

## LAWS

### OF THE

# **STATE OF MAINE**

## AS PASSED BY THE

## ONE HUNDRED AND FOURTEENTH LEGISLATURE

## FIRST REGULAR SESSION

December 7, 1988 to July 1, 1989

Chapters 1 - 502

THE GENERAL EFFECTIVE DATE FOR NON-EMERGENCY LAWS IS SEPTEMBER 30, 1989

PUBLISHED BY THE REVISOR OF STATUTES IN ACCORDANCE WITH MAINE REVISED STATUTES ANNOTATED, TITLE 3, SECTION 163-A, SUBSECTION 4.

> J.S. McCarthy Company Augusta, Maine 1989

## **PUBLIC LAWS**

# OF THE STATE OF MAINE

## AS PASSED AT THE

## FIRST REGULAR SESSION

## of the

## ONE HUNDRED AND FOURTEENTH LEGISLATURE

1989

ment fee to help defray the expenses of the offender's room and board. The fee may not exceed the cost of incarcerating the offender or \$20 per day, whichever is less. Any reimbursement fee assessed shall be collected by the county treasurer of the county in which the offender is incarcerated, and paid into the treasury of that county and credited to the county responsible for paying for the incarceration of the offender.

See title page for effective date.

### CHAPTER 376

#### S.P. 533 - L.D. 1468

An Act to Prohibit the Irradiation Within the State of Food for Human or Animal Consumption

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

**22 MRSA §2155, sub-§10,** as enacted by PL 1987, c. 174, §4, is amended to read:

**10. Irradiated food.** For any person to knowingly sell irradiated food or to treat any food for human or animal consumption with gamma radiation or other ionizing radiation, except as part of a research project at any college, university or research institution.

See title page for effective date.

### CHAPTER 377

#### S.P. 532 - L.D. 1467

#### An Act to Facilitate the Expeditious Resolution of Certain Superior Court Cases

**Emergency preamble. Whereas,** Acts of the Legislature do not become effective until 90 days after adjournment unless enacted as emergencies; and

Whereas, the Law Court has recently decided that a party to a forcible entry and detainer action, an eviction action, has a constitutional right to a de novo trial by jury on appeal to the Superior Court after an initial judgment has been rendered by the District Court; and

Whereas, this newly recognized constitutional right to a jury trial could add substantially to the time and complexity inherent in resolving disputes between landlords and tenants and could add considerably to the costs of the parties and the court system involved with forcible entry and detainer actions; and

Whereas, there were over 3,000 forcible entry and detainer actions handled last year by the District Courts; and

Whereas, there are at present no statutes and no rules of court prescribing how the Superior Court should entertain these appeals and protect the rights of the parties during the pendency of an appeal; and

Whereas, principles of justice require that the constitutional right to a jury trial be provided without undue harm to the rights of the appellee and without undue disturbance of the balance of rights current law has struck between landlords and tenants; and

Whereas, in the judgment of the Legislature, these facts create an emergency within the meaning of the Constitution of Maine and require the following legislation as immediately necessary for the preservation of the public peace, health and safety; now, therefore,

## Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

14 MRSA §6008, as amended by PL 1979, c. 172, §1, is further amended to read:

#### §6008. Appeals

Either party may appeal on questions of law from a judgment to the Superior Court as in other civil actions. Either party may appeal on any issue triable by right by a jury to a trial de novo in the Superior Court as provided in this section. When the plaintiff appeals, he shall recognize in manner aforesaid to the defendant, except as otherwise provided, conditioned to enter the action and to pay all costs adjudged against him. When the defendant appeals, the Superior Court Judge may stay the issuance of a writ of possession pending disposition of the appeal. The judge Superior Court shall, in all appropriate cases, condition the granting and continuation of the stay on the defendant's payment of the current rent for the premises into an escrow account to be administered by the clerk of the Superior Court and, in all appropriate cases, on the defendant's agreement to refrain from any nuisance or damage. Upon finding a violation of the conditions for granting the stay, the Superior Court shall vacate the stay. Upon application of either party, the Superior Court may authorize payments from the escrow account for appropriate expenses related to the premises. The appeal decision or an agreement of the parties shall provide for the disposition of the escrowed rent.

The procedures with respect to the appeal of an issue triable by right by a jury to a trial de novo in Superior Court shall be set forth in rules to be promulgated by the Supreme Judicial Court.

**Emergency clause.** In view of the emergency cited in the preamble, this Act shall take effect when approved.

Effective June 20, 1989.