

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

Legislative Record

OF THE

Eighty-Third Legislature

OF THE

STATE OF MAINE

1927

KENNEBEC JOURNAL COMPANY
AUGUSTA, MAINE

HOUSE

Thursday, March 3, 1927.

The House met according to adjournment and was called to order by the Speaker.

Prayer by the Rev. Dr. Haskell of Gardiner.

Journal of the previous session read and approved.

Papers from the Senate disposed of in concurrence.

From the Senate: Bill an act relating to application of gasoline taxes collected, H. P. 765, H. D. 213, which was referred to the committee on Ways and Bridges in the House and later recalled by joint order.

Comes from the Senate referred to the committee on Ways and Bridges jointly in non-concurrence.

In the House, on motion by Mr. Merrill of Dover-Foxcroft, that body voted to recede and concur with the Senate in the reference of this bill.

From the Senate: Bill an act relating to the publication of annual statements of condition of foreign insurance companies, S. P. 154, S. D. 125, which was referred to the committee on Mercantile Affairs and Insurance in concurrence in the House February 11.

Comes from the Senate indefinitely postponed in non-concurrence.

In the House, that body voted to recede and concur with the Senate in the indefinite postponement of this bill.

**Communications from Heads of
Departments**

The following Communication:

State of Maine

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives:

Complying with your Order of February 15, 1927, as amended February 17, 1927 by House Amendment "A", addressed to the Public Utilities Commission, we respectfully submit the statements attached hereto covering such information required by the Order as is on file in the office of the Commission.

Dated at Augusta, Maine, March 1, 1927.

Respectfully submitted:
(Signed) Charles E. Gurney
Herbert W. Trafton
Public Utilities Commission
of Maine.*

On motion by Mr. Wing of Auburn, it was voted that the communication and the statement attached thereto be placed on file; and that 500 copies of the same be printed.

The following bills and remonstrances were received and upon recommendation of the committee on reference of bills were referred to the following committees:

Agriculture

By Mr. McIntire of Norway: An act to regulate the sale of substitutes for butter. (H. P. 978)
(500 copies ordered printed)

Inland Fisheries and Game

By Mr. Williams of Webster: An act relating to the taking of white perch for the purpose of science, dissemination and cultivation. (H. P. 975)

By Mr. Bailey of Harmony: An act relating to closed season on deer in Somerset County. (H. P. 976)

(On motion of Mr. Piper of Jackman, 500 copies ordered printed)

By Mr. Wood of Patten: An act to regulate the trapping of fur bearing animals. (H. P. 980)

Public Utilities

By Mr. Thurston of Appleton: An act relating to electrical companies and the posting of their rates, tolls and charges in various cities, towns and at Court Houses. (H. P. 977)

(On motion of Mr. Thurston of Appleton, 500 copies ordered printed)

Ways and Bridges

By Mr. MacKinnon of Mexico: An act relating to the removal of snow from state highways. (H. P. 979)

(On motion of Mr. Holman of Bangor, 500 copies ordered printed)

By Mr. Rawley of St. George: An act relating to a tax upon gasoline (H. P. No. 981)

(On motion by Mr. Rawley of St. George tabled pending reference and specially assigned for Wednesday, March 9, and 1500 copies ordered printed)

By Mr. Rawley of St. George: Remonstrances of B. M. Mathews of Swans Island, Me., and 156 others (H. P. No. 982), Martin L. Tracy of Winter Harbor and 81 others (H. P. No. 983), R. S. Nash of Friendship, Me., and 129 others (H. P. No. 984), Wallace A. Hutchins of Kittery Point and 69 others (H. P. No. 985), Lloyd

E. Leland of Round Pond, Me., and 85 others (H. P. No. 986), MacNichol Packing Co. of Eastport, Me., and 128 others (H. P. No. 987), Leslie P. Davis of Monhegan Island, Maine, and 103 others (H. P. No. 988), Austin M. Ames of Matineicus Island, Me., and 100 others (H. P. No. 989), Fred Lewis of McKinley, Me., and 177 others (H. P. No. 990), Fred Simmons of Port Clyde and 130 others (H. P. No. 991), and Guy Mason of Cutler, Maine, and 129 others (H. P. No. 992), against any gasoline tax for fishermen.

