

# MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the  
**LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY**  
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library  
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied  
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

LEGISLATIVE RECORD

OF THE

*Ninety-Eighth Legislature*

OF THE

STATE OF MAINE

VOLUME II

1957

DAILY KENNEBEC JOURNAL  
AUGUSTA, MAINE

**SENATE**

Thursday, May 23, 1957

Senate called to order by the President.

Prayer by Rev. Richard Hulburt of Hallowell.

On motion by Mr. Brown of Washington,

Journal of yesterday read and approved.

The PRESIDENT: Is there objection on this legislative day to an accredited member of the press taking movies on the assumption he will do it quietly and with no disturbance to Senate procedures? The Chair hears no objection and the gentleman has the permission of the Senate.

**Papers from the House  
Conference Committee Report**

The Committee of Conference on the Disagreeing action of the two branches of the Legislature on Bill, "An Act Relating to Hours of Selling Liquor." (H. P. 429) (L. D. 605) reported that the Senate recede from acceptance of the Majority Remittee on Liquor Control, and report Ought not to pass of the Committee the bill to the Committee on Liquor Control in concurrence.

Comes from the House, report accepted.

In the Senate, the report was read and accepted in concurrence.

"Resolve Authorizing Forestry Resource Survey." (H. P. 588) (L. D. 837)

The Senate on May 21, accepted the Ought not to pass report from the Committee on Appropriations and Financial Affairs, in non-concurrence.

Comes from the House, that body having insisted upon its former action whereby the resolve was substituted for the report and passed to be engrossed, now asks for a Committee of Conference.

The Speaker appointed as House Conferees:

- Mr. BREWER of Caribou
- Miss CORMIER of Rumford
- Mr. VAUGHAN of Hallowell

In the Senate, on motion by Mr. Sinclair of Somerset, the Senate

voted to insist and join in the Committee of Conference, and the President appointed as Senate conferees, Senators: Sinclair of Somerset, Davis of Cumberland and Silsby of Hancock.

Bill, "An Act Relating to Local Option for Sale of Liquor by Certain Part-time Hotels." (H. P. 665) (L. D. 946)

The Senate on May 17 accepted the Ought not to pass report from the Committee on Liquor Control, in non-concurrence.

Comes from the House, that body having insisted upon its former action whereby the bill was substituted for the report and passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment A (Filing No. 432), now asks for Committee of Conference. The Speaker appointed as House Conferees:

- HANCOCK of York
- JALBERT of Lewiston
- PIERCE of Bucksport

In the Senate, on motion by Mr. Carpenter of Somerset, the Senate voted to insist and join in the Committee of Conference, and the President appointed as Senate Conferees, Senators: Carpenter of Somerset, Willey of Hancock and Boucher of Androscoggin.

Bill, "An Act Relating to Initial Motor Vehicle Number Plates." (H. P. 957) (L. D. 1358)

The Senate on May 21 accepted the Minority Report—Ought not to pass—from the Committee on Transportation, in non-concurrence.

Comes from the House, that body having insisted upon its former action whereby the Majority Report—Ought to pass as Amended by Committee Amendment A (Filing No. 233) was accepted, and the bill passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment A as amended by House Amendment A thereto (Filing No. 416); now asks for Committee of Conference.

The Speaker appointed as House Conferees:

- Mr. HAUGHN of Bridgton
- Mr. STILPHEN of Rockland
- Mr. CROCKETT of Freeport

In the Senate, on motion by Mr. Cole of Waldo, the Senate voted to insist and join in the Committee of Conference; and the President ap-

pointed as Senate conferees, Senators: Cole of Waldo, Rogerson of Aroostook and Ferguson of Oxford.

Bill, "An Act Relating to the Employment of a Physician by Municipalities." (H. P. 630) (L. D. 891)

In Senate on April 12, passed to be engrossed in concurrence.

Comes from House, Indefinitely Postponed on passage to be enacted, in non-concurrence.

In the Senate, on motion by Mr. Butler of Franklin, indefinitely postponed in concurrence.

Bill, "An Act Creating the Maine Commercial Feed Law." (H. P. 254) (L.D. 352)

In Senate on April 30, passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment A (Filing 227)

Comes from the House, passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment A as amended by House Amendment A (Filing 480) thereto, in non-concurrence.

In the Senate, on motion by Mr. Bailey of Sagadahoc, the bill was laid upon the table pending further consideration.

"Resolve Proposing an Amendment to the Constitution Pledging Credit of State for Guaranteed Loans for Industrial Purposes." (S. P. 580) (L. D. 1581)

In Senate on May 20, passed to be engrossed as amended by Senate Amendment A (Filing 471)

Comes from the House, passed to be engrossed as amended by Senate Amendment A, and as amended by House Amendment A (Filing 475) in non-concurrence.

In the Senate, on motion by Mr. Low of Knox, the Senate voted to recede and concur.

Bill, "An Act Relating to Salary of Official Court Reporters." (S. P. 40) (L. D. 55)

In Senate on May 9, passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment A (Filing 373)

Comes from the House, passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment A as amended by House Amendment A (Filing 493) thereto, in non-concurrence.

In the Senate, on motion by Mr. Sinclair of Somerset, the Senate voted to recede and concur.

Bill, "An Act Relating to Expending Aroostook County Funds for Ricker College." (S. P. 224) (L. D. 567)

In Senate on April 11, passed to be engrossed.

Comes from House, passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment A (Filing 482) in non-concurrence.

In the Senate, on motion by Mr. Rogerson of Aroostook, the Senate voted to recede and concur.

Bill, "An Act Relating to Registration of Dealers in Boat Trailers Plates." (S. P. 391) (L. D. 1087)

In Senate on May 17, passed to be engrossed as amended by Senate Amendment A (Filing 460)

Comes from the House, Senate Amendment A Indefinitely Postponed and bill passed to be engrossed, in non-concurrence.

In the Senate, on motion by Mr. Cole of Waldo, the Senate voted to recede and concur.

Bill, "An Act to Clarify the Employment Security Law." (S. P. 419) (L. D. 1178)

In Senate on May 16, passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment A (Filing 445)

Comes from the House, passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment A and as amended by House Amendments A (Filing 452) and B (Filing 476) in non-concurrence.

In the Senate, on motion by Mr. Low of Knox, the Senate voted to recede and concur.

