

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

LEGISLATIVE RECORD

OF THE

***One Hundred and Twelfth
Legislature***

OF THE

STATE OF MAINE

Volume I

FIRST REGULAR SESSION

December 5, 1984 - June 20, 1985

HOUSE

Wednesday, April 3, 1985

The House met according to adjournment and was called to order by the Speaker.

Prayer by Rabbi Raymond Krinsky, Beth Israel Congregation, Waterville.

Quorum called; was held.

The Journal of yesterday was read and approved.

Papers from the Senate

Bill "An Act to Amend the Maine Education Statute to Prohibit the Teaching of Alternative Lifestyles in Maine's Public Schools" (S.P. 432) (L.D. 1199)

Came from the Senate, referred to the Committee on Education and Ordered Printed.

Was referred to the Committee on Education in concurrence.

Bill "An Act to Further Protect Significant and Outstanding Rivers and to Equalize their Treatment in Organized Municipalities and Plantations" (S.P. 433) (L.D. 1200)

Came from the Senate, referred to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources and Ordered Printed.

Was referred to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources in concurrence.

Later Today Assigned

Bill "An Act Relating to Alcohol-related Birth Defects" (S.P. 431) (L.D. 1198)

Came from the Senate, referred to the Committee on Human Resources and Ordered Printed.

(The Committee on Reference of Bills had suggested reference to the Joint Select Committee on Alcoholism Services.)

On motion of Representative Nelson of Portland, tabled pending reference and later today assigned.

Bill "An Act to Reduce the Costs of Workers' Compensation" (S.P. 434) (L.D. 1201)

Came from the Senate, referred to the Committee on Labor and Ordered Printed.

Was referred to the Committee on Labor in concurrence.

Bill "An Act to Involve the Legislature in Establishing Equal Pay for Jobs of Comparable Worth in State Government" (S.P. 435) (L.D. 1202)

Came from the Senate, referred to the Committee on State Government and Ordered Printed.

Was referred to the Committee on State Government in concurrence.

Bill "An Act to Require Public Utilities Commission Approval of Significant Agreements and Contracts by Public Utilities" (S.P. 436) (L.D. 1203)

Came from the Senate, referred to the Committee on Utilities and Ordered Printed.

Was referred to the Committee on Utilities in concurrence.

Non-Concurrent Matter

Bill "An Act Relating to Retirement Benefits of Superior Court Employees" (H.P. 81) (L.D. 101) which was passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment "C" (H-52) in the House on April 1, 1985.

Came from the Senate passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment "C" (H-52) as amended by Senate Amendment "A" (S-38) thereto in non-concurrence.

The House voted to recede and concur.

Non-Concurrent Matter

An Act to Establish a Maine Rivers Grants Program (Emergency) (H.P. 100) (L.D. 125) (C. "A" H-12; H. "A" H-26) which failed of passage to be enacted in the House on April 1, 1985.

Came from the Senate passed to be engross-

ed as amended by Committee Amendment "A" (H-12) and House Amendment "A" (H-26) and Senate Amendment "B" (S-37) in non-concurrence.

The House voted to recede and concur.

Orders

On motion of Representative McSWEENEY of Old Orchard Beach, the following Order:

ORDERED, that Representative Mary Clark Webster of Cape Elizabeth be excused April 3 and 4 for personal reasons.

Was read and passed.

Reports of Committees**Unanimous Ought Not to Pass**

Representative HIGGINS from the Committee on Taxation on Bill "An Act to Repeal the Unitary Tax" (H.P. 205) (L.D. 239) reporting "Ought Not to Pass"

Was placed in the Legislative Files without further action pursuant to Joint Rule 15 and sent up for concurrence.

Divided Report

Majority Report of the Committee on Human Resources reporting "Ought to Pass" as amended by Committee Amendment "A" (H-53) on Bill "An Act to Establish Policies Governing Smoking in Places of Work" (H.P. 235) (L.D. 276)

Signed:

Senators:

BERUBE of Androscoggin
GILL of Cumberland

Representatives:

NELSON of Portland
BRODEUR of Auburn
MANNING of Portland
CARROLL of Gray
MELENDY of Rockland
ROLDE of York
PINES of Limestone
SEAVEY of Kennebunkport
KIMBALL of Buxton
TAYLOR of Camden

Minority Report of the same Committee reporting "Ought to Pass" as amended by Committee Amendment "B" (H-54) on same Bill.

Signed:

Senator:

BUSTIN of Kennebec

Reports were read.

Representative Nelson of Portland moved acceptance of the Majority "Ought to Pass" Report.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the Representative from Canton, Representative McCollister.

