

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

LEGISLATIVE RECORD

OF THE

*One Hundred and Third
Legislature*

OF THE

STATE OF MAINE

Volume III

June 16 to July 8, 1967

Index

1st Special Session

October 2 and October 3, 1967

2nd Special Session

January 9 to January 26, 1968

KENNEBEC JOURNAL
AUGUSTA, MAINE

HOUSE

Saturday, July 1, 1967

The House met according to adjournment and was called to order by the Speaker.

Prayer by the Rev. Mr. Royal Brown of Gardiner.

The Journal of yesterday was read and approved.

The SPEAKER: The Chair would call to your attention papers from the Senate appearing on Supplement No. 1.

From the Senate: The following Orders:

ORDERED, the House concurring, that there be prepared after adjournment of the present session, under the direction of the Clerk of the House, a Register of all the Bills and Resolves considered by both branches of the Legislature, showing the history and final disposition of each Bill and Resolve, and that there be printed six hundred copies of the same.

The Clerk of the House is hereby authorized to employ the necessary clerical assistance to prepare such register.

The Clerk shall mail a copy of the Register to each member and officer of the Legislature and the State Library shall receive such number of copies as may be required. (S. P. 719)

ORDERED, the House concurring, that the State Librarian mail to each member of the Senate and House the balance of the Legislative Record. (S. P. 720)

ORDERED, the House concurring, that the Secretary of the Senate, in his capacity as Executive Officer of the Senate when the Senate is not in session, be and hereby is authorized to retain whatever members of his staff may be necessary to complete the records of the Senate, and approve payment for same. (S. P. 721)

ORDERED, the House concurring, that the State Librarian be directed to forward bound copies of the Legislative Record to members of the Senate and House and to the Clerk and Assistant Clerk of the House, and the Secretary and Assistant Secretary of the Sen-

ate, at their home addresses. (S. P. 722)

Came from the Senate read and passed.

In the House, the Orders were read and passed in concurrence.

Non-Concurrent Matter

An Act relating to the Water and Air Environmental Improvement Commission (S. P. 635) (L. D. 1635) which was passed to be enacted in the House on June 29 and passed to be engrossed as amended by Senate Amendment "B" as amended by Senate Amendment "A" thereto, and House Amendment "F" on June 23.

Came from the Senate passed to be engrossed as amended by Senate Amendment "B" as amended by Senate Amendments "A" and "B" thereto, and House Amendment "F" in non-concurrence.

In the House: The House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

Non-Concurrent Matter

An Act Continuing Governor's Advisory Council on the Status of Women (H. P. 766) (L. D. 1113) which was passed to be enacted in the House on June 8 and passed to be engrossed as amended by Senate Amendment "A" on June 5.

Came from the Senate passed to be engrossed as amended by Senate Amendments "A" and "B" in non-concurrence.

In the House: The House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

Non-Concurrent Matter

An Act Providing for a Tax on Real Estate Transfers (H. P. 1143) (L. D. 1627)

which was passed to be enacted in the House on June 30 and passed to be engrossed as amended by Conference Committee Amendment "A" on June 28.

Came from the Senate indefinitely postponed in non-concurrence.

In the House:

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cape Elizabeth, Mr. Hewes.

Mr. HEWES: Mr. Speaker, I move that we insist and ask for a Committee of Conference.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Cape Elizabeth, Mr. Hewes, moves that the House insist on its former action and requests a Committee of Conference. Is this the pleasure of the House?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from South Portland, Mr. Hinds.

Mr. HINDS: Mr. Speaker, I might just say for the information of the gentleman from Cape Elizabeth that this particular tax is included in our other document and we don't need two bills of the same nature on the Statutes.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cape Elizabeth, Mr. Hewes.

Mr. HEWES: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: If the other document does pass, if that part of the bill relating to a tax on real estate transfers is still in the other bill then of course we wouldn't need this bill, but for the time being rather than concurring with the thing and indefinitely postpone I would suggest that we follow this procedure.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker, we really have not the time to insist and start getting in to Committees of Conference. I would request a division and ask the members of the House to vote against the motion to insist.

The SPEAKER: Is the House ready for the question? All those in favor of insisting and requesting a Committee of Conference will vote yes, and those opposed will vote no, and the Chair opens the vote.

A vote of the House was taken.

33 having voted in the affirmative and 76 having voted in the negative, the motion did not prevail.

Thereupon the House voted to recede and concur.

**Non-Concurrent Matter
Tabled Until Later in Today's
Session**

An Act Making Additional Appropriations for the Expendi-

tures of State Government and for Other Purposes for the Fiscal Years Ending June 30, 1968 and June 30, 1969 (S. P. 700) (L. D. 1737)

which was passed to be enacted in the House on June 29 and passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment "A" on June 27.

Came from the Senate with House Amendment "A" indefinitely postponed and the Bill passed to be engrossed as amended by Senate Amendment "A" in non-concurrence.

In the House:

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Denmark, Mr. Dunn.

Mr. DUNN: Mr. Speaker, I don't seem to find the last amendment, the Senate Amendment.

The SPEAKER: It is filing number S-323.

Thereupon, on motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, tabled pending further consideration and assigned for later in today's session

**Non-Concurrent Matter
Tabled Until Later in Today's
Session**

An Act to Authorize Bond Issues in the Amount of \$4,380,000 to Provide Funds for School Building Construction under the Provisions of Section 3457 of Title 20, R. S. (H. P. 300) (L. D. 435)

which was passed to be enacted in the House on June 22 and passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment "A" on June 19.

Came from the Senate passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment "A" and Senate Amendment "B" in non-concurrence.

In the House:

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from South Portland, Mr. Hinds.

Mr. HINDS: Mr. Speaker, I don't find Senate Amendment "B" and I can't find anyone around here who has one. I would like to know what it is before I recede and concur.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Denmark, Mr. Dunn.

Mr. DUNN: Mr. Speaker, I think it is filing number 316—I think there is a misprint here or something. 316 relates to this matter and I am quite sure that this would be the one.

The SPEAKER: The filing number of the Senate Amendment is S-321.

Thereupon, on motion of Mr. Ross of Bath, tabled pending further consideration and assigned for later in today's session.

Non-Concurrent Matter

An Act Applying Sales Tax to Charges for Fabricating Tangible Personal Property (H. P. 1207) (L. D. 1719)

which was passed to be enacted in the House on June 27 and passed to be engrossed on June 22.

Came from the Senate indefinitely postponed in non-concurrence.

In the House:

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Perham, Mr. Bragdon.

Mr. BRAGDON: Mr. Speaker, I move we recede.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Perham, Mr. Bragdon, moves that the House recede and concur.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Susi.

Mr. SUSI: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I would like to suggest that you vote against the motion to recede and if you were to do that I would move that we insist and join in a Committee of Conference.

I'd like to give a little history of this. This was a unanimous committee report from the Committee on Taxation in favor of the passage of this bill. There was a ten to one vote in the House when we voted on this, that this bill should be passed. Everybody now in Maine pays a sales tax, just as the sales tax was written and as it was intended that it should be enforced except the handful of interests who oppose the passage of this bill. Now I'm sure, as I think a rather practical person, that our experience with this bill will confirm the political effectiveness of the few opponents to this bill, but in spite of this I feel that I have to ask for a Committee

of Conference, recognizing that it's sort of a proposition of putting a rabbit up against a bear. In all sincerity I look forward in anticipation to the day when the political power of all of the people of Maine will equal the political power of a handful of a special interest. I hope you will vote against the motion.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bath, Mr. Ross.

Mr. ROSS: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: Also as a member of the Taxation Committee I agree with the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Susi.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Southwest Harbor, Mr. Benson.

Mr. BENSON: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: The last time the gentleman from Pittsfield and I disagreed it was over a dinner check. I cannot agree with him in this; I think that this would have a very severe effect on the boatyards along the coast for which I am very much concerned, and it would have a detrimental effect on small business in general. I realize that he is speaking about large business interests, but I am more concerned about the small business interests, many of which I represent and I would certainly support the motion to recede and concur.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Guilford, Mrs. White.

Mrs. WHITE: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I concur with the previous speaker. It will hurt little business, I know it will hurt business in our town which is very valuable to us. I hope the motion will prevail.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Brewer, Mr. Robertson.

Mr. ROBERTSON: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I'm not going to speak at all on this particular measure this morning. Perhaps I started all this trouble by speaking against this measure the other day. Since then I think perhaps there has been a general reassessment from the viewpoint of those who are not familiar with the bill. Obviously some of you

have been contacted by industry. I think I rather stated the case of business and industry on the Floor here the other day and I'm not going to do it again.

I would feel that perhaps we should again take a reassessment and defeat this motion.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Perham, Mr. Bragdon.

Mr. BRAGDON: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I hope that the representatives from my county who are interested in the farming industry will take a good look at this bill and evaluate the impact that it could have on some of our industry in that area, and I hope you go along with the motion to recede.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Scribner.

Mr. SCRIBNER: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I would hope that the members of this House this morning will realize the impact this bill will also have on our revenue estimates as well.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Caribou, Mr. Snow.

Mr. SNOW: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: As I see this bill, it's a tax on labor. The story of fabrication— for an example, a gentleman owns a grocery store, and he wants to build some sort of bins for the storage of potatoes, or carrots or vegetables of any kind, so he bought some lumber and some nails, and he has someone come in to fabricate these boxes for him. Now this bill says "tax on fabrication." This would be a clear-cut case of paying this man for labor against fabrication of — there would be a tax under the fabrication of it. I am afraid that this is a dangerous difficult control to have and I go along with Representative Bragdon on this.

Mr. Jalbert of Lewiston moved the previous question.

The SPEAKER: For the Chair to entertain the motion for the previous question it must have the consent of one third of the members present. All those in favor of the Chair entertaining the motion for the previous question

will vote yes, those opposed will vote no, and the Chair opens the vote.

A vote of the House was taken.

The SPEAKER: A sufficient number having voted for the motion for the previous question, the question now before the House is, shall the main question be put now, which is debatable for five minutes by any member. All those in favor of the main question being put now will say yes; those opposed no.

A viva voce being taken, the main question was ordered.

The SPEAKER: The main question is put now, and the main question being the motion of the gentleman from Perham, Mr. Bragdon, that the House recede and concur on Item 6, L. D. 1719. All those in favor of receding and concurring will vote yes, those opposed will vote no, and the Chair opens the vote.

Mr. Scribner of Portland then requested a roll call vote.

The SPEAKER: For the Chair to order a roll call it must have the expressed desire of one fifth of the members present and voting. All of those desiring the yeas and nays will vote yes, those opposed will vote no, and the Chair opens the vote.

A vote of the House was taken, and more than one fifth of the members present having expressed a desire for a roll call, a roll call was ordered.

The SPEAKER: The pending question is on the motion of the gentleman from Perham, Mr. Bragdon, that the House recede from its former action and concur with the Senate on L. D. 1719, An Act Applying Sales Tax to Charges for Fabricating Tangible Personal Property, whereby the Senate indefinitely postponed. All those in favor of receding and concurring will vote yes, those opposed will vote no, and the Chair opens the vote.

ROLL CALL

YEA—Allen, Baker, R. E.; Benson, Birt, Bourgoin, Bragdon, Brown, Buck, Bunker, Carey, Carrier, Clark, Cookson, Cote, Crockett, Cushing, Dickinson, Durgin, Evans, Ewer, Farrington,

Hall, Hanson, B. B.; Hawes Healy, Henley, Hewes, Hichens, Hodgkins, Hoover, Immonen, Jalbert, Kyes, Lewin, Lincoln, Littlefield, Lycette, Maddox, Meisner, Miliano, Mosher, Noyes, Philbrook, Porter, Prince, Rackliff, Richardson, G. A.; Robertson, Sahagian, Scott, G. W.; Shute, Snow, P. J.; Townsend, Watts, Wheeler, White, Williams, Wood.

NAY—Baker, E. B.; Belanger, Beliveau, Berman, Bernard, Binnette, Boudreau, Brennan, Burnham, Carroll, Carswell, Champagne, Conley, Cottrell, Couture, Crommett, Crosby, Curran, Darey, Drigotas, Drummond, Dudley, Dunn, Eustis, Fecteau, Fortier, Fraser, Fuller, Gauthier, Giroux, Hanson, H. L.; Hanson, P. K.; Harnois, Harriman, Harvey, Haynes, Hennessey, Hinds, Hunter, Keyte, Lebel, Levesque, Lewis, Martin, McMann, McNally, Minkowsky, Nadeau, J. F. R.; Nadeau, N. L.; Pendergast, Pike, Quimby, Quinn, Richardson, H. L.; Rideout, Robinson, Rocheleau, Ross, Sawyer, Scott, C. F.; Scribner, Shaw, Starbird, Sullivan, Susi, Thompson, Trask, Waltz.

ABSENT — Bedard, Bradstreet, Cornell, D'Alfonso, Danton, Dennett, Edwards, Foster, Gaudreau, Gill, Huber, Humphrey, Jameson, Jannelle, Jewell, Kilroy, Payson, Roy, Snowe, P.; Soulas, Tanguay, Truman, Wight.

Yes, 58; No, 68; Absent, 23.

THE SPEAKER: Fifty-eight having voted in the affirmative and sixty-eight in the negative, the motion to recede and concur does not prevail.

Thereupon on motion of Mr. Susi of Pittsfield, the House moved to insist and ask for a Committee of Conference.

The Speaker appointed the following Conferees on the part of the House:

Messrs. SUSI of Pittsfield
ROSS of Bath
HANSON of Gardiner

Non-Concurrent Matter

Report of the Committee of Conference on Bill "An Act relating to Assistant County Attorneys" (H. P. 33) (L. D. 53)

reporting unable to agree which was accepted in the House on June 30.

Came from the Senate with the Report rejected in non-concurrence and that body voting to further insist, and asking for another Committee of Conference.

In the House:

THE SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Susi.

MR. SUSI: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I move that we join with the Senate in rejecting the Committee of Conference Report and ask that a new Committee of Conference be named.

THE SPEAKER: The gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Susi, now moves that the House recede from its former action in accepting the Committee of Conference report.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Houlton, Mr. Berman.

MR. BERMAN: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I'll try to be very brief. We've already had a Committee of Conference on this matter with the gentleman from Kittery, Mr. Dennett, and Mr. Nadeau and myself. Much of the subject matter of this bill as it is now presented to us never had any public hearing. This I say is a very slipshod way of doing business. We have gone through this matter time and time again. I say on July first that enough is enough, that we should not join in a Committee of Conference. I hope you will vote against that motion, and then I will make the motion that we adhere.

THE SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Rumford, Mr. Beliveau.

MR. BELIVEAU: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: In support of the motion of the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Susi, that we recede from our former action so that a new Committee of Conference can be named, I would like to point out to the Members of the House that this bill with the amendments would provide for assistant county attorneys in the Counties of Oxford, Kennebec, and Somerset.

In answer to the objections raised as to the failure to have a public hearing on this, it appears that any three counties—this does not include York County—that the new county attorneys who were elected last November and took office in January were not aware of the work load and it was not until late in the session after cloture that they became aware that there was a very real need for an assistant county attorney. I believe that you will recall that a month or so ago we debated at some length the need for an assistant county attorney in my county of Oxford. At that time the amendment which I offered was accepted by a very wide margin, and subsequent to that these two additional counties joined in amending the same document.

Now this is a very real crisis in these counties. The assistant county attorneys are badly needed. The police officers are clamoring practically every day that they are not receiving the representation in our criminal courts that they want. There is a great deal of discussion that the defendants who are represented by attorneys are able to get more favorable consideration in the District Courts and Superior Courts because of the inability of a county attorney to appear in all criminal cases. Now my County of Oxford, as I said earlier—we have two District Courts, Superior Courts sitting in two different communities, and it is impossible for one man to commute some forty miles between these two towns. There is a very real need for an assistant county attorney and I strongly urge and hope that you people will not reject our request and join with me and Mr. Susi in receding from our former action.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Houlton, Mr. Berman.

Mr. BERMAN: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: It doesn't seem to reasonable and rational people that these matters are of crisis proportion. If they were bills would have been introduced, particularly when there are at least some knowledgeable people in this House who have served in some of these capacities.

Now what's happened in this situation is that someone tagged on an amendment and we were willing to let one amendment go. Then somewhere along the line, someone else got in the act. Now these assistants, as I understand the situation, are not paid by the various counties; they are paid by every single taxpayer in the State of Maine, and to my way of thinking it doesn't seem fair that anyone should come in from one of these counties without a public hearing, without notice involved, without appropriations and attempt, time and time again, to add to the burden of the taxpayers. I say if there is a very real crisis it is very likely that this 103rd Legislature is going to be back in special session; and if that is the situation then certainly in the orderly procedure of business bills can be introduced and be cleared to the Reference of Bills Committee, that would establish whether a crisis exists. I don't think that on July first, 1967, that we should say that there is a crisis when none existed before, and I hope that you will vote against the motion to insist and have a second committee of conference, and go through the whole rigmarole again.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Sanford, Mr. Nadeau.