#### House Committee Reports Leave to Withdraw

The Committee on Appropriations and Financial Affairs on Bill, "An Act to Provide for Technical Assistance by Water Improvement Commission to Municipalities." (H. P. 256) (L. D. 354) reported that same be granted Leave to Withdraw.

Which report was read and accepted in concurrence.

#### Ought Not to Pass

The Committee on Inland Fisheries and Game on Bill, "An Act Relating to Fishing and Hunting Licenses for Non-resident Students in Maine Colleges." (H. P. 919) (L. D.

1309) reported that the same Ought not to pass.

The Committee on Labor on Bill, "An Act Relating to Employment of Minors." (H. P. 855) (L. D. 1218) reported that the same Ought not to pass.

The Committee on Towns and Counties on Bill, "An Act Relating to the Appointment of Deputies by County Sheriff." (H. P. 731) (L. D. 1035) reported that the same Ought not to pass.

The Committee on Retirements and Pensions on "Resolve, Providing for an Increase in State Pension for Viola Michaud of Soldier Pond." (H. P. 725) (L. D. 1029) reported that the same Ought not to pass.

Which reports were read and accepted in concurrence.

The Committee on Judiciary on Bill, "An Act Prohibiting Television Advertising of Alcoholic Beverages." (H. P. 606) (L. D. 853) reported that the same Ought not to pass.

Comes from the House Indefinitely postponed.

In the Senate, indefinitely postponed in concurrence.

**Ought to Pass—as Amended**

The Committee on Appropriations and Financial Affairs on Bill, "An Act Increasing Pensions of Elderly Teachers." (H. P. 258) (L. D. 356) reported that the same Ought to pass as Amended by Committee Amendment A (Filing No. 437)

Comes from the House, Committee Amendment A Indefinitely Postponed; bill passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment A (Filing No. 448)

In the Senate, on motion by Mr. Sinclair of Somerset, tabled pending consideration of the report.

The Committee on Towns and Counties on Bill, "An Act Relating to Compensation of Medical Examiners for View and Autopsy." (H. P. 954) (L. D. 1355) reported that the same Ought to pass with Committee Amendment A (Filing No. 242)

Comes from the House, report accepted; subsequently the bill was Indefinitely Postponed.

In the Senate, on motion by Mr. Woodcock of Penobscot, tabled pending consideration of the report.

**Minority — OTP — Committee Amend. "A"**  
**Minority — ONTP**

The Majority of the Committee on Judiciary on Bill, "An Act Relating to Determination of Damages Caused by Taking of Land for Highway Purposes." (H. P. 656) L. D. 937) reported that the same Ought to pass, as Amended by Committee Amendment A.

(Signed)  
Senator:  
WOODCOCK of Penobscot

Representatives:  
NEEDHAM of Orono  
BROWNE of Bangor  
EARLES of So. Portland  
WALKER of Auburn  
TEVANIER of Portland  
BRODERICK of Portland

The Minority of the same Committee on the same subject matter, reported that the bill Ought not to pass.

(Signed)  
Senators:  
SILSBY of Hancock  
BUTLER of Franklin

Representative:  
HANCOCK of York

Comes from the House, Majority Report Accepted, and the bill Passed to be engrossed.

In the Senate:  
Mr. SILSBY of Hancock: Mr. President, I move that the Senate accept the minority ought not to pass report.

Thereupon, on motion by Mr. Lesard of Androscoggin, the bill was tabled pending Mr. Silsby's motion to accept the minority ought not to pass report.

**Majority — OTP**  
**Minority — ONTP**

The Majority of the Committee on Labor on Bill, "An Act Relating to Benefit Eligibility and Definition of Unemployment Under Employment Security Law." (H. P. 780)

(L. D. 113) reported that the same Ought to pass.

(Signed)

Sensors:

HILLMAN of Penobscot  
CURTIS of Cumberland

Representatives:

ROSS of Bath  
EMMONS of Kennebunk  
HANSCOMB of So Portland  
WINCHENPAW  
of Friendship

The Minority of the same Committee on the same subject matter, reported that the bill Ought not to pass.

(Signed)

Senator:

ST. PIERRE  
of Androscoggin

Representatives:

LETOURNEAU of Sanford  
KARKOS of Lisbon  
SMITH of Portland

Comes from the House, Majority Report Accepted, and the bill Passed to be Engrossed.

In the Senate, on motion by Mr. Curtis of Cumberland, the Majority ought to pass report was accepted and under suspension of the rules, the bill was given its two several readings and passed to be engrossed in concurrence.

**Communication**

STATE OF MAINE  
House of Representatives  
Office of the Clerk  
Augusta

May 22, 1957

Honorable Chester T. Winslow  
Secretary of the Senate  
98th Legislature

Sir:

The Speaker of the House today appointed the following Conferees on the part of the House on the disagreeing actions of the two branches of the Legislature on:

Bill, "An Act relating to Fortnightly Payment of Salaries and Wages to State Officers and Employees." S. P. 462, L. D.. 1317.

Messrs: CYR of Augusta  
CROCKETT Freeport  
KINCH Livermore Falls

Bill, "An Act relating to Employment of Minors." (H. P. 546) (L. D. 773)

Messrs: TURNER of Auburn  
TEVANIAN of Portland  
HATHAWAY

of Columbia Falls.  
Respectfully,

HARVEY R. PEASE  
Clerk of the House

Which was read and ordered placed on file.

**Communication**

STATE OF MAINE  
House of Representatives  
Office of the Clerk  
Augusta

May 22, 1957

Honorable Chester T. Winslow  
Secretary of the Senate  
98th Legislature

Sir:

The House today voted to Adhere to its former action on:

Resolve, proposing an Amendment to the Constitution to Provide for Election of Members of the Executive Council, S. P. 95, L. D. 225, which failed of final passage in the House on May 15th and which was ordered PLACED ON FILE by the House on May 20.

Respectfully,

HARVEY R. PEASE  
Clerk of the House

HRP/f

Which was read and ordered placed on file.

Mr. WYMAN of Washington: Mr. President and members of the Senate, I ask for unanimous consent for the introduction of a bill. I will explain that this is a technical amendment amending a private and special law signed by Governor Muskie last week, which bill creates the Milbridge school district. The defect in the bill was found by the bonding company attorney and is very minor. If I am permitted to have the bill received, I shall then move that the bill be printed and also that it pass to be engrossed without reference to a committee.

There being no objection, bill, "An Act Amending the Town of Milbridge School Act (L. D. 1600) was received by unanimous consent and under suspension of the rules, without reference to a committee was given its two several readings,

passed to be engrossed and ordered printed.