Representative McCOLLISTER: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: We have, for years, seen smoking bills come through here and passed but today we clearly see a smoking bill that is truly a harassment to the smokers and to the small employer.

The bill says that you must establish a policy and if you don't, you will be fined. The policy doesn't do anything for the employers or the employees. It is truly and strictly, in my opinion, a harassment by the people who are advocating non-smoking.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the Representative from Portland, Representative Nelson.

Representative NELSON: Mr. Speaker, Men and Women of the House: I would appreciate it if you could take out your Committee Amendment "A" on the pink slip and take a look at the last page — it talks about exactly what the bill does and if you want to talk about harassment, the bill is intended to allow 70 percent of the people of the state, who do not smoke, it does not state that there would be a non-smoking policy, it only states that there should be a policy, mutually decided upon by both the employees and the employer and that that policy should be established and posted. If you are unable to come to an agreement,

then the Bureau of Health may come and have a mediator, in some way, help negotiate for that reasonable policy for all people in the work place. It does not say there is going to be no smoking in the workplace. This is a policy established mutually, a policy I might add, a committee amendment I might add, that has the endorsement of the Chamber of Commerce, small business people, the unions, — this amendment before us is what we are talking about and if you have questions regarding it, you also have on a yellow sheet the full explanation of what it does and does not do.

We are asking a reasonable approach for those people who have to work, who have to be in an environment for eight hours a day, who, for whatever reasons, might be uncomfortable, whether it is because they are wearing contact lenses and the smoke bothers them or they have asthma or they have some physical disability and they really can't go to their boss and say, I can't stand it, then you ought to leave. So for those people who have to work, they can't leave and that is what this amendment is asking us to do for the 70 percent of the people who need this and for the over 5,000 people who will die this year through sidestream smoking. We are not talking about those people who are smoking, we are talking about the rights of the person who is forced to be in a place of employment for eight hours a day who do not smoke and who find it uncomfortable.

Please, before we go any further, read the Committee Amendment "A" and if you have time, glance through the question and answer paper that is on your desk. I think it will answer your concerns.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the Representative from Lewiston, Representative Aliberti.

Representative ALIBERTI: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: I rise to plead your support for the policy making non-smoking bill that has been presented by Representative Nelson. If this illustrious body can enact within itself a rule that prohibits smoking here as an example, I think we can expect other areas to do the same thing. It takes initiative. One of the leading racetracks in this state has initiated a non-smoking area, the first of its kind in the country and I think that that is creditable. Being a strictly Camel smoker for many years, I hope the people can enjoy a smoke free working place.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the Representative from Hampden, Representative Willey.

Representative WILLEY: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: I don't suppose there is anybody here who has any question which side of this question I am on. I am 67 years old now and I have been smoking since I was about 16 years old and I have enjoyed every single cigarette, I guess, and I am not exactly a picture of health I know but I see many around me that are even in worse condition than I am in who never smoked a bit. I guess the thing that I resent the most on the non-smoking issue is the part where people say, no, please don't smoke, and I don't smoke, but nevertheless I see those same people in the disco or a bar or whatever where the smoke is so thick that you can cut it and they are as happy as the devil there but they can't stand it in the workplace or the store or anywhere else but if it is a place of amusement, they don't have a bit of problem with it.

I don't have any problem with making rules and regulations insofar as the workplace is concerned but this goes on and on into other areas. Every year we deprive ourselves of another freedom somehow or another and I would suppose that this is just a step in that direction. It would certainly point that way because there are other bills in to affect smoking in other ways. It is certainly going to go this way—that there will be less smoking, I suppose, involved

the future than in the past and perhaps that is good. Nevertheless, I do hate the infringements on my personal life and a way of life as far as I am concerned. At this point, I am not worried too much about the workplace because I ceased working four years ago unless you consider this work here and I guess it is more of an aggravation than a job but it is a workplace and I know that — I guess all I would ask for at this point is a roll call.

The SPEAKER: For the Chair to order a roll call, it must have the expressed desire of more than one-fifth of the members present and voting. Those in favor will vote yes; those opposed will vote no.

A vote of the House was taken and, obviously, more than one-fifth of the members present and voting having expressed a desire for a roll call, a roll call was ordered.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the Representative from Lisbon, Representative Jalbert.

Representative JALBERT: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: I am not a believer, I have never been against big daddy government, telling everyone what to do. I am a former four pack a day smoker. I believe that something should be done but I believe it should be left to the individual towns or establishments to decide which part of their establishment should have no smoking. It is getting more and more to be a big daddy government from Augusta and Washington — they are going to be telling us what time to get up, what time to go to bed, what type of clothes to wear and I think it is about time that we left it up to the individual towns or establishments.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the Representative from Gray, Representative Carroll.

