

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

LEGISLATIVE RECORD

OF THE

*One Hundred and First
Legislature*

OF THE

STATE OF MAINE

1963

DAILY KENNEBEC JOURNAL
AUGUSTA, MAINE

HOUSE

Tuesday, April 23, 1963

The House met according to adjournment and was called to order by the Speaker.

Prayer by the Rev. Mr. Ian R. McLaughlan, Sr., of the First Congregational Church, United Church of Christ, Wilton.

The members stood at attention during the playing of the National Anthem.

The journal of the previous session was read and approved.

Papers from the Senate Senate Reports of Committees Ought to Pass Amended in Senate

Report of the Committee on Health and Institutional Services reporting "Ought to pass" on Bill "An Act relating to Disposition of Convicts and Persons Detained in County Jails Alleged to be Mentally Ill" (S. P. 385) (L. D. 1088)

Came from the Senate with the Report read and accepted and the Bill passed to be engrossed as amended by Senate Amendment "A."

In the House, the Report was read and accepted in concurrence and the Bill read twice.

Senate Amendment "A" was read by the Clerk as follows:

SENATE AMENDMENT "A" to S. P. 385, L. D. 1088, Bill, "An Act Relating to Disposition of Convicts and Persons Detained in County Jails Alleged to be Mentally Ill."

Amend said Bill in the 5th line of section 2 by inserting before the underlined word "keeper" the underlined words "sheriff or the"

Further amend said Bill by striking out all of section 4 and inserting in place thereof the following:

"Sec. 4. R. S., c. 27, Sec. 126, amended. Section 126 of chapter 27 of the Revised Statutes, as last repealed and replaced by section 78 of chapter 417 of the public laws of 1961, is amended to read as follows:

'Sec. 126. Costs and expenses; attorneys and physicians compensation. The fee of each physician for such examination and certificate and testifying before said judge

shall be \$15. All the fees, costs and reasonable expenses incident to any such hearing, including the compensation of any court appointed attorney and licensed physician rendering services under section 122-A or 122-B shall be paid, on approval by the court, by the county where in the convict or person detained was convicted shall be taxed by the judge, and in any cases arising in any of the county jails, by the county commissioners for such county, who shall include therein a reasonable compensation for such judge, and said fees and costs shall be paid by the state and county respectively.'"

Senate Amendment "A" was adopted in concurrence and the Bill assigned for third reading tomorrow.

Ought to Pass with Committee Amendment

Report of the Committee on State Government on Bill "An Act Revising the Laws Relating to Registration of Physicians and Surgeons, and Medical Education" (S. P. 461) (L. D. 1288) reporting "Ought to pass" as amended by Committee Amendment "A" submitted therewith.

Came from the Senate with the Report read and accepted and the Bill passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment "A."

In the House, the Report was read and accepted in concurrence and the Bill read twice.

Committee Amendment "A" was read by the Clerk as follows:

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to S. P. 461, L. D. 1288, Bill, "An Act Revising the Laws Relating to Registration of Physicians and Surgeons, and Medical Education."

Amend said Bill in section 7 by striking out in the last 2 sentences the underlined words "Hearing Officer or"

Further amend said Bill by inserting after section 7, the following underlined section:

'Sec. 7-A. Suspension during mental illness. Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, if any person licensed under this chapter becomes mentally ill and he is under the care and treatment of a

physician licensed to practice medicine in this State, said attending physician shall make an immediate report to the Department of Mental Health and Corrections whether or not the physician who has been diagnosed as being mentally ill is admitted to any mental institution or any private hospital either by involuntary or voluntary commitment procedures. The Department of Mental Health and Corrections shall immediately cause an investigation to be made into the mental condition of the physician alleged to have been diagnosed as mentally ill and shall file a report with the Board of Registration in Medicine as to whether or not said physician's mental illness seriously interferes with his ability to practice medicine. If the report indicates that said physician's ability to practice is seriously interfered with the board shall order notice of a hearing which notice shall be given 5 days prior to the hearing and the board after hearing may then by two-thirds vote suspend the license of said physician to practice medicine and surgery. The board may voluntarily restore the license to said physician when it receives a report from the Department of Mental Health and Corrections that the mental illness no longer interferes with the physician's ability to practice medicine and any physician whose license has been suspended as above provided may petition for the restoration of said license at any time and the board may restore his license after hearing. When a physician is hospitalized or treated for mental illness outside of the State of Maine, and the board learns of this fact, the board may request the Department of Mental Health and Corrections to review the status of the physician alleged to be so mentally ill and said department shall make a similar report and the board may proceed as outlined above.'

Further amend said Bill by striking out all of the 2nd underlined sentence of section 8 and inserting in place thereof the following underlined sentence:

'Any person licensed under chapter 71 may prefix the title "Doctor" or the letters "Dr." to his name, as

provided in chapter 71, section 7, or any member of the Maine State Chiropractors Association or a y chiropractor duly licensed by this State may prefix the title "Doctor" or the letters "Dr." to his name when accompanied by the word "Chiropractor", or any dentist only licensed by this State may prefix the title "Doctor" or the letters "Dr." to his name, or any optometrist duly licensed under the laws of this State may prefix the title "Doctor" or the letters "Dr." to his name when accompanied by the word "Optometrist," or any podiatrist duly licensed under the laws of this State may prefix the title "Doctor" or the letters "Dr." to his name when accompanied by the word "Podiatrist" or "Chiropodist," when permitted such right by the laws governing the practice of podiatry.'

Committee Amendment "A" was adopted in concurrence and the Bill assigned for third reading tomorrow.

Ought to Pass

Report of the Committee on Legal Affairs reporting "Ought to pass" on Bill "An Act Providing for Permanent Identification Cards to be Issued by the Secretary of State" (S. P. 475) (L. D. 1327)

Came from the Senate with the Report read and accepted and the Bill indefinitely postponed.

In the House, the Report was read.

On motion of Mr. Cote of Lewiston, the "Ought to pass" Report was accepted in non-concurrence, the Bill read twice and tomorrow assigned.

Non-Concurrent Matter

Bill "An Act relating to Minimum Wages for Firemen" (H. P. 227) (L. D. 297) which was passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment "A" in the House on April 3.

Came from the Senate indefinitely postponed in non-concurrence.

In the House: On motion of Mr. Mendes of Topsham, the House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

Non-Concurrent Matter

Bill "An Act to Authorize the Municipalities of Detroit, Etna, Plymouth and Stetson to Form a School Administrative District" (H. P. 435) (L. D. 640) which was passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment "A" in the House on March 29.

Came from the Senate with House Amendment "A" indefinitely postponed and the Bill passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment "A" and Senate Amendment "A" in non-concurrence.

In the House: On motion of Mr. Carter of Etna, the House voted to insist on its former action and request a Committee of Conference.

The Speaker appointed the following Conferees on the part of the House:

Messrs. CARTER of Etna
CURTIS of Bowdoinham
McGEE of Auburn

Non-Concurrent Matter

Bill "An Act relating to Application of Federal Social Security to Permit Political Subdivisions to Offer Social Security Benefits to Superintendents of Schools" (H. P. 678) (L. D. 934) on which the House voted to adhere on April 17 to its former action whereby the Bill was indefinitely postponed.

Came from the Senate with that body voting to insist on its former action whereby the Bill was passed to be engrossed in non-concurrence and asking for a Committee of Conference.

In the House:

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Old Orchard Beach, Mr. Plante.

Mr. PLANTE: Mr. Speaker, I move that we join the Senate in a Committee of Conference.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Old Orchard Beach, Mr. Plante, moves that the House join in a Committee of Conference with the Senate. Is this the pleasure of the House?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Farmington, Mr. Jones.

Mr. JONES: Mr. Speaker, Honorable Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: I move that we adhere to our former action whereby on April 17 we adhered at that time for

the indefinite postponement of this bill.

The SPEAKER: The motion of precedence is the motion of the gentleman from Farmington, Mr. Jones, that the House adhere to its former action. Is this the pleasure of the House? All those in favor of adhering, will please say yes; those opposed, say no.

A viva voce vote being doubted by the Chair, a division of the House was had.

Seventy-nine having voted in the affirmative and thirty-nine having voted in the negative, the motion to adhere did prevail.

The SPEAKER: Now is it the pleasure of the House to join in a committee of conference? Those in favor say aye; those opposed, no.

A viva voce vote being taken, the motion did not prevail.

Non-Concurrent Matter

Bill "An Act Increasing Compensation of Members of State Personnel Board" (H. P. 685) (L. D. 941) which was passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment "A" in the House on April 12.

Came from the Senate passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment "A" and Senate Amendment "A" in non-concurrence.

In the House: On motion of Mr. Drake of Bath, the House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

Non-Concurrent Matter

Bill "An Act relating to Operation of Retail Store and Restaurant Prior to Application to Sell Malt Liquor" (H. P. 826) (L. D. 1213) which was indefinitely postponed in non-concurrence in the House on April 18.

Came from the Senate with that body voting to insist on its former action whereby the Bill was passed to be engrossed as amended by Senate Amendment "A" in non-concurrence and asking for a Committee of Conference.

In the House: On motion of Mr. Childs of Portland, the House voted to insist and join in a Committee of Conference.

Non-Concurrent Matter

Bill "An Act relating to Binders on Pulpwood, Logs or Bolts Hauled in Motor Vehicles" (H. P. 1045) (L. D. 1512) which was passed to be engrossed in the House on April 12.

Came from the Senate passed to be engrossed as amended by Senate Amendment "A" in non-concurrence.