Sent down for concurrence.

Mrs. Lord of Cumberland was granted unanimous consent to introduce bill, "An Act Changing the name of the Maine School for the Deaf, to the Governor Baxter School for the Deaf. (S. P. 597) (L. D. 1601)

Which bill, under suspension of the rules, was given its two several readings, ordered printed and passed to be engrossed.

Mr. SINCLAIR of Somerset: Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent for the introduction of a bill asking for appropriations for legislative expenditures for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1957. This bill carries an appropriation of \$95,000 which is the best estimate that the Appropriations Committee is able to make at this time for the legislative expenditures for this year. I might point out that \$40,000 is for the payment of expenditures for the last legislative session. A good portion of this requested amount is based on the increase in printing costs as well as the increase in the printing load. There was also additional expense involved in the travel allowance occasioned by the fact that we are in a more lengthy session than previously.

There being no objection, the bill was received by unanimous consent and under suspension of the rules was given its two several readings, ordered printed and passed to be engrossed.

**Senate Committee Reports**

**Ought to Pass—N. D.—New Title**

Mr. Silsby from the Committee on Judiciary on Bill, "An Act Amending the Civilian Defense Law." (S. P. 453) (L. D. 1300) reported same in New Draft and Under New Title; "An Act Revising the State Civil Defense and Public Safety Law" and that it Ought to Pass.

Which report was read and accepted, the bill in New Draft read once and tomorrow assigned for second reading.

**Ought to Pass—N. D.—Same Title**

Mr. Sinclair from the Committee on Appropriations and Financial

Affairs on Bill, "An Act to Appropriate Monies for Capital Improvements and Construction of State Government for the Fiscal Years Ending June 30, 1958 and June 30, 1959." (S. P. 65) (L. D. 118) reported same in New Draft (S. P. 598) (L. D. 1603) under Same Title, and that it Ought to Pass.

Which report was read and accepted, the bill in New Draft read once, and tomorrow assigned for second reading.

**Ought to Pass—As Amended**

Mr. Silsby from the Committee on Judiciary on Bill, "An Act to Correct Errors and Inconsistencies in the Public Laws." (S. P. 477) (L. D. 1389) reported that the same Ought to Pass as Amended by Committee Amendment A.

Which report was read and accepted and the bill read once. Committee Amendment A was read and adopted, and the bill as so amended was tomorrow assigned for second reading.

**Majority—OTP**

**Minority—ONTP**

The Majority of the Committee on Judiciary on recommitted Bill, "An Act Creating Office of Hearing Examiner under Liquor Law." (S. P. 558) (L. D. 1553) reported that the same Ought to Pass.

(Signed)

Senator:

WOODCOCK of Penobscot

Representatives:

WALKER of Auburn

TEVANIAN of Portland

BRODERICK of Portland

BROWNE of Bangor

NEDDHAM of Orono

EARLES of South Portland

HANCOCK of York

The Minority of the same Committee on the same subject matter, reported that the bill Ought Not to Pass.

(Signed)

Sensors:

SILSBY of Hancock

BUTLER of Franklin

On motion by Mr. Lessard of Androscoggin, the Majority report ought to pass was accepted, the bill given its first reading and tomorrow assigned for second reading.

**Conference Committee Report**

The Committee on Conference on the disagreeing action of the two branches of the Legislature on Bill, "An Act Concerning Liability of Parents for Damage by Children." (S. P. 33) (L. D. 35) reported that the Committee is Unable to Agree.

Which report was read and accepted.

Sent down for concurrence.

**Second Readers**

**The Committee on Bills in the Second Reading reported the following bills and resolve:**

**House**

Bill, "An Act to Incorporate the Town of Medford." (H. P. 844) L. D. 1198)

Bill, "An Act Amending the Law Permitting Municipal Employees to Receive Federal Social Security Benefits." (H. P. 1086) (L. D. 1565)

Which were read a second time and Passed to be Engrossed in concurrence.

**Senate — As Amended**

"Resolve, Appropriating Moneys for Science Laboratories at Portland Junior College." (S. P. 275) (L. D. 734)

Which was read a second time and Passed to be Engrossed, as Amended.

Sent down for concurrence.

**Enactors**

**The Committee on Engrossed bills reported as Truly and Strictly Engrossed the following Bills and Resolves:**

Bill, "An Act Relating to Childhood Vaccination." (H. P. 579) L. D. 828)

(On motion by Mr. Sinclair of Somerset, tabled pending enactment.)

Bill, "An Act to Reactivate a State Committee on Aging." (H. P. 767) (L. D. 1100)

(On motion by Mr. Sinclair of Somerset, tabled pending enactment.)

Bill, "An Act Directing Revision of Sea and Shore Fisheries Laws." (H. P. 830) L. D. 1186)

(On motion by Mr. Sinclair of Somerset, tabled pending enactment.)

Bill, "An Act to Incorporate the Rangeley and Eustis Light and Power District." (H. P. 907) (L. D. 1293)

Bill, "An Act Relating to Appointment of Deputy Commissioner of Institutional Service." (H. P. 1006) (L. D. 1432)

On motion by Mr. Sinclair of Somerset, tabled pending enactment.)

Bill, "An Act Relating to Voluntary Admissions and Discharges at Pineland Hospital and Training Center." (H. P. 1092) (L. D. 1586)

Bill, "An Act Authorizing Inter-local Cooperation." (S. P. 249) (L. D. 637)

(On motion by Mr. Cole of Waldo, tabled pending enactment.)

Bill, "An Act Relating to Investment of Certain State Funds." (S. P. 509) L. D. 1450)

Bill, "An Act Relating to the Distribution and Sale of Publications Depicting Crime and Torture." (S. P. 579) (L. D. 1580)

"Resolve, in Favor of Maple Grove Cemetery Association of Randolph." (H. P. 635) (L. D. 902)

(On motion by Mr. Sinclair of Somerset, tabled pending final passage.)

"Resolve, Amending the Resolve in Favor of George E. Bagnall of Houlton." (S. P. 582) L. D. 1582)

Which bills were Passed to be enacted and the Resolves finally passed.

**The PRESIDENT:** The Chair at this time notes a group from the 7th and 8th grades of Wiscasset Grammar School accompanied by Mrs. Tolman and Miss Leadbetter. On behalf of the Senate we welcome you to our session and hope that you have a fine time. Every one of us will do all that you would like us to do to make the rest of your day a happy one. Thank you very much for coming.