Representative CARROLL: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: Committee Amendment "A" does just exactly what the good Representative who was just speaking wants it to do. It leaves it up to the individual establishments and the employer and the employee to establish whatever policy that they can mutually agree upon. I think this is the committee amendment that we worked very hard on — some of us are not real happy with it but most of us agree that this was the best way to do it — everybody then has their say and it is a mutually agreed upon policy by all people who will be working at institutions or establishments.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the Representative from York, Representative Rolde.

Representative ROLDE: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: Very briefly, when I first came to the legislature, which is basically our work place, I sat in Seat 26 and in Seat 25 and Seat 27 I had smokers so the smoke just kept drifting across and I lived in a complete cloud most of the time. Now we have established a policy in this body on what to do about smoking in the work place. I like that policy. It is no smoking whatsoever and when we had this bill before us, one of the opponents who came and talked against it, was a worker who had taken a day off from his job, he was a state employee, and he was opposed to the bill because he felt that the policy that the state had right now, which is a voluntary policy, was not working and he wanted to see a ban too. That is basically my position. We have worked this out with the business people, they have agreed that this is a reasonable approach to take and the only ones who apparently are opposed to it are the tobacco institutes. I urge you very strongly to support this legislation.

The SPEAKER: The pending question before the House is the motion of the Representative from Portland, Representative Nelson, that the House accept the Majority "Ought to Pass" Report.

The Chair recognizes the Representative from Cumberland, Representative Dillenback.

Representative DILLENBACK: Mr. Speaker, I wish to pair my vote with the Representative from Cape Elizabeth, Representative Webster. If she were present and voting, she would be voting yes; I would be voting no.

The SPEAKER: The pending question before the House is the motion of the Representative from Portland, Representative Nelson, that the House accept the Majority "Ought to Pass" Report. Those in favor will vote yes; those opposed will vote no.

Roll Call No. 24

YEAS:—Aliberti, Allen, Beaulieu, Begley, Bell, Bost, Bott, Bragg, Brannigan, Cahill, Carroll, Clark, Connolly, Cooper, Cote, Crouse, Crowley, Daggett, Davis, Dellert, Descoteaux, Dexter, Diamond, Drinkwater, Erwin, Farnum, Foss, Greenlaw, Gwadosky, Handy, Hayden, Hepburn, Hichborn, Hickey, Higgins, H.C.; Higgins, L.M.; Hillock, Hoglund, Holloway, Jacques, Joseph, Kimball, Lawrence, Lord, MacBride, Manning, Masterman, Mayo, McGowan, McHenry, McPherson, Melendy, Michael, Michaud, Mills, Mitchell, Murphy, T.W.; Murray, Nadeau, G.G.; Nelson, Nicholson, Nickerson, O'Gara Paradis, E.J.; Paradis, P.E.; Pines, Pouliot, Priest, Racine, Randall, Reeves, Rice, Richard, Rioux, Roberts, Rolde, Seavey, Simpson, Small, Sproul, Stetson, Stevens, P.; Stevenson, Swazey, Tardy, Taylor, Telow, Vose, Warren, Wentworth, Weymouth, Whitcomb.

NAYS:—Armstrong, Baker, A.L.; Bonney, Brown, A.K.; Brown, D.N.; Callahan, Carter, Cashman, Coles, Connors, Duffy, Foster, Hale, Harper, Ingraham, Jackson, Jalbert, LaCroix, Lander, Law, Lebowitz, Lisnik, Macomber, Martin, H.C.; Matthews, McCollister, McSweeney, MoHolland, Murphy, E.M.; Parent, Paul, Perry, Ridley, Rotondi, Ruhlin, Salisbury, Scarpino, Sherburne, Smith, C.W.; Soucy, Stevens, A.G.; Strout, Tammaro, Thierault, Walker, Willey, Zirkilont.

ABSENT:—Baker, H.R.; Boutilier, Brodeur, Carrier, Chonko, Kane, Nadeau, G.R.; Rydell, Smith, C.B.; The Speaker.

PAIRED:—Dillenback—Webster.

92 having voted in the affirmative and 47 in the negative with 10 being absent and 2 paired, the Majority "Ought to Pass" Report was accepted and the bill read once.

Committee Amendment "A" (H-53) was read by the Clerk and adopted and the Bill assigned for Second Reading tomorrow.