In the House: On motion of Mr. Davis of Calais, the House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

Orders

Mr. Cartier of Biddeford presented the following Order and moved its passage:

WHEREAS, a devastating fire has wiped out half a square mile of the City of Biddeford and completely destroyed eighteen dwellings and buildings causing damage in excess of two million dollars in an all-encompassing holocaust and catastrophe; and

WHEREAS, one hundred and forty-two men, women and children have been made homeless and utterly dispossessed by the inferno and eighty-five more citizens are now unemployed due to the almost total destruction of the New England Office of the Diamond-National Corporation; be it now

ORDERED, that the House extend to the stricken people of Biddeford its sincere expression of consolation and sympathy in the losses that have befallen them; and be it further

ORDERED, that the House, on behalf of the people of the City of Biddeford, extend its heartfelt thanks to the fire departments of Saco, Portland, South Portland, Old Orchard Beach, Kennebunk, Wells, Ogunquit, Scarborough, Windham, Westbrook, Kennebunkport and Goodwin Mills for their superior assistance in combating the fire and to the York County Civil Defense Organization, the American Red Cross, the Salvation Army, the York County Sheriff's Department, the Maine State Police, the Biddeford-Saco Chamber of Commerce and to the scores of twin-city service and fraternal organizations and associations which have and which

are now so ably assisting in the return to normalcy.

The Order received passage.

On motion of Mr. Osgood of Corinna, it was

ORDERED, that Rev. Charles F. Lambshead of the Pleasant Street Christian Church of Corinna, be invited to officiate as Chaplain of the House on Thursday, April 25, 1963.

On motion of the gentlewoman from Falmouth, Mrs. Smith, House Rule 25 was suspended for the remainder of today's session in order to permit smoking.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Calais, Mr. Davis.

Mr. DAVIS: May I inquire if Bill "An Act to Incorporate the Calais Water District," S. P. 165, L. D. 441, is in possession of the Clerk?

The SPEAKER: The Chair would inform the gentleman that the paper is in the possession of the House.

Thereupon, on motion of Mr. Davis of Calais, the House voted to reconsider its action of April 19 whereby the Bill was passed to be engrossed.

Mr. Davis of Calais then offered House Amendment "A" and moved its adoption.

House Amendment "A" was read by the Clerk as follows:

HOUSE AMENDMENT "A" to S. P. 165, L. D. 441, Bill, "An Act to Incorporate the Calais Water District."

Amend said Bill by striking out all of section 6 and inserting in place thereof the following:

'Sec. 6. Board of trustees, how elected; meeting; vacancies, how filled. All the affairs of said district shall be determined and managed by a board of 3 trustees, who shall be residents of said district, elected by the qualified voters of the City of Calais and shall hold office as hereinafter provided and until their successors are elected and qualified. When any trustee ceases to be a resident of said district, his office as trustee shall be declared vacant by the Calais city council. A successor shall be appointed by the Calais city council to serve only until the next annual municipal

election when the voters shall elect a successor for the balance of the unexpired term. Any other vacancy arising from any cause shall be filled in a like manner.

The first board of trustees shall be appointed by the Calais city council within 30 days after acceptance of this act by the voters of said district, one to serve until the first Monday in April next following acceptance of this act, one to serve until the first Monday in April of the 2nd year following acceptance of this act, and one to serve until the first Monday in April of the 3rd year following acceptance of this act. Thereafter each year one trustee shall be elected by the qualified voters of Calais at the annual municipal election for a term of 3 years. As soon as convenient after their appointment the trustees first appointed shall hold a meeting at some convenient place in the district to be called by any member thereof in writing designating the time and place and delivered in hand to the other members not less than 2 full days before the meeting; provided, however, that they may meet by agreement and waiver without such notice. They shall then organize by the election of a chairman and clerk from their own number, adopt a corporate seal and bylaws, and perform any other acts within the powers delegated to them by law. As necessary, they may choose agents and other needful officers who shall serve at their pleasure, and whose compensation shall be fixed by said trustees. They shall choose annually a treasurer to serve for a term of one year, fix the treasurer's salary and fill vacancies in that office. The treasurer shall furnish a bond executed in such sum by a surety company as trustees may approve, and the expense of securing the bond is to be borne by the district.

The trustees shall be sworn to the faithful performance of their duties, as such, which shall include the duties of any member as clerk pro tempore. They shall make and publish an annual report which shall also contain a report of the treasurer.

Candidates for election shall file nomination petitions with the city clerk of Calais which petitions shall

comply in all respects with the requirements for nomination petitions of candidates for the city council. The city clerk shall add the names of eligible candidates for the office of trustee of the Calais Water District to the regular city ballot at each annual municipal election.

No member of the Calais city council shall serve as a member of the board of trustees of the district and the compensation of the trustees shall be \$150 each per year.'

House Amendment "A" was adopted and the Bill passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment "A" and House Amendment "A" in non-concurrence and sent up for concurrence.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westbrook, Mr. Boissonneau.

Mr. BOISSONNEAU: Mr. Speaker, I would like to know whether House Paper 384, L. D. 583 is in the possession of the House?

The SPEAKER: The Chair would inform the gentleman that House Paper 384, Legislative Document 583, is in the possession of the House.

Mr. BOISSONNEAU: I move that we reconsider the action of yesterday where we passed this act to be engrossed.

The SPEAKER: The House action of yesterday was to recede and concur. The Chair understands that the gentleman moves that we reconsider that action. Is this the pleasure of the House?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cape Elizabeth, Mr. Berry.

Mr. BERRY: Could I ask the Clerk or the Speaker to read the title of this document.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Cape Elizabeth, Mr. Berry, requests the Clerk to read the title of the bill.

The CLERK: House Paper 384, Legislative Document 583, Bill "An Act relating to Appointment of Chief Engineer of Fire Department of City of Westbrook."

The SPEAKER: The gentleman may proceed.

The motion prevailed.

Mr. BOISSONNEAU: Now I move to adhere and I hope it is defeated.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman moves that the House reconsider its action whereby it receded and concurred with the Senate. Is this the pleasure of the House?

Mr. BOISSONNEAU: Now I move to adhere and I hope it is defeated.

The SPEAKER: The Chair understands that the gentleman from Westbrook wishes to move to adhere, but he hopes that his motion to recede and concur is defeated.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bangor, Mr. Wellman.

Mr. WELLMAN: I move this item lie on the table for one week.

Thereupon, the Bill was tabled pending the motion of Mr. Boissonneau of Westbrook to recede and concur and specially assigned for Tuesday, April 30.

On motion of Mr. Mendes of Topsham, it was

ORDERED, that Mr. Maddox of Vinalhaven be excused from attendance this week because of business, and that Mr. Cope of Portland be excused from attendance this week because of business.

The SPEAKER: The House is proceeding under Orders. The Clerk has a notice that he would read to the membership.

The CLERK: The many errors that appear in the proof of last Friday's Legislative Record are through no fault of the House Reporter's Staff, but are composition errors made in the transmission of the copy from the State House, the machine here in the State House, to the printing office, and will be corrected by the printer in the final printing of the Legislative Record.

**House Reports of Committees
Leave to Withdraw**

Mr. Albair from the Committee on Taxation on Bill "An Act relating to Taxation of Household Furniture" (H. P. 841) (L. D. 1228) reported Leave to Withdraw.

Mr. Wood from same Committee reported same on Bill "An Act Decreasing the Excise Tax on Malt Liquor" (H. P. 670) (L. D. 926)

Reports were read and accepted and sent up for concurrence.

**Leave to Withdraw
Covered by Other Legislation**

Mr. Brown from the Committee on Taxation reported Leave to Withdraw on Bill "An Act Exempting the Grange from Property Taxes" (H. P. 696) (L. D. 952), as it is covered by other legislation.

Report was read and accepted and sent up for concurrence.

Ought Not to Pass

Mr. Brown from the Committee on Taxation reported "Ought not to pass" on Bill "An Act relating to Sales Tax on Farm Machinery and Equipment" (H. P. 843) (L. D. 1230)

Mr. Waterman from same Committee reported same on Bill "An Act relating to Rate of Distribution of Pari Mutuel Pools and Unclaimed Tickets of Horse Racing" (H. P. 891) (L. D. 1413)

Reports were read and accepted and sent up for concurrence.

**Ought to Pass
Printed Bills**

Mr. Albair from the Committee on Taxation reported "Ought to pass" on Bill "An Act Providing for Bus Taxation Proration and Reciprocity Agreement" (H. P. 839) (L. D. 1226)

Mr. Ayoob from same Committee reported same on Bill "An Act Providing for Compact on Taxation of Motor Fuels Consumed by Interstate Buses" (H. P. 840) (L. D. 1227)

Reports were read and accepted, the Bills read twice and tomorrow assigned.

**Ought to Pass with
Committee Amendment**

Mr. Lowery from the Committee on Sea and Shore Fisheries on Bill "An Act Repealing the Town Clam Laws and Authorizing Special Privileges for Cooperating Towns" (H. P. 1034) (L. D. 1498) which was recommitted, reported "Ought to pass" as amended by Committee Amendment "A" submitted therewith.

Report was read and accepted and the Bill read twice.

Committee Amendment "A" was read by the Clerk as follows:

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to H. P. 1034, L. D. 1498, Bill, "An Act Repealing the Town Clam Laws and Authorizing Special Privileges for Cooperating Towns."

Amend said Bill by striking out all of section 3 and inserting in place thereof the following:

"Sec. 3. R. S., c. 37-A, Sec. 50, sub-sec. I, amended. Subsection I of section 50 of chapter 37-A of the Revised Statutes, as enacted by section 1 of chapter 331 of the public laws of 1959, is amended to read as follows:

'I. Filing requirements. Ordinances adopted under the provisions of this section do not become effective until a certified copy has been filed by the municipal officers with the clerk of that municipality and with the commissioner shall remain in full force and effect for a period of 3 years unless sooner terminated by the terms of the ordinance or by repeal by the municipal legislative body. A certified copy of the ordinance shall be filed with the commissioner within 7 days after its adoption.'

Committee Amendment "A" was adopted and the Bill assigned for third reading tomorrow.

Divided Report

Majority Report of the Committee on Municipal Affairs reporting "Ought not to pass" on Bill "An Act to Divide the Town of Enfield, Penobscot County, into Two Municipalities, One to be Designated as Enfield and the Other as West Enfield" (H. P. 558) (L. D. 773)

Report was signed by the following members:

Messrs. CRAM of Cumberland
JACQUES of Androscoggin
CYR of Aroostook
— of the Senate.
Messrs. CHOATE of Windsor
WELLMAN of Bangor
DUDLEY of Enfield
Mrs. KILROY of Portland
— of the House.