On motion by Mr. Low of Knox, the Senate voted to take from the table "Resolve, Creating an Advisory Committee on Education." (H. P. 727) (L. D. 1031) tabled by that Senator on March 7 pending pas-



sage to be engrossed; and on further motion by the same Senator, the resolve was passed to be engrossed and sent forthwith to the engrossing department.

On motion by Mrs. Lord of Cumberland, the Senate voted to take from the table House Report from the Committee on Legal Affairs: ought to pass as amended by Committee Amendment A, on bill, "An Act Relating to Superintending School Committee of City of Portland." (H. P. 825) (L. D. 1191) tabled by that Senator on May 13 pending consideration of the report.

Mrs. LORD of Cumberland: Mr. President and members of the Senate, it is felt at this time that this is not a good bill for the city and the present school committee is doing a very good job with a very forward looking program and I move the indefinite postponement of this bill.

The motion prevailed and the bill was indefinitely postponed in non-concurrence.

On motion by Mr. Lessard of Androscoggin, the Senate voted to take from the table the 37th tabled item being House Report from the Committee on Judiciary: ought to pass in new draft, on bill, "An Act Relating to Restricting Certain Trustee Process Until After Judgment." (H. P. 1085) (L. D. 1523) tabled by that Senator on May 16 pending consideration of the reports.

Mr. LESSARD of Androscoggin: Mr. President, I move that this bill be indefinitely postponed, in concurrence with the House.

Mr. SILSBY of Hancock: Mr. President and members of the Senate, I am opposed to the motion of Senator Lessard of Androscoggin. The Judiciary Committee considered the need carefully for the new draft in the matter of trustee process which is purely a procedural matter in the legal profession. Perhaps some of you are familiar with the trustee process and perhaps some of you are not and I am not happy to be airing the differences of the legal profession before this august Body but there are certain people who do need some protection.

A trustee process which this bill is concerned with, is a matter of some creditor bringing a bill to an attorn-

ey's office for collection and he may be working, and probably is employed, and the attorney immediately brings an action against the debtor or the wage earner and he trustees the employer by having service made upon the employer. Now the exemption of the wages of the employer is \$30. That is all the employee would have out of his wages for that week and believe me it is very difficult under the low value of the dollar today for any wage earner to get along on \$30 and especially if he has a good wife and a few children.

It does not stop there. The next week the same thing happens again and so on until fourteen days, if it is in the Superior Court before the return day of the action, and then service is made on the principle defendant which is the debtor and all of the services that have been made over that period of time is additional cost to the debtor if a judgment is had at the return day of the writ.

Now I have had instances, and I am sure other members of the Senate in the legal profession have had the same experience and that is that trustee process is brought and perhaps the debtor, the employee has a very good defense. I can think of a case very recently that was in my own office and trustee process was had several times and believe it or not, the debtor didn't owe one penny and when the matter was finally adjudicated he did not have to pay the cost, but he and his wife and four children were obliged to live from shortly after the April term in 1956 until fourteen days before the second Tuesday of September 1956 on \$30 a week. It was quite embarrassing. So this bill purposes to require a judgment to be had before you can indulge in the trustee process for a wage earner. And I can't see anything particularly wrong about it. I don't see why it is anything very difficult for an action to be brought against the employee debtor and acquire judgment and have it adjudicated and then make the judgment, which we know is valid, and give the employee debtor an opportunity to pay it and if not then he is perfectly justified in the process of trustee, and that is the very purpose of this bill. That is my purpose in defending it, to the end

that a person who hasn't a cent will not be obliged to live on \$30 a week over a period of time with the end result that he owes nothing. I think it is a fair bill. I am sorry that I had to debate it but it is my duty and I urge most strongly that this Body protect the debtor and vote against the motion to indefinitely postpone. I think we must have this law because of the abuses. It is not frequent, but it does happen and many times we do not need a lot of law on our books but we have to have it because of persons who will not appreciate the obligations they owe to others.

Again I say that I think this is a good bill. I think it should pass wholly and totally for the protection of persons who might be obliged to live for a couple of months on \$30 a week which cannot be done.

Mr. LESSARD of Androscoggin: Mr. President and members of the Senate, I too, like the good Senator from Hancock, Senator Silsby, hate to get up here and air some of the trouble within our own profession. However, I think perhaps our own profession should clear these matters up rather than put them in the laps of the people over here in the legislature. Now, what does this bill do? This bill merely protects someone who just doesn't want to pay his bills. The man who pays his bills has nothing to worry about over his wages being attached. It is the fellow who doesn't want to pay who has some attorney bringing action against him to attach his pay.

Now if this bill did away with trustee process completely and said we won't have any more wage attachments, that might be one thing, but this bill doesn't do that. It says you have got to go to court, get a judgment, and then after you have got the judgment then you can bring an attachment process against the wages. Now, what has happened in the meantime. Here we are talking about this poor gentleman we are trying to help. Have we helped him? No, now he has court costs, he has term cost and then they turn around and slap an attachment on his pay. You haven't done him any good. Maybe you have helped the lawyers. It's good for the lawyer—keeps him pretty busy in the courts get-

ting these judgments, adding on appearances and adding on court costs. That's about what this bill does.

If this bill were to raise the exemption—the exemption now is \$30—raise it to \$40 or \$45 or even perhaps higher then I might not be so much against the legislation but it doesn't do that. It says you have to go and get a judgment. Now, let me tell you what is going to happen if this bill should go through and should become a law, then your courts are going to get pretty crowded because it will force the attorneys to go to court and get their judgment and that is going to increase the business in these municipal courts and superior court and the first thing you know, they will all be over here saying that they are working full time and want more money and rightfully so. The judges would have to spend all day, four or five days a week and can't pay much attention to their law practice and they would be entitled to more money. That is exactly what would happen.

I say that this bill really doesn't do anything for the debtor except to prolong things a little and add a little to the cost and it just doesn't do the job it is supposed to do. I think this bill does not do what it pretends to do. It is just going to crowd our courts and add more cost to the wage earner before he gets through. I therefore hope that you will go along with my motion to indefinitely postpone the bill.

Mr. MARTIN of Kennebec: Mr. President and members of the Senate, I concur wholeheartedly with the remarks of the Senator from Androscoggin, Senator Lessard. I trust that the Senate will not penalize the vast majority of the Bar by passing a measure such as this, which is designed to correct certain abuses, very few abuses. I think it is a matter that the Bar can take care of itself and I therefore hope that the motion of the Senator from Androscoggin, Senator Lessard, prevails.

