

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

8/1/16
1

Date: 3/24/16 Majority

L.D. 775
(Filing No. H-602)

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

JUDICIARY

Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Clerk of the House.

**STATE OF MAINE
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
127TH LEGISLATURE
SECOND REGULAR SESSION**

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 528, L.D. 775, Bill, "An Act To Streamline Judicial Review of Certain Land Use Decisions"

Amend the bill by striking out everything after the enacting clause and inserting the following:

'Sec. 1. 30-A MRSA c. 190 is enacted to read:

CHAPTER 190

JUDICIAL REVIEW OF SIGNIFICANT MUNICIPAL LAND USE DECISION

§4481. Definitions

As used in this chapter, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the following meanings.

1. Significant municipal land use decision. "Significant municipal land use decision" means final action on an application for a land use development project that is either:

A. Submitted to the municipal reviewing authority, as defined by section 4301, subsection 12, under a municipal site plan ordinance or other municipal ordinance adopted under chapter 187, subchapter 3, or pursuant to authority under Title 38, section 488, subsection 19 or section 489-A, but only if the land use development project consists of:

(1) One or more buildings that occupy a total ground area in excess of 10,000 square feet or contain a total floor area in excess of 40,000 square feet; or

(2) A total ground area in excess of 3 acres occupied by buildings, parking lots, roads, paved areas, wharves and other areas to be stripped or graded and not revegetated; or

B. Submitted as a project consisting of 10 or more lots subject to the municipal reviewing authority, as defined by section 4301, subsection 12, under an ordinance

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

2008

1 adopted under chapter 187, subchapter 4 or pursuant to authority under Title 38,
2 section 488, subsection 19 or section 489-A.

3 **§4482. Review of significant municipal land use decision**

4 This section governs the process of filing complaints in Superior Court to challenge a
5 significant municipal land use decision or the failure to make such a decision.

6 **1. Review of significant municipal land use decision.** A complaint may be filed
7 either in the general docket of the Superior Court for the county in which the municipality
8 is located or directly in a docket designated by the Supreme Judicial Court for business
9 matters. Any complaint filed in the general docket of the Superior Court for the county in
10 which the municipality is located must be transferred upon request of any party to the
11 proceeding to a docket designated by the Supreme Judicial Court for business matters.

12 **2. Filing of record.** The defendant municipality shall file a complete record for
13 review, as described in the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 80B, as agreed upon by
14 the parties within 35 days of the commencement of the action, unless the court enlarges
15 the time for cause. The plaintiff shall reimburse the municipality for the cost of
16 producing the record.

17 **§4483. Appeal of significant municipal land use decision to Law Court**

18 Any party to a review proceeding under this chapter may obtain review of a final
19 judgment by appeal to the Supreme Judicial Court, sitting as the Law Court. The appeal
20 must be taken as in other civil cases, except that upon the request of any party, and in the
21 interests of justice, the Supreme Judicial Court may expedite the briefing schedule.'

22 **SUMMARY**

23 This amendment, which is the majority report of the Joint Standing Committee on
24 Judiciary, replaces the bill, which is a concept draft. It provides that a complaint for
25 review of a municipal decision on a significant land use project may be filed with either
26 the general docket of the Superior Court, as in current law, or directly with the Business
27 and Consumer Docket in the Superior Court established by the Maine Supreme Judicial
28 Court by Administrative Order JB-07-1 (A.11-08). It also provides that, if a complaint is
29 filed with the general docket, any party to the appeal may request that the complaint be
30 transferred to the Business and Consumer Docket and the Business and Consumer Docket
31 is required to accept the transfer.

32 The amendment requires that a defendant municipality must file the record for review
33 as agreed upon by the parties within 35 days of the commencement of the action, as
34 opposed to 40 days pursuant to current court rules, unless the court extends the time for