Mr. CHASE of Cape Elizabeth: Mr. Speaker, I wish to inquire through the Chair of the gentleman from St. George, Mr. Rawley, whether these remonstrances relate to the bill which was just tabled. They should obviously go to the same committee as the bill so tabled, pending reference.

Mr. RAWLEY of St. George: Mr. Speaker, I will say that the reference of the bill and remonstrances to the committees on ways and bridges and taxation is very acceptable to me. The only reason I am holding up this bill is that Senator Spear is introducing another bill similar to this in the Senate, and whichever is the better bill we will try and put through.

Orders

Mr. Hammond of Van Buren presented the following order and moved its passage:

Ordered, the Senate concurring, that H. D. 181, bill, An Act relating to the registration of motor vehicles, be returned to the House for the committee on ways and bridges.

The order received passage and sent up for concurrence.

Mr. Kinsman of Augusta presented the following order and moved its passage:

Ordered, the Senate concurring, that H. P. 889, bill, An Act relative to the salary of the mayor of the city of Augusta, be returned to the House from the committee on salaries and fees.

The order received passage, and sent up for concurrence.

Reports of Committees

Mr. Dudley from the committee on judiciary reported ought not to pass on bill, an act relating to actions for death resulting from injuries. (H. P. No. 364) (H. D. No. 79)

Mr. Hale from same committee reported same on bill, an act relating to enlarging a public cemetery or

burying ground. (H. P. No. 367) (H. D. No. 90)

Mr. Patterson from same committee reported same on bill, an act relating to foreclosure of mortgages. (H. P. No. 280) (H. D. No. 67)

Mr. Wing from same committee reported same on bill, an act to prohibit the nomination of an individual of more than one political party. (H. P. No. 528) (H. D. No. 137)

Mr. Hathaway from the committee on taxation reported same on bill, an act relating to exemption of certain property from taxation. (H. P. No. 398) (H. D. No. 105)

Mr. Hammond from same committee reported same on bill, an act relating to taxation of savings banks. (H. P. No. 397) (H. D. No. 104)

Reports read and accepted and sent up for concurrence.

Mr. Farrington from the committee on agriculture reported ought to pass on bill, an act to provide for the payment of a bounty on bears killed in the State. (H. P. No. 234)

(Tabled by Mr. Wing of Auburn pending acceptance of the report).

Mr. Hale from the committee on judiciary reported same on bill, an act authorizing and empowering the register of deeds for the county of Cumberland to make a true copy of contents of Vol. 151 of the Cumberland county records of deeds and to certify that it is a true copy. (H. P. No. 368)

Mr. Aldrich from same committee reported same on bill, an act to provide for the purchase of books for the Penobscot county law library. (H. P. No. 369)

Reports read and accepted and the bills ordered printed under the joint rules.

First Reading of Printed Bills and Resolves

H. P. No. 130, H. D. No. 294. An act to regulate fishing in the outlet of Grand Lake, so-called, wholly or partly in the Forest City, so-called, an unorganized township in the county of Washington.

H. P. No. 106, H. D. No. 295. An act to protect pheasants in the town of Poland in the county of Androscoggin.

H. P. No. 386, H. D. No. 296. An act relating to juvenile institutions.

H. P. No. 545, H. D. No. 300. An act relating to exemption from taxation of the estates of war veterans.

H. P. No. 964, H. D. No. 301. Resolve in favor of Louise D. Mayhew of Mount Vernon in lieu of teacher's pension.

H. P. No. 476, H. D. No. 297. Re-

solve in favor of Cora M. Perkins, providing a State pension.

H. P. No. 537, H. D. No. 298. Resolve providing for a State pension for Mary J. French of Carmel.

H. P. No. 538, H. D. No. 299. Resolve providing for a State pension for Emily Noddin of Kenduskeag.

Passed to be Engrossed

(S. P. No. 122) (S. D. No. 48) An act to amend Chapter 62 of the Public Laws of 1923 relating to the production and sale of certified seed.

(S. P. No. 178) (S. D. No. 139) An act relating to taking of alewives in Eastern Penobscot River in the town of Orland.

(H. P. No. 136) (H. D. No. 168) An act relative to passes on common carriers for Public Utilities Commission.

