

# LAWS

# OF THE

# **STATE OF MAINE**

AS PASSED BY THE

ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTIETH LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION December 6, 2000 to June 22, 2001

THE GENERAL EFFECTIVE DATE FOR FIRST REGULAR SESSION NON-EMERGENCY LAWS IS SEPTEMBER 21, 2001

PUBLISHED BY THE REVISOR OF STATUTES IN ACCORDANCE WITH MAINE REVISED STATUTES ANNOTATED, TITLE 3, SECTION 163-A, SUBSECTION 4.

> J.S. McCarthy Company Augusta, Maine 2001

required as a condition precedent to the termination of coverage.

**5.** Continued coverage. An insurer's obligation to issue or offer continued coverage to a group member under this chapter may be satisfied by the issuance or offer of a comparable nongroup policy.

## §2953. Rulemaking

The superintendent may adopt rules, which are routine technical rules pursuant to Title 5, chapter 375, subchapter II-A, establishing specific requirements and procedures for group property and casualty policies, certificates of coverage and rates, consistent with the purposes of this chapter. These rules may specify additional types of insurance that may be issued on a group basis and the types of groups that may be policyholders, if the superintendent determines that the issuance of multiple individual policies to group members in accordance with chapter 40 does not adequately address the needs of the market.

See title page for effective date.

# **CHAPTER 139**

### H.P. 703 - L.D. 918

# An Act to Amend the Public Drinking Law

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

Sec. 1. 17 MRSA §2003-A, sub-§2, as amended by PL 1991, c. 157, is repealed and the following enacted in its place:

2. Crime. A person is guilty of public drinking if the person drinks liquor in any public place within 200 feet of a notice posted conspicuously in the public place by the owner or authorized person that forbids drinking in the public place or after being forbidden to do so personally by a law enforcement officer, unless the person has been given permission to do so by the owner or authorized person.

See title page for effective date.

#### CHAPTER 140

# S.P. 211 - L.D. 776

An Act to Authorize the Department of Transportation to Use the Design-Build Method of Project Delivery Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

Sec. 1. 23 MRSA §753-A is enacted to read:

### §753-A. Design-build contracts

**<u>1.</u> Definitions.** As used in this section, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the following meanings.

<u>A.</u> "Best value" means the highest overall value to the State, considering quality and cost.

B. "Department" means the Department of Transportation.

C. "Design-build contracting" means a method of project delivery whereby a single firm is contractually responsible to perform design, construction and related services.

D. "Major participant" means any firm that would have a major role in the design or construction of the project as specified by the department in the request for proposals.

E. "Project" means the highway, bridge, railroad, pier, airport, trail, ferry vessel, building or other improvement being constructed or rehabilitated, including all professional services, labor, equipment, materials, tools, supplies, warranties and incidentals needed for a complete and functioning product.

F. "Proposal" means an offer by the proposer to design and construct the project in accordance with all request-for-proposals provisions for the price contained in the proposal.

<u>G. "Proposer" means an individual, firm, corporation, limited liability company, partnership, joint venture, sole proprietorship or other entity that submits a proposal. After contract execution, the successful proposer is the designbuilder.</u>

H. "Quality" means those features that the department determines are most important to the project. Quality criteria may include quality of design, constructability, long-term maintenance costs, aesthetics, local impacts, traveler and other user costs, service life, time to construct and other factors that the department considers to be in the best interest of the State.

2. Authorization. Notwithstanding section 753 or any other provision of law, the department may use design-build contracting to deliver projects. The department may evaluate and select proposals on either a best-value or low-bid basis. If the scope of work requires substantial engineering judgment, the quality of which may vary significantly as determined by the department, then the basis of award must be best value.

The department shall identify in its planning process those projects it believes are candidates for designbuild contracting. The failure of the department to identify such projects does not prevent the department from using design-build contracting in extraordinary circumstances including emergency work, unscheduled projects or loss of funding.

The department retains the authority to terminate the contracting process at any time, to reject any proposal, to waive technicalities or to advertise for new proposals if the department determines that it is in the best interest of the State.

**3. Prequalification.** The department may require that firms be prequalified to submit proposals. If the department requires prequalification, it shall give public notice requesting qualifications from interested firms in at least 2 newspapers distributed in the State. The department shall issue a request-for-qualifications package to all firms requesting one in accordance with the notice.

Interested firms shall supply, for themselves and all major participants, all information required by the department. The department may investigate and verify all information received. All financial information, trade secrets or other information customarily regarded as confidential business information submitted to the department is confidential.

The department shall evaluate and rate all firms submitting a conforming statement of qualifications and select the most qualified firms to each receive a request for proposals. The department may select any number of firms, except that, if the department fails to prequalify at least 2 firms, the department shall readvertise the project.

4. Request for proposals. If the department requires prequalification, it shall issue a draft request for proposals to those firms prequalified. The department shall give prequalified firms adequate time to review and comment on a draft request for proposals. The department shall consider those comments in the best interest of the State before issuing the request for proposals. If the department does not require prequalification, it shall give public notice of the request for proposals in at least 2 newspapers distributed in the State.