Mr. NADEAU: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: At first they say they're badly needed. If they were badly needed and didn't plan any better than this, they should have thought of this before and brought them back to their people. Now, I attended this Committee of Conference. None of them could answer any one of the three questions. Was it taken up and advertised in the area newspaper—the answer was no. Second question was, did even your own particular group, your legislators from your area, caucus on this, did you talk about this—the answer was no. And did even you of one particular Party agree wholeheartedly on this, and the answer was no. It is just the doing again, ladies and gentlemen, of some members of the other body.

Are we to stand here on July 1st and let them run this House?

That is my question. Are we going to stand up and protect our people back home or just let the other body tell us what to do? At this late hour, look at all the amendments we've had — they're all on white pages. I may be new, but these are Senate amendments we're getting.

First of all, I'd like to remind you, regardless of what we may think of the taxpayers back home, we granted these county attorneys and assistants a pay raise. Now they want an assistant to help the assistant and of course it doesn't say so—besides that you get additional traveling mileage, some of you look at your book on your county expenses. It's not just the salary, they have expenses, telephone calls, they need another secretary; and I'll agree with my dear, dear friend from Rumford, Mr. Beliveau, who is always fighting on attorney bills that, first of all, there are political plums and as was stated very nicely in the newspaper, in most cases we get the worst one or the youngest one and we are a training school.

Now, this is why — I have no objection when we spend money on education, we want to train people; but why should we train supposedly attorneys to become a better attorney at the taxpayers' expense? And, believe me, you take a look — I understand in some areas, some of them are very fortunate. Now when a bill came before the Committee I remember one gentleman, he was the only one that came up for it, he was from the Bangor area, he seemed to be quite qualified, he'd been around, but after I asked him a question through the Chair, he said he had been an Assistant Attorney, mind you, he knew what the pay was, he was up here for this pay raise. Yet, you think he resigned? No, he took the County Attorney's job, yet it wasn't sufficient, but yet he was up here to speak for a pay raise. Now, I think strictly this is a farce, like I said it's had no public hearing, it's a political plum and just because two people from the other body say we should insist on this date of July 1st—mind you, this is the twenty-sixth week, the eighth week without pay.

I think we should go along with what the Majority Floor Leader said previously, that at this stage of the game we should not insist and I hope you will defeat the motion and then accept Mr. Brennan's motion to adhere afterwards.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Healy.

Mr. HEALY: Please, the previous question.

The SPEAKER: For the Chair to entertain a motion for the previous question it must have the consent of one third of the members present. All those in favor of the Chair entertaining the motion for the previous question will vote yes; those opposed will vote no. And the Chair opens the vote.

A vote of the House was taken.

The SPEAKER: A sufficient number having voted, the motion for the previous question is entertained. The question now before the House is, shall the main question be put now? Which is debatable for five minutes by any member. The pending question is, shall the main question be put now, all in favor will answer yes; those opposed, no.

A viva voce vote being taken, the main question was ordered.

The SPEAKER: The pending question is that the House recede from its former action in accepting the Report of the Conferees and concur with the Senate in insisting and joining another Committee of Conference. All those in favor will vote yes; those opposed will vote no. The Chair opens the vote.

A vote of the House was taken.

40 having voted in the affirmative and 77 having voted in the negative, the motion did not prevail.

Thereupon, the House voted to adhere.

By unanimous consent, the foregoing papers requiring Senate concurrence or acted on in concurrence were ordered sent forthwith to the Senate.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert.

Mr. JALBERT: Mr. Speaker, in view of the fact that the amend-

ments have now been printed relative to items 4 and 5 on the Calendar, the amendments have been printed and distributed, I now move under suspension of the rules to take out of order item 4 which was tabled for later on in today's session.

The SPEAKER: Is there objection to the rules being suspended? The Chair hears none.

Thereupon, the Chair laid before the House item 4 under Non-Concurrent Matters:

An Act Making Additional Appropriations for the Expenditures of State Government and for Other Purposes for the Fiscal Years Ending June 30, 1968 and June 30, 1969 (S. P. 700) (L. D. 1737) which was Passed to be Enacted in the House on June 29 and Passed to be Engrossed as Amended by House Amendment "A" on June 27.

Came from the Senate with House Amendment "A" Indefinitely Postponed and the Bill Passed to be Engrossed as Amended by Senate Amendment "A" in non-concurrence (H. "A" H-468) (S. "A" S-323)

On motion of Mr. Bragdon of Perham, the House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

The Chair then laid before the House item 5 under Non-Concurrent Matters:

An Act to Authorize Bond Issues in the Amount of \$4,380,000 to Provide Funds for School Building Construction under the Provisions of Section 3457 of Title 20, R. S. (H. P. 300) (L. D. 435) which was Passed to be Enacted in the House on June 22 and Passed to be Engrossed as Amended by Committee Amendment "A" on June 19.

Came from the Senate Passed to be Engrossed as Amended by Committee Amendment "A" and Senate Amendment "B" in non-concurrence. (C. "A" H-435) (S. "B" S-321)

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bath, Mr. Ross.

Mr. ROSS: Mr. Speaker, I move that we recede from our former action and concur with the Senate.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Bath, Mr. Ross, moves that the House recede from its former action and concur with the Senate.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bangor, Mr. Ewer.

Mr. EWER: Mr. Speaker, the people in this row don't seem to have the amendments on either item 4 or item 5.

The SPEAKER: The Chair understood that they have been distributed.

Mr. Hinds of South Portland then moved that item 5 lie upon the table until later in the day's session.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from South Portland, Mr. Hinds—

For what purpose does the gentleman arise?

Mr. JALBERT of Lewiston: I ask for a division on the tabling motion. The amendments have been distributed.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman may not debate the motion.

Mr. Healy of Portland then requested a division.

The SPEAKER: A vote has been requested. All those in favor of this being tabled until later in today's session will vote yes; those opposed will vote no. The Chair opens the vote.

A vote of the House was taken.

65 having voted in the affirmative and 38 having voted in the negative, the matter was tabled until later in the day's session.

Orders

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from South Portland, Mr. Hinds.

Mr. HINDS: Mr. Speaker, I would like to request if the Clerk of the House has in her possession L. D. 1744.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker, I move that the House be in recess for five minutes.

Thereupon, the House recessed for five minutes.

After Recess

Called to order by the Speaker.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from South Portland, Mr. Hinds.

Mr. HINDS: Mr. Speaker, I would request of the Clerk if she has in her possession Senate Paper 714, L. D. 1744, Bill "An Act to Appropriate Additional Moneys for the Expenditures of State Government and for Other Purposes for the Fiscal Years Ending June 30, 1968 and June 30, 1969."

The SPEAKER: The answer is in the affirmative.

Thereupon, on motion of the same gentleman, the House voted to reconsider its action of yesterday whereby the Bill was passed to be engrossed as amended; and on further motion of the same gentleman, the House voted to reconsider its action whereby House Amendment "B" was adopted.

Mr. Hinds of South Portland then offered House Amendment "A" to House Amendment "B" and moved its adoption.

House Amendment "A" to House Amendment "B" was read by the Clerk.

(For reference to House Amendment "A" to House Amendment "B" refer to filing H-488 on file in the Office of the Secretary of State re: L. D. 1744)

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from South Portland, Mr. Hinds.

Mr. HINDS: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: This amendment corrects some of the things that were discussed yesterday in the House concerning L. D. 1744. There were some errors made in drafting this bill when it was drafted and these have been corrected at this time. There are one or two other items which were inserted back in the amendment — the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Scribner, and the minority leadership had requested that an item under the Executive Department, they would like to see this back in here and this was reinserted in this particular bill and it was found out, there was a note came from the Governor and also the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Scribner was very interested in this and discussed this with several of the members of the leadership

and what have you and the Bi-State commission on Oceanography, the \$50,000 was inserted back in here for the program, and outside of that the rest of the things were all items that were recommended originally by the Appropriations Committee, the wording was changed on the State Troopers, they hadn't caught that down in the Research Office and it was supposed to have been fifteen rather than the twenty-eight. So this makes all the corrections, the bill's been looked over quite carefully and it makes all the corrections that are necessary. I move its adoption.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Old Town, Mr. Binnette.

Mr. BINNETTE: Mr. Speaker; I would like to pose a question to the gentleman from South Portland, Mr. Hinds relative to that state police, twenty-eight new State Troopers, I thought there was fifteen to be according to the bill.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Old Town, Mr. Binnette, poses a question through the Chair to the gentleman from South Portland, Mr. Hinds who may answer if he chooses.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. HINDS: Mr. Speaker; If the gentleman from Old Town would read further in the amendment, he has read the first part of the section striking out the twenty-eight Troopers, if he would just turn the page over, he would see where the new insert is for fifteen State Troopers with the proper amount of money attached.

Thereupon, House Amendment "A" to House Amendment "B" was adopted. House Amendment "B" as amended by House Amendment "A" thereto was adopted.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Madawaska, Mr. Levesque.

Mr. LEVESQUE: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: As was indicated in the record last night that the Minority Party would withhold their debate on this item until today. And it is still our intention that we will withhold our debate until such time as this document has been engrossed and

when it comes up for enactment. We still feel that this is not the proper document to present to our people. Thank you.

Thereupon, the Bill was passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment "A" as amended by House Amendment "A" thereto and House Amendment "B" as amended by House Amendment "A" thereto in non-concurrence and sent up for concurrence.

By unanimous consent, sent forthwith to the Senate.

Mr. Richardson of Cumberland presented the following Order and moved its passage:

ORDERED, the Senate concurring, that the Legislative Research Committee be directed to study the subject matter of Bill, "An Act to Create the Wildlands Use Regulation Commission," Senate Paper 506, Legislative Document 1260, to determine whether the best interests of the State would be served by the enactment of such legislation; and be it further

ORDERED, that the Committee be directed to report the results of its study, together with any legislation deemed necessary, to the 104th Legislature. (H. P. 1239)

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: As you know, we have decisively defeated some weeks ago a bill to create a Wildlands Use Regulation Commission. To say that we have been lacerated by the press is an understatement. We have a problem, all of the landowners involved recognize it. Everyone I have talked to has indicated that there are some areas that we have got to look at and try to correct. It is in a spirit of, I hope, convincing you that we must make progress in this area that I ask you to support this, recognizing that many of you voted against the Wildlands Use Regulation bill. I would ask you to send this to Legislative Research, let us continue to make a genuine and sincere effort to solve this problem.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Sullivan.

Mr. SULLIVAN: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: As long as we are talking about wildlands, I would like to read the following into the record, in this morning's Press Herald: Increase Wildland Taxes to Break Deadlock. A Portland attorney and former legislator proposed Friday night that the State's tax budget deadlock be broken by imposing additional property taxes on the State's wildlands. John Wiley, who was a member of this House back in the 30's, he said, the big landowners are paying only a small fraction of the taxes other people are paying on property of like value and should be assessed more. That's really the gist of it. Now, I happen to know, and I know this is true in any county that I've looked into, and I know that there are certain properties right in Cumberland County where they're taxing wildlands and their valuation is just about one hundredth or less of what it should be valued at. There are certain pieces of property down there that are valued at a certain price and they could be sold at from a hundred times to a hundred and fifty times what they are valued at. Now that's the situation we have in this State. Thank you.

Thereupon, the Order received passage and was sent up for concurrence.

By unanimous consent, was ordered sent forthwith.

Mr. McMann of Bath was granted unanimous consent to address the House.

Mr. McMANN: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: After all these years the State House has been here, one gentleman in this House, Representative Keyte has made it his point to see that there is a railing in the south end of this State House which should have been there years and years ago, and I think he should be commended for it. Thank you. (Applause)

The SPEAKER: Bills on their passage to be enacted. The Chair

will call your attention to Supplement No. 2.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Madawaska, Mr. Levesque.

Mr. LEVESQUE: Mr. Speaker, before we take final action on this document, I would respectfully request a short recess.

Thereupon, the House recessed until the sound of the gong.

After Recess

Called to order by the Speaker.

The SPEAKER: The Chair will call your attention to Supplement No. 2, bills on their passage to be enacted.

Passed to Be Enacted Emergency Measure

An Act to Appropriate Moneys for the Expenditures of State Government and for Other Purposes for the Fiscal Years Ending June 30, 1968 and June 30, 1969 (S. P. 597) (L. D. 1575)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed.

Mr. Ross of Bath requested that the vote be taken by the yeas and nays.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Madawaska, Mr. Levesque.

Mr. LEVESQUE: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: The yeas and nays have been requested by the gentleman from Bath, Mr. Ross and I am fully and completely in agreement that this vote be recorded for everyone to know. However, this morning I think probably that we should as the Democratic Party register our sincerest and our strongest protest in trying to provide for current services to keep the government of our State in operation. This protest is made by a unanimous thinking of the Democrats in this House. We know that in government there is politics and in politics there is bargaining, there is agreements, and then there is votes.

However, I must state to you ladies and gentlemen here this morning, and I will try to be as brief as is humanly possible, this morning, I as the Minority Floor Leader of the Democratic Party

have not requested from the Speaker or have not requested from any of the Republican leadership any favors, any L. D.'s or any other matter that is presently before us, or any matter that has been before us that they should be reconsidered. We have asked absolutely for nothing. However, last night I was advised and told that after the hour of twelve o'clock midnight had arrived that we were in a very good bargaining position if the Current Services Budget were to be passed. We ask none of this.

But again I must ask you, ladies and gentlemen, to fully analyze our position as to what you as a Republican Party would have done if you would have been in our shoes. Throughout the length of this session it has been made absolutely clear to us and to the Chief Executive that the Republican Party of this State was not about to do anything, and I emphasize this — anything, to even consider helping our Democratic Governor. And, as a matter of fact, they were going to do everything in their power to make him look the worst. In our retaliation for these actions by the Democratic Party in the State of Maine and in this Republican Party in the State of Maine I must say for the records, that there are some Republicans that we must take exceptions. But, all in all, the reflection is on the Republican Party as a whole. We have registered our protests and I must ask in retaliation for the procedures, the action that has been given to the Democrats in this House, and to the Chief Executive, I must ask that all the honorable Democrats in this House this morning when the yeas and nays are put before us, that they all stand and be counted and that they vote in support of this document so that the people of the State of Maine will be best served.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Portland, Mrs. Carswell.

Mrs. CARSWELL: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: It is my opinion that E. Perrin Edmunds, a Member of the Executive Council, is one of those very responsible for the frustration of

the efforts of the Legislative Branch of government, in its attempt to give the people of the State of Maine the fruits of legislative labors of many, many months. Because of this man's actions, and his insatiable lust for power, we now can only hand the taxpayers a bare bone. It is also my opinion, this man who was once a power boss in the unmentionable branch and who lost his bid for a second term and who was later placed in the Executive Council will continue to be an obstructionist for the purpose of showing his power and will cause much infamous notoriety by his misdeeds. He is in a position to lead the State of Maine and the Republican Party to the brink of disaster, time and time again. Unless the reins are tighter he will now frustrate the front office and continue to be a thorn in the side of our Governor and the rest of the members of the Executive Council.

Many, many Republicans with whom I have talked, and I am not speaking about the Republicans in the Legislature — I am saying, many many Republicans with whom I have talked are very, very disappointed with the actions of the 103rd Legislature.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert.

Mr. JALBERT: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I would like to read a letter, I wanted to find out for myself where we stood from a legal standpoint and I would like to read a letter that was given to me upon a query by the Attorney General of the State, the Honorable James S. Erwin, it reads: "Dear Representative Jalbert, Please excuse the handwritten answer to your oral question. The reason is not difficult to find.

You have asked if it is not illegal to operate State government under the present circumstances since almost all departmental appropriations lapsed at midnight last night.

The law is settled that no one can spend any money that has not been appropriated by the Legislature for specific purposes. Departmental heads run the risk of crimi-

nal penalties as well as personal financial liability for illegal spending or over-spending.

No one (with a few unimportant exceptions) has money today for salaries, welfare benefits, state police or warden services, contracts or the many other areas where the State is involved.

Every state employee at work today has no guarantee that he or she will be paid. Any officer of the law, for instance, cannot reasonably be expected to work indefinitely with no guarantee of wages.

Any one who presently contracts in the name of the State cannot bind the State to payment (not including outstanding bond obligations or expectancies) nor is the Legislature bound by other than moral obligations until it acts to remedy what is a unique crisis that deepens every minute.

The present situation is legally intolerable and may present us with legal problems as yet unrealized if not remedied soon." and it's signed, James S. Erwin, Attorney General.

Now Mr. Speaker and members of the House, at again the risk of being criticized by some of my party members, I would address myself as to the remarks of the lady from Portland, Mrs. Carswell. It has been my extreme pleasure over the years to have been personally acquainted with E. Perrin Edmunds.