(S. P. No. 63) (S. D. No. 127) Resolve in favor of Mrs Daniel Jewett.

(S. P. No. 124) (S. D. No. 128) Resolve to reimburse the city of Bath for support of William A. Griffin, a former resident of Malaga Island.

(S. P. No. 23) (S. D. No. 129) Resolve in favor of the town of Athens for reimbursement for sheep killed by dogs.

(S. P. No. 99) (S. D. No. 130) Resolve to reimburse the town of Smyrna for support of John Pomeroy, a Civil War veteran.

(H. P. No. 674) (H. D. No. 179) Resolve for the purchase of 125 copies of the History of the town of Dresden.

Orders of the Day

The SPEAKER: Under orders of the day the Chair lays before the House the motion of the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Rounds, to table pending assignment for third reading an act relating to excise tax on railroads, H. P. 65, H. D. 23.

Mr. ROUNDS of Portland: Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my motion to table, and move that the rules be suspended and the bill be given its third reading at this time.

I will state, Mr Speaker and members of the House, that I have figures made up showing what it would cost the State of Maine if these different railroads had an excise tax, and it is a very large sum. It is a sum that has got to be raised by taxation somewhere

and nobody knows at the present time where. There seems to be quite a feeling that it should have its third reading but I would like to have it so that I could give the figures to this House showing just what it will cost the State of Maine if this thing goes through. You know this tax is only collected by the State and sent back for whatever it is worth at the time, both as to the common stock and preferred. I move that the rules be suspended and the bill be given its third reading at this time.

The motion prevailed and the bill received its third reading.

Mr. THURSTON of Appleton: Mr. Speaker, I move that the bill lie on the table until Tuesday next.

Calls of "No".

Mr. PIPER of Jackman: Mr. Speaker, I would like to make an inquiry.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman will state his inquiry.

Mr. PIPER: How long has this been on the table.

The SPEAKER: The bill was tabled in the House February 17.

Mr. LAIT of Old Town: Mr. Speaker. I ask for a division.

The SPEAKER: The question is on the motion of the gentleman from Appleton, Mr. Thurston, that this matter lie upon the table, and a division is requested. As many as are in favor of the motion to table will rise and stand until counted and the monitors will return the count.

A division of the House being had,

Eleven voting in the affirmative and 99 in the negative, the motion to table failed of passage.

The SPEAKER: The question before the House is now upon the passage to be engrossed as amended.

Thereupon the bill as amended, having had its three several readings was passed to be engrossed.

The SPEAKER: The Chair lays before the House, tabled and today assigned, an act relating to insurance upon lives of directors, officers, agents and employees of corporations, and prescribing what shall constitute evidence of due authority for all corporate actions with

reference thereto, H. P. 939, H. D. 287, tabled by Mr. Decker of Portland, March 2, pending third reading, and the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Decker.

Mr. DECKER of Portland: Mr. Speaker, I yield the floor to the gentleman from Auburn, Mr. Wing.

Mr. WING: Mr. Speaker, I move the indefinite postponement of this bill. I am assured by its sponsors that that is entirely acceptable to them.

Thereupon the motion to indefinitely postpone prevailed.

Mr. WING: Mr. Speaker, in order that it may properly die, I move that we reconsider the vote, and of course I hope it will fail of passage.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Auburn, Mr. Wing, moves that the House reconsider its action just taken whereby this bill was indefinitely postponed. Is this the pleasure of the House.

A viva voce vote being taken, the motion to reconsider failed of passage.

The SPEAKER: The Chair lays before the House H. P. 47, S. D. 11, an act relating to advertising signs along public ways, tabled on March 2, by Mr. Hale of Portland, pending assignment for third reading, specially assigned for March 3.

Mr. HALE of Portland: Mr. Speaker, I move the indefinite postponement of this bill. I desire to say a very few words on the subject. The bill is S. D. 11. I would like to have the members turn to it. At the last session of the Legislature we passed a statute designed to clean up the public highways and to have all signs removed therefrom except signs maintained with the approval of the State Highway Commission solely for the purpose of safeguarding and facilitating and directing travel along the highways. There is no restriction as to signboards on private lands now. The law passed at the last session relates only to highways. Now, at this session, a gentleman came before us and offered this bill relative to hotels and public camps, and they suggested that it would be convenient for such hotels and public camps that such signs be erected

along the highways. From many points of view there was something to be said for the bill, and the majority of the Judiciary Committee rendered an "ought to pass" report. Three members of the committee, of whom I was one, reported "ought not to pass", for the reason that it seemed to us inexpedient to make an exception simply of these two classes of business as against other classes of business, and we felt that if this bill became a law, other industries or occupations might ask for similar exemption.