Mr. SILSBY of Hancock: Mr. President and members of the Senate, I would like to call to your attention the fact that there are two kinds of debtors. There are

those who want to pay their bills and can't. There are those who can pay there bills but will not. I have no sympathy for the person who can pay and will not. I have every consideration for the person who has been unfortunate and would like to pay but cannot. I think that this bill protects that class of people without additional expense and without embarrassment to his wife or family. I believe that if this bill were enacted many of the persons who perhaps don't want to pay their bills, when confronted with a judgment, certainly will have to come forward and make some arrangement with the creditor and somehow I feel that it is better perhaps that there may be a few who escape than to unjustly penalize some. I believe that the legal profession well knows that after acquiring a judgment they can proceed to trustee and if they did not want to proceed to trustee with a valid judgment, they can cite the person for disclosure but I am thinking wholly and totally of the debtor who cannot pay and I would like to see him protected against the party who for some unknown reason may bring an action and does not recognize his defense and makes added expense and delay.

Mr. LESSARD of Androscoggin: The Senator from Waldo, Senator Cole, has just handed to me a letter to him from attorneys in Camden, Maine and I would like to read one paragraph:

"I should also point out that this will double, if not treble the civil business of our municipal courts. Most of the matters where trustee process is used are commenced in municipal court and not more than one in ten ever is ultimately entered in that court; almost always, the debtor makes arrangements to take care of his obligations so that trustee process may be released and he may have his wages. If every one of these matters must go through to judgment, every one of those writs must be entered and it is going to cost our counties a substantial sum of money to administer the new law."

That is quite true; it is just what I said when I first spoke. However, I would like to call to your attention, those of the Senate who are

in business and who have gone to their attorney and asked to collect some obligation owed to them and perhaps they have been to them and perhaps they know that if they were not able to make some attachments on wages they would never have collected their money. I also want to say again that so far as the lawyers are concerned, this is a pretty good bill but so far as the wage earner or the debtor is concerned he is going to have to pay costs added to what he already owes and when he finally gets his salary attached it is going to be for a much larger amount than the original bill called for.

Mr. BUTLER of Franklin: Mr. President, as a member of the Judiciary Committee, I signed the ought to pass report. This bill was presented to us, as other bills are presented. There is always a reason for every bill that comes to this legislature. It has been mentioned by my good friend, Senator Lessard of Androscoggin, that this is a lawyers' bill. As an attorney, I have received many letters from attorneys asking that this be indefinitely postponed but I cannot go along with that because fundamentally the people who are honest, are trying to pay their way and then through some legal steps are unjustly barred from coming in and presenting what evidence they may have concerning their rights, and it is to protect those individuals actually from the members of the Bar that I feel we should give that protection to those individuals and that the trustee process as it has been used, somewhere along the line must have been abused, or this bill never would have come before us. And so it is to protect the working man, the man who is out trying to do that which he should, and may have difficulty in doing it. It does arrive at a set figure and as far as costs are concerned, it cannot be added except for the entry fee and what the attorney has had to pay out for cost. And so I feel, in support of the Senator from Hancock, Senator Silsby, that we ought to accept the ought to pass report, and that the motion of Senator Lessard of Androscoggin, be defeated.

Mr. BOUCHER of Androscoggin: Mr. President, as an employer, I

have been served several of those trustee papers and my experience is that there are two courses they take. Either the man goes down and makes arrangements with the attorney to pay—if he is a good debtor and wants to pay—or, if he is not a good one, he quits his employment and those trustee papers are null and void. I can't enforce them when the man is not working for me. That is the experience that I have had and so I want to concur with Senator Lessard of Androscoggin.

Mr. COLE of Waldo: Mr. President and members of the Senate, I rise in support of Senator Lessard of Androscoggin. I hate to confess that I do use this law. I find it is necessary to and I want to agree with the good Senator from Androscoggin, Senator Boucher. It works very well now and without too much expense to the laborer and in my opinion it is a bad bill.

Mr. LESSARD of Androscoggin: Mr. President, I ask for a division.

The PRESIDENT: The question is on the motion of the Senator from Androscoggin, Senator Lessard, that the bill be indefinitely postponed.

A division of the Senate was had. Twenty-seven having voted in the affirmative and five opposed, the motion prevailed and the bill was indefinitely postponed.

The PRESIDENT: The Chair at this time will introduce to the Senate and to our guests, the President of Rumatco Company, the leader and executive head of this fine youth venture in Rumford. Noting the leisure with which the Senate was attacking its business this morning, President Robert Taylor said to the Chair that he would be very pleased to stand up here and in thirty seconds tell you his impressions of what the Junior Achievement people are trying to do.

Mr. ROBERT TAYLOR: Mr. President and members of the Senate, I wasn't expecting this, but I would like to say that the people of the Junior Achievement Company in Rumford have learned the fundamentals of business and management and how to go about the things that you would have to know in business. They have learned a great deal and I feel

that if any of them ever do want to go in business for themselves, they will know how to go about it. Thank you.

On motion by Mr. Woodcock of Penobscot the Senate voted to take from the table House Report from the Committee on Towns and Counties: ought to pass with Committee Amendment A, on bill, "An Act Relating to Compensation of Medical Examiners for View and Autopsy." (H. P. 954) (L. D. 1355) tabled by that Senator earlier in today's session pending consideration of the ought to pass as amended report; and that Senator yielded to the Senator from Washington, Senator Wyman.

Mr. WYMAN of Washington: Mr. President and members of the Senate, the way this bill was originally written, it provided for \$100 to be paid to a medical examiner for an autopsy. In the city of Portland in Cumberland County and other places they pay a pathologist \$100 so I have Senate Amendment A here which would change this to provide that only a regular pathologist shall receive the hundred dollar fee for an autopsy so I now move that the Senate accept the ought to pass report of the committee in non-concurrence.

Thereupon, the ought to pass as amended report was accepted and the bill read once; Senate Amendment A and Committee Amendment A were read and adopted and on motion by Mr. Butler of Franklin, the rules were suspended and the bill was given its second reading and passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment A and Senate Amendment A in non-concurrence.

Sent down for concurrence.

Mr. FERGUSON of Oxford: Mr. President I rise to inquire if L. D. 206 is in the possession of the Senate.

The PRESIDENT: The Chair would state that L. D. 206, An Act Relating to a Bounty on Bears is in the possession of the Senate having been held at the request of the Senator from Oxford, Senator Ferguson.

