

# MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the  
**LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY**  
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library  
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied  
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

STATE OF MAINE  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
99th LEGISLATURE

HOUSE AMENDMENT "A" to H. P. 755, L. D. 1073, Bill, "An Act Relating to Disqualification of Benefits Under Employment Security Law."

Amend said Bill by striking out everything after the enacting clause and inserting in place thereof the following:

"R.S., c. 29, §15, sub-§I, amended. Subsection I of section 15 of chapter 29 of the Revised Statutes, as repealed and replaced by section 1 of chapter 376 of the public laws of 1955, and as amended by chapter 345 of the public laws of 1957, is further amended to read as follows:

'I. For the period of unemployment subsequent to his having left his regular employment voluntarily and without good cause attributable to such employment, or with respect to a female claimant who has voluntarily left work to marry, or to perform the customary duties of a housewife, or to leave the locale to live with her husband, or to a claimant who has voluntarily removed himself from the labor market where presently employed to an area where employment opportunity is less frequent, if so found by the commission, for not less than 7 3 nor more than 14 10 weeks in addition to the waiting period of one week, and his maximum benefit amount shall be reduced by an amount equivalent to the number of such weeks of disqualification times his weekly benefit. A separation shall not be considered to be voluntary without good cause when it was caused by the illness or disability of the claimant and the claimant took all reasonable precautions to protect his employment status by having promptly notified his employer as to the reasons for his absence and by promptly requesting reemployment when he was again able to resume employment; Neither shall a separation be considered voluntary without good cause when it was caused by the sudden and complete loss of transportation.'"

Filed by Mr. Haughn of Bridgton.

Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Clerk of the House.

(Filing No. 235)

4/22/59