The bill came into this House and the "ought to pass" report was accepted. The gentleman from Kennebunk, (Mr. Littlefield) offered an amendment to include with public camps and hotels, farms. I do not blame the gentleman from Kennebunk. I voted with him, and think he was perfectly right. But I do think that if we go on making exceptions to this wholesome and salutary law that we passed at the last session, we shall accomplish by indirection its entire repeal. I see no reason why, having excepted camps and hotels and farms, we should not go on and except peanut stands and garages and any other kind of stores, and if we do go on, as I think we logically should, we shall have repealed the law passed at the last session, which I think was a good and sound law. For this reason I ask an indefinite postponement.

Mr. LITTLEFIELD of Kennebunk: Mr. Speaker, I did not expect to say a word on this, but I think, perhaps, Representative Hale may be right in some of his views. And it certainly seems to me that where we all take so much interest in building these roads and getting people onto these roads, we should have some way to direct them to where they can go to stay over night or to get their dinner or some apples or grapes or anything they want. I hope this motion will not prevail.

Mr. HALE: Mr. Speaker, a very brief answer to the suggestion of the gentleman from Kennebunk, (Mr. Littlefield). One is that signs directing travel may be placed in the highway, if approved by the State Highway Commission, and on private lands without the approval

of anybody except the owner of the land.

Mr. McKNIGHT of Poland: Mr. Speaker, I would like to say a word on this sign business. It seems that this bill originally was headed all right but as soon as the farmers came on and wanted signs put up to direct people to their farms, there began to be an opposition to this bill. Personally, I can see where if everyone were allowed to put up signs around these corners, it would become a nuisance. On the other hand, it is as much an advantage to farmers to be allowed to put up signs directing people to their farms as it is for the hotels to be allowed to put up signs. While I am willing that summer camps and hotels and others dependent on summer business should have a chance, the farmers should have as much chance. In regard to this bill, it relates geographically more to farmers and summer hotels and camps than to city people. The summer camps and hotels are in the country, and so are the farms. Should the baker and butcher and all those fellows have the same privilege? They are more in the city. This bill would affect these camps and farms and hotels in the country more than the city people. I am in favor of this amendment to the original bill.

Mr. WING of Kingfield: Mr. Speaker, as one of the committee I signed the majority report and still am in favor of the passage of the bill with the addition of the amendment. I think the committee were convinced that there was a real need of allowing the people who run these boys' and girls' camps here in the summer time to post signs in the towns in which the camps are located and possibly in adjoining towns, directing people to these camps. That was the main argument which they made. These summer camps have thousands of boys and girls coming to them and, as a general rule, are located in some out-of-the-way places. Without the right to put up billboards directing to those camps, people coming to see their children would have to travel all over the country. They were even called a nuisance to the neighborhood in which camps were located, because they were

asking people to get out of bed at all times of night to direct them to those camps, and sometimes they could not direct them because there were half a dozen turns necessary to get to them, with no one living on the road.

As far as directing to farms is concerned, I do not anticipate that this privilege will be abused. There are a few very prominent farms in the State of Maine to which very many people travel, and I see no objection to their being allowed to place, at the corner of the road, a respectable sign. In a good many places you are not able to get the privilege to put these signs on private land. I believe that some of the committee who were in favor of allowing hotels and boys' camps to put up the signs are perfectly willing that the farmers should do the same thing, and I anticipate the privilege will not be abused.