Minority Report of same Committee reporting "Ought to pass" on same Bill.

Report was signed by the following members:

Mr. HARDY of Hope
Mrs. LINCOLN of Bethel

Mr. MacGREGOR of Eastport
— of the House.

Reports were read.

On motion of Mr. Binnette of Old Town, the Majority "Ought not to pass" Report was accepted and sent up for concurrence.

Divided Report Tabled and Assigned

Report "A" of the Committee on Taxation reporting "Ought to pass" on Bill "An Act Providing for a Study of Income Taxes by Legislative Research Committee" (H. P. 514) (L. D. 716)

Report was signed by the following members:

Messrs. WYMAN of Washington
BROWN of Hancock
— of the Senate.

Messrs. ALBAIR of Caribou
AYOUB of Fort Fairfield
COTTRELL of Portland
— of the House.

Report "B" of same Committee reporting "Ought not to pass" on same Bill.

Report was signed by the following members:

Mr. LETOURNEAU of York
— of the Senate.

Messrs. WOOD of Brooks
WATERMAN of Auburn
JONES of Farmington
BROWN of Fairfield
— of the House.

Reports were read.

(On motion of Mr. Albair of Caribou, tabled pending acceptance of either Report and specially assigned for Wednesday, May 1.)

Passed to Be Engrossed

Bill "An Act to Authorize the Municipalities of Ashland, Garfield Plantation and Portage Lake to Suspend the Operation of Ashland Community School District and Reorganize as a School Administrative District" (H. P. 1036) (L. D. 1504)

Was reported by the Committee on Bills in the Third Reading, read the third time, passed to be engrossed and sent to the Senate.

Third Reader Amended

Bill "An Act relating to Election Recounts" (H. P. 1058) (L. D. 1523)

Was reported by the Committee on Bills in the Third Reading and read the third time.

Mr. Crockett of Freeport offered House Amendment "A" and moved its adoption.

House Amendment "A" was read by the Clerk as follows:

HOUSE AMENDMENT "A" to H. P. 1058, L. D. 1523, Bill, "An Act Relating to Election Recounts."

Amend said Bill by striking out all of the underlined 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, and 9th lines and inserting in place thereof the following: "**On the written application of a losing candidate in any election not later than 10 days after the tabulation of the vote is submitted to the Governor and Council, the Secretary of State shall permit him or his counsel to recount the ballots under proper protective regulations, subject to the following provisions:**"

Further amend said Bill by adding at the end of a new section, as follows:

'Sec. 3. P. L., 1963, C. 78, Sec. 19, repealed; limitation. Section 19 of chapter 78 of the public laws of 1963, heretofore passed by this Legislature, amending section 127 of chapter 3-A of the Revised Statutes, is hereby repealed and shall not be printed as part of the session laws of 1963.'

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Freeport, Mr. Crockett.

Mr. CROCKETT: This House Amendment "A" only brings this up to conformity with the election laws that are on our statutes as of today. It has received the blessing of our committee and also of the Secretary of State's office.

Thereupon, House Amendment "A" was adopted and the Bill passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment "A" and sent to the Senate.

Bill "An Act to Incorporate the Berwick Sewer District" (H. P. 1059) (L. D. 1528)

Bill "An Act relating to Elections in the City of Biddeford" (H. P. 1060) (L. D. 1526)

Bill "An Act relating to Superintending School Committee of

Town of Baileyville" (H. P. 1061) (L. D. 1527)

Were reported by the Committee on Bills in the Third Reading, read the third time, passed to be engrossed and sent to the Senate.

Third Reader Tabled and Assigned

Bill "An Act relating to Joint Committee Between George Stevens Academy and Nearby Towns" (H. P. 1062) (L. D. 1524)

Was reported by the Committee on Bills in the Third Reading and read the third time.

(On motion of Mr. Richardson of Stonington, tabled pending passage to be engrossed and specially assigned for Thursday, April 25.)

Amended Bill

Bill "An Act relating to Non-lapsing Funds for Armory Expansion" (S. P. 89) (L. D. 226)

Was reported by the Committee on Bills in the Third Reading, read the third time, passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment "A" and sent to the Senate.

Passed to Be Enacted Emergency Measure

An Act Appropriating Funds to the Unorganized Territory Capital Working Fund (H. P. 961) (L. D. 1400)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed. This being an emergency measure and a two-thirds vote of all the members elected to the House being necessary, a division was had. 118 voted in favor of same and none against, and accordingly the Bill was passed to be enacted, signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

Passed to Be Enacted

An Act to Provide for a Legislative Conference Prior to the Convening of the 102nd Legislature (H. P. 411) (L. D. 564)

An Act relating to Penalty for Furnishing Liquor to Minors and Others (H. P. 463) (L. D. 667)

An Act Providing for the Driver License Compact (H. P. 464) (L. D. 668)

An Act relating to Number of Names Required on Petitions for Nomination to Public Office in City of Biddeford (H. P. 547) (L. D. 763)

Were reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed, passed to be enacted, signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

Enactor
Tabled and Assigned

An Act Authorizing Certain Minors to Contract and Discharge for Life and Health Insurance (H. P. 659) (L. D. 915)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed.

(On motion of Mr. Foster of Mechanic Falls, tabled pending passage to be enacted and specially assigned for Friday, April 26.)

An Act relating to Registration Fee for Semi-trailers (H. P. 886) (L. D. 1271)

An Act relating to Optometric Services under Aid to the Blind and Nonprofit Hospital Organizations Laws (H. P. 904) (L. D. 1312)

An Act to Extend the Charter of the Eliot Water District (H. P. 998) (L. D. 1446)

An Act Prohibiting Throwing of Substances or Missiles at any Public or Private Transportation Conveyance (H. P. 1046) (L. D. 1516)

Were reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed, passed to be enacted, signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

Enactor
Tabled and Assigned

Resolve Reimbursing Bay Ferry Corporation for Loss of Its Business (H. P. 92) (L. D. 136)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed.

(On motion of Mr. Childs of Portland, tabled pending final passage and specially assigned for tomorrow.)

Finally Passed

Resolve Authorizing a Review of Maine Highway User Tax Study (H. P. 369) (L. D. 542)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed, finally passed, signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

Orders of the Day

The SPEAKER: The Chair now lays before the House on page six of your House Advance Journal and Calendar, Unfinished Business, which has preference until disposed of as provided by Rule 28, item one:

Bill "An Act to Appropriate Monies for the Expenditures of State Government and for Other Purposes for the Fiscal Years Ending June 30, 1964 and June 30, 1965." (S. P. 549) (L. D. 1481) — Engrossed in Senate.

This matter was before the House at the time of Adjournment yesterday.

Pending Question — Passage to be Engrossed.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Alfred, Mr. Hobbs.

Mr. HOBBS: Mr. Speaker, I move we reconsider our action of the last legislative day whereby we adopted House Amendment "C."

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Alfred, Mr. Hobbs, moves that the House reconsider its action whereby it adopted House Amendment "C." Is this the pleasure of the House

The motion prevailed.

The SPEAKER: Does any member doubt the decision of the Chair? (Cries of "Yes")

The SPEAKER: We will have a division on reconsideration. Is the House ready for the question of reconsideration?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bangor, Mr. Wellman.

Mr. WELLMAN: I move that when the vote is taken, it be taken by the yeas and nays.

The SPEAKER: For the House to order a roll call, it must have the expressed desire of one-fifth of the membership present. All those in favor of a roll call, will please rise and remain standing until the monitors have made and returned the count.

A sufficient number arose.

The SPEAKER: Obviously, more than one-fifth having arisen, a roll

call is ordered. Is the House ready for the question of reconsideration? The question before the House is the motion of the gentleman from Alfred, Mr. Hobbs, that the House reconsider its action whereby it adopted House Amendment "C" on the last legislative day. Is the House ready for the question?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Kennebunkport, Mr. Tyndale.

Mr. TYNDALE: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: The final action taken by the House last Friday was the deletion of \$135,000 of funds from the proposed appropriation to the Department of Health and Welfare for Aid to Dependent Children. This past weekend I have tried to justify this action and find that I am somewhat confused. It is my understanding, after having reviewed the matter, that the economists that promoted this action have conserved \$135,000 state dollars at a cost of loss in grants from the Federal Government of \$800,000. We have reduced the availability of funds for a program for the needy by over one million dollars under the guise of saving \$135,000. The ADC program is presently providing the necessities of life for a cost of about \$50.00 per child per year. As a result of this economy move, if the state becomes custodian of one child under our foster care program, the taxpayers of this state will be compelled to pay \$900 per year in state dollars, an increased cost of \$850 per child per year. I don't suppose we can accurately estimate how many children the state will have to assume care for as a result of this action, but it is safe to say it will be a substantial number.

Our present cost of \$50 per year per child will now be \$900 per child for those committed to the state as a result of this action. I have never been particularly proficient in this particular type of mathematics, but I discovered a little simple arithmetic provided the answer to this problem.

Last Friday I listened to voices raised in reproval of sin and immorality. Two days later I read a story about a woman taken in adultery and brought before a man to

be judged for her sin. The accusers without sin were given an opportunity to inflict bodily punishment. No stones were cast. The offender was dismissed with "I do not condemn you. Go and sin no more."

We were not quite that lenient in our deliberations on Friday last. In fact, we have acted quite to the contrary. Sin and immorality were revealed to us by our colleagues and we have decided to render our punishment to those that have sinned. We are not only going to punish the sinner, but we are going to visit the sin of the parent upon the child and punish the child.

