

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

LEGISLATIVE RECORD

OF THE

One-Hundredth Legislature

OF THE

STATE OF MAINE

VOLUME II

MAY 12 - JUNE 17, 1961

and

SPECIAL SESSION

NOV. 27 - DEC. 2, 1961

DAILY KENNEBEC JOURNAL

AUGUSTA, MAINE

HOUSE

Saturday, June 17, 1961

The House met according to adjournment and was called to order by the Speaker.

Prayer by the Rev. Mr. Ernest D. Smith of Strong.

The journal of yesterday was read and approved.

Orders Out of Order

On motion of Mr. Jalbert of Lewiston, it was

ORDERED, that the compensation for Mrs. Doris R. Kenerson, Assistant Legislative Docket Clerk, be paid in full for the entire length of the session, at the rate established by the payroll approved by the Committee on Appropriations and Financial Affairs.

On motion of Mr. Smith of Strong, it was

ORDERED, that the Chaplains of the House be paid in accordance with a payroll list submitted by the Clerk of the House.

On motion of the gentlewoman from Stonington, Mrs. Shepard, House Rule 25 was suspended for the remainder of today's session in order to permit smoking.

On motion of Mr. Berry of Cape Elizabeth, it was

ORDERED, that the Clerk of the House mail to each member of the House of Representatives the balance of the proof of the record of the House not received before final adjournment.

Mr. Baxter of Pittsfield presented the following Order out of order and moved its passage:

ORDERED, that the Speaker of the House be presented with the desk and chair used by him in his office during the present session, and that delivery of same to his residence be arranged by the Superintendent of Buildings.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter.

Mr. BAXTER: I would just like to say in connection with this order that this is a customary pro-

cedure in the House and the Senate. In this particular case, I think we'll all agree that the man that's getting this small amount of furniture has more than earned this extra and we all wish him well with it and hope that he remembers us occasionally as he sits at it. (Applause)

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Rockland, Mr. Knight.

Mr. KNIGHT: I move passage of this order.

The CLERK: Is it the pleasure of the House that this order receive passage?

The motion prevailed.

The SPEAKER: Usually there's no formality goes along with this particular order, but I wish to thank the members of the Legislature and the taxpayers of the State of Maine. (Applause)

On motion of Mr. Kennedy of Milbridge, it was

ORDERED, that the uniforms procured for the House Officers become their property at the end of their terms of office.

On motion of Mr. Baxter of Pittsfield,

Recessed until the sound of the gong.

After Recess

11:05 A. M.

Called to order by the Speaker.

(Off Record Remarks)

On motion of Mr. Baxter of Pittsfield,

Recessed until one o'clock in the afternoon.

After Recess

1:00 P. M.

The House was called to order by the Speaker.

The SPEAKER: We will take up Supplement Number 1 at this time.

Conference Committee Report

Report of the Committees of Conference on the disagreeing action of the two branches of the Legislature on Bill "An Act to Create a School Administrative District in the Town of Orrington" (H. P. 468) (L. D.

668) reporting that they are unable to agree.

(Signed)

DURGIN of Raymond
HICHBORN

of Medford Township

BAKER of Orrington

— Committee on part of House

BROOKS of Cumberland

DAVIS of Cumberland

MAYO of Sagadahoc

— Committee on part of Senate

Report was read and accepted and sent up for concurrence.

Papers from the Senate Conference Committee Report

Report of the Committees of Conference on the disagreeing action of the two branches of the Legislature on Bill "An Act Providing for Construction of an Educational Television Network for the State of Maine" (H. P. 224) (L. D. 435) reporting that the Senate recede and indefinitely postpone Committee Amendment "A", adopt Conference Committee Amendment "A" as amended by Conference Committee Amendment "A" thereto, and pass the Bill to be engrossed as amended by Conference Committee Amendment "A" as amended by Conference Committee Amendment "A" thereto; that the House recede, adopt Conference Committee Amendment "A" as amended by Conference Committee Amendment "A" thereto, and pass the Bill to be engrossed as amended by Conference Committee Amendment "A" as amended by Conference Committee Amendment "A" thereto.

(Signed)

MAYO of Sagadahoc

DAVIS of Cumberland

NOYES of Franklin

— Committee on part of Senate

BERRY of Cape Elizabeth

KENNEDY of Milbridge

WELLMAN of Bangor

— Committee on part of House

Came from the Senate with the Report read and accepted and the Bill passed to be engrossed as amended by Conference Committee Amendment "A" as amended by Conference Committee Amendment "A" thereto in non-concurrence.

In the House, the Report was read.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert.

Mr. JALBERT: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: It certainly is not my intention to put a trig in the wheels, but I see that this is cut from \$1,000,000 to \$500,000. The arguments that I have heard brought forth for the reasons that it is cut is because of the possible lack of money to finance the interest on the bond. I think that could be adjusted easily enough.

Also, another argument that was brought forth that the possibility of Federal funds which I have found out within the last forty-eight hours are more than less than remote, and I would like to see this thing go at \$1,000,000. I don't know what \$500,000 will do.

Mr. BAXTER: Mr. Speaker, may I approach the rostrum?

The SPEAKER: The gentleman may do so.

(Conference at rostrum)

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter.

Mr. BAXTER: Mr. Speaker, I move that the House recess for one-half hour for the purpose of a Republican Caucus.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter, moves the House recess for one half hour for the purpose of a Republican Caucus to be held immediately.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Madison, Mr. Fogg.

Mr. FOGG: Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak with the Democrats in the Legal Affairs Committee room.

The SPEAKER: We will now recess.

After Recess 2:45 P.M.

The House was called to order by the Speaker.

The SPEAKER: The matter now before the House is on the Supplement Number 1, this is where we left off. Senate Conference Report concerning the Report of the Committee of Conference on the disagreeing action of the two branches of the Legislature. If you accept the committee report, you can take no further action.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter.

Mr. BAXTER: Mr. Speaker, I move that the House accept the Committee Report.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter, moves that the House accept the Committee Report. Is this the pleasure of the House?

The motion prevailed.

Thereupon, the House voted to recede and concur and the report was sent forthwith to the Senate.

Non-Concurrent Matter Tabled Until Later in the Day

An Act relating to Sales of Discontinued Items of Liquor in State Stores (S. P. 436) (L. D. 1308) which was passed to be enacted in the House on June 9 and passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment "A" on June 8.

Came from the Senate passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment "A" and Senate Amendment "A" in non-concurrence.

In the House: On motion of Mr. Baxter of Pittsfield, tabled pending further consideration and specially assigned for later in today's session.

The SPEAKER: We are proceeding under Papers from the Senate which would be on the front page of the House Advance Journal and Calendar.

Ought to Pass with Committee Amendment Amended in Senate Tabled Until Later in the Day

Report of the Committee on Appropriations and Financial Affairs on Resolve in favor of Development of a State Park on Rangeley Lake (S. P. 272) (L. D. 873) reporting "Ought to pass" as amended by Committee Amendment "A" submitted therewith.

Came from the Senate with the Resolve substituted for the Report and passed to be engrossed as amended by Senate Amendment "B".

In the House: On motion of Mr. Baxter of Pittsfield, tabled pending acceptance of the Committee Report and specially assigned for later in today's session.

Orders

Mr. Anderson of Greenville presented the following Order and moved its passage:

ORDERED, the Senate concurring, that the Law and Legislative Reference Librarian, Edith L. Hary, be and hereby is authorized, during the current biennium, to attend the conferences of the National Legislative Conference, and that she be reimbursed for her necessary traveling expenses. (H. P. 1192)

The Order received passage and was sent forthwith to the Senate for concurrence.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Hope, Mr. Hardy.

Mr. HARDY: I would like to inquire if L. D. 379 is now in possession of the House?

The SPEAKER: The Chair will inform the gentleman from Hope, Mr. Hardy, that L. D. 379, An Act Amending the Christmas Tree Law, is in the possession of the House.

Mr. HARDY: I would like to explain this action before I make a motion.

The SPEAKER: Will the gentleman please make his motion first.

Mr. HARDY: I move that we reconsider our action whereby yesterday we indefinitely postponed this in concurrence with the Senate.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. HARDY: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: I have a note here over the name of Austin Wilkins to the Budget Officer that says that there are no demands from general fund in regard to this L. D. I have in my hand also the amendment which I placed on the Supplemental Budget requesting \$1,000 for each year which was indefinitely postponed or given up at our first committee of conference over the supplemental budget. I also have in my hand a Senate amendment presented by Senator Stanley on February 28, '61, which turned to general fund the revenues derived from the sale of the Christmas tree license to cutters. If we reconsider our action and send this back to the Senate, we will be leaving in general fund

upwards to \$3,000 a biennium which now we have thrown away. I thank you.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter.

Mr. BAXTER: While we generally oppose these reconsideration items, I have checked this matter out. It is not a financial matter any more and it is perfectly proper and I believe highly desirable to reconsider this item and pass it along.