Mr. MERRILL of Dover-Foxcroft: Mr. Speaker, I had not contemplated saying a word on this question, but I just want to add my bit. It seems to me that if we depart from the law as it now exists, we are bound to work an inequality in the administration of the proposed law. If we let it remain as it is, everybody is served like everybody else. It rests with the Highway Commission. I believe that there should be no signs except directional signs for towns. If we permit signs of various kinds, we cannot very well draw the line, if we permit anything but directional signs, it will be as Mr. Hale has said. The first time I drove through Massachusetts I had an amusing experience. I arrived somewhere along the turnpike at dusk and was uncertain of my direction. In the dusk there loomed up a board like a directional sign. I climbed out of my machine and went, with a spotlight, up to the sign, expecting to find some direction, and was much amused to find that the sign said "Sweet Cider", with a hand pointing. That is the case we will find ourselves in. It might be agreeable, but it would not help you on the way.

Mr. PAGE of Skowhegan: Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question.

The SPEAKER: The previous question is moved. As many as are

in favor of the previous question will rise.

Mr. SNOW of Brunswick: Mr. Speaker, may I ask can signs be put up on private property?

The SPEAKER: The Chair will have to state that the gentleman is out of order because the previous question has been moved, and the gentleman will have to withhold his remarks until the vote is taken. Those in favor of the previous question will stand, and the monitors will return the count.

Mr. PAGE of Skowhegan: Mr. Speaker, it has been suggested by some members in my vicinity that they would like further information as to what we are voting on.

The SPEAKER: The Chair will state that the gentleman from Skowhegan has moved that the previous question be put, and the question is on the motion of Mr. Hale (of Portland) to indefinitely postpone the bill. The motion now is that the debate be stopped and the previous question put.

A division of the House being had,

Fifty-two having voted in the affirmative, one-third of the House being necessary to order the previous question, the motion prevailed, and the previous question was ordered.

The SPEAKER: The question before the motion of the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Hale, to indefinitely postpone is: Shall the main question be now put? Those in favor will rise and stand until counted and the monitors will return the count. It is necessary to have the negative vote on this because it might possibly be a tie.

A division of the House being had,

Seventy-four having voted in the affirmative and 17 in the negative, and a majority being required, the motion for the previous question prevailed.

The SPEAKER: The question now before the House is on the motion of Mr. Hale of Portland, to indefinitely postpone the bill. As many as are in favor of its indefinite postponement will say aye; those opposed no.

A viva voce vote being doubted,

A division of the house was had,

Seventy-seven having voted in the affirmative and 48 in the negative, the motion to indefinitely postpone prevailed.

Mr. HALE of Portland: I move that we re-consider the vote we have just taken, and I hope the House will not support me.

A viva voce vote being taken, the motion to reconsider failed of passage.

The SPEAKER: The Chair lays before the House joint order relative to investigation of operation of Workmen's Compensation Law, H. D. 259, tabled by Mr. Foster of Ellsworth March 2, pending reference to committee of Mercantile Affairs and Insurance in non-concurrence. The Chair notes that the gentleman from Ellsworth, Mr. Foster, is not in his seat.

Mr. WING of Auburn: Mr. Speaker, I move that Mr. Foster be sent for.

The SPEAKER: The Chair will state that the messenger has already gone after him.

(At this point Mr. Foster appeared in the House)

The SPEAKER: The Chair will state that the matter which was tabled by the gentleman from Ellsworth, Mr. Foster, on March 2, is now before the House.

Mr. FOSTER: Mr. Speaker, I am very sorry to have kept the members waiting. I am going to ask the gentleman from Topsham, Mr. Aldrich, if he will withdraw his motion previously made.

Mr. ALDRICH of Topsham: Mr. Speaker, I am pleased at the request of the gentleman from Ellsworth, to withdraw my motion for reference on the order that he may, as I understand it, submit an amendment to the order which is before us, with the understanding that after this amendment is passed on I expect to renew my motion for reference.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Topsham, Mr. Aldrich, states that he withdraws his motion to refer this to a committee.

On motion by Mr. Wing of Auburn the House granted Mr. Aldrich of Topsham permission to withdraw his motion.

Mr. FOSTER of Ellsworth: Mr. Speaker, I offer the following amendment and move its adoption:

House Amendment B to House Document 259.

"Amend said document by striking out all of the last paragraph and substituting therefor the following:

'Said committee before it makes any engagements or incurs any expense shall formulate its general plan, obtain estimates of the expense of the investigation proposed, submit its said plan with said estimate to the Governor and Council, and, if that body approves, said committee shall be authorized to make its in-

vestigation along the line it has proposed and to the extent, so far as expense is concerned, as has been approved by the Governor and Council'".