Mr. FERGUSON: Mr. President, having voted on the prevailing side during the last legislative action on

this bill, I now move that the Senate reconsider its action whereby this bill was indefinitely postponed.

Mr. PARKER of Piscataquis: Mr. President and members of the Senate, my only object in asking to have this bill reconsidered is because of the fact that when we voted yesterday I was unable to secure a vote on the Amendment B which will allow the bounty to be paid in the four northern counties. I am sure you all agree that it is the purpose of this Body to be as fair as possible in all questions that come before it. I only ask for what I consider a fair deal on this and for that reason if you will bear with me in the motion that I shall make, it will achieve the end—

The PRESIDENT: The Chair would remind the Senator that the motion is already before the Senate. The question before the Senate is on the motion of the Senator from Oxford, Senator Ferguson that the Senate reconsider its action whereby it indefinitely postponed the bill.

The motion to reconsider prevailed.

Mr. PARKER: Mr. President, I now move that the Senate accept the ought to pass as amended report of the committee.

Mr. CARPENTER of Somerset: Mr. President and members of the Senate, I rise to oppose this motion. My feeling in this particular matter as most of you know, is definitely in opposition to the payment of a bounty on bears. I believe if we accept this bill as written, it would show a preference to those particular counties. I believe we should have a uniform law. If we are going to permit a bounty to be paid on bear in four counties, I say we should open the state wide and pay it over the entire state. Somerset county has as many bear killed in it as any other county and if I am correct in my statement according to the 1951-52 fiscal year we had 263 killed in Somerset County; Aroostook 289; Piscataquis 212; and the others are minor and we certainly do not want the bounty in Somerset County. We have gone over this thing for several days. If we pass it it will cost the state a tremendous amount of money as you all know. We gave the figures here

on two different occasions and it is just the same as throwing money down the river. We do want the claims paid but research has told us that a bounty is not the solution to control of this particular animal. I believe that some day the black bear will be made a game animal in the State of Maine and it may be too late then.

I sincerely hope that the motion of the Senator from Piscataquis, Senator Parker, does not prevail.

Mr. PARKER of Piscataquis: Mr. President and members of the Senate, I have no desire to go into detail on the merits or demerits of the bounty. I have plenty of literature here that I could quote from. I am very sure that we listened yesterday to this problem at great length. I am sure we have all made up our minds how we are going to vote. My only purpose in asking for reconsideration is because I think it is only fair, as has been mentioned here on home rule in our previous days deliberations that those counties that are being put to the greatest damages and really want protection, should be allowed that protection. As far as the cost to the state is concerned, I am sure that each and every one of us knows where the funds come from that pays bear bounties. It comes from the dog license fees. Mr. President, I ask that when the vote is taken it be taken by division.

Mr. BRIGGS of Aroostook: Mr. President and members of the Senate, I beg to mention that often reference is made to the license on dogs which pays for bear bounties and it is often referred to as not being a tax. Many of us know this license as the dog tax. I look upon taxes of this sort as a tax and all of this money that is not paid out in bounty claims will be returned to the towns so that they can use it however they see fit and wherever there are those who insist on having the bear bounty continued, there are also a tremendous number who oppose it. Among some of the requests we have received was one communication with many persons from this area requesting that we try to save the State of Maine \$32,000 the next two years by eliminating the bear bounty. "Let non-

resident hunters pay us for killing our bear," they say. This is signed by Harry Sanders, Sanders Store Greenville; James L. Drake, Monson—he works for the U. S. geological survey; Lyman Davis, Monson; Robert Roberts, Monson; Burton L. Packard, Jr., Packard's Camps; Charlotte Davis, Monson; Ronald Philbrick, manager of Hart's Hornes Camps, Onawa; Verena Drake, Monson; Harry L. Davis, Monson, lumberman orchardist; and Arthur Bessey, lumber operator, Monson.

This is the same bill exactly that we considered at great length yesterday and I felt that the overwhelming majority in opposition to the bounty in Maine was well recorded here by our previous action and I feel that the majority of these folks concur that we should go along with the 47 other states who have no bounty on this fine animal and who have no counties in those states which have a bounty. I certainly hope that the motion of the Senator from Piscataquis, Senator Parker will not prevail.

Mr. SILSBY: Mr. President and members of the Senate, I did not expect yesterday that we would go bear hunting again today but evidently we are. I can't add much to what I said yesterday in respect to bears. I still believe it is a game animal and that we had better let the non-resident hunters pay us to kill our bears than have the State of Maine pay certain people to kill them and then have nothing left for the non-resident to come in and hunt.

If we exempt one county or two or three, I think we are going to make it very difficult to administer—just where the county line is and where the bear was shot in many instances will be pretty hard to say. It seems to me that we will just be wasting fifteen thousand dollars each year when we pay a bounty on bear that everybody is going to kill anyway if they get a chance. I believe from an administration viewpoint it would be very difficult to take, for instance, the county line between Hancock and Washington Counties. If the bear were killed near the line there would be some hunters who would have a heart attack trying to drag the bear over the county line and I cer-

tainly don't want to legislate anything that would promote coronary thrombosis any more than we are already experiencing. I am still convinced that bear should not have a bounty any more than we put a bounty on deer.

The PRESIDENT: The question before the Senate is on the motion of the Senator from Piscataquis, Senator Parker that the Senate accept the Ought to pass as amended report of the committee.

A division of the Senate was had.

Ten having voted in the affirmative and twenty opposed, the motion did not prevail.

Thereupon, the bill was indefinitely postponed.

---

On motion by Mr. Parker of Piscataquis, the Senate voted to take from the table bill, "An Act to Revise the Inland Fish and Game Laws." (S. P. 565) (L. D. 1571) tabled by that Senator on May 17 pending assignment for second reading; and on further motion by the same Senator, the rules were suspended, the bill was read a second time and passed to be engrossed.

Sent down for concurrence.

---

On motion by Mr. Sinclair of Somerset, the Senate voted to take from the Special Appropriations table bill, "An Act Relating to Municipal Accounting and Audit" (S. P. 517) (L. D. 1475) tabled by that Senator pending passage to be enacted; and on further motion by the same Senator, the bill was passed to be enacted.

---

On motion by Mr. Silsby of Hancock, the rules were suspended and bill, "An Act to Correct Errors and Inconsistencies in the Public Laws." (S. P. 477) (L. D. 1389) having been previously assigned for second reading on the next legislative day, was given its second reading and passed to be engrossed.

Sent down for concurrence.