On several occasions over the many years, it has been helpful to me on occasion to seek his counsel on many things including matters of State Government. It has also been my pleasure and privilege over the many years to seek advice and counsel of many, many individuals whom I consider experts on both parties. On several occasions I have spent many, many evenings with a gentleman who used to be budget officer and Governor Edmund S. Muskie's Administrative Assistant, the Honorable Maurice Williams, who is a member of my party who was very, very helpful to me in helping me solve some of the financial problems that I was faced with as a member of the Appropriations Committee. He having been part

of the Appropriations Committee as both Clerk and Budget Officer and in the family of Governor Edmund S. Muskie, I felt that he was fully qualified to give me help when I would need it. Consequently, it is only fair to assume that the Republican Party would be fully in order when they would seek the advice of one of their own party members.

My own feeling, however, is one of personalities. I can remember E. Perrin Edmunds, on more than one occasion flying or driving over four hundred miles to my bedside in a hospital. I can remember E. Perrin Edmunds on one occasion coming to this Hospital and telling me that if there was anything that I would need, financial or otherwise, I should feel free to ask him. Now, I don't care, I'm in the same situation here as I am with the gentleman who wields the gavel, the Speaker of the House, I feel that I have some friends in the Democratic Party; I feel that I have some friends in the Republican Party—I want them both, and I think a great many things have happened in this session of the Legislature and I still do not condone anybody being castigated when they can't answer for themselves.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker, I urge every member of this House that they vote yes on the question of the enactment of L. D. 1575, and in doing so I do not suggest that any person voting yes has won a victory or done anything that he need be overly excited about. 1575 is designed to keep the wheels of government turning and that's all it's designed to do.

Now I do not intend to get into a long description of the many fine qualities of the gentleman who has been so soundly, or roundly rather, damned, because I know him well. I've come to love him as a man and I know that one of his finest qualities is that he recognizes that every person has clay feet, but I just want to clear up a misimpression that apparently exists

in the minds of some members of the Democratic Party.

E. Perrin Edmunds is Chairman of the Governor's Executive Council, a responsible and important office in state government. I feel that he is more qualified to occupy that position than any person in this House, and that's without exception. If there have been mistakes made by the Republican leadership in its handling of the legislative business, in its dealings with its Democratic counterparts, these are the mistakes of the Republican leadership and are not those of E. Perrin Edmunds. Let's get back to the business at hand—let's get 1575 enacted and get on with this calendar.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Enfield, Mr. Dudley.

Mr. DUDLEY: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: It's with some reservation this morning that I vote for this bill before us, and I would say only a few words in relation to it.

First of all let me tell you in my many years of being in this House, it's the most irresponsible piece of legislation that I have ever voted for. I will vote for it this morning in view of the situation of the state employees. And I have been told as well as this House has this is for current services. I don't believe adding four new attorney generals under current services is part of Current Services—it's many other things, and for once in my life I'm going along with the ordinary sheep of the herd, but it's against my better judgment and I just want to be recorded as such. Thank you.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Portland, Mrs. Carswell.

Mrs. CARSWELL: Mr. Speaker, I would hope that the members of the Democratic Party would give enough votes for the passage of this document, seeing that we are at an impasse. However, I cannot be one of those members.

The SPEAKER: The yeas and nays have been requested. For the Chair to order a roll call it must have the expressed desire of one fifth of the members present and

voting. All of those desiring a roll call will vote yes, those opposed will vote no, and the Chair opens the vote.

A vote of the House was taken, and more than one fifth of the members present having expressed a desire for a roll call, a roll call was ordered.

The SPEAKER: The pending question is the enactment of L. D. 1575. All of those in favor of its enactment as an emergency measure will vote yes, those opposed will vote no, and the Chair opens the vote.

ROLL CALL

YEA—Allen, Baker, R. E.; Belanger, Beliveau, Benson, Berman, Bernard, Birt, Bourgoin, Bragdon, Brennan, Brown, Buck, Bunker, Burnham, Carey, Carrier, Carroll, Champagne, Clark, Cookson, Cornell, Cote, Cottrell, Crockett, Crommett, Crosby, Curran, Cushing, Darey, Dickinson, Drigotas, Drummond, Dudley, Dunn, Durgin, Eustis, Evans, Ewer, Farrington, Fraser, Fuller, Gaudreau, Gauthier, Hall, Hanson, B. B.; Hanson, H. L.; Hanson, P. K.; Harnois, Harriman, Harvey, Hawes, Haynes, Healy, Henley, Hennessey, Hewes, Hichens, Hinds, Hodgkins, Hoover, Hunter, Immonen, Jalbert, Keyte, Kilroy, Kyes, Levesque, Lewin, Lewis, Lincoln, Littlefield, Lycette, Maddox, Martin, McMann, McNally, Meisner, Miliano, Mosher, Nadeau, J. F. R.; Nadeau, N. L.; Noyes, Pendergast, Philbrook, Pike, Porter, Prince, Quimby, Quinn, Rackliff, Richardson, G. A.; Richardson, H. L.; Rideout, Robertson, Robinson, Ross, Roy, Sahagian, Sawyer, Scott, C. F.; Scott, G. W.; Shaw, Shute, Snow, P. J.; Snowe, P.; Starbird, Susi, Thompson, Townsend, Trask, Waltz, Watts, Wheeler, White, Wight, Williams, Wood, The Speaker.

NAY—Binnette, Boudreau, Carswell, Conley, Couture, Fecteau, Fortier, Lebel, Minkowsky, Rochelneau, Scribner.

ABSENT—Baker, E. B.; Bedard, Bradstreet, D'Alfonso, Danton, Dennett, Edwards, Foster, Gill, Giroux, Huber, Humphrey, Jameson, Jannelle, Jewell, Payson, Soulas, Sullivan, Tanguay, Truman.

Yes, 119; No, 11; Absent, 20.

The SPEAKER: One hundred and nineteen having voted in the affirmative and eleven having voted in the negative, the Bill is passed to be enacted as an emergency measure, it will be signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

By unanimous consent, ordered sent forthwith to the Senate.

Mr. Starbird of Kingman Township was granted unanimous consent to address the House.

Mr. STARBIRD: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: As you may realize there were many of us that voted for this document under protest. Providing the companion document, 1744, goes to a referendum and provided that referendum is defeated, I ask the question of the gentleman from Cumberland that was asked of Ezekiel in the Valley of the Dry Bones, can these bones live?

The SPEAKER: The Chair would consider the question a facetious one. The Clerk will proceed.

The SPEAKER: The Chair calls to your attention enactors on Supplement No. 3.

Passed to Be Enacted

An Act to Create a Commission to Prepare a Revision of the Insurance Laws (S. P. 326) (L. D. 925)

An Act relating to Joint State and Municipal Purchasing (H. P. 335) (L. D. 469)

An Act Increasing the Terms of Trustees for the Maine Maritime Academy (H. P. 1220) (L. D. 1736)

Resolve Increasing Retirement Benefit of Charles S. Hulbert of Shin Pond. (H. P. 914) (L. D. 1323)

Were reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed, Bills passed to be enacted, Resolve finally passed, all signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

By unanimous consent, ordered sent forthwith to the Senate.

The SPEAKER: The Chair now would call your attention to Supplement No. 5, two enactors.

An Act Providing for an Additional District Court Judge at Large (S. P. 380) (L. D. 993)

An Act relating to Method of Fixing Salaries of Certain State Officials (S. P. 697) (L. D. 1733)

Were reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed, passed to be enacted, signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

By unanimous consent, ordered sent forthwith.

On motion of Mr. Hinds of South Portland, the following matter, item 5 on page 2 of the House Calendar, was taken from the table out of order by unanimous consent:

An Act to Authorize Bond Issues in the Amount of \$4,380,000 to Provide Funds for School Building Construction under the Provisions of Section 3457 of Title 20, R. S. (H. P. 300) (L. D. 435)

Tabled earlier in the day and later today assigned, pending motion of Mr. Ross of Bath to recede and concur.

The pending motion to recede and concur prevailed.

The SPEAKER: The Chair now lays before the House Supplement No. 4.

Conference Committee Report

Report of the Committee of Conference on the disagreeing action of the two branches of the Legislature on

Bill "An Act relating to Town's Matching Funds for Reconstructing State Aid Highways" (S. P. 359) (L. D. 956) reporting that they are unable to agree.

(Signed)

QUINN of Bangor

WOOD of Brooks

WALTZ of Waldoboro

—Committee on part of House.

FERGUSON of Oxford

VILES of Somerset

ROSS of Piscataquis

—Committee on part of Senate.

Report was read and accepted and sent up for concurrence.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Guilford, Mrs. White.

Mrs. WHITE: Mr. Speaker, I ask if House Paper 766, L. D. 1113, Bill "An Act Continuing Governor's Advisory Council on the Status

of Women," is in the possession of the House.

The SPEAKER: The Chair would advise the gentlewoman that it is and it is on the first page of the House Advance Journal and Calendar.

On motion of the same gentlewoman, the House reconsidered its action of earlier in the day whereby it voted to recede and concur.

On further motion of the same member, the House voted to recede.

On further motion of same member, the House voted to recede from the adoption of Senate Amendment "A" and the Amendment was indefinitely postponed.

Senate Amendment "B" was read by the Clerk and adopted in concurrence.

The Bill was passed to be engrossed as amended by Senate Amendment "B" in non-concurrence and sent up for concurrence.

By unanimous consent, ordered sent forthwith to the Senate.

Orders of the Day

The Chair laid before the House the first item of Unfinished Business:

An Act to Provide Funds for Blind Children's Education, Inc. (H. P. 1) (L. D. 1)

(In House, enacted.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled—June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending—Further consideration.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I move we recede and concur.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson moves the House recede and concur.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Portland, Mrs. Carswell.

Mrs. CARSWELL: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: A week ago yesterday in a quick fit of perhaps passion, this bill was not important enough to be kept alive. However, now it is a very, very important document and it ends up on the matter that will

be the referendum question on document 1744. I would hope that the Members of the House will keep L. D. No. 1 alive until such time as this Legislature has a time to get together in a final conference and decide what they want to do about the L. D.'s. I understand there's another million dollars on the table and that all that we have to do is get the unmentionable branch to agree to give us this \$200,000 and quit wrangling about this small amount.

Now, these blind children under the State Statute are supposed to be educated, under Title 22 of the State law. If we educate these people in the State of Maine at the Blind Children's Resource Center, it costs \$800 a year for the education of one child. If we send them to Perkins Institute or Massachusetts Institute of the Blind or for the Blind, it costs approximately \$4,000 a year plus support and transportation. These children who are educated at the Blind Children's Resource Center can live at home and they are educated in an integrated program. By this I mean that they attend classes with the other children, they do their arithmetic on a peg board instead of with paper and pencil, they study their geography on raised maps and naturally they read the braille books. There are children who are not totally blind who are provided with the large print books.

Now, I want to tell you the reason it's so inexpensive to educate them at the Blind Children's Resource Center is because the organizer of the Center has many, many volunteer workers. She has volunteer braillists all over the United States. Before the school year starts she checks to find out what books children in the various schools will be using. She sends these books to the braillists over the United States and they make them up in braille. Now, if this was to be paid for, it would cost the State a great deal more money. I could speak at length on the bill but I just wanted to show you the type of false economy that is taking place here.

I do feel that you should hold this bill until we can come to some

kind of a compromise, give \$200,000 to the Blind Children's Resource Center. There are many, many organizations throughout the State who donate money continually to this Center. This is another reason that it's so cheap to give these blind children an education and a better education than if we had to send them out of state. Out of state is more of an institution that they live in and when they come out they have to sort of adjust to the outside world all over again.

We have a professional teacher who teaches dancing. There is a lady who teaches them cooking. There are many, many things that you couldn't imagine that these children are taught and the gentleman from Bath, Mr. Ross, who was on the subcommittee for the Training and Instruction of the Blind knows what this school offers and I would hope that others would concur with me that we keep this bill alive until such time as we can get this money and help this Center expand, because it has been so successful it is bulging at the seams with books, personnel and so forth. This is one project that should go through without delay.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from South Portland, Mr. Hinds.

Mr. HINDS: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I would just like to say that I agree with the work they are doing at this school one hundred percent. Sister Miguel's School does an excellent job here and I am all for this building. And this bill is only being indefinitely postponed today because this school falls into L. D. 1744 and this, I am sure in my own mind, the people of the State of Maine, along with many worthwhile projects that are in this bill will vote for the one cent sales tax and they will vote for this project and all the other projects in there if our Governor doesn't veto this and allow the people to have a chance. Because if he vetoes this, he is going to end up killing the blind school because that is included in that bill along with many other worthwhile projects.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Portland, Mrs. Carswell.

Mrs. CARSWELL: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I think the gentleman from South Portland, Mr. Hinds, brought out a good point. If the bill is vetoed, the referendum bill is vetoed, then that leaves the Blind Children's Resource Center with a road block in front of it. It cannot expand, it cannot take these children who are waiting to get into this school. It's going to leave them in a dark closet for a couple more years, and this means a great deal to the family of a blind child. Also, there are people who have been trained to teach the mentally retarded blind, now they will be able to enter into this school. I don't feel that we can defer this program any longer, so I would hope that we would hold this until such time as we know whether or not this referendum item will be vetoed by His Excellency, Governor Curtis.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Brennan.

Mr. BRENNAN: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: As I understand, there is sufficient money in the surplus accounts to take care of very worthwhile causes such as this. And I ask that when the vote is taken, it be taken by a roll call.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Old Town, Mr. Binnette.

Mr. BINNETTE: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: Just a few short days ago on a television program, a member from the other body made an exceptional plea for this program and I think every effort should be made at the present time to see that that bill is kept alive so that money can be funnelled in that direction immediately.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Madawaska, Mr. Levesque.

Mr. LEVESQUE: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I shall be brief. As indicated by the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Brennan, it has been indicated to us that there is a sufficient amount of money in the till to take care of many of these worthwhile pieces of legislation. So it is my conten-

tion that these things should not be killed now, because we would then be using the blind children, using all these tear-jerking measures that are going to go back to the people as a blackmail for them to be voting for a 5 per cent sales tax in the referendum. Now, this is a complete blackmail of the blind people, and the Department of Health and Welfare people, the Mentally Retarded people, to tell them—either you vote for the five percent sales tax or else you don't get anything. I fail to see where this is legislation.

The SPEAKER: The pending question is to recede and concur. The yeas and nays have been requested. For the Chair to order a roll call it must have the the expressed desire of one fifth of the members present and voting. All of those desiring a roll call will vote yes; those opposed will vote no. The Chair opens the vote.

A vote of the House was taken, and more than one fifth of the members present having expressed a desire for a roll call, a roll call was ordered.

The SPEAKER: The pending question is on the motion of the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson, that the House recede and concur on House Paper 1, L. D. 1. All of those in favor of receding and concurring will vote yes; those opposed will vote no. The Chair opens the vote.

ROLL CALL

YEA—Allen, Baker, R. E.; Benson, Birt, Bragdon, Brown, Buck, Bunker, Clark, Cookson, Cornell, Crockett, Crosby, Cushing, Dickinson, Drummond, Dunn, Durgin, Evans, Ewer, Farrington, Fuller, Hall, Hanson, B. B.; Hanson, H. L.; Hanson, P. K.; Harriman, Hawes, Henley, Hichens, Hinds, Hodgkins, Hoover, Immonen, Kyes, Lewin, Lewis, Lincoln, Littlefield, Lycette, Maddox, McMann, McNally, Meisner, Miliano, Mosher, Pendergast, Philbrook, Pike, Porter, Quimby, Rackliff, Richardson, G. A.; Richardson, H. L.; Rideout, Robinson, Ross, Sahagian, Scott, C. F.; Scott, G. W.; Shaw, Shute, Snow, P. J.; Snowe, P.; Susi, Thompson, Townsend, T r a s k, Waltz, Watts, White, Wight, Wood.

NAY—Belanger, Beliveau, Bernard, Binnette, Boudreau, Bourgoin, Brennan, Burnham, Carey, Carrier, Carroll, Carswell, Champagne, Conley, Cote, Cottrell, Couture, Crommett, Curran, Drigotas, Eustis, Fecteau, Fortier, Fraser, Gaudreau, Gauthier, Giroux, Harnois, Harvey, Healy, Hennessey, Hewes, Hunter, Jalbert, Keyte, Kilroy, Label, Levesque, Martin, Minkowsky, Nadeau, J. R. F.; Nadeau, N. L.; Noyes, Prince, Rocheleau, Sawyer, Scribner, Starbird.

ABSENT — Baker, E. B.; Beard, Berman, Bradstreet, D'Alfonso, Danton, Darey, Dennett, Dudley, Edwards, Foster, Gill, Haynes, Huber, Humphrey, Jameson, Jannelle, Jewell, Payson, Quinn, Robertson, Roy, Soulas, Sullivan, Tanguay, Truman, Wheeler, Williams.

Yes, 73; No, 48; Absent, 28.