Consent Calendar

First Day

In accordance with House Rule 49, the following items appeared on the Consent Calendar for the First Day:

(S.P. 133) (L.D. 372) Bill "An Act to Provide for Licensing of Companies Who Apply Pesticides as Custom or Commercial Applicators" Committee on Agriculture reporting "Ought to Pass"

(S.P. 111) (L.D. 326) Bill "An Act to Require the Employer to Pay for Eye Examinations Under a Company Mandated Eyeglass Safety Program for Eyeglass Wearers" Committee on Labor reporting "Ought to Pass" as amended by Committee Amendment "A" (S-36)

(S.P. 168) (L.D. 436) RESOLVE, Regarding a Study of the Personnel Law Committee on State Government reporting "Ought to Pass" as amended by Committee Amendment "A" (S-35)

(H.P. 316) (L.D. 405) Bill "An Act to Make Permanent the Special Fuel Tax Act" (Emergency) Committee on Taxation reporting "Ought to Pass"

There being no objections, the above items were ordered to appear on the Consent Calendar of Thursday, April 4, 1985 under the listing of Second Day.

Passed to Be Engrossed

Tabled and Assigned

Bill "An Act Concerning the Distribution of

Atlantic Salmon Smolts and the Conservation of Atlantic Salmon" (Emergency) (H.P. 836) (L.D. 1180)

Was reported by the Committee on Bills in the Second Reading and read the second time.

On motion of Representative Vose of Eastport, tabled pending passage to be engrossed and tomorrow assigned.

Orders of the Day

The Chair laid before the House the first tabled and today assigned matter:

HOUSE DIVIDED REPORT — Majority (7) "Ought Not to Pass" — Minority (6) "Ought to Pass" as amended by Committee Amendment "A" (H-44) — Committee on Education on Bill "An Act to Provide Teacher Professional Time During the School Day" (H.P. 158) (L.D. 192)

TABLED—April 2, 1985 by Representative BROWN of Gorham.

PENDING—Motion of same Representative to accept the Majority "Ought not to Pass" Report.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the Representative from Gorham, Representative Brown.

Representative BROWN: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: L.D. 192, as amended, mandates that every public school teacher shall be guaranteed no less than 30 minutes a day for professional planning time. I am not against professional planning time but what this does is that it takes away the local control, the local bargaining part of the process. It is not our business to interfere in this.

This legislation would require most school administrative units to hire additional personnel. The Department of Educational and Cultural Services has conservatively estimated the cost to municipalities at \$3.3 million annually. This amount would translate into an increase in general purpose aid to local schools to at least \$2.5 million in fiscal year 1988. This is a serious intrusion on local control. The scheduling of class periods and the assignment of teachers to inspect students is a matter for local determination. This bill would cause major scheduling problems particularly in small schools with few teachers.

We have all committed ourselves to education reform. Why not give it a chance to work?

I urge the Majority "Ought Not to Pass" Report to pass and when the vote is taken, I would ask for a roll call.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the Representative from Bath, Representative Small.

Representative SMALL: Mr. Speaker, Men and Women of the House: As education committee members and as legislators, we should ask ourselves with every piece of legislation, how will this affect the children in our schools? Is this in their best interest? How will this affect local control of our schools? Will this erode more power from the cities and towns and local taxpayers? How will we pay for this legislation? Will its passage mean another more necessary program will have to be cut? After answering these questions, I am opposing this legislation for three reasons. First, the 30 minute break will be taking the teacher out of the classroom and take valuable learning time away from the student. All of the recent studies, including the Governor's Select Commission on Education, agree that we need more time on tasks, not less. Second, the legislation is a local issue, not a state issue. This can be negotiated by collective bargaining, I repeat it can be negotiated already at the local level and its benefits or adverse effects are best calculated by the local boards and individual school principals. Third, the cost of the legislation will run into millions of dollars. We have estimates by the Department of Finance of \$3.2 million. When asked to revise that because they thought it was too high, they said it has gone as high as \$6 million although they are not using that figure. There is no definite figure on what this will cost but

\$3.2 million is what it will be on this bill and that will go to the state and local taxpayers. If adopted, many local districts will have to cut programs or teachers to pay for additional staff to guarantee the 30 minute break. We still do not have the final figures on the cost of last years education act.

It seems unwise at this time to further burden our local school budgets. I hope that you will support our education chairwoman and adopt an "Ought Not to Pass" on this untimely legislation.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the Representative from Washburn, Representative Crouse.