The SPEAKER: Is it now the pleasure of the House to reconsider the action of the House of yesterday whereby it indefinitely postponed this matter?

Upon checking the legislative document, we find that the motion yesterday was to recede and concur, and the Chair understands that the gentleman from Hope, Mr. Hardy, moves that the House reconsider its action of yesterday whereby it receded and concurred. All those in favor of reconsidering the action say aye; those opposed, no.

A viva voce vote being taken, the motion did prevail.

The SPEAKER: Is it now the pleasure of the House to recede and concur?

The motion did not prevail.

Thereupon, the House voted to insist. Sent forthwith to the Senate.

Mr. Tweedie of Mars Hill was granted unanimous consent to address the House.

Mr. TWEEDIE: Mr. Speaker, I have an item which is from an editorial which I thought might be of interest to the House and I would like to read it into the record. It comes from the Houston Chronicle: "Oregon appears to have adopted a better way of handling the local welfare problem. Instead of just paying out cash to eligible recipients who are able to work, Oregon puts them to work. This program, inaugurated last year, is a sort of state and local PWA. Without having to pass any new legislation a rule was adopted that every man on the welfare rolls who was able to work must work.

The able-bodied welfare recipients were put on such labor as maintaining county buildings and grounds, clearing roadsides, and

planting trees in a county forest. The wage was the minimum \$1.00 an hour. A man getting \$80.00 a month welfare assistance began working two 40-hour weeks for the money. Seventy per cent is put up by the state, 30 per cent by the counties. Of the state's 36 counties, 28 are participating.

Results in nine of the 28 counties showed that when the program was inaugurated, 110 of 560 eligible persons quickly found private employment; 71 per cent refused to work and were cut off the welfare rolls; the remainder worked on the county projects, meanwhile remaining on the Employment Service registration list in hope of getting regular jobs.

The plan seems to be working fine. The counties are getting value received, including some maintenance and improvement that otherwise probably would not be done. Some, and probably most of the welfare recipients, prefer to work for what they get. And a minority who prefer not to work at all have been taken off the taxpayers' backs. Perhaps this plan might spread." Thank you.

Passed to Be Enacted

An Act to Amend the Workmen's Compensation Act (S. P. 173) (L. D. 419)

An Act Creating an Administrative Code for State of Maine (S. P. 396) (L. D. 1343)

Were reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed, passed to be enacted, signed by the Speaker and sent forthwith to the Senate.

An Act Redefining the Financial Responsibility of Children and Certain Relatives in Public Assistance (H. P. 1179) (L. D. 1625)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Farmington, Mr. Jones.

Mr. JONES: Mr. Speaker, relative to this item 3 I move for indefinite postponement.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Farmington, Mr. Jones, moves that this matter be indefinitely postponed.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Rockland, Mr. Knight.

Mr. KNIGHT: Mr. Speaker, I will not belabor this, but when the vote is taken I would request a division.

The SPEAKER: A division has been requested. All those in favor of indefinite postponement please rise and remain standing until the monitors have made and returned their count.

A division of the House was had.

One having voted in the affirmative and one hundred two having voted in the negative, the motion did not prevail.

Thereupon, the Bill was passed to be enacted, signed by the Speaker and sent forthwith to the Senate.

On motion of Mr. Baxter of Pittsfield,

Recessed to the sound of the gong.

After Recess
4:45 P.M.

The House was called to order by the Speaker.

The SPEAKER: We will take up at this time the matter contained on Supplement Number 2, House Advance Journal, dated Saturday, June 17, 4:45 p.m.

Passed to Be Enacted
Enactor Requiring
a Two-Thirds Vote

An Act Providing for Construction of an Educational Television Network for the State of Maine and the Issuance of not Exceeding Five Hundred Thousand Dollars of State of Maine Bonds for the Financing Thereof (H. P. 224) (L. D. 435)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Ellsworth, Mr. Anderson.

Mr. ANDERSON: Mr. Speaker, when the vote is taken I request a roll call.

The SPEAKER: The question before the House is on the passage to be enacted and the gentleman from Ellsworth, Mr. Anderson, has asked for a roll call.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert.

Mr. JALBERT: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I would like to ask before I at least vote on this thing, I would like to ask what verbal or written assurance, if any, is there of federal aid concerning this program, one. Two, how far and how much coverage of the state will this program give?

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert, has asked two questions through the Chair of anyone who may choose to answer.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Woolwich, Mr. Schulten.

Mr. SCHULTEN: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: In regard to the first question, we have no assurance that federal funds will be available. We do not know what the Congress of the United States in Washington will do until they have adjourned. We do know that there has been word out of Washington that there will be grants made for educational networks, but we have never at any time proposed this because of federal grants that we knew were coming. We do not know that.

Now as far as the schools in the State of Maine are concerned, which I believe was the second question, there are 458 communities in the state having public schools. All of these communities will be covered by educational television. In addition to that, educational television at no additional cost will be available to 98 percent of the entire population. I believe that answers the questions. I will be glad to go on if you like.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert.

Mr. JALBERT: Mr. Speaker, the first question was answered with the comment that there was no assurance. My question was was there any indication at all? It is my understanding that it is neither in the federal current services budget, and I happen to know that it is not in the supplemental budget of the Federal Congress. Concerning the second phase of it, I didn't ask the scholastic population, I asked in the areas. Specifically, my question will be this then. With this

program of the half-way program, and bearing in mind as the Floor Leader of our party stated, that we would welcome the program in its entirety, that is \$1,000,000, will this program with this present \$500,000 price tag areawise, go beyond now Orono or in Washington County or those areas? That was my second question.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert, has asked a second question through the Chair of anyone who may choose to answer.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lubec, Mr. Pike.

Mr. PIKE: Mr. Speaker, I am sure we realize that it is easier to ask questions than it is to answer them, but I think I may attempt this because we have been through it pretty thoroughly in the case of the colleges' television and I believe I can give some idea of what these answers are.

The \$500,000 will probably only put up one station which will be at Orono. That, however, will connect with let us call the Augusta station, the Bowdoin, Bates, Colby one, and New Hampshire and Massachusetts. If the station is of the strength that I believe it is to be, it will reach in a not too satisfactory way pretty well the southern and the eastern parts of the state which are out of reach of the present New Hampshire one, and of the one to be put in Augusta, that is it will go pretty well east of the Penobscot River and I can tell you that at Lubec we get medium reception of Bangor stations, although it seems to be snowing most of the time.

Now I think a more important thing is the evidence of intent and good faith on the part of the state. Here we have these three commercial V.H.F. channels available. I have it on what I regard as pretty good authority — I can't swear it, but I think the gentleman who told me had pretty good connections with the Federal Communications Commission, that if the state went so far as to appropriate money and to build a station, and the obvious station would be the Orono one because where it is connected with the others, the Federal Communications in all probability — and hav-

ing served on Federal Commissions I know something about the unpredictability — but in reasonable probability, would save those other two channels for the next go-around which would be say two years from now. If, however, the state did not show at least some evidence of intent by doing something at this time, those channels would very shortly be opened up for commercial purposes and once opened up would probably never be available again. Now this is, I think in a sense, the last call for dinner. I hope I've answered the question, I'm not sure.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert.

Mr. JALBERT: I certainly appreciate the knowledge, at least Washington wise, of the gentleman from Lubec, Mr. Pike. I not only appreciate, but I respect it deeply from a personal standpoint. I would like to ask him now and I know that he might know — I really don't know; what is the present status of Channel 7 now as far as the Federal Communications Commission is concerned?

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert, has asked a third question through the Chair of anyone who may choose to answer.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lubec, Mr. Pike.

Mr. PIKE: I think perhaps the gentleman from Woolwich, Mr. Schulten, can answer that better than I. I know that Channel 7 has tentatively been located back at Bangor, but I'm given to understand — I'm not sure how good the authority is, that if this thing goes through and the state shows a real intent, that it will be allocated back to educational channels. I really yield to Mr. Schulten, I'm sorry I got up.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Woolwich, Mr. Schulten.

Mr. SCHULTEN: Mr. Speaker, the gentleman from Lubec, Mr. Pike, answered the question correctly, Channel 7 was reassigned from Calais to Bangor for commercial purposes. There have been so many protests from all over the state at that action that as it was told you

a few moments ago, we have every reason to believe that if the State of Maine at this time shows that they have a sincere interest in this network, then Channel 7 will be reassigned to the Calais area. We also know, since the discussion of educational television last week, that the University of New Brunswick is petitioning the Federal Communications Commission to have a tie-in with the Calais station, so that they too can be a part of the network, but actually Channel 7 is at Bangor at the moment; it's unassigned to any commercial interest, but it's just waiting the adjournment of this Legislature to decide its disposition.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Madison, Mr. Fogg.