The **SPEAKER**: Seventy-three having voted in the affirmative and forty-eight in the negative, the motion to recede and concur does prevail.

The Chair laid before the House the second item of Unfinished Business:

An Act Providing Funds to Assist the Casco Bay Island Development Association to Create an International Vacation and Conference Center on Peaks Island (S. P. 327) (L. D. 862)

(In House, enacted.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled—June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending—Further consideration.

Thereupon, on motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, the House voted to adhere.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, by unanimous consent the sixth item of Unfinished Business was taken from the table:

Resolve Providing Funds for the Narraguagus River Water Control Program (H. P. 90) (L. D. 119)

(In House, finally passed.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled—June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending—Further consideration.

Thereupon, on motion of the same gentleman, the House voted to adhere.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, by unanimous consent the tenth item of Unfinished Business was taken from the table:

Resolve relating to Retirement and Pension of Stephen A. Regina of Saco (H. P. 697) (L. D. 978)

(In House, finally passed.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled—June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending—Further consideration.

Thereupon, on motion of the same gentleman, the House voted to adhere.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, by unanimous consent the thirteenth item of Unfinished Business was taken from the table:

An Act Providing Funds for Support of Civil Air Patrol (S. P. 295) (L. D. 734)

(In House, enacted.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled—June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending—Further consideration.

The **SPEAKER**: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Brennan.

Mr. BRENNAN: Mr. Speaker, I would like to pose a question through the Chair to the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson. For what reason is he taking these out of order?

The **SPEAKER**: The gentleman from Portland, Mr. Brennan, poses a question through the Chair to the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson, who may answer if he desires, and the Chair recognizes that gentleman.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker, in answer to the question, I am simply attempting to facilitate the business of this Legislature in order that we can avoid delay. I am asking that we adhere on these particular items in order that the Senate can reconsider their indefinite postponement.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Eagle Lake, Mr. Martin.

Mr. MARTIN: Mr. Speaker, I notice item 10 on which we just moved to adhere, if you take a look at the amendment, Senate Amendment "A" that was adopted on L. D. 1737 as before you this morning, we are inserting in L. D. 1737 which is An Act Making Additional Appropriations for the Expenditures of State Government and for Other Purposes for the Fiscal Years Ending June 30, 1968 and June 30, 1969, certain legislative documents and one of those that we are adding on to that bill is L. D. 978, Resolve relating to Retirement and Pension of Stephen A. Regina of Saco. And my question is, are we going to have double—two items dealing with the same thing, and if this is the case should we not then be receding and concurring on item ten?

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Eagle Lake, Mr. Martin, poses a question through the Chair to the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson who may answer if he chooses, and the Chair recognizes that gentleman.

Mr. RICHARDSON: There is no intention of duplicating the pension of Stephen A. Regina of Saco, the state trooper who was shot in the stomach by a homicidal maniac and has never known a day without pain since. We probably should double the amount that we're giving him, but there is no intention to do so. I am advised that at the time this legislation is placed in its final form there will be no duplication of the payment and certainly no intention on the part of this Legislature, much as I regret it, to give him double compensation.

The SPEAKER: The Chair has ordered unanimous consent to take up item thirteen.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Eagle Lake, Mr. Martin.

Mr. MARTIN: Mr. Speaker, certainly I also have no objection to doubling the pension of that particular trooper. For that matter I didn't even know that Stephen Regina of Saco was the trooper involved. I was merely questioning

whether or not we were going to have two bills dealing with the same thing, and for no other reason was I making the question, and I feel that the remarks made by the gentleman from Cumberland are a little bit facetious.

The SPEAKER: An Act Providing Funds for Support of Civil Air Patrol, Senate Paper 295, L. D. 734, which was tabled June 29 pending further consideration.

Thereupon, on motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, the House voted to adhere.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, by unanimous consent the fourteenth item of Unfinished Business was taken from the table: An Act Revising the Law Relating to Dealers in Securities (S. P. 389) (L. D. 1069)

(In House, enacted.)

In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled — June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending — Further consideration.

Thereupon, on motion of the same gentleman, the House voted to adhere.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, by unanimous consent the fifteenth item of Unfinished Business was taken from the table:

An Act Authorizing Use of Electronic Voting Systems in Elections and Granting Rule-making Authority (S. P. 425) (L. D. 1079)

(In House, enacted.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled — June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending — Further consideration.

Thereupon, on motion of the same gentleman, the House voted to adhere.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, by unanimous consent the seventeenth item of Unfinished Business was taken from the table:

An Act Creating the Pest Control Compact (S. P. 630) (L. D. 1631)

(In House, enacted.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled — June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending — Further consideration.

Thereupon, on motion of the same gentleman, the House voted to adhere.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, by unanimous consent the twentieth item of Unfinished Business was taken from the table:

An Act Relating to the Reimbursement of Board for Secondary School Pupils (H. P. 303) (L. D. 437)

(In House, enacted.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled — June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending — Further consideration.

Thereupon, on motion of the same gentleman, the House voted to adhere.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, by unanimous consent the twenty-second item of Unfinished Business was taken from the table:

An Act Providing Funds for a Redevelopment Plan of the Portland and South Portland Waterfront (H. P. 657) (L. D. 912)

(In House, enacted.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled — June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending — Further consideration.

Thereupon, on motion of the same gentleman, the House voted to adhere.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, by unanimous consent the twenty-fourth item of Unfinished Business was taken from the table:

An Act relating to the Administration of the Aid to Dependent Children Program, and Authorizing Work Experience and Training for Recipients of Aid to Dependent Children (H. P. 707) (L. D. 1002)

(In House, enacted.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled — June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending — Further consideration.

Thereupon, on motion of the same gentleman, the House voted to adhere.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, by unanimous consent the thirty-first item of Unfinished Business was taken from the table:

An Act to Authorize Bond Issue in the Amount of Four Hundred and Fifteen Thousand Dollars for Construction of a Multi-purpose Building at Fort Kent State College (H. P. 1232) (L. D. 1739)

Tabled—June 29, by Mr. Ross of Bath.

Pending—Passage to be enacted.

The SPEAKER: All those in favor of this bill being passed to be enacted will vote yes and those opposed will vote no. This being a bond issue, in accordance with the Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of the members of the House. The Chair opens the vote.

A vote of the House was taken.

78 voted in the affirmative and 42 voted in the negative.

Mr. Martin of Eagle Lake requested the yeas and nays.

The SPEAKER: For the Chair to order a roll call, it must have the expressed desire of one fifth of the members present and voting. All those desiring a roll call will vote yes, those opposed will vote no and the Chair opens the vote.

A vote of the House was taken and more than one fifth having expressed a desire for a roll call, a roll call was ordered.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Eagle Lake, Mr. Martin.

Mr. MARTIN: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: Even though the vote was not announced, it was obvious there were two votes missing in order to provide the necessary two-thirds vote necessary to send this measure to the Appropriations Table in the Senate.

I merely wish to say a few words at this point and to say that first of all that this was recommended by the Department of Education,

it was recommended by the Board of Education, it was recommended by the Governor in his Message to the Legislature and I would also point out being relatively close to Fort Kent, being some eighteen miles away, I am well aware of the conditions at that State College. As you may know, that State College has been operating since 1878 and of course its primary function, as are the other State Colleges across the State, is to provide in the preparation of teachers for the public schools of this State. This year, Fort Kent State graduated sixty-two graduates of which, if my recollection is correct, fifty-eight remained within the State of Maine. I think personally this is perhaps the highest of any State College in the entire State. At the present time the enrollment at Fort Kent State is 237 day students with some 32 or 35 evening students attending the college. At the present time there is no facility in which the students can all get together for any type of a concert, any type of a program that they wish to have. They have been using for the past number of years, the State Armory which has provided them facilities to have gym classes. This particular building is totally unacceptable in terms of providing it for the students of the college in having a concert or for any other school function. Let me give you an example of what happens when the State Armory is being used as was the case during the last graduation in June. At the graduation in June, arrangements had been made for the graduation to be held at the State Armory. It just happened that the—apparently the individuals on the state level felt that the National Guard should be having a meeting on that particular weekend and so, without notice, the college was told that they would not be able to use the State Armory for graduation purposes.

Ladies and gentlemen of the House, what do you do when you want to graduate sixty-two students and you find that you have no place to hold the graduation? The State College has the Armory under lease and it cannot use it when

it wants to, it must await the pleasure of the Maine National Guard. And so, in order to solve the difficulty, the college went to the High School and requested of the School Administrative District that they be given the power and the right to use the auditorium of the High School and so, under these conditions, on Sunday, the graduation was held; otherwise than that, the degrees would have been handed to the students. This is not the only time that this has occurred over the past years, it has occurred time and time again. It is true every time that they want to hold a concert. The building is closed to them after certain hours of the night. There is no present place for the campus to hold anything in relationship to dramatics or concerts and there is no classroom at the college that is large enough to allow any group of over sixty students to participate in. Unless the Armory is available, and if the Armory is not available, where shall they get together, in the snow banks? I see no choice but if we want to help education in the State of Maine, that we should provide a multi-purpose building for Fort Kent State and I repeat there is no facility that is available to the campus students for dramatics, for concerts or for speakers. Any college that wants to promote itself and promote its academic program must have such an area, and I certainly hope that this House will see fit to provide the other two votes necessary to allow this measure to go to the Senate.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert.

Mr. JALBERT: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: Over the years I have been a frequent visitor in Fort Kent and a frequent visitor at the college. What impresses me in this matter more than anything else is that the price tag of \$415,000 to construct a multi-purpose building is not an excessive figure. I can remember one time on the floor of the House when I was talking I believe about a measure there in Fort Kent or in Perham when I made the comment that we should go along with this to help them because this was

the end of the world. I assure you I am not going to make that comment any more because before I closed my mouth I was receiving letters, telegrams and telephone calls. But, I would have to say without fear of being trod on that Fort Kent is a little bit up north and I think if you know the area, it is quite a ways from Fort Kent to another location of extreme population. I think it is a very, very fine community. I think it is a very, very fine college and I think the people should have the right to vote yes or no on this measure and I certainly would hope that this measure would have votes enough to receive passage under a bond issue of two-thirds.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Perham, Mr. Bragdon.

Mr. BRAGDON: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: When this bond issue bill was before the Appropriations Committee, you will recall that I signed the report limiting it to around \$15 million. This did not include in the package the Fort Kent building. However, since that time we have seen fit to approve \$2.2 million more in that bond issue which included the University of Maine Building in Augusta and the Graduate Building in Portland for \$1.1. I have no choice at this time but to implore you to go this one step further and include this building in the bond issue package.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Madawaska, Mr. Levesque.

Mr. LEVESQUE: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: In my individual capacity as a member of this House, I certainly urge your support of this document.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Fort Kent, Mr. Bourgoin.

Mr. BOURGOIN: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I would like very well to see this bond issue go to the people as it not only serves the Fort Kent locality but it serves many other towns and cities in the State of Maine. I am very well aware of one from Augusta here and he liked the campus but there is very

much need for this multi-purpose building and I would like to see this bond issue go to the people and I hope that intelligent people will vote for good education like they have in the past.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Southwest Harbor, Mr. Benson.

Mr. BENSON: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: We just want to make it clear, on behalf of the Republican Leadership, that this is not a party issue in any way, shape or form and that it should be voted on according to your individual conscience. Thank you.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bath, Mr. Ross.

Mr. ROSS: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I just voted for this and, as the gentleman from Perham, Mr. Bragdon, says, there is still some consideration that it might be placed in the bond issue, but I would like to point out to the sponsor in particular that of all the bond issues, this is the only one that specifies a certain day and certainly we wouldn't want to have one separate election for one special bond issue. Now this I know can be straightened out, and it must be straightened out if it does not go in the package, and I believe that could be done next week.

The SPEAKER: A roll call has been ordered, and the pending question is the enactment of L. D. 1739, An Act to Authorize Bond Issue in the Amount of Four Hundred and Fifteen Thousand Dollars for Construction of a Multi-purpose Building at Fort Kent State College. All of those in favor of the enactment of this bill will vote yes and those opposed will vote no and the Chair opens the vote.

ROLL CALL

YEA—Allen, Belanger, Beliveau, Bernard, Binnette, Birt, Boudreau, Bourgoin, Bragdon, Brennan, Brown, Buck, Burnham, Carey, Carroll, Carswell, Champagne, Clark, Conley, Cookson, Cornell, Cottrell, Crockett, Crommett, Curran, Cushing, Darey, Dickinson, Drigotas, Drummond, Eustis, Farington, Fecteau, Fortier, Fraser,

Fuller, Gaudreau, Gauthier, Giroux, Hanson, B. B.; Hanson, P. K.; Harnois, Harvey, Healy, Henley, Hennessy, Hewes, Hodgkins, Hoover, Hunter, Jalbert, Keyte, Kilroy, Kyes, Lebel, Levesque, Lewin, Lewis, Lycette, Maddox, Martin, McMann, Minkowsky, Mosher, Nadeau, J. F. R.; Nadeau, N. L.; Noyes, Pike, Prince, Quimby, Quinn, Rackliff, Rideout, Robertson, Robinson, Rocheleau, Ross, Sahagian, Sawyer, Scott, C. F.; Scott, G. W.; Scribner, Shute, Snow, P. J.; Starbird, Susi, Thompson, Waltz, Watts, Wheeler, White, Wight, Wood.

NAY—Baker, R. E.; Benson, Bunker, Cote, Couture, Crosby, Dunn, Durgin, Ewer, Hall, Hanson, H. L.; Harriman, Hawes, Hichens, Hinds, Immonen, Lincoln, Littlefield, McNally, Miliano, Philbrook, Porter, Richardson, G. A.; Richardson, H. L.; Roy, Shaw, Townsend, Trask.

ABSENT—Baker, E. B.; Bedard, Berman, Bradstreet, Carrier, D'Alfonso, Danton, Dennett, Dudley, Edwards, Evans, Foster, Gill, Haynes, Huber, Humphrey, Jameson, Janelle, Jewell, Meisner, Payson, Pendergast, Snowe, P.; Soulas, Sullivan, Tanguay, Truman, Williams.

Yes, 93; No, 28; Absent, 28.

The SPEAKER: The Chair will announce the vote. Ninety-three having voted in the affirmative and twenty-eight having voted in the negative, ninety-three being more than two-thirds, the Bill is passed to be enacted. It will be signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

By unanimous consent, ordered sent forthwith to the Senate.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, by unanimous consent the thirty-second item of Unfinished Business was taken from the table:

An Act Providing for Paid Holidays for Municipal Employees and Relating to Duties of Department of Public Works of the City of Lewiston. (H. P. 392) (L. D. 539)

Tabled—June 29, by Mr. Jalbert of Lewiston.

Pending—Passage to be enacted.

Thereupon, Bill passed to be enacted, signed by the Speaker and by unanimous consent ordered sent forthwith to the Senate.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, by unanimous consent the thirty-third item of Unfinished Business was taken from the table:

Conference Report re Joint Order relative to Interim Committee Study of Highway Revenues and Requirements (H. P. 1223)

Tabled—June 30, by Mr. Birt of East Millinocket.

Pending—Acceptance.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Freeport, Mr. Crockett.

Mr. CROCKETT: Mr. Speaker, I move the indefinite postponement of this item.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Freeport, Mr. Crockett, moves that the House reject the Committee of Conference Report.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker, I would inquire if the motion for acceptance is the motion pending?

The SPEAKER: The pending motion is pending acceptance.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: I would urge you to vote in favor of the acceptance of the Conference Committee Report. I would suggest to my friend from Freeport that the Highway Commission is in favor of the enactment of this order which is designed to study the sources of highway revenues and to study the relationship between deterioration of our roadways and various classes of highway users. The only objection to this that was raised was by the trucking lobby; they now have no objection to this, or at least they are not kicking any more. The Committee that we have asked to be appointed to this is a committee of people who I hope are going to look at this thing objectively and do the best job possible to help us out of our financial dilemma, and I would ask everyone in the House to vote in

favor of the acceptance of the Conference Report.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Freeport, Mr. Crockett.

Mr. CROCKETT: Mr. Speaker, in view of the information received from our worthy Majority Floor Leader I withdraw my motion.

The SPEAKER: The Chair would inform the gentleman that the Chair was in error in the pending question, it was the acceptance of the Report. Is it the pleasure of the House to accept the Conference Committee Report?

The motion prevailed.

Sent up for concurrence and by unanimous consent ordered sent forthwith.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, by unanimous consent the thirty-fourth item of Unfinished Business was taken from the table:

Bill "An Act relating to Highway Advertising Control" (S. P. 542) (L. D. 1443)

Tabled — June 30, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending — Adoption of House Amendment "A" (H-472)

Mr. Richardson of Cumberland withdrew his offer of House Amendment "A" without objection.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker, I would move for the indefinite postponement of this bill and speak to that motion.