Representative CROUSE: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: I urge you not to support the Minority Report of the Committee on Education on this report today. I think we have to start looking at the teaching profession as a profession and not looking at the profession as something that we look as an abuse of time periods that we allot, particularly a 30 minute period. I will read from the amendment and it says: "preparation of professional shall be provided in a block during a normal school day and time shall be equal in length to the length of one instructional period which shall not be less than 30 minutes long."

Your senior high teacher and your junior high teacher presently have a class period a day for preparational time during the school day. The elementary teacher would like to be treated fairly and equally during the school day and have that time to conference, to get together with their grade level teachers and plan what is coming up in their school day for that particular day.

The argument is that this time will be abused — you are going to find your teachers smoking and this is the argument that constantly comes in our direction. The teacher is a professional. As any professional we see in our work place, whether it be lawyers, whether it be nurses, these are professionals that will conference, they will get together and plan and organize what they see ahead in their educational day for their students. Anything we can to enhance our education for our children, I particularly feel that this is a priority and should enhance the profession to the point where they have organizational time during the school day.

The argument comes from the opponents that, if you mandate this, this is an intrusion on local control. People's eyes light up when you hear the word local control, bells ring, people salivate when you hear the idea of local control. Last Fall when we passed what we thought were priorities in education, whether it be school approval process, whether it be high school graduation requirements, these are all programs that we felt as a state were priorities in education. We contribute federal-state monies to the tune of \$1.2 billion dollars back to the local schools and this is something we feel is a priority, we have established a state policy that goes back to the local school unit and we feel is a priority in our educational profession.

So, when you look at this bill today, there are some of us who look at it and say, local control at any cost. Some people will stop listening when they hear the idea of local control and that is the point where I hope you will at least listen and look at the profession and look at what we can do to enhance the profession.

I hope you will support the Minority Report on this bill today.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the Representative from Westbrook, Representative O'Gara.

Representative O'GARA: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: As a teacher for 23 years, it would seem natural that I should be, and in fact I am, sympathetic to the intention of the bill and because I also do subscribe to the idea of local control, I decided to discuss

this bill with teachers, school board members, including the Superintendent of Schools in Westbrook. Their initial reaction was that it, in fact, would be wonderful to have this professional time and I agree with the Representative that we are talking about professional time here for a profession that I have such a high regard for. As we talked further and they began talking about what will be, as a result of the pressures already on the amount of time that we have in a classroom and the expected pressures that will be increased as a result of the reforms that are now underway and will be underway for a period of time, because of already great demands on the local budget as it is, many elementary school teachers, and by the way, I think you ought to understand that this is more likely to benefit elementary teachers because in the most part, having been a secondary teacher I can verify this, already have built into their day a free period or preparation period, whatever you want to call it, it will benefit my favorite group of teachers, if it benefits anybody at all. Their concerns that there are already great demands for the local budget now; they are concerned about the length of day as it is; they are concerned with the effect it will have on the property tax and I have not found and I cannot stand here before you and tell you that I have talked to hundreds of teachers because I have not, I have not talked to dozens and dozens of constituents because I have not but I have talked to people for whom I have a very high regard for them personally and for their opinions and their opinions are, that no matter how well intentioned this bill is, it is not a bill that should get the legislatures endorsement.

I stand here for the first time and urge you today to support the "Ought Not to Pass" Report.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the Representative from Caribou, Representative Matthews.

Representative MATTHEWS: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: I dislike speaking on the negative side of an educational issue but sometimes an additional pallbearer is needed to give an unnecessary bill its much needed resting place.

I have about four pages prepared and after hearing various speakers, it is now down to one paragraph and I think that is most repeats but since I don't want to do all this work for nothing, I shall give you my four main ideas.

In most schools, junior high teachers are free for one class period today as are high school teachers. Elementary teachers are entitled to a preparation period whenever a specialist teacher is in the classroom.

Two, this is important — it would cause an unneeded interruption to the days of our elementary school students.

Three, this legislation would require most school administrative units to hire additional personnel and I don't want to state the millions over again, which has already been stated, a waste of time.

Four and finally, this is a decision that should be made on the local level. I believe there is enough new, untried, legislation affecting education now on the books. Give that a chance before adding to it. I would say in my final remarks that I am speaking as a retired teacher of 35 years, my wife has been a teacher for 20 years, we are both MTA members.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the Representative from Yarmouth, Representative Foss.

Representative FOSS: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: We should consider two bench marks when we vote on any bills which pertain to education. First, will the proposed change help students and improve our schools? Secondly, will be proposed change erode local control and interfere with collective bargaining at the local level? The mandated 30 minutes professional period will fall

short when measured against these bench marks. Our first concern should be quality education for children. Yet if aides or paraprofessionals are hired to cover for teachers during the 30 minute period, time in class will be diminished. The determination of how to allow for teacher preparation time should be determined at the local level by those who are responsible for its cost. Reference has been made today to the \$3 million plus price tag or fiscal note on this bill.