Mr. FOSTER: Mr. Speaker, that amendment has not been accepted has it?

The SPEAKER: It has not.

Thereupon amendment B was adopted

Mr. ALDRICH of Topsham: Mr. Speaker, I move that the order as amended be referred to the Committee on Mercantile Affairs and Insurance. If I may be permitted to say a few words, I wish to do so. The order as it appeared here originally and as it stands now provides for an investigation by a committee representing employees and employers who shall be directed and authorized to make a full investigation of the operation during the last ten years of the Workmen's Compensation Law of this State. And it then goes on and authorizes this committee, subject to the amendment which has just been passed, to employ, at the expense of the State, all necessary experts.

It is my judgment that, before an order of this kind is passed which thereby carries with it the approval of this House to the proposition that such an investigation shall be made, this House should know whether it is in the interest of the State of Maine to spend any money for such an investigation. And I submit that the amendment just offered simply delegates the power and duty of this House, as I see it, to the Governor and Council to determine not whether there shall be an investigation, for if we pass the order, you yourselves determine as to that, but as to how that shall be conducted you delegate to the Governor and Council. And it is my theory that before this House votes for an investigation at all it should find from the appropriate committee formed especially for the purpose of investigating matters of this kind—should find by the report of such a committee whether or not such an investigation is desired and necessary. And if such committee reports to this House that it is necessary and that the State of Maine is warranted in going to the expense of such an investigation, then I have no doubt that this House will unanimously approve the report of that

committee and declare for such an investigation.

The only point I make is that before we approve such an investigation, we should know whether or not such an investigation is needed or is desired or is in the interest of the insurance situation in this State. My only purpose in suggesting that it be referred to this committee on Mercantile Affairs and Insurance is that it is the only committee appointed by this House to determine just such questions. It is in that spirit that I make the motion to refer.

Mr. FOSTER of Ellsworth: Mr. Speaker, very briefly, we have been discussing this matter with the insurance companies directly this morning, and some of their agents, and while it is a very unusual thing to refer an order to a committee, we have no objection to it at all. As a matter of fact, they have agreed to recommend to the committee to whom it shall be referred that the order shall have a favorable report. Under those conditions, it will eliminate, I think, any further discussion on this matter, and I move you, sir, that this be referred to a committee.

The SPEAKER: The question before the House is on the motion of the gentleman from Topsham, Mr. Aldrich, that this order be referred to the committee on Mercantile Affairs and Insurance in non-concurrence.

The motion prevailed.

Mr. CLIFFORD of Bath: Mr. Speaker, I would like permission to introduce a resolve out of order. This resolve is in favor of the Bath Military and Naval Orphan Asylum and its introduction was delayed by sickness.

Thereupon the rules were suspended and the resolve in favor of Bath Military and Naval Orphan Asylum was received out of order, and referred to the committee on Appropriations and Financial Affairs.

The SPEAKER: Is there any other matter under orders of the day that any member can remove from the table?

On motion by Mr. Roy of Lewiston it was voted to take from the table H. P. 471, "Brief Biographies, Maine, a Biographical Dictionary of Who's Who in Maine, Vol. 1, 1926-27," tabled by that gentleman yesterday.

Mr. ROY of Lewiston: Mr. Speaker, I move that we reconsider the vote whereby we accepted the report of the committee on Library, ought not to pass, and request that this resolve be recommitted to the committee from whence it came.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Roy, moves that the House reconsider and recommit the resolve which the Chair will state is the same action taken in the Senate, and that the motion is to re-cede and concur.

Thereupon the House voted to re-cede and concur with the Senate in the recommitment of the resolve to the committee on Library.

On motion by Mr. Patterson of

Castine it was voted to take from the table H. P. 141, H. D. 147, an act granting Charles H. Scott the right to maintain a ferry across Eggemoggin Reach in Hancock county, tabled by that gentleman March 2, pending passage to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment A; and on further motion by the same gentleman the bill, as amended by House Amendment A was passed to be engrossed in non-concurrence with the action of the Senate, and sent up for concurrence.

On motion by Mr. Briggs of Monticello,

Adjourned until ten o'clock tomorrow morning.