The request for proposals must set forth the scope of work, design parameters, construction requirements, time constraints and all other requirements that have a substantial impact on the cost or quality of the project and the project development process, as determined by the department. The request for proposals must include the criteria for acceptable proposals. For projects to be awarded on a best-value basis, the scoring process and quality criteria must also be contained in the request for proposals. In the department's discretion, the request for proposals may provide for a process, including the establishment of a team to review proposals, for the department to review conceptual technical elements of each proposal before full proposal submittal for the purposes of identifying defects that would cause rejection of the proposal as nonresponsive. The majority of the members of the team reviewing proposals for responsiveness must be persons not employed by the department. Rejection of any proposal as nonresponsive requires a unanimous vote of the review team. All such conceptual submittals and responses are confidential until award of the contract. Upon award of the contract and after resolution of any procurement disputes, the department shall return documents submitted by unsuccessful proposers upon request. The request for proposals may also provide for a stipend upon specified terms to unsuccessful proposers that submit proposals conforming to all request-for-proposals requirements.

**5.** Low-bid award. If the basis of the award of responsive proposals is lowest cost, then each proposal, including the price or prices, must be sealed by the proposer and submitted to the department as one complete package. The department shall award the design-build contract to the proposer that submits a responsive proposal with the lowest price, if the proposal meets all request-for-proposals requirements.

6. Best-value award. If the basis of the award of responsive proposals is best value, then each proposal must be submitted by the proposer to the department in 2 separate components, which are a sealed technical proposal and a sealed price proposal. These 2 components must be submitted simultaneously.

The department shall first open, evaluate and score each responsive technical proposal, based on the quality criteria contained in the request for proposals. The request for proposals may provide that the range between the highest and lowest quality score of responsive technical proposals must be limited to an amount certain. During this evaluation process, the price proposals will remain sealed and all technical proposals are confidential.

After completion of the evaluation of the technical proposals, the department shall publicly open and read each price proposal. The department shall calculate the overall value rating for each proposal, which is the total price divided by the quality score. The department shall award the contract to the proposer with the lowest price per quality score point, provided that the proposal meets all request-for-proposals requirements. 7. Procurement disputes. The request for proposals must provide for resolution of disputes that may arise before award of the contract by including a dispute review board procedure in accordance with the department's standard specifications and a provision that provides that the procurement process must be suspended pending final resolution of such disputes. This subsection does not prevent an aggrieved party from seeking judicial review.

See title page for effective date.

# **CHAPTER 141**

# H.P. 714 - L.D. 929

## An Act to Amend the Supervised Community Confinement Law

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

Sec. 1. 34-A MRSA §3036-A, sub-§2, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1991, c. 845, §4, is amended to read:

B. A prisoner may not be transferred to supervised community confinement until the prisoner has served at least 2/3 of the term of imprisonment imposed or, in the case of a split sentence, at least 2/3 of the unsuspended portion, after consideration of any deductions that the prisoner has received and retained under Title 17-A, section 1253 if the term of imprisonment or, in the case of a split sentence, the unsuspended portion is more than 5 years. A prisoner may not be transferred to supervised community confinement until the prisoner has served at least 1/2 of the term of imprisonment imposed or, in the case of a split sentence, at least 1/2 of the unsuspended portion after consideration of any deductions that the prisoner has received and retained under Title 17-A, section 1253 if the term of imprisonment or, in the case of a split sentence, the unsuspended portion is 5 years or less.

Sec. 2. 34-A MRSA §3036-A, sub-§10 is enacted to read:

**10.** Terminally ill prisoner. With the consent of the prisoner, the commissioner may permit a prisoner committed to the department to be transferred from a correctional facility to supervised community confinement without meeting the requirements of subsection 2, paragraphs B and C if the facility's treating physician has determined that the prisoner is terminally ill and that care outside the correctional facility for the remainder of the prisoner's illness is medically necessary. The prisoner shall live in a hospital or other appropriate care facility, such as a nursing facility or residential care facility, approved by the commissioner. As approved by the commissioner, the prisoner may receive hospice services from an entity licensed pursuant to Title 22, chapter 1681, subchapter I. The commissioner may exempt a prisoner transferred to supervised community confinement pursuant to this subsection from any mandatory condition under subsection 3 that the commissioner determines to be inapplicable.

See title page for effective date.

#### **CHAPTER 142**

### S.P. 515 - L.D. 1634

#### An Act to Amend the Laws Pertaining to the Maine Small Business Commission

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

**Sec. 1. 5 MRSA §13032,** as enacted by PL 1989, c. 875, Pt. L, §§2 and 4, is amended to read:

# §13032. Maine Small Business Commission established

The Maine Small Business Commission is established as an independent commission within the department to evaluate <u>and coordinate</u> small business <u>and entrepreneurial</u> programs, contract with the administrative unit and exercise other powers and responsibilities as provided in this chapter.

**Sec. 2. 5 MRSA §13033,** as enacted by PL 1989, c. 875, Pt. L, §§2 and 4, is amended to read:

### §13033. Membership

The commission consists of <u>3</u> 7 members: the State Auditor, the Chief Executive Officer of the Finance Authority of Maine and; the Commissioner of Economic and Community Development; the District Director of the United States Small Business Administration's Maine District Office; and a designee from the administrative unit and 3 public members with expertise and knowledge in small business and entrepreneurship, appointed by the commissioner.

**Sec. 3. 5 MRSA §13034,** as enacted by PL 1989, c. 875, Pt. L, §§2 and 4, is amended to read:

#### §13034. Powers of commission

The commission has the power to:

**1. Negotiate and approve contract.** Negotiate, approve and enforce the contract with the administrative unit by which state funds are provided by the administrative unit to the small business development