Ladies and Gentlemen, as I said the other day, in 1965 the Federal Congress at the urging of the President and of Mrs. Johnson passed the Highway Beautification Act. From that day to this the Federal legislation has been in a state of chaos. As the Chairman of an interim committee that was trying to bring our law into conformity with the Federal law, I can tell you that we are worse off now than we were when we started. Recent developments indicate that there isn't even any point in our declaring a moratorium on billboard construction which was the design of House Amendment "A". For this reason and with apologies to you for having this

on the calendar and boring you at such great length with this particular problem, I ask you to go along with the indefinite postponement of this bill.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson, now moves the indefinite postponement of this bill. Is this the pleasure of the House?

The motion prevailed. By unanimous consent ordered sent forthwith to the Senate.

(Off Record Remarks)

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland,

Recessed until two o'clock this afternoon.

After Recess
2:00 P.M.

Called to order by Speaker Kennedy.

The SPEAKER: The Chair would call the attention of the House to Supplement number 6.

Conference Committee Report

Report of the Committee of Conference on the disagreeing action of the two branches of the Legislature on

Bill "An Act to Establish Thirty-three Districts for the Election of Senators in the State of Maine and Report in Support Thereof" (S. P. 676) (L. D. 1709)

Reporting that they are unable to agree.

(Signed)

LUND of Kennebec
SPROUL of Lincoln
HOFFSEES of Knox

— Committee on part of Senate.

MILIANO of Eastport
PRINCE of Harpswell
SCOTT of Wilton

— Committee on part of House.

Came from the Senate with the Report rejected and that body voting to further insist, and asking for another Committee of Conference, with the following Conferencees appointed on its part:

Messrs. HILDRETH

of Cumberland
MacLEOD of Penobscot
ALBAIR of Aroostook

Report was read.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Stonington, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker, I move that we reject the report of the Committee and request a further conference.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Stonington, Mr. Richardson, now moves the House reject the Conference Committee Report and join in another committee of conference. Is this the pleasure of the House?

(Cries of "No")

The Chair will order a vote. Those in favor of rejecting the Report will vote yes, those opposed will vote no and the Chair opens the vote.

A vote of the House was taken.

92 having voted in the affirmative and 20 having voted in the negative, the report was rejected.

The Chair appointed the following Conferees on the part of the House on the disagreeing action of the two branches:

Messrs. PRINCE of Harpswell
MEISNER
of Dover-Foxcroft
CUSHING of Bucksport

Order out of Order

Mr. Richardson of Cumberland presented the following Order out of order and moved its passage:

ORDERED, the Senate concurring, that the Interim Study Committee created by the 102nd Legislature and composed of three appointed by the Governor, one representing the State Highway Commission, one representing the State Police and one representing the general public; three appointed by the President of the Senate, an attorney, one representing the Maine Municipal Association and one representing the outdoor advertising interests; three appointed by the Speaker of the House, one representing the Garden Clubs, one representing the motel and hotel interests and one representing the Good Roads Association, to study the subject matter of Bill, "An Act Relating to Outdoor Advertising," L. D. 1715, introduced at the First Special Session of the 102nd Legislature, continue to study the subject matter and determine whether

the best interests of the State would be served by enactment of legislation designed to implement the beautification of highways; and be it further

ORDERED, that the study be coordinated with the review analysis and study now undertaken by our Federal Congress; and be it further

ORDERED, that the Committee shall serve without compensation, but shall be reimbursed for actual expenses incurred in the performance of its duties; and be it further

ORDERED, that there is appropriated from the Legislative Appropriation the sum of \$1,000 for the expenses incurred by the Committee; and be it further

ORDERED, that the Committee report the results of its study to the first Special Session or first Regular Session following revision of the Highway Beautification Act or implementation of the federal criteria as standards for the several states. (H. P. 1240)

The Order received passage. Sent up for concurrence and ordered sent forthwith.

Non-Concurrent Matter

An Act Providing for a State Government Internship Program (S. P. 200) (L. D. 431) which was passed to be enacted in the House on May 26 and passed to be engrossed on May 24.

Came from the Senate passed to be engrossed as amended by Senate Amendment "A" in non-concurrence. (S. "A" #S-324)

In the House, the House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

From the Senate: The following Order:

ORDERED, the House concurring, that under Legislative Document Number 80 (Compact for Education, Article III, section 2903, Commission), the 2 members representing the State Legislature shall serve in the following manner: The member of the Senate to be appointed by the President of the Senate shall hold office as a member of the Commission so long as he shall continue without interruption to be a member of the Senate and the member of the House of Representatives to be ap-

pointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives shall continue to hold office as a member of the Commission so long as he shall continue without interruption to be a member of the House of Representatives (S. P. 724)

Came from the Senate read and passed.

In the House, the Order received passage in concurrence.

The SPEAKER: The Chair will now call the attention of the House to Supplement Number 7.

Bond Issue

An Act to Authorize Bond Issue in the Amount of \$17,955,000 for Capital Improvements, Construction, Renovations, Repairs, Equipment and Furnishings (S. P. 691) (L. D. 1726)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Denmark, Mr. Dunn.

Mr. DUNN: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: This bond issue includes at this time \$1.1 million for a building here at Augusta and the same amount for a graduate building at Portland. I would like to take a minute or two to speak particularly about the graduate building at Portland. I talked about a month ago with one of the Trustees of the University of Maine and I was told that this was not part of their program, that they would not be ready for a building of this sort for another five years and they wouldn't know where to put it if they had it. Now, last week if some of you happened to read the Portland newspaper there was an article where Dean Fink in speaking to a group in Portland said that the expansion of the grounds there, the campus, they expected to cost about \$4 million. Now, I would like to as an example say to you that if Colby College up here was a state institution supported by the State, would you be interested in starting another institution here in Augusta a few miles away? That is exactly the situation we have in Portland and Gorham. Gorham has all kinds of room, you go along

to a window in some of their newer buildings and you can look out across a quarter to a half a mile of open land and woodland. It seems to me that it is not sensible to go ahead with this program in Portland at this time. This has been one of the main reasons why I have been quite anxious for us to form some sort of a guide to higher education, either a Committee or the University of the State of Maine. Once you have something like that, they can recommend buildings where they will do the most good and you can go along with them and feel that you are going to get your money's worth out of them. But, this represents private local interests and I don't believe that it should be in this bond issue. I think it should at least ride on its own, so I am going to vote against this bond issue when it comes up.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Manchester, Mr. Rideout.

Mr. RIDEOUT: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: Just briefly I would like to comment not on the Portland building, but on the University of Maine building at Augusta and try to impress on you as well as I might how important we feel this is for us here in Central Maine. The school itself is in existence, it is on a very good level, it is very well attended and is growing by leaps and bounds and it needs a home here in Augusta. We've been using local school facilities but we have been having trouble finding a permanent place to reside and I would just urge you with all the sincerity that I can muster to support this bond issue which does include the University of Maine at Augusta. Thank you.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Healy.

Mr. HEALY: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: Through the Chair, I should like to ask the gentleman from Oxford County, Mr. Dunn, who the Trustee is and where he's from who he said he had discussed this building for the University of Maine in Portland and who said that he didn't think that they needed it there?

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Portland, Mr. Healy, poses a question through the Chair to the gentleman from Denmark, Mr. Dunn, who may answer if he chooses.

The Chair recognizes that gentleman.

Mr. DUNN: Mr. Speaker: In answer to the question from the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Healy, the Appropriations Committee met with several members of the Trustees and the President here months ago about University matters and there was a general conversation. I don't think that I would want to name anyone, I don't think it is necessary to name anyone.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Stonington, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I find myself a little bit torn because I am in complete sympathy with my friend from Manchester, Mr. Rideout, but I am also in complete sympathy with my friend, Mr. Dunn. It is my understanding, not from Mr. Dunn, but from several other people that the Portland building was not asked for in this budget we are considering now as a must item, and it was also pointed out that it is very probable that they will have to buy land on which to put it. I do think that the Portland thing should be very, very carefully reexamined because there is, one of the Trustees of the College has pointed out to me that it is extremely expensive to buy land in the City of Portland, and that the University was considering a short time ago buying a parking space next to some of their buildings which would figure roughly \$5,000 per car, which was going to be parked in that space. Now this is absurd because this is even higher than a supermarket which is doing a great deal of business would consider. Therefore, I shall have to go along with Mr. Dunn.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Conley.

Mr. CONLEY: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: In reference to the remarks made by the

gentleman, Mr. Richardson, I would just state that at this present time, Portland is now undergoing a renewal program and the property surrounding the University of Maine, Portland campus at this time is now being cleared for I-295 and it will be joining 95 out there beyond Falmouth. I am sure many of these homes in that area are going to be torn down and this is going to leave access to the University of Maine to purchase this land and I would also point out to the membership of this House that Portland has a very large population at least southwest and in southern Maine, it is to be considered as far as this building is concerned, and that they do provide an excellent course at the University of Maine in Portland for lawyers and I think it is a necessity that we keep this in the bill.

The SPEAKER: Is the House ready for the question? All those in favor of the enactment of this bill will vote yes and those opposed will vote no and the Chair opens the vote.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 14 of Article IX of the Constitution a two-thirds vote of the House being necessary, a total was taken.

56 voted in the affirmative and 54 in the negative.

Mr. Conley of Portland requested the yeas and nays.

The SPEAKER: The yeas and nays have been requested. For the Chair to order the yeas and nays it must have the expressed desire of one-fifth of the members present and voting.

All those desiring a roll call will vote yes, those opposed will vote no.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker, I request this be set aside until later in today's session.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson, moves this matter be tabled until later in today's session. The Chair will order a vote on the request for a roll call first. Those in favor of a roll call will vote yes and those opposed will vote no and the Chair opens the vote.

A vote of the House was taken, and more than one fifth having expressed a desire for a roll call, a roll call was ordered.

Thereupon, on motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, tabled pending passage to be enacted and assigned for later in today's session.

Passed to Be Enacted

An Act to Establish and Appropriate Funds for a Youth Community Activities Section in the Department of Mental Health and Corrections (S. P. 221) (L. D. 484)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed, passed to be enacted, signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

By unanimous consent sent forthwith.

The Chair laid before the House the third item of Unfinished Business:

An Act relating to Housing and Meal Expenses for Legislators (S. P. 586) (L. D. 1551)

(In House, enacted.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled—June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending—Further consideration.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, the House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

The Chair laid before the House the fourth item of Unfinished Business:

Resolve in Favor of the City of Augusta (H. P. 7) (L. D. 19)

(In House, finally passed.)

(In Senate, indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled—June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending—Further consideration.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, the House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

The Chair laid before the House the fifth item of Unfinished Business:

An Act relating to Exceptional Children (H. P. 56) (L. D. 81)

(In House, enacted.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled—June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending—Further consideration.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, the House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

The Chair laid before the House the seventh item of Unfinished Business:

An Act relating to Payment of Funeral Expenses of Recipients of Aid to the Aged, Blind or Disabled (H. P. 461) (L. D. 674)

(In House, enacted.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled—June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending—Further consideration.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, the House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

The Chair laid before the House the eighth item of Unfinished Business:

Resolve Providing Funds for Fisheries Instruction and Literature Publication and Dissemination (H. P. 641) (L. D. 896)

(In House, enacted.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled—June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending—Further consideration.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, the House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

The Chair laid before the House the ninth item of Unfinished Business:

An Act Appropriating Funds to Expand Services for Eye Care and Special Services Division, Department of Health and Welfare (H. P. 687) (L. D. 982)

(In House, enacted.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled—June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending — Further consideration.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, the House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

The Chair laid before the House the eleventh item of Unfinished Business:

Resolve Providing for a Retirement Allowance for Lois Blackwell Goodwin (H. P. 721) (L. D. 1016)

(In House, finally passed.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled — June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending — Further consideration.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker, I move we recede and concur.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson moves the House recede and concur.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Kingman Township, Mr. Starbird.

Mr. STARBIRD: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I see item after item going down the drain which we were informed this morning there was surplus funds to provide for.

Not expecting consideration in this matter, but possibly expecting some mercy, I would like to relate a little something about this particular case. This is my bill.

Mrs. Goodwin served off and on as a teacher for something like fifteen-odd years. Her husband is now severely crippled — he draws a small pension, he was the Superintendent of the Schools in my area for many years. He is crippled in such a way that he can barely write his name. She also is not in good health.

Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this wholesale slaughter of good bills I think is entirely needless. On this particular item I ask for a roll call, and I ask that you will vote with me and see if we can succeed in defeating this motion to recede and concur.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: This is my second term in this Legislature and it is the first time that I have ever had occasion to sit in on many of the decisions that are being suggested to the members of the Majority and Minority Party. There are hundreds of deserving causes for this Legislature to meet; we all know that, and if I suggested to you that I were unsympathetic with the problem of this particular person I would be completely false. We do not propose, or we hope that we won't have to debate each one of these at great length. We are taking these steps in what we believe to be in the best interests of all concerned. This Legislature — it is now quarter after three, July 1, having set all records as we are being constantly reminded by my friend across the way, I see no point in debating every one of these appropriations bill measures. All of us have our moments; there is in excess of 9 million dollars on the appropriations table — it is our responsibility to make these decisions and make them with a minimum of hair-pulling and gnashing of teeth, and I would urge you to support the motion to recede and concur.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Eagle Lake, Mr. Martin.

Mr. MARTIN: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I certainly don't want to get involved in debate in reference to the remarks posed by the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson, but taking a look at L. D. 1016 I find that it is not an appropriations measure. From what I can gather the money would not come of of unappropriated surplus or out of the general surplus, but would be a bill telling the Maine State Retirement System that they would grant a retirement allowance to this individual, and so since it is not an appropriations measure I really cannot see why we should indefinitely postpone the bill.

The SPEAKER: The yeas and nays have been requested. For the

Chair to order a roll call it must have the expressed desire of one fifth of the members present and voting. All of those desiring a roll call will vote yes, and those opposed will vote no, and the Chair opens the vote.

A vote of the House was taken, and more than one fifth of the members present having expressed a desire for a roll call, a roll call was ordered.

The SPEAKER: The pending question is the motion of the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson, that the House recede from its former action and concur with the Senate relative to L. D. 1016, Item 11. All those in favor of receding and concurring will vote yes, and those opposed will vote no, and the Chair opens the vote.

ROLL CALL

YEA—Allen, Baker, R. E.; Benson, Birt, Bragdon, Brown, Buck, Bunker, Clark, Cornell, Crockett, Crosby, Cushing, Dickinson, Drummond, Dunn, Durgin, Evans, Ewer, Farrington, Fuller, Hall, Hanson, B. B.; Hanson, H. L.; Harriman, Hawes, Haynes, Henley, Hewes, Hinds, Hodgkins, Hoover, Immonen, Kyes, Lewin, Lewis, Lincoln, Littlefield, Lycette, McNally, Meisner, Mosher, Noyes, Pendergast, Porter, Quimby, Quinn, Rackliff, Richardson, G. A.; Richardson, H. L.; Rideout, Robinson, Ross, Sahagian, Scott, C. F.; Scott, G. W.; Shaw, Shute, Snow, P. J.; Susi, Thompson, Townsend, Trask, Watts, Wight, Williams, Wood.

NAY — Bedard, Belanger, Beliveau, Berman, Bernard, Binnette, Boudreau, Bourgoin, Brennan, Burnham, Carey, Carroll, Carswell, Champagne, Conley, Cookson, Cote, Cottrell, Couture, Crommett, Curran, Drigotas, Dudley, Eustis, Fecteau, Fortier, Fraser, Gaudreau, Gauthier, Giroux, Hanson, P. K.; Harvey, Healy, Hennessey, Hichens, Hunter, Jalbert, Keyte, Kilroy, Lebel, Levesque, Martin, Minkowsky, Nadeau, J. F. R.; Nadeau, N. L.; Philbrook, Rocheleau, Sawyer, Scribner, Starbird, Waltz, Wheeler.

ABSENT—Baker, E. B.; Bradstreet, Carrier, D'Alfonso, Danton,

Darey, Dennett, Edwards, Foster, Gill, Harnois, Huber, Humphrey, Jameson, Janelle, Jewell, Maddox, McMann, Miliano, Payson, Pike, Prince, Robertson, Roy, Snowe, P.; Soulas, Sullivan, Tangway, Truman, White.

Yes, 67; No, 52; Absent, 30.

The SPEAKER: Sixty-seven having voted in the affirmative and fifty-two having voted in the negative, the motion to recede and concur does prevail.

