to be increased to $\$ 1,000$ per year.
"Outside of this, nothing has been done statewise as to the care and maintenance of bridges."

In a subsequent letter the statement is made that the law says nothing about bridges but leaves the matter entirely to the discretion of the commissioner and that only a limited amount of work has been done upon a few of the smaller structures.

## Nerw York, Deputy State Engineer.

"I beg to state that in accordance with the provisions of the Highway Law under which we are operating, the State has no authority to construct any bridges, only culverts being provided for, and a ruling of this department fixes the maximum length of culverts at $\mathrm{I} 5-\mathrm{ft}$. span. The maintenance of all culverts or bridges over five-ft. span are a fixed town charge."

## Pennsylvania, Assistant Commissioner.

"No such investigation as described in your letter has been made in Pennsylvania. We have in this State three classes of bridges, but the distinguishing lines between the three classifications are very indefinite. The State has built a number of bridges across the larger rivers, such bridges having been built by the Department of Public Grounds and Buildings. The respective counties have built, and are maintaining, another class of bridges smaller in size, and the various townships build and maintain a still smaller class of structures. There has been no legislation upon the subject which specifies definitely the size of bridge to be covered in these various classifications. The County Commissioners of the various counties have it largely
in their own hands to determine whether or not they shall adopt a given bridge as a county bridge. This has led to very great differences in the manner of treating the situation. In some counties, all of the bridges are classed as township bridges, while in other counties bridges of no greater size are being cared for by the county.
"In the reconstruction of the public highways this Department is authorized to include as a portion of the highway any bridge which is being maintained at the expense of the township. This has given us a range of work from the smallest culverts up to bridges of i50 ft. span. These bridges after being constructed are maintained by the townships in which they are located."

## Wisconsin, State Geologist.

"The town or the city is the unit which ordinarily has complete control and pays all the cost of construction of bridges. As it stands at present, the law reads that towns or cities can compel the county to pay $50 \%$ of the cost of any bridge costing over $\frac{1}{4}$ of $\mathrm{I} \%$ of the total assessed valuation of the local unit."

States in which counties build and maintain bridges.

## Delaware, State Highway Commissioner.

"In this State the principal bridges are built and maintained by the county in which they are located. Also the road or causeway immediately adjacent to them. All bridges of lesser importance are cared for by their respective hundreds (townships). There is no law that I know of that determines who shall care for the bridge according to its size. There has never been in this State any investigation of the bridges such as you speak of."

New Jersey, State Commissioner of Public Roads.
In this State all bridges within the State are built by the counties under the immediate direction and supervision of the Board of Chosen Freeholders and the State has no part in the construction of any bridges."

Virginia, State Highway Commissioner.
"Under our Law all bridges are built and cared for by the counties in which they are located."

## Iowa, Highzoay Engineer.

"In our State all bridges over a certain length of span are built and maintained as county bridges. The length of span varies somewhat in the various counties, but the average length is 16 feet, a minimum of 12 feet and a maximum of 20 feet. All bridges under this length are designated as culverts and are built and kept in repair by the townships.
"Such a law as you suggest would be a very desirable one to have and I am of the opinion that standard loadings for bridges even less than 40 feet should be adopted by the State, and probably standard plans and specifications."

Kentucky, Secretary of State.
"Replying to your letter beg to say that no investigation of the character mentioned in your letter has been made in this State. We have no law apportioning the cost of highway bridges between the county and the State. The county does it all."

Minnesota, State Engineer.
"We have no law governing the construction or maintenance of our highway bridges. All such matters are in the hands of the County Commissioners and are conducted on the old hit or miss plan without any superintendence or system. Every two years the legislature divides up an appropriation among the different counties to spend about as they please. At the present session, now happily drawing to a close, they appropriated $\$ 400,-$ ooo to be expended by the County Commissioners on the old plan.
"The Highway Commission of this State has a fund of $\$ 55,000$ this year and $\$ 70,000$ next year for state aid on roads and bridges of which not more than one-third of the cost is contributed by the State and only one-third of the fund is to be used for bridges."

## Louisiana, Secretary of State.

"Relative to highways and highway bridges, I beg to say that no investigation on the subject has ever been undertaken in our State. There is no general law in our State whereby the State assumes any proportion of the cost of maintenance or reconstruction of highway bridges. In Louisiana highway bridges are constructed and maintained by the county authorities."

Kansas, Secretary of State.
"In reply I beg to state that I know of no investigation having been made in that direction. Our laws place the maintenance of highways with each county."

Wyoming, Secretary of State.
"We have no information as to the cost of construction of h'ghways and bridges, these matters being under the supervision of the different counties."

## Montana, Secretary of State.

"I will say that there has been no such an investigation as that mentioned in your letter, in this State. The counties assume the cost of bridges within their lines and I know of no state bridge in Montana."

## New Mexico, Secretary.

"There is no public Highway Commission in New Mexico, but public roads are under the control of the County Commissioners of the various counties, who act through a county road overseer. In general, all bridges are constructed and maintained at county expense."

## Utah, State Engineer.

"In Utah there is no general law whereby the State assumes any proportion of the cost of the maintenance or reconstruction of highway bridges.
"At each session of the legislature, state appropriations were made for highway purposes and frequently under these highway appropriations construction or reconstruction of a bridge is mentioned.
"The highway bridges practically without exception in this State have been built by the counties out of the general revenue levied by the County Commissioners for county purposes."

## California, State Highway Commissioner.

"The State of California has not undertaken to maintain or reconstruct any of the highway bridges, but leaves that matter to the counties. However, I believe it would be a vast improvement and saving for the State to have charge of such construction."

States in which bridge jurisdiction has been learned by reference to their lazws and notes on the same have been added to the letter received from the state.

Illinois, State Engineer. State Report for 1906.
"The law provides that a county may assist the townships or road districts in the construction of intertown or other important and large bridges which are of benefit to other than the residents of the town in which the bridge is located." From the Statutes of Illinois it appears that towns may apply to the county for such aid when the cost of any new bridge will exceed $20 c$. on each $\$ 100$ of valuation of the town and that a county may pay one-half the cost of such bridge.

Ohio, State Highway Commissioner.
"The matter of bridge building is not covered by the state highway law. In construction of roads under state aid all bridges and culverts costing over fifty dollars are constructed by the local authorities and only bridges of less cost are part of the contracts we let."

The general law is that towns take care of their roads and bridges.

Michigan, State Highway Commissioner.
"Similar information was called for two years ago but on account of incompleteness it was not published." Townships build and maintain bridges.

West Virginia, Secretary of State.
"We have no laws of any consequence in regard to this matter." Bridges are built and maintained by the counties.

Maryland, Chief Engineer Highway Division Geological Survey.
"No investigation such as you mention has been made in this State." Bridges are built and repaired at the expense of the county.

North Carolina, Secretary of Highway Commission.
"The question of bridges has not been separated in this State from that of highways." The counties handle all matters of this kind.

Mississippi, Commissioner of Agriculture and Commerce.
"No division of bridge expenses between the counties and the State has ever been tried in this State." The counties build and maintain roads and bridges.

Texas, Secretary of State.
"No investigation of this kind has ever been attempted in this State." Bridges are built and maintained by the counties.

No. Dakota, Secretary of State.
Bridges are built and maintained by the counties.
So. Dakota, Secretary of State.
Bridges are built and maintained by the counties.
Idaho, Governor.
"No investigation of this kind has ever been attempted in this State." Bridges are built and maintained by the counties.

Ontario, Commissioner of Highzways.
"Our Act to Aid in the Improvement of Public highways provides for the payment of one-third of the cost of all work done in constructing and bringing up to a fixed standard, the leading roads in any county. The roads to be comprised in such a system have to be approved by this Department and declared to be leading roads. The work of bringing the roads to a standard, involves of course, the construction of sluices, culverts and bridges regardless of dimension or cost, and is included in the cost of the work, one-third being paid by the Government."

## INVESTIGATION WITH TOWNS.

Blanks were then prepared and forwarded to each board of selectmen, the mayor of each city and to each board of county commissioners who have charge of roads in unincorporated townships, with circulars of explanation and instruction as to what was desired by way of information.

These blanks were issued about June 15 and from that time until the end of the year hardly a day passed that letters were not received and sent out pertaining to this investigation. A considerable amount of urging and coaxing was necessary to get information from some towns and this was done by general circular letters, several issues of these having been sent out, and in many cases by individual letters to the municipal officers. All who have furnished information are entitled to a share of credit, if any is due, for the completeness of the report. The only places which did not file reports are Fort Kent, Nobleboro and Buxton.

## Information Asked For.

In general the following information was asked for upon blanks sent out.

Name of stream, river or other water crossed.
Type of bridge-through truss, girder, pile or frame trestle.
Wood, stone, concrete, iron, steel or combination.
Extreme length of bridge.
Width of roadway.
Width of sidewalks, if any.
Number of spans.
Number of piers.
Clear length of each span.
Known cost.
If cost not known give estimated cost.
Condition as to repairs.
When built.
Name of Builder.
Year rebuilt.
Year repaired.
In how many years should bridge be rebuilt?
In how many years should bridge be repaired?
If state gave aid towards first construction, how much?
Is bridge situated on designated state road?
If constructed and maintained by union of towns give fractional part borne by your town and names of other towns and fractional parts borne by each.

## General Questions.

Number of bridges in town over six feet in length.
Number of toll bridges in town.
Amount appropriated by town for repair of bridges, year 1907, \$

Amount expended by town for repair of bridges, three years prior to March, 1907. 1904, \$ ; 1905, \$ ; 1906. \$

Amount appropriated for new bridges, at annual meeting, 1904, \$ ; 1905, \$ ; 1906, \$ ; 1907,\$ .
Amount expended by town for repair of iron or steel bridges, 1904, \$ ; 1905, \$ ; 1906, \$ .

Amount expended by town for repair of wooden bridges, 1904, $\$ \quad ; 1905, \$ \quad ; 1906, \$$

Amount expended by town for repair of wooden bridges, 1907, \$

Amount expended by town for repair of iron or steel bridges, 1907, \$

Amount expended by town for repair of all bridges, 1907, \$

Amount expended by town for construction of new bridges, 1907, \$

## Results of Investigation.

We would have liked to have gone more into detail as to the physical condition of the several bridges and had reports show kind, cost and present condition of sub-structures and superstructures separate but felt that asking for more details than the inquiry blanks called for would only discourage many municipal officers from any attempt at filling out the blanks and would result in an incomplete and fragmentary report. We do not claim absolute accuracy for all figures of cost. They have been furnished for most part by municipal officers and an examination of the blanks shows that all have not interpreted our questions the same way. In some cases cost of super-structure alone is given; in others, cost of sub-structure and super-structure; and in others it is apparent that to these costs have been added the cost of grading approaches. On the whole we believe the figures will average up fairly well and that the totals are probably not over io or $15 \%$ more or less than the original cost of the bridges in the State. The total "known or estimated cost" shown in table I, viz: $\$ 5,276,644.21$ cannot be taken as an estimate of the cost of reproducing these bridges. A considerable number of letters have been received which made this or a similar statement. For example the commissioners of Cumberland county report a bridge costing $\$ 25,000$. They add that the bridge will have to be rebuilt in a few years and they think the cost will be at least $\$ 150,000$.

In the matter of maintenance cost, figures are not as full as could be desired. 353 towns, cities and, plantations reporting bridges of a known or estimated cost of $\$ 3,962$, oI I 39 report a
maintenance cost for 1907 of $\$ 99,340.77$ or about $2 \frac{1}{2} \%$. The maintenance cost of all bridges reported on the same basis would be $\$$ I3r,916.io.

Furthermore, it should be noted that 248 towns, cities and plantations reporting bridges of a known or estimated cost of $\$ 3,28 \mathrm{r}, 622.35$ report an average maintenance cost for the years 1904, 1905 and 1906 of $\$ 112,699.8 \mathrm{I}$ or about $3 \frac{1}{2} \%$. The maintenance cost of all bridges reported on the same basis would be $\$ 184,682.55$.

We do not understand that the legislative order directed this office to do more than report results of this investigation. We have accordingly arranged the information secured in what seems to us the best form for reference and submit it without further comment.

SUMMARY OF TABLES II, III AND IV.

| 66 bridges over 400 feet in length reported to have cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,601,184.35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 | bridges | between | 300 | and | 400 f | feet |  | Iength | reported |  | have | cost | 411,044.98 |
| 16 | " | " | 275 | " | 300 | " | " | " | " | " | '* | '4 | 62,448.90 |
| 18 | " | " | 250 | " | 275 | * | ${ }^{6}$ | " | " | " | ، | " | 70,950.12 |
| 24 | " | " | 225 | " | 250. | " | " | " | " | " | " | ${ }^{4}$ | 155,813.00 |
| 28 | " | "* | 200 | " | 225 | " | " | " | " | " | " | ${ }^{6}$ | 132,508.51 |
| 31 | " | " | 175 | " | 200 | " | " | " | " | " | * | " | 133,742.30 |
| 65 | " | * | 150 | " | 175 | * | " | " | " | " | " | " | 240,853.75 |
| 56 | " | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 125 | " | 150 | " | ، | " | * | " | ، | " | 220,050.16 |
| 125 | " | " | 100 | " | 125 | " | ${ }^{6}$ | " | " | ، | " | * | 262,011.12 |
| 53 | " | " | 90 | " | 100 | " | ، | " | " | * | * | " | 137,314.61 |
| 69 | * | * | 80 | ' | 90 | " | ، | " | " | " | " | " | 121,240.24 |
| 91 | " | ، | 70 | " | S0 | ، | ، | " | " | " | " | " | 97,527.46 |
| 127 | " | ، | 60 | " | 70 | " | ، | " | * | ، | ، | ، | 122,197.31 |
| 173 | " | ، | 50 | " | 60 | " | " | ' | ${ }^{4}$ | ' | ، | " | 164,448.09 |
| 92 | " | * | 45 | " | 50 | " | " | " | ، | " | " | " | 68,535.85 |
| 174 | ، | '6 | 40 | " | 45 | " | " | " | " | ، | " | " | 89,760.31 |
| 186 | " | " | 35 | " | 40 | " | " | " | " | " | * | " | 108,588.93 |
| 328 | " | " | 30 | '" | 35 | " | " | " | " | " | " | ، | 136,822.83 |
| 394 | " | ${ }^{6}$ | 25 | " | 30 | " | ، | " | * | ${ }^{\prime}$ | ، | " | 150,516.82 |
| 903 | " | " | 20 | " | 25 | " | '6 | " | " | ، | * | " | 266,943.51 |
| 1,198 | * | " | 15 | " | 20 | " | ${ }^{4}$ | " | " | " | " | '6 | 227,241.79 |
| 1,717 | ${ }^{4}$ | " | 10 | " | 15 | " | ، | " | 4 | " | " | * | 209,949.96 |
| 832 | " | " | 6 | ${ }^{\prime}$ | 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " | * | 84,949.31 |
| 6,819 bridges total for the State at an estimate cost of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$5,276,644.21 |

## BRIDGE INFORMATION．

Table，I－Showing number，kind，cost and，as far as reported，maintenance cost of all bridges in each torin in the State，six feet and over in clear length．
Note：All towns reporting joint ownership of any bridge or bridges with another town or towns are marked thus＊in this table，and reference must be made to tables II，III and IV to learn details of ownership．Each town＇s proportional part of the cost or maintenance expense of joint bridges is included so that in the grand total the cost of such bridges appears but once．

| Town． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 突 } \\ & \text { 号 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Steel Bridges |  |  | Wooden Bridges． |  |  | Stone or Concrete Bridges． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abbot． | Pis． | \＄172，648 | 17 | \＄6，415．00 | \＄75．00 | － | 1 | \＄1，500．00 | － | 16 | \＄4，915．00 | － | － | － |
| ＊Acton． | Yor． | 268.875 | 17 | 11，100．00 | 200.00 | \＄217．00 | － | － | － | 14 | 4，800．00 | \＄200．00 | 3 | \＄6，300．00 |
| ＊Addison． | Was． | 192.862 | 17 | 15，325．00 | 100.00 | 200.00 | － | － | － | 17 | 15，325．00 | 200.00 | － | － |
| Albany．．． | Oxf． | 156，573 | 38 | 3，635．00 | － | － | － | － | － | 38 | 3，635．00 | － | － | － |
| Albion． | Ken． | 378，909 | 24 | 6，708．79 | 75.00 | － | 1 | 1，471．50 | － | 23 | 5，237．29 | 75.00 | － | － |
| Alexander．． | Was． | 58.898 | 10 | 281.15 | － | － | － | － | － | 9 | 166.00 |  | 1 | 115.15 |
| ＊Alfred．．． | Yor． | 332.583 | 15 | 21，083．00 | 259.01 | 200.00 | 1 | 13，000．00 | \＄228．60 | 13 | 7，783．00 | 30.41 | 1 | 300.00 |
| Allagash Pl． | Aro． | 183，913 | 2 | 2，300．00 | 120.00 | － | － | －． | － | 2 | 2，300．00 | － | － | － |
| ＊Alna．．．．．．． | Lin． | 165，917． | 14 | 6，125．00 | 126.00 | － | － | － | － | 14. | 6，125．00 | 126.25 | － | － |

Towns marked with a star (*) report joint bridges; tables II, III and IV must be consulted for details of ownership.

| Town. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \stackrel{0}{3} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Steel Bridges. |  |  | Wooden Bridges. |  |  | Stone or Concrete Bridges. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Alton. | Pen. | 876,206 | 11 | \$1,405.00 | - | \$245.00 | - | - | - | 11 | \$1,405.00 | \$245.00 | - | - |
| Amherst. | Han. | 80,828 | 7 | 1,271.69 | 887.93 | 76.46 | - | - | - | 7 | 1,271.69 | 76.46 | - | - |
| Amity. | Aro. | 121,471 | 9 | 2,030.00 | 38.00 | a38.00 | 1 | \$1,600.00 | - | 8 | 430.00 | a38.00 | - | - |
| Andover. | Oxf. | 263,283 | 24 | 3,855.00 | 154.00 | 216.00 | - | - | - | 24 | 3,855.00 | 216.00 | - | - |
| *Anson. | Som. | 745,907 | 24 | $\pm 34,164.00$ | 983.31 | - | 3 | $\pm \pm 19,000.00$ | \$210.00 | 20 | 14,664.00 | 773.31 | 1 | \$500.00 |
| Appleton. | Kno. | 249,731 | 21 | 2,090.00 | 354.73 | 150.00 | - | - | - | 21 | 2,090.00 | 150.00 | - | - |
| A, Range 2, Twp. . | Aro. | 48,437 | 1 | 40.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 40.00 | - | - | - |
| A, R. 5, Molunkus, 'T | Aro. | 86,252 | 1 | 150.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 1. | 150.00 | - | - | - |
| A, Range 7, Twp.. | Pen. | 260,914 | 1 | 2,000.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,000.00 | - | - | - |
| * Argyle. | Pen. | 58.698 | 4 | 600.00 | - | 40.00 | - | $\rightarrow$ | - | 4 | 600.00 | 40.00 | - | - |
| *Arrowsic. | Sag. | 64,673 | $\stackrel{\circ}{7}$ | 7,131.00 | 761.93 | 833.00 | 1 | 5,000.00 | 1,250.00 | 6 | 2,131.00 | 416.00 | - | - |
| Ashland. | Aro. | 477,915 | 13 | 8,255.00 | 86.00 | 290.80 | - | - | - | 13 | 8,255.00 | 290.80 | - | - |
| *Athens. | Som. | 308,265 | 35 | 3,950.00 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | 35 | 3,950.00 | - | - | - |
| *Atkinson. | Pis. | 154,673 | 14 | 5,115.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 5,115.00 | - | - | - |
| *Auburn. | And. | 7,282,434 | 48 | $e 116,815.78$ | 2,500.00 | 2,443.71 | 6 | $e 99,083.45$ | 1,843.11 | 42 | 17,732.33 | 410.77 | - | - |



Bridge Information, Table I-Continued.
Towns marked with a star (*) report joint bridges; tables II, III and IV must be consulted for details of ownership.


| Brooks.... Brooksville. Brookton... | Wal. Han. Was. | $\begin{array}{r} 270,919 \\ 277,698 \\ 63,919 \end{array}$ | 11 2 2 | $2,050.00$ $2,500.00$ 750.00 | 100.00 -0.00 | $a 100.00$ 25.00 - | - | - | - | 11 2 1 | $2,050.00$ $2,500.00$ 50.00 | a100.00 25.00 | -1 -1 1 | 700.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brownfield. | Oxf. | 313,715 | 24 | 9,250.00 | 109.50 | c412.00 | 1 | 700.00 | - | 23 | 8,550.00 | c412.00 | - | - |
| Brownville. | Pis. | 530,844 | 11 | 13,550.00 | 150.00 | 225.00 | 1 | 5,000.00 | 100.00 | 9 | 8,200.00 | 125.00 | 1 | 350.00 |
| *Brunswick | Cum. | 3,796,988 | 29 | $\ddagger 35,739.79$ | a468.58 | - | 3 | 19,889.79 | - | 26 | 15,850.00 | a468.58 | - | - |
| Buckfield. | Oxf. | 421,624 | 22 | 6,510.00 | 215.00 | 225.00 | 6 | 5,165.00 | 115.00 | 16 | 1,345.00 | 100.00 |  | - |
| *Bucksport. | Han. | 946,155 | 33 | g15,735.00 | s2,500.00 | - | - | - | - | 33 | 15,735.00 | - | - | - |
| Burlington. | Pen. | 148,581 | 1 | 50.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 50.00 | - | - | - |
| *Burnham. | Wal. | 231,514 | 13 | 18,430.00 | 125.00 | - | 5 | 16,500.00 | - | 8 | 1,930.00 | 50.00 | - | - |
| *Buxton | Yor. | 774.752 | No | report. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Byron. | Oxf. | 96,562 | 21 | 2,655.00 | 200.00 | 233.00 | 1 | 1,100.00 | - | 20 | 1,555.00 | 233.00 | - | - |
| *Calais. | Was. | 2,631,039 | 17 | 61,250.00 | 375.00 | 444.25 | 4 | 53,000.00 | e500.00 | 12 | 6,250.00 | h418.50 | 1 | 2,000.00 |
| *Cambridge | Som. | 128,619 | 19 | 3,560.00 | 79.28 | 71.00 | - | - | - | 19 | 3,560.00 | 71.00 | - | - |
| Camden. | Kno. | 2,390,706 | 11. | 15,450.00 | 120.00 | 266.66 | 4 | 4,050,00 | - | , | 7,600.00 | 266.66 | 1 | 3,800.00 |
| *Canaan. | Som. | 300.697 | 19 | 6,035.00 | 300.00 | 204.00 | - | - | - | 19 | 6,035.00 | 204.00 | - | - |
| Canton. | Oxf. | 327,610 | ${ }^{\circ} 22$ | 17,966.70 | 254.30 | 250.00 | 1 | 17,000,00 | - | 20 | 891.70 | 250.00 | 1. | 75.00 |
| *Cape Elizabeth. | Cum. | 884,892 | 1 | s 500.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - | - | 1 | 500.00 | 0.00 | - | - |
| Caribou. | Aro. | 1,846.177 | 8 | 35,200.00 | - | i2.066.00 | 2 | 22,500.00 | - | 6 | 12,700.00 | - | - | - |
| Carmel. | Pen. | 296,713 | 37 | ¢,380.00 | No | data | - | - | - | 37 | 7,380.00 | No | data | - |
| Caratunk Pl. | Som. | 97, 291 | 8 | 301.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 301.00 | - | - | - |
| Carroll. | Pen. | 119,997 | 8 | 580.00 | 15.00 | - | - | - | - | 8 | 580.00 | - | - | - |
| Carrying Place Twp | Som. | 35,532 | 4 | 170.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 170.00 | - | - | - |
| Carthage........ | Fra. | 156,794 | 28 | 5,857.00 | - | - | 4 | 5,207.00 | 72.00 | 24 | 650.00 | - | - | - | er bridge. See Bangor, $c$ Expended 1906. $\ddagger$ Brunswick; includes $\frac{2}{3}$ of cost of Brunswick and Topsham long bridge. Includes $\frac{3}{4}$ of cost of Brunswick and Topsham short bridge. Includes $\frac{1}{2}$ of cost of Brunswick and Bath bridge. Includes $\frac{1}{2}$ of cost of Brunswick and Harpswell bridge. $s$ Bucksport;

probably inchudes $\$ 1,500$ from State in $1907 . \quad$ Bucksport; includes $\$ 8,140.20$ of Verona draw bridge. Total cost $\$ 14,980.20$.
$e$ $h$ Calais; averaged 1905-6. ${ }^{\circ}$ Canton; includes one toll bridge owned by King bridge Co. ${ }_{i}$ Caribou; includes expenditure of $\$ 4,500$ for 1906 .

Bridge Information, Table I-Continued.
Towns marked with a star (*) report joint bridges; tables II, III and IV must be consulted for details of ownership.