We are going to decrease the necessities of life that we provide as responsible citizens for a group of unfortunate people or leave them to their own resources. It is quite clear that if this reduction in appropriation is sustained, that benefits to ADC recipients will be reduced in an era of increased cost of living. No, we have another choice. We can withdraw this aid entirely to a given number and maintain the same average grant. God forbid that this duty befall me. The latter alternative would almost constitute mass punishment, a rather antiquated approach to a social problem in this day and age. In theory we would find ourselves in the position of saying to 16,533 children, the parents of a small percentage of your number are believed to be immoral people; therefore, we are about to curtail your income and you must of necessity reduce your daily food intake, your incidental, medical and dental care and other related costs of living.

My friends, if this experimental approach to the cure of the ills of mankind visited upon the unfortunate has any merit whatsoever, surely if we were to eliminate the entire appropriation for Aid to Dependent Children we would be doing a much better job.

It is said that having children is of a hereditary nature and if your parents do not have any, chances are you won't. If the latter statement doesn't make sense, neither does the action we took as a responsible legislative body when we reduced this appropriation by \$135,000 for which we must sacrifice

\$800,000 in funds or apply a new criteria of qualifications for benefits.

If the unjustness of this action in terms of failure to meet the minimum needs of ADC recipients is not impressive, I hope that you will be impressed by the dollars and cents.

I am sure that those of us without sin will feel that the amendment should be adopted and perhaps I can categorize myself to some extent by appealing to you with all the sincerity at my command to vote against the adoption of this amendment.

Gentlemen, I wish to reiterate here and now that I believe the gentlemen who propose this amendment, I believe that they are trying to do the right job and there may be areas in the ADC program which are not very well taken or justified as far as that is concerned; but this is not the approach. The approach is from an administrative end and this cannot be cured by this type of legislative effort. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bowdoinham, Mr. Curtis.

Mr. CURTIS: Mr. Speaker, I too have looked over the record; I agree with what the former gentleman has said. Those are the right figures. Now I presume a great deal of trouble has come about and rightly so because of what they say is happening in some of these homes, but ladies and gentlemen, we have plenty of laws to take care of that. We don't have any in my town, because if there was, we would see to it. We did have one, and we saw that it was quit because we have got plenty of laws. Why pick on these children Why lose all this sort of money from the Federal Government? Now it has been said, well, federal government money is taxpayers' money which is true, but let us just look over some of the places that I know about. Now Texas is a large state and growing rapidly. I was down there a few years ago, and the Federal Government opened up that prairie land, 25,000 acres to grow cotton. All the state has to do is irrigate it. I didn't find out how many hundreds of thousands of mil-

lions of dollars it would cost to irrigate it, but I did find out that all they asked of the state was to put roads through, and did they turn it down, of course they didn't turn it down. A few years before that I spent some weeks in Arizona and New Mexico. As you know, I think you will find Arizona is the fastest growing state we have in the Union today. I recall picking up a paper and it said to the farmers who was farming in that area to come in and put in their bid for water. It was a section which would be 80 acres and the federal government would furnish five feet of water. Now that is a lot of water and it would cost \$1100. Do you think \$1100 would pay for five feet of water on 80 acres? Of course, it wouldn't. In that dry country, of course water just seeps right out. But that was what they had. Arizona accepted it, and that is why they are growing.

Now are we going to turn down and throw these children back to the towns to take care of? Someone has got to take care of them by the faulty tax method when the federal government is spending all this kind of money in other states and they know enough to know that they need it and are going to take it. Are we going to turn this all back, and just to save \$130,000 we are going to lose \$800,000? Just how simple can we get?

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Fal-mouth, Mrs. Smith.

Mrs. SMITH: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I would like to make a factual statement to you and then I would like to make just a few remarks if I may.

Consistent with my comments of last week when I stated that I would provide you with as much factual information as possible in an abbreviated form whereby we made our decisions as your Appropriations Committee, I would like to briefly evaluate for you the contemplated reductions in appropriations for Aid to Dependent Children.

Our present Aid to Dependent Children case load is 6,175 cases in which 16,553 children are receiving support. Reduction of ADC state

grant funds per year of \$135,000 will result in the loss of approximately \$800,000 per year of federal funds and \$135,000 of local funds.

The overall result will be the necessity for a program reduction in the general nature of one of three alternatives:

One alternative, reduction in the average grant from the present \$106 per month to approximately \$95.

Second, closing of about 625-650 cases in which there are about 1,625 children.

Third, some combination of the first and second.

In the event of alternative one, municipal supplementation will undoubtedly be increasingly necessary, and this would vary according to the caseload in the individual community. In the event of alternative two, the municipalities will be completely responsible for any welfare needs of the discontinued cases. In either event, we may well have some serious difficulties in meeting federal requirements to continue to receive federal matching funds for the remainder of the program.

A related effect of the proposed reduction undoubtedly will be an increase in the number of committed children who must then be provided for through state funds only. This would be at an approximate cost of \$900 per child, per year, as compared with our present cost of \$50 per child under our present ADC program. In other words, we are speaking of the deletion of approximately a million dollar activity in the area of Aid to Dependent Children.

You may also be interested in the administrative costs of handling each case under the ADC program per month in the State of Maine as compared with some of our neighboring states. In Maine the administrative costs of handling a case each month during 1962 was \$8.02; in New Hampshire, it was \$17.63; in Vermont it was \$12.05; in Massachusetts, \$18.19. So you will note the cost of administering this program at the state level is quite favorable as compared with other states. I offer this as factual information which I think you must use as you vote on this measure.

In addition, I would like to comment on the fact that I stated that 12 and two tenths or four tenths percent of the ADC was paid by local grants. This has been brought to my attention, and I will endeavor to explain this to you.

Both the local and state shares are approximately twelve percent of the total grant of expenditures. I have sent over to the Department, so I will read this to you and I think perhaps it will clear this up. A statement was made on the House floor today to the effect that the above stated ratio was incorrect because the town share was actually eighteen percent. The reason for this apparent discrepancy lies in the fact that many Aid to Dependent Children cases do not have settlement in any town. The town share is eighteen percent in those cases having settlement. However, the town share of the total cost of the program is reduced to twelve percent by the unsettled cases in which there is no town share. In these latter cases the state share is proportionately increased. The net effect is the ratio set forth above. Now this is the difference and as you realize, this does affect what you have in state and federal regardless of your towns. You may say well that is allright, we are still paying eighteen percent, but also somehow or other the other six percent had to be made up because it is in unsettled cases.

I also would like to comment to you that I believe very sincerely in the sincerity of these members who are trying to do this job. I believe that we have to create an atmosphere — an atmosphere in which changes can be made in any program. I think you have been able to create this atmosphere so that an Appropriations Committee or an administrator have some opportunity to move a little more freely. I think we ought to bear in mind that the administrator of any program is under great pressures from the public and also from the Federal Government whose money he is helping to spend. We ourselves perhaps are a little negligent when we go over to the departments under the pressure of one of our con-

stituents to get some sort of aid for them. We ought to remember that this isn't a one-way street. It is definitely a two-way street. This will give your administrator a better opportunity to say no sometimes possibly or set up other rules. Also, as this goes across this country which it is, and the concern for this type of program is felt, it will be felt in Washington and the rules there may be changed somewhat.

I also would call to your attention that we have helped to foster a certain climate of irresponsibility, and under this climate of irresponsibility, some of these ills have grown. It has become quite prominent in our thinking that people should not be responsible for their own. We see this in aged people; we see it in the matter of someone who is seeking a divorce, who feels that immediately they do not have to try to solve their problems, that someone else will solve it for them. Until we change this attitude, we cannot completely do away with a program such as this. I certainly hope that you are not going to delete this money. Thank you.

The SPEAKER: The Speaker will interrupt debate for just a moment to recognize in the balcony of the House a group of fifty-eight students from St. Joseph's School in Lewiston, accompanied by their Principal, Sister Gabriel and teachers, Sister Marie Pierre and Sister Reparata. They are the guests this morning of Representatives Cote, Dostie, Jalbert and Poirier of Lewiston.

On behalf of the House, the Chair extends to you people a warm welcome, and we trust that you will enjoy and benefit by your visit with us this morning. (Applause)

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Brownville, Mr. Ross.

Mr. ROSS: Mr. Speaker, I would just like to reiterate my statement of last Friday that I am not out to undermine Aid to Dependent Children. When I say Aid to Dependent Children, I mean legitimate Aid to Dependent Children. But I think I proved beyond a shadow of a doubt that there are abuses in this program, and I would like to ask through the Chair to anybody

that cares to answer if any steps are being taken to eliminate these abuses.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Brownville, Mr. Ross, poses a question through the Chair to any member who may answer if he chooses, and the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert.

Mr. JALBERT: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: In part there is no doubt that the gentleman from Brownville, Mr. Ross's point is well taken. I think I submitted last week a thought whereby the entire program might be studied by continuation of the Appropriations Committee or a committee staying in session. I also stated that a program such as this should not be curtailed or reduced, but could well be thoroughly studied. It is my humble opinion that possibly if the work was going to be done through the Health and Welfare Department, my thinking would be to give them some money to really do some investigating. With first things coming first, my first thought would be that an interim committee would keep pounding at this program to iron out the inequities that you suggest that are existing and then also, if need be, to add or delete from the investigating program or the working program, as our results are conveyed to the next session of the legislature. I believe that is the only way that it could be done at the present time, and certainly no one would doubt his sincerity or anyone's sincerity who questions this program. I hope that answers the question.

The SPEAKER: Is the House ready for the question?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Brewer, Mr. MacLeod.

Mr. MacLEOD: Mr. Speaker, since this was my amendment, I feel that I should make just a couple remarks. I would like to digress for a moment, though, if I may with permission of the gentleman from Lewiston, and express to him my sincere appreciation and thanks for the lesson in parliamentary procedure which he gave me last week and also the rules of Mr. Reed. (I am not referring to the gentleman in the other office, but

the little red book that the gentleman carries with him.)

The lady from Falmouth, Mrs. Smith, said that we would lose \$135,000 of town money if we put this amendment into effect. Well just keep in mind, ladies and gentlemen, this \$135,000 comes from the towns that we come from up here. And if we lose that money, it remains in the towns. If these cases that are now on Aid to Dependent Children are removed, that money could be used for local relief at the local level where I am convinced the town officials know better how to spend it than it is being spent in the State of Maine.