The Chair laid before the House the twelfth item of Unfinished Business:

An Act relating to Law Clerks for the Judiciary (H. P. 929) (L. D. 1345)

(In House, enacted.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled — June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending—Further consideration.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, the House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

The Chair laid before the House the sixteenth item of Unfinished Business:

An Act relating to Fallout Shelters in Public School Buildings (S. P. 607) (L. D. 1589)

(In House, enacted.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled — June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending — Further consideration.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, the House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

The Chair laid before the House the eighteenth item of Unfinished Business:

An Act Repealing the Law Requiring Assessment of Municipalities in Aid to Dependent Children Grants (H. P. 12) (L. D. 24)

(In House, enacted.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled — June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending — Further consideration.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker, I move we recede and concur and would speak briefly to that. This is the act repealing the law requiring the assessment of municipalities in ADC cases and is the eighteen percent ADC contribution which is a part of the basic referendum question, L. D. 1744 which will be before us for consideration very shortly.

The SPEAKER: Is it the pleasure of the House to recede and concur?

The motion prevailed.

The Chair laid before the House the nineteenth item of Unfinished Business:

An Act Reactivating the Governor's Committee on Children and Youth (H. P. 261) (L. D. 382)

(In House, enacted.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled — June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending — Further consideration.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker, I move we recede and concur.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson, now moves we recede and concur with the Senate.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Portland, Mrs. Kilroy.

Mrs. KILROY: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I have been the sponsor of a bill for the Children and Youth since 1959 and at that time I sponsored a bill in order that the State of Maine could participate in the White House Conference at which time I was a delegate. There were delegates from all over the United States and the World, and at that time the people attending that convention stressed very strongly that they were interested in keeping this Children and Youth in a workable condition until 1970. I have been working on this now since '59 and I have been a delegate and we have worked together trying to do things for the normal child.

I have voted for every bill, for every single bill that's been in this House for anything pertaining to children. I have always been interested in children.

Last November we had a conference at the University of Maine and at that time we had over 300 children along with adults, attending that Convention. And out of that Convention came the Code of Ethics which I am very happy and very proud to read, which I am going to take the time to read because this is the first time that I have spoken on the Floor of the House this year and I think that perhaps I should enlighten some of the people the work that this Committee has done and I still want to stress that this is the only Committee that's for the normal child.

"A Code of Ethics for Maine Youth," and none of these children were over the age of seventeen and it was just for one day: A young person should be honest with himself and with others. He should free himself of racial and religious prejudice. Person should keep avenues of communication open between himself and his parents but this does not mean that everything has to be talked over. A person should love his neighbor as himself. A person who is under legal age should not use alcoholic beverages — I am skipping some of these.

A person should set reasonable goals for his life, follow through with a program of education as a start towards his goal. A young person should grow toward maturity by enlarging his understanding of himself and others. He should conduct himself in such a way that he is accepted by others, respected by others and loved by others. Now, that's just some of them.

This is for the children themselves and there's two pages of them and also the Code of Ethics for their parents: Parents should not be too critical of their young people. They should make a real effort to understand their youth. Should not break the law and expect their children to respect the law. There should always be open and adequate communication be-

tween the parents and their young people but this does not mean that everything must be talked over. Parents should give young people something concrete to do out of which they will gain satisfactions and recognition. Parents should teach their children the value of money. Should give of their time and facilities. Parents have the primary responsibility to give good sex education to their children. Should encourage youth to be together at home and not together parking on highways or back roads. That's just a part of them.

And for the road. Maine communities should encourage responsibility in youth by making available for them work, both paid and volunteer. Maine communities should give young people an opportunity to be heard with respect to matters that vitally affect them. Maine communities should provide adequate recreation facilities for their youth; should make high school education available to all, providing adequate transportation to high school for all youths; should provide adequate mental health facilities for their citizens; should see to it that special facilities are provided for the training, education and care of the physically and mentally handicapped. Now, that's a few of these ethics that these children have made up in one day's conference and not one of these children was over the age of eighteen.

These boys and girls are our citizens. These boys and girls enjoyed being together and listening to what the professor from Vermont, Mr. Stamfink had to say and gave them a very fine speech on what they should do to make better citizens of themselves. These Codes of Ethics have been used in many of the Protestant Churches in the State of Maine in order to be able to communicate with their children. Now, I ask you in all fairness, if you people really believe that a bill with \$3,000 should go down the drain at this time when this is the only bill that is for the normal child. Tomorrow or the next day or maybe in years to come, we will look to these people to be citizens and good citizens,

and I am sure any boy or girl that would participate in such a program and put out such a Code of Ethics that we can depend on them to be good citizens and to give good advice to the rest of the State of Maine.

Mr. Speaker, I move that we insist and ask for a Committee of Conference.

The SPEAKER: The Chair would advise the gentlewoman that receding and concurring has priority; should this lose, the next motion would be to insist.

Mrs. Kilroy of Portland then requested a roll call.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Old Town, Mr. Binnette.

Mr. BINNETTE: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: After hearing this gentlewoman from Portland express herself in such a manner as to inform us relative to this Code of Ethics amongst our youth, which we need so much these days because these youths will be our future citizens and if we cannot do something for them there is something wrong with us. I don't think that the request that she has made of \$3,000 is going to break the State of Maine because I heard our Majority Leader say that they had \$9 million there to use on most anything that they saw fit. I, therefore, am heartily in accord with the gentlewoman from Portland and I move that we have a division.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from East Millinocket, Mr. Birt.

Mr. BIRT: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: To clarify one point that the good gentleman from Old Town, Mr. Binnette has made, I don't think that the Majority Leader made the statement that they had \$9 million available because that was a figure that I gave him. There are \$9 million worth of bills on the Appropriations Table and this has got to be cut down to the small figure of available money. So I would like to correct that impression because there is not \$9 million available, there are \$9 million worth of bills.

The SPEAKER: A roll call has been requested. For the Chair to order a roll call it must have the

expressed desire of one fifth of the members present and voting. All of those desiring a roll call will vote yes and those opposed will vote no, and the Chair opens the vote.

A vote of the House was taken, and more than one fifth of the members present having expressed a desire for a roll call, a roll call was ordered.

The SPEAKER: The pending question is on the motion of the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson that the House recede from its former action and concur with the Senate on the indefinite postponement of House Paper 261, L. D. 382, An Act Reactivating the Governor's Committee on Children and Youth. All those in favor of receding and concurring will vote yes; those opposed will vote no, and the Chair opens the vote.

ROLL CALL

YEA — Allen, Baker, R. E.; Benson, Birt, Bragdon, Brown, Buck, Bunker, Cookson, Cornell, Crockett, Crosby, Cushing, Dickinson, Drummond, Dunn, Durgin, Evans, Ewer, Farrington, Fuller, Hall, Hanson, B. B.; Hanson, H. L.; Hanson, P. K.; Harriman, Hawes, Haynes, Henley, Hichens, Hinds, Hodgkins, Hoover, Immonen, Jalbert, Jannelle, Kyes, Lewin, Littlefield, Lycette, McNally, Miliano, Mosher, Pendergast, Porter, Quimby, Quinn, Rackliff, Richardson, G. A.; Richardson, H. L.; Rideout, Robinson, Scott, C. F.; Scott, G. W.; Shute, Snow, P. J.; Susi, Thompson, Townsend, T r a s k, Watts, Williams, Wood.

NAY — Bedard, Belanger, Beliveau, Berman, Bernard, Binnette, Boudreau, B o u r g o i n, Brennan, Burnham, Carey, Carroll, Carswell, Champagne, Clark, Conley, Cote, Cottrell, Couture, Crommett, Curran, Darey, Drigotas, Dudley, Eustis, Fecteau, Fortier, F r a s e r, Gaudreau, Gauthier, Giroux, Harvey, Healy, Hennessey, Hewes, Hunter, Keyte, Kilroy, Lebel, Levesque, Lewis, Lincoln, Martin, Meisner, Minkowsky, Nadeau, J. F. R.; Nadeau, N. L.; Philbrook, Rocheleau, Ross, Roy, Sahagian, Sawyer, Scribner, Shaw, Starbird, Waltz, Wheeler.

ABSENT — Baker, E. B.; Bradstreet, Carrier, D'Alfonso, Danton, Dennett, Edwards, Foster, Gill, Harnois, Huber, Humphrey, Jameson, Jewell, Maddox, McMann, Noyes, Payson, Pike, Prince, Robertson, Snowe, P.; Soulas, Sullivan, Tanguay, Truman, White, Wight.

Yes, 63; No, 58; Absent, 28.

The SPEAKER: Sixty-three having voted in the affirmative and fifty-eight in the negative, the motion to recede and concur does prevail.

The Chair laid before the House the twenty-first item of Unfinished Business:

Resolve to Authorize a Professional Review and Analysis of Maine's World Trade Potential (H. P. 495) (L. D. 708)

(In House, finally passed.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled—June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending—Further consideration.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I move we recede and concur and I would like to speak very briefly to my motion.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson moves we recede and concur. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: A lot of figures are being thrown around here and I think it is time that we cleared up some statements that are being made that just aren't correct. When you are talking about funding these L. D.'s, you are talking about two species of cat. One is an L. D. involving a recurring, an annually recurring service and in other L. D.'s you are talking about a one shot deal. Now, these items that have recurring costs attached to them are difficult to segregate and vote for, because we do not have great slugs of money as is being suggested here to fund these things with. It would be a complete waste of our time if I went and got all these figures for

you, I know, but I simply want to suggest to you that the statement that there is plenty of money available to fund all of these L. D.'s is just not true. I move we recede and concur.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Kingman Township, Mr. Starbird.

Mr. STARBIRD: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I would like to inquire through the Chair, of the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Brennan as to the figure that might be available for these L. D.'s that he mentioned this morning.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Kingman Township, Mr. Starbird, poses a question through the Chair to the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Brennan who may answer if he chooses.

The Chair recognizes that gentleman.

Mr. BRENNAN: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: It is my understanding that there's money in the neighborhood of about \$2 million in surplus that may be available for this.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from South Portland, Mr. Hinds.

Mr. HINDS: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: Just to back up what the gentleman from Cumberland said just a few minutes ago. Any of these reoccurring expenses have to come out of the General Fund Revenue and they cannot be taken from surplus. Any items that do not reoccur from year to year are the only items that you can spend out of the surplus account.

Thereupon, the House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

The Chair laid before the House the twenty-third item of Unfinished Business:

Resolve for Construction and Erection of Statue to "The Maine Lobsterman" in Washington, D. C. (H. P. 661) (L. D. 916) (In House, finally passed.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled—June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending—Further consideration.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bath, Mr. Ross.

Mr. ROSS: Mr. Speaker, reluctantly, I move that we recede and concur with the Senate.

Thereupon, the House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

The Chair laid before the House the twenty-fifth item of Unfinished Business:

An Act Providing Vocational Education Loan Funds (H. P. 882) (L. D. 1294)

(In House, enacted.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled—June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending—Further consideration.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker, I move that we recede and concur and would speak briefly to that. The item contained in this L. D. is contained in the referendum issue.

Thereupon, the House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

The Chair laid before the House the twenty-sixth item of Unfinished Business:

An Act Establishing a Consumers' Council (H. P. 1004) (L. D. 1471)

(In House, enacted.)

(In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled—June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending—Further consideration.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I move we recede and concur.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Scribner.

Mr. SCRIBNER: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I don't believe in Santa Claus and I don't believe in miracles. Perhaps the Greek Gods expressed their wrath a little bit this morning when they shook this old State House, but I think the type of action that we are taking today is an expression of that. This particular item, it's

the only bill that was before this Legislature that involved and affected every citizen in the State of Maine. We have nearly one million consumers in the State of Maine and in this Legislature this bill didn't even gain enough recognition to go into the doubtful referendum that we are proposing.

I object, I object strenuously. I feel that the measures deleting an item such as this and eliminating an appropriation involved does a great injustice to the people of the State of Maine. Each one of us in this House represents approximately 7500 of these consumers. These are the people that we should be considering when we act on a measure such as this. Other states have adopted this type of item and at the Judiciary Committee several members on the other side of the political fence mentioned that this was one of the finest bills to appear before this Legislature. I realize they are going to have to vote against it today, so I'm not going to mention them by name. I accept the facts of life, but for my own records I would like to have a roll call and I request the yeas and nays.

The SPEAKER: The yeas and nays have been requested. For the Chair to order a roll call it must have the expressed desire of one fifth of the members present and voting. All of those desiring a roll call will vote yes and those opposed will vote no. The Chair opens the vote.

A vote of the House was taken, and more than one fifth of the members present having expressed a desire for a roll call, a roll call was ordered.

The SPEAKER: The pending question is on the motion of the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson, that on L. D. 1471 the House recede and concur. All those in favor of receding and concurring will vote yes; those opposed will vote no. The Chair opens the vote.

ROLL CALL

YEA—Allen, Baker, R. E.; Benson, Birt, Bragdon, Brown, Bunker, Clark, Cookson, Cornell, Cote, Crockett, Crosby, Cushing, Darey, Dickinson, Drummond, Dunn, Dur-

gin, Edwards, Evans, Ewer, Farrington, Fuller, Hall, Hanson, B. B.; Hanson, H. L.; Hanson, P. K.; Harriman, Hawes, Haynes, Henley, Hewes, Hichens, Hinds, Hodgkins, Hoover, Immonen, Kyes, Lewin, Lewis, Lincoln, Littlefield, Lycette, McNally, Meisner, Miliano, Mosher, Noyes, Pendergast, Philbrook, Porter, Quimby, Quinn, Rackliff, Richardson, G. A.; Richardson, H. L.; Rideout, Robinson, Ross, Roy, Sahagian, Scott, C. F.; Scott, G. W.; Shaw, Shute, Snow, P. J.; Susi, Thompson, Townsend, Trask, Waltz, Watts, White, Wight, Williams, Wood, The Speaker.

NAY—Bedard, Belanger, Beliveau, Berman, Bernard, Binnette, Boudreau, Bourgoin, Brennan, Burnham, Carey, Carroll, Carswell, Champagne, Conley, Cottrell, Couture, Crommett, Curran, Drigotas, Dudley, Eustis, Pecteau, Fortier, Fraser, Gaudreau, Gauthier, Giroux, Harvey, Healy, Hennessey, Hunter, Jalbert, Jannelle, Keyte, Lebel, Levesque, Martin, Minkowsky, Nadeau, J. F. R.; Nadeau, N. L.; Robertson, Rocheleau, Sawyer, Scribner, Starbird, Wheeler.

ABSENT—Baker, E. B.; Bradstreet, Buck, Carrier, D'Alfonso, Danton, Dennett, Foster, Gill, Harnois, Huber, Humphrey, Jameson, Jewell, Kilroy, Maddox, McMann, Payson, Pike, Prince, Snowe, P.; Soulas, Sullivan, Tanguay, Truman.

Yes, 78; No, 47; Absent, 25.

The SPEAKER: Seventy-eight having voted in the affirmative and forty-seven in the negative, the motion to recede and concur does prevail.

The Chair laid before the House the twenty-seventh item of Unfinished Business:

An Act Appropriating Funds to County of Lincoln, Town of Wiscasset and Town of Westport for Reimbursement of Funds Expended on Westport-Wiscasset Bridge Span (H. P. 1181) (L. D. 1683) (In House, enacted) (In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled—June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending—Further consideration.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I move we recede and concur.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Woolwich, Mr. Harvey.

Mr. HARVEY: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I hope that the House today will go against the motion to recede and concur that I might make the motion to insist and have a Conference. Ladies and gentlemen, \$140,000 of state funds will put back on the payrolls a half a million dollars a year. We have a D.E.D. over here that would spend that much money to induce a new industry to come into the State of Maine with a quarter of that payroll, and the people have been kicked in the teeth for nineteen years with this injustice and I believe it's about time it be solved. I suppose my words are just hitting against a brick wall but I would like a roll call on this so that I can show the people that after being promised by the Majority Party for nineteen years that this would be taken care of and it never has been, that their own party is still kicking them in the teeth because the majority down through my section is still of the Majority Party. I request a roll call.

The SPEAKER: The yeas and nays have been requested. For the Chair to order a roll call it must have the expressed desire of one fifth of the members present and voting. All of those desiring a roll call will vote yes; those opposed will vote no. The Chair opens the vote.

A vote of the House was taken, and more than one fifth of the members present having expressed a desire for a roll call, a roll call was ordered.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from South Portland, Mr. Hinds.

Mr. HINDS: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I would just like to make one comment on this. These particular towns are eligible to apply for funds under

the present bridge act and it seems every other city and town in the State of Maine, the same as the City of South Portland has just applied for a bridge in our City, and they could get these funds by applying — the Highway Department has these funds available. Thank you.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Woolwich, Mr. Harvey.

Mr. HARVEY: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: In reply to Mr. Hinds, if he had studied this and worked on it for five years he might have a few of the facts which I have plenty of. This bill in its present form was written up by the Appropriations Committee itself which figured this was just to the towns and the county that have been built out of this amount of money and what they have got there—instead of a high rise bridge they have got a fill, a dam and a thirty foot hole in it. This is the Appropriations Committee's bill that was redrafted by them to adjust this to the people in this area. Thank you.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from South Portland, Mr. Hinds.

Mr. HINDS: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I believe this was the Minority Report of the Committee on Appropriations.

