MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib

EIGHTY-FIFTH LEGISLATURE

Legislative Document   No. 965

H. P. 1390   House of Representatives, March 24, 1931.
  Reported by Mr. Snow from Committee on Judiciary and
laid on table to be printed under joint rules.
                        CLYDE R. CHAPMAN, Clerk.
  New Draft of H. P. 930, L. D. 407.

STATE OF MAINE

IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD ONE THOUSAND NINE
HUNDRED AND THIRTY-ONE

AN ACT Relating to Disclosure Proceedings.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine, as follows:

  Section twenty-three of chapter one hundred and twenty-
four of the revised statutes is hereby amended, by striking out
the words "the petitioner or his attorney" in the fourth and
fifth lines thereof, and by further striking out the words "Pe-
titioner or his attorney" in the sixth line of said section, so that
said section as amended, shall read as follows:
  'Sec. 23.   Subpoena to issue to debtor to appear and dis-
close; errors in application or subpoena may be amended.
R. S. c. 115, sec. 23.   1917, c. 267.   Such magistrate shall
thereupon issue under his hand and seal a subpoena to the
debtor commanding him to appear before any such disinter-
ested magistrate within said county in the town in which the
debtor resides, and in case there is no such magistrate in the
town where the debtor resides then in the shire town of said
county, at a time and place therein named to make full and
true disclosure, on oath, of all his business and property af-
fairs.   And a judge of any municipal court may hold dis-
closure court upon a subpoena returnable as aforesaid in any
town in which the regular terms of the court of which he is
judge are held.   The application shall be annexed to the sub-
poena.   Any town in which the regular sessions of the supe-

rior court are held, shall be considered a shire town for the
purpose of this section.   No application or subpoena shall be
deemed incorrect for want of form only, or for circumstantial
errors or mistakes, when the person and the case can be rightly
understood.   Such errors and mistakes may be amended on
application of either party.'