And to the gentleman from Kennebunkport, you made reference to that famous quotation, 'Go ye and sin no more.' Well I say to you people of this House that under the present way the Aid to Dependent Children program is being administered we are telling these mothers, 'Go ye and sin more and the results of your sinning will be added to the rolls and the subsidy will be increased.' That is what we are saying to them right now. So if we put this amendment into effect and coupled with that, pass the bill that is on the table to make it against the law to have these unrelated males in the houses, we can remove the ten percent off the rolls all right which is about what this represents. I hope that all people present will vote against the motion to reconsider.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Old Orchard Beach, Mr. Plante.

Mr. PLANTE: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: I referred to this reactionary amendment last Friday as a cave-man approach to a contemporary social problem. My position today is still the same. I strongly urge that all reasonable men and women of this House will not punish the innocent children receiving ADC because of the sins of the mothers, and if we are going to quote words of wisdom this morning, I would like to remind the gentleman from Brewer that a great man once said, 'Whatever you do unto the least of my little ones, you do unto me.'

The SPEAKER: The Chair recog-

nizes the gentleman from Auburn, Mr. McGee.

Mr. MCGEE: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: I don't think there is anybody that wants to take any necessary help away from children. I think the crux of this matter here is in the administration. It seems to me that the most of these cases could be better administered from the local level with the money that we have to spend, thereby we would save a lot of money in these state case workers and these federal case workers going around. And the money that we do have to spend, would go for the health and support of these children and that is where it is needed. We don't need it in red tape and I think that is where the trouble and the argument on this case is. If this bill could be fixed up along those lines, I think it would be acceptable to most everybody.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Falmouth, Mrs. Smith.

Mrs. SMITH: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I would only make this comment. I tried to call to your attention that I thought with this debate and with the sincere efforts of this bloc, that we had perhaps created an atmosphere where we might change some of these rules. I also say to you that this is not the way to do it, that you must have this looked at objectively; you must know what you are doing. We are not going to change the morals of these mothers simply by taking money away. We are going to have to live with some of them probably and then possibly we are going to have to change our concept of thinking of whether they should have the children with them or not, but I am sure that you know that over the last few years, the concept has been that a child is better with his mother regardless of conditions. Now I don't know if you want to change these things or not, but these are things to be studied. They are legislation perhaps even, but to just take the money out without knowing what is going to happen, is, to me, a bit ridiculous.

The SPEAKER: Is the House ready for the question?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Rust.

Mr. RUST: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: Just to review the problem. Apparently on Friday last, the House adopted House Amendment "C" which cut from the budget \$135,000. Now this morning the gentleman from Alfred, Mr. Hobbs, has made a motion to reconsider that action which in effect is to restore the \$135,000 back to the budget for Aid to Dependent Children. I stand here this morning and highly endorse that motion to reconsider and to put back \$135,000 into the budget.

Now I don't think there is any question but what we all agree that there is a problem in the Aid to Dependent Children program, but I would remind you that any program that is as large as this, and any problem that is as large as this, must of necessity have problems. It seems quite unfair to me to penalize the people involved in the problem by reducing the funds. That is not the solution to the problem. You are only staving off the situation and putting the burden back onto someone else because these people are going to be supported by somebody. Also you are going to lose federal matching funds. It seems clear to me that the problem is in administration, and I feel that it could be remedied there and I hope the motion to reconsider prevails.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Winthrop, Mr. Thaanum.

Mr. THAANUM: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: I am going to take just a minute because I have served as an overseer of the poor and as an assessor during the days of the depression. I know what it is to be an overseer of the poor and to have these destitute children in your town. I hope the motion of the gentleman from Alfred, Mr. Hobbs, prevails.

The SPEAKER: Is the House ready for the question? The question before the House is the motion of the gentleman from Alfred, Mr. Hobbs, that the House reconsider its action whereby it adopted House

Amendment "C." A roll call has been ordered.

As many of those who are in favor of reconsideration, will answer "yes" when their names are called. Those who are opposed to reconsideration, will answer "no" when their name is called. The Clerk will call the roll.

ROLL CALL

YES — Albair, Anderson, Orono; Baldic, Bedard, Benson, Berry, Birt, Blouin, Boissonneau, Boothby, Bourgoin, Bradeen, Bragdon, B r e w e r, Brown, South Portland; Burns, Carter, Cartier, Childs, Cookson, Cote, Cottrell, Coulthard, Cressey, Crockett, Crommett, Curtis, Davis, Denbow, Dostie, Drake, Edwards, Ewer, Foster, Gallant, Gifford, Gilbert, Gill, Gustafson, Hammond, Hanson, Hardy, Hawkes, Hendricks, Hendsbee, Henry, Hobbs, Humphrey Hutchins, Jalbert, Jones, Kent, Kilroy, Knight, Lebel, Levesque, Libby, Lincoln, Littlefield, L o w e r y, MacPhail, Mathieson, McGee, Meisner, Mendes, Minsky, Norton, Oakes, Oberg, O'Leary, Osborn, Pierce, Pitts, Plante, Poirier, Prince, Harpswell; Prince, Oakfield; Rand, Rankin, Reynolds, Ricker, Ross, Augusta; Rust, Shaw, Smith, Bar Harbor; Smith, Falmouth; Snow, Susi, Taylor, Thaanum, Tyndale, Vaughn, Wade, Waterman, Welch, Wellman, Whitney, Wood.

NO — Anderson, Ellsworth; Ber- man, Bernard, Binnette, Chapman, Choate, Dennett, Dudley, D u n n, Easton, Finley, Giroux, Harrington, Jameson, Jewell, Karkos, Laughton, Linnekin, MacGregor, M a c L e o d, Mower, Nadeau, Osgood, P e a s e, Philbrick, Richardson, R o b e r t s, Ross, Brownville; R o y, Sahagian, Scott, Smith, Strong; Thornton, Townsend, Treworgy, Turner, Viles, Waltz, Watkins, White, Guilford; Williams, Young.

ABSENT — Ayooob, Brown, Fairfield; Bussiere, Cope, Jobin, Mad- dox, Noel, Tardiff, Ward, W i g h t, Presque Isle.

Yes, 98; No, 42, Absent, 10.

The SPEAKER: The Chair will declare the vote. Ninety-eight having voted in the affirmative, forty-two having voted in the negative, with

ten being absent, the House has voted to reconsider.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bangor, Mr. Wellman.

Mr. WELLMAN: I move that House Amendment "C" be indefinitely postponed.

Thereupon, House Amendment "C" was indefinitely postponed.

Mr. Young of Gouldsboro offered House Amendment "F" and moved its adoption.

House Amendment "F" was read by the Clerk as follows:

HOUSE AMENDMENT "F" to S. P. 549, L. D. 1481, Bill, "An Act to Appropriate Moneys for the Expenditures of State Government and for Other Purposes for the Fiscal Years Ending June 30, 1964 and June 30, 1965"

Amend said Bill under the caption "CONTRIBUTIONS AND TRANSFERS TO OTHER FUNDS" by striking out all of the paragraph entitled "To Working Capital Funds" which reads as follows:

"To Working Capital Funds
Aeronautics Commission —
State Plane 22,774 23,071"

Further amend said Bill by correcting the totals therein affected by the adoption of this amendment.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Gouldsboro, Mr. Young.

Mr. YOUNG: Mr. Speaker, we haven't had very much success in taking out big sums of money, so perhaps we can take out a small sum out of \$143,000,000. This amount is \$45,845. That is \$25,000 more than the previous biennium which was \$20,149, and I understand that this was in addition to the regular air service fees that the Governor and others used, and I feel that although this is a small amount, it would be a start in cutting the appropriation, not only for this session, but the next session.

The Sea and Shore Fisheries has a plane and the Inland Fisheries and Game also, so I don't see why the Governor couldn't call on these if he wanted to use it. Therefore, that is the reason for my amendment.

The SPEAKER: Is the House ready for the question?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Ellsworth, Mr. Anderson.

Mr. ANDERSON: Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the gentleman from Gouldsboro, Mr. Young, and urge all of the so-called "economy bloc" to go along with his amendment. I now request unanimous consent to speak briefly.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman may proceed. The matter is before the House.

Mr. ANDERSON: Many times in recent weeks, His Excellency, Governor Reed, has expressed confidence that the people of Maine can, and will, furnish the funds for the services he is asking. I concur most heartily with the remarks made by the gentleman from Bangor, Mr. Jameson at last Friday's session. He asked the question: "Who is clamoring for all the costly services, our constituents?" and he answered: "No, it's the state departments." He should have gone further and added to that category the Executive and Legislative leadership.

We, of the so-called "economy bloc," have been called stupid by a certain segment of our leadership for our conservative stand. If it is stupid to try and put the ship of state on an even keel, stupid to try and bring relief to the overburdened taxpayers, stupid to try and stop senseless extravagance, the reaching out for things we would like to have but can't afford; then, ladies and gentlemen, I am stupid and proud of it.

At home over the weekend I heard from two fairly reliable sources that a certain group in this state would pour into Hancock County \$2,000 to defeat me should I have further political aspirations. Let me say this, they can pour in ten times \$2,000 and I'll still fight for what I think is the best for the citizens of this, still solvent, but teetering State of Maine. Thank you.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Raymond, Mr. Edwards.

Mr. EDWARDS: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I move that this amendment be indefinitely postponed.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Raymond, Mr. Edwards, moves that House Amendment "F" be indefinitely postponed. Is the House ready for the question.

Mr. EDWARDS: May I say a few words.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. EDWARDS: This is a small amount of money, and yet it has a direct bearing on the carrying out of our State Government. This is not only used by the Governor, but it is also used by many of the state department heads, and from the different departments fees and services are charged when they use it, and that amount of services and fees has been on the increase since it was first initiated back in 1960 I believe.

