MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from electronic originals (may include minor formatting differences from printed original)



130th MAINE LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION-2021

Legislative Document

No. 1273

H.P. 933

House of Representatives, March 29, 2021

An Act To Establish Conviction Integrity Units in Maine

Received by the Clerk of the House on March 25, 2021. Referred to the Committee on Judiciary pursuant to Joint Rule 308.2 and ordered printed pursuant to Joint Rule 401.

ROBERT B. HUNT Clerk

R(+ B. Hunt

Presented by Representative EVANGELOS of Friendship.

Cosponsored by Senator MIRAMANT of Knox and

 $Representatives: HARNETT\ of\ Gardiner,\ O'NEIL\ of\ Saco,\ PLUECKER\ of\ Warren,\ WARREN$

of Hallowell, Senator: MAXMIN of Lincoln.

1	Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:
2	Sec. 1. 15 MRSA c. 301, sub-c. 5 is enacted to read:
3	SUBCHAPTER 5
4	CONVICTION INTEGRITY UNITS
5 6 7	§1861. Conviction integrity units 1. Conviction integrity units. The Attorney General and every district attorney under Title 30-A, chapter 1, subchapter 5 shall maintain within their respective offices a
8 9 10 11	conviction integrity unit that timely reviews the convictions resulting in imprisonment of criminal cases prosecuted by the Attorney General's or that district attorney's office to determine whether errors were committed justifying a post-conviction review of the conviction.
12 13	2. Review. A conviction integrity unit shall review a conviction in its office that contains:
14	A. Facts that suggest a plausible claim of innocence;
15	B. Evidence of a constitutional violation or prosecutorial misconduct; or
16	C. Facts or circumstances requiring a review in the interests of fairness or justice.
17 18 19 20 21	That a person pleaded guilty or is no longer incarcerated is not an impediment to the person's conviction being reviewed under this chapter. The conviction integrity unit may conduct a review of a conviction upon its own initiative or upon a referral from another person. The conviction integrity unit shall conduct a periodic audit of convictions prosecuted by the unit's office to determine eligibility of review under this subsection.
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	3. Investigation. An investigation of a conviction under subsection 2 must include a review of all files, evidence, work product, notes, laboratory records, personnel files and other information possessed or obtained by the State in the course of or relevant to the underlying case, any evidence proffered by the defendant or others and further facts or evidence that may support a review under subsection 2 whether or not the facts or evidence was available or proffered by the defense at the time of trial. An investigation may include coordination and cooperation with defense counsel, the defendant, witnesses or others, including documents, electronic files or laboratory or other records, to determine the facts and circumstances supporting a review under subsection 2.
31	4. Convictions involving allegations of prosecutorial misconduct. A conviction
32	involving a substantial, nonconclusory allegation of prosecutorial misconduct by a present
33 34	or former member of the conviction integrity unit's office must be referred to an independent authority for investigation and review. This referral must include both the
35	allegation of misconduct as well as any included claim of innocence, constitutional
36	violation or interests of fairness or justice.
37 38 39	5. Certain individuals precluded from conducting review. An attorney who prosecuted or was substantially involved in a conviction may not participate in the conviction integrity unit's review of that conviction.

1 **6. Recommendation; relief; petition.** If a conviction integrity unit determines 2 appropriate based upon the review and investigation, the unit shall make in a timely manner 3 a recommendation to the Attorney General or district attorney in whose office the 4 conviction integrity unit is located whether to petition for post-conviction review under chapter 305-A and the relief to be sought. The relief must be by agreement with the 5 defendant and may include dismissal of the conviction, recharging the defendant and 6 7 conviction of a lesser charge, reduction or termination of a sentence or other disposition. 8 The Attorney General or district attorney may file a petition based upon the 9 recommendation. 10 7. Report. The Attorney General and every district attorney shall submit an annual 11 report on the activities of the conviction integrity unit in the Attorney General's or that 12 district attorney's office, collected by the Attorney General and transmitted to the joint 13 standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over criminal justice and public 14 safety matters. The report must include: 15 A. The number and nature of convictions reviewed, including: 16 (1) The total number of referrals received: 17 (2) The number of convictions in which trials had occurred; 18 (3) The number of convictions that had resulted in a plea; (4) The number of convictions for which prior state or federal post-conviction 19 petitions had been filed and adjudicated; and 20 21 (5) The source of referrals, including from pro se defendants, innocence 22 organizations, the defense bar, news media or other persons or from office-initiated 23 investigations pursuant to audits arising from prior wrongful conviction matters 24 including audits involving individual prosecutors, police officers or forensic 25 techniques; 26 B. The outcomes of reviews, including: 27 (1) The number of convictions in which a decision was made not to undertake an 28 investigation; 29 (2) The number of convictions in which an investigation was undertaken; (3) The number of convictions for which relief was granted, the nature of that 30 31 relief and the grounds for providing that relief; 32 (4) The number of convictions where an investigation was undertaken and no 33 agreement made between the parties resulting in continuing post-conviction 34 litigation and the status or result of that litigation; and 35 (5) The number of convictions referred for independent review and investigation 36 due to a substantial, nonconclusory allegation of misconduct by a prosecutor; and 37 C. An analysis of the lesson learned and solution recommended for an error identified 38 in the course of the activities of the conviction integrity unit, including identification

of the root cause of the error, the potential use of an independent external expert to

conduct a forensic review of the error, a reexamination of cases with similar or like

facts or root causes and recommended policy change and implementation.

39

40

41

Sec. 2. 15 MRSA §2122, as amended by PL 2011, c. 601, §4, is further amended to read:

§2122. Purpose

This chapter provides a comprehensive and, except for direct appeals from a criminal judgment, exclusive method of review of those criminal judgments and of post-sentencing proceedings occurring during the course of and subsequent to sentences. It is a remedy for unfair, unjust or illegal restraint and other impediments specified in section 2124 that have occurred directly or indirectly as a result of an unfair, unjust or illegal criminal judgment or post-sentencing proceeding. It replaces the remedies available pursuant to postconviction habeas corpus, to the extent that review of a criminal conviction or proceedings were reviewable, the remedies available pursuant to common law habeas corpus, including habeas corpus as recognized in Title 14, sections 5501 and 5509 to 5546, coram nobis, audita querela, writ of error, declaratory judgment and any other previous common law or statutory method of review, except appeal of a judgment of conviction or juvenile adjudication and remedies that are incidental to proceedings in the trial court. The substantive extent of the remedy of post-conviction review is defined in this chapter and not defined in the remedies that it replaces; provided that this. This chapter provides and is must be construed to provide relief for those persons required to use this chapter as required by the Constitution of Maine, Article I, Section 10.

- **Sec. 3. 15 MRSA §2123, sub-§1-A,** as amended by PL 2003, c. 29, §2, is further amended to read:
- 1-A. Supreme Court Justice or authorized Judge of the District Court. A single Justice of the Supreme Judicial Court, an Active Retired Justice of the Supreme Judicial Court or a judge authorized to sit in the Superior Court on post-conviction review cases has and shall exercise jurisdiction and has and shall exercise all of the powers, duties and authority necessary for exercising the same jurisdiction as the Superior Court relative to a post-conviction review proceeding. If a petition is brought under section 1861, the justice or judge who presided over the underlying conviction may not preside over the post-conviction review of the case.
- **Sec. 4. 15 MRSA §2125,** as amended by PL 2013, c. 266, §4, is further amended to read:

§2125. Ground for relief

A person who satisfies the prerequisites of section 2124 may show that the challenged criminal judgment or sentence is unlawful or unlawfully imposed, or unfair or unjust under section 1861 or that the impediment resulting from the challenged post-sentencing proceeding is unlawful, as a result of any error or ground for relief, whether or not of record, unless the error is harmless or unless relief is unavailable for a reason provided in section 2126, section 2128 unless section 2128-A applies, or section 2128-B.

Sec. 5. 15 MRSA §2126, as amended by PL 2013, c. 266, §5, is further amended to read:

§2126. Exhaustion

A Except for a proceeding brought under section 1861, a person under restraint or impediment specified in section 2124 must also demonstrate that the person has previously

exhausted remedies incidental to proceedings in the trial court, on appeal or administrative remedies. A person who has taken an appeal from a judgment of conviction, a juvenile adjudication or a judgment of not criminally responsible by reason of insanity is not precluded from utilizing the remedy of this chapter while the appeal is pending. The post-conviction review proceeding is automatically stayed pending resolution of the appeal unless the Appellate Court on motion and for good cause otherwise directs.

Sec. 6. 15 MRSA §2128, first ¶, as amended by PL 2011, c. 601, §9, is further amended to read:

A Except for a proceeding brought under section 1861, a person under restraint or impediment specified in section 2124 shall demonstrate that any ground of relief has not been waived. The bases of waiver are as follows.

Sec. 7. 15 MRSA §2128-B, sub-§4 is enacted to read:

- 4. Filing deadline for resentencing review. A petition filed pursuant to section 1861 may be brought at any time after the issuance of conviction.
- **Sec. 8. 15 MRSA §2129, sub-§1,** as amended by PL 2003, c. 29, §3, is further amended to read:
 - 1. Filing of petition. Petitions shall must be filed as follows.
 - A. A proceeding for post-conviction review shall <u>must</u> be commenced by filing a petition in the Superior Court in the county specified in section 2123.
 - B. If Except for a petition brought pursuant to section 1861, if the petitioner desires to have counsel appointed, he the petitioner shall file an affidavit of indigency in the form prescribed by the Supreme Judicial Court. If the petitioner is incarcerated, the affidavit shall must be accompanied by a certificate of the appropriate officer of the institution in which the petitioner is incarcerated as to the amount of money or securities on deposit to the petitioner's credit in any account in the institution. The failure to include an affidavit of indigency with the petition does not bar the court from appointing counsel upon a subsequent filing of an affidavit of indigency.
 - C. Once the petition has been filed, the clerk shall forward a copy of the petition and any separate documents filed with it to the Chief Justice of the Superior Court and to the prosecutorial office that earlier represented the State in the underlying criminal or juvenile proceeding or, for a petition brought under section 1861, to the person whose conviction is being reviewed.
 - **Sec. 9. 15 MRSA §2129, sub-§12** is enacted to read:
- 12. Standard of proof for claims of innocence. The standard of review for a petition filed under section 1861 claiming innocence is by clear and convincing evidence.

36 SUMMARY

This bill requires the Attorney General and every district attorney to maintain a conviction integrity unit to review convictions in cases they prosecuted to determine whether there is plausible evidence of innocence, a constitutional violation or prosecutorial misconduct or when the facts and circumstances require a review in the interests of fairness and justice. A conviction integrity unit may recommend that a conviction be dismissed or

replaced with a lesser charge or may recommend a reduction or termination of a sentence 1 or other disposition upon agreement with the defendant. The Attorney General or district 2 attorney may file a petition for post-conviction review based upon that recommendation. 3 Prosecutors are prohibited from participating in the review of cases that they prosecuted 4 and justices and judges are prohibited from presiding over the post-conviction review of 5 cases over which they presided. Cases of prosecutorial misconduct must be reviewed by 6 an independent authority. The standard of review for petitions claiming innocence is by 7 clear and convincing evidence. 8