$s$ Cary Pl.; state aid $\$ 400$. $\dagger \frac{1}{2}$ Casco; $\frac{1}{2}$ Naples. $\quad e$ Expended 1904. f Chapman Pl.; 今tate aid $\$ 350 \quad d$ Expended $1905 . \quad g$ Concord; $\$ 9,435.97$ state aid. c Expended 1906. $i$ Cyr Pl.; state aid $\$ 600$.

Bridge Information, Table I-Continued.
Towns marked with a star (*) report joint bridges; tables II, III and IV must be consulted for details of ownership.


| *Durham. Dyer Brook E Pl....... | And. <br> Aro. <br> Aro. | $\begin{array}{r} 363,328 \\ 101,671 \\ 63,610 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r} 21 \\ \text { Non } \\ 2 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} \ddagger 12,069.86 \\ - \\ 140.00 \end{array}$ | ${ }^{220.27}$ | 177.00 | $-{ }^{3}$ | $\pm 8,341.86$ | ${ }^{94.00}$ | 16 2 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 3,438.00 \\ 140.00 \\ 140.00 \end{array}$ | 83.00 | 2 <br> -1 <br> - | $290.00$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eagle Lake PI | Aro. | 141,931 | 13 | e2,162.00 | 431.00 | 230.00 | - | - | - | 13 | e2,162.00 | 230.00 | - | - |
| Eastbrook. | Han. | 52,290 | 5 | 675.00 | 24.00 | 45.58 | - | -- | - | 5 | 675.00 | 45.58 | - | - |
| *East Livermore. | And. | 1,315,222 | 12 | j19,175.00 | 600.00 | 600.00 | 1 | j10,375.00 | - | 11 | 8,800.00 | - | - | - |
| *East Machias | Was. | 415,050 | 14 | ,470.00 | 572.00 | - | - | $\dagger$ | - | 13 | $f 10,470.00$ | 559.00 | 1 | 25,000.00 |
| East Millinocket | Pen. | 212,538 | None |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - |
| Easton. | Aro. | 406,007 | 6 | 1,640.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 1,640.00 | - | - | - |
| Eastport | Was. | 1,658,238 | ${ }^{\circ} 3$ | $54,000.00$ | 884.85 | $g 600.00$ |  | - | - | 3 | $54,000.00$ | $g 600.00$ | - | - |
| Eddington | P | 168,434 | 16 | 855.00 |  | - | - | - | - | 16 | 855.00 | - | - | - |
| *Eden. | Han. | 6,381,675 | 23 | 10,725.00 | 330.22 | - | - | - | - | 20 | 9,175.00 | 330.22 | 3 | 1,550.00 |
| *Edgecomb | Lin. | 188,362 | $h 6$ | 1,825.00 | 20.00 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 1,825.00 | 20.00 | - | - |
| Edinburg. | Pen. | 22,103 | 1 | 150.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 150.00 | - | - | - |
| *Edmunds. | Was. | 93,017 | 6 | 5,268.50 | 72.31 | 77.86 | 3 | 4,747.50 | 42.60 | 3 | 521.00 | 93.84 | - | - |
| *Eliot. | Yor. | 554,460 | 6 | 2,900.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 2,900.00 | - | - | - |
| Elliottsvill | Pi | 118,688 | 2 | 650.00 | 50.00 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 650.00 | a50.00 | - | - |
| Ellsworth. | Han. | 2,015,498 | 19 | 20,429.00 | 918.04 | 770.50 | 3 | 14,279.00 | 337.52 | 16 | 6,150.00 | 580.52 | - | - |
| Embden | Som. | 265,403 | 21 | 3,518.00 | - | - | 1 | 693.00 | - | 20 | 2,825.00 | - | - | - |
| *Enfield | Pen. | 244,648 | 8 | $i 23,550.00$ | 250.00 | 497.00 | 1. | i21,000.00 | 447.00 | 7 | 2,550.00 | 50.00 | - | - |
| Etna. | Pen. | 131,373 | None |  | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Eustis. | Fra. | 207,271 |  | 2,525.00 | - | 150.00 | - | - | - | 5 | 2,525.00 | 150.00 | - | - |
| Exeter. | Pen. | 304, 420 | 23 | 3,925.00 | 51.20 | 125.00 | - | - | - | 23 | 3,925.00 | 125.00 | - | - |
| *Fairfield. | Som. | 1,659,533 | 17 | $55,600.00$ | 400.00 | 400.00 | 6 | 48.200 .00 | 100.00 | 10. | 5,900.00 | 100.00 | 1 | 1,500.00 |
| a Expended | xpend | 1906. | $\dagger$ Dres | den; averag | for 2 | ears. |  | w Pl.; stat | aid $\$ 1$ | 500. | $\ddagger$ Durham | include |  | st Lisbon |
|  port; averaged for 2 years. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Bridge Information, Table I-Continued.
Towns marked with a star (*) report joint bridges; tables II, III and IV must be consulted for details of ownership.

| Town. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 合 } \\ & \stackrel{y}{7} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Steel Bridges |  | Wooden Bridges. |  |  | Stone or Concrete Bridges. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 7 <br> 4 |  |
| *Falmouth. | Cum. | \$1,307,950 | 18 | †\$55,475.00 | \$150.00 | \$167.00 | 2 | \$33,275.00 | - | 15 | †\$17,700.06 | \$167.00 | 1. | \$4,500.00 |
| *Farmingdale. | Ken. | $546,049$ | 2 | 360.00 | $\begin{array}{r}60.00 \\ \hline 606 .\end{array}$ | $f 781.65$ |  |  | - | 2 | -360.00 | - | - | - |
| *Farmington. |  |  |  | 28,490.00 | f696.30 | f781.65 | 2 | 19,000.00 | - | 26 |  | - | - |  |
| Fayette. | Ken. | 225,320 | 25 | 607.00 | 81.21 | - | - | - | - | 25 | 607.00 | - | - | - |
| *Flagstaff Pl. | Som. | 85,000 | 4 | 2,275.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 2,275.00 | - | - | - |
| Forest City. | Was. | 12,319 | 3 | 2,900.00 | 19.25 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 2,900.00 | a19.25 | - | - |
| Fort Fairfield. | Aro. | 2,013.506 | 20 | 30,890.00 | 1,600.00 | - | 1 | 27,000.00 | $a 1,000.00$ | 19 | 3,890.00 | $a 600.00$ | - | - |
| Fort Kent. | Aro. | 469,131 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 6,000,00 | - | - | - |
| Foxcroft. | Pis. | 810,706 | 30 | 11,350.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 28 | 10,850.00 | - | 2 | 500.00 |
| *Frankfort. | Wal. | 297,194 | 20 | 19,400.00 | 50.00 | 833.33 | 1 | 6,000.00 | - | 15 | 10,800.00 | - | 4 | 2,600.00 |
| Franklin. | Han. | 345,982 | 9. | 3,134.48 | - | 32.00 | - | - | - | 9 | 3,134.48 | 32.00 | - | - |
| Freedom. | Wal. | 165,352 | 15 | 2,110.00 | - | 46.45 | - | - | - | 12 | 1,780.00 | 46.45 | 3 | 330.00 |
| Freeman. | Fra. | 101,161 | 22 | 681.00 | 100.00 | 200.00 | - | - | - | 22 | 681.00 | 100.00 | - | - |
| *Freeport. | Cum. | 1,399,581 | 23 | 13,025.00 | - |  | 2 | 1,150.00 | - | 21 | 11,875.00 | - | - | - |
| Frenchville. | Aro. | 127,974 | 9 | s1,450.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 |  |  | - | 9 | $s 1,450.00$ | 0.00 | - | - |


$\dagger$ Falmouth; includes county bridge between Portland and Falmouth. Cost $\$ 20,000$. Falmouth $\$ 5,000$; Portland $\$ 15,000$. f Farmington; not
 and draw steel, 2 spans wood. $g$ Gardiner; includes $78 \%$ of cost of Gardiner and Randolph bridge. State appropriated $\$ 2,000$ per year for repairs for 1907-8. c Expended 1906. $\quad$. $2-5$ Greene; 3-5 Turner.

Bridge：Information，Table I－Continued．
Towns marked with a star（＊）report joint bridges；tables II，III and IV must be consulted for details of ownership．

| Town． |  |  |  |  |  |  | Steel Bridges． |  |  | Wooden Bridges． |  |  | Stone or Concrete Bridges． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number of steel } \\ & \text { or iron bridges. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hammond Pl． | Aro． | \＄115，710 | 2 | \＄375．00 | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | \＄375．00 | － | － | － |
| Hampden． | Pen． | 764，828 | 24 | 10，300．68 | \＄383．80 | － | － | － | － | 22 | 7，800．68 | － | 2 | \＄2，500．00 |
| Hancock． | Han． | 310，299 | 5 | 2，125．00 | － | － | 1 | \＄1，000．00 | － | 4 | 1，125．00 | － | － | － |
| Hanover． | Oxf． | 81，007 | 7 | 650.00 | 50.00 | － | － | － | － | 7 | 650.00 | a 850.00 | － | － |
| Harmony． | Som． | 232，693 | 29 ！ | 7，545．00 | 215.58 | \＄167．46 | 1 | 1，500．00 | e\＄15．04 | 28 | 6，045．00 | 167.46 | － | － |
| ＊Harpswell． | Cum． | 825，191 | 4 | 3，750．00 | 61.02 | － | － | － | － | 4 | 3，750．00 | － | － | － |
| ＊Harrington | Was． | 234，183 | 25 | 11，912．78 | 50.00 | － | 1 | 3，334．22 | 500.00 | 14 | 6，901．00 | － | 10 | 1，677．56 |
| ＊Harrison． | Cum． | 437，290 | 13 | 3，108．00 | 75.00 | 35.00 | 5 | 2，398．00 | 30.00 | 6 | 410.00 | 10.00 | 2 | 300.00 |
| ＊Hartford． | Oxf． | 290，297 | 27 | 1，053．00 | 100.00 |  | 1 | 413.00 | － | 25 | 622.00 | a100．00 | 1. | 18.00 |
| ＊Hartland． | Som． | 481，155 | 19 | 11，315．00 | 450.00 | 300.00 | 2 | 5，000．00 | － | 15 | 2，815．00 | 300.00 | 2 | 3，500．00 |
| Haynesville． | Aro． | 70，743 | 4 | \＄5，000．00 | 0.00 | 100.00 |  | － | － | 4 | s5，000．00 | 100.00 | － | － |
| ＊Hebron． | Oxf． | 225，434 | 11 | 1，875．00 | － | － | 1 | 500.00 | － | 10 | 1，375．00 | － | － | － |
| Hermon． | Pen． | 381，407 | 14 | 6，700．00 | 37.11 | 100.00 | － | － | － | 14 | 6，700．00 | 100.00 | － | － |
| ＊Hersey． | Aro． | 65，258 | 6 | 1，250．00 | 250.00 | c100．00 | － | － | － | 6 | 1，250．00 | － | － | － |
| Highland Pl． | Som． | 71，630 | 10 | 510.00 | － | － | － | － | － | 10 | 510.00 | － | － | － |



Bridge Information, Table I-Continued.
Towns marked with a star (*) report joint bridges; tables II, III and IV must be consulted for details of ownership.

| Town. | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Steel Bridges |  |  | Wooden Bridges. |  |  | STONE OR Concrete Bridges. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost of wooden } \\ & \text { bridges. } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ z \end{array}$ |  |
| Kingfield. | Fra. | \$372,599 | 23 | \$4,950.00 | \$347.29 | - | 1 | \$3,100.00 |  | 22 | \$1,850.00 | - | - | - |
| Kingman. | Pen. | 158,861 | 1 | $s 13,000.00$ | 0.00 | \$30.00 | 1 | 13,000.00 | \$30.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kingsbury Pl. | Pis. | 96,000 | 7 | 560.00 | - |  | - | - | - | 7 | 560.00 | - | - | - |
| Kittery. | Yor. | 860,522 | $\ddagger 8$ | 16,770.00 | 1,000. | - | 1 | 5,000.00 | - | 7 | 11,770.00 | a1,000.00 | - | - |
| Knox. | Wal. | 190,570 | 13 | 730.00 | 42.00 | 46.25 | - | - | - | 11 | 650.00 | 46.25 | 2 | \$80.00 |
| Lagrange. | Pen. | 223,355 | $\dagger 6$ | 1,600.00 | 30.00 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 350.00 | $a 30.00$ | 1 | 1,250.00 |
| Lake View Pl | Pis. | 157,620 | No | , | , |  | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - |
| Lakeville Pl. | Pen. | 138,750 | 4 | 125.00 | 19.00 | c40.00 | - | - | - | 4 | 125.00 | c40.00 | - | - |
| Lambert Lake Twp.. | Was. | 81,277 | 1 | 50.00 |  |  | - | - | - | 1 | 50.00 |  | - | - |
| Lamoine. | Han. | 185,927 | 1 |  |  |  | - | - | - | 1 |  | 50.00 | - | - |
| Lang Pl. | Fra. | 88,160 | 4 | 115.00 |  | - | - |  | - | 4 | 115.00 | - | - | - |
| Lebanon. | Yor. | 396,224 | 39 | 23,890.00 | 495.73 | 423.89 | 1 | 6,000.00 | al19.28 | 38. | 17,890.00 | a376.45 | - | - |
| Lee. . | Pen. | 128,385 | 15 |  |  | - | - | - | - |  | 1,765.00 | $a 65.60$ | 1 | 200.00 |
| *Leeds. | And. | 333,159 | 21 | f5,660.00 | 277.61 | 133.00 | - | - | - | 21. | f5,660.00 | 133.00 | - | - |
| Levant. | Pen. | 243,634 | 27 | 3,485.00 |  |  |  | - | - | 27 | 3,485.00 |  | - | = |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
*Lewiston. \\
Lexington. \\
Liberty.
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
And. \\
Som. \\
Wal.
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
14,596,279 \\
82,650 \\
204,730
\end{array}
\] \& a 21
22
12 \& \({ }^{\circ} 115,030.50\)
\(8,470.00\)
\(1,165.00\) \& \& 3,668.37 \& - \({ }^{2}\) \& \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 115,030.50\) \& \(|a 3,700.00|\) \& -

22
12 \& 8,
$8,470.00$
$1,165.00$ \& 37.44 \& - \& - <br>
\hline Lily Bay Twp. \& Pis. \& 152,812 \& 1 \& 200.00 \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& 1 \& 200.00 \& - \& - \& <br>
\hline *Limerick. \& Yor. \& 453,771 \& 19 \& 2,600.00 \& 125.58 \& 183.33 \& - \& - \& - \& 17 \& 2,325.00 \& 183.33 - \& 2 \& 275.00 <br>
\hline Limestone. \& Aro. \& 424,489, \& 16 \& 2,535.00 \& 122.70 \& 333.33 \& - \& - \& - \& 16. \& 2,535.00 \& 333.33 \& - \& - <br>
\hline *Limington. \& Yor. \& 331,075; \& 17 \& 12,880.81 \& 419.53 \& 290.13 \& 1 \& 4,661.42 \& 18.12 \& 15 \& 8,129.14 \& 272.01 \& 1 \& 90.25 <br>
\hline Lincoln. \& Pen. \& 594,125 \& 13 \& 6,335.00 \& 60.00 \& - \& 2 \& 4,800.00 \& d50.00 \& 11 \& 1,535.00 \& $a 60.00$ \& - \& - <br>
\hline Lincoln Pl. \& Oxf. \& 140,171 \& 3 \& $h \pm, 668.00$ \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& 3 \& $h 4,668.00$ \& - \& - \& - <br>
\hline Lincolnville. \& Wal. \& 308,007 \& 24 \& 5,550.00 \& 69.51 \& - \& 1 \& 600.00 \& - \& 11 \& 2,400.00 \& - \& 12 \& 2,550.00 <br>
\hline Linneus. \& Aro. \& 263,551 \& 12 \& 2,125.00 \& - \& - \& - \& - \& \& 12 \& 2,125.00 \& - \& - \& - <br>
\hline *Lisbon. \& And. \& 2,312,717 \& 24 \& i30,350.00 \& 400.00 \& - \& 3 \& $i 10,900.00$ \& - \& 20 \& 19,050.00 \& - \& 1. \& 400.00 <br>
\hline *Litchfield. \& Ken. \& 358,630 \& 24 \& 9,075.00 \& 200.00 \& - \& - \& - \& - \& 20 \& 8,325.00 \& 200.00 \& 4 \& 750.00 <br>
\hline Littleton \& Aro. \& 363,831 \& 10 \& 3,500.00 \& 100.00 \& a100.00 \& - \& - \& - \& 10 \& 3,500.00 \& ${ }^{10100.00}$ \& - \& - <br>
\hline *Livermore. \& And. \& 454,614. \& 18 \& j11,725.00 \& 200.00 \& d229.19 \& 2 \& j10,125.00 \& - \& 16 \& 1,600.00 \& - \& - \& - <br>
\hline Long Island Pl. \& Han. \& 26,270 \& No \& Report \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - <br>
\hline Lovell. \& Oxf. \& 393,530 \& 11 \& 8,120.00 \& 150.00 \& 166.66 \& - \& - \& - \& 11 \& 8,120.00 \& 166.66 \& - \& - <br>
\hline Lowell. \& Pen. \& 72,614 \& 8 \& 2,985.00 \& - \& 1,589.00 \& 1 \& 1,400.00 \& 1,457.00 \& \& 1,585.00 \& 132.00 \& - \& - <br>
\hline Lubec. \& Was. \& 886,559 \& $k 2$ \& 296.76 \& 306.76 \& - \& - \& - \& - \& 2 \& 296.76 \& 306.76 \& - \& - <br>
\hline Ludlow. \& Aro. \& 110,941 \& 2 \& 450.00 \& 0.00 \& 8.33 \& - \& - \& - \& 2 \& 450.00 \& 8.33 \& - \& - <br>
\hline Lyman. \& Yor. \& 349,664 \& 12 \& 5,400.00 \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& 12 \& 5,400.00 \& - \& - \& - <br>
\hline Machias. \& Was. \& $847,833{ }_{\text {i }}$ \& 12 \& 6,275.00 \& \& 365.98 \& - \& - \& - \& 12 \& 6,275.00 \& 365.98 \& - \& - <br>
\hline Machiasport. \& Was. \& 182,629 \& 13 \& 15,490.00 \& 900.00 \& - \& - \& - \& - \& 13 \& l5, 490.00 \& - \& - \& - <br>
\hline Macwahoc Pl. . \& Aro. \& 50,427 \& 2. \& m5,500.00 \& 360.00 \& - \& - \& - \& - \& 2 \& m5,500.00 \& - \& - \& - <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

$s$ Kingman; state aid $\$ 5,000$, county aid $\$ 3,000$. a Expended 1907. $\ddagger$ Kittery; does not include toll bridge owned and maintained by B. \& M. R. R. $\dagger$ Lagrange; overhead bridge, costing $\$ 5,000$ built by B. \& A. not included. $c$ Expended 1906 . f Leeds; toll bridge between Leeds and Turner included. $\frac{T_{2}}{2}$ to each. © Lewiston; includes $61 \frac{3}{3} \%$ cost North bridge also $50 \%$ cost truss bridge. Remainder Auburn. $\quad$ Lewiston; reports
 cost of the Machiasport and East Machias toll bridge. Total state aid $\$ 8,000$. $m$ Macwahoc Pl.; state aid. Both bridges built and maintained by state

Bridge Information, Table I-Continued.
Towns marked with a star (*) report joint bridges; tables II, III and IV must be consulted for details of ownership.


| Maxfield <br> Mayfield Pl <br> *Mechanics Falls | Pen. Som. And. | $\begin{array}{r} 31,730 \\ 99,750 \\ 861,089 \end{array}$ | 1 6 6 | $\begin{gathered} 30.00 \\ 580.00 \\ 5,510.00 \end{gathered}$ | - <br> 24.35 <br> - | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 | 30.00 250.00 $1,150.00$ | - | -1 4 -1 | 330.00 - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meddybemps. | Was. | 29,773 | $\dagger 1$ | 776.00 | 2.00 | - | - | - | - | $1{ }^{1}$ | 776.00 | - | - | - |
| Medford. | Pis. | 92,459 | 7 | 1,700.00 | 100.00 | 75.00 | - | - | - | 7 | 1,700.00 | 75.00 | - | - |
| Medway . | Pen. | 60,407 | 5 | 1,900.00 | 46.93 | 77.53 | - | - | - | 5 | 1,900.00 | 77.53 | - | - |
| *Mercer. | Som. | 169,496 | 25 | 2,595.00 | 200.00 | 200.00 | - | - | - | 10 | 740.00 | 150.00 | 15 | 1,855.00 |
| Merrill Pl. | Aro. | 86,182 | 4 | 375.00 | 32.33 | 38.00 | - | - | - | 4 | 375.00 | 32.33 | - | - |
| *Mexico. | Oxf. | 592,373 | 10 | i60,247.36 | 209.73 | 175.00 | 6 | i59,537.36 | 125.00 | 4 | 710.00 | 50.00 | - | - |
| *Milbridge. | Was. | 441,145 | 12 | 21,623.00 | 300.00 | 2,072.85 | 1 | 15,600.00 | 1,756.85 | 10 | 5,600.00 | 316.00 | 1 | 423.00 |
| *Milford. | Pen. | 464,095 | 13 | j5,050.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 5,050.00 | - | - | - |
| Millinocket. | Pen. | 864,643 | 4 | 5,700.00 | 200.00 | - | 1 | 3,000.00 | 50.00 | 3 | 2,700.00 | 150.00 | - | - |
| Milo. | Pis. | 990,639 | 24 | 30,050.00 | 132.22 | c220.00 | 2 | 13,000.00 | - | 21 | 16,850.00 | c220.00 | 1 | 200.00 |
| Milton Pl | Oxf. | 65,713 | 12 | 479.50 | - | - | - |  | - | 12 | 479.50 | - | - | - |
| *Minot. | And. | 346,748 | 27 | 14,516.70 | 73.38 | 217.98 | 5 | 8,441.70 | d48.45 | 22 | 6,075.00 | 231.83 | - | - |
| Monhegan Pl. | Lin. | 38,475 | No | e | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Monmouth. | Ken. | 652,170 | 32 | 5,990.00 | 197.38 | 366.66 | - | - | - | 32 | 5,490.00 | 366.66 | 1 | 500.00 |
| Monroe. | Wal. | 285,163 | 23 | 7,443.49 | 150.00 | 160.41 | 1. | 1,511.63 | - | 22 | 5,931.86 | 160.41 |  | - |
| Monson | Pis. | 256,748 | 21 | 1,706 00 |  | $a 50.00$ | - | - | - | 21 | 1,705.00 | $a 50.00$ | - | - |
| Monticello | Aro. | 386,618 | 7 | $l 4,250.00$ | 35.00 |  | - | - | - | 7. | $l 4,250.00$ | - | - | - |
| Montville. | Wal. | 305,865 | 22 | 2,260.00 | 200.00 | 190.00 | 1 | 250.00 | - | 21 | 2,010.00 | 190.00 | - | - |
| Moose River Pl. | Som. | 146,520 | 3 | 375.00 | a 25.00 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 375.00 | $a 25.00$ | - | - |
| *Moro Pl. | Aro. | 55,964 | 7 | 1,535.00 |  | - | - | - | - | 7 | 1,535.00 | - | - | - |
| Morrill. | Wal. | 123,006 | 8 | 2,345.00 | 75.00 | - | - | $\rightarrow$ | - | 6. | 2,140.00 | - | 2 | 205.00 |

Bridge Information, Table I-Continued.
Towns marked with a star (*) report joint bridges; tables II, III and IV must be consulted for details of ownership.