---

On motion by Mr. Bailey of Sagadahoc, the Senate voted to take from the table bill, "An Act Creating the Maine Commercial Feed Law." (H. P. 254) (L. D. 352) tabled by that Senator earlier in today's session pending considera-

tion; and on further motion by the same Senator, the Senate voted to recede and concur.

Sent forthwith to the engrossing department.

On motion by Mr. Parker of Piscataquis, the Senate voted to take from the table House Report from the Committee on Inland Fisheries and Game, ought not to pass, on bill, "An Act Relating to Hunting with Bow and Arrows." (H. P. 742) (L. D. 1056) tabled by that Senator on May 2 pending consideration of the report.

Mr. PARKER of Piscataquis: Mr. President, I move that this bill be indefinitely postponed and I might add that my reason for doing so is because I find on checking with the Chairman of the Committee on Inland Fish and Game, Senator Carpenter of Somerset, that this is already taken care of in L. D. 1511. This is unnecessary legislation.

The motion prevailed and the bill was indefinitely postponed in non-concurrence.

Sent down for concurrence.

On motion by Mr. Low of Knox Recessed to 1:30 this afternoon.

#### After Recess

On motion by Mr. Reed of Aroostook, the Senate voted to take from the table House Report from the Committee on Legal Affairs: ought not to pass, on bill, "An Act Relating to Quinellas at Harness Race meets." (H. P. 818) (L. D. 1161)

Mr. REED of Aroostook: Mr. President and members of the Senate, before I make a motion regarding this bill, I feel that I owe an explanation and a possible definition of the word "quinella", and at the request of the Chair I will at this time attempt to explain this particular pari-mutuel term. I have heard it referred to, in talking with various members of the legislature as everything from a tropical fruit to a new type of beverage, but it is a bet, a wager on two horses in the same race to finish one-two or two-one. The usual explanation is that it is like a daily double in one race; if two horses come first and second or second and first you win. It is quite a popular bet. Since the hearing additional evidence has

come in, and I talked with the other two Senators on the Legal Affairs Committee and with folks interested in the quinella bet, I am at this time going to move the bill be substituted for the ought not to pass report of the committee in concurrence.

The motion prevailed and the bill was substituted for the report and under suspension of the rules, was given its two several readings and passed to be engrossed in concurrence.

The PRESIDENT: At this time the Chair will appoint as Senate conferees on the Committee of Conference on the disagreeing action of the two Bodies on bill, "An Act Relating to Fortnightly Payment of Salaries and Wages to State Officers and Employees." (S. P. 462) (L. D. 1317) Senators: Rogerson of Aroostook, Lessard of Androscoggin and Pike of Oxford.

On motion by Mr. Low of Knox Recessed until 2:15 this afternoon.

The PRESIDENT: Earlier in this day's session the Senate did enact L. D. 1475, An Act Relating to Municipal Accounting and Audit. In the normal procedure the President of the Senate would not sign the bill until the adjournment motion had carried at the end of the day's legislative session but the office of the Governor has requested that the bill be signed before the end of the session. Is there objection to the Chair signing the bill at this time?

The Chair hears no objection and the bill will be signed.

The PRESIDENT: With reference to bill, An Act Relating to Employment of Minors (H. P. 546) (L. D. 773) and the disagreeing action of the two Bodies, the Chair will appoint as Senate conferees on the Committee of Conference, Senators: Curtis of Cumberland, Hillman of Penobscot and St. Pierre of Androscoggin.

Mr. Curtis of Cumberland presented the following Order

ORDERED: the House concurring that the legislative research committee be and hereby is directed to

make an interim study of the Workmen's Compensation Act and the Social Security Laws to the end that the Ninety-ninth legislature may have a report suggesting such revisions, if any the committee may find to be desirable.

On motion by Mr. Low of Knox, the Order was laid upon the table pending passage.

On motion by Mr. Hillman of Penobscot, the Senate voted to take from the table House Report from the Committee on Transportation: ought to pass, on bill, "An Act Relating to Registration of Farm Trucks." (H. P. 404) (L. D. 534) tabled by that Senator on May 10 pending consideration of the report; and on further motion by the same Senator, the bill was indefinitely postponed in non-concurrence.

Sent down for concurrence.

On motion by Mr. Parker of Piscataquis the Senate voted to take from the table bill, "An Act to Authorize the Construction of a Bridge Across the Passagassawaukeag River at Belfast." (H. P. 997) (L. D. 1425) tabled by that Senator on May 15 pending passage to be engrossed.

Mr. PARKER of Piscataquis: Mr. President and members of the Senate from all of the information that was presented to the Highway Committee, I feel that the passage of this bill would certainly not be in the best interest of either the city of Belfast or the State as a whole. I base my opinion on these facts: that if this bridge is constructed at the present time, it must of necessity be constructed as a toll bridge. If we do not act on this at this session it is very conceivable to believe that in the very near future, as years are in these times, they pass so quickly, it will be constructed because of the fact of its need as years go by and will be constructed by the state and at that time it will not be necessary to have a toll bridge and for that reason I move that this bill be indefinitely postponed.

Mr. COLE of Waldo: Mr. President, I must agree with the Senator from Piscataquis, Senator Parker, that this bridge does need rebuild-

ing very badly. In the sufficiency rating as reported by the highway commission, this last fall, this particular bridge, the Passagassawaukeag bridge is one of very few in sufficiency rating of 50-60; that is the lowest rating of any bridge in the state and I am sure many of you that travel over this bridge realize that its condition is very bad and warrants construction.

It is known now as the floating bridge due to the fact that some of the piers are not setting on solid foundation. It seems to me that at least we should make some effort in building this bridge in the very near future. If the good Senator from Piscataquis can assure me that the state will build this in the near future that will satisfy me. Personally I would rather see a toll-bridge and be sure of the bridge than to go along with the Senator's supposition that this may be built, so I oppose the Senator in his motion.

Mr. BOUCHER of Androscoggin: Mr. President, this reminds me of a story. A Senator was campaigning to be re-elected and he was having quite a hard time doing it. He promised the citizens that he would build them bridges and he raved and raved about the bridges he would build and someone in the audience spoke up and said, "But we haven't any rivers" and the Senator replied "Well, I will make the rivers too."

The PRESIDENT: The question before the Senate is on the motion of the Senator from Piscataquis, Senator Parker, that the bill be indefinitely postponed.

A viva voce vote being had

The motion prevailed.