Now this is a convenience to the department heads that they may not have to stay overnight in places that they go. It is also impressive to those who are coming in from out of state who are either—well, you take if you have someone coming in that is thinking about bringing in a new industry about locating here, that it is impressive to them that we have this service; and I don't believe that this is the time to start cutting in our current services bill. I will say to you this, that I believe that there are means and in a more businesslike manner than through these amendments; I believe that means should be taken and I hope that before we do close, means will be taken whereby our financial part of our state government is more closely watched and that efforts will be made so that when the next Legislature comes back they will have a better look and a better understanding of our current services bills.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Houlton, Mr. Berman.

Mr. BERMAN: Mr. Speaker, while I believe that it is very well to know what we stand for, I suggest that it may be equally as important to know what not to fall for.

Now I personally am not going to fall for these arguments of the people who want to keep and main-

tain a special airplane and airplane crew 365 days out of the year. I hope the House this morning will be reasonable enough to go along with this very modest amendment which has been proposed by my good friend from Gouldsboro, Mr. Young, and by that I think this House of Representatives will show that it believes that the department heads should not be travelling around in the special airplane. I think that all of us, including the department heads, should be the servants of the people, and not the masters. Therefore, I oppose, very strongly, the indefinite postponement of this very reasonable amendment.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bangor, Mr. Wellman.

Mr. WELLMAN: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: It is for the very reasons that my good friend, Mr. Berman, has just stated out, that I oppose the passage of this amendment.

I have a list here which includes practically every department — well not every department, a great many departments in this state, who through the use of this plane have been able to serve the people better by their ability to get to their point of business by not having to depend on our scheduled airline service out of Augusta, which is at best sporadic, by being able to get to their destination to conduct their business quickly and efficiently and to return home so that they may be back at their desks again. That is the reason that I would urge the defeat of this amendment.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wisasset, Mr. Pease.

Mr. PEASE: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and gentlemen of the House: I note with some interest the comments of my colleague from Raymond, Mr. Edwards, in that he suggests there is a more businesslike way of approaching the problem of the current services budget. I think, ladies and gentlemen of the House, that that more businesslike way was suggested to you.

I also note with interest that he indicates that something should be done during the next two years to perhaps provide the Legislature with the wherewithal at the next Legislative session to better understand the current services budget. I feel very confident that if we were to closely look at either the Legislative Record for the past sessions, if we were to consider what was said perhaps in party caucuses, before committees and in the corridors, we would have heard this same argument: let's do it two years from now.

We have before us the amendment which does little as far as dollars and cents are concerned, \$45,000, a mere drop in the bucket; something with which, however, I am sure that this state can do without. Our Inland Fish and Game Department and our Sea and Shore Fisheries and our Maine Aeronautics Commission all have planes. Allusion was made to the infrequent air service which is provided the State of Maine. Suggestions I think have been made in various places on how this air service might be improved, and how, if it were improved, we would not need what they are asking you not to delete. And yet those who in the same breath say we need this state plane for frequent hops to here and there, to have it available when necessary because our scheduled air travel is not reliable, those same people who say we need this, are not willing to go along with providing the air service not only that the Executive might use throughout the Executive Branch, but also the good people of the State of Maine might use. Although they refuse to provide it for the rest of the state, they now wish \$45,000 to provide it for themselves. I think this is certainly a place where if it is necessary for the head of a department to travel from here to Boston, I am certain that no one would even envision taking a state plane beyond there, because if my information is correct, we fly practically every one-half hour from Boston to some other place in the United States or the world. Seems to me if we go that far, we have other means of transportation.

I recall overhearing a conversation not too long ago having to do with someone being able to visit the Executive Branch, and everyone seemed to be in a dither because we weren't sure of the weather as to whether that plane might fly or not. So there again, before we can use the state plane, we have to have the weather and we have to have the instrument landing systems and so forth that are necessary. That perhaps, will be the next item, something in the neighborhood of a million dollars to provide an instrument landing system. If we are going to have the state plane, we might just as well be able to use it in all kinds of weather.

I am sure that some of you think these arguments are absurd, but let's face facts. It is a small cut, but I think that it can show that we are giving some thought to these things, and if the business-like manner of approaching this is not acceptable, then I think this is the only way we have to do it, to let each Member of the House of Representatives here assembled vote according to their conscience as to whether this is an appropriate expenditure of sales tax money.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Childs.

Mr. CHILDS: Mr. Speaker, through the Chair I would like to inquire from any member of the Appropriations Committee what generally the air hours are of this plane each and every year?

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Portland, Mr. Childs, has posed a question through the Chair to any Member of the Appropriations Committee who may answer if he chooses.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucksport, Mr. Pierce.

Mr. PIERCE: I have the figures here, sir. 795 hours and 55 minutes. And I have the breakdown in case the gentleman from Portland wishes the breakdown, department by department.

The SPEAKER: Does the gentleman from Portland consider his question properly answered?

Mr. CHILDS: Is that for the bi-ennium or is that annually?

Mr. PIERCE: Mr. Speaker, that is annually.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Gouldsboro, Mr. Young.

Mr. YOUNG: Mr. Speaker, I just want to call your attention, it has been said the different departments contribute \$15,000 additional to this amount, but that \$15,000 is still the taxpayers' money and comes out of other accounts.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Enfield, Mr. Dudley.

Mr. DUDLEY: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: Seeing as this state has generally very able men for Governor, I know a lot of people worry when they are flying around in this private plane. I know a lot more of us worry about how we are going to pay for all these things, and we also know that one thing that has been left out, the State Forestry has some planes along with Fish and Game and Sea and Shore Fisheries. Now in case one of these Governors couldn't get to the fair by starting time, I am sure that one of these services would accommodate him by seeing that he got there on time. And for this reason I would like to go along with this amendment of Mr. Young of Gouldsboro and see that it receives passage.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Southport, Mr. Rankin.

Mr. RANKIN: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I have a little different argument regarding the keeping of this plane. We have been termed by the people to the south of us as being the bear country and the snow country, the snowshoes. We often joke ourselves to the summer people as to how our mail is going to be delivered by a dog sled.

Now the state plane is more than dollars and cents. This is the plane that His Excellency, the Governor of the State of Maine uses. It is for his use and other departments. I feel that at this time we are being very mean and niggardly to

propose to cut out the state plane. The image of the State of Maine depends on appearances, and if we have become so stingy that we cannot afford even a plane for the Governor, his staff and the Executive Branch, then perhaps we should close up shop.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dexter, Mr. Harrington.

Mr. HARRINGTON: Mr. Speaker, I feel that the image of the State of Maine would be better truly put forth in a financial statement that was edged with black instead of a red book.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucksport, Mr. Pierce.

Mr. PIERCE: Mr. Speaker, as a natural example of the advantage of this state plane, certain Members of the Appropriations Committee did go to Aroostook to investigate the vocational school up there and the hospital. If we had not had this state plane, we would have had to have gone to Portland, because there are no connections from Augusta elsewhere, we — I beg your pardon, we would have had to fly to Boston, then from Boston to Presque Isle, Presque Isle back to Boston and then back here. The alternative would have been to have taken a car, our cars, taken two days plus all expenses. There is no alternative. Many of the people in Aroostook need certain inspectors. There is no other way to get there. The state plane, and I have proof here, covers many, many places where there is no other method of getting except by commutation, overnight travel, expenses; this plane will go anywhere and it does. It flies 180 miles an hour. I am wholly in disagreement with the gentleman from Gouldsboro, my fellow traveller, Mr. Young, and I believe we should continue the services of the state plane.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Ellsworth, Mr. Anderson.

Mr. ANDERSON: Mr. Speaker, I request a roll call on the motion to indefinitely postpone.

The SPEAKER: Is the House ready for the question? A roll call has been requested.

For the Chair to order a roll call it must have the expressed desire of one-fifth of the membership present. All those in favor of a roll call will please rise and remain standing until the monitors have made and returned the count.

Thirty members arose.

The SPEAKER: Obviously, more than one-fifth of the members present having arisen, a roll call is ordered.

The question before the House is the motion of the gentleman from Raymond, Mr. Edwards, that House Amendment "F" be indefinitely postponed. All those in favor of the indefinite postponement of House Amendment "F" will answer "yes" when their names are called; those opposed to the indefinite postponement of House Amendment "F" will answer "no" when their names are called. The Clerk will call the roll.

ROLL CALL

YEA — Albair, Benson, Berry, Birt, Bradeen, Bragdon, Brewer, Brown, So. Portland; Carter, Cartier, Childs, Cottrell, Coulthard, Cressey, Crockett, Curtis, Drake, Edwards, Ewer, Finley, Gifford, Gilbert, Gill, Hanson, Hardy, Hawkes, Hendricks, Hendsbee, Henry, Humphrey, Hutchins, Jalbert, Jones, Kilroy, Knight, Label, Libby, Lincoln, Littlefield, Lowery, MacPhail, Mathieson, Meisner, Mendes, Minsky, Norton, Oakes, Oberg, O'Leary, Osborn, Pierce, Plante, Prince, Harpswell; Rand, Rankin, Ricker, Ross, Augusta; Ross, Brownville; Rust, Shaw, Smith, Bar Harbor; Smith, Falmouth; Susi, Taylor, Thaanum, Thornton, Tyndale, Vaughn, Wade, Welch, Wellman, White, Guilford; Whitney.

NAY — Anderson, Ellsworth; Anderson, Orono; Baldic, Bedard, Berman, Bernard, Binnette, Blouin, Boissonneau, Boothby, Bourgoin, Burns, Chapman, Choate, Cookson, Cote, Crommett, Davis, Denbow, Dennett, Dostie, Dudley, Dunn, Easton, Foster, Gallant, Giroux, Gustafson, Hammond, Harrington, Hobbs, Jameson, Jewell, Karkos,

Kent, Laughton, Levesque, Linnekin, MacGregor, MacLeod, McGee, Mower, Nadeau, Osgood, Pease, Philbrick, Pitts, Poirier, Prince, Oakfield; Reynolds, Richardson, Roberts, Roy, Sahagian, Scott, Smith, Strong; Snow, Townsend, Treworgy, Turner, Viles, Waltz, Waterman, Watkins, Williams, Wood, Young.