Mr. Harvey of Woolwich was then granted permission to speak a third time.

Mr. HARVEY: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: In regards to that I believe and was told that this rewrite of this bill had 100% support of the entire Appropriations Committee.

The SPEAKER: The pending question is on the motion of the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson, that on L. D. 1683 the House recede and concur with the Senate. A roll call has been requested and ordered. All those in favor of receding and concurring will vote yes; those opposed will vote no. The Chairs opens the vote.

ROLL CALL

YEA—Allen, Baker, R. E.; Benson, Birt, Bragdon, Brown, Bunker, Clark, Cookson, Cornell, Crockett, Crosby, Cushing, Darey,

Dickinson, Drummond, Dudley, Dunn, Durgin, Edwards, Evans, Ewer, Farrington, Fuller, Hall, Hanson, H. L.; Harriman, Hawes, Haynes, Healy, Hewes, Hichens, Hinds, Hodgkins, Hoover, Jannelle, Kyes, Lewin, Lincoln, Littlefield, Lycette, McNally, Meisner, Miliano, Mosher, Noyes, Pendergast, Philbrook, Porter, Quimby, Quinn, Rackliff, Richardson, G. A.; Richardson, H. L.; Rideout, Robinson, Sahagian, Scott, C. F.; Scott, G. W.; Shaw, Snow, P. J.; Susi, Thompson, Townsend, Trask, Watts, White, Wight, Williams, Wood.

NAY—Bedard, Belanger, Berman, Bernard, Binnette, Boudreau, Bourgoin, Brennan, Buck, Burnham, Carey, Carroll, Carswell, Champagne, Conley, Cote, Cottrell, Couture, Crommett, Curran, Drigotas, Eustis, Fecteau, Fortier, Fraser, Gaudreau, Gauthier, Giroux, Hanson, P. K.; Harvey, Hennessey, Hunter, Immonen, Keyte, Kilroy, Lebel, Levesque, Lewis, Martin, Minkowsky, Nadeau, J. F. R.; Nadeau, N. L.; Rocheleau, Ross, Roy, Sawyer, Scribner, Starbird, Waltz, Wheeler.

ABSENT—Baker, E. B.; Beliveau, Bradstreet, Carrier, D'Alfonso, Danton, Dennett, Foster, Gill, Hanson, B. B.; Harnois, Henley, Huber, Humphrey, Jalbert, Jameson, Jewell, Maddox, McMann, Payson, Pike, Prince, Robertson, Shute, Snowe, P.; Soulas, Sullivan, Tanguay, Truman.

Yes, 70; No, 50; Absent, 29.

The SPEAKER: Seventy having voted in the affirmative and fifty in the negative, the motion to recede and concur does prevail.

The Chair laid before the House the twenty-eighth item of Unfinished Business:

An Act to Establish the Division of Municipal Affairs in the Executive Department (H. P. 1184) (L. D. 1686) (In House, enacted) (In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending—Further consideration.

Thereupon, on motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, the

House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

The Chair laid before the House the twenty-ninth item of Unfinished Business:

An Act relating to Restoration of Service under State Retirement Law (H. P. 1187) (L. D. 1688) (In House, enacted) (In Senate, Indefinitely Postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled — June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending — Further consideration.

Thereupon, on motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, the House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

The Chair laid before the House the thirtieth item of Unfinished Business:

An Act Creating the Maine Ocean Science Council (H. P. 1210) (L. D. 1722) (In House, enacted) (In Senate, indefinitely postponed in non-concurrence.)

Tabled — June 29, by Mr. Richardson of Cumberland.

Pending — Further consideration.

Thereupon, on motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, the House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

Thereupon, on motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland, the House recessed until the sound of the gong.

After Recess

Called to order by the Speaker.

From the Senate: The following Order:

ORDERED, the House concurring, that when the Senate and House adjourn, they adjourn to meet on Thursday, July 6 at 4:00 P. M. in the afternoon. (S. P. 725)

Came from the Senate, read and passed

In the House, the Order was read and passed in concurrence.

The SPEAKER: The Chair will call your attention to Supplement Number 8.

Passed to Be Enacted

An Act to Appropriate and Provide Additional Moneys for the Expenditures of State Government and for Other Purposes for the Fiscal Years Ending June 30, 1968 and June 30, 1969, Conditioned upon the People's Ratification by a Referendum Vote. (S. P. 714) (L. D. 1744)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bath, Mr. Ross.

Mr. ROSS: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I have so far not gotten into these debates—only so many persons can talk. However, now I feel that certain very unfortunate accusations have been made. For instance, that we Republicans were only playing politics; that we were trying to embarrass the Governor; that we were not acting in a responsible manner; that L. D. 1575, which we enacted this morning, was the most irresponsible law that persons had ever seen; that we were not willing to cooperate. I believe the Governor even released a statement that this was the most blatant display of partisanship that he had ever seen. In my opinion, none of these accusations are well founded. To me, they sound like manifestations uttered to gain political sympathy. I feel now, and I have always felt, that the Republican party had most of all, the qualities of integrity and responsibility.

The question before us is just academic. We believed in one course of action and the Democrats believed in another. Both of us were firm in our resolve. They can't call us stubborn obstructionists or any more partisan than we could call them, if we so desired.

At one point we had 92 persons who were in favor of our tax program. We only needed nine more. Now, to me, compromise is not a dirty word. It is a very logical word. If wisely used of course it applies to politics and all sorts of other endeavors. Although I desire to call this term negotiate. During the thirty years that I was associated with heavy industry, part of my every day work sched-

ule had to do with negotiations of some kind. Whether it was labor or contracts or material purchasing, or government audits and so forth and so forth. But you could only negotiate on sound terms and this is what caused our dilemma these last few weeks. We did not honestly consider that the Democrat patchwork program was sound. And, under these conditions, could any persons expect 92 proponents to completely recapitulate for just nine? No, I don't believe any person could believe that, so we had to find another logical method of solving our problem. Compromising, if you will.

Now, we believe that this is not only sound but it is courageous and morally correct. We proposed and passed the essential service budget this morning. Nothing is going to stop that couldn't temporarily be put off and now, to finance the increased services, we are willing to face the people with a program and a tax measure to go along with it that we sincerely feel is fairest to all of our people of the State of Maine.

Now the Democrats, or some of them, scoff at us. They claim it will be beaten anyway, so why attempt it at all. Now, we do not take this negative attitude. We will admit though that it is going to be a tremendous selling job. But we are willing to have the people test our judgment. The question is asked, what if we fail? And this is used to also prove irresponsibility on the part of Republicans. But they can't use this question because we have favored all along a budget much higher than \$217 million. We have been stating this for months. If we should fail, we would then reappraise, because we realize that needs exist and we only favor what we call the fairest tax. Now, if the people should not agree with that, then we would have to take some other course of action. But it is our firm desire to take it only at that point and in the meantime, nothing at all will suffer. And, we as Republicans feel and consider that we have met our true responsibilities with dignity, purposeful resolve albeit very trying for all of us.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Brennan.

Mr. BRENNAN: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: The Minority Party is very much opposed to passage of this L. D. We sincerely feel that it is an attempt by the Majority Party to abdicate the responsibility of the Legislature. It is especially sad that six months of work is going down the drain for failure of the Republican Party to pass a meaningful tax program. I suspect that this bill will be vetoed and I am certain that this veto will be sustained. In effect, the passage of this bill now will be an exercise in futility. I know privately many Republican legislators find this hodgepodge referendum proposal to be ludicrous. I earnestly hope that these people will vote against the bill now rather than carry it over for a period of time such as was done on the five cent sales tax proposal. I ask the Republican Legislature to be realistic and not do what was done on the sales tax, that is, to try in vain to pass a measure that is already dead. I would then ask, who is in a better position to know the problems confronting this State? The Legislators here in Augusta who have been going over the problems in detail for six months, or the people of the State? I think the answer is clear. We are sent here to represent the people. We are here full time to carefully go over the bills presented. We have the benefit of much literature, conferences with department heads, information related at public hearings, debate in this House, and in general are given considerable data on which to base our decisions. The people of the State, I think, we can all appreciate do not have these favorable conditions to make intelligent decisions. In the interest of good government, and in the interest of not doing useless things, I urge now that everyone in this House vote to indefinitely postpone this bill. I make that as a motion that this bill and all of its accompanying papers be indefinitely postponed.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Rumford, Mr. Beliveau.

Mr. BELIVEAU: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I rise in support of the pending motion to indefinitely postpone this document. I would like to comment very briefly on some of the problems that I believe exist here and particularly the Constitutional ones. In my opinion, this is probably the most flagrant example of the delegation if not the abdication of legislative power of taxation. Now, the bill before us presents a very real constitutional problem.

I would like the members to read with me Article IX, Section 9 of our Constitution which you will find on page 23 of the Senate and House Register. The fourteen words which are very clear and unambiguous, which reads as follows: "The Legislature shall never, in any manner, suspend or surrender the power of taxation." It is very clear and I think the language speaks for itself. The Legislature shall never, in any manner, I think it is important to emphasize the three words "in any manner" suspend or surrender the power of taxation. Now, without delving into a long constitutional debate on this issue, I do believe though that axiomatic, or at least that it is axiomatic Constitutional Law that the power conferred upon the Legislature to tax cannot be delegated in any manner to the electorate or to the citizens of the State. In other words, the Legislature, in whose judgment and wisdom this high prerogative has been entrusted, cannot relieve itself of its responsibility, by delegating its responsibility to the voters of the State.

In addition to this, the procedure that we would follow on this document would violate a very deep rooted historical tradition regarding tax measures. We all know that historically bills of this nature have been enacted on an emergency basis to avoid petitions or to avoid recall and referendums. Now, again using precedent as an argument, we can cite for you the two instances where a similar avenue was attempted, that was back in 1937 when the voters were asked

to pass on a referendum which was to provide for a one percent sales tax to fund an Old Age Assistance Program and that was turned down better than two to one. Now, certainly an Old Age Assistance Program is a lot more palatable and attractive and easier to understand than this twenty-seven page — thirty-seven page document with two amendments that we have before us. And, again in 1947 another referendum which would provide for a bonus for World War II Veterans was again defeated soundly by the voters by a margin of two to one. Now, I think that if after ninety-nine days of deliberation, debate, committee hearings, that this is the only document that we could come up to and in the final analysis we must again make a complete 180 degree turn to ask the voters who sent us here to make the decision for us. Now, again, we may not be violating the letter of the law in this action, but we certainly are violating the spirit of this law. So, consequently, I urge all the members of this House to vote in support of the pending motion for indefinite postponement.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bangor, Mr. Quinn.

Mr. QUINN: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I rise and reply to my brother, the honorable attorney from Rumford, Mr. Beliveau, my good friend, very, very good friend. The Legislature, he says, shall never in any manner suspend or surrender the power of taxation. The Republican Party believes that and the Republican Party has tried to conform to that. We have set up a method of taxation which we consider to be fair, and we have set up a method of taxation which we consider would affect all of our citizens in an equal and fair manner. We have tried and tried, made attempt after attempt to pass our program under an emergency clause, trying to get a hundred and one votes. The nearest we have been able to get is 92 because some people in this Legislature do not feel that they want a good fair tax that will apply to all of the people. They would

much prefer to tax the man that drinks liquor; they would much prefer to tax the man that uses tobacco and their pocket taxes such as that that would only affect a limited few of our citizens. By and large a large body of our citizens would not come under that tax program at all, but they would come under the program that we have to offer.

The program we'd have to offer was a one cent increase in the sales tax which would effect everybody, the poor with the large families would have food stuffs exempted and other exemptions because of their category and their tax would be very small. The man with large means because of his purchases, would pay a large percentage of the tax in a fair proportion. Now, we set up and worked hard the last six months in the program to help the retarded children, the blind and other needy programs and we had them on the Appropriations Table in the other House, ready to put into effect if we had our tax program passed. We were refused. The result was we had no money to pay for those fine programs. The result was we had to discard them.

Now, in order for us to put this program into effect, we have to take off a referendum in order to get a majority vote on our program and give the people a chance to determine whether we were right or whether we were wrong. We have offered a tax program. It has not been accepted by all the members of this House. We can only get 92 to support that program and we needed 101 to pass it with the emergency, or to pass it over a veto if a veto was put on it. Now, we are forced to present this program to the people, and if the people want this program of assistance to the retarded, to the blind and other good works which we have provided for, they will see that this program is passed if they are given the opportunity. Therefore, I would ask all the members of this House that want a fair type and method of taxation to vote against the pending motion.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Madawaska, Mr. Levesque.

Mr. LEVESQUE: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I will try to be brief, as recognizing that it is now five minutes after six on Saturday, July 1st, 1967 and certainly I would want to be one that would want to be very brief at this hour.

On many occasions, during the last six months, we have tried to explain our position, we have tried to make it very clear to the members of this House of where the Democratic Party stood. To this end we have offered different proposals that were going to be taxed in terms that we thought were going to be fair and was going to be good for the people of the State of Maine. The gentleman from Bath, Mr. Ross, has indicated that he has over the years have had the opportunity to be able to sit down and negotiate in arriving at some settlements in his particular business. I think probably many of us here have been faced with the same problems many times during our lifetimes. But I have yet failed to see in my lifetime where any two persons or two groups of persons have been able to agree or to compromise if they were unable to meet and discuss mutual problems. During the last six months, we in the loyal opposition have met with the Majority Party once. At that one time the position of the Majority Party was made very clear to us that they didn't think at the time that no matter what kind of program, what kind of taxation program we were going to offer to provide for the program, was going to be acceptable to them. Is this the type of negotiation that we can arrive at a compromise?

I will read a statement here that was made on the Floor of this House on June 29, 1967 by the gentleman from South Portland, Mr. Hinds: "I have always felt it was the responsibility of the Legislature to enact the taxes that were necessary during our regular session." This, the Minority Party firmly believes in. And these many programs that we have offered only at arms length, knowing that there was no area that we could meet to compromise. If we would have been only able to meet, maybe we could have compromised.

But we were unable to meet. That responsibility I don't have to tell you where it rests. So, therefore, ladies and gentlemen, of this House, it is an impossibility for us to recognize that the people of the State of Maine want to be faced without knowing the basic backgrounds or the reasons why these documents are before them or not having the research or the material available at hand to be able to vote on these measures do we feel that this is fair to the people of our State. And when the vote is taken on this document, I request that it be taken by the yeas and nays.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Woolwich, Mr. Harvey.

Mr. HARVEY: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: This will be my farewell speech, because after two sessions of the Legislature, my business is at a crucial point. This might have cost me my business, so I will not run again, so I would like to say a few words. Earlier in the session, there was a bill presented by the Minority Party to do away with the Executive Council which is a yoke and a burden around the neck of the Executive Officer of this State. I think no finer example can be seen, or has been seen, of why it should be done away with than the last few weeks. We have seen the leader, in my opinion, of an unmentionable branch, led around by the nose with a ring through it, and a rope, by a member of the Executive Council. Every member in this House I respect from the Speaker down to the junior legislator. I know them pretty well. I like them all. And I know, without outside influence that this could have been a successful and a compromising session. Through this outside influence, in my opinion, it has been an entire plot and a farce, a waste of the taxpayers money, my time and maybe my business. So, in all these speeches and superfluous words that have been spoken for the benefit of the press, we all know it's been a failure. So, all these fine words that have been spoken, there are some other famous words that have been spoken, and just one word and that is just plain nuts. Thank you.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Sanford, Mr. Nadeau.

Mr. NADEAU: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: Since I feel that I represent the working people, the poor people, I only have one comment. There was a gentleman that spoke and I respect that gentleman very much. He mentioned that 5% sales tax is a fair tax, and I ask him a question. Is it this reason why there are only two states in the whole blasted United States that have a 5% sales tax? They're all crazy but Maine wants to keep moving ahead, and this is why the 5% sales tax is a fair tax. If it was fair, ladies and gentlemen, I remind you there'd be maybe 48 of the 50 states that would have such a tax but they have not, and I think this is one point we have forgotten. And those very same states, they have programs for education, they have programs for the blind, they have programs for the poor. What I think we need here honestly is businessmen as legislators, those who want to economize not just spend, spend and spend. Thank you.

The SPEAKER: The pending question is the motion of the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Brennan that L. D. 1744 be indefinitely postponed. The yeas and nays have been requested. For the Chair to order a roll call it must have the expressed desire of one fifth of the members present and voting. All those desiring a roll call will vote yes, those opposed will vote no and the Chair opens the vote.