| Town, |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sterl Bridges |  |  | Wooden Bridges. |  |  | Stone or Concrete Bridges. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moscow. | Som. | \$126,839 | 23 | $s \$ 3,129.00$ | \$92.50 | - | 1 | \$2,150.00 | a $\$ 45.00$ | 21 | \$829.00 | $a \$ 47.50$ | 1 | \$150.00 |
| Mt. Chase. | Pen. | 68,156 | 3 | 800.00 |  | - | - | - | - | 3 | 800.00 | - | -1 | - |
| Mt. Desert. | Han. | 1,875,243 | 13 | 5,850.00 | - | - | 2 | 1,100.00 | - | 7 | 2,300.00 | - | 4 | 2,450.00 |
| *Mt. Vernon. | Ken. | 335,079 | 18 | 3,400.00 | 257.00 | - | - | - | - | 18 | 3,400.00 | - | - | - |
| Muscle Ridge Pl. | Kno. | 35,000 | Non | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| *Naples.... | Cum. | 274,597, | 17 | 13,725.00 | 85.75 | - | 1 | 6,000.00 | 25.00 | 15 | 7,425.00 | 60.75 | 1 | 300.00 |
| Nashville Pl. | Aro. | 99,180 | 1 | 25.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 25.00 | - | - | - |
| Newburg. | Pen. | 266,817 | 8 | 676.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 676.00 | - | - | - |
| New Canada Pl. | Aro. | 49,579 | 5 | 1,800.00 | 200.00 | 200.00 | - | - | - | 5 | 1,800.00 | 200.00 | - | - |
| *Newcastle. | Lin. | 681,740 | 20 | 18,675.00 | 845.00 | 316.68 | 1 | 4,875.00 | - | 18 | 13,600.00 | 316.66 | 1 | 200.00 |
| *Newfield. | Yor. | 222,990 | 21 | 6,105.00 | 40.00 | 58.33 | 1 | 600.00 | - | 17 | 4,755.00 | 58.33 | 3 | 750.00 |
| New Gloucester. | Cum. | 1,270,951 | 20 | 7,800.00 | 30.00 | - | - | - | - | 20 | 7,800.00 | - | - | - |
| New Limerick. | Aro. | 162,096 | 9 | f4,503.97 | 52.92 | 45.04 | 1 | 953.97 | a37.62 | 8 | f3,550.00 | 45.04 | - | - |
| *Newport. | Pen. | 709,638 | 9 | 21,008.55 | 406.34 | 439.49 | 5 | 17,269.70 | 173.95 | 4 | 3,738.85 | 265.54 | - | - |
| New Portland. | Som. | 271,662 | 24 | 15,350.00 | 350.00 | 385.00 | ${ }^{\circ} 2$ | 9,040.00 | - | $22^{\text {i }}$ | $6,310.00$ | 385.00 |  | - |


| Newry <br> *New Sharon. ..... <br> New Sweden. | Oxf. <br> Fra. <br> Aro. | $\begin{aligned} & 133,621 \\ & 391,540 \\ & 181,916 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 20 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,878.06 \\ 11,118.00 \\ 1.155 .00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 150.00 \\ \overline{14.00} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 100.00 \\ d 661.11 \end{gathered}$ | -1 <br> 1 <br> 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 223.00 \\ & 500.00 \end{aligned}$ | 100.00 | 13 19 8 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,878.06 \\ 10,895.00 \\ 655.00 \end{array}$ | 150.00 100.00 | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Vineyard. . <br> *Nobleboro <br> *Norridgewock. | Fra. Lin. Som. | $\begin{aligned} & 181,232 \\ & 241,786 \\ & 583,954 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & \ddagger \\ & \ddagger 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 850.00 \\ \text { No } \\ g 29,150.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 300.00 \\ \text { report. } \\ 733.42 \end{array}$ | - - 863.74 | - | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & 2,600.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ - \\ 576.68 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ -\quad 18 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 850.00 - $g 27,129.00$ | $\begin{gathered} 300.00 \\ - \\ 287.06 \end{gathered}$ | - | $750.00$ |
| *North Berwick. Northfield North Haven. | Yor. Was. Kno. | $\begin{array}{r} 744,709 \\ 43,085 \\ 260,468 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,100.00 \\ 225.00 \\ 3,700.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 316.49 \\ 5.00 \\ 20.00 \end{array}$ | 525.00 3.00 - | 1 - - | $2,500.00$ - |  | 20 3 1 | $\begin{gathered} 16,600.00 \\ 225.00 \\ 500.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 525.00 \\ 3.00 \\ a 20.00 \end{array}$ | - | $\overline{3,200.00}$ |
| *Northport. <br> North Yarmouth Norway | Wal. Cum. Oxf. | $\begin{array}{r} 331,501 \\ 327,489 \\ 1,313,026 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 8 \\ 44 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 825.00 \\ 4,550.00 \\ 9,557.43 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42.00 \\ 91,00 \\ 268.04 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.33 \\ 175.52 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ -4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  | a55. 28 | $\begin{array}{r}3 \\ 8 \\ 32 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 325.00 \\ 4,550.00 \\ 4,669.88 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.33 \\ 175.52 \\ a 212.76 \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{-} \\ & \overline{-}, 225.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| No. 6, No. of Weld Twp No. 14, Pl. <br> *No. 7. | Fra. <br> Was. <br> Han. | $\begin{aligned} & 93,505 \\ & 55,200 \\ & 51,526 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { No } \mathrm{n} \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70.00 \\ \text { ae } \\ 800.00 \end{array}$ |  | - | - | - - - | - | 3 -1 1 | $\begin{gathered} 70.00 \\ -800.00 \end{gathered}$ | - | - |  |
| No. 10... <br> No. 22... <br> No. 21 Pl . | Han. <br> Han. <br> Was. | $\begin{aligned} & 58,895 \\ & 45,041 \\ & 55,200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 1 \\ \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{r} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 250.00 \\ 200.00 \\ \text { report. } \end{array}$ | - | - | - |  | - | 2 | 250.00 200.00 | - | - | - |
| No. 33. . . . No. 3, R. 2. No. 1, R. 4. | Han. <br> Aro. <br> Aro: | 55,200 105,061 | $\begin{gathered} \text { No re } \\ 1 \\ \text { No } \end{gathered}$ | report. $40.00$ | - | - | - | - | - | - 1 | - 40.00 | - | - | - |
| No. 11, R. 4 No. 16, R. 4. No. 17, R. 4. | Aro. <br> Aro. <br> Aro. | $\begin{array}{r} 74,130 \\ 103,180 \\ 46,585 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{No}_{1} \\ 1 \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | $100.00$ | - | - | - | - | - | -1 -1 | ${ }^{-100.00}$ | - | - <br> - <br> - | - |

a Expended 1907. a Expended
suspension bridge spension bridge. $\quad s$ Moscow; state aid $\$ 500$, as $\$ 144,96$ averaged for 3 years $\$ 103$.
$f$ New Limerick; state aid $\$ 500$, in 1890 $\ddagger$ Nobleboro; report of maintenance

- New Portland; includes 1 wire

Bridge Information, Table I-Continued.
Towns marked with a star (*) report joint bridges; tables II, III and IV must be consulted for details of ownership.


| Oakfield..... Oakland..... *Old Orchard | Aro. <br> Ken. <br> Yor. | $\begin{array}{r} 104,060 \\ 992,858 \\ 1 \quad 085,105 \end{array}$ | 10 6 10 | $s 3,450.00$ 15,444.00 3,700.00 | $\begin{gathered} 5.00 \\ - \\ 25.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90.00 \\ & - \\ & 33.33 \end{aligned}$ | 1 3 1 | $2,000.00$ $13,244.00$ $1,150.00$ | - | 9 3 9 | $1,450.00$ $2,200.00$ $2,550.00$ | 90.00 -83.33 | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * Old Town. | Pen. | 2,061,885 | 14 | $\pm 83,851.00$ | 357.81 | 616.96 | - | - | - | 14 | 83,851.00 | 616.96 | - | - |
| Orient. | Aro. | 71,465 | 3 | 33.00 | 0.00 | 12.00 | - | - | - | 3 | 33.00 | 12.00 | - | - |
| *Orland. | Han. | 274,465 | 22 | 5,515.00 | 100.00 | 122.03 | 1 | 1,390.00 | c160.00 | 21 | 4,125.00 | 100.00 | - | - |
| Orneville. | Pis. | 108,365 | 7 | 380.00 | 40.00 | 47.50 | - | - | - | 7 | 380.00 | 47.50 | - | - |
| Orono. | Pen. | 1,087.804 | 1. | 8,000.00 | 150.00 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 8,000.00 | - | - | - |
| Orrington. | Pen. | 416,305 | 13 | 4,525.00 | 133.67 | - | - | - | - | 13 | 4,525.00 | 133.67 | - | - |
| Otis. | Han. | 36,812 | 11 | 1,780.00 | 0.00 | 45.00 | - | - | - | 11 | 1,780.00 | 45.00 | - | - |
| *Otisfield. | Cum. | 245,417 | 16 | 1,462.50 | 175.00 | - | 1 | 500.00 | - | 14 | 932.50 | - | 1 | 30.00 |
| Oxbow Pl.. | Aro. | 70,000 | 1 | 500.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 500.00 | - | - | - |
| * Oxford. | Oxf. | 480,546 | 24 | 23,925.00 | 381.10 | - | 2 | 5,500.00 | - | 22 | 18,425.00 | - | - | - |
| Palermo. | Wal. | 210,375 | 16 | 1,723.00 | 20.00 | - | - | - | - | 13 | 1,428.00 | - | 3 | 295.00 |
| Palymra. | Som. | 346,528 | 18 | 4,255.00 | 250.00 | 150.00 | 1 | 1,750.00 | - | 17 | 2,505.00 | 150.00 | - | - |
| Paris. | Oxf. | 1,421,674 | 36 | 12,450.00 | 400.00 | - | 4 | 6,450.00 | - | 32 | 6,000.00 | - | - | - |
| Parkman | Pis. | 232,882 | 15 | 4,750.00 | 88.75 | - | - | - | - | 15 | 4,750.00 | a88.75 | - | - |
| Parlin Pond Twp | Som. | 99,220 | 2 | 100.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 100.00 | - | - | - |
| *Parsonsfield. | Yor. | 446,706 | 40 | 6,835.00 | - | - | 21 | 5,410.00 | - | 19 | 1,425.00 | - | - | - |
| Passadumkeag | Pen. | 95,531 | 5 | 6,350.00 | - | - | 1 | $2,000.00$ | - | 4 | 4,350.00 | - | - | - |
| Patten. | Pen. | 598,215 | 20 | 2,701.00 | 380.00 | 265.00 | - | - | - | 20 | 2,701.00 | 265.00 | - | - |
| Pembroke | Was. | 340,674 | 14 | 9,376.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 2,876.00 | - | 1 | 6,500.00 |
| *Penobscot. | Han. | 266,907 | 6 | 1,805.00 | 53.75 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 630.00 | a30.00 | 3 | 1,175.00 |
| Perham. | Aro. | 158,746 | 8 | 560.00 |  | - | - | - | - | 8 | 560.00 | - | - | - |

$s$ Oakfield; state aid $\$ 1,250, \quad \ddagger$ Old Town; includes estimated total cost of the 2 bridges mentioned in chap. 42 P.L. 1907 . $c$ Orland; expended 1906 . a Expended 1907.

Bridge İnformation, Table I-Continued.
Towns marked with a star (*) report joint bridges; tables II, III and IV must be consulted for details of ownership.


| Prentiss.... <br> Presque Isle. <br> Princeton. | Pen. Aro. Was. | $\begin{array}{r} 98,061 \\ 1,980,818 \\ 258,235 \end{array}$ | 8 21 7 | $\begin{gathered} 1,225.00 \\ 20,275.00 \\ s 5,367.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r} 10.00 \\ 1,250.00 \\ \text { No data } \end{array}\right.$ | - 570.00 - | - 1 1 | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 5,000.00 \\ s 4,000.00 \end{gathered}$ | 70.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 20 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,225.00 \\ 15,275.00 \\ 1,367.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.00 \\ 500.00 \\ - \end{gathered}$ | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prospect. <br> *Randolph <br> Rangeley. | Wal. Ken. Fra. | $\begin{aligned} & 172,937 \\ & 299,755 \\ & 665,660 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,263.06 \\ f 12,590.00 \\ o 5,600.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 103.29 \\ - \\ 2,300.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 118.32 \\ 538.63 \\ 50.00 \end{array}$ | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 1,364.80 \\ f 11,440.00 \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $16.45$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,898.26 \\ & 1,150.00 \\ & 4,100.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 101.87 \\ - \\ 50.00 \end{gathered}$ | $-1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{-} \\ & \text { (,000.00 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Rangeley PI <br> *Raymond. <br> Readfield. | Fra. Cum. Ken. | $\begin{aligned} & 306,600 \\ & 246,783 \\ & 499.290 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ 5 \\ 51 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,000.00 \\ & 6,000.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.00 \\ & 300.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ 50.00 \\ 216.66 \end{array}$ | - | - | - | 5 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 5,000.00 \\ & 5,500.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \\ 216.66 \end{array}$ | $1$ | $500.00$ |
| Reed Pl... <br> *Richmond <br> Riley Pl... | Aro. Sag. Oxf. | $\begin{aligned} & 131,555 \\ & 996,938 \\ & 145,856 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ 21 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,500.00 \\ 20,370.00 \\ 575.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129.00 \\ & 205.10 \end{aligned}$ | $383.33$ | - | - |  | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 21 \\ 2 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,500.00 \\ 20,370.00 \\ 575.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} a 129.00 \\ 383.33 \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |
| *Ripley... Robbinston. Rockland.. | Som Was. Kno. | $\begin{array}{r} 142,816 \\ 148,697 \\ 5,679,038 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 33 \\ \text { No } \mathrm{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\text { e. } \begin{array}{r} 1,475.00 \\ 51,350.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \\ 150.00 \\ - \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} c 100.00 \\ 100.00 \end{array}$ | - | - |  | 6 33 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,475.00 \\ 51,350.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & g 75.00 \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ | - |  |
| Rockport..... <br> *Rome. <br> Rogue Bluffs. | Kno. Ken. Was. | $\begin{array}{r} 1,383,069 \\ 104,510 \\ 30,939 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16! \\ 8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40,000.00 \\ 3,700.00 \\ 850.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 500.00 \\ - \\ 40.00 \end{gathered}$ | 300.00 - | 5 | $23,600.00$ - - |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 8 \\ & \mathbf{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,100.00 \\ 3,700.00 \\ 850.00 \end{array}$ | $a 40,00$ | 2 - - | $3,300.00$ |
| Roxbury <br> *Rumford <br> *Saco.. | Oxf. <br> Oxf. <br> Yor. | $\begin{array}{r} 111,143 \\ 3,240,149 \\ 4,033,657 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ h 54 \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,825.00 \\ 77,550.42 \\ \ddagger 67,925.00 \end{array}$ | $1,462.21$ <br> 1.033 .29 | $\begin{aligned} & 150.00 \\ & 266.66 \\ & 437.73 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | $\begin{array}{r} 3,000.00 \\ 61,396.25 \\ 67,075.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.00 \\ 90.75 \\ 354.54 \end{array}$ | 27 49 4 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,825.00 \\ 16,154.17 \\ 650.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 150.00 \\ 175.91 \\ 81.41 \end{gathered}$ | $1$ | $200.00$ |
| St. Agatha. <br> St. Albans. <br> St. Francis | Aro. <br> Som. <br> Aro. | $\begin{array}{r} 117,717 \\ 406,703 \\ 92,978 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 20 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} i 365.00 \\ 6,050.00 \\ 950.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.00 \\ & 150.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} c 50.00 \\ 233.33 \\ c 145.00 \end{array}$ | - -1 - | $900.00$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 17 \\ 8 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} i 365.00 \\ 4,175.00 \\ 950.00 \end{array}$ | c 145.00 | - <br> 2 <br> -1 | $975.00$ |

$\dagger$ Peru; includes Toll bridge between Mexico and Peru, a Expended 1907. © Expended 1906. $\quad \ddagger \ddagger$ Portland; includes Vaughan bridge under construction also county bridge between Portland and Falmouth. $s$ Princeton; includes $\$ 2,000$, State aid. f Randolph; includes $22 \%$ of cost
 and Old Orchard, also total cost of the joint R. R. and high way bridge. $i$ St. Agatha; State aid $\$ 250$.

Bridge Information, Table I--Continued.
Towns marked with a star (*) report joint bridges; tables II, III and IV must be consulted for details of ownership.


$d$ Expended 1905. c Expended 1906. o Shirley; includes new bridge built in 1907, cost $\$ 1,400$. $f$ Southport; Sheepscot bridge not included. See Wiscasset. $g$ Southport; these figures do not include $\$ 420$ per annum for draw tender. $\ddagger$ So. Portland; includes So. Portland's estimated cost for Vaughan bridge. $e$ Expended 1904. $h$ Standish; includes $\frac{1}{2}$ cost 2 bridges between Standish and Limington, also $\frac{1}{2}$ cost 1 bridge between Standish and Hollis, also $\frac{1}{2}$ cost 2 bridges between Standish and Windham, also $\frac{1}{3}$ cost 1 bridge between Standish and Gorham. 1907.

Bridge Information, Table I-Continued.
Towns marked with a star ( ${ }^{*}$ ) report joint bridges; tables II, III and IV must be consulted for details of ownership.



Bridge Information, Tadie I-Continued.
Towns marked with a star $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) report joint bridges; tables II, III and IV must be consulted for details of ownership.

| Town. | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\text { in }} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Steel Bridges. |  |  | Wooden Bridges. |  |  | Stone or Concrete Bridges. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Warren. | Kno. | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 839,256 \\ 283,867 \\ 271,606 \end{array}$ | 191325 | $\$ 19,013.92 \$ 1,000.00$ |  | \$1,000.00 | - | $\$ \$ 15,000.00$ | - | 191224 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 19,013.92 \\ 1,560.00 \\ 8,905.00 \end{array}$ | $81,000.00$ <br> 77.00 <br> 96.66 | $\begin{gathered} - \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 300.00$ |
| Washburn. | Aro. |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} s 16,560.00 \\ 9,205.00 \end{array}$ | \$1,000.00 | $\begin{gathered} 217.00 \\ 96.66 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ - \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Washington. | Kno. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington Twp | Fra. | $\begin{array}{rr} 5,292 & 5 \\ 365,383 & 50 \\ 307,012 & 40 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 360.00 \\ 1,431.27 \\ 17,053.73 \end{array}$ | - | $150.00$ | - <br> - |  | - | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 50 \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 360.00 \\ 1,431.27 \\ 3,945.00 \end{array}$ | $150.00$ | -1-18 | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & 6,100.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| *Waterboro. | Yor. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waterford. | Oxf. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Waterville. | Ken. | 6,278,494 | ${ }^{\circ} 16$ | $\begin{array}{r} \ddagger 46,349.00 \\ 2,380.00 \\ 2,100.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 833.33 \\ - \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 833.33 \\ - \\ 75.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3^{3} \\ - \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $32,224.00$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 10 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,750.00 \\ 1,630.00 \\ 2,100.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ - \\ \overline{75} \cdot 00 \end{gathered}$ | 13- | $\begin{aligned} & 375.00 \\ & 750.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Wayne. | Ken. | 234,111 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Webster. | And. | 545,952 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Webster Pl. | Pen. | 52,500 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 42 \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | 457.74 | $\begin{aligned} & 300.00 \\ & 620.00 \end{aligned}$ | $a 300.00$$50.00$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 1 \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $1,500.00$ | $d 37.50$ | 14110 | $\begin{array}{r} 457.74 \\ 7,230.00 \\ 1,395.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -\overline{0} \\ 300.00 \\ 50.00 \end{array}$ | - | - |
| Weld. | Fra. | 244,098 |  | 8,730.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wellington. | Pis. | 125,358 |  | 1,395.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Wells. | Yor. | 950,499 | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ 7 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 14,600.00 | 400.00 <br> 150.00 | $\begin{gathered} - \\ c 16.14 \\ c 125.00 \end{gathered}$ | - |  | - | 2871 | $\begin{array}{r} 14,600.00 \\ 126.72 \\ 1,200.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} c 16.14 \\ c 125.00 \end{array}$ | - | $150.00$ |
| Wesley... | Was. | 46,309 |  | 126.72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *West Bath. | Sag. | 163,571 |  | 1,350.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Westbrook. . . . <br> Westfield. ..... <br> *West Forks PI | Cum. Aro. Som. | $\begin{array}{r} 4,550,649 \\ 151,511 \\ 97,338 \end{array}$ | 9 7 1 | $29,200.00$ 665.00 $1,000.00$ | 185.00 12.00 - | 575.00 8.00 $-\quad$ | $-{ }_{-}^{3}$ | $22,000.00$ - - | 150.00 - - | 6 7 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 7,200.00 \\ 665.00 \\ 1,000.00 \end{array}$ | 35.00 8.00 - | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West Gardiner. <br> Westmanland Pl Weston | Ken. Aro. Aro. | $\begin{array}{r} 307,723 \\ 99,180 \\ 65,170 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \text { Non } \end{array}$ | $6,655.00$ 75.00 | 167.60 | 150.00 | - | - | - | 13 -1 | $6,655.00$ -75.00 | 150.00 | - | - |
| Westport. <br> Whitefield Whiting. | Lin. Lin. Was. | $\begin{array}{r} 91,159 \\ 415,983 \\ 100,847 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 29 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,250.00 \\ & 4,395.00 \\ & 1,475.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.00 \\ 250.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97.08 \\ \\ \\ 250.00 \end{array}$ | - | - | - | 3 29 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,250.00 \\ & 4,395.00 \\ & 1,475.00 \end{aligned}$ | 97.08 250.00 - | - | - |
| Whitneyville Williamsburg. Willimantic. | Was. Pis. Pis. | $\begin{aligned} & 55,093 \\ & 51,655 \\ & 81,833 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 11,780.00 <br> 150.00 <br> 4,825.00 | $175.00$ | $\begin{aligned} & 226.66 \\ & e 52.00 \end{aligned}$ | - | - |  | 3 8 | $\begin{array}{r} 11,780.00 \\ 150.00 \\ 4,825.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 226.66 \\ & e 52.00 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |
| Wilton... <br> *Windham <br> Windsor. . | Fra. Cum. Ken. | $\begin{array}{r} 950,212 \\ 1,098,155 \\ 251,441 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 31 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,200.00 \\ f 38,900.00 \\ 3,495.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,000.00 \\ 70.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,000.00 \\ 75.00 \end{array}$ | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,000.00 \\ 16,600.00 \\ - \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 20 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,200.00 \\ 18,300.00 \\ 3,495.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,000.00 \\ 75.00 \end{array}$ | 5 | $\overline{4,000.00}$ |
| Winn. <br> *Winslow <br> Winter Harbor. | Pen. Ken. Han. | $\begin{array}{r} 164,102 \\ 2,304,504 \\ 499,238 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 20 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 750.00 \\ g^{42,546.00} \\ 3,525.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & 66.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \dagger 80.00 \\ - \\ d 600.00 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | $g 33,421.00$ | - | 2 20 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 750.00 \\ 8,125.00 \\ 3,525.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \dagger 80.00 \\ - \\ d 600.00 \end{array}$ | 2 | $\stackrel{-}{1,000.00}$ |
| *Winterport. Winterville PI. Winthrop. | Wal. Aro. Ken. | $\begin{gathered} 564,219 \\ 88,320 \\ 1,293,511 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ \text { Non } \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,550.00 \\ h 4,350.00 \end{array}$ | 200.00 <br> 300.00 | $\begin{gathered} a 200.00 \\ - \\ 250.00 \end{gathered}$ | - - 1 | $800.00$ | - | 19 $-\quad 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,375.00 \\ & -3,250.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} a 200.00 \\ -\quad 250.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ -\quad 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,175.00 \\ -\quad 300.00 \end{gathered}$ |
| *Wiscasset. <br> Woodland. <br> Woodstock | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lin. } \\ & \text { Aro. } \\ & \text { Oxf. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 480,972 \\ & 237,133 \\ & 271.014 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 22 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \ddagger 32,925.00 \\ 1,755.00 \\ 895.39 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 500.00 \\ 47.21 \\ 54.10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 738.00 \\ & 200.00 \end{aligned}$ | - | $342.70$ | - | 23 22 24 | $\begin{array}{r} \ddagger \ddagger 3,925.00 \\ 1,755.00 \\ 535.29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 738.00 \\ 200.00 \\ 54.10 \end{array}$ | -1 <br> -1 | $17.40$ | includes Windhams fractional part of 4 bridges with Gorham. Also $\frac{1}{2}$ cost 2 bridges Windham and Standish. © expended Winn; averaged 1905 and 1906 .

 bor $4-20$; Southport $1-20$. $\$ 3,000$ of cost paid by above towns in same proportion as maintained.