ABSENT — Ayoob, Brown, Fairfield; Bussiere, Cope, Jobin, Maddox, Noel, Tardiiff, Ward, Wight, Presque Isle.

Yes, 73; No, 67; Absent 10.

The SPEAKER: The Chair will announce the vote. Seventy-three having voted in the affirmative, sixty-seven having voted in the negative, with ten absentees, the motion to indefinitely postpone House Amendment "F" does prevail.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cape Elizabeth, Mr. Berry.

Mr. BERRY: Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side, I move reconsideration and I hope my motion fails.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Cape Elizabeth, Mr. Berrry, having voted on the prevailing side, moves reconsideration. Is it the pleasure of the House to reconsider? All those in favor of reconsideration will say aye; those opposed, no.

A viva voce vote being taken, the motion did not prevail.

The SPEAKER: Is the House ready for the question? The pending question is the passage to be engrossed of Bill "An Act to Appropriate Moneys for the Expenditures of State Government and for Other Purposes for the Fiscal Years Ending June 30, 1964 and June 30, 1965."

Mr. Viles of Anson offered House Amendment "H" and moved its adoption.

House Amendment "H" was read by the Clerk as follows:

HOUSE AMENDMENT "H" to S. P. 549, L. D. 1481, Bill, "An Act to Appropriate Moneys for the Expenditures of State Government and for Other Purposes for the Fiscal Years Ending June 30, 1964 and June 30, 1965"

Amend said Bill under the caption "ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, DEPARTMENT OF" by striking out from the paragraph "Administration" the lines:

"Personal Services		
(41) 274,047	(41)	284,670
All Other 562,885		562,893"

and inserting in place thereof the lines:

'Personal Services		
(30) 208,047	(30)	218,670
All Other 378,885		378,893'

Further amend said Bill by correcting the totals therein affected by the adoption of this amendment.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Hampden, Mr. Littlefield.

Mr. LITTLEFIELD: Mr. Speaker, this amendment should be opposed. Some 40 bills for DED have been presented to this Legislature. 24 of them have been disposed of, either by indefinite postponement or withdrawn, and these bills had money tags amounting to \$707,000. If we wish to abolish the recreational division of DED completely, we should say so, but for the Legislature to vote to spend \$500,000 for World's Fair and other things and then tell DED to find the money and pay the bill is a peculiar way of doing business. This is like a company deciding to exhibit its machinery at the country fair and then telling the president of the company and the secretary of the committee to find the money and pay the bill if they can. I move indefinite postponement of this amendment.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Falmouth, Mrs. Smith.

Mrs. SMITH: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I would like to concur with the gentleman from Hampden, Mr. Littlefield, who has just spoken, and I would like to make a few remarks please.

During this session much has been said about this department and its operation and financial needs. We have heard suggestions that would divide its operations into three parts by creating two new departments. We have heard from those who

feel it has too much money, and we have heard from those who feel it has had too little money. In short, we have heard as many opinions as people, and throughout the entire proceedings we have seen Maine tarred with the brush of depression and poverty, to the point where prospective industrialists would be frightened away and the people of our state could easily draw the conclusion that they are residents in a state which has a hopeless future.

It is for this reason I feel compelled to speak in hopes I can help bring order and common sense to a discussion that has become chaotic. It seems clear to me that despite the confusion both the protagonists of the DED and its antagonists have several things in common. First, like myself, they love their state and are interested in it. Second, they recognize the need for continued efforts to improve the economy of our state. Third, they are not satisfied with what has been accomplished. Since there is this common ground, there is hope for the development of a mutually acceptable solution to our present problem. One of the greatest problems remaining is the formulation of a clear and easily understood definition of the DED and its proper and sensible functions. Unfortunately, many Members of the House have not had the time to gain a clear understanding of the workings of the department and the responsibilities with which it is charged under the existing law. Some believe that industrial development can be turned on and off like the water in their kitchen faucet. Some believe that industrial and recreational development are separate entities. Some believe that the mere request of a community for an industry on Monday morning should produce a prospect on Tuesday morning. Some believe the DED should take the place of local initiative and far too few realize that development by either a public or private party must be a long-range program based on extremely hard work by many and filled with many more moments of disappointment than moments of victory.

The leadership of both the House and Senate know only too well the problem we face in the development of our economy. They have already indicated their belief that we must have the best qualified person available for this important position. The Governor has already signified that he intends to make an intensive and vigorous search to locate the ablest administrator available. They recognize the need for a clear definition of the function of this department, and they realize the need for giving the administrator so chosen the benefit of an experienced and able advisory council. They are not running from this problem. They are facing it with courage and determination. All they now need is the same courage and determination and whole-hearted support of this Legislature. Facing as we do a new-administration of DED, it certainly would be less than sound to run off in a new direction or to vote otherwise. Such decisions should be made after the new administrator is appointed and then only after he and his advisory council have had adequate time to study and formulate a sound program. Only in this way can we expect good administration and sound progress.

Because our promotional efforts haven't met our hopes or expectations, is no reason to panic. Conversely, we should stop and re-assess and re-define and formulate an improved program that will yield benefits from past mistakes and embody what has been proved to be past strengths and supplement these with vision and sound direction.

To remove even a part of this appropriation from the current services budget would accomplish nothing; perhaps even impair our ability to employ a competent man and perhaps even his ability to perform. Now is the time for calm deliberations and studied action under top quality leadership. It is for these reasons I urge this House to take the action that will permit such a course. The best way that the Senate and House can evidence their courage and wholehearted support is to appropriate at least this

appropriation so that there can be adequate funds for the operation of this department under a new administrator, and we hope an advisory council to set it on its feet on solid ground and present the next Legislature with firmly based recommendations for a program that will give full consideration to all of the state's economic possibilities. Let us not forget that we, as representatives of all the people of this state, have the responsibility of leadership in all things which will enhance the general welfare of this state and its people, and the cornerstone of all such efforts must be based upon our faith in our state and its people.

All in all, Maine is still the best state in the Union in which to live, work and play any time of the year, and a more effective program will help to keep it that way. I certainly go along with the gentleman's motion to indefinitely postpone the cut in this appropriation.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Old Orchard Beach, Mr. Plante.

Mr. PLANTE: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: On page 11 of your calendar there is a House Joint Order in regard to a study of Efficiency of Department of Economic Development. It is such a study action as the gentlewoman from Falmouth, Mrs. Smith, has mentioned that we hope will meet with your approval within the next few days. It would ask for appropriate individuals to engage a reputable and recognized professional consulting firm for pilot study for the purpose of effecting reductions in operating costs, making a job analysis, performing a detailed study of the Simonds-Payson Company, Inc. advertising account, including all types of expenditures billed to the Department of Economic Development and determining the efficiency of the Department of Economic Development. The result of preliminary findings of this study shall be reported to the 101st Legislature not later than May 15, 1963. I would like to emphasize that our reason for introducing this order is designed to take the Department

of Economic Development out of the political arena, to reduce the possibility of permitting personalities to sway unevenly the course of events, and to permit the Legislature to evaluate the Department of Economic Development as freely as possible from emotions and innuendoes; and because I am urging that this study be made, I will support the motion to indefinitely postpone this amendment, because at the time if the study shows that economies can be realized, I shall support such, but at this time I cannot without a study.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bangor, Mr. Wellman.

Mr. WELLMAN: Mr. Speaker, I wish to be on record as opposing this proposed amendment. We are in the process of strengthening this department. I think that such action at this time would indeed be hasty, and I hope it will not be taken.

The SPEAKER: Is the House ready for the question?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Anson, Mr. Viles.

Mr. VILES: Mr. Speaker, I will not belabor the point, but in order to substantiate part of my thinking, I will quote just a few figures that have transpired over the past ten or eleven years.

The fiscal year 1951 and 1953, the Maine Development Commission appropriated for the biennium \$600,000 with 21 employees. In the fiscal year 1955 and 1957, which was still operating under the Maine Development Commission, they had an appropriation of \$800,000 with 34 employees. Under the Department of Economic Development in 1961 and 1963 they have had \$1,650,000. Now this is over a million and a half dollars in ten years. They also had 42 employees.

Now it is my recollection, and I am not too familiar, but from the old Maine Development Commission, there were many dollars spent in the State of Maine to advertise our great state, promote industry, did what I think they are doing as well now, with private enterprise money for a lot less than they are asking under the current services budget. Again I

would remind the House that expenditures such as we have been reviewing for the past few days have far exceeded the revenues which this great State has been able to take in, and I don't know, if you keep on spending, and I am serious about this, I don't know just where the end is. And I certainly, for one, would think at great length before I would attempt to even leave my children a debt which they would not be able to pay off in a lifetime. In effect, we are telling the people back home this very same thing. Other states are trying to float bonds that will balance their budget and I think we have to look very well in this direction before we increase any more expenditures.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Southport, Mr. Rankin.

Mr. RANKIN: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen: The cost of advertising today is extremely expensive, perhaps more expensive than most of us realize. If you have noticed in your recent copies of Life Magazine, there is an ad put out I believe by the Province of Nova Scotia; there has been one for the Province of Quebec, it is in full color; and the cost of those ads more or less proves that we are not spending enough in Maine.

Now I have figures which I cut out of a newspaper about a year ago. The cost of a black and white ad in Life Magazine, which some of our Provinces in Canada are using, for one issue is \$33,195, for one issue. Now you can imagine the impact it would have upon this state if we could afford to advertise in Life four times a year. Readers Digest is a very small booklet, and it looks as though an ad should cost maybe \$1,000. If the State of Maine wished to put a black and white one page advertisement in Readers Digest, the bill would be for the single insertion \$43,250.

Now it seems to me rather than spending too much to exploit the advantages of Maine, we are spending too little.

The SPEAKER: Is the House ready for the question?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Strong, Mr. Smith.