Mr. FOGG: Mr. Speaker, before we take the vote on this, there's one thing I would like to stress as the leader of the Minority Party here, is that the members of the Minority Party have not had the advantage of having been able to listen to any of the debate on this question here today. When this House convened this morning at ten o'clock, since that time the House has not been in session at the most a half an hour. The Republican Party has been in caucus for nearly two hours, and I have good reason to believe that this caucusing has most of the time been on this one particular issue. Now we would like to have heard the debate which has taken place on this issue whereby the Republican Party and the Republican members here have come to their decisions, but we feel that we have been shut out. We feel that we have been left out, we have no basis whereby to base our decision to go on anything other than the original bill on this; and so for that reason we are going to stick by it. I feel that this today has been the most disgraceful example of legislation by caucus that I have ever seen since I have been in the legislature.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter.

Mr. BAXTER: I'm sorry that the espionage system of the Minority Party has broken down as badly as it seems to have. They usually are

able to give us a pretty close description of our caucuses, but this time they seem to be sadly wrong because as a matter of fact very little time has been devoted to this subject in the caucuses that we have had. It does seem a little odd to me to hear the plaintive tones of the Minority Floor Leader regarding the matter of caucuses. We have on occasion had the minority party approach the rostrum and ask that we recess so that they might caucus. We thought it was perfectly normal and have never thought otherwise. Anybody that's ever been in any legislative body knows that caucuses are very much a part of the legislative scene and not at all unusual.

Somehow I can't believe that the gentleman from Madison, Mr. Fogg, with his long association with the legislature doesn't know that this is the case. I also might add that since he is speaking about our caucus, that I understand that the Democrats had a caucus, and they caucused on this subject and that they discussed this subject and they voted on this subject, and that they voted on the matter of whether to go for a million dollars or a half a million dollars, and they took their vote and I assume that they will vote according to the way they voted in their caucus.

The shoe fits both feet, sure, and for that reason I think that the record should show that there are caucuses in all parties.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Rust.

Mr. RUST: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: Just to be sure that the record is clear today, I would like to remind the gentleman from Madison, Mr. Fogg, and the members of the Minority Party that they had a full opportunity to hear a very fine discussion on this particular piece of legislation when it came into the House here on the acceptance of the committee report. We had a very lengthy discussion and I noticed the Democratic Party were here at that time, and they know just as much about this bill as we do.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalberty.

Mr. JALBERT: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I am very happy—

The SPEAKER: The Chair would remind the gentleman that he has already spoken twice.

Mr. JALBERT: I have asked questions.

The SPEAKER: A question is construed to having spoken a second time, since you get information from asking the question.

Mr. JALBERT: Would you show me the rule where it states that, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER: The Chair so declares it.

Mr. JALBERT: Mr. Speaker, I will ask unanimous consent to address the House.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalberty, requests unanimous consent to address the House. Is there objection? The Chair hears objection, the gentleman may not proceed.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Madison, Mr. Fogg.

Mr. FOGG: I would like to commend the Speaker on his excellent hearing.

The SPEAKER: The Chair represents that remark.

Mr. BERRY of Cape Elizabeth: I heard that twice over here.

Mr. FOGG: As far as Mr. Baxter has to say about the shoe fitting both feet, I would think the only thing is we feel that the Republicans have both feet. We did hear the committee's discussion on this when the committee report came out, and on that basis we have come to our decision. Now I know that it is commonplace to have caucuses, but as I say it is unusual when the caucusing takes more than double the time of the actual session of the legislature.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalberty.

Mr. JALBERT: I again will ask unanimous consent to address the House.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalberty, requests unanimous consent to briefly address the House. Is there objec-

tion? The Chair hears none, the gentleman may proceed.

Mr. JALBERT: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I want to assure Mr. Rust that I listened very intently to the very very fine remarks of my good friend from Woolwich, Mr. Schulten, very intently. In his remarks also I can remember very vividly his comments as to what would happen should this program be cut in any way. I can also remember asking him and others who are interested in this program, if half the loot would be sufficient, and it was explained to me very thoroughly that it would ruin the program. I also remember very vividly and I know my good friend Mr. Tarry and I have had many conversations concerning ETV, and I voted against it. I can also remember that I made a motion in my own caucus that we go along with a million dollars, a whole package; and I also remember reporting such an action to the gentleman from Woolwich, Mr. Schulten.

So that we are not declaring ourselves against ETV, we are just believing still what we were told constantly, that it was a million or nothing. As far as the espionage business is concerned wherein it concerns the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter, we don't have to resort to espionage system, all we have to do is read the remarks he stated, one, two, three, five, six or eight or two weeks previously, we need no espionage system at all, and I know that my good friend understands that.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Falmouth, Mrs. Smith.

Mrs. SMITH: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I would also like to call to your attention the fact that the Appropriations Committee had a divided report on this, and the divided report was signed by two members at \$500,000. I also would like to remind the House that the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalberty, was present the day that it was discussed and knows the reason why — and very good reasons I would note, the other two members signed the \$500,000.

The SPEAKER: Is the House ready for the question?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bar Harbor, Mr. Smith.

Mr. SMITH: I would like to make one observation briefly. It certainly would be tragic to let petty party considerations enter into so fundamental an issue as we have before us. Some pieces of legislation are so fundamental and so basic in what they can do, that we can and I believe certainly should subordinate any reservations we have as to party or as to detail with respect to the legislation. Educational TV is certainly one of those.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Augusta, Mr. Beane.

Mr. BEANE: Mr. Speaker, now I would like to ask through the Chair of anyone who could answer the question. Is it true that regardless of how we vote on the enactment of this bill, the so-called BBC, the program of Bates and Bowdoin and Colby Colleges will go on the air with or without our support on this bill?

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Augusta, Mr. Beane, has asked a question through the Chair of anyone who may choose to answer.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lubec, Mr. Pike.

Mr. PIKE: Mr. Speaker, I think I can answer that. The answer is unqualifiedly yes. The Bates-Bowdoin-Colby thing was pretty well decided before the legislature met. It was voted by the Bates Board before that and by Colby and Bowdoin in February. The money, I can speak for Bowdoin, was appropriated last week — no, week before last, and that will go ahead and will probably be in operation — I was going to say by the time we adjourn, that would be facetious, but it should be in operation by October or November. There is nothing hanging, there is no if, no and, and no but; that station is going on and it will tie in with New Hampshire, it will tie in with Massachusetts, and will tie in with whatever this great State of Maine desires to do to the east and north of us.

The SPEAKER: Is the House ready for the question? The ques-

tion before the House has to do with L. D. 435, An Act Providing for Construction of an Educational Television Network for the State of Maine and the Issuance of not Exceeding Five Hundred Thousand Dollars of State of Maine Bonds for the Financing Thereof.

This being a bond issue, under Section 14 of Article IX of the Constitution, requires the approval of two-thirds of the House. A roll call has been requested. For the Chair to order a roll call it must have the expression of a desire for a roll call by at least one-fifth the members present. Will those who desire a roll call please rise and remain standing until the monitors have made and returned their count.

A sufficient number arose.

The SPEAKER: Obviously more than one-fifth having arisen, a roll call is ordered.

The Chair will restate the question. If you are in favor of the enactment of this bill, you will answer "yes" when your name is called. If you are opposed to the enactment of this bill, you will answer "no" when your name is called. The Clerk will call the roll.

ROLL CALL

YEA — Anderson, Ellsworth; Anderson, Greenville; Baker, Baxter, Beane, Augusta; Beane, Moscow; Bearce, Berry, Cape Elizabeth; Boothby, Bradeen, Bragdon, Brewer, Brown, Fairfield; Brown, South Portland; Brown, Vassalboro; Buckley, Carter, Chapman, Gardiner; Chapman, Norway; Choate, Cooper, Coulthard, Crockett, Curtis, Davis, Dennison, Dodge, Drake, Dunn, Durgin, Edgerly, Finley, Gardner, Gill, Hague, Ham, Hancock, Hanson, Bradford; Hanson, Lebanon; Hardy, Harrington, Hartshorn, Hichborn, Hinds, Hughes, Humphrey, Hutchins, Jameson, Jones, Kennedy, Kimball, Knapp, Knight, Lane, Lincoln, Linnekin, Littlefield, MacGregor, Matheson, Merrill, Minsky, Morrill, Morse, Philbrick, Augusta; Philbrick, Bangor; Pike, Poirier, Prince, Roberts, Rust, Schulten, Shepard, Smith, Bar Harbor; Smith, Falmouth; Smith, Strong; Sproul, Stevens, Storm, Swett, Thaanum, Thornton, Turner, Tweedie, Tyndale, Wade, Walker, Waltz, Water-

man, Wellman, Westerfield, Wheaton, Whitman, Whitney, Winchenpaw, Wood, Young, Speaker.

NAY — Bedard, Bernard, Boissonneau, Briggs, Burns, Bussiere, Dostie, Winslow; Fogg, Gallant, Hendricks, Jalbert, Jobin, Johnson, Stockholm; Karkos, Kellam, Lantagne, Lowery, Maxwell, Nadeau, Biddeford; Nadeau, Lewiston; Prue, Sevigny, Sirois, Tardiff, Walls.

ABSENT — Albair, Berman, Auburn; Berman, Houlton; Berry, Portland; Binnette, Cyr, Danes, Dennett, Dostie, Lewiston; Edwards, Estey, Haughn, Hopkinson, Johnson, Smithfield; Kilroy, Lacharite, Letourneau, Levesque, Maddox, Malenfant, Mathews, Moore, Noel, Perry, Plante, Stewart, Vaughn, Williams.

Yes 98; No 25; Absent 28.

The **SPEAKER**: Ninety-eight having voted in the affirmative, twenty-five in the negative, with twenty-eight absent, ninety-eight being more than two-thirds of the members present, the Bill is passed to be enacted.

Thereupon, the Bill was signed by the Speaker and sent forthwith to the Senate.

House at Ease

The **SPEAKER**: Reference now is made to Supplement Number 1, a Non-concurrent Matter appearing at the bottom of the page which was tabled earlier in the day, An Act relating to Sales of Discontinued Items of Liquor in State Stores, Senate Paper 436, Legislative Document 1308, which was passed to be enacted in the House on June 9 and passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment "A" on June 8. Now comes from the Senate passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment "A" and Senate Amendment "A" in non-concurrence.

The Chair understands that the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter, now requests permission to remove this item from the table. Is this the pleasure of the House?

The motion prevailed.

The **SPEAKER**: The Chair recognizes the same gentleman.

Mr. **BAXTER**: Mr. Speaker, I have checked into this item and find that there is no money involved, and therefore I move that the House recede and concur.

Thereupon, the House receded and concurred with the Senate and the Bill was sent forthwith to the Senate.

House at Ease

Called to order by the Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Kennebunkport, Mr. Tyndale.

Mr. **TYNDALE**: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: One of the most pleasant experiences that I had when I became a member of this House was to come in contact with a person whose obliging personality and willingness to cooperate was something beyond my imagination. I know all of you have been once or twice during your experience in the legislature, under deep obligation to this person in kindness for the many personal favors far and beyond the call of duty that was performed by this person for you.

I would like at this time to have the Sergeant-at-Arms escort Miss Hary to the rostrum.

The **SPEAKER**: The Chair will request that the gentleman from Kennebunkport, Mr. Tyndale, and also the Assistant Sergeant-at-Arms, escort Miss Edith Hary to the rostrum.

Thereupon, Miss Edith Hary was escorted to the rostrum by the gentleman from Kennebunkport, Mr. Tyndale and the Assistant Sergeant-at-Arms, amid the applause of the House.

Mr. **TYNDALE**: Miss Hary, I know that everybody would like to join me up here in presenting this corsage to you and it is certainly done in deep gratitude to you for your personal service to this House and to its members. I would request at this time that the Speaker pin the corsage on Miss Hary.

The **SPEAKER**: Someone said it was in the bag, but I'm not too used to this. I hope it doesn't draw blood. This is one of the more pleasant functions of being Speaker.

Thereupon Speaker Good proceeded to pin the corsage on Miss Edith Hary.

Miss HARY: Of course you know that I enjoy working with you and it's very nice to know that you are my friends and I thank you very much indeed.

Whereupon, the Assistant Sergeant-at-Arms escorted Miss Hary from the hall of the House amid applause.

On motion of Mr. Whitman of Woodstock,

Recessed until the sound of the gong.

After Recess
6:10 P. M.

The House was called to order by the Speaker.

The following papers from the Senate, appearing on Supplement Number 3, were taken up out of order and under suspension of the rules.

From the Senate: The following Communication:

THE SENATE OF MAINE
AUGUSTA

June 16, 1961

Hon. Harvey R. Pease
Clerk of the
House of Representatives
100th Legislature
Sir:

Pursuant to the Enactment of Bill, "An Act Creating a Committee to Plan for an Institution Serving as a Reception and Treatment Center." (S. P. 322) (L. D. 997), the President of the Senate today appointed as members of such Committee:

Senators:

STILPHEN of Knox
GILBERT of Kennebec
BROOKS of Cumberland

Respectfully,
(Signed)

CHESTER T. WINSLOW
Secretary of the Senate

In the House, the Communication was read and ordered placed on file.

Final Reports

Final Reports of the following Joint Standing Committees:

Agriculture
Business Legislation
Education
Industrial and Recreational Development
Judiciary
Labor
Liquor Control
Public Utilities
Retirements and Pensions
Sea and Shore Fisheries
State Government
Taxation
Towns and Counties
Veterans and Military Affairs
Welfare

Came from the Senate read and accepted.

In the House the Reports were read and accepted in concurrence.

Non-Concurrent Matter

An Act relating to Amount of State Retirement Benefits for Teachers (S. P. 205) (L. D. 538) which was passed to be enacted in the House on June 16 and passed to be engrossed as amended by Senate Amendment "C" on June 16.

Came from the Senate passed to be engrossed as amended by Senate Amendment "C" as amended by Senate Amendment "A" thereto in non-concurrence.

In the House: The House voted to recede and concur with the Senate.

Indefinitely Postponed

From the Senate: The following Order:

ORDERED, the House concurring, that the Department of Economic Development is hereby directed to promote articles in Maine Newspapers publicizing Maine attractions and vacation facilities (S. P. 597)

Came from the Senate read and passed.

In the House, the Order was read.
The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Falmouth, Mrs. Smith.

Mrs. SMITH: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I don't know the reason for this Order. In fact, I see no reason for it. The Department of Economic Development has been promoting Maine through newspapers and articles for a good many years and I would

move the indefinite postponement of the Order. I see no sense to keep putting directives to that department.

THE SPEAKER: The question before the House is the motion of the gentlewoman from Falmouth, Mrs. Smith, that the Order be indefinitely postponed.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bangor, Mr. Minsky.

MR. MINSKY: Mr. Speaker, only from information that I have heard, the reason for this order is that the current issue of the Lewiston Evening paper has a two-page spread on the vacation possibilities of the St. John River. There are six photographs contained in this spread I understand. They are all taken in Canada, although the River is also a part of the Maine border for I believe some two hundred miles, this is not the section of the St. John that is featured. The section that is featured is that which lies solely in Canada and except for one reference to the word "Maine" in the third or fourth paragraph, the two sheets are written entirely about the wonderful vacation opportunities of the St. John River as it lies in Canada. For this reason the order was drafted in the hopes that such things can be if not prevented, at least we would encourage the Maine newspapers when they are going to have one and two page spreads at least to emphasize the wonderful vacation opportunities in this state. We derive much of our income — much of our tax money as a matter of fact comes from the tourists who come to our state and it seems somewhat odd to have our newspapers suggesting people go elsewhere for their vacations.

THE SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Falmouth, Mrs. Smith.

MRS. SMITH: Mr. Speaker, I suppose I should apologize to the House since I didn't really know the reason for the order, but I would suggest that we do have reciprocity in this probably as we do with our University of Maine or anything else. We have had some rather favorable publicity in this state in other states and other areas ourselves, and I rather wonder if this is the reason for the order, if

to print anything for anyone else, to say anything for anyone else, do we want the same kind of retaliation. We're asking for it.

THE SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Hampden, Mr. Littlefield.

MR. LITTLEFIELD: Mr. Speaker, I just want to say that I met two friends from Massachusetts this week and they had written to the Department of Economic Development for information and each of them had received pamphlets from the Maine Publicity Bureau. I think the Maine Publicity Bureau could handle this, and I am in favor of the motion to indefinitely postponed.

THE SPEAKER: The question before the House is the motion of the gentlewoman from Falmouth, Mrs. Smith, that the order be indefinitely postponed. All those in favor of indefinite postponement please say aye; those opposed, no.

A viva voce vote being doubted by the Chair, a division of the House was ordered.

THE SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cape Elizabeth, Mr. Berry.

MR. BERRY: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I rise to give wholehearted support to the motion of the gentlewoman from Falmouth. I think when the Maine Legislature tries to start telling newspapers what they are going to put in for news items, that they are stepping into one sphere at least that we are not capable of acting upon and shouldn't, and I certainly hope this motion prevails.

THE SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Chelsea, Mrs. Shaw.

MRS. SHAW: Mr. Speaker, I also rise in favor of the motion of the gentlewoman from Falmouth, Mrs. Smith. The newspapers have the prerogative to print what they wish and I do know that the Development Commission is sending out many news items of our state which are very good publicity and are not being used in the newspapers today.

THE SPEAKER: Is the House ready for the question? The question is the motion of the gentlewoman from Falmouth, Mrs. Smith,

that the Order be indefinitely postponed.

All those in favor of the indefinite postponement of this order please rise and remain standing until the monitors have made and returned their count.

A division of the House was had.

Eighty-three having voted in the affirmative and twelve having voted in the negative, the motion did prevail, the order was indefinitely postponed in non-concurrence and sent forthwith to the Senate.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter.

Mr. BAXTER: Mr. Speaker, I move the House recess until 7:15 for supper.

(Cries of "No")

The SPEAKER: The House will be in order. There seems to be some question about this, so let's discuss it a moment. The question before the House is the motion of the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter, that the House recess until 7:15.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter.

Mr. BAXTER: Mr. Speaker, the Senate adjourned at 6:15 until 7:00 o'clock, recessed, excuse me, and we have a paper from them which the supplement is being cut on now, an important one. We can get back, and it is about the last piece of business very nearly. If we can get back and get it over to them why we will have a chance of getting out that much earlier.

The SPEAKER: Does the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter, infer that adjournment is imminent?

Mr. BAXTER: I have ceased to predict.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bath, Mr. Brewer.

Mr. BREWER: If we are going to be here any length of time beyond 7:15, I would suggest that we at least have an hour and I so move, 7:30.

The SPEAKER: The question before the House is the motion of the gentleman from Bath, Mr. Brewer, that we recess until 7:30.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Rust.

Mr. RUST: Mr. Speaker, it would appear to me that by the time we go out and we get this other paper back from the Senate and they get the one we'll send them, that there won't be much doing, and I move that we recess until 8:00 p.m. this evening.

The SPEAKER: The question before the House is the motion of the gentleman from York, Mr. Rust, that the House recess until 8:00 p.m.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter.

Mr. BAXTER: I request a division.

The SPEAKER: A division has been requested.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Rust.

Mr. RUST: Mr. Speaker, the gentleman from York reluctantly withdraws his motion.

The SPEAKER: The motion before the House is the motion of the gentleman from Bath, Mr. Brewer, that the House recess until 7:30. Is this the pleasure of the House?

The motion prevailed.

After Recess 8:00 P. M.

The House was called to order by the Speaker.

Mr. Fogg of Madison was granted unanimous consent to briefly address the House.

Mr. FOGG: I would like to thank my Republican counterpart, Mr. Baxter, for the little cartoon he left on my desk here, I get a great kick out of it. I'll put it in my office here somewhere where anybody can see it. Thank you, Jack.

I would like to tell Jack how I appreciate this and the expression he gave the other day toward me. Unfortunately I wasn't here, and I think he has given us good opposition here, and I shall always look back to the days that I have been in the Legislature on the opposite side of the fence from him and even though we have had a few "rhubarbs," I don't think that we shall ever carry them very far into civilian life outside of this House. I do want to thank Jack Baxter. (Applause, the members rising)

Order Out of Order

Mr. Wellman of Bangor presented the following Order out of order and moved its passage:

BE IT ORDERED, that the Clerk of the House be directed to send a telegram to Representative and Mrs. Jerome G. Plante, the telegram to read as follows:

"Congratulations and best wishes for a long and happy life together."

The Order received unanimous passage. (Applause)

The following paper from the Senate, appearing on Supplement Number Four, was taken up out of order and under suspension of the rules:

Non-Concurrent Matter

An Act Providing for Construction of an Educational Television Network for the State of Maine and the Issuance of not Exceeding Five Hundred Thousand Dollars of State of Maine Bonds for the Financing Thereof (H. P. 224) (L. D. 435) which was passed to be enacted in the House earlier in the day and passed to be engrossed as amended by Conference Committee Amendment "A" as amended by Conference Committee Amendment "A" thereto earlier in the day.

Came from the Senate passed to be engrossed as amended by Conference Committee Amendment "A" as amended by Conference Committee Amendment "A" thereto and Senate Amendment "A" thereto in non-concurrence.

In the House:

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter.

Mr. BAXTER: Mr. Speaker, I move that the House insist and ask for a committee of conference.

The SPEAKER: The question now before the House is the motion of the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter, that the House insist and ask for a committee of conference.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lubec, Mr. Pike.

Mr. PIKE: I thought we would see this same old wolf back in a slightly different set of lamb's clothing. Oh, sometime ago, there was a fellow in Rome that said something like this: "quondam o cata-

lina nostra patientia abutare," how long for heavens sake are you going to keep on abusing our patience?

This is the old package in somewhat skinnier clothing, trying to get educational television to carry along a lot of things that most of us either don't have any use for or are not very hot for. I agree most thoroughly with our floor leader, the gentleman from Pittsfield, and I wish I could use stronger language.

(Off Record Remarks)

The SPEAKER: The question before the House is the motion of gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter, that the House insist and ask for a committee of conference. Is this the pleasure of the House?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert.

Mr. JALBERT: I was out of the House and if we are speaking on L. D. 435, I would now like to submit House Amendment "A" to L. D. 435. It has not been reproduced, if you want to reproduce it, I will table it or else have the Clerk read it.

The SPEAKER: This being an amendment in the third degree, it is out of order under the rules. That being an amendment to an amendment to an amendment is not admissible.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert.

Mr. JALBERT: Mr. Speaker, I guess I've forgotten all about the rules. The amendment calls for what we were talking about concerning the ETV program stepping the program back up to a million dollars. Now I know nothing about third degree amendments, but if somebody can reduce it to a second degree amendment, I would like to find a way that it can be done. Now if the advisor can step it down from the third to the second degree, I'm sure that he can find the rules proper to do it. I move, Mr. Speaker, that my amendment be read.

The SPEAKER: The Chair has ruled the amendment out of order, under the rules.

The question before the House is the motion of the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter, that the

House insist and ask for a committee of conference. Is this the pleasure of the House?

The motion prevailed.

The Speaker appointed the following Conferees on the part of the House:

Messrs. WELLMAN of Bangor
BERRY of Cape Elizabeth
KENNEDY of Milbridge

Without objection, the bill was sent forthwith to the Senate.

On motion of Mr. Baxter of Pittsfield,

Recessed until the sound of the gong.

After Recess

8:45 P. M.

The House was called to order by the Speaker.

Mr. Smith of Bar Harbor presented the following Order out of order and moved its passage:

WHEREAS, Representative Walter N. MacGregor on June 9, 1961 while returning home from his legislative duties by his quick thinking, prompt action and presence of mind did save the life of an automobile accident victim; and

WHEREAS, this House is proud to number among its members so alert and able a person as Representative MacGregor in successfully administering first aid;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDERED, that this House extend its commendation to and high regard for Representative MacGregor and that the Clerk of the House present to him an engrossed copy of this Order.

The SPEAKER: The Chair declares this Order unanimously passed. (Applause)

On motion of Mr. Baxter of Pittsfield, that portion of House Rule 26 which forbids transaction of business in the House after the hour of 9:00 o'clock P. M. was suspended.

The SPEAKER: The Chair will call to your attention Supplement Number 5 of the House Advance Journal, 8:45 P.M., Conference Report.

Conference Committee Report

Report of the Committees of Conference on the disagreeing action of the two branches of the Legislature on An Act Providing for Construction of an Educational Television Network for the State of Maine and the Issuance of not Exceeding Five Hundred Thousand Dollars of State of Maine Bonds for the Financing Thereof (H. P. 224) (L. D. 435) reporting that they are unable to agree.

(Signed)

WELLMAN of Bangor
BERRY of Cape Elizabeth
KENNEDY of Milbridge

— Committee on part of House

COLE of Waldo
MAYO of Sagadahoc
BROWN of Hancock

— Committee on part of Senate

Report was read.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter.

Mr. BAXTER: Mr. Speaker, I move that the House reject the Committee Report.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter, moves that the House reject the Conference Report. Is this the pleasure of the House?

The motion prevailed.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lubec, Mr. Pike.

Mr. PIKE: Mr. Speaker, I may be out of order but I should like to ask a parliamentary question. I think that the subject is before us but if I am premature I will sit down. I have a very strong feeling that —

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Lubec, Mr. Pike, has asked unanimous consent to briefly address the House since the matter is no longer before us. Is there objection? The Chair hears none. The gentleman may proceed. I bring the matter back before us to be reconsidered.

Mr. PIKE: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for reading my mind better than I could myself.

A real question comes up here as to whether somebody—I won't say who — has tortured a straightforward bill by adding matter completely not germane to the subject

of the bill. I have a feeling that that has happened, that we've got riders in here that are too heavy for the horse, and that things have been brought in here for what purpose I do not know; but I don't believe they're germane either to the title of the bill or the substance of the bill and I would ask, as a parliamentary question, as to whether that fits with our procedure — I just don't know the answer to it.

The SPEAKER: The Chair will inform the gentleman from Lubec, Mr. Pike, that in the matter of conference reports, we either accept or reject the report, and that no further action can be taken excepting a motion to insist or recede and concur or adhere. And the Chair will read the rule on page 102 of the Joint Rules, Rule number 13:

"Committees of conference shall consist of three members on the part of each house, representing its vote, and their report, if agreed to by a majority of each committee, shall be made to the branch asking the conference, and may be either accepted or rejected; but no other action shall be had, except through another committee of conference."

Now the position of this bill at this time is that it will go back to the Senate and they may wish to reject the conference report and insist and ask for a committee of conference. So this bill is not dead. They may reject the conference report and recede and concur with the House.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert, who asks for unanimous consent to briefly address the House.

Mr. JALBERT: Mr. Speaker, I don't ask for unanimous consent to address the House, I ask a question of the Chair. Is a motion in order to reconsider our action whereby we rejected the conference committee report?

The SPEAKER: That motion is in order.

Mr. JALBERT: Mr. Speaker, before I make that motion I might state that I wholeheartedly concur with the gentleman from Lubec, Mr. Pike. I see that through my motion, could conceivably be a way to iron out the difficulty here

that exists, so that we may come to a happy end concerning this situation. I think we have nothing to lose. I think we know what the action will be in the other branch. I think we might as well not beat them to it, but go ahead with a blow to save some time, not with any thought in mind at all of usurping any leadership concerning either party. I would now move that we reconsider our action to make another motion. I would now move that we reconsider our action whereby we rejected the conference committee report.

The SPEAKER: The Chair would ask of the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert, what other motion he is contemplating. Was the gentleman thinking of a motion to insist and ask for a committee of conference?

Mr. JALBERT: Yes.

The SPEAKER: That motion may be made without reconsideration. So the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert, now moves that the House insist and ask for a Committee of Conference.

Will the gentleman from Woolwich, Mr. Schulten approach the Chair please, and the House may be at ease. And the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert, approach the Chair please; and the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter.

(Conference at rostrum)

Thereupon, the House voted to insist and ask for a committee of conference.

The Speaker appointed the following Conferees on the part of the House:

Messrs. PIKE of Lubec
BREWSTER of Bath
DRAKE of Bath

The Bill was then sent forthwith to the Senate.

Mr. Schulten of Woolwich was granted consent to approach the rostrum.

House at Ease

Called to order by the Speaker.

Non-Concurrent Matter

Resolve Appropriating Money for the Establishment of a School of Practical Nursing in Portland or

Vicinity (S. P. 530) (L. D. 1564) on which the House receded and concurred in indefinite postponement on June 16.

Came from the Senate passed to ate Amendment "B" in non-concurrence.

In the House:

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter.

Mr. BAXTER: Mr. Speaker, this is a \$76,000 item which was definitely not in the original plan; as you know, the merger with Portland University was carried out. And for that reason I move that the House adhere.

Mr. Hinds of South Portland asked for a division.

The SPEAKER: A division has been requested on the motion to adhere. The question before the House is the motion of the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter, that the House adhere.

All those in favor, please rise and remain standing until the monitors have made and returned their count.

Eighty-one having voted in the affirmative and thirty-two having voted in the negative, the motion to adhere did prevail.

Thereupon the Resolve was sent forthwith to the Senate.

Emergency Measure Fails of Enactment

An Act relating to Sales of Discontinued Items of Liquor in State Stores (S. P. 436) (L. D. 1308)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Perham, Mr. Bragdon.

Mr. BRAGDON: Mr. Speaker, I feel this bill is absolutely unnecessary and there is a cost item attached to it. If you agree with me, I hope that you will vote against this.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter.

Mr. BAXTER: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I am afraid I do not agree with the gentleman from Perham, Mr. Bragdon. I believe the cost item was taken off

this L. D., which I said earlier and on the record today. That being the case I see no particular reason why we should object to the bill, having been carried to enactment once before.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Harrison, Mr. Morrill.

Mr. MORRILL: Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen: I think this is a very important bill to the Liquor Commission. It seems as though we have had to fight this bill all along, because they figured that that figure on the bill was a cost item. This is merchandise that is setting in the warehouse of the Liquor Commission that cannot be turned into cash unless we will take a discount on it; and in any business light or in any way you look at it, that's the only way we can get that capital to work with and it's not a cost, it's taking what we can get for the item. I think this item should pass.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bangor, Mr. Wellman.

Mr. WELLMAN: Mr. Speaker, I would direct a question through the Chair if anybody would care to answer. The question is this. What constitutes a discontinued item and how is it determined, by the Liquor Commission I presume?

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Bangor, Mr. Wellman, has asked a question through the Chair of anybody who may choose to answer. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Albion, Mr. Cooper.

Mr. COOPER: Mr. Speaker, I was talking with the Mr. Ellis the other day, one of the Liquor Commissioners; and he tells me that occasionally they get hold of some brand of spirits that lays around the warehouse a long time. They put them in the stores and it just doesn't move for some reason or other. It isn't an attractive package or it's something they've made an error in purchasing, they thought perhaps it might sell; and it lays around there and they would like to have the privilege of disposing of it. And as Mr. Morrill has stated, in order to clear yourselves of some dead items, about the only way you can do it is to mark them down. That's the information

he gave me in regard to these dead items.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bangor, Mr. Wellman.

Mr. WELLMAN: Mr. Speaker, I would ask another question through the Chair. As I understand, from what the gentleman from Albion, Mr. Cooper, said, this is at the discretion of the Liquor Commission at whatever time they decide that this action should be taken?

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Albion, Mr. Cooper.

Mr. COOPER: Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: In reply to Mr. Wellman, I would simply say this. That after those packages have laid around and gathered dust, they would handle it the same as the manager of any merchandise would do. I don't think that it would be wise for us to expect the State — that the thing would have to stay there for a couple of years, but I think that the men down there are doing a good decent kind of job with a disagreeable type of merchandise. But I think they handle it very well and I don't think that they would do anything to do any financial injury to the State.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Auburn, Mr. Turner.

Mr. TURNER: Mr. Speaker, the other day there was a half a dozen of us came back for dinner, we thought we would go in and look over the establishment we had down there. And I was talking with one of the head ones out in the shop and I asked him where all the discontinued brands were and he pointed to one brand that was a slow seller, but he said that most of it was around in the different stores; and the way he talked, I didn't think it was an awful serious proposition.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Norway, Mr. Chapman.

Mr. CHAPMAN: Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen: There is a small supply of these items in the stores, but for some reason our community does not take kindly to these particular brands. There are, or were, a week ago in the

warehouse approximately ninety cases that they would like to convert into working capital; and it would seem to me that if we could get it on the shelves in the retail stores during the coming season, some of the tourists might see some item that they were familiar with and would buy them, to the advantage of cleaning up and converting into working capital.

The SPEAKER: Is there another question?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lubec, Mr. Pike.

Mr. PIKE: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: It is always exhilarating to listen to the voice of experience and that is one of the most beautiful excuses that I have heard for a long while for dropping in on the "green front" stores. Now I am sure it will be a valuable lesson if we happen to be caught in them in the next few weeks and my friend from Auburn, he would like to take a dig at me. I hope I catch him there and with some of those discontinued items either in his pocket or under his belt.

Mr. Speaker, really this is peanuts and we ought to get it out of the way.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter.

Mr. BAXTER: Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to check and there is a memo removing the price tag from the Liquor Commission, removing the price tag on this bill which was actually only a thousand dollars per year, and with regard to a discontinued item to say of course that no item shall be discontinued by the Commission for a period of at least six months after such item has been listed for non-sale in the state stores.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Auburn, Mr. Turner.

Mr. TURNER: Mr. Speaker, I hate to see anybody go in and steal this stuff, if somebody is going to steal it I would like to be in on it. But I wondered if we couldn't get some of it up there and have an auction and get rid of some of it.

The SPEAKER: The question before the House is on enactment,

since no one has made a motion for indefinite postponement.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Freeport, Mr. Crockett.

Mr. CROCKETT: Mr. Speaker, I imagine this act calls for one thing and that is allowing this Commission to take a loss on merchandise that is not selling the way it should. Now the only thing as I can see the Liquor Commission wants, their markup, if this is going to be sold below the markup, there is going to be a loss there. Therefore, they want to be secured to have that right to take a certain loss on merchandise that is not moving. And I don't see any good reason why it isn't good business. The markup is a certain percent, from sixty-one to sixty-five percent markup.

Now if they have got to go along and sell that merchandise for less money, they are going to show a loss on that merchandise or a loss—I don't say a loss exactly, but they are not going to show the same amount of profit they would on the regular markup. Therefore, I imagine they want to be given the legal right to sell merchandise below the ordinary markup. Therefore, I don't see why they are not entitled to that right.

The SPEAKER: The question before the House is on enactment. Is it now the pleasure of the House that bill "An Act relating to Sales of Discontinued Items of Liquor in State Stores," Senate Paper 436, Legislative Document 1309, be passed to be enacted?

This being an emergency measure, it requires the approval of two-thirds of all the members elected to the House. Will all those in favor of passage for enactment of this bill, please rise and remain standing until the monitors have made and returned their count.

A division of the House was had.

The SPEAKER: Ninety-six having voted in the affirmative and eleven in the negative, and ninety-six being less than two-thirds of all the members elected to the House, the bill fails of passage for enactment.

Thereupon, the Bill was sent forthwith to the Senate.

Passed to Be Enacted

An Act relating to Amount of State Retirement Benefits for Teachers (S. P. 205) (L. D. 538)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed, passed to be enacted, signed by the Speaker and sent forthwith to the Senate.

The SPEAKER: The Chair will announce the appointees to the Committee on Legislative Research:

Messrs. BRAGDON of Perham
BAXTER of Pittsfield
WHITMAN of Woodstock
WELLMAN of Bangor
KENNEDY of Milbridge
BERRY of Cape Elizabeth
MAXWELL of Jay

(Off Record Remarks)

On motion of Mr. Baxter of Pittsfield,

Recessed until the sound of the gong.

After Recess

The following paper from the Senate, appearing on Supplement Number 6, was taken out of order and under suspension of the rules:

Non-Concurrent Matter

An Act relating to Sales of Discontinued Items of Liquor in State Stores (S. P. 436) (L. D. 1308) which failed of enactment in the House earlier in the day, and which was passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment "A" and Senate Amendment "A" earlier in the day.

Came from the Senate with House Amendment "A" indefinitely postponed and the Bill passed to be engrossed as amended by Senate Amendment "A" in non-concurrence.

In the House:

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Moscow, Mr. Beane.

Mr. BEANE: Mr. Speaker, I move that we recede and concur.

The SPEAKER: The question now before the House is the motion of the gentleman from Moscow, Mr. Beane, that the House recede and concur. Is this the pleasure of the House?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Fairfield, Mr. Brown.

Mr. BROWN: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: The hour is so late that I was a little bit asleep when this went through before — when it failed to go, through and I did not speak against the bill. Since that time I have given it a little thought and I am wondering if we might not find ourselves in the situation where certain items could be held for six months and then resold. I had in mind particularly some of the scandals which you all well remember of a few years ago and I just feel as though this perhaps might be conducive to some such sort of thing as this. Therefore, I am opposed to the motion.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Freeport, Mr. Crockett.

Mr. CROCKETT: Mr. Speaker and Members of this House: I am in favor of this bill for one particular reason, because in business in which I have been for a good many years I know you must show a profit and loss; and whenever we have a loss I believe that it should be in red ink to show what we're doing. I say this, that the Commissioner has the same right to show to you and I when they make a statement where the loss has taken place. If they sell seventy-five to a hundred thousand dollars of merchandise, liquor you can call it or whatever it is of course, there is bound to show a deficit in good principle business calls for it. Therefore, I say I hope you will go along with this bill.

The SPEAKER: The question before the House is the motion of the gentleman from Moscow, Mr. Beane, that the House recede and concur. Is this the pleasure of the House?

The motion prevailed and the Bill was sent forthwith to the Senate.

On motion of Mr. Whitman of Woodstock.

Recessed until the sound of the gong.

After Recess

10:45 A. M.

The House was called to order by the Speaker.

The SPEAKER: Is there objection to taking up at this time Supplement Number 7, House Advance Journal, Saturday, June 17, 1961, 10:45 P. M.? The Chair hears none, the Assistant Clerk will read the Conference Report.

Conference Committee Report

Report of the Committees of Conference on the disagreeing action of the two branches of the Legislature on An Act Providing for Construction of an Educational Television Network for the State of Maine and the Issuance of not Exceeding Five Hundred Thousand Dollars of State of Maine Bonds for the Financing Thereof (H. P. 224) (L. D. 435) reporting that they are unable to agree.

(Signed)

PIKE of Lubec
BREWER of Bath
DRAKE of Bath

— Committee on part of House

STILPHEN of Knox
CHASE of Lincoln
FARRIS of Kennebec

— Committee in part of Senate

In the House: Report was read.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter.

Mr. BAXTER: Earlier today the Republican members of the House on a roll call vote voted down the line to bond a half a million dollars for the construction of certain ETV facilities. I have no question in my mind but what the Republican members now feel the same as they did when they took that vote. However, since that time and as a matter of fact before that time, the value of this bill was admitted by a great many people who not having gained their ends at this session tried to add these ends to this bill in order that they might secure what they wanted, using the House's desire for ETV as bait. We have felt that we could not go along with these other items which, for one reason or another, have been turned down in the past, and should not be brought back at this time and particularly in the manner in which they have been brought back. Again, I say that I am sure the Republican members of the House are still foursquare

in their willingness to go ahead with an ETV program. It appears that others in the Legislature do not agree or so far have not agreed at least, and so at this time I will again move that the House reject the Conference Committee Report that has been submitted to us.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Madison, Mr. Fogg.

Mr. FOGG: I would also like to point out that the Democratic members of this House were opposed to the cut in this program, and that we would have gone along with the full amount as it was originally put into the bill. I also would like to point that we did not have anything to do with saddling this bill with some of these other things. However, I do feel that there are some of them that if they had been brought forth earlier in the Legislature would have been worthy causes.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lewiston, Mr. Jalbert.

Mr. JALBERT: I would agree with the gentleman from Madison, Mr. Fogg by also pointing out that if one would look back at the remarks of the gentleman from Woolwich, Mr. Schulten, very fine remarks, that I for one had signed the report "ought not to pass" on ETV, and after listening to his remarks and talking with him on several occasions, I, as I stated this afternoon, went to a caucus and encouraged the members of the party with certainly the cooperation of the gentleman from Madison, Mr. Fogg, our leader, to go along with the full program, and one would only have to check back the remarks of the gentleman from Woolwich, Mr. Schulten, to convince himself wherein it concerns the full amount. Quote, the part of it, "... the television engineers who were hired was a Washington firm of Jansky & Bailey who have an international reputation for surveys of this type. I would like to say that the survey was complete, we know right now and these figures reflect that million dollars reflect every last bolt and nut that's needed in this system, every television tube, the cost of the land, the whole

package is right in this million and thirty-nine thousand dollars, so that this is it, there's no guesswork to what it's going to cost, we know that."

Again remarks from the same gentleman, "I would like only to point out to you that while many people feel that a half a loaf is better than none and it certainly has worked in many many cases, I would just like to say to you that this is not an ordinary case, because our greatest need of up-grading education—we have the need in the entire state, but the greatest need is not in Portland, is not in Augusta, is not in Bangor; but it is in the outer fringes of the state."

Also quoting, "I have tried to point out to you the various costs, but this is something you have got to decide. Frankly in my personal and very humble opinion, we cannot accept a half of loaf at this time because our need is most urgent in Calais and Presque Isle and other areas."

Now certainly no one can question the wholehearted sincerity and integrity of the gentleman from Woolwich, Mr. Schulten, as a member of the Appropriations Committee and I can speak for my other colleague, who's honeymooning now, the gentleman from Old Orchard, Mr. Plante, that we, through his oratory and his convincing arguments, we shifted from nothing to a million dollars. To further point out our point, it might also be stated that in all the committees of conference, that no Democrat was asked to serve, so we had no other recourse to explain our plight but as the gentleman from Madison did a few moments ago and as I am doing right now.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Kennebunkport, Mr. Tyndale.

Mr. TYNDALE: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: There's one observation I would like to make while we are on this subject, and I can't help but recall the remarks of my distinguished colleague from Lubec, Mr. Pike. And I sincerely hope that through the wisdom of some future legislature, we may be able to make it possible so that one bill cannot be

practically put to deletion or complete destruction through amendments of this type.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cape Elizabeth, Mr. Berry.

Mr. BERRY: I should like to emphasize perhaps for the record, perhaps for the conscience of the members of both parties in this House, the unanimity with which both parties have approached the problem of ETV. Certainly we Republicans would respect the stand of those of the Democratic Party for attempting to even improve upon the program which we have felt is the best that can be dragged out of this. I think the House can be very very proud and can remember for a long time to come that it has solemnly stood for inaugurating educational television in the State of Maine and let the blame if it should fall on somebody else, not fall on the House of Representatives of the 100th Legislature.

The SPEAKER: The question before the House is the motion of the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter, that the House reject the Conference Report. Is this the pleasure of the House?

The motion prevailed, and the Bill was sent forthwith to the Senate.

The SPEAKER: Is there objection to taking up Supplement Number 8, House Advance Journal, Saturday, June 17, 1961, 10:50 P.M.? The Chair hears none, the Assistant Clerk will read the bill.

Passed to Be Enacted

An Act relating to Sales of Discontinued Items of Liquor in State Stores (S. P. 436) (L. D. 1308)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed, passed to be enacted on a viva voce vote, signed by the Speaker and sent forthwith to the Senate.

On motion of Mr. Baxter of Pittsfield,

Recessed to the sound of the gong.

After Recess

10:55 P. M.

The House was called to order by the Speaker.

The SPEAKER: Reference is made to Supplement Number 9, House Advance Journal, Saturday, June 17, 1961, 10:55 P.M., the Assistant Clerk will read the conference report.

Conference Committee Report

Report of the Committees of Conference on the disagreeing action of the two branches of the Legislature on Bill "An Act relating to the Harbor Project in the Town of Wells" (H. P. 221) (L. D. 336) reporting that they are unable to agree.

(Signed)

RUST of York

HANSON of Lebanon

BERNARD of Sanford

— Committee on part of House

DAVIS of Cumberland

STANLEY of Penobscot

NOYES of Franklin

— Committee on part of Senate

Report was read and accepted and sent up for concurrence forthwith.

The SPEAKER: Is there objection to taking up at this time on Supplement Number 9, a Senate Order out of order? The Chair hears none, the Assistant Clerk will read the order:

Order out of Order

From the Senate: The following Order:

ORDERED, the House concurring, that the Committee on Appropriations and Financial Affairs report a Bill that will provide funds to make effective the Acts which have been passed to be enacted, and the Resolves which have already been finally passed. (S. P. 598)

Came from the Senate read and passed.

In the House: the order was read and passed in concurrence and was sent forthwith to the Senate.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter.

Mr. BAXTER: Mr. Speaker, I request permission to remove an item from the table tabled earlier this evening until later this evening, the item being L. D. 873, Senate Paper 272, Resolve in Favor of Development of a State Park on Rangeley Lake.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter, moves that L. D. 873 be taken from the table at this time. Is this the pleasure of the House?

The motion prevailed.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pittsfield, Mr. Baxter.

Mr. BAXTER: Due to a severe lack of funds at the moment, I now move that this paper and all its accompanying papers be indefinitely postponed.

The SPEAKER: Is it now the pleasure of the House that the Report and the Resolve be indefinitely postponed?

The motion prevailed, the Report and Resolve were indefinitely postponed in non-concurrence and sent up for concurrence.

The SPEAKER: The Chair would like to remind the members of the House and at the same time congratulate you on your excellent attendance, that there is still remaining an emergency enactor for which we will need 101 votes. So it's the duty of every member to remain.

On motion of Mr. Baxter of Pittsfield,

Recessed until the sound of the gong.

After Recess
11:30 P.M.

The House was called to order by the Speaker.

Matters appearing on Supplement 10 at 11:30 P.M.

**Senate Report of Committee
Ought to Pass
Passed to Be Engrossed**

Report of the Committee on Appropriations and Financial Affairs, acting by authority of Joint Order (S. P. 598), reporting a Bill (S. P. 599) under title of "An Act Making Additional Appropriations for the Expenditures of State Government

and for Other Purposes for the Fiscal Years Ending June 30, 1962 and June 30, 1963" and that it "Ought to pass"

Came from the Senate with the Report read and accepted and the Bill passed to be engrossed.

In the House, the Report was read and accepted in concurrence and the Bill read twice.

Under suspension of the rules, the Bill was read the third time, passed to be engrossed in concurrence and sent forthwith to the Senate.

From the Senate: The following Communication:

**THE SENATE OF MAINE
AUGUSTA**

June 17, 1961

Hon. Harvey R. Pease
Clerk of the
House of Representatives
100th Legislature
State House

Sir:

The President of the Senate today made the following appointments:

Two members of the Committee on Election Expenditures:

Senators:

BOARDMAN of Washington
PORTEOUS of Cumberland

Seven members of the Research Committee:

Senators: BROWN of Hancock; COLE of Waldo; ERWIN of York; FERGUSON of Oxford; MARDEN of Kennebec; STANLEY of Penobscot and WYMAN of Washington.

One member of the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Compact:

Senator: CHASE of Lincoln.

Respectfully,

(Signed)

CHESTER T. WINSLOW
Secretary of the Senate

The Communication was read and ordered placed on file.

Mr. Pike of Lubec was granted unanimous consent to address the House.

Mr. PIKE: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: While we are waiting for the next go-around, I found a little piece in a poem that goes like this. It was written some time back and when I try to remember Shakespeare I always

modify and improve him, so I'll read it the way he wrote it.

"Our revels now are ended. These are acted as I foretold you for all spirits and are melted into air, into thin air; and like the baseless fabric of this vision the cloud-capped towers, the gorgeous palaces, the solemn temples, the great globe itself, yea, all which it inherit shall dissolve, and like this insubstantial pageant faded, leave not a wrack behind. We are such stuff as dreams are made of. Now our little life is rounded with sleep."

Well in case you think that Shakespeare was a softie, I'll give you something on the same page of the Tempest, dressed up slightly for a mixed audience: "I do smell all horse manure, at which my nose is in great indignation."

On motion of Mr. Baxter of Pittsfield,

Recessed until the sound of the gong.

After Recess

The House was called to order by the Speaker.

Passed to Be Enacted Emergency Measure

An Act Making Additional Appropriations for the Expenditures of State Government and for Other Purposes for the Fiscal Years Ending June 30, 1962 and June 30, 1963 (S. P. 599)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed. This being an emergency measure and a two-thirds vote of all the members elected to the House being necessary, a division was had. 116 voted in favor of same and none against, and accordingly the Bill was passed to be enacted, signed by the Speaker and sent forthwith to the Senate.

Orders of the Day

A message came from the Senate borne by Senator Noyes of that body informing the House that the Senate had transacted all business before it and was ready to adjourn without day.

House at Ease

On motion of Mr. Baxter of Pittsfield, that gentleman was charged with and conveyed a message to the Senate informing that body that the House had acted on all matters before it and was ready to adjourn without day.

The following paper from the Senate was taken up out of order and under suspension of the rules:

From the Senate: The following Order:

ORDERED, the House concurring, that a Committee of three on the part of the Senate, with such as the House may join, be appointed to wait upon the Governor and inform him that both branches of the Legislature have acted on all matters before them and are now ready to receive any communication that he may be pleased to make (S. P. 596)

Came from the Senate read and passed, and the following appointed as members of the Committee on the part of the Senate:

Senators:

NOYES of Franklin
CHRISTIE of Aroostook
JACQUES of Androscoggin

In the House, the Order was read and passed in concurrence, and the Speaker appointed the following members on the part of the House:

Messrs. BAXTER of Pittsfield
WHITMAN of Woodstock
BREWER of Bath
MINSKY of Bangor
SCHULTEN of Woolwich
Mrs. SHEPARD of Stonington
Messrs. BURNS of Westbrook
FOGG of Madison

Mr. Baxter for the Committee subsequently reported that the Committee had performed the duties with which it was charged and that His Excellency, Governor John H. Reed, would attend forthwith.

Whereupon, His Excellency, Governor John H. Reed, entered the Hall of the House amid applause of the House, the members rising, and delivered the following communication:

GOVERNOR REED: Mr. Speaker and Members of the Maine House of Representatives:

Now that you have reached the hour of adjournment, I want to express my appreciation for the con-

sideration which you have given to the matters of legislation that have come before this session for your deliberation and action.

It was twenty-four weeks ago when I first came before you for the purpose of outlining what I conceived to be the image of the State of Maine in the future. It was my sincere belief at that time that this one-hundredth session could bring about great accomplishment for the future of the state, and, to a significant extent, this has been done.

Your decisions have not come easy. You have labored long, and, as is always the case, differences have arisen. However, you have resolved those differences and you have written the record.

I submit to you herewith a tabulation of the results of the action of the One Hundredth Legislature:

There were 620 acts approved;

There were 122 resolves approved;

There was 1 veto presented.

A great many of the acts and resolves which you have enacted and approved will reflect to the credit of this legislature in the years ahead. They are constructive actions, generally speaking, and I feel that time will prove their soundness. They represent the degree to which you believe the State of Maine can advance during the next two years.

While other seemingly worthwhile objectives failed of majority support, many consistently held the commendable interest and wholehearted support of a considerable number in each legislative branch, for which the thousands of our citizens will be everlastingly grateful. Such support will conceivably serve as a basis upon which to build for the future.

The framework of state government for the biennium has been drawn through your deliberations, and it shall now be my aim, as related to you in my budget message, to give strict attention to the administration of the law, along with the efficient use of such monies as have been provided.

I have enjoyed working with the membership of both branches, espe-

cially your very fine leadership. I believe each member of this one-hundredth session has shared well in the burden of personal sacrifice in coming to Augusta to conduct the business of the state. For this, the people of Maine are grateful, and I express their thanks to you.

Mrs. Reed and I have enjoyed our associations with you throughout the session, and it has been a real pleasure for us to have you visiting at the Blaine House from time to time. In the future, it is my hope that when you are at the capitol, you will drop by the office to say hello.

And now, let me say that I have enjoyed your friendship, your cooperation and your counsel. In a spirit of good will, I take my leave and thank you for your service in the public interest. (Prolonged applause, the Members rising)

Thereupon, Governor Reed retired from the Hall of the House.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dexter, Mr. Roberts.

Mr. ROBERTS: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: As the gentleman from Friendship, Mr. Winchenpaw says, this will be very brief, and I assure you this will be very, very brief.

I am quite sure now that we are well satisfied that not only large bodies move slowly, but sometimes smaller ones do. This is the fourth time that I have performed this duty, two years for the regular sessions and two special sessions, and I now move, Mr. Speaker, that we adjourn without day.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Dexter, Mr. Roberts, being the oldest Member of the House of Representatives, moves that since the record shows the time to be 11:49 P.M. on June 17, this House now adjourn without day. Is this the pleasure of the House?

The motion prevailed and at 11:49 P.M., Eastern Daylight Time, Saturday, June 17, 1961, the Speaker declared the House adjourned without day.