A preparation period is an issue which can be negotiated as a working condition by local school boards and local teacher associations. If we endorse the concept of collective bargaining and we support it here, we must agree that this issue should be handled in the local bargaining process and be viewed within the total negotiating package. The local community is the appropriate arena for discussion of this issue.

I urge you to support the Majority "Ought Not to Pass" Report on this bill if you believe that local school districts have the authority and the ability to determine this type of issue.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the Representative from Orono, Representative Bost.

Representative BOST: Mr. Speaker, Men and Women of the House: As a cosponsor of this legislation and a signer of the Minority Report, I would like to share a few thoughts about what I believe to be the importance of such a measure but first I would like to share with you an indication of how supportive those that would be affected by this legislation, teachers, are of this measure.

During testimony at the public hearing on L.D. 192, a school superintendent told the Education Committee that prior to his coming to Augusta to testify in opposition to initiative, he had discussed the teacher preparation time bill with the teachers in his district who, at first, were enthusiastic about the proposal but once they considered the ramifications, were then opposed to it. Needless to say, myself as a proponent of this bill and others, were disappointed until we learned that, in fact, these teachers had not been contacted and many did not even know that L.D. 192 existed. We then began receiving many letters and phone calls from concerned teachers, very supportive of this effort.

L.D. 192 addresses one of the central concerns raised in the report of the Commission on the Status of Education in Maine which stated clearly and I quote: "teachers are called upon to perform many tasks unrelated to classroom teaching during the school day. As a result, they often have insufficient time for thoughtful curriculum preparation and their classes are interrupted unnecessarily by routine administrative matters. Non-teaching staff can perform these duties and relieve teachers of the burden."

High school teachers in Maine, as has been said earlier in the debate, have traditionally been afforded ample, additional time during the school day, much of it uninterrupted professional preparation time needed to go about their work. However, as has been stated several times in this debate, elementary school teachers, who are essentially the focus of this bill, do not typically have this opportunity. Unfortunately, it is all too convenient for teachers to be called upon to fill any gaps in instructional time with study hall monitoring, playground duty and the list can go on. There is little time for preparation, for discussion with colleagues, or for reflection.

Regarding negotiability of such time by the local unit, unfortunately in many local settings, the subject of preparation time is deleted from contract discussions and, therefore, is never considered.

I hope members of the House will see the simplicity of this bill and view this as a matter of good, sound educational policy. To those

that argue against this measure who truly believe that that teachers do not need this preparation time, I don't think so. Many school districts in this state have already implemented similar proposals because they realize that this does enhance the quality of instruction in the classroom and I would urge you to accept the Minority "Ought to Pass" Report.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the Representative from Lewiston, Representative Aliberti.

Representative ALIBERTI: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: I feel extremely qualified to speak on this issue. You are mandating 36 weeks, which include 30 minutes a day, and in that mandation, you are saying you must have 30 minutes of professional time. I assure you as an innovator of a middle school philosophy, we can incorporate some of the things that we are talking about today. There is no way that an administrator can enforce this and supervise it. Absolutely no way.

What is professional time? Sitting as a duo, sitting as a trio, sitting as a department? What is professional time? Sitting down and reading a novel that has educational implications? I say, leave it up to the administrator to offer at the convenience of the teacher and let the teacher qualify that they need this time and I am sure that an administrator can help them find this time. I am not degrading the professionalism of the teaching profession. I think it is a disgrace, in many ways, in which we have handled the teachers profession. It should be a status that is of top kind of service to the community.

I ask you not to mandate this but allow it to be a negotiable issue. There are many areas that will never use this professional time.

The SPEAKER: A roll call has been requested. For the Chair to order a roll call, it must have the expressed desire of more than one-fifth of the members present and voting. Those in favor will vote yes; those opposed will vote no.

A vote of the House was taken and, obviously, more than one-fifth of the members present and voting having expressed a desire for a roll call, a roll call was ordered.

The SPEAKER: The pending question before the House is the motion of the Representative from Gorham, Representative Brown, that the House accept the Majority "Ought Not to Pass" Report. Those in favor will vote yes; those opposed will vote no.

Roll Call No. 25

YEAS:—Aliberti, Armstrong, Baker, A.L.; Begley, Bell, Bonney, Bott, Bragg, Brannigan, Brown, A.K.; Brown, D.N.; Cahill, Callahan, Carrier, Carter, Coles, Connors, Cooper, Crowley, Daggett, Dellert, Descoteaux, Dexter, Dillenback, Drinkwater, Duffy, Erwin, Farnum, Foss, Foster, Greenlaw, Gwadosky, Hale, Harper, Hayden, Hepburn, Hichborn, Hickey, Higgins, L.M.; Hillock, Hoglund, Holloway, Ingraham, Jackson, Jacques, Jalbert, Joseph, Kimball, LaCroix, Lander, Law, Lawrence, Lebowitz, Lisnik, Lord, MacBride, Macomber, Manning, Martin, H.C.; Masterman, Matthews, Mayo, McGowan, McHenry, McPherson, McSweeney, Melendy, Michael, Michaud, Mills, Mitchell, Moholland, Murphy, E.M.; Murphy, T.W.; Murray, Nelson, Nicholson, Nickerson, O'Gara, Paradis, E.J.; Paradis, P.E.; Parent, P., Perry, Pines, Racine, Randall, Rice, Richard, Ridley, Rioux, Rolde, Rotondi, Ruhlin, Salsbury, Scarpino, Seavey, Sherburne, Simpson, Small, Smith, C.W.; Soucy, Sproul, Stetson, Stevens, A.G.; Stevenson, Strout, Swazey, Tammamro, Tardy, Taylor, Telow, Theriault, Vose, Walker, Wentworth, Weymouth, Whitcomb, Willey, Zirkilton.

NAYS:—Allen, Beaulieu, Bost, Carroll, Cashman, Clark, Connolly, Cote, Crouse, Diamond, Handy, McCollister, Nadeau, G.G.; Pouliot, Priest, Reeves, Roberts, Stevens, P.; Warren.

ABSENT:—Baker, H.R.; Boutillier, Brodeur, Chonko, Davis, Higgins, H.C.; Kane, Nadeau, G.R.; Rydell, Smith, C.B.; Webster, The Speaker. 120 having voted in the affirmative and 19 in the negative with 12 being absent, the motion did prevail. Sent up for concurrence.

The following items appearing on Supplement No. 1 were taken up out of order by unanimous consent:

Passed to Be Enacted Emergency Measure

An Act to Amend the Weir Licensing Laws (H.P. 677) (L.D. 939) (S. "A" S-17 and H. "A" H-41)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed. This being an emergency measure, a two-thirds vote of all the members elected to the House being necessary, a total was taken. 117 voted in favor of the same and none against and accordingly the Bill was passed to be enacted, signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

An Act to Require a Trespass Reminder on Hunting and Fishing Licenses (H.P. 84) (L.D. 104) (C. "A" H-33)

An Act Relating to Restrictions on Firearms while Hunting with Bow and Arrow (H.P. 168) (L.D. 202) (C. "A" H-34)

An Act to Simplify the Appointment of Directors to the Maine Municipal and Rural Electrification Cooperative Agency (H.P. 345) (L.D. 462) (C. "A" H-35)

An Act Concerning the Maine Maritime Academy Board of Visitors (S.P. 20) (L.D. 24) (C. "A" S-32)

An Act Relating to Agricultural Training and Careers (S.P. 52) (L.D. 71) (S. "A" S-24 and H. "A" H-37) to C. "A" S-19)

An Act to Establish a Maine Wild Turkey Hunting Season (S.P. 127) (L.D. 367) (H. "A" H-38) to C. "A" S-28)

An Act to Amend the Laws Relating to Games of Chance (H.P. 813) (L.D. 1134)

Were reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed, passed to be enacted, signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

An Act Concerning Fees Charged by Municipalities for Copies of Vital Records (S.P. 398) (L.D. 1108)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed.

Representative Murphy of Berwick requested a roll call.

The SPEAKER: For the Chair to order a roll call, it must have the expressed desire of more than one-fifth of the members present and voting. Those in favor will vote yes; those opposed will vote no.

A vote of the House was taken and, obviously, more than one-fifth of the members present and voting having expressed a desire for a roll call, a roll call was ordered.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the Representative from Wells, Representative Wentworth.

Representative WENTWORTH: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: This reminds me of the selectmen at home, that when they get a first referendum or a second referendum or the third referendum and don't like the way the people voted, we try it again.

Seriously, this has been voted on three times with the same results. I ask you, if you voted with the clerks in your municipalities, stay with it; if you did not, please reconsider and think of your municipalities and your taxpayers.

The SPEAKER: The pending question before the House is passage to be enacted. Those in favor will vote yes; those opposed will vote no.

Roll Call No. 26

YEAS:—Armstrong, Begley, Bell, Bost, Brannigan, Brown, A.K.; Cahill, Carroll, Carter, Cashman, Connolly, Crowley, Daggett, Dexter, Drinkwater, Erwin, Foss, Foster, Greenlaw,

Gwadosky, Hickey, Hillock, Jacques, Jalbert, Joseph, Kimball, LaCroix, Lander, Law, Lawrence, MacBride, Macomber, Manning, Martin, H.C.; Masterman, Matthews, McSweeney, Melendy, Michaud, Mills, Mitchell, Moholland, Murphy, T.W.; Murray, Nadeau, G.G.; Nelson, Nicholson, Nickerson, O'Gara, Paradis, P.E.; Perry, Pines, Priest, Racine, Reeves, Rice, Richard, Roberts, Rolde, Rotondi, Ruhlin, Salsbury, Scarpino, Sproul, Stevens, P.; Stevenson, Tardy, Taylor, Telow, Vose, Walker, Wentworth, Weymouth, Whitcomb, Zirkilton.

NAYS:—Aliberti, Allen, Baker, A.L.; Beaulieu, Bonney, Bott, Bragg, Brown, D.N.; Callahan, Carrier, Clark, Coles, Connors, Cooper, Cote, Crouse, Dellert, Descoteaux, Diamond, Dillenback, Duffy, Farnum, Hale, Handy, Harper, Hayden, Hepburn, Hichborn, Higgins, H.C.; Higgins, L.M.; Hoglund, Holloway, Ingraham, Jackson, Lebowitz, Lord, Mayo, McCollister, McGowan, McHenry, McPherson, Michael, Murphy, E.M.; Paradis, E.J.; Parent, Paul, Randall, Ridley, Rioux, Seavey, Sherburne, Simpson, Smith, C.W.; Soucy, Stetson, Stevens, A.G.; Strout, Swazey, Tammamro, Theriault, Warren, Willey.

ABSENT:—Baker, H.R.; Boutillier, Brodeur, Chonko, Davis, Kane, Lisnik, Nadeau, G.R.; Pouliot, Rydell, Small, Smith, C.B.; Webster, The Speaker.

75 having voted in the affirmative and 62 in the negative with 14 being absent, the Bill was passed to be enacted, signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

An Act to Provide Credit Unions with the Same Right to make 2nd Mortgage Loans as Exist for Financial Institutions (S.P. 411) (L.D. 1138)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the Representative from Canton, Representative McCollister.

Representative MCCOLLISTER: Mr. Speaker, I would like to pose a question through the Chair.

In light of what has happened to savings and loans in Ohio, what protection do we have that this is not going to happen to the savings and loans in Maine?

The SPEAKER: The Representative from Canton, Representative McCollister, has posed a question through the Chair to anyone who may respond if they so desire.

The Chair recognizes the Representative from Portland, Representative Brannigan.

Representative BRANNIGAN: Mr. Speaker, Men and Women of the House: This L.D. that is before us deals only with credit unions and almost all credit unions in Maine are federally chartered and those that aren't are federally insured. We are very fortunate in the State of Maine.

To answer the Representative's question, the savings and loan institutions in the State of Maine are protected as well as trust companies and the savings banks. We are protected by federal insurance and what you saw in the paper — the cartoon, if you saw the Portland paper, we are backed up by the federal government — of course, they had the federal government perched in a very precarious situation but our banks are backed by federal insurance.

Whereupon, the Bill was passed to be enacted, signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

The Chair laid before the House the following matter: Bill "An Act Relating to Alcohol-related Birth Defects" (S.P. 431) (L.D. 1198) which was tabled earlier and later today assigned pending reference.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the Representative from Portland, Representative Nelson.

Representative NELSON: Mr. Speaker, Men

and Women of the House: The Chairman of the alcohol committee is not here today. He was in an automobile accident, he is fine, but I think out of courtesy, I would appreciate it if someone would table it until our next Legislative session.

On motion of Representative Diamond of Bangor, tabled pending reference and tomorrow assigned.

On motion of Representative Diamond of Bangor, the House reconsidered its action whereby Bill "An Act to Amend the Maine Education Statute to Prohibit the Teaching of Alternative Lifestyles in Maine's Public Schools" (S.P. 432) (L.D. 1199) was referred to the Committee on Education.

On further motion of the same Representative, was referred to the Committee on Judiciary in non-concurrence and sent up for concurrence.

(Off Record Remarks)

On motion of Representative Reeves of Pittston.

Adjourned until twelve o'clock tomorrow noon.