Bridge Information，Table I－Concluded．
Towns marked with a star（＊）report joint bridges；tables II，III and IV must be consulted for details of ownership．

| Town． | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{8} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | . |  |  |  |  | Steel Bridges |  |  | Wooden Bridges． |  |  | STONE OR Concrete Bridges． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Woodville． | Pen． | \＄58，211 | 5 | \＄300．00 | － | － | ：－ | － | － | 5 | \＄300．00 | － | － | － |
| ＊Woolwich． | Sag． | 341，994 | 25 | 3，147．00 | \＄46．17 | － | － | － | － | 25 | 3，147．00 | － | － | － |
| Wyman Twp． | Fra． | 59.380 | 2 | 205.00 | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 205.00 | － | － | － |
| ＊Yarmouth． | Cum． | 1，486，238 | 7 | 14，900．00 | 800.00 | \＄433．33 | 4 | \＄12，500．00 | \＄233．33 | 3 | 2，400．00 | \＄200．00 | － | － |
| York． | Yor． | 2，497，337 | 27 | 27，785．00： | 1，100．00 | 2，800．00 |  | － | － | 27 | 27，785．00 | 2，800．00 | － | － |
| Totals． |  |  | 6.819 | 276，644．21 |  |  | 426 | ，718，859．63 |  | 6，088 | \＄2，273，876．74 |  | 3051 | ，907．90 |


553 Cities，towns，plantations and county commissioners for unorganized townships reported the total known or estimated cost of bridges as $\$ 5,276,64421$ 3 towns and 3 plantations made no report．

353 towns reported the total maintenance cost of bridges for the year 1907as $\$ 99,340.77$ ．
The same 353 towns reported the known or estimated cost of bridges，maintained by \＄99，340．77，as \＄3，926，011．39．
No maintenance cost was reported for stone or concrete bridges．

## BRIDGE，INFORMATION．

Table II－Tozons reporting bridges I50 feet and over in length，showing distribution as to length and cost．

| $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & =0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Over 400 feet． |  | 300 to 400 ft ． |  | 275 to 300 ft ． |  | 250 to 275 ft ． |  | 225 to 250 ft. |  | 200 to 225 ft ． |  | 175 to 200 ft ． |  | 150 to 175 ft ． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Town． |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\vec{t}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{4} \\ & \text { d } \\ & \underset{Z}{2} \end{aligned}$ | －8030 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 菏 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& } \\ & \text { 部 } \\ & \stackrel{y}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 菏 } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{n} \\ & \stackrel{y}{8} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{3} \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 守 } \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { 号 } \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | 㐫 | － |
| Addison． | Was． | 1 | \＄5，000．00 | － | － | 1 | \＄1，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | $a 3$ | \＄5，500．00 |
| Anson． | Som． | － | － | $b 2$ | \＄15，609．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| A，Range 7. | Pen． | － | － | 1 | 2，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Arrowsic． | Sag． | $\dagger 1-572 \mathrm{ft}$ ． | 5，000．00 | － | － | c1 | 1，556．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Ashland．． | Aro． | 1－418 ft | 5，000．00 | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄950．00 | － | － | － | － | ， | \＄1，500．00 | － | － |
| Atkinson． | Pis． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | $d 1$ | 3，000．00 | － | － |
| Auburn． | And． | $e 1-715 \mathrm{ft}$ ． | － |  | － |  | － |  | － |  |  |  | － |  | － |  | － |
| Auburn． | And． | $f 1-602 \mathrm{ft}$ ． | 81，735．37 | 1 | 7，474．44 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Augusta． | Ken． | $1-410 \mathrm{ft}$ ． | 60，377．00 | － | － | － | － |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Baileyville． | Was． |  | － | － | － | － | － | $g 1$ | 3，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Baldwin． | Cum． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  | － | － | － | － | － | $h 1$ | 3，000．00 |
| Bancroft． | Aro． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 3，600．00 |
| Bangor． | Pen． | $i 1-847 \mathrm{ft}$ ． | 75，332．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － |  | 14，000．00 | 1 | \＄8，500．00 | － | － | 1 | 4，000．00 |
| Baring ． | Was． | － | － | j1 | 2，200．00 | － | － | － |  |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Bath． | Sag． | $k 1-415 \mathrm{ft}$ ． | 800.00 | 11 | 2，400．00 | － | － | － | － |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |

 burg 300 ft ．${ }^{2}$ Bath；lawsuit now pending as to liability．

Bridge Information，Table II－Continued．
Towns reporting bridges 159 feet and over in length，showing distributions as to length and cost．

| Town． |  | Over 400 feet． |  | ｜ 300 to $400 \mathrm{ft}$.275 to 300 ft. |  |  |  | 250 to 275 ft ． |  | 225 to 250 ft ． |  | 200 to 225 ft ． |  | 175 to 200 ft ． |  | 150 to 175 ft ． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{B}{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 总 } \\ & \frac{0}{3} \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{*}{8}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \dot{8} \\ \frac{8}{g} \\ \underset{z}{z} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \underset{8}{8} \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{8}{8} \\ \frac{8}{3} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\leftrightarrow} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \dot{0} \\ \frac{0}{B} \\ B \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{5}{0} \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \frac{\stackrel{0}{0}}{\stackrel{0}{g}}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\mathbf{y}} \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { 发 } \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { 曷 } \\ & \text { 号 }\end{aligned}\right.$ | 8 | 它 | 808 | $$ | － |
| Belfast． | Wal． | \＄1－1，166ft． | \＄7，500．00 | － | － | 1 | \＄3，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | －： | － | － | － |
| Benton． | Ken． | － | － | $x 1$ | \＄3，585． 25 | － | － | $y 1$ | \＄16，770．12 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Bethel． | Oxf． | 1－401 ft． | 27，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Biddeford | Yor． | － | － | T12 | 20，875．00 | †1 | 8，500．00 | － | － | ${ }^{\circ} 1$ | 11，000．00 | $\dagger 1$ | 7，500．00 | 1 | 4，500．00 |  | － |
| Bingham | Som． | $m 1-477 \mathrm{ft}$ ． | 13，985．97 | － |  |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | ， | － | － |
| Blaine． | Aro． | － | － | － | －－ | － | － | － | － | 1 | 500.00 | 1 | 950.00 | － | － | － | － |
| Bluehill | Han． | － |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 500.00 | 2 | $3,200.00$ | － | － | － | － |
| Boothbay． | Lin． | 1－412 ft． | 1，800．00 |  | － |  | － |  | － |  |  |  |  |  | － |  | － |
| Boothbay．．W | Lin． | 1－563 ft． | 2，500．00 | － | － | 1 | 2，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Boothbay Harbor | Lin． |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Brewer． | Pen． | $p 1-847 \mathrm{ft}$ ． | 18，883．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Bridgewater． | Aro． | － | － | 1 | 1，500．00 |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Brooklin． | Han． | － | － | － |  | － | － | － | － | $d 1$ | 1，075．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Brooksville． | Han． | － | － | 1 | 1，500．00 |  | － | － | － | － |  |  | 1，000．00 |  | － | － | － |
| Brownfield． | Oxf． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | $\rightarrow$ | － | 1 | 2，500．00 | － | － | － | － |
| Brownville． | Pis． | － | － | －1 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 3，800．00 |  | － | － | － | 1 | 5，000．00 |


$x$ Benton; Fairfield reports bridge of this class costing $\$ 30,000$, but makes no report of its being joint bridge.
$y$ Benton; state aid, $\$ 6,000$,
$m$ Bingham; state aid $\$ 9,435.97$
 which was $2-7$ of the cost. Concord $\$ 2,000$. $\frac{1}{2}$ State aid $\$ 9,435.97$. Bingham $\$ 2,500$. $\quad n$ Boothbay; see Wiscasset for long sheepscot bridge, Boothbays
part $3-20$. o Boothbay Harbor; see Wiscasset for long Sheepscot bridge, Boothbay Harbor's part $4-20$. $p$ Brewer 1-5, Bangor $4-5$. $d$ Brooklin

 Pittsfield $\frac{1}{2}$. $t$ Calais $\frac{1}{2}$, New Brunswick $\frac{1}{2}$. $\quad r$ Burnham; one- Burnham $\quad u$ Two-Calais $\frac{1}{2}$, New Brunswick $\frac{1}{2}$. $\quad v$ Canton; toll bridge. $w$ Chesterville $\frac{1}{2}$, Farmington $\frac{1}{2}$,
 Concord $\$ 2,000$; Bingham $\$ 2,500 . \quad z$ Cornish $\frac{1}{2}$, Baldwin $\frac{1}{2}$. \& Cornish $\frac{1}{2}$, Hiram $\frac{1}{2}$. $\quad f$ Cushing $\frac{1}{2}$, Friendship $\frac{1}{2}$. oo Cyr Pl.; built by State. $g$ Damariscotta $\frac{1}{2}$, Newcastle $\frac{1}{2}$. a Dennysville $\frac{1}{2}$, Edmunds $\frac{1}{2}$. * b Detroit $\frac{1}{2}$, Pittsfield $\frac{1}{2}$.

Bridge Information，Table II－Continued．
Towns reponting bridges $10 n$ feet and over in length，showing distributions as to length and cost．

| Town． | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{3} \\ & \dot{B} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Over 400 feet． |  | $300 \text { to } 400 \mathrm{ft} \text {. }$ |  | 275 to 300 ft .250 to 275 ft .225 to 250 ft ． |  |  |  |  |  | 200 to 225 ft ． |  | 175 to 200 ft ． |  | 150 to 175 ft ． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 易 } \\ & \text { 㫛 } \\ & \text { 号 } \end{aligned}$ | \％ | 曹 | － | 㖆 | ＋ | 离 | $\stackrel{+}{8}$ | 定 | 808080 | 安 | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 安 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 呆 } \\ & \text { 号 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{+}{n} \\ & \stackrel{y}{8} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ | 奢 | \％ |
| Dover． | Pis． | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄4，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄10，000．00 |
| Dresden | Lin． | 1－454 ft． | － | － | － |  | － |  | － | － |  |  | － | － | － | － |  |
| Dresden． | Lin． | 1－402 ft． | \＄13，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄2，000．00 | －－ | － | － | － |
| Drew Pl． | Pen． | － | － | 1 | $\dagger \$ 10,000.00$ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Durham． | And． | － | － | cI | 7，400．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Eagle Lake Pl． | Aro | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | $d 1$ | \＄1，800．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| East Livermore． | And． | － | － | $e 1$ | 10，375．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| East Machias． | Was． | f1－649 ft． | 5，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1. | 1，400．00 | 2 | 26，600．00 | 1 | \＄1，500．00 | － | － |
| Eastport． | Was． | I1－940 ft． | 46，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Edgecomb．． | Lin． | g1－3，333 ft． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Edmunds．． | Was． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | $h 2$ | 587.50 |
| Eliot．．． | Yor． | $i 1-500 \mathrm{ft}$ ． | 2，400．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Elliottsville Pl | Pis． |  |  |  | － |  | － | － | － |  | － |  | － | － | － | 1 | 500.00 |



Bridge, Information, Table II-Continued.
Towns reporting bridges 150 feet and over in length, showing distribu tions as to length and cost.


| Limington. <br> Lisbon. . . <br> Littleton. | Yor. <br> And. <br> Aro. | - | - <br> - <br> - | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & -1 \\ & h 1 \\ & -\end{aligned}\right.$ | - $7,400.00$ - | $g 1$ | $6,000.00$ - - | - | - | - | - | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}- \\ - \\ -\end{gathered}\right.$ | - | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & -1 \\ & - \\ & -\end{aligned}\right.$ | - | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\ddagger \\ - \\ 1\end{array}\right\|$ | - - $2,700.00$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Livermore. | And. | - | - | $i 1$ | 9,625.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lovell. | Oxf. | $1-800 \mathrm{ft}$. | 5,000.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| " | " | $1-400 \mathrm{ft}$. | 2,000.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Machiasport. | Was. | $k 1-649 \mathrm{ft}$. | 5,000.00 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madison. | Som. | - | - | 12 | 26,218.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mapleton. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,000.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Masardis | Aro. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $m 1$ | 4,000.00 |
| Mattawamkeag. | Pen. | - | - | $n 1$ | 20,000.00 | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mexico. | Oxf. | ${ }^{\circ} 1-500 \mathrm{ft}$. | 30,000 00 |  | 18,000.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $q 1$ | 8,112.36 | - | - |
| Millbridge. | Was. | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $r 1$ | 15,000.00 | - | - | * | - |
| Milford. | Pen. | - | - | s1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Milo. | Pis. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 10,000.00 | - | - | 1 | 12,000.00 |
| Monticello. | Aro. | - - | - | $t 1$ | 2,000.00 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,000.00 |
| Newcastle | Lin. | $1-456 \mathrm{ft}$. | 2,000.00 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\dagger 2$ | 7,875.00 |
| Newport. . | Pen. | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{1}$ | 13,182.86 | - | - | - | - |
| New Portland. | Som. | - | - | -- | - | 1 | $4,000.00$ | -1 | - | - | - | 1-1 | - | -1 | 9,000.00, | - | -- |

$u$ Haynesville; state aid $\$ 600$.
v Hiram $\frac{1}{2}$, Cornish $\frac{1}{2}$.
$w$ One -Hollis $181 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ft}$. Buxton $185 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ft}$. Buxton makes no report.
One-Hollis 152 ft ., Buxton 233 ft . $\quad x$ Howland; one-state aid $\$ 20,000$; remainder, Howland $\frac{1}{2}$, Enfield $\frac{1}{2} \cdot y$ Jonesport $\frac{1}{2}$, Addison $\frac{1}{2}$. $a$ Kingfield; chain suspension bridge. $b$ Kingman; state aid $\$ 5,000$, county aid $\$ 3,000$. c Kittery; owned and operated by B. \& M. R.R as a toll bridge. d Kittery; owned and maintained by Atlantic Shore Line R.R. e Kittery $\frac{1}{2}$, Eliot $\frac{1}{2}$. f Leeds $\frac{1}{2}$, Turner $\frac{1}{2}$. Toll bridge. $\ddagger$ Lewiston $61 \frac{3}{6} \%$, Auburn $38 \frac{1}{4} \%$. © Lewiston $50 \%$, Auburn $50 \%$.



 ownership. $q$ Mexico $\frac{1}{2}$, Rumford $\frac{1}{2}$. $*$ Milbridge; joint bridge reported by Harrington in this class. $\quad r$ Millbridge; state aid, $1905 \$ 2,000 ;$ one
former appropriation $\$ 2,800$. Bridge was originally 871 ft. in length, center filled leaving a steel span at each end, 115 ft. and 90 ft. $s$ Milford; one of
 the two reported under Old Town. This bridge referred to in
$\frac{1}{2}$. Damariscotta $\frac{1}{2}$. $u$ Newport; two bridges classed as one.

Bridge Information，Table It－Continued．
Towns reporting bridges 100 feet and over in length，showing distribu tions as to length and cost．

| Town． | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{8} \\ & \dot{B} \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | Over 400 feet． |  | 300 to 400 ft ． |  | 275 to 300 ft ． |  | 250 to 275 ft ． |  | 225 to 250 ft ． |  | 200 to 225 ft .175 to 200 ft ． |  |  |  | 150 to 175 ft ． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 告 | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\text { Bg}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \dot{8} \\ \frac{\dot{d}}{y} \\ \frac{2}{z} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{0}$ | 㖋 | 淢 | 安 | 8 | 宝 | 䔍 | 安 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 芽 | － | 安 | 8 |
| New Sharon． | Fra． | － | － | － | － | $1 \$$ | \＄10，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Norridgewock． | Som． | 81－600 ft． | $\nu \$ 20,000.00$ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| North Haven． | Kno． | － | － | ＇－ | － | － | － | 2 | \＄3，700．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Old Town． | Pen． |  | $w x 46,000.00$ |  | \＄15，000．00 | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄15，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Orono． | Pen． | $1-400 \mathrm{ft}$ ． | 8，000．00 |  |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Paris． | Oxf． | － | － |  | － | －－ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄3，500．00 |
| Parsonsfield． | Yor． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | $y$ | － | $y 1$ | 1，500．00 |
| Perry． | Was． | － | － |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1，500．00 |
| Peru．． | Oxf． | $z$ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Phillips． | Fra． | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 3，000．00 | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄3，500．00 | － | － |
| Phippsburg． | Sag． | ＊ $1-415 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1，200．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Pittsfield． | Som． | － | － | － | － | － | － | $m 1$ | 7，500．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | $\dagger 1$ | 2，100．00 |
| Porter． | Oxf． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | $n 1$ | \＄1，500． | p1 | 1，750．00 | － | － |
| Portland． | Cum． | ${ }^{\circ} 1-975 \mathrm{ft}$ ． | 425，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |  |  | － | － |
| ＂． | ＂ | q1－1，134ft． | 25，000．00 |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| ＂ |  | 1－739 ft． | 8，868．00 |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| ＂ | － | 1－595 ft． | 130.924 .75 | － | － | － | － | － |  | － | － | － |  | － |  | － | － |


| Presque Isl <br> Randolph. . <br> Rangeley. . | Aro. <br> Ken. <br> Fra. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & s 1-884 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $8,000.00$ - <br> $11,440.00$ - <br> - 1 | - - <br> $1,000.00$ - | - | - | - | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}1 \\ - \\ -\end{gathered}\right.$ | $3,000.00$ - - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Robbinsto | Was. | - | - 1 | 8,000.00 | - | - | - - | - - | - | - | - | 2 | 00 |
| Rockport. | Kno. | - |  |  |  |  | - - | - _ | - |  | - | 2 | 26,500.00 |
| Rumford. | Oxf. | - |  |  |  | - |  | - - |  | 3 | 37,259.94 | $t 2$ | 22,329.40 |
| Saco. | Yor. | - | 12 | 13,750.00' $\\| 1$ | 7,500.00 | - | \$1 | 11,000.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| St. George | Kno |  |  | 1,000.00 - | - | 1 | 1,000.00 - |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| Sangerville | Pis. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | $b 1$ | 800.00 |
| Sebec. | Pis. | - |  | - - |  | - | - - | - - | - | c2 | 2,500.00 | - | - |
| Seboeis Pl | Pen |  |  |  |  |  | - 1 | 700.00 |  | - |  | - | - |
| Sedgewick. | Han. |  |  | - - |  | - |  | 1,075.00 | - | - |  | - | - |
| Skowhegan. | Som. | - |  |  |  |  |  | 34,063.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| South Berwick | Yor. | 1-592 ft. | 10,000.00 | - - |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | 3 | 27,771.00 |
| Southport. ...e | Lin. | 1-468 ft. | 5,800.00 | 1,300.00 |  |  |  | 500.00 |  | - |  | - | . 0 |
| South Portland. | Cum. | $f 1-975 \mathrm{ft}$. | 47,222.00 |  |  | - | - - | - - | - | - | - | - | - |
| South Thomaston. | Kno. | - | 1 | 5,000.00 - |  | - | - | - - |  | - |  | - | - |
| Standish. | Cum. | - | $g 1$ | 2,647.87 - | - |  | - | - | - |  |  | $g 1$ | 2,700.00 |
| Strong. | Fra. |  |  |  |  |  | 7,000.00, - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| $v$ Norridgewock; toll bridge. $\quad w x$ Old Town; these two bridges referred to in Chap. 402, P. L. 1907. Total estimated cost of both bridges given under cost of $w(\$ 46,000)$. $y$ Parsonsfield; Porter reports a joint bridge in each of these classes, not reported by Parsonsfield. See Porter. ${ }_{*}^{z}$ Peru; <br>  <br>  ford $\frac{1}{2}$, Mexico $\frac{1}{2}$. Saco; $\frac{1}{2}$ Biddeford. $z$ Saco; Biddeforl reports one bridge in this class. II One-Saco $\frac{1}{4}$, Biddeford $\frac{3}{2}$; one- Saco $\frac{1}{2}$, Biddeford $\frac{1}{2}$ <br>  steel cable suspension. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Bridge Information，Table II－Continued．
Towns reporting bridges； 150 feet and over in length，showing distributions as to length and cost．

| Town． | $\begin{gathered} \dot{3} \\ \stackrel{y y}{3} \end{gathered}$ | Over 400 feet． |  | 300 to 400 ft ． 2 |  | 275 to 300 ft ． | 250 to 275 ft ． |  | 225 to 250 ft ． |  | 200 to 225 ft ． |  | 175 to 200 ft ． |  | 150 to 175 ft ． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{8} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \text { B } \\ & \underset{y y y}{z} \end{aligned}$ | \％ |  |  | 荌 | 安 | － | 㝘 | 安 |  | － | 寅 | －8 | 安 | －80 |
| The Forks Pl．． | Som． | － | － | － | －－ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | il | \＄1，000．00 |
| Thomaston． | Kno． | － | － | $j 2$ | \＄9，400．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| ＇Topsham． | Sag． | $k 1-559 \mathrm{ft}$. | \＄8，000．00 | － | －－ | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | $\ddagger \ddagger 1$ | － | － | － | － | － |
| Trenton | Han． | l1－1000ft． | 5，000．00 | － | －－ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Turner． | And |  | － | $m 1$ | 11，490．00 | － | $n 1$ | \＄2，500．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Verona． | Han． | ${ }^{\circ} 1-901 \mathrm{ft}$ | 6，840．00 | － | －－ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Vinalhaven． | Kno． | － | － | － | －－ | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | \＄3，860．65 | － | －－ | 1 | 1，000．00 |
| Wade Pl． | Aro． | － | － | － | 1 | \＄400．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Warren | Kno． | － | － | $\dagger 1$ | 7，185．42 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 2，000．00 | － | － | － | － |
| Washburn． | Aro． | $p 1-444 \mathrm{ft}$ ． | 15，000．00 | － | －－－ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | －－－ | － | － |
| Waterville． | Ken． | $q^{1-550} \mathrm{ft}$ ． | 29，024．00 | － | －－ | － | － | $\rightarrow$ | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 4，200．00 |
| Wells． | Yor． | － | － | － | －－ | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 3，300．00 | 1 | \＄2，500．00 | － | － |
| West Forks PI． | Som． | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | $r 1$ | 1，000．00 |
| West Gardiner． | Ken． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | －－ | － | － | $s 1$ | 600.00 | － | － |
| Westport． | Lin． | － | － | － | －－ | － | 1 | 500.00 | 1 | \＄600．00 | － | － | I | － | － | － |



\footnotetext{
$i$ The Forks Pl.; West Forks $\frac{1}{2}$. $j$ One-Thomaston 126 ft ., Warren 196 ft . joint bridge in this class. $l$ Trenton; toll bridge. $\quad m$ Turner $3-5$, Greene ${ }^{2-5}$. $\quad n$ Turner; Leeds $\frac{1}{2}$, toll bridge o Yerona; Bucksport's part $\$ 8,160$. $\dagger$ Warren; Joint bridge with Thomaston. $\quad p$ Washburn; state aid $\$ 3,000$. $q$ Waterville; Winslow $1-5$. Figures for this bridge taken from ${ }_{112}$ Winslow's report. Gorham 75 ft. West Forks $\frac{1}{2}$, The Forks $\frac{1}{2}$. $s$ West Gardiner; Litchfield $\frac{1}{2}$. No Noport of a bridge of this class from Litchfield. $t$. Windhann 112 ft ., Gorham $75 \mathrm{ft}$. Gorham reports no joint bridges. $u$ One-Windham 84 ft , Gorham 89 ft . One- Windharm 112 ft , Gorham 75 ft . $v \mathrm{v}$ Winslow 1-5, Waterville 4-5. $\quad w$ Wiscasset; total cost given here State aid $\$ 17,000$ Proportional
follows:-Wiscasset
$8-20$, Edgecomb 4-20, Boothbay $3-20$, Boothbay Harbor 4-20, Southport 1-20.

66 Bridges over 400 feet in length estimated or known cost reported by town officers as $\$ 1,601,184.35$

| 119 | " | " | 300 | ، | " | " | " | " | " | " | ' | - | * | ، | * | 2,012,229,33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 135 | ${ }^{6}$ | " | 275 | ، | " | '4 | " | " | " | ، | " | " | " | '4 | ، | 2,074,678.23 |
| 153 | * | " | 250 | " | " | '6 | " | " | 16 | " | ' | * | ' | '، | " | 2,145,628.35 |
| 177 | '، | " | 225 | " | " | " | " | 4 | ، | " | " | * | ، | ، | ، | 2,301,441.35 |
| 205 | " | " | 200 | " | ، | ، | " | " | " | " | . | " | ' | . | ، | 2,433,949.86 |
| 236 | $1{ }^{\prime}$ | " | 175 | " | " | " | " | " | " | . | " | " | $\cdots$ | ${ }^{\prime}$ | ، | 2,567,692.16 |
| 301 | " | " | 150 | ' | " | ، | " | " | " | " | , | " | 4 |  | " | 2,808,545.91 |

$k$ Topsham 225 ft , Brunswick $334 \mathrm{ft} . \quad \ddagger \ddagger$ Topsham; Brunswick reports

[^7]
## BRIDGE INFORMATION.

Table III-Towns reporting bridges 45 feet to 150 feet in length, showing distribution as to length and cost.


a Addison; $\frac{1}{2}$ Jonesport, $\frac{1}{2}$ Addison. Jonesport makes no return of joint bridge in this class. $\quad b$ Alfred; Sanford, $\frac{1}{3}$ Alfred. $c$ Alna; ${ }_{3}$ Newcastle, $\frac{1}{3}$ Alna. Newcastle makes no return of joint bridge in this class. $d$ Amity; state aid $\$ 500$. e Bath; $\frac{1}{2}$ Brunswick, $\frac{1}{2}$ Bath, Brunswick makes no Somersworth, N. H., $\frac{1}{2}$ Berwick. $h$ Bigelow; $\frac{1}{2}$ Flagstaff Pl., $\frac{1}{2}$ Bigelow Pl. $i$ Boothbay; one- $\frac{1}{2}$ Boothbay Harbor. $j$ Boothbay Harbor; no joint bridge reported in this class.

Bridge Information，Table III－Continued．
Table III－Towns reporting bridges 45 feet to 150 feet in length，showing distribution as to length and cost．

| Town． | 家 | $125-150 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  | 100－125 ft． |  | $90-100 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  | $80-90 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  | 70－80 ft． |  | $60-70 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  | $50-60 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  | $45-50 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \text { 若 } \\ & \underset{Z}{Z} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { +ivi } \\ & \stackrel{6}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\dot{8}}{\frac{\dot{d}}{B}}$ | － | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 边 } \\ & \text { 合 } \\ & \text { 光 } \end{aligned}$ | ＋ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{4}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{E} \\ & \frac{3}{7} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \end{aligned}$ | 䓵 | 范 | 吕 | \％ | 芯 | $\stackrel{+}{6}$ |  |  |
| Bradley． | Pen． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄1，100 00 | － | － | 2 | \＄3，200 00 | 1 | \＄500 00 |
| Brighton Pl． | Som． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 10000 | － | － |
| Bristol． | Lin． | － | － | 1 | \＄4，000 00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Brooks． | Wal． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 50000 |
| Brownfield． | Oxf． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄150 00 | 2 | 1，500 00 | － | － |
| Brownville． | Pis． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 70000 | 1 | 2，000 00 | － | － | － | － |
| Brunswick． | Cum． | － | － | 1 | 1，200 00 | 1 | \＄900 00 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 50000 | 1 | 2，000 00 | － | － |
| Buckfield． | Oxf． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1，000 00 | 1 | 1，000 00 | 1 | 1，250 00 | 1 | 1，000 00 |
| Bucksport． | Han． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 300.00 |
| Burnham．． | Wal． | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1，500 00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1，000 00 | － | － |
| Byron． | Oxf． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 1，500 00 | － | － |
| Calais． | Was． | － | － | － | － | 1 | 2，000 00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Camden． | Kno． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 3 | 3，650 00 | － | － |
| Canaan． | Som． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | c1 | 60000 | － | － |
| Caribou ．．．．． | Aro． | － | － | ＿． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 3,00000 | 1 | 3,50000 |


| Carmel. Carrying Place Twp. Carthage. | Pen. Som. Fra. | - | - | - | - | - - - | - | -1 <br> 1 | - <br> - <br> 1,530 | 1 1 - | 1,00000 100 - | - - 3 | - <br> - <br> 3,677 | 1 | 20000 - - | 1 | 150 <br> - <br> - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cary Pl. | Aro. | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | $a 1$ | 70000 | 1 | 25000 | 1 | 40000 | - | - |
| Casco. | Cum. | - | - | $b 1$ | 60000 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | 1 | 43000 |
| Cherryfield. | Was. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 50000 | - | - | 1 | 40000 | - | - |
| Chesterville......... | Fra. | e1 | 1,500 00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 50000 | - | - |
| China. | Ken. | - | - | 1 | 50000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 50000 | - | - | - | - |
| Clinton. | Ken. | 1 | 8,000 00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 60000 | - | - | - | - |
| Codyville Pl......... | Was. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 10000 | - | - |
| Columbia. | Was. | - | - | 1 | 1,200 00 | 1 | 80000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 35000 |
| Concord. | Som. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 7500 | - | - |
| Connor Pl | Aro. | - | - | 1 | 3,500 00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Coplin Pl. | Fra. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 30000 | - | - | 1 | 22500 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Corinth | Pen. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,300 00 | 1. | 1,000 00 | 1 | 2,151 83 | - | - | - | - |
| Cornish. . | Yor. | $d 1$ | 1,200 00 | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cornville. | Som. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2,700 00 | - | - | 1 | 25000 | - | - |
| Crawford. | Was. | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 25000 | - | - |
| Crockertown Twp. . | Fra. | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 20000 |
| Crystal.. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 35000 | - | - | 1 | 35000 | - | - | - | - |
| Cyr Pl. | Aro. | $s 3$ | 1,500 00 | s1 | 50000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

$c$ Canaan; $\frac{1}{2}$ Skowhegan, $\frac{1}{2}$ Canaan. Skowhegan reports no joint bridge in this class.
$a$ Cary Pl.; state aid $\$ 400$. $\quad b$ Casco; $\frac{1}{2}$ Naples, $\frac{1}{2}$ Casco. Naples reports no joint bridge in this class. $\quad e$ Chesterville; $\frac{1}{2}$ Farmington, $\frac{1}{2}$ Chesterville. Farmington reports no joint bridge in this class. $d$ Cornish. $\frac{1}{2}$ Hiram, $\frac{1}{2}$ Cornish. $s$ Cyr; state aid $\$ 50.00$.

Bridge Information, Table III-Continued.
Table III-Towns reporting bridges 45 feet to 150 feet in length, showing distribution as to length and cost.


| Edmunds. Ellsworth. Eustis. . . | Was. <br> Han <br> Fra. | - | - | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r}d 2 \\ -e 2 \\ -\end{array}\right.$ | $3,235.00$ $7,279.00$ - | - | - | - <br> - <br> - | - | - - - | - | r\| $\begin{array}{r}\text { el } \\ 2\end{array}$ | - 500.00 $1,900.00$ | 1 | $1,350.00$ - - | -1 1 | 350.00 400.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{*}{*}$ Exeter. | Pen. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 650.00 |
| Fairfield. | Som. | 1 | 2,500 00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,500 00 | - | - | - | - |
| Falmouth. | Cum. | $f 1$ | 6,275 00 | 1 | 3,200 00 | 1 | 2,500 00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Farmington | Fra. | 1 | 1,000 00 | 1 | 1,000 00 | 1 | 2,500 00 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1,200 00 | 2 | 1,000 00 | 2 | 80000 |
| Flagstafi Pl. | Som. | $g 1$ | 1,350 00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fort Fairfield. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 50000 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Foxcroft. | Pis. | 1 | 7,000 00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Frankfort. | Wal. | - | - | 2 | 2,500 00 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 80000 | 1 | 1,000 00 | - | - | - | - |
| Freedom. | Wal. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 70000 | - | - |
| Freeman. | Fra. | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 25000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Freeport. | Cum. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,500 00 | 1 | 60000 | - | - | 1 | 60000 |
| Frenchville. | Aro. | - | - | $h 1$ | 25000 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 20000 | $i 2$ | 40000 | 1 | 17500 | - | - |
| Fryeburg. | Oxf. | 1 | 1,290 00 | 1 | 1,220 00 | - | - | 1 | 87000 | 2 | 2,600 00 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 13800 |
| Gardiner. | Ken. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,898 00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,500 00 | $j 1$ | 2,000 00 |
| Georgetown. | Sag. | - | - | 1 | 80000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Glenburn. | Pen. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,000 00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Gorham. . | Cum. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 6,500 00 | 1 | 2,000 00 |
| Gouldsboro. | Han. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 30000 | 1 | 50000 |

a Dennysville; 3-10 Edmunds, 7-10 Dennysville. $b$ Dennysville; $\frac{1}{2}$ Edmunds, $\frac{1}{2}$ Denny
 $j$ Gardiner; ${ }^{3}$ Gardiner, $\mathcal{L}$ L A. \& $\dot{\text { W }}$. Rway.

Bridge Information, Table III-Continued.
Table III-Towns reporting bridges 45 feet to 150 feet in length, showing distribution as to length and cost.


| Haynesville. Hermon. . . . Hiram | Aro. Pen. Oxf. | 1 <br> -1 <br> $e 1$ | 1,200 <br> - <br> 4,000 | - | $\rightarrow$ | - - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\stackrel{-}{650} 00$ | 1 -1 1 | 300 - 450 450 | $\overline{-1}$ | 80000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hollis. | Yor. | - | - | $y^{2}$ | 5,987 60 | - | - | g1 | 23189 | - | - | 1 | 1,500 00 | - | - | - | - |
| Houlton. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,500 00 | 1 | 60000 | - | - | 4 | 3,400 00 | - | - |
| Howland | Pen. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1,49300 | - | - | - | - |
| Hudson. | Pen. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,000 00 |
| Island Falls. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | - | - | $h 1$ | 80000 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,800 00 | - | - |
| Islesboro. | Wal. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 20000 | 1 | 6500 |
| Jackman Pl. | Som. | - | - | i1 | 2,000 00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 30000 | - | - |
| Jay. | Fra. | 1 | 6,000 00 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,300 00 | - | - | 1 | 6,34200 | 1 | 1,23700 | - | - |
| Jerusalem Twp. | Fra. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 30000 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jonesboro. | Was. | 1 | 2,452 36 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jonesport. | Was. | - | - | 1 | 1,000 00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kenduskeag. | Pen. | - | - | $j 2$ | $8,500.00$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kennebunk. | Yor. | - | - | 2 | 2,500.00 | - | - | $k 3$ | 13,000.00 | - | - | 1 | 5,000.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Kennebunkport. | Yor. | - | - | - | - | - | - | $k 2$ | 19,000.00 | 1 | 3,000.00 | 1 | 2,000.00 | 3 | 5,600.00 | 1 | 1,200.00 |
| Kingfield. | Fra. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 535.00 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 60.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Kittery.. | Yor. | 1 | 5,000.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 700.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Lebanon. | Yor. | - | - | $l 2$ | 5,000.00 | - | - | $m 1$ | 6,000.00 | - | - | $d 2$ | 3,800.00 | 1 | 800.00 | - | - |
| Levant. . | Pen. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 350.00 | 1 | 300.00 | 1 | 300.00 | - | - |

$f$ Guilford; $\frac{1}{2}$ Sangerville, $\frac{1}{2}$ Guilford. a Harpswell; $\frac{1}{2}$ Brunswick, $\frac{1}{2}$ Harpswell. Brunswick reports no joint bridge in this class. $b$ Harrington; $\frac{1}{2}$ Milbridge, $\frac{1}{2}$ Harrington. Milbridge reports no joint bridge in this class. c Hartford; $\frac{1}{2}$ Sumner, $\frac{1}{2}$ Hartford. Sumner reports no joint bridge in this class. $d$ Hartland; 34-45 Athens, 11-45 Hartland. Athens reports no joint bridge in this class. $e$ Hiram; $\frac{1}{2}$ Cornish, $\frac{1}{2}$ Hiram. $y$ Hollis; 58 ft. Standish, remainder Hollis. 1-48 ft. Buxton, remainder Hollis. Buxton makes no report. g Hollis; $36 \frac{1}{2}$ ft. Dayton, remainder Hollis. Dayton reports no joint bridge with Hollis in this class. $h$ Island Falls; state aid $\$ 400$, $i$ Jackman Pl.; state aid $\$ 2,000$. $j-1$ Kenduskeag; state aid $\$ 1,000$.
 mLebanon; $\frac{1}{2}$ Rochester N.H., $\frac{1}{2}$ Lebanon.

Bridge Information，Table III－Continued．
Table III－Towns reporting bridges 45 feet to 150 feet in length，showing distribution as to length and cost．

| Town． | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 125－150 ft． |  | 100－125 ft． |  | 90－100 ft． |  | 80－90 ft． |  | 70－80 ft． |  | 60－70 ft． |  | 50－60 ft． |  | 45－50 ft． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{8} \\ & \text { 最 } \\ & \text { 空 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 昏 } \\ \text { 品 } \end{gathered}$ | ＋80808080 | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\oplus} \\ & \text { 苗 } \\ & \text { 号 } \end{aligned}$ | ＋ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{4} \\ & \frac{0}{1} \\ & \frac{0}{7} \\ & \underset{z}{7} \end{aligned}$ | \％ | 产 | 808080 |  | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{4} \\ & \text { 㗊 } \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ | ＋ | 宮 | －i |
| Lexington Pl． | Som． | － | － | 1 | \＄2，000．00 | － | － | 1 | \＄1，500．00 | 1 | \＄1，200，00 | 1 | \＄1，000．00 | － | $\rightarrow$ | 1 | \＄800．00 |
| Limerick． | Yor． | － | － | － | － | $e 1$ | \＄350．00 | $e 1$ | 300.00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | e1 | 175.00 |
| Limestone． | Aro． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 300.00 |
| Limington． | Yor． | $f 1$ | \＄4，661．42 | － | － | 1 | 575.46 | － | － | － | － | $g 1$ | 310.00 | 1 | 8201.93 | － | － |
| Lincoln． | Pen． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 4，800．00 |
| Lincoln PI ． | Oxf． | － | － | $h 1$ | 2，896．00 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1，672．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Lisbon． | And． | 1 | 4，000．00 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 2，000．00 | 1 | 2，000．00 | 23 | 5，000．00 | $i j 3$ | 3，000．00 | － | － |
| Litchfield． | Ken． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  | $k 1$ | 900.00 | － | － | $k 2$ | 1，600．00 | $k 2$ | 1，000．00 |
| Lovell．． | Oxf． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 3 | 420.00 | － | － | － | － |
| Lowell． | Pen． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1，400．00 | － | － |
| Lubec． | Was． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 206.62 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Machias． | Was． | 1 | 700.00 | 1 | 3，000．00 | 1 | 700.00 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 400.00 | － | － | － | － |
| Macwahoc Pl．．． | Aro． | － | － | $a 1$ | 4，300．00 | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Madawaska． | Aro． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 625.00 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Madison．．．．．． | Som． | － | － | － | － | － | － | $\rightarrow$ | － | － | － | 1 | 1，000．00 | － | － | 1 | 400.00 |


| Madrid......... <br> Magalloway Pl. Manchester. | Fra. Oxf. Ken. | - | - | 2 <br> 1 <br> - | 700.00 $3,500.00$ - | - | - | 2 <br> - <br> - | 550.00 - - | - | - | 3 <br> 1 | $1,200.00$ $1,000.00$ | -1 -1 | ${ }^{700.00}$ | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mapleton. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 125.00 | - | - |
| Mariaville. | Han. | - | - | $b 1$ | 1,510.00 | - | - | - | - | c1 | 1,500.00 | - | - | $d 2$ | 1,600.00 | - | - |
| Marshfield. | Was. | - | - | 3 | 205.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 30.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Mars Hill. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,000.00 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 800.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Masardis. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 150.00 | - | - |
| Mechanic Falls. | And. | - | - | 3 | 4,660.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 300.00 | $e 1$ | 450.00 | - | - |
| Medway. | Pen. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,000.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mexico. | Oxf. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $1 m 2$ | 2,725.00 | - | - | $m 1$ | 700.00 | - | - |
| Milford. | Pen. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,300.00 | - | - | 1 | 300.00 | 2 | 1,400.00 |
| Millinocket | Pen. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,000.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Milo. | Pis. | - | - | 1 | 3,000.00 | 1 | 1,000.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minot. | And. | - | - | $n 2$ | 4,141.70 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 01 | 750.00 | o2 | 2,280.00 | - | - |
| Monroe. | Wal. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 500.00 | 3 | 1,700.00 | 1 | 1,511.63 | - | - |
| Monson. | Pis. | 1 | 750.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monticello. | Aro. | - | - | 1 | 400.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Moose River Pl. | Som. | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 1 | 150.00 | - | - |
| Moro Pl. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 800.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Morrill. | Wal. | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,500.00 | - | - | - | - |

 Standish reports no joint bridges in this class with Limington. $g$ Limington; $\frac{1}{2}$ Waterboro, $\frac{1}{2}$ Limington. Waterboro reports no joint bridges in this class. $h$ Lincoln P1.; state aid $\$ 1,500$. $i$ Lisbon; $1-\frac{1}{2}$ Topsham, $\frac{1}{2}$ Lisbon. Topsham reports no joint bridges in this class. is Lisbon; $1-\frac{k}{2}$ Bow-

 Watham reports no joint bridge in these classes.
no joint bridge in this class.
$n$ Minot; $; 1-31-112$ Poland, remainder Minot, $1-\frac{1}{2}$ of this class.

Bridge Information, Table III-Continued.
Table III-Towns reporting bridges 45 feet to 150 feet in length, showing distribution as to length and cost.


| No. 31 . Oakfield Oakland | Was. <br> Aro. <br> Ken. | - | - - $5,828.00$ | 1 <br> -1 | $\begin{array}{r} 500.00 \\ -\quad \\ 4,277.00 \end{array}$ | $\overline{-}$ | - ${ }_{\text {- }}^{\text {- }}$ | -1 - 2 | - - $4,139.00$ | hi | - 850.00 - | 1 | 200.00 | - | $\stackrel{-}{2,000.00}$ | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Old Orchard. | Yor. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,150.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Old Town. | Pen. | 2 | 2,100.00 | 1 | 1,000.00 | 1 | 4,000.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 500.00 | - | - |
| Orland. | Han. | - | - | - | - | - | . | - | - | j3 | 2,890.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orneville. | Pis. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100.00 | - | - |
| Orrington. | Pen. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 200.00 | - | - |
| Otisfield. | Cum. | - | - | 1 | 236.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $k 3$ | 703.00 |
| Oxbow Pl. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 500.00 | - | - | - | -- | - | - |
| Oxford. | Oxf. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 5,000.00 | 2 | 7,000.00 | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,500.00 | 1 | 1,200.00 |
| Palermo. | Wal. | - | - | - | - | - | 500 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 503.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Palmyra. | Som. | - | - | 2 | 2,750.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Paris | Oxf. | - | - | 1 | 1,350.00 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,100.00 | 3 | 1,700.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Parkman. | Pis. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,200.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Parsonsfield. | Yor. | - | - | 1 | 1,000.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1,000.00 | - | - |
| Passadumkeag. | Pen. | $e 1$ | 3,000.00 | 1 | 2,000.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pembroke. | Was. | - | ,00.00 | - | ,00.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1. | 6,500.00 | 1 | 1,000.00 | - | - |
| Perry . | Was. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 400.00 | - | - |
| Phillips. | Fra. | 1 | 400.00 | $f 2$ | 4,200.00 | - | - | 1 | 175.00 | 1 | 140.00 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Phippsburg. | Sag. | - | - | - | , | - | - | - | - | , | 200.00 | 1 | 200.00 | - | - | 1 | 125.00 |
| Pittsfield. | Som. | $g 3$ | 10,500.00 | 1 | 5,000.00 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | 1 | 4,000.00 |
| Pleasant Ridge | Som. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 150.00 | - | - | 1 | 125.00 | 1 | 100.00 |
| Plymouth..... | Pen. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,400.00 | - | - | 1 | 600.00 | - | - |

a Moscow; state aid $\$ 500$. $b$ Naples; $\frac{1}{2}$ Casco, $\frac{1}{2}$ Naples. Casco makes no report of joint bridge of this class. $c$ New Limerick; state aid $\$ 500$. $d$ Newport; part Plymouth. Plymouth makes no report of joint bridge in this class, $\quad$, Oakfield; state aid $\$ 500$. ${ }_{j}$ Orland; - Bucksport. Bucksport reports no joid; state aid $\$ 750$. $j$ Orland; - $\frac{1}{2}$ Bucksport. Bucksport reports no joint bridge of this class. $k$ Otisfield; 2-1 Harrison, $\frac{1}{2}$ Otisfield. Harrison reports no joint bridge of joint bridge of this class.

Bridge Information，Table III－Continued．
Table III－Towns reporting bridges 45 feet to 150 feet in length，showing distribution as to length and cost．

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 125－150 ft． |  | $100-125 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  | 90－100 ft． |  | $80-90 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  | 70－80 ft． |  | 60－70 ft． |  | $50-60 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  | 45－50 ft． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ．Town． |  | $\begin{gathered} \dot{8} \\ \frac{0}{0} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{8} \\ & \dot{80} \end{aligned}$ |  | \％ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{3} \\ & \stackrel{y}{8} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 它 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{4}{3} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | （ |  | 宽 | 8 |  | 808080 | 这 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |
| Poland． | And． | － | － | 1 | 81，600．00 | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄2，100．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Portland． | Cum． | 1 | \＄7，998．38 | － | － | 1 | \＄22，040，00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | \＄4，500．00 | － | $\rightarrow$ |
| Presque Isle． | Aro． | － | － | 3 | 6，800．00 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 500.00 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Princeton． | Was． | $h 1$ | 4，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Rangeley． | Fra． | 1 | 2，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Riley Pl．． | Oxf． | － | － | 1 | 350.00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄225．00 | － | － | － | － |
| Robbinston． | Was． | － | － | 2 | 9，000．00 | 4 | 14，000．00 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1，000．00 | 5 | 2，100．00 | － | － |
| Rockport | Kno． | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1，500．00 | － | － | 1 | 1，800．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Rome．． | Ken． | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1，500．00 | － | － | － | － | － | $\rightarrow$ | － | － | － | － |
| Roque Bluffs | Was． | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄500．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Roxbury． | Oxf． | － | － | 1 | 3，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Rumford． | Oxf． | － | － | 3 | 9，001．08 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Saco．． | Yor． | al | 3，700．00 | $b 1$ | 30，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | c1 | 1，125．00 | － | － | － | － |
| St．Agatha． | Aro． | － | － | $d 1$ | 265.00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Salem． | Fra． | － | － | 1 | 500.00 | 1 | 300.00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄125．00 |
| Sandy River | Fra． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 150．00 |
| Sanford．． | Yor． | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 5，000．00 | el | 2，000．00 | － | － | 2 | 5，000．00 | 1 | 3，500．00 |
| Sangerville． | Pis | $f 1$ | 1，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 ． | 5，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － |


| Searsmont. <br> Searsport. . <br> Shapleigh. | Wal. <br> Wal. <br> Yor. | - | - | - - - | - | - - - | - | - | - | -1 <br> - <br> - | - | 2 | 850.00 - - | -1 -1 | - 3,000.00 - | - - $i 1$ | $500.00$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Silver Ridge Pl. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 500.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Skowhegan. | Som. | 1 | 15,425.00 | 1 | 4,700.00 | - | - | - | - | 1 | $3,500.00$ | - | - | 1 | 2,000.00 | - | - |
| Smithfield. | Som. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 600.00 | - | - |
| Solon. | Som. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,200.00 | - | - |
| South Berwick. | Yor. | - | - | 1 | 2,000.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,694.00 | - | - | 2 | 2,940.00 |
| Southport. | Lin. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 75.00 | - | - |
| South Thomaston. | Kno. | - | - | 1 | 3,000.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stacyville Pl.. | Pen. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 250.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Standish... | Cum. | - | - | $j 2$ | 2,800.00 | - | - | - | - | $k 1$ | 725.00 | $k 1$ | 687.50 | - | - | - | - |
| Starks. | Som. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,000.00 | - | - | 1 | 2,297.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Steuben. | Was. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 700.00 | - | - | 1 | 500.00 | 1 | 900.00 |
| Stockholm Pl.. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 500.00 | 1 | 500.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Stockton Springs . | Wal. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,500.00 | - | - |
| Stonington. . . . . | Han. | - | - | 1 | 450.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stow.... | Oxf. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 400.00 | - | - | 1 | 400.00 |
| Strong. . . | Fra. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 657.00 | - | - |
| Sumner. | Oxf. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 147.00 |
| Temple. | Fra. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 600.00 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 75.00 | - | - |
| Thomaston. | Kno. | - | - | c1 | 2,000.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2,245.00 | 2 | 1,450.00 | - | - |
| Thorndike. | Wal. | - | - | - | , | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100.00 | - | , | 1 | 796.85 | - | - |
| Topsfield. . | Was. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -1 | - | - | - | 1 | 200.00 | - | - |

$h$ Princeton; state aid $\$ 2,000$. Saco; $\frac{1}{2}$ Biddeford. Biddeford reports no joint bridge in this class. $\quad b$ Saco; $\frac{1}{2}$ B. \& M. R. R. $\quad c$ Saco; $\frac{1}{2}$ Old Orchard. Old Orchard reports no joint bridge in this class. d St. Agatha; state aid $\$ 250$. $\quad$. $e$ Sanford; $\frac{1}{3}$ Alfred, $\frac{2}{}$ Sanford. $f$ Sangerville; $\frac{1}{2}$ Guilford, Guilford reports $\$ 6,000$ as cost of this bridge. $i$ Shapleigh; $\frac{1}{2}$ Newfield, $\frac{1}{2}$ Shapleigh. Newfield reports no joint bridge in this class. $\quad j$ Standish; $\frac{1}{2}$ Hollis, $\frac{1}{2}$ Standish. $k$ Standish; $\frac{1}{2}$ Windham, $\frac{7}{2}$ Standish. $l$ Thomaston; $65-124$ Warren, $59-124$ Thomaston. Warren reports no joint bridge of this class.

Bridge Information, Table III-Continued.
Table III-Towns reporting bridges 45 feet to $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ feet in length, showing distribution as to length and cost.

| Town. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \stackrel{U}{0} \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | 125-150 ft. |  | 100-125 ft. |  | 90-100ft. |  | 80-90 ft. |  | 70-80 ft. |  | 60-70 ft. |  | 50-60 ft. |  | 45-50 ft. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\mathbf{y}} \dot{8} \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\rightharpoonup_{0}^{\prime}} \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8}}{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{1} \\ & \stackrel{y}{4} \end{aligned}$ | - +ig | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\Delta} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \frac{3}{4} \end{aligned}$ | +i0 |  | - |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8} \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\Delta} \\ & \frac{0}{y} \\ & \text { 号 } \end{aligned}$ | 安 |
| Topsham. | Sag. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | \$1,900.00 | - | - | 1 | \$350.00 | 2 | \$607.00 | - | - |
| Tremont. | Han. | - | - | 1 | \$875.00 | - | - | 1 | 400.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trenton. | Han. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 600.00 | - | - |
| Turner. | And. | - | - | 2 | 4,000.00 | 1 | \$1,500.00 | 2 | 3,500.00 | 1 | \$1,500.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Union. | Kno. | - | - | - | - | - | - | $a 1$ | 3,300.00 | 2 | 1,462.00 | $b 3$ | 2,053.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Unity. | Wal. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 600.00 | 1 | 1,700.00 | 1 | 600.00 | 1 | 500.00 | 1 | \$200.00 |
| Upton. . | Oxf. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 350.00 | - | - |
| Van Buren. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 400.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Waldoboro. | Lin. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 4,453.00 | 1 | 3,200.00 | 2 | 5,600.00 | c2 | 3,900.00 | 1 | 3,320.00 |
| Wallagrass Pl | Aro. | - | - | 1 | 725.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 600.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Waltham. | Han. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,500.00 | - | - | 1 | 1,000.00 | 1 | 600.00 |
| Warren. | Kno. | - | - | 1 | 2,203.50 | 1 | 1,000.00 | - | - | 1 | 500.00 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,000.00 |
| Washington. | Kno. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,050.00 | 2 | 1,500.00 | - | - |
| Waterboro. | Yor. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100.00 | 1 | 92.00 | - | - | 1 | 150.00 | 1 | 78.28 | 1 | 92.24 |
| Waterford. | Oxf. | - | - | 1 | 2,260.75 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,243.30 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 1 | 1,340.00 | 2 | 1,888.00 | 1 | 500.00 |
| Waterville. | Ken. | - | - | 1 | 2,500.00 | - | - | 2 | 2,500.00 | 1 | 2,000.00 | - | - | 1 | 1,200.00 | - | - |
| Wayne. | Ken. | - | - | 1 | 300.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 400.00 |
| Webster. | And. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 800.00 | - | - | - | - |


| Wंeld....... <br> Westbrook. <br> Westfield | Fra. <br> Cum. <br> Aro. | - | - | -1 - | 8,000.00 | - - - | ${ }_{\substack{8,000.00 \\-}}$ | 1 | $\underset{\substack{6,000.00 \\-\\ \hline}}{\text { - }}$ | - | - | - - 1 | - - 350.00 | - | - | 1 - - | $1,500.00$ - - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West Gardiner. | Ken. | - | - | d1 | 700.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | e3 | 1,300.00 | - | - |
| Whitefield. | Lin. | - | - | 2 | 1,200.00 | 1 | 500.00 | 1 | 350.00 | 2 | 750.00 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 300.00 |
| Whitneyville. | Was. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,000.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Willimantic. | Pis. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,400.00 | - | - | 1 | 800.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Wilton. | Fra. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 2,550.00 | 2 | 950.00 |
| Windham. | Cum. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1-f2 | 5,500.00 | $f 1$ | 2,000.00 | 1 | 3,000.00 | 1 | 4,000.00 |
| Windsor. | Ken. | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 500.00 | - | - |
| Winslow. | Ken. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 400.00 | 1 | 500.00 | 1 | 1,000.00 | 1 | 1,000.00 | - | - | 1 | 300.00 |
| Winter Harbor | Han. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 500.00 | - | - |
| Winterport. | Wal. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,000.00 | - | - | 1 | 400.00 | - | - |
| Winthrop. | Ken. | - | - | - | - | - | - | $g 1$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Wiscassett. | Lin. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 300.00 | - | $\cdots$ | $h$. | 500.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Woolwich. | Sag. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $h 1$ | 380.00 | 1 | 450.00 | 1 | 100.00 |
| Yarmouth. | Cum. | 1 | 7,000.00 | 1 | 800.00 | - | - | 1 | 1,500.00 | $i 1$ | 1,000.00 | - | - | - | - | -1 | - |
| York. | Yor. | 1 | 2,000.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total |  | 55 | 0,050.16 | 125 | \$262,011.12 | 53 | \$137,314.61 | 69 | \$121,240.24 | 91 | \$97,527.46 | 126 | \$122,197. 31 | 173 | \$164,448.09 | 92 | \$68,535.85 |

\footnotetext{

 $i$ Yarmouth; $\frac{1}{2}$ Freeport, $\frac{1}{2}$ Yarmouth. Freeport reports no joint bridges in this class.


COMMISSIONER OF HIGHWAYS.

## BRIDGE INFORMATION－TABLE IV．

Towns reporting bridges 6 feet to 45 feet in length，showing distribution as to length and cost．

| Town． | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { 高 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 40－45 ft． |  | 35－40 ft． |  | 30－35 ft． |  | 25－30 ft． |  | 20－25 ft． |  | 15－20 ft． |  | 10－15 ft． |  | 6－10ft． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \dot{0} \\ \frac{0}{2} \\ \frac{1}{4} \\ \dot{4} \end{array}\right\|$ | ＋i80 |  | ＋ |  | 80808080 | 苞 | 䓢 |  | 8\％ | 产 | － | 告 | －80 | 宮 | ＋ |
| Abbot． | Pis． | 1. | \＄400．00 | － | － | － | － | － |  | 4 | \＄100．00 | 2 | \＄50．00 | 3 | \＄75．00 | 3 | \＄90．00 |
| Acton． | Yor． |  | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄650．00 | ${ }^{45}$ | 2，550．00 | $b 4$ | 6，725．00 | 5 | 775.00 | 2 | 400.00 |
| Addison． | Was． | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 250.00 | 2 | 250.00 | 1 | 100.00 | 2 | 175.00 | － | － |
| Albany． | Oxf． | － |  | 3 | \＄600．00 | 5 | \＄500．00 | 5 | 450.00 | 8 | 660.00 | 4 | 250.00 | 12 | 575.00 | － | － |
| Albion． | Ken． | 2 | 900.00 | 1. | 826.81 | － |  | － |  | 3 | 850.00 | 4 | 1，000．00 | 10 | 710.00 | 2 | 150.00 |
| Alexander． | Was． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 115.15 | c9 | 166.00 | － | － | － | － |
| Alfred． | Yor． | 2 | 1600.00 | 1. | 13，000．00 | 2 | 1，600．00 | 1 | 800.00 | 2 | 1，000．00 | $d 3$ | 550.00 | 1 | 30.00 | － | － |
| Alna． | Lin． | 1 | 300.00 | 1 | 350.00 | － | － | 2 | 450.00 | 3 | 600.00 | － |  | 1 | 75.00 | 3 | 150.00 |
| Alton． | Pen． | － | － | － | － | $e 1$ | 100.00 | － | － | － | － | 4 | 420.00 | 1. | 50.00 | 1 | 15.00 |
| Amherst． | Han． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 4 | 255.00 | 1 | 65.00 | － | － |
| Amity．． | Aro． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  | － | － | 1 | 125.00 | 2 | 125.00 | 5 | 180.00 |
| Andover． | Oxf． | 1 | 150.00 | － | － | 4 | 340.00 | 2 | 115.00 | 1 | 50.00 | ． 2 | 65.00 | 5 | 105.00 | 3 | 55.00 |
| Auson．． | Som． | － | － | － |  | － | － | － | － | 5 | 1，285．00 | 5 | 1，435．00 | 6 | 910.00 | 3 | 225.00 |
| Appleton． | Kno． | 1 | 150.00 | 1. | 150.00 | 1 | 150.00 | － | － | 7 | 465.00 | 4 | 190.00 | 5 | 165.00 | － | － |
| A，Range 2. | Aro． | － | － | － | － |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 ｜ | 40.00 | － | － |
| A，Range 5，Molu | Aro． | － | － | － | － | 1 | 150.00 | － | － | － | － | － |  |  | － | － | － |
| Argyle．．． | Pen． | － | － | － | － | f2 | 350.00 | － | － | 1 | 150.00 | － | － | 1 | 100.00 | － | － |
| Arrowsic． | Sag． | － | － |  | － |  | － | 1 | 200.00 | 3 | 300.00 | － | － | 1 | 75.00 | － | － |


| Ashland. <br> Athens. <br> Atkinson | Aro. Som. Pis. | $\begin{gathered} - \\ - \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $600.00$ | ${ }^{-}$ | $500.00$ | 2 3 1 | 140.09 235.00 275.00 | 2 | 85.09 150.00 $-\quad$ | 1 4 3 | 50.00 210.00 550.00 | 2 5 3 | 55.00 215.00 450.00 | 5 2 | 165.00 125.00 | -12 <br> 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 475.00 \\ & 115.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auburn. | And. | 1 | 575.00 | 1 | 525.00 | 1 | 1,800.09 | 7 | 4,800.03 | 7 | 4,403.00 | 7 | 1,900.00 | 13 | 1,832.33 | 4 | 400.00 |
| Augusta | Ken. | 2 | 6,3:0.00 | 2 | 7,166.00 | 1 | 1,500.00 | 1 | 815.03 | 2 | 1,050.00 | 9 | 4,254.03 | 7 | 2,418.00 | 4 | 1,340.00 |
| Avon. | Fra. | - | - | 1 | 50.00 | 2 | 386.09 | 2 | $80.0)$ | 3 | 415.00 | 5 | $155.0]$ | 12 | 655.00 | - | - |
| Baileyville. | Was. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 500.03 | 1 | 200.00 | 4 | 650.00 | 4. | 500.00 | - | - |
| Bald Mountain Twp. | Som. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 30.00 | - | - |
| Baldw:n. | Cum. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 150.00 | 22 | 2,200.00 | 3 | 210.00 |
| Barnard Pl. | Pis. | 1 | 20000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bath | Sag. | 3 | :,250.00 | - |  | g3 | 876.00 | 2 | 430.00 | 3 | 550.00 | h3 | 230.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Beddington. | Was. | 1 | 350.00 | - |  |  | - | - | - |  | - | 1 | 100.00 | 1 | 50.00 | - | - |
| B Ifast. | Wal. | 1 | 80.00 | 3 | 465.00 | $i 2$ | 651.00 | 2 | 225.00 | 4 | 455.00 | 4 | 215.00 | 5 | 165.00 | - | - |
| 13 Igrade. | Ken. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 350.00 | 2 | 250.00 | 12 | 1,350.00 | 10 | 350.00 |
| $B$ lmont . | Wal. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100.00 | - | - | 2 | 100.00 | 2 | 75.00 |
| Benton. | Ken. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 600.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 175.00 | 2 | 125.00 |
| Brwwick | Yor. | 5 | 2,500.00 | - | - | 1 | 200.00 | 1 | 300.00 | 2 | 150.00 | 6 | 550.00 | 7 | 450.00 | 4 | 173.95 |
| Bethel. | Oxf. | - | - | 1 | 400.00 | 2 | 500.00 | 1 | 500.00 | 6 | 1,460.00 | 6 | 535.00 | 25 | 1,515.00 | 30 | 960.00 |
| Biddeford. | Yor. | 1 | 5,000.00 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 350.00 |  | 16,132.09 |  | 425.03 | 4 | 1,150.00 | 1 | 50.00 |
| Bigelow Pl. | Som. | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | 3 | 100.00 | - | - |
| Bingham. | Som. | - | - | 1 | 400.00 | 2 | 300.00 | - | $-$ | 3 | 425.00 | 3 | 250.00 | 12 | 525.00 | 2. | 50.00 |

-SAVMHOIH HO QtNOISSINKOD
$a$ Acton; four bridges, Wakefield N. H., $\frac{1}{2}$. One-Newfield $\frac{b}{b}$ Acton; one bridge. Wakeficld, N. H., $\frac{1}{2}$. One Shapleigh $\frac{1}{2}$. Alexander lath reports this in a smaller class. $\quad h$ One-Bath $\frac{1}{2}$, Brunswick $\frac{1}{2}$. Brunswick reports no joint bridge in this class. $i$ One-Belfast $\frac{1}{2}$, Northport $\frac{1}{2}$. Northport reports this in a smaller class.

Bridge Information, Table IV-Continued.
Towns reporting bridges 6 feet to 45 feet in length, showing distribution as to length and cost.


$a$ Bowdoin. One-Lisbon $\frac{1}{2}$. One-Lisbon 2-5. $b$ One-Bremen $\frac{1}{2}$, Bristol $\frac{1}{2}$. Bristol reports no joint bridge of this class. One Bremen $\frac{1}{2}$ Damariscotta $\frac{1}{2}$. Damariscotta reports this in a smaller class. $c$ Burnham. One-State aid. $d$ Calais. One-Built by W. C. R. R. $e$ Two-Cambridge $\frac{1}{3}$, Ripley $\frac{7}{3}$. Ripley reports no joint bridge of this class. $f$ Cape Elizabeth $\frac{1}{2}$, Scarboro $\frac{1}{2}$. Scarboro reports no joint bridge of this class. $g$ Carthage; lengths estimated.

Bridge Information，Table IV－Continued．
Towns reporting bridges 6 feet to 45 feet in length，showing distribution as to length and cost．

| Town． | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{3} \\ & \text { 苛 } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | 40－45 ft． |  | $35-40 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  | 30－35 ft． |  | 25－30 ft． |  | 20－25 ft． |  | 15－20 ft． |  | 10－15 ft． |  | 6－10 ft． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\mathbf{\theta}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8} \end{aligned}$ |  | 若 | 芯 | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{8} \end{aligned}$ | 容 | － | 它 | － | 安 | － |  | － |
| Castine | Han． |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Castle Hill． | Aro． | － | － | － | － | － | －－ | － | － | － | － | 4 | \＄190．00 | － | － | － | － |
| Caswell Pl． | Aro． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄100．00 | 3 | \＄225．00 |
| Centerville． | Was． | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄504．16 | － | － | 1 | 465.00 | － | － | － | － |
| Chapman Pl． | Aro． | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 350.00 | － | － | 81 | 175.00 | 4 | 150.00 | － | － |
| Charleston． | Pen． | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 140．00 | － | － | 3 | 180.00 | 5 | 275.00 | 3 | 105.00 |
| Charlotte． | Was． | 1 | \＄300．00 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 150.00 | 1 | － | 1. | 50.00 | 4 | 170.00 | 6 | 300.00 |
| Chelsea． | Ken． | － | － | － |  | － | － | 3 | 700.00 | 1 | \＄75．00 | 3 | 410.00 | 3 | 180.00 | 1 | 350.00 |
| Cherryfield． | Was． | － | － | 1 | \＄300．00 | 1 | \＄250．00 | 1 | 250.00 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 200.00 | － | － |
| Chester． | Pen． | 1 | 400.00 | 1 | 300.00 | ， | － | 1 | 100.92 | － | － |  | － | － | － | － | － |
| Chesterville． | Fra． | － | － | 1 | 650.00 | 1 | 550.00 | $a 2$ | 550.00 | a3 | 625.00 | 2 | 250.00 | － |  | 2 | 300.00 |
| China． | Ken． | － | － | 2 | 700.00 | － | － | 5 | 2，200．00 | 3 | 1，200．00 | 2 | 800.00 | 4 | 550.00 | － | － |
| Clifton． | Pen． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 150.00 | 5 | 375.00 | 3 | 225.00 |
| Clinton． | Ken． | 2 | 1，900．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 275.00 | 3 | 280.00 | 10 | 460.00 | 5 | 180.00 |
| Codyville． | Was． | 1 | 100.00 | － | － | － | － | － | － |  | － | 1. | 25.00 | － | － | － | － |
| Columbia．．．． |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  | 550.00 |  | 150.00 |  |  |  | － |
| Columbia Falls． | Was． | 1 | 3，000．00 | － | － | － |  | 1 | ． | 2 | 1，300．00 | 1 | 1，000．00 | － |  | 1 | 500.00 |
| Concord．． | Som． | － | － | 1 | 106.92 | 1 | 50.00 | － | － | 5 | 87.00 | 8 | 127.00 | 9 | 82.00 | 8 | 51.00 |


| Connor Pl. <br> Cooper.... <br> Coplin Pl. . | Aro. <br> Was. <br> Fra. | - | - | -1 - - | - | -1 <br> -1 <br> 1 | $\overline{-175.00}$ | 1 <br> - <br> - | 300.00 - - | - | - | - $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 2\end{array}$ | 50.00 <br> - <br> 175.00 | 8 5 $-\quad$ | 890.00 185.00 $-\quad$ | 1 3 - | 50.00 70.00 $-\quad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\text { * Corinna. }}{ }$ | Pen. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1,800.00 | 2 | 1,000.00 | 2 | 600.00 | 4 | 1,500.00 | 2 | 700.00 | 2 | 300.00 |
| Corinth. | Pen. | 1 | 425.00 | 1 | 1,000.00 | 3 | 1,400.00 | - | - | 4. | 1,422.66 | 3 | 375.00 | 6 | 370.00 | 1 | 40.00 |
| Cornish | Yor | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 700.00 | 6 | 675.00 | 1 | 150.00 | 3 | 225.00 | 2 | 50.00 |
| Cornville. | Som. | 1 | 400.00 | 1 | 175.00 | 2 | 850.00 | - | - | 2 | 258.82 | 4 | 550.00 | 4 | 250.00 | 5 | 230.00 |
| Crawford. | Was. | 1 | 200.00 | 1 | 125.00 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 40.00 | - | - |
| Crockertown Twp. | Fra. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 125.00 | 1 | 75.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Crystal. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 150.00 | - | - | 1 | 150.00 | 3 | 55.00 | 3 | 65.00 | 1 | 15.00 |
| Cumberland. | Cum. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 1,040.00 | 2 | 325.00 | 2 | 550.00 | 1 | 500.00 |
| Cushing. | Kno. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 400.00 |  | - | - | - | 1 | 100.00 |
| Cutler | Was. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 875.00 | - | - | 2 | 230.00 | 3 | 545.00 | 8 | 1,475.00 | 5 | 750.00 |
| Cyr Pl. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 400.00 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| Dallas Pl. | Fra. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 150.00 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 60.00 | 1 | 60.00 | 2 | 45.00 |
| Damariscotta. | Lin. | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 500.00 | - | - | - | - | ${ }^{1}$ | 500.00 | - | - |
| Danforth. | Was. | - | - | - | - | c1 | 300.00 | - | - | 2 | 500.00 | 3 | 425.00 | 6 | 575.00 | 1 | 100.00 |
| Dayton. | Yor. | - | - | $d 1$ | 225.00 | - |  | 1 | 150.00 | $d 3$ | 500.00 | $d 5$ | 725.00 | 5 | 460.00 | 1 | 100.00 |
| Dead River Pl. | Som. | - | - | - | - |  | 900.00 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 50.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Deblois. | Was. | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | 1 | 50.09 | - | - | 1. | 40.00 |
| Dedham. | Han. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100.00 | 2 | 700.00 | 5 | 600.00 |

$\varepsilon$ Chapman Pl.; State aid. $a$ One-Chesterville $\frac{1}{2}$, New Sharon $\frac{1}{2}$. New Sharon reports no joint bridge in this class. One-Chesterville $\frac{1}{2}$, Vienna $b$ Damariscotta $\frac{1}{2}$, Bremen $\frac{1}{2}$. Bremen reports this in a larger class. $c$ Danforth $\frac{1}{2}$, Washington County $\frac{1}{2}$. $d-1 \frac{1}{2}$ Dayton $\frac{1}{2}$, Hollis $\frac{1}{2}$.

Bridge Information，Table IV－Continued．
Towns reporting bridges 6 feet to 45 feet in length，showing distribution as to length and cost．

| Town． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \underset{Z}{3} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 40－45 ft． |  | $35-40 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  | 30－35 ft． |  | 25－30 ft． |  | 20－25 ft． |  | 15－20 ft． |  | 10－15 ft． |  | $6-10 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\oplus} \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { 吕 } \\ & \text { 号 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 兌 | \％ | 号 | 苞 | 安 | \％ | 苍 | ＋808080 | 安 | ＋ | 安 | \％ | 容 | ＋ |
| Denmark． | Oxf． | 1 | \＄200．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄100．00 | 3 | \＄375．00 | － | － | － | － |
| Dennysville | Was． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 125.00 | 1 | 60.00 | 3 | \＄130．00 | － | － |
| Detroit． | Som． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 100.00 | 1 | 100.00 | 5 | 400.00 | － | － |
| Dexter． | Pen． | 1 | 300.00 | 1 | \＄200．00 | － | － | － | － | 4 | 800.00 | 6 | 1，250．00 | 9 | 2，100．00 | 4 | \＄1，075．00 |
| Dixfield． | Oxf． | － | － | 2 | 350.00 | 4 | \＄550．00 | 3 | \＄195．00 | 6 | 345.00 | 7 | 650.00 | 11 | 620.00 | 8 | 215.00 |
| Dixmont． | Pen． | － | － | － | － | 2 | 600.00 | 1 | 500.00 | 4 | 575.00 | 3 | 320.00 | 9 | $545.00^{\prime}$ | 4 | 195.00 |
| Dover． | Pis． | － | － | － | － | 1 | 500.00 | 1 | 300.00 | 3 | 700.00 | 2 | 750.00 | 8 | 2，000．00 | 1 | 182.00 |
| Dresden． | Lin． | － | － | － | － | － |  | 1 | 100.00 | 1 | 100.00 | ， |  | － | ， | － | － |
| Drew Pl． | Pen． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 100.00 | 1 | 75.00 | － | － | 2 | 80.00 |
| Durham | And． | － | － | － | － | －－ | － | 1 | 800.00 | 1 | 350.00 | 3 | 790.00 | 10 | 1，398．00 | 3 | 390.00 |
| E Pl | Aro． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  | － |  | － |  | 1 | 40.00 |  | － |
| Eagle Lake Pl．． | Aro． | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 50.00 | 1 | 25.00 | 4 | 68.00 | 3 | 171．00 | 3 | 48.00 |
| Eastbrook． | Han． | － | － | － | － | － |  | － | － | 2 | 365.00 | 2 | 225.00 | 1 | 55.00 | － | － |
| East Livermore | And． | － | － | － | － | 1 | 800.00 | 2 | 1，600．00 | 2 | 1，600．00 | 3 | 2，400．00 | 3 | 2，400．00 | － | － |
| East Machias． | Was． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 350.00 | 2 | 180.00 | 5 | 380.00 | 1 | 50.00 |
| Easton．． | Aго． | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1，200．00 | － | $\checkmark$ | $u 2$ | 165.00 | 3 | 275.00 | － | － |
| Eddington． | Pen． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  | 1 | 40.00 | 5 | 540.00 | 6 | 180.00 | 4 | 95.00 |
| Eden． | Han． | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1，000．00 | － | － | 3 | 1，850．00 | 8 | 4，350．00 | 611 | 3，525．00 | $-1$ | － |



Bridge Information, Table IV-Continued.
Towns reporting bridges 6 feet to 45 feet in length, showing distribution as to length and cost.


| Gray...... <br> Greenbush. <br> Greene. | Cum. <br> Pen. <br> And. | 1 -1 | $\begin{gathered} 3,500.00 \\ - \\ 125.00 \end{gathered}$ | 1 1 - | 300.00 697.89 - | 1 1 1 | 700.00 275.00 100.00 | - ${ }^{4}$ | 1,800.00 | 5 2 | $2,550.00$ 525.00 - | - $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ - \\ -\end{array}$ | $2,500.00$ 80.00 - | 7 4 1 | $1,350.00$ 150.00 50.00 | -1 - 5 | $290.00$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greenfield. | Pen. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 200.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Greenville. | Pis. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,200.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Greenwood. | Oxf. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 750.00 | 8 | 2,850.00 | 3 | 700.00 | 8 | 1,650.00 | 13 | 1,325.00 | 4 | 225.00 |
| Guilford | Pis. | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - | - | 3 | 56.40 | 2 | 31.87 | 7. | 104.44 | 1 | 10.29 |
| Hallowell. | Ken. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,200.00 | 1 | 400.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Hamlin Pl. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 800.00 | - | - | 1 | 400.00 | - | - |  | - | 4 | 375.00 |
| Hammond Pl | Aro. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 25.00 | - | - |
| Hampden. | Pen. | 1 | 335.00 | 1. | 375.00 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 500.00 | 4 | 400.00 | 8 | 1,890.00 | 2 | 1,575.00 |
| Hancock. | Han. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,000.00 | - | - | 1 | 200.00 | 1 | 275.00 | 1 | 150.00 |
| Hanover. | Oxf. | - | - | 1 | 200.00 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 400.00 | - | - | 1 | 50.00 | - | - |
| Harmony. | Som. | 1 | 500.00 | - |  | 1 | 225.00 | - | - | 5 | 1,500.00 | 6 | 575.00 | 12 | 895.00 | 1 | 50.00 |
| Harpswell. | Cum. | - | - | 1 | 350.00 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Harrington. | Was. | - | - | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - | 1 | 150.00 | 8 | 860.00 |  | 585.00 | - | - |
| Harrison. | Cum. | $a 1$ | 468.00 | 1 | 400.00 | 1 | 350.00 | 2 | 320.00 | 3 | 130.00 | 1 | 40.00 | 2 | 300.00 | - | - |
| Hartford. | Oxf. | $b 1$ | 25.00 | $b 2$ | 439.00 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 160.00 | 6 | 149.00 | 11 | 216.00 | - | - |
| Hartland. | Som. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,000.00 | - | - | c2 | 415.00 | 5 | 1,100.00 | 7 | 700.00 |
| Haynesville. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 1 | 100.00 |  | - | - | - |
| Hebron. | Oxf. | 1 | 500.00 | - | - | - | - | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 150.00 | 1 | 175.00 | e3 | 500.00 | 4 | 475.00 | 1 | 75.00 |

$y$ Friendship; Waldoboro $\frac{1}{3}$, Friendship $\frac{1}{2} . \quad a$ Harrison $\frac{1}{2}$, Otisfield $\frac{1}{2} . \quad b$ Hartford $\frac{1}{2}$, Sumner $\frac{1}{2} . \quad c$ One-Hartland $\frac{1}{2}$, Athens $\frac{1}{2}$. One--Hartland $\frac{1}{2}$, Pittsfield $\frac{1}{2}$. $d$ Hebron $\frac{1}{3}$, Oxford $\frac{1}{2}$. $\quad$ Two-Hebron $\frac{1}{2}$, Oxford $\frac{1}{2}$.

Bridge Information，Table IV－Continued．
Towns reporting bridges 6 feet to 45 feet in length，showing distribution as to length and cost．

| Town． | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 40－45 ft． |  | 35－40 ft． |  | 30－35 ft． |  | 25－30 ft． |  | 20－25 ft． |  | 15－20 ft． |  | 10－15 ft． |  | 6－10 ft． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 它 | 80808080 | 莒 | ＋808080 | $\begin{gathered} \dot{4} \\ \text { 会 } \\ \text { 号 } \end{gathered}$ | － | 易 | －80 | 蓸 | ＋80 | 安莒 | ＋ | 茄 | 8 | \％ | 80808080 |
| Hermon． | Pen． | － | － | － | － | 2 | \＄1，600．00 | － | － | 2 | \＄1，600．00 | 5 | \＄1，500．00 | 3 | \＄900．00 | 1 | \＄300．00 |
| Hersey． | Aro． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 300.00 | 1 | 200.00 | 4 | 750.00 | － | － |
| Highland Pl． | Som． | 1 | \＄200．00 | － | － | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | 3. | 180.00 | 3 | 65.00 | 3 | 65.00 | － | － |
| Hiram． | Oxf． | － | － | － | － | － | － | 3 | \＄105．00 | 1 | 30.00 | 4 | 435.00 | 6 | 435.00 | 1 | 325.00 |
| Hodgdon． | Aro． | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 345.00 | － | － | － |  | 6 | 107.00 | 4 | 55.00 |
| Holden | Pen． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 180.00 | 3 | 150.00 | 3. | 200.00 | － | － |
| Hollis． | Yor． | 1 | 207.90 | － | － | $f 1$ | 59.61 | 1 | 442.07 | 94 | 830.68 | 1 | 100.00 | 8 | 742.82 | 1 | 100.00 |
| Hope． | Kno． | － |  | － | － | － | － | 1 | 45.00 | 3 | 120.00 | 3 | 95.00 | 8 | 220.00 | 1. | 35.00 |
| Houlton． | Aro． | 1 | 500.00 | 4 | \＄3，950．00 | 2 | 1，150．00 | 2. | 600.00 | 4 | 1，150．00 | 3 | 850.00 | 8 | 2，300．00 | 3 | 310.00 |
| Howland． | Pen． | 1 | 600.00 | 1 | 350.00 | 1 | 1，500．00 | 2 | 500.00 | 4 | 850.00 | 2 | 350.00 | 1 | 75.00 | － | － |
| Hudson． | Pen． | － | － | － | － | 1 | 400.00 | 2 | 1，050．00 | － |  | 1 | 200.00 | 3 | 375.00 | 1 | 75.00 |
| Industry． | Fra． | 1 | 75.00 | － | － | 4 | 170.00 | － | － | 3 | 90.00 | 7 | 195.00 | 4 | 110.00 | 1 | 40.00 |
| Island Falls． | Aro． | － | － | － | － | 2 | 900.00 | 1 | 500.00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Islesboro．． | Wal． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 50.00 | 1 | 35.00 | 1 | 35.00 | 1 | 16.00 |
| Jackman Pl．． | Som． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 25.00 |
| Jackson． | Wal． | － | － | 1 | 500.00 | － | － | － | － | 2 | 475.00 | 7 | 835.00 | 1 | 125.00 | － | － |
| Jay． | Fra． | 1 | 500.00 | 1 | 400.00 | － | － | － | － | 4 | 1，360．00 | 5 | 950.00 | 4 | 600.00 | － | － |
| Jefferson． | Lin， | － | － | 1 | 1，200．00 | 1 | 400.00 | 2 | 700.00 | 6 | 2，200．00 | 5 | 1，000．00 | 4 | 550.00 | － | － |


| Jerubalem Twp... <br> Johnson Mt. Twp.. <br> Jonesboro | Fra. <br> Som. <br> Was. | $-1$ | 150.00 - - | -1 - - | - | -1 <br> - <br> - | - | 1 <br> - <br> - | 100.00 - - | 3 3 - | 280.00 90.00 $-\quad$ | $-{ }^{2}$ | 150.00 - - | - ${ }^{9}$ | 377.00 -60.00 | -1 <br> 1 <br> 5 | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 20.00 \\ 415.00 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jonesport. | Was. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 369.88 | - | - | 1 | 350.00 | - | - | 1 | 250.00 | 1 | 150.00 |
| Kenduskeag. | Pen. | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 350.00 | 4 | 375.00 | 1. | 50.00 |
| Kenuebunk. | Yor. | - | - | $a 1$ | 1,000.00 | 64 | 6,000.00 | 2 | 2,700.00 | a3 | 1,100.00 | c4 | 1,600.00 | 3 | 550.00 | - | - |
| Kennebunkport. | Yor. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 400.00 | 2 | 2,000.00 | 4 | 3,000.00 | 8 | 5,900.00 | , | 4,450.00 | 6 | 2,350.00 |
| Kingfield. | Fra. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 65.00 | - | - | 5 | 180.00 | 3 | 75.00 | 6 | 185.00 | 3 | 50.00 |
| Kingsbury Pl. | Pis. | 1. | 200.00 | 1 | 200.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 110.00 | 2 | 50.00 |
| Kittery | Yor. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 200.00 | 1. | 200.00 | 1 | 150.00 | - | - |
| Knox. | Wal. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1. | 200.00 | 6 | 360.00 | 6 | 170.00 |
| Lagrange. | Pen. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 1,600.00 |
| Lakeville P1. | Pen. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 40.00 | 3 | 85.00 |
| Lambert Lake Twp. | Was. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 50.00 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| Lamoine. | Han. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,800.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Lang Pl.. | Fra. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 50.00 | 2 | 45.00 | 1 | 20.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lebanon. | Yor. | - | - | 2 | 1,500.00 | - | - | 3 | 1,050.00 | 11 | 2,550.00 | 6 | 1,775.00 | 8 | 1,040.00 | 3 | 375.00 |
| Lee | Pen. | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 2 | 400.00 | - | - | 3 | 650.00 | 10 | 915.00 |
| Leeds. | And. | 1 | 125.00 | 1 | 125.00 |  | 50.00 | 1 | 90.00 | - | - | 2 | 150.00 | 10 | 605.00 | 2 | 115.00 |
| Levant. | Pen. | - | - | - |  | 3 | 900.00 | 1 | 200.00 | 1 | 250.00 | 3 | 510.00 | 7 | 390.00 | 9 | 285.00 |
| Lexington Pl.. | Som. | - | - | - | - 1 | 2 | 1,000.00 | - | - | 1 | 175.00 | 1 | 100.00 | 10 | 580.00 | 3 | 115.00 |

$f$ Hollis; Dayton 16 $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ft}$., Hollis remainder. $g$ One-Hollis $\frac{1}{2}$, Dayton $\frac{1}{2}$. One-Dayton $14 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ft}$., Hollis remainder. $a$ Kennebunk; Kennebunkport $\frac{1}{2}$. $b$ Kennebunk. One-Wells $\frac{1}{2}$; two-Kennebunkport $\frac{1}{2}$. $\quad$ Kennebunk; Wells $\frac{1}{2}$. Kennebunkport reports no joint bridge in this class.

Bridge Information，Table IV－Continued．
Towns reporting bridges 6 feet to 45 feet in length，showing distribution as to length and cost．

| Town． | $\begin{gathered} \dot{0} \\ \stackrel{y}{0} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 40－45 ft． |  | 35－40 ft． |  | 30－35 ft． |  | 25－30 ft． |  | $20-25 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  | $15-20 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  | $10-15 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  | $6-10 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \text { 㽞 } \\ & \text { 号 } \end{aligned}$ | $\dot{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\Phi} \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \overrightarrow{7} \end{aligned}$ | 菏 | $\begin{gathered} \dot{4} \\ \text { 莒 } \\ \vdots \\ \text { 号 } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\circ}$ | 㐌 | ＋ | 守 | ＋ | 㙖 | － | 岗 | ＋ | 芯 | ＊ |
| Liberty． | Wal． | － | － | 2 | \＄250．00 | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄100．00 | 4 | \＄550．00 | 3 | \＄185．00 | 2 | \＄80．00 |
| Lily Bay Twp． | Pis． | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄200．00 | － |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Limerick． | Yor． | $d 1$ | \＄150．00 | － | － | 1 | 150.00 | 3 | \＄350．00 | 3 | 325.00 | 2 | 225.00 | 5 | 475.00 | 1. | 100.00 |
| Limestone． | Aro． | － | － | － | － | 3 | 950.00 | － | － | 5 | 775.00 | 2 | 175.00 | 5 | 335.00 | － | － |
| Limington． | Yor． | － | － | － | － | 1 | 150.00 | 1 | 130.00 | 3 | 374.75 | 2 | 175.00 | 5 | 320.25 | － | － |
| Lincoln． | Pen． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 3 | 285.00 | 4 | 910.00 | 3 | 305.00 | 1. | 35.00 |
| Lincoln Pl．． | Oxf． | － | － | 1 | 100.00 | － | － | － |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Lincolnville． | Wal． | 3 | 1，550．00 | － | －！ | － | － | 2 | 700.00 | － | － | 9 | 1，975．00 | 10 | 1，325．00 | － | － |
| Linneus． | Aro． | － | － | － | － | 1 | 250.00 | － |  | － | － | 2 | 350.00 | 7 | 1，325．00， | 2 | 200.00 |
| Lisbon． | And． | － | － | － |  | － | － | e1 | 750.00 | 3 | 2，300．00 | 5 | 2，100．00 | 5 | 1，800．00 | － | － |
| Litchfield | Ken． | 1 | 500.00 | 1 | 800.00 | － | － | － | － |  | 2，700．00． | 1 | 300.00 | 3 | 525.00 | 4 | 750.00 |
| Littleton． | Aro． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1. | 300.00 | － | － | 8 | 500.00 | ＋ | － |
| Livermore． | And． | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 500.00 | 1 | 125.00 | 1 | 100.00 | 9 | 1，000．00 | 5 | 375.00 |
| Lovell． | Oxf． | 5 | 600.00 | － | － | 1. | 100.00 | － | － | － |  |  |  | － | － | － | － |
| Lowell． | Pen． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 5 | 126.00 | 2 | 325.00 | － | － | － | － |
| Lubec． | Was． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 90.14 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Ludlow． | Aro． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 450.00 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Lyman． | Yor． | － | － | － | － | 1. | 900.00 | 1 | 700.00 | 2 | 1，400．00 | 4 | 1，800．00 | 4 | 600.00 | － | － |


$d$ Limerick $\frac{1}{2}$, Waterboro $\frac{1}{2}$. Waterboro reports no joint bridge in this class. e Lisbon $\frac{1}{2}$, Bowdoin $\frac{1}{2}$. $f$ Litchfield $\frac{1}{3}$, Richmond $\frac{3}{3}$. Richmond reports no joint bridge in this class.

Bridge Information，Table IV－Continued．
Towns reporting bridges 6 feet to 45 feet in length，showing distribution as to length and cost．

| Town． | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{3} \\ & \text { 믕 } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | 40－4．5 ft． |  | 35－40 ft． |  | 30－35 ft． |  | 25－30 ft． |  | 20－25 ft． |  | 15－20 ft． |  | $10-15 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  | 6－10 ft． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 守 | ＋ | 它 | ＋ | 这 | ＋ | 安 | ＋ | 突 | ＋ | 突 | O | 免 | 808880 | 安 | －8 |
| Mercer． | Som． | － | － | $y 2$ | \＄523．00 | － | － | 1. | \＄250．00 |  |  | － | － | 7 | \＄585．00 | 15 | \＄1，235．00 |
| Merrill Pl． | Aro． | － | － | 1 | 200.00 | － | － | － | － | 2 | \＄125．00 | 1 | \＄50．00 | － | － | － | － |
| Mexico． | Oxf． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 3 | 210.00 | 1 | 500.00 |
| Milbridge． | Was． | 1 | \＄1，500．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 3 | 2，650．00 | 6 | 1，450．00 | 1 | 423.00 |
| Milford | Pen． | 1. | 800.00 | 1 | 250.00 | － | － | － | － | 3 | 575.00 | 3 | 425.00 | － | － | － | － |
| Millinocket． | Pen． | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄2，000．00 | － | － | 1 | 500.00 | 1 | 200.00 | － | － | － | － |
| Milo． | Pis． | － | － | 1 | 100.00 | 2 | 575.00 | 1 | 500.00 | 2 | 1，400，00 | 1 | 200.00 | 3 | 350.00 | 10 | 925.00 |
| Milton Pl | Oxf． | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 140.00 | 3 | 128.00 | 1 | 42.00 | 6 | 169.50 | － | － |
| Minot． | And． | 1 | 1，500．00 | － | － | 2 | 1，500．00 | 3 | 1，400．00 | 1 | 250.00 | 4 | 750.00 | 9 | 1，200．00 | 2 | 225.00 |
| Monmouth ． | Ken． | 3 | 1，400．00 | 1 | 200.00 | 1 | 200.00 | － | － | 3 | 700.00 | 4 | 1，100．00 | 14 | 1，240．00 | 6 | 1，150．00 |
| Monroe． | Wal． | 3 | 942.27 | 1 | 664.59 | 1 | 300.00 | 1 | 250.00 | 5 | 750.00 | 3 | 450.00 | 4 | 375.00 | － | － |
| Monson． | Pis． | 1 | 100.00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 50.00 | 5 | 220.00 | 8 | 451.00 | 5 | 135.00 |
| Monticello． | Aro． | － | － | － | － | 1. | 300.00 | － | － | 2 | 400.00 | 1 | 150.00 | － | － | － | － |
| Montville． | Wal． | － | － | 1 | 225.00 | 3 | 600.00 | 3 | 525.00 | 2 | 200.00 | 4 | 200.00 | 5 | 350.00 | 4 | 160.00 |
| Moose River Pl | Som． | 1 | 125.00 | 1 | 100.00 | － | － | － | － | － |  | $\rightarrow$ | － | － | － | － | － |
| Moro Pl． | Aro． | 1 | 450.00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 140.00 | 2 | 115.00 | 1 | 30.00 | － | $\rightarrow$ |
| Morrill | Wal． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1. | 400.00 | 3 | 200.00 | 3 | 245.00 | － | － |
| Moscow． | Som． | － | － | 1 | 70.00 | 3 ｜ | 165.00 | 2 | 100.00 | 5 | 210.00 | 3 | 105.00 | 6 | 154.00 | 2 | 175.00 |


| Mt. Chase. . <br> Mt. Desert. <br> Mt. Vernon. | Pen. Han. Ken. | 1 -1 1 | $\begin{gathered} 500.00 \\ -\quad \circ \\ 350.00 \end{gathered}$ | - | - <br> - <br> 475.00 | - | - | - - 3 | $-$ | 1 1\| | $\begin{aligned} & 200.00 \\ & 700.00 \\ & 175.00 \end{aligned}$ | - $r_{1}^{11}$ | $2,200.00$ <br> $1,725.00$ | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \\ 1,550.00 \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ 1,400.00 \\ 50.00 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naples. . . . <br> Nashville Pl. <br> Newburgh. | Cum. <br> Aro. <br> Pen. | $\begin{gathered} a 1 \\ - \\ - \end{gathered}$ | 550.00 <br> - <br> - | - | 600.00 - - | 1 - - | 250.00 | - | - | 1 - - | 200.00 <br> - <br> - | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ -\quad 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 300.00 \\ & - \\ & 551.00 \end{aligned}$ | 3 1 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 175.00 \\ 25.00 \\ 125.00 \end{array}$ | $-{ }^{3}$ | 350.00 |
| New Canada Pl Newcastle. Newfield. | Aro. <br> Lin. <br> Yor. | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & b 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & 600.00 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $\underset{\sim}{2,200.00}$ | 1 1 - | 200.00 800.00 - | $\begin{gathered} - \\ -1 \end{gathered}$ | $\overline{500.00}$ | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ 3 \\ b 2 \end{array}$ | 2,400.00 <br> $1,200.00$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \overline{250.00} \\ 1,100.00 \end{array}$ | - ${ }^{-}$ | 700.00 $630.00$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ 450.00 \\ 1,075.00 \end{array}$ |
| New Gloucester. <br> New Limerick. <br> Newport...... | Cum. <br> Aro. <br> Pen. | - | - |  | - | 2 - | $1,453.97$ | 8 1 - | $\begin{gathered} 4,800.00 \\ 375.00 \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{775.00} \\ & 300.00 \end{aligned}$ | d12 3 $-\quad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,000.00 \\ 700.00 \end{array}$ | - | - | - | - |
| New Portland Newry....... New Sharon. | Som. Oxf. Fra. | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 250.00 \\ 42.55 \\ 298.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 4 \end{aligned} .$ | $\begin{array}{r} 125.00 \\ 39.63 \\ 275.00 \end{array}$ | 2 | -63.40 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 2 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 165.00 \\ 50.74 \\ 225.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 2 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 215.00 \\ 41.35 \\ 210.00 \end{array}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 55.00 \\ & 50.09 \\ & 90.00 \end{aligned}$ | 3 1 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 90.00 \\ & 15.30 \\ & 20.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| New Sweden. New Vineyard. Norridgewock. | Aro. <br> Fra. <br> Som. | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ -1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 300.00 \\ & -\quad 600.00 \end{aligned}$ | $3$ | $200.00$ | - | $500.00$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1} \\ & \mathbf{1} \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \\ 25.00 \\ 500.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ 5 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ 150.00 \\ 4,950.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 7 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 150.00 \\ 190.00 \\ 1,250.00 \end{array}$ | 2 13 $e 9$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80.00 \\ 275.00 \\ 1,350.00 \end{array}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 25.00 \\ & 10.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| North Berwick. Northfield. <br> Northport..... | Yor. Was. Wal. | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{3} \\ - \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $3,600.00$ - - | - | - | 4 -1 1 | $\begin{gathered} 4,200.00 \\ 150.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} f 5 \\ - \\ g 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,000.00 \\ - \\ 500.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,700.00 \\ 100.00 \\ 100.00 \end{array}$ | 3 1 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 950.00 \\ 75.00 \\ 75.00 \end{array}$ | 2 <br> 1 <br> - | $\begin{array}{r} 350.0_{0} \\ 50.0_{0} \end{array}$ |

$y$ Mercer $\frac{1}{2}$, Smithfield $\frac{1}{2}$. Smithfield reports no joint bridge of this class. a Naples $\frac{1}{2}$, Casco $\frac{1}{2}$. Casco reports no joint bridge in this class. $b$ New field $\frac{1}{2}$, Shapleigh $\frac{1}{2}$. Shapleigh reports no joint bridge in this class with Newfield. $c$ One-Newfield $\frac{1}{2}$, Acton $\frac{1}{2}$. $d$ New Gloucester; Iength averaged. $\boldsymbol{e}$ One-Norridgewock $\frac{1}{2}$, Fairfield $\frac{1}{2}$. Fairfield reports no joint bridge in this class. $f$ One-North Berwick $\frac{1}{2}$, Sanford $\frac{1}{2}$. $g$ Northport $\frac{1}{2}$, Belfast $\frac{1}{2}$. Belfast reports this in a smaller class.

Bridge Information, Table IV-Continued.
Towns reporting bridges 6 feet to 45 feet in length, showing distribution as to length and cost.


| Old Town. <br> Orient. . . . <br> Orland. . | Pen. <br> Aro. <br> Han. | -1 <br> -1 <br> 1 | - | -1 <br> -1 <br> 1 | 1,000.00 | - | - | 1 <br> -1 | 75.00 - 500.00 | -1 - 4 | - - 375.00 | - ${ }^{1}$ | 60.00 - 150.00 | 3 3 5 | 116.00 33.00 345.00 | -1 - 3 | 105.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orneville. | Pis. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 175.00 | 3 | 75.00 | 1 | 30.00 | - | - |
| Orrington | Pen. | - | - | 1 | 1,800.00 | 1 | 500.00 | 2 | 400.00 | - | - | 3 | 500.00 | 5 | 1,125.00 | - | - |
| Otis. | Han. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1. | 350.00 | 2 | 275.00 | 2 | 650.00 | 4 | 485.00 | 2 | 20.00 |
| Otisfield | Cum. | - | - | 1 | 79.00 | 1 | 74.00 | 1 | 56.00 | 3 | 146.50 | - | - | 4 | 120.00 | 2 | 48.00 |
| Oxford. | Oxf. | - | - | 2 | 1,150.00 | 1. | 650.00 | 2 | 1,450,00 | a5 | 2,900.00 | 5 | 1,600.00 | $a 2$ | 375.00 | 1 | 100.00 |
| Palermo. | Wal. | - | - | 1 | 250.00 | - | - | 2 | 225.00 | 2 | 105.00 | 3 | 290.00 | 7 | 350.00 | - | - |
| Palmyra | Som. | - | - | 1 | 35000 | 1 | 50.00 | 1 | 100.00 | - | - | 1 | 100.00 | 5 | 500.00 | 7 | 405.00 |
| Paris. | Oxf. | 2 | 1,200.00 | - | - | 4 | 1,200.00 | 3 | 700.00 | 1 | 200.00 | 5 | 375.00 | 5 | 375.00 | 10 | 750.00 |
| Parkman | Pis. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 600.00 | - | - | 1 | 100.00 | 4 | 1,700.00 | 8 | 1,150.00 | - | - |
| Parlin Pond Twp | Som. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 100.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Parsonsfield. | Yor. | 2 | 800.00 | - | - | 2 | 300.00 | - | - | - |  | 4 | 325.00 | 17 | 1,260.00 | 11 | 650.00 |
| Passadumkeag. | Pen. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 350.00 | 1. | 500.00 | 1 | 500.00 | - | - |
| Patten. | Pen. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 575.00 | 4 | 776.00 | 1 | 30.00 | 6 | 255.00 | 7 | 1,065.00 |
| Pembroke | Was. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 116.00 | - | - | 1 | 300.00 | 3 | 750.00 | 4 | 495.00 | 3 | 215.00 |
| Penobscot. | Han. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - \| | 1 | 280.00 | - | - | 65 | 1,525.00 |
| Perham. | Aro. | - | - | - |  | 1 | 100.00 | - | - | 1 | 150.00 | 3 | 200.00 | 2 | 80.00 | 1 | 30.00 |
| Perkins Twp | Fra. | - | - | 3 | 890.00 | - | - | - |  | - | - | 1 | 90.00 | 1 | 60.00 | 3 | 150.00 |
| Perry. | Was. | - | - | - | - | $\sim$ | - | 2 | 400.00 | 2 | 350.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

$\boldsymbol{h}$ No. 7, Hancock Co. $\frac{1}{2}$, Steuben $\frac{7}{2}$. Steuben reports no joint bridge of this class. $a$ Three-Oxford $\frac{1}{2}$, Hebron $\frac{1}{3}$. $\quad b$ Penobscot $\frac{3}{3}$, Castine $\frac{1}{3}$. Castine reports no bridge.