Mr. SMITH: Mr. Speaker, I would make a statement and ask a question through the Chair to some member of leadership if they would care to answer.

Is it not true that this special committee set up to study the Department of Economic Development, is asking for three departments to take the place of the one? And in asking for that, I believe it is true that what is actually happening is that the industrial development will be taken out of DED and made a department by itself. The recreational development will be taken out of DED and made a department by itself, each one of them with their own budget setup and to be voted on by this Legislature. If that is true, then the remaining functions of DED will still be under that department, but of course the remaining functions will be small compared to what they are now.

My question to any member of leadership who wishes to answer would be this: If that is true, and if the special committee's report is going to be voted on and possibly adopted or turned down, then is there really any need for this amount of money to operate a department that is obviously going to be trimmed to the bone?

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Strong, Mr. Smith, poses a question through the Chair to a member who may answer if he chooses, and the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Old Orchard Beach, Mr. Plante.

Mr. PLANTE: Mr. Speaker, the recommendations of the special committee have been withdrawn, so they are not before us, so they have not been effectuated and we do not know if they will be. It is my hope that a study of DED will be made before any drastic changes are effectuated.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Kennebunkport, Mr. Tyndale, who may answer the gentleman if he chooses.

Mr. TYNDALE: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: This at the present time is in its proposal stage. It is a hope that

we will formulate a plan of bringing these three departments under a strong administrative head, because they are in a sense related to each other.

I would further add in answering this question, that the study committee, which has been working ardently, and my colleague from Strong Mr. Smith, has served very well with us on that committee, have been in a formulative stage during the past because we in effect, as a study committee, could only recommend to leadership and the Legislature certain proposals that we had in mind. Now these proposals are not static proposals, but proposals that can be changed with the thinking of other people and leadership, but the proposal that is before you now, and I believe is in a very formulative stage, is to keep the department intact perhaps serving under three sub-division heads under a strong dynamic leader which is in the process of being secured at the present time. That is the plan.

I would like to add one little statement if I may to this question, that this department, as you well know, is the very life blood of the State of Maine, and is to alleviate the very conditions that my dear friend, Mr. Viles, has mentioned, and if we can't keep abreast of competition in this field, we could very well suffer further losses, and I would call your attention to one classic example of this, is Biddeford, which is trying to pull itself up by the bootstraps and has made tremendous progress under Mr. Hugh Marshall, their Industrial Director; and we must keep this thing going all over the state, but you can't separate these departments under division.

I might say in answer to my good friend from — it is not an answer, but a statement added to his, that a further study of this could always be made, but by the same token we have been studying this now for a year and a half and I believe that with the help of leadership and other Members of the body, we will come to a successful conclusion of bringing to you a very dynamic, hard-working de-

partment under a leader that can perform and do the job. That is the purpose at the present time of this proposal.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Ellsworth, Mr. Anderson.

Mr. ANDERSON: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: I beg your indulgence for a couple of minutes. I have in my hand a bunch of letters all disparaging the DED. Don't be alarmed, I am not going to read all of them. If you will bear me just a couple of minutes, I would like to read a paragraph in two of these letters.

"About five years ago a friend of mine and I had a manufacturing proposition which a banker thought we should discuss with the DED and we wrote them for an appointment and were told that on a certain Monday that a Mr. Allen would see us at 10:00 a.m. We were there and met a very attractive receptionist and were told to be seated and that we would soon be taken care of. About one hour later we were approached by another attractive girl who inquired if there was anything which she could do for us. I showed her the letter regarding our appointment with Mr. Allen and she disappeared. Some 20 minutes later by the clock she returned and said that Mr. Allen had left on the morning plane for New York City, but that she would have us meet with a Mr. Williams if we would wait just a few minutes and this we proceeded to do until 11:45. We were then confronted with a young and energetic man of about 25 years who said that he was sorry to report that Mr. Williams had not returned from his weekend holiday as yet and that they could not contact him, but that he would listen to us and were then ushered into an office with beautiful furnishings to tell our story. We did not have far to go before we plainly saw that this boy did not know a donkey from his adams apple". I have rephrased that a little. "We suggested that we would come back later, maybe. We haven't been back yet, nor are we going. I was told somewhat later that both Allen

and Williams just did not come into the office that day at all. If they are to get the money requested they should show some signs of doing something to be worthy of it. If our treatment is a sample of what is going on in that outfit, I vote for cutting their appropriation this year."

And if you will bear with me just a second, here is another letter from a construction and repair of electrical and mill equipment, marine engines and power units. "I also wish to express my complete approval of your attitude toward the DED. I have had a great deal of experience with this outfit but have never yet been able to get any help from them. For many years I have felt that this was a wonderful job for a lot of people who never had it so good." I certainly hope the motion to indefinitely postpone does not prevail.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Kennebunkport, Mr. Tyndale.

Mr. TYNDALE: Mr. Speaker, I will try to give you the other side of this story. I had luncheon yesterday with some fifteen or twenty industrial agents representing communities and counties all over the State of Maine. They, quite to my surprise, were quite satisfied with the accomplishments up to this date, with certain reservations.

Now we do know that every department has its faults, and this department has been in the public eye due to the fact of its very set-up, but in cutting their appropriation will not give you the conclusion you want. What you want, is the most you can get from your dollar spent. Now this is what we are trying to arrive at, and to cut the appropriation, would be to cut the life flood of a department which is going to mean the progress of the State of Maine. I hope you will bear this in mind. Thank you.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from South Portland, Mr. Taylor.

Mr. TAYLOR: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: I rise in support of this amendment. My reason for doing so is the past performance of this de-

partment and the ineffective method of approaching that which it was designed to do. Now if we are going to cut and cut effectively let us do so in a department that hasn't shown the proper approach to its goal. Now this department here doesn't know where it is going for the simple reason of its disorganized manner at present. It furthermore doesn't know where it has been because of the performance of the past. I feel that with the increase asked for of this Legislature of approximately one and three-quarters million dollars over the past Legislative session, that half a million dollars cut is very small indeed in what they are asking — as this amendment calls for.

I would not be surprised if double that cut could be effected and still no harm would come by that move.

When this department, if and when it becomes organized and knows the policy which they are going to operate under, knows where they are going and obtains an effective program, then let us give it the additional funds, but until that time I strongly urge support of this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Fal-mouth, Mrs. Smith.

Mrs. SMITH: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I am sure that all of you realize this is not additional money. The current services, in whatever area you are speaking, is exactly the services that you provided two years ago.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Houl-ton, Mr. Berman.

Mr. BERMAN: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: I think that we should support this amendment which the gentleman from Anson, Mr. Viles, has brought to our attention this morning for one simple reason. Not wishing to be critical of the department, I would say this very simply, that this department has convinced the Legislature that we should spend half a million dollars of the State of Maine's money to go to the World's Fair. Now, this weekend when I went home and was looking over my mail and some of the papers

that have been accumulating, I saw on the front page of one of the great financial papers published in the entire world, the following in headlines: "World's Fair Woes. With New York start only years away, many exhibitors drag feet. Ground not broken for most building and construction. Costs rise. Some back out." Now I suggest that we approach this matter with very calm deliberation. If we have, with emergency legislation, effectively committed the State of Maine to half a million dollars, and if this amendment of Mr. Viles can give us back part of that half a million dollars which we passed out as emergency legislation, I think that this is a very respectable amendment.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert.

Mr. JALBERT: Mr. Speaker, I might comment further on the remarks of the gentle lady from Fal-mouth, Mrs. Smith, that from the departmental request on personal services, the department requests remain the same from the budget recommendation. From the all other services, the department request for the first year \$585,030 reduced by the committee to \$568,310, \$588,223 for the second year reduced to \$568,318; and since then of course through the resignation of one member we pick up one personnel. Until this department is given the honest, fair, all-around look-see that it is going to be gone into, I think that this amendment would definitely cripple not only the department and the operations of the department, but would be a sad blow to the economic structure of our state.

The SPEAKER: Is the House ready for the question?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westbrook, Mr. Burns.

Mr. BURNS: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: I in the past year and a half have had occasion to be a member of this committee who were studying the operations of the particular department.

I feel, although I may have variances of opinion with the other members in regard to the workings

of it and the results achieved, that at this stage in the game we would be hampering the operation of the department and the State of Maine if we were to curtail any funds. I personally would prefer to see more money appropriated. I might have other ideas as to how it should be spent, but I feel in this modern age with advertising being the big thing it is in this country, we should all be behind this effort to promote the state more. We are a small state at the end of the country. We have too little a chance to publicize ourselves, and I feel at this time the last thing in the world we should do would be to curtail the funds to this department. Thank you.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Southwest Harbor, Mr. Benson.

Mr. BENSON: Mr. Speaker, we may not be in accord with some of the things the Department of Economic Development has done in the past any more than we are in accord with some of the things that the Department of Health and Welfare has done, but I do think that to cut their budget is not the answer, any more than it is the answer to whip a boy who has done wrong. I think that the present accepted method of treatment for the boy who has done wrong is rehabilitation, and I certainly don't think that cutting the budget of the Department of Economic De-

velopment is the answer to our present problem. I think rather than to cut it, we should certainly look for ways of improving it, and supply them with the wherewithal with which to do. I would certainly be opposed to cutting this budget at this time.

The SPEAKER: Is the House ready for the question? The question before the House is the —

Mr. MacLEOD: I request a division when the vote is taken.

The SPEAKER: A division has been requested and the question before the House is the motion of the gentleman from Hampden, Mr. Littlefield, that House Amendment "H" to Bill "An Act to Appropriate Moneys for the Expenditures of State Government and for Other Purposes for the Fiscal Years Ending June 30, 1964 and June 30, 1965" be indefinitely postponed.

All those in favor of indefinite postponement of House Amendment "H" will please rise and remain standing until the monitors have made and returned the count.

A division of the House was had.

Seventy-four having voted in the affirmative and fifty-six having voted in the negative, the motion did prevail.

On motion of Mr. Wellman of Bangor,

Adjourned until 9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning.