## MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from electronic originals (may include minor formatting differences from printed original)



## 131st MAINE LEGISLATURE

## FIRST REGULAR SESSION-2023

**Legislative Document** 

No. 549

H.P. 354

House of Representatives, February 9, 2023

An Act Regarding a Discovery Rule for the Statute of Limitations for Cases of Medical Negligence

Reference to the Committee on Judiciary suggested and ordered printed.

ROBERT B. HUNT
Clerk

Presented by Representative MOONEN of Portland.

Cosponsored by Representatives: BOYER of Poland, GATTINE of Westbrook, GRAMLICH of Old Orchard Beach, RECKITT of South Portland, SHEEHAN of Biddeford, Senator: BAILEY of York.

## Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

- **Sec. 1. 24 MRSA §2902,** as amended by PL 2013, c. 329, §2, is further amended by amending the section headnote to read:
- §2902. Statute of limitations for health care providers and health care practitioners excluding claims based on sexual acts
- Sec. 2. 24 MRSA §2902, first  $\P$ , as amended by PL 2013, c. 329, §2, is further amended to read:

Except as provided in section 2902-B, actions Actions for professional negligence must be commenced within 3 years after the cause of action accrues. For the purposes of this section, a cause of action accrues on the date of the act or omission giving rise to the injury when the plaintiff discovers or reasonably should have discovered the harm. Notwithstanding the provisions of Title 14, section 853, relating to minority, actions for professional negligence by a minor must be commenced within 6 years after the cause of action accrues or within 3 years after the minor reaches the age of majority, whichever first occurs. This section does not apply—when the cause of action is based upon the leaving of a foreign object in the body, in which case the cause of action—accrues when the plaintiff discovers or reasonably should have discovered the harm. For the purposes of this section, the term "foreign object" does not include a chemical compound, prosthetic aid or object intentionally implanted or permitted to remain in the patient's body as a part of the health care or professional services.

21 SUMMARY

Under current law, the statute of limitations on a medical malpractice claim begins to run on the date of the act or omission that gave rise to the injury, unless the medical malpractice claim is based on the leaving of a foreign object in the body. The statute of limitations does not begin to run on a medical malpractice claim based on the leaving of a foreign object in the body until the plaintiff discovers or reasonably should have discovered the harm.

This bill provides that the statute of limitations does not begin to run on any medical malpractice claim, regardless of whether the claim is based on the leaving of a foreign object in the body, until the plaintiff discovers or reasonably should have discovered the harm.

The bill also makes a technical change to remove an obsolete cross-reference in the law.