Bridge Information，Table IV－Continued．
Towns reporting bridges 6 feet to 45 feet in length，showing distribution as to length and cost．

| Town． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { i } \\ & \text { 荷 } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 40－45 ft |  | 35－40 ft． |  | 30－35 ft． |  | 25－30 ft． |  | $20-25 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  | $15-20 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  | $10-15 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  | 6－10 ft． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 岕 } \\ & \text { 菖 } \\ & \text { 㤐 } \end{aligned}$ | － |  | － |  | － | 虫 | 8080 | 安 | ＋ |  | ＋ | 宮 | ＋ | 容 | ＋i8 |
| Peru | Oxf． | 2 | \＄2，500．00 | 2 | \＄550．00 | 3 | \＄900．00 | － | － | 9 | \＄1，735．00 | 5 | \＄400．00 | 1 | \＄35．00 | － | － |
| Phillips． | Fra． | 1 | 85.00 | 2 | 153.00 | 3 | 197.00 | 2 | \＄102．00 | 4 | 173.00 | 10 | 334.00 | 10 | 269.00 | 3 | \＄48．00 |
| Phippsburg． | Sag． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 3 | 160.00 | 1 | 40.00 | 3 | 90.00 | － | － |
| Pittsfield． | Som． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 500.00 | 6 | 750.00 | 10 | 975.00 | － | － |
| Pittston | Ken． | － | － | － | － | 1 | 700.00 | 1 | 750.00 | 3 | 1，300．00 | 3 | 475.00 | 9 | 2，475．00 | 6 | 675.00 |
| Pleasant Ridge Pl． | Som． | 1 | 75.00 | － | － | － | － | 1. | 50.00 | － | － | 4 | 125.00 | 7 | 103.00 | 5 | 50.00 |
| Plymouth． | Pen． | － | － | － | － | 1 | 2，000．00 | － | － | c2 | 4，800．00 | 3 | 800.00 | 3 | 375.00 | － | － |
| Poland． | And． | － | － | － | － | 2 | 450.00 | － | － | 2 | 550.00 | 2 | 600.00 | d3 | 650.00 | － | － |
| Porter． | Oxf． | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 200.00 | 3 | 250.00 | 5 | 380.00 | 1 | 30.00 | 1 | 25.00 |
| Portland． | Cum． | － | － | 1 | 440.00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 1，499．53 | － | － |
| Pownal． | Cum． | － | － | － | － | 3 | 1，400．00 | 4 | 1，600．00 | 4 | 1，350．00 | 4 | 950.00 | 3 | 450.00 | 1 | 150.00 |
| Prentiss | Pen． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 250.00 | 5 | 775.00 | 2 | 200.00 | － | － |
| Presque Isle． | Aro． | 2 | 400.00 | － | － | － | － | 2 | 300.00 | 8 | 1，075．00 | 2 | 125.00 | 1. | 75.00 | － | － |
| Princeton． | Was． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 437.00 | 2 | 480.00 | 3 | 450.00 |
| Prospect． | Wal． | － | － | － | － | 2 | 1，263．06 | 1 | 250.00 | 3 | 575.00 | 4 | 700.00 | 4 | 475.00 | － | － |
| Randolph． | Ken． | － | － | $a 2$ | 1，150．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Rangeley． | Fra． | － | － | 1 | 1，000．00 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 75.00 | 2 | 1，525．00 | － | － | － | － |
| Raymond ． | Cum． | － | － | － | － | $b_{1}$ | 1，000．00 | 2 | 2，000．00 | 1 | 1，000．00 | $b 1$ | 1，000．00 | － | － | － | － |

COMMISSIONER OF HIGHWAYS．

| Readfield. <br> Reed Pl.. <br> Richmond | Ken. <br> Aro. <br> Sag. | $-$ | - | 1 | - - - | - | - | 1 1 3 | 650.00 200.00 $6,400.00$ | 1 <br> - <br> 5 | $\begin{gathered} 650.00 \\ - \\ 6,600.00 \end{gathered}$ | 2 3 8 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,000.00 \\ 375.00 \\ 4,600.00 \end{array}$ | 5 7 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,700.00 \\ 500.00 \\ 2,520.00 \end{array}$ | 2 2 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,000.00 \\ 125.00 \\ 250.00 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ripley. | Som. | - | - | 1 | 600.00 | - | - | 1 | 500.00 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 225.00 | 2 | 150.00 |
| Robbinston. | Was. | 9 | 4,500.00 | 1 | 200.00 | 3 | 1,400.00 | - | - | 1 | 250.00 | 2 | 200.00 | 2 | 200.00 | - | - |
| Rockport. | Kno. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1,300.00 | 2 | 1,700.00 | 2 | 2,500.00 | 6 | 4,700.00 | - | - |
| Rome. | Ken. | - | - | $f 1$ | 1,000.00 | - | - | - | - | $f 1$ | 500.00 | 1 | 300.00 | 2 | 200.00 | 2 | 200.00 |
| Roque Bluffs | Was. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 200.00 | 1 | 150.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Roxbury. | Oxf. | 1 | 175.00 | - | - | 1 | 125.00 | 1 | 125.00 | 1 | 160.00 | 2 | 185.00 | 11 | 690.00 | 10 | 365.00 |
| Rumford. | Oxf. | 1 | 600.00 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 450.00 | 11 | 3,025.00 | 7 | 1,250.00 | 26 | 3,635.00 | - | - |
| Saco. | Yor. | - | $\rightarrow$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 200.00 | 1 | 150.00 | 3 | 500.00 | - | - |
| St. Agatha. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 60.00 | 2 | 40.00 | - | - |
| St. Albans. | Som. | 1 | 500.00 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 900.00 |  | 1,625.00 | 12 | 2,925.00 | 1 | 100.00 | - | - |
| St. Francis. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 600.00 | - | - | 1 | 75.00 | 4 | 275.00 |
| St. George. | Kno. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1,300.00 | - | - |  |  |  | -- |
| St. John Pl. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 250.00 | 2 | 675.00 | 2 | 277.00 | - | - | 1 | 50.00 | - | - |
| Salem. | Fra. | 1 | 75.00 | - | - | 3 | 625.00 | 1 | 75.00 | - |  | 5 | 155.00 | 2 | 60.00 | - | - |
| Sandy River Pl.. | Fra. | - | - | 2 | 350.00 | 2 | 150.00 | 1 | 50.00 | 1 | 50.00 | 2 | 80.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Sandy Bay Twp. | Som. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 2 | 50.00 | 1 | 15.00 | 3 | 35.00 |
| Sanford. | Yor. | 1 | 2,000.00 | 1 | 5,000.00 | $g 2$ | 7,300.00 | h5 | 6,400.00 | 2 | 4,300.00 | 05 | $5,300.00$ | 1 | 200.00 |  | - |
| Sangerville. | Pis. | - |  | 1 | 175.00 | 2 | 250.00 | 1 | 150.00 | 3 | 325.00 | 1 | 75.00 | 4 | 225.00 | 3 | 150.00 |

$c$ One-Plymouth part, Newport part. Newport reports no joint bridge of this class. d Poland; one-Mechanic Falls $\frac{1}{2}$. Mechanic Falls does not so report. $\alpha$ Two-Randolph $\frac{1}{2}$, Pittston $\frac{1}{2}$. Pittston reports no joint bridge of this class. $b$ Raymond $\frac{1}{2}$, Casco $\frac{1}{2}$. Casco reports no such bridges. $f$ Rome $\frac{1}{2}$, Smithfield $\frac{1}{2}$. Smithfield reports no joint bridge of this class. $g$ One-Sanford $\frac{1}{2}$, Alfred $\frac{1}{2} . \quad h$ One-Sanford $\frac{1}{2}$, North Berwick $\frac{1}{2}$.

Bridge Information，Table IV－Continued．
Towns reporting bridges 6 feet to 45 feet in length，showing distribution as to length and cost．

| Town． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 启 } \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ | 40－45 ft． |  | 35－40 ft． |  | $30-35 \mathrm{ft}$ ． |  | 25－30 ft． |  | 20－25 ft． |  | 15－20 ft． |  | 10－15 ft． |  | 6－10 ft． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 岕 } \\ & \text { 足 } \\ & \text { 艺 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ＋ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{山} \\ & \text { 吕 } \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { z } \end{aligned}$ | ＋ | 违 | \％ |  | ＋80 | 岗 | $\stackrel{+}{8}$ | 喊 | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\rightharpoonup} \\ & \dot{8} \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | 灾 | － | 安 | － |
| Scarboro | Cum． | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄1，000．00 | － | － | 4. | \＄2，100．00 | 2 | \＄650．00 | 6 | \＄1，970．00 | 8 | \＄2，150．00 |
| Searsmont． | Wal． | 1 | \＄375．00 | 3. | \＄950．00 | 3 | 750.00 | 2 | \＄425．00 | 4 | 550.00 | 2 | 250.00 | 2 | 150.00 | 1 | 20.00 |
| Searsport． | Wal． | 1 | 100.00 | － | － | 1 | 300.00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Sebago． | Cum． | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 125.00 | 2 | 220.00 | － | － |  | － | － | － |
| Sebec． | Pis． | 1 | 200.00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 350.00 | 4 | 600.00 | 7 | 725.00 | 7 | 500.00 |
| Sedgwick． | Han． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  | － | － | － | 1 | 250.00 | － | － |
| Shapleigh | Yor． | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 650.00 | 3 | 3，150．00 | f2 | 375.00 | 7 | 950.00 | 1 | 150.00 |
| Sherman． | Aro． | 1 | 200.00 | － | － | 1 | 1，150．00 | － | － | 3 | 325.00 | 2 | 150.00 | 2 | 150.00 | － | － |
| Shirley． | Pis． | 1 | 200.00 | － | － | － | － | － | － |  | － | － | － | 3 | 130.00 | － | － |
| Sidney． | Ken． | 1 | 800.00 | 1. | 700.00 | － | － | － | － | 1. | 150.00 | 9 | 1，050．00 | 5 | 600.00 | － | － |
| Silver Ridge Pl． | Aro． | － | － | － | － | － | － | $g 4$ | 400.00 |  | － |  | － | － | － | － | － |
| Skowhegan． | Som． | － | － | $h 1$ | 1，500．00 | 1 | 2，500．00 | 1 | 1，000．00 | 3 | 2，000．00 | 2 | 2，500．00 | － | － | i17 | 850.00 |
| Smithfield． | Som． | － | － | 1 | 600.00 | － | － | 1 | 600.00 | － | － |  | － | 2 | 600.00 | － | － |
| Smyrna．． | Aro． | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 750.00 | － | － | － | － | 3 | 165.00 | 2 | 70.00 |
| Solon． | Som． | － | － | 1 | 400.00 | 4 | 800.00 | 1 | 200.00 | － | － | 3 | 525.00 | 1 | 100.00 | － | － |
| Somerville． | Lin． | 2 | 300.00 | 2 | 250.00 | 3 | 285.00 | 2 | 140.00 | 4 | 180.00 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| South Berwick． | Yor． | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1，500．00 | 2 | 1，900．00 | 1 | 500.00 | 4 | 1，825．00 | 4 | 1，581．00 | 3 | 1，500．00 |
| South Portland | Cum． | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1，500．00 | 2 | 3，023．08 | 1 | 125.00 | 4 | 850.00 | － | － |


| South Thomaston. Southwest Harbor. Springfield. | Kno. <br> Han. <br> Pen. | -1 <br> a1 <br> -1 | $\overline{-} 300.00$ | - | - | -1 <br> - | - | -1 - - | - | -1 <br> -1 | - 300.00 | - <br> - <br> 3 | - - 275.00 | - ${ }^{1}$ | 150.00 -90.00 | 1 <br> - <br> - | 150.00 - - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Stacyville Pl.. | Pen. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 75.00 | 1 | 75.00 | 1 | 50.00 | - | - |
| Standish. | Cum. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 500.00 | 1 | 200.00 | 5 | 650.00 | 6 | 1,650.00 | 6 | 2,050.00 |
| Starks. | Som. | 2 | 1,900.00 | - | - | 1 | 347.00 | - | - | 2 | 170.00 | 6 | 433.00 | 11 | 545.00 | - | - |
| Stetson. | Pen. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 300.00 | 9 | 260.00 |
| Steuben.. | Was. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 950.00 | 2 | 300.00 | 8 | 700.00 | - |  |
| Stockton Springs. | Wal. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 150.00 | 2 | 275.00 | 2 | 250.00 | 2 | 225.00 | 5 | 600.00 |
| Stoneham. | Oxf. | $-$ | - | 1 | 250.00 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 175.00 | 14 | 900.00 | 1 | 50.00 | - | - |
| Stonington. | Han. | ${ }^{1}$ | 425.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | $-$ | - | - |  | - | - |
| Stow. | Oxf. | c3 | 1,255.56 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 550.00 | - | - |
| Strong. | Fra. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 35.00 | 6 | 185.00 | 4 | 95.00 | - | - |
| Sullivan | Han | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 400.00 | 1. | 300.00 | 3 | 800.00 | 3 | 750.00 |
| Sumner. | Oxf. | - | - | 3 | 112.00 | 3 | 505.00 | 1 | 28.00 | , | 87.00 | 3 | 60.00 | 7 | 120.00 | 3 | 120.00 |
| Surry.. | Han. | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | 2 | 500.00 | 4 | 585.00 | 1 | 40.00 | - | - |
| Swan's Island. | Han. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | , | - | 2 | 50.00 | - | - |
| Swanville. | Wal. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1. | 250.00 | 1 | 250.00 | 1 | 200.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Sweden. | Oxf. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 700.00 | - | - |
| Talmage. | Was. | 1 | 100.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | , |  | 1. | 40.00 | - | - |
| Temple. . | Fra. | - | - | 1] | 100.00 | 2 | 53.00 | 4 | 102.00 | 6 | 132.00 | 5 | 76.00 | 9 | 109.00 | 5 | 60.00 |

$f$ One-Shapleigh $\frac{1}{2}$, Acton $\frac{1}{2}$. $g$ Silver Ridge Pl., lengths estimated. $h$ Skowhegan $\frac{1}{2}$, Canaan $\frac{1}{2}$. Canaan reports no joint bridge in this class. $i$ Skowhegan; some of these are culverts. $a$ Southwest Harbor $\frac{1}{2}$, Tremont $\frac{1}{2}$. Tremont reports no joint bridge in this class. $b$ Stonington $\frac{1}{2}$, Deer Isle $\frac{1}{2}$. Deer Isle reports no joint bridge in this class. c Stow. One-8227.78; State aid.

## Bridge Information，Table IV－Continued．

Towns reporting bridges 6 feet to 45 feet in length，showing distribution as to length and cost．

| Town． | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{8} \\ & \dot{B} \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ | 40－45 ft． |  | 35－40 ft． |  | 30－35 ft． |  | 25－30 ft． |  | 20－25 ft． |  | 15－20 ft． |  | $10-15 \mathrm{ft}$ |  | 6－10 ft． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 呙 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{+}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{+} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { +8 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 容 } \\ \text { 晃 } \end{gathered}$ | ＋i | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\Delta} \\ & \text { 㽞 } \\ & \text {. } \\ & \text { 号 } \end{aligned}$ | －808080 | 灾 | ＋808080 | 宮 | ＋i | 安 | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ |
| ＇The Forks Pl． | Som． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  | － | － | 3 | \＄80．00 | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdots$ |
| Thomasion． | Kno． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | \＄50．00 | 4 | \＄95．00 | － |  | － |  |
| Thorndike． | Wal． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 5 | 330.00 | 8 | 480.00 | 4 | 140.00 | 3 | \＄55．00 |
| Topsfield． | Was． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 9 | 1，000．00 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Topsham． | Sag． | 1 | \＄409．00 | 1 | \＄250．00 | － | － | 1. | \＄200．00 | － | － | 2 | 70.00 | 2 | 55.00 | － | － |
| Tremont． | Han． | 1 | 375.00 | － | － | 2 | \＄600．00 | － | － | 1 | 470.00 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Trenton．． | Han． | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 80.00 | 1 | 60.00 | 1 | 40.00 | $d 6$ | 660.00 | － | － |
| Trescott | Was． | － | － | － | － | 2 | 450.00 | 1 | 200.00 | 1 | 200.00 | 1 | 150.00 | 9 | 500.00 | － | － |
| Troy． | Wal． | － | － | － | － | 2 | 900.00 | 1 | 400.00 | 1 | 250.00 | 2 | 325.00 | 2 | 250.00 | － | － |
| Turner． | And． | 1 | 300.00 | － | － | 3. | 1，200．00 | － | － | 2 | 500.00 | 4 | 325.00 | 1. | 50.00 | － | － |
| Union | Kno． | al | 600.00 | － | － | al | 400.00 | 1 | 350.00 | $1 a 6$ | 1，675．00 | 7 | 1，945．00 | $b 9$ | 1，165．00 | 3 | 255.00 |
| Unity． | Wal． | － | － | － | － | 1 | 200.00 | 1 | 200.00 | 3 | 300.00 | 7 | 500.00 | 4 | 165.00 | － | － |
| Upton．．．．． | Oxf． | 1 | 229.00 | － | － | 1 | 41.00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 19.00 |
| Van Buren． | Aro． | － | － | － | － | － |  | 1 | 900.00 | 1 | 150.00 | － | － | 1 | 200.00 | 1 | 150.00 |
| Vanceboro． | Was． | － | － | － | － | － |  | －－ |  | － |  | 1 | 60.00 | 1 | 40.00 | － | － |
| Vassalboro． | Ken． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 4 | 4，600．00 | 2 | 1，200．00 | 1 |  | － | － |
| Vienna． | Ken． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | c2 | 550.00 | 3 | 300.00 |  | － | － | ＿ |
| Vinalhaven | Kno． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |  | 1 | 299.00 | 1 | 250.00 | － | － |


| Wade Pl <br> Waite. . <br> Waldo. | Aro. Was. Wal. | - | - | -1 <br> -1 <br> 2 | -600.00 | 1 -1 - | 275.00 - - | -1 | $\overline{-700.00}$ | -1 <br> 1 <br> 3 | - 75.00 500.00 | -1 <br> - <br> 2 | - <br> 500.00 | $-{ }^{2}$ | 225.00 - 50.00 | $2!$ <br> - <br> - | 277.36 - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Waldoboro. | Lin. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 575.00 | he3 | 1,850.00 | 11 | 2,385.00 | 18 | 3,175.00 | 13 | 3,565.00 |
| Wales. | And. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 1,450.00 | 3 | 750.00 | 2 | 300.00 | - | - |
| Wallagrass Pl.. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 150.00 | - | -. | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Waltham. | Han. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 400.00 | - | - 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Warren | Kno. | - | - | 1 | 800.00 | 1 | 400.00 | 2 | 1,100.00 | 2 | 1,050.00 | 4 | 1,150.00 | 2 | 375.00 | 1 | 250.00 |
| Washburn. | Aro. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 375.00 | 2 | 500.00 | 3 | 360.00 | 4 | 225.00 | 1. | 100.00 |
| Washington. | Kno. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1,800.00 | 4 | 1,050.00 | 5 | 1,240.00 | 5 | 550.00 | 3 | 215.00 |
| Washington Twp. | Fra. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100.00 | 1 | 90.00 | - | - | 3 | 170.00 | - | - |
| Waterboro. | Yor. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 125.00 | 1 | 30.00 | 7 | 245.07 | 11 | 234.75 | 21 | 257.12 | 3 | 26.81 |
| Waterford. | Oxf. | - | - | 2 | 1,076.65 | - | - | 1 | 700.00 | 3 | 500.00 | 10 | 4,075.00 | 5 | 745.00 | 13 | 2,725.00 |
| Waterville | Ken. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 400.00 | - | - | 1 | $3,000.00$ | 1 | 100.00 | - | - | 5 | 1,425.00 |
| Wayne. | Ken. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 175.00 | - | - |  | - | 3 | 380.00 | 7 | 1,125.00 | - | - |
| Webster. | And. | - | - | 1 | 250.00 |  | - | - | - | 1 | 250.00 | 1 | 200.00 | 3 | 400.00 | 2 | 200.00 |
| Webster Pl | Pen. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 457.74 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Weld. | Fra. | 4 | 750.00 | 4 | 2,200.00 | 4 | 1,300.00 | 2 | 550.00 | 8 | 875.00 | 9 | 1,050.00 | 9 | 480.00 | 1 | 25.00 |
| Wellington. | Pis. | - | - | 2 | 375.00 | 2 | 350.00 | 2 | 250.00 | 1 | 180.00 | 2 | 165.00 | 1 | 75.00 | - | - |
| Wells. | Yor. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 10 | 4,050.00 | f9 | 3,300.00 | g7 | 1,450.00 | - | - |
| Wesley. | Was. | 1 | 37.58 | -1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | $20.00{ }_{\text {j }}$ | 1 | 18.00 | 4 | 51.14 | - | - |

$d$ One-Trenton $\frac{1}{2}$, Eden $\frac{1}{2}$. $a$ Union $\frac{1}{2}$, Washington $\frac{1}{2}$. Washington reports no joint bridge in this class. bunion $\frac{1}{2}$, Warren $\frac{1}{2}$. Warren reports no joint bridge in this class. $c$ One-Vienna $\frac{1}{2}$, Chesterville $\frac{1}{2}$. $h$ One-Waldoboro $\frac{2}{3}$, Nobleboro $\frac{1}{4}$. Nobleboro makes no report. $e$ One-Waldoboro $\frac{1}{2}$, Friendship $\frac{1}{2}$. Friendship reports no joint bridge in this class. $f$ Three-Wells $\frac{1}{2}$, Kennebunk $\frac{1}{2}$. $g$ Two-Wells $\frac{1}{2}$, Kennebunk $\frac{1}{2}$.

## Bridge Information, Table IV-Concluded.

Towns reporting bridges 6 feet to 45 feet in length, showing distribution as to length and cost.


COMMISSIONER OF HIGHWAYS.


[^8] One-State aid $\$ 300$. One-China $\frac{1}{2} . \quad b$ Wiscasset $\frac{1}{2}$, Woolwich $\frac{1}{2} . c$ Woolwich $\frac{1}{2}$, Wiscasset $\frac{1}{2}$.

| 1, 087 bridges over 45 feet in length reported to have cost \$4, 001, 870.75. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,2617 | $\because$ | " | 40 | ، | " | . |  |  |  |  |  | ,091,631.06 |
| 1,775 | " | " | 30 | " | " | ' |  |  |  | ، |  | ,337, 042.8 |
| 2,169 | " | ، | 25 | " | " | " | $\ldots$ | ' |  | ' |  | ,487,559. |
| 3,072 |  | " | 20 | " | "' | " |  | " |  |  |  | ,754,503.15 |
| 270 |  | " | 15 | "' |  | " |  | "' |  |  |  | ,981,744.94 |
| 5,987 |  |  | 10 | " |  |  |  | " |  |  |  | ,191,694 |
| , 819 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For detailed information for bridges over 45 feet in length see Tables II and III. 1,26
1,447
1,775
2,16
3,07
4,27
5,987
6,8 6,819 " 5,191,694.90 "" 6 " $"$ " " " " " $5,276,644.21$. Totals for the State.

## TABULAR STATEMENT SHOWING NUMBER AND COST OF BRIDGES OVER CERTAIN LENGTHS.

Explanatory note: The ing bridges over 300 feet in length includes the 66 bridges over 400 feet and the 53 bridges between 300 and 400 feet in length; the 135 bridges over 275 feet in length includes the 66 bridges over 400 feet, the 53 between 300 and 400 feet and the 16 between 275 and 300 feet, and so on through the table; similarly the last column shows cost of all bridges in excess of the length mentioned.

In referring to the table remember that 6,819 is the total number of bridges reported and that $\$ 5,276,644.21$ is the total reported cost of all bridges in the State.

| 66 bridges over 400 feet in length, reported to have cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,601,184.35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 119 | " | " | 300 | " ${ }^{\prime}$ | " | " | " | - | " | " | 2,012,229.33 |
| 135 | " | " | 275 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 2,074,678.23 |
| 153 | " | " | 250 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 2,145,628.35 |
| 177 | " | " | 225 | " ${ }^{\prime}$ | " | " | " | " | " | " | 2,301,441.35 |
| 205 | " | " | 200 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 2,433,949.86 |
| 236 | ، | " | 175 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 2,567,692.16 |
| 301 | " | " | 150 | ، ${ }^{\prime}$ | " | " | " | " | " | " | 2,808,545.91 |
| 357 | " | " | 125 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3,028,596.07 |
| 482 | " | " | 100 | " ${ }^{\prime}$ | " | " | " | ، | " | " | 3,290,607.19 |
| 535 | " | " | 90 | " | ، | " | " | " | " | " | 3,427,921.80 |
| 604 | " | " | 80 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3,549,162.04 |
| 695 | " | " | 70 | " ${ }^{\prime}$ | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3,646,689.50 |
| 822 | " | " | 60 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3,768,886.81 |
| 995 | " | " | 50 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3,933,334.90 |
| 1,087 | " | " | 45 | " | " | " | ، | " | " | ، | 4,001,870.75 |
| 1,261 | " | " | 40 | ، | " | " | " | " | " | " | 4,091,631.06 |
| 1,447 | " | " | 35 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 4,200,219.99 |
| 1,775 | " | " | 30 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 4,337,042.82 |
| 2,169 | " | " | 25 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 4,487,559.64 |
| 3,072 | " | " | 20 | " | ، | " | " | " | " | " | 4,754,503.15 |
| 4,270 | " | " | 15 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 4,981,744.94 |
| 5,987 | " | " | 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 5,191,694.90 |
| 6,819 | " | " | 6 | " |  | " | " | " | " | " | 5,276,644.21 |


[^0]:    a Organized plantations or unincorporated townships in which the county commissioners assess and expend the highway appropriations.

[^1]:    a No roads.

    * Organized plantations or unincorporated townships in which the county commissioners assess and expend the highway appropriations.

[^2]:    * Organized plantations or unincorporated townships in which the county commissioners assess and expend the highway appropriations.

[^3]:    $\dagger$ Letter E Twp. is unincorporated and the county commissioners do not have charge of the expenditure of the road money.

    * Organized plantations or unincorporated townships in which.the county commissioners assess_and expend the highway appropriations.

[^4]:    -SAVMHSIH TO UYNOISSIINKOD

[^5]:    *Organized plantations or unincorporated townships in which the county commissioners assess and expend the highway appropriations.
    $c$ Reports no appropriation for highways.

[^6]:    *Organized plantations or unincorporated townships in which the county commissioners assess and expend the highway appropriations.

[^7]:    For detailed information for bridges less than 150 ft . in length see tables III \& IV.

[^8]:    $h$ West Bath $\frac{1}{2}$, Bath $\frac{1}{2}$. West Bath reports this in a larger class.
    $i$ West Gardiner $\frac{1}{2}$; Litchfield reports no joint bridge for this class.