On motion by Mr. Low of Knox, the Senate voted to take from the table House Report from the Committee on Labor: ought to pass with Committee Amendment A, on bill, "An Act Relating to Duties and Powers of Department of Labor and Industry." (H. P. 423) (L. D. 600)

Mr. LOW of Knox: Mr. President, I move that this bill be indefinitely postponed in non-concurrence.

The motion prevailed and the bill was indefinitely postponed in non-concurrence.



On motion by Mr. Ferguson of Oxford, the Senate voted to take from the table Senate Report from the Committee on Public Health: Ought not to pass, on bill, "An Act Relating to Registration of Nurses" (S. P. 455) (L. D. 1301) tabled by that Senator on April 23 pending consideration of the report; and on further motion by the same Senator, the ought not to pass report was accepted.

Sent down for concurrence.

On motion by Mr. Hurley of Kennebec, the Senate voted to take from the table House Report from the Committee on Labor: Ought not to pass, on bill, "An Act Relating to Employment of Certain Persons," (H. P. 782) (L. D. 1115) tabled by that Senator on April 17 pending consideration of the report; and on further motion by the same Senator, the bill was substituted for the ought not to pass report and read once.

Mr. HURLEY of Kennebec: Mr. President and members of the Senate, this bill is an attempt to correct a situation against older people. When a man asks for a job and has passed the age of 35, we believe it is unfair to say to him, "You are too old." Under this bill one should not refuse to hire a man on account of his age especially if he is between 35 and 65. It is not the intent of this bill to make industry hire these men, it is only intended to show respect for aged people.

Thereupon the bill was tomorrow assigned for second reading.

On motion by Mr. St. Pierre of Androscoggin, the Senate voted to take from the table House Reports from the Committee on Labor: Majority report, ought not to pass; Minority report, ought to pass with Committee Amendment A, on bill, "An Act Relating to Costs of Witness and Attorney Fees under Workmen's Compensation Act." (H. P. 223) (L. D. 318) tabled by that Senator on May 8 pending consideration of the report; and on further motion by the same Senator, the bill was indefinitely postponed in concurrence.

On motion by Mr. St. Pierre of Androscoggin, the Senate voted to take from the table House Reports

from the Committee on Labor: Majority report, ought not to pass; Minority report, ought to pass with Committee Amendment A, on bill, "An Act Relating to Appeals in Workmen Compensation Cases." (H. P. 224) (L. D. 319) tabled by that Senator on May 8 pending consideration of the reports; and on further motion by the same Senator, the ought not to pass report of the committee was accepted.

On motion by Mr. St. Pierre of Androscoggin, the Senate voted to take from the table House Reports from the Committee on Labor: Majority report ought not to pass; Minority report, ought to pass with Committee Amendment A, on bill, "An Act Relating to Application of Workmen's Compensation Act to Certain Employers." (H. P. 222) (L. D. 317) tabled by that Senator on May 8 pending consideration of the reports; and on further motion by the same Senator, the bill was indefinitely postponed in concurrence.

On motion by Mr. Cole of Waldo, the Senate voted to take from the table Bill, "An Act Authorizing Interlocal Cooperation." (S. P. 249) (L. D. 637) tabled by that Senator earlier in today's session pending passage to be enacted.

Mr. COLE of Waldo: Mr. President and members of the Senate, this particular bill is very progressive; so much so that at this late date in our session it is almost impossible to tie it into our present statutes. Rather than come up with something that will not be much use to the municipalities, I now move that this bill be indefinitely postponed.

The motion prevailed and the bill was indefinitely postponed in non-concurrence.

Sent down for concurrence.

Mr. CHARLES of Cumberland: Mr. President and members of the Senate, I move that the Senate reconsider its action whereby earlier in today's session it assigned for second reading bill, "An Act Amending the Civilian Defense Law." S. P. 453) (L. D. 1300)

The motion to reconsider prevailed.

Mr. CHARLES: Mr. President, I now move that the bill and accompanying papers be indefinitely postponed. I have discussed this matter with the members of the Judiciary Committee, with the Chairman and members of the Civil Defense Department and it is generally agreed that this bill should be indefinitely postponed.

The motion prevailed and the bill was indefinitely postponed.

Sent down for concurrence.

#### Additional House Papers Out of Order

Bill, "An Act Relating to Cutting of Christmas Trees." (H. P. 1091) (L. D. 1585)

In Senate May 22, passed to be engrossed as amended by Senate Amendment A in non-concurrence.

Comes from the House, passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment A (Filing No. 511) in non-concurrence.

(Senate Amendment A (Filing No. 508) ignored)

In the Senate, on motion by Mr. Silsby of Hancock, tabled pending further consideration.

Bill, "An Act Relating to Facilities Furnished by Public Utilities for Rate Fixing Purposes." (H. P. 186) (L. D. 249)

In Senate on May 22, passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment A and as amended by Senate Amendment A in non-concurrence.

Comes from House, that body having insisted upon former action whereby the bill was passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment A, now asks for Committee of Conference.

In the Senate, on motion by Mr. Lessard of Androscoggin, the Senate voted to insist and join; and the President appointed as Senate Conferees on said Committee of Conference, Senators: Martin of Kennebec, Rogerson of Aroostook and Lessard of Androscoggin.

"Resolve Authorizing Attorney General to Investigate Title to Certain Island in B Pond, Piscataquis County.

In Senate on May 22, ONTP Report of Committee accepted in non-concurrence.

Comes from House, that body having insisted upon its former action whereby the resolve was substituted for the report and passed to be engrossed, now asks for a Committee of Conference.

The Speaker appointed:

Messrs. DUDLEY of Enfield  
ROLLINS of Belfast  
HARRIS of Greenville

In the Senate, that Body voted to insist and join; and the President appointed as Senate Conferees on the Committee of Conference, Senators: Butler of Franklin, Ferguson of Oxford and Farley of York.

Mr. Ferguson of Oxford presented the following order and moved its passage:

ORDERED, the House concurring, that the Legislative Research Committee be and hereby is directed to study the question of the nursing school training program, with particular emphasis on the question of whether or not the current policy of the Approving Committee is working to the detriment of the over all requirement of an adequate supply of nurses for all of the private hospitals in the state, and whether or not the approving Committee as established by present law should be enlarged to obtain a broader representation of the public interest. The Committee shall report their recommendations to the 99th Legislature.

On motion by Mr. Low of Knox, tabled pending motion by Mr. Ferguson of Oxford for passage.

On motion by Mr. Wyman of Washington

Adjourned until nine-thirty tomorrow morning.