A vote of the House was taken, and more than one fifth of the members present and voting having expressed a desire for a roll call, a roll call was ordered.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: This won't be long, I promise. The statement that this bill is unconstitutional is plainly and simply absurd. Secondly, had this Legislature been left to exercise its judgement without interference we would have long since arrived at a sound long term solution. Thirdly, in the appearances that the

Chief Executive has made before this Legislature, he has on several occasions called for us, indeed challenged us in veto messages, to submit for the judgement of the people, important constitutional issues affecting every day state government. I find it a strange plan of hypocrisy that now finds fault with the program that would take this important issue of progress to Maine to the people. There is one statement that has been made here today that troubles me personally because it questions my truthfulness. First of all, I want it clearly understood that on no occasion since I took my seat in the corner of this House in January, have I ever had occasion or been invited or even has the suggestion been made that any discussion with me or with me as part of a group of any legislative problem with the Governor of this State would be either necessary or helpful. So, let's not have all these pious declarations of good faith because that is just not the case.

Now the statement to which I take particular exception and of course I'm tired as we all are, is that we have not attempted to compromise. The fact of the matter is that at the meeting to which the gentleman from Madawaska refers, I said that I was opposed to piecemeal taxation necessary to get us up to the level that we want to be at. I stated to him and the people in that room, if you want to bring such a package out I will take it before the caucus. I cannot personally support it because if I did I would be completely denying everything I believe in, but I would take it before the caucus of the members of my party and offer it to them. So, let there not be any thought in anyone's mind that I didn't make that statement. The person solely responsible for the sense of failure which the gentleman from Woolwich, Mr. Harvey feels, the person directly responsible and solely responsible for the sense of failure which I feel personally, the person directly responsible for the position to which we have been forced and the sickening feeling of despair that every person in this

House feels when he contemplates the thing that is before us, is brought about solely as a result of the Governor's intervention into legislative matters of the State. It is our responsibility and our responsibility alone. Had we been left to discharge it, honestly, reasonably, we would not have been denied the handful of votes necessary. Thank you.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Madawaska, Mr. Levesque.

Mr. LEVESQUE: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: This afternoon got started from the sublime to the completely ridiculous. The Governor of this State has been issued a proclamation by the people to help the people of the State of Maine, and I don't think that any person in this room or in the other Branch can question the integrity of the Executive Officer as trying to help resolve some of the problems that are vested into his powers.

If their Governor is in error, so is the entire archaic body that has to be tacked to his tail.

It is quite unfortunate that we have to act on the last few pieces of legislation under such terms as these, but I suppose that this is the way that the ball has to be bounced. I feel that the dignity of the office of our Chief Executive has been carried out with the utmost of dignity towards the Legislature and towards the people of the State of Maine. And if the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson, had not been posted as to the area that we could meet I think probably the line of communication was between the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson, and the Speaker or the President of the other Branch. It was the responsibility of the Governor to carry his messages and his information with these two leaders. I don't think that any member of this Branch here is expected that the Governor would have called in for leadership conference with all the members of the Legislature, only when he saw to speak to the Legislature in a joint convention. So if there was a line of communication that was broken, don't blame the Chief Executive.

So, therefore, I urge you again this afternoon Ladies and Gentlemen, of not trying to put before the public something that is entirely our responsibility.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Healy.

Mr. HEALY: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: This could be my swan song, although I've been heard to say that before, and I would trust that my dear, dear friend, the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert, would be patient enough with me so that I could get a few remarks into the records.

The poets say — "Grant me the courage to change the things I can change; the serenity to accept those that I can't, and the wisdom to know the difference."

Throughout this Legislature our Chief Executive has been pilloried, plastered and punned against. He has been referred to as that "young, hopeful, Governor" and at another time "a man who appeals to the people with passion" — and well the word was used by the gentleman from Bath, Mr. Ross — it's escaped me for the moment and I didn't care for it. Anyway, it comes from the House of Lords. Somewhere back I think in the month of April, one of the Lords took occasion to refer to a remark that the Governor had made at a Democratic rally wherein he referred to types of legislators. Now when I see that gentleman driving through the halls of the Legislature, I can only think of a line in the song that Tennessee Ernie Ford made famous — "when you see me coming, just step aside — a lot of men didn't, and a lot of men died." And I've seen those people before.

I am also reminded in connection with this gentleman of the Battle of the Bulge when our soldiers were surrounded, their lines were severed, their supply lines were severed, they were hip-deep in snow in sub-zero weather and the German Command sent the Commander a message — "surrender, or be annihilated." Our General's answer is history in a four-letter word, but in, — to be discreet in the presence of the gentlewomen in this House — I shall leave it to your imagination.

I don't think you need much imagination.

It has been said by the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson, that the Governor's program was a fraud on the people. Now I ask you Ladies and Gentlemen, is a twenty-five percent increase in our sales tax a fraud on the people?

I am not going to change any votes here, I know, we could have taken this vote before anybody got up and we're going to come out with the same result, but I'm going to feel better tonight and throughout this long, hot summer — and I suspected we were going to spend most of it here — but apparently we're not — with getting these few remarks in the records.

There is nothing I can say that's going to change any votes and I leave you with the requiescat in pace. Thank you.

The SPEAKER: The pending question is the motion of the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Brennan, that L. D. 1744 be indefinitely postponed. A roll call has been ordered. All of those in favor of indefinite postponement will vote yes, those opposed will vote no, and the Chair opens the vote.

ROLL CALL

YEA — Bedard, Beliveau, Binnette, Boudreau, Bourgoin, Brennan, Burnham, Carroll, Carswell, Champagne, Conley, Cottrell, Couture, Crommett, Curran, Eustis, Fecteau, Fortier, Fraser, Gaudreau, Gauthier, Giroux, Harvey, Healy, Hennessy, Hunter, Jalbert, Keyte, Kilroy, Lebel, Levesque, Martin, Minkowsky, Nadeau, J. F. R.; Nadeau, N. L.; Rocheleau, Sawyer, Scribner, Starbird, Wheeler.

NAY — Allen, Baker, R. E.; Benson, Birt, Bragdon, Brown, Buck, Bunker, Carey, Clark, Cookson, Cornell, Crockett, Crosby, Cushing, Darey, Dennett, Dickinson, Drummond, Dunn, Durgin, Edwards, Evans, Ewer, Farrington, Fuller, Hall, Hanson, H. L.; Hanson, P. K.; Harriman, Hawes, Haynes, Henley, Hewes, Hichens, Hinds, Hodgkins, Hoover, Immonen, Jannelle, Kyes, Lewin, Lewis, Lincoln, Littlefield, Lycette, McNally, Meisner, Miliano, Mosher, Noyes, Pendergast, Philbrook, Porter, Quimby, Quinn,

Rackliff, Richardson, G. A.; Richardson, H. L.; Rideout, Robertson, Ross, Sahagian, Scott, C. F.; Scott, G. W.; Shaw, Shute, Snow, P. J.; Susi, Thompson, Townsend, Trask, Waltz, Watts, White, Wight, Williams, Wood, The Speaker.

ABSENT — Baker, E. B.; Belanger, Berman, Bernard, Bradstreet, Carrier, Cote, D'Alfonso, Danton, Drigotas, Dudley, Foster, Gill, Hanson, B. B.; Harnois, Huber, Humphrey, Jameson, Jewell, Maddox, McMann, Payson, Pike, Prince, Robinson, Roy, Snowe, P.; Soulas, Sullivan, Tanguay, Truman.

Yes, 40; No, 79; Absent, 31.

The SPEAKER: Forty having voted in the affirmative and seventy-nine in the negative the motion to indefinitely postpone does not prevail.

Thereupon the Bill was passed to be enacted, signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

By unanimous consent, sent forthwith to the Senate.

The SPEAKER: The Chair would call the attention of the House to Supplement Number 10.

Conference Committee Report

Report of the second Committee of Conference on the disagreeing action of the two branches of the Legislature on Bill An Act to Establish Thirty-three Districts for the Election of Senators in the State of Maine and Report in Support Thereof" (S. P. 676) (L. D. 1709) reporting that the Senate recede from its action whereby it passed the Bill to be engrossed; that it adopt Conference Committee Amendment "A"; that it pass the Bill to be engrossed as amended by Conference Committee Amendment "A"; that the House recede and concur with the Senate.

(Signed)

HILDRETH

of Cumberland

MacLEOD of Penobscot

ALBAIR of Aroostook—

—Committee on part of Senate.

MEISNER

of Dover-Foxcroft

CUSHING of Bucksport—
—Committee on part of House.

Come from the Senate with the Report read and accepted and the Bill passed to be engrossed as amended by Conference Committee Amendment "A".

In the House, the Report was read.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Stonington, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker I move that we accept the Report of the Conference Committee.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Stonington, Mr. Richardson, moves that the House accept the report of the Conference Committee.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Kingman Township, Mr. Starbird.

Mr. STARBIRD: Mr. Speaker, may I inquire from anyone who might have knowledge why the gentleman from Harpswell, Mr. Prince's name was not signed to this report? I believe he was on the committee.

The SPEAKER: The Chair will advise the gentleman that Mr. Prince must be absent and as a majority of the Committee signed the report, it is in order.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I have never tried to get this House to take action hastily without full and complete debate, but I must say with respect to this bill I would hope that all of us would recognize the futility really of an extended debate at this hour when all of us are most anxious to get back to our homes and to our families.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Rumford, Mr. Beliveau.

Mr. BELIVEAU: Mr. Speaker, may I inquire from one of the conferees as to the intent of the amendment we're concerned here, and it is somewhat ambiguous. I don't intend to debate it, I would just like to have this clarified so that those of us who are interested in reapportionment will know ex-

actly what the amendment does.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Rumford, Mr. Beliveau, poses a question through the Chair to anyone who may answer.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Stonington, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker, I was not on the Committee, but it's rather obvious that it just deletes five words from the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Rumford, Mr. Beliveau.

Mr. BELIVEAU: Mr. Speaker, I think that the deletion would extend a little further than just the verblage. If there is the intent that by striking out those five words that it would also eliminate thirty-eight pages from the bill, then this is rather a substantive amendment and I think the members of the House are entitled to an explanation as to why whether or not it is the intention of the conferees that this amendment would apply only to the heading, the bold print, or whether in fact it does strike out the remainder of the bill.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker, having had an opportunity to review it I think it's very clear that the intent of the amendment, and I state as a fact that it is its intent, is simply to remove from the title and the title only, those words "and Report in Support Thereof" which should not become part of an L. D. the title of which our substantive law, the title of which is "An Act to Establish Thirty-three Districts for the Election of Senators in the State of Maine." There is no intention to what we lawyers say, "put anybody in the bag."

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Eagle Lake, Mr. Martin.

Mr. MARTIN: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: After looking at L. D. 1709, am I wrong in assuming that we are removing everything after page 5 from the document? And, second, how was the Committee of Conference keep-

ing in mind the decision of the House when this report was reported back to the members of the House?

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Stonington, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker, I don't like to prolong this, but for the benefit of Mr. Martin I will read the wording in the amendment — "amend said bill in the title," and this is the only word used, "by striking out at the end the words 'and Report in Support Thereof.' "

The SPEAKER: Is the House ready for the question?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Kingman Township, Mr. Starbird.

Mr. STARBIRD: Mr. Speaker, I feel as if this is an important moment, and I personally would not like to take action on this document this afternoon. Now I understand we are going to meet this week, and if we are I would request that the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson, or the gentleman from Southwest Harbor, Mr. Benson, might table this matter so that I myself — and I think there are other members in this House would like to turn this over carefully in our minds before we made any final decision upon it. I believe it is important that we do so because I think we all understand that if we do defeat this it will go to the Court and I don't think any of us might — all of us probably might not be too happy with the outcome of that.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: If I seem harried and confused, I am, but I am now on the right track and I will tell you what the facts are.

L. D. 1709, An Act to Establish Thirty-three Districts for the Election of Senators in the State of Maine and Report in Support Thereof." The first five pages of the bill contain the districts to be created, thirty-three in number, and describe the plantations,

towns and municipalities included within each district.

The remaining pages — I might say this isn't the first time I have had trouble with a Starbird. The remaining pages deal with the report of the majority of the committee, the Majority Plan, the Minority Plan, Appendix A, Appendix B, Appendix C, and all of these other matters which are the various reports.

In the event that the plan which we offer to you today is vetoed by the Governor and we are unable to override that veto, the Court will then be called upon to, under the Constitutional Amendment, apportion. In doing so, skillful counsel will go through pages 5 through 43, ad nauseam. There is no reason for us to enact into the substantive law of this State all of the figures, numbers and all the rest of it that go along. I hope that that is a reasonable explanation.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Madawaska, Mr. Levesque.

Mr. LEVESQUE: Mr. Speaker, just a question to anybody that may wish to answer. Does this amendment possibly eliminate all of the objections that were raised on the Floor of this House when it was so overwhelmingly defeated in this House?

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Madawaska, Mr. Levesque, poses a question through the Chair to any member who may answer if they choose.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Rumford, Mr. Beliveau.

Mr. BELIVEAU: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: Very briefly — it does not, and to those of us who voted against this plan without debating it, without attempting to bag anyone — to use a legal phrase someone suggested earlier, I would suggest that for us to be consistent we will have to vote against the adoption of the conference committee report and the amendment, so I believe that the pending motion is for the adoption of this and I would strongly urge that those of us who are opposed to the majority Reapportionment Plan to oppose this

amendment as well because it does not solve any of the problems that were raised at that time.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert.

Mr. JALBERT: Mr. Speaker, for us to be consistent we would have to go back to the first Wednesday in January.

The SPEAKER: The Chair will put this to a vote. All those in favor of accepting the report of the conferees will vote yes, those opposed will vote no.

Mr. Levesque of Madawaska then requested the yeas and nays.

The SPEAKER: The yeas and nays have been requested. For the Chair to order a roll call it must have the expressed desire of one fifth of the members present and voting. All of those desiring a roll call will vote yes, and those opposed will vote no, and the Chair opens the vote.

A vote of the House was taken, and more than one fifth of the members present having expressed a desire for a roll call a roll call was ordered.

The SPEAKER: The pending question is the acceptance of the Conference Committee Report on Bill "An Act to Establish Thirty-Three Districts for the Election of Senators in the State of Maine and Report in Support Thereof," Senate Paper 676, L. D. 1709. All of those in favor of accepting the Report will vote yes; those opposed will vote no. The Chair opens the vote.

ROLL CALL

YEA—Allen, Baker, R. E.; Benson, Birt, Bragdon, Brown, Buck, Bunker, Clark, Cookson, Cornell, Crosby, Cushing, Darey, Dennett, Dickinson, Drummond, Dunn, Durgin, Edwards, Evans, Ewer, Farrington, Fuller, Hall, Hanson, H. L.; Harriman, Hawes, Henley, Hichens, Hinds, Hodgkins, Immonen, Jannelle, Kyes, Lewin, Lewis, Lincoln, Littlefield, McNally, Meisner, Miliano, Mosher, Noyes, Pendergast, Philbrook, Porter, Quimby, Quinn, Rackliff, Richardson, G. A.; Richardson, H. L.; Ride-

out, Robertson, Ross, Sahagian, Scott, G. W.; Shaw, Snow, P. J.; Susi, Thompson, Townsend, Trask, Waltz, Watts, White, Wight, Williams, Wood, The Speaker.

NAY — Bedard, Beliveau, Binnette, Boudreau, Bourgoin, Brennan, Burnham, Carey, Carroll, Carswell, Champagne, Conley, Cottrell, Couture, Crockett, Crommett, Curran, Eustis, Fecteau, Fortier, Fraser, Gaudreau, Gauthier, Giroux, Hanson, P. K.; Healy, Hennessey, Hewes, Hunter, Jalbert, Keyte, Kilroy, Lebel, Levesque, Martin, Minkowsky, Nadeau, J. F. R.; Nadeau, N. L.; Rocheleau, Sawyer, Scott, C. F.; Scribner, Shute, Starbird, Wheeler.

ABSENT — Baker, E. B.; Belanger, Berman, Bernard, Bradstreet, Carrier, Cote, D'Alfonso, Danton, Drigotas, Dudley, Foster, Gill, Hanson, B. B.; Harnois, Harvey, Haynes, Hoover, Huber, Humphrey, Jameson, Jewell, Lycette, Maddox, McMann, Payson, Pike, Prince, Robinson, Roy, Snowe, P.; Soulas, Sullivan, Tanguay, Truman.

Yes, 70; No, 45; Absent, 35.

The SPEAKER: Seventy having voted in the affirmative and forty-five having voted in the negative, the Report is accepted.

Thereupon the House voted to recede and concur.

The SPEAKER: The Chair now calls your attention to Supplement No. 9.

Passed to Be Enacted

An Act relating to the Water and Air Environmental Improvement Commission (S. P. 635) (L. D. 1635)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed, passed to be enacted, signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

By unanimous consent, ordered sent forthwith to the Senate.

The SPEAKER: The Chair now calls your attention to Supplement No. 11.

Passed to Be Enacted

An Act to Establish Thirty-three Districts for the Election of Senators in the State of Maine (S. P. 676) (L. D. 1709)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed, passed to be

enacted, signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

By unanimous consent, ordered sent forthwith to the Senate.

On motion of Mr. Richardson of Cumberland,

Adjourned until Thursday, July 6, at four o'clock in the afternoon.