

# LAWS

# **OF THE**

# **STATE OF MAINE**

# AS PASSED BY THE

ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTY-FOURTH LEGISLATURE

SECOND REGULAR SESSION January 6, 2010 to April 12, 2010

THE GENERAL EFFECTIVE DATE FOR SECOND REGULAR SESSION NON-EMERGENCY LAWS IS JULY 12, 2010

PUBLISHED BY THE REVISOR OF STATUTES IN ACCORDANCE WITH MAINE REVISED STATUTES ANNOTATED, TITLE 3, SECTION 163-A, SUBSECTION 4.

Augusta, Maine 2010

man immunodeficiency virus, and shall consider the recommendations and classifications of the federal Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and other nationally recognized medical authorities.

Sec. 2. 32 MRSA §2110 is enacted to read:

## **§2110.** Expedited partner therapy

An individual licensed under this chapter may not be disciplined for providing expedited partner therapy in accordance with the provisions of Title 22, chapter 251, subchapter 3, article 5.

Sec. 3. 32 MRSA §2600-B is enacted to read:

#### §2600-B. Expedited partner therapy

An individual licensed under this chapter may not be disciplined for providing expedited partner therapy in accordance with the provisions of Title 22, chapter 251, subchapter 3, article 5.

Sec. 4. 32 MRSA §3300-B is enacted to read:

# §3300-B. Expedited partner therapy

An individual licensed under this chapter may not be disciplined for providing expedited partner therapy in accordance with the provisions of Title 22, chapter 251, subchapter 3, article 5.

**Sec. 5. 32 MRSA §13794,** as amended by PL 1999, c. 130, §14, is further amended by adding at the end a new paragraph to read:

A drug dispensed in accordance with the provisions of Title 22, chapter 251, subchapter 3, article 5 does not require the name of the patient's sexual partner on the label.

Sec. 6. 32 MRSA §13798 is enacted to read:

#### §13798. Expedited partner therapy

An individual licensed under this chapter may not be disciplined for dispensing drugs pursuant to a lawful prescription in accordance with the provisions of Title 22, chapter 251, subchapter 3, article 5.

Sec. 7. Maine Revised Statutes headnote amended; revision clause. In the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 22, chapter 251, subchapter 3, in the subchapter headnote, the words "venereal diseases" are amended to read "sexually transmitted diseases" and the Revisor of Statutes shall implement this revision when updating, publishing or republishing the statutes.

See title page for effective date.

#### CHAPTER 534

### H.P. 1260 - L.D. 1770

## An Act To Extend the Temporary Reduction in High-stakes Beano License Fees

**Emergency preamble. Whereas,** acts and resolves of the Legislature do not become effective until 90 days after adjournment unless enacted as emergencies; and

Whereas, this legislation reduces the annual license fee for high-stakes beano for this year and next year; and

Whereas, in the judgment of the Legislature, these facts create an emergency within the meaning of the Constitution of Maine and require the following legislation as immediately necessary for the preservation of the public peace, health and safety; now, therefore,

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

**Sec. 1. 17 MRSA §314-A, sub-§4,** as amended by PL 2007, c. 109, §1, is further amended to read:

**4. Term of license; fees.** A license issued under this section is valid for a period of one year. The annual license fee for a high-stakes beano license is \$50,000, except that the annual license fee due in 2008 and, 2009, 2010 and 2011 is \$25,000. License fees may be paid in advance in quarterly installments. All license fees must be paid to the Treasurer of State to be credited to the General Fund.

**Sec. 2. Report.** By February 1, 2011, the Chief of the State Police, with input from holders of licenses issued pursuant to the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 17, section 314-A, shall submit a report to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over legal and veterans affairs on the enforcement and administrative functions conducted with regard to the conduct of high-stakes beano during calendar year 2010, including any recommendations regarding the fees for a high-stakes beano license.

**Sec. 3. Appropriations and allocations.** The following appropriations and allocations are made.

#### PUBLIC SAFETY, DEPARTMENT OF

#### Licensing and Enforcement - Public Safety 0712

Initiative: Reduces allocation to reflect the extension of the reduction in license fees for high-stakes beano to fiscal years 2009-10 and 2010-11.

OTHER SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS	2009-10	2010-11
All Other	(\$25,000)	(\$25,000)
OTHER SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS TOTAL	(\$25,000)	(\$25,000)

**Emergency clause.** In view of the emergency cited in the preamble, this legislation takes effect when approved.

Effective March 22, 2010.

#### CHAPTER 535

#### S.P. 681 - L.D. 1775

## An Act To Amend Mercury Stack Testing Requirements for Certain Air Emission Sources

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

**Sec. 1. 38 MRSA §585-B, sub-§5,** as amended by PL 2009, c. 338, §1, is further amended to read:

5. Standards for mercury. Notwithstanding subsection 1, an air emission source may not emit mercury in excess of 45.4 kilograms, or 100 pounds, per year after January 1, 2000; 22.7 kilograms, or 50 pounds, per year after January 1, 2004; 15.9 kilograms, or 35 pounds, after January 1, 2007; and 11.4 kilograms, or 25 pounds, after January 1, 2010. As an alternative to not emitting mercury in excess of 11.4 kilograms, or 25 pounds, after January 1, 2010, an air emission source may reduce mercury emissions by 90 percent by weight after January 1, 2010. Compliance with these limits must be specified in the license of the air emission source. The board shall establish by rule testing protocols and measurement methods for emissions sources for which the board has not established such protocols and methods for determining compliance with the emission standard for mercury. These rules are routine technical rules under Title 5, chapter 375, subchapter 2-A.

An air emission source may apply to the board for an extension or modification of the 11.4-kilogram, or 25-pound, limit as follows.

A. An emission source may submit an application to the board no later than January 1, 2009 for a 6-month extension of the January 1, 2010 deadline to meet the 11.4-kilogram, or 25-pound, limit. The board shall grant the extension if the board determines, based on information presented by the source, that compliance with the limit is not achievable by the deadline due to engineering constraints, availability of equipment or other justifiable technical reasons.

B. An emission source may submit an application to the board no later than January 1, 2009 for a license modification establishing an alternative emission limit for mercury. The board shall grant the license modification if the board finds that the proposed mercury emission limit meets the most stringent emission limitation that is achievable and compatible with that class of source, considering economic feasibility.

Pending a decision on an application for an extension or a license modification under this subsection, the 15.9-kilogram, or 35-pound, limit applies to the emission source.

Notwithstanding the January 1, 2000 compliance date in this subsection, a resource recovery facility that is subject to an emissions limit for mercury adopted by rule by the board before January 1, 2000 shall comply with the 45.4-kilogram, or 100-pound, mercury emissions limit after December 19, 2000.

For determining compliance with this subsection, the results of multiple stack tests may be averaged in accordance with guidance provided by the department.

**Sec. 2. 38** MRSA §585-B, sub-§6, as amended by PL 2009, c. 338, §2, is further amended to read:

6. Mercury reduction plans. Any <u>An</u> air emission source emitting mercury in excess of 10 pounds per year after January 1, 2007 must develop a mercury reduction plan. The Except as provided in subsection <u>7, the</u> mercury reduction plan must be submitted to the department no later than September 1, 2008. The mercury reduction plan must contain:

A. Identification, characterization and accounting of the mercury used or released at the emission source; and

B. Identification, analysis and evaluation of any appropriate technologies, procedures, processes, equipment or production changes that may be utilized by the emission source to reduce the amount of mercury used or released by that emission source, including a financial analysis of the costs and benefits of reducing the amount of mercury used or released.

The department may keep information submitted to the department under this subsection confidential as provided under section 1310-B.

The department shall submit a report to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over natural resources matters no later than March 1, 2009 summarizing the mercury emissions and mercury reduction potential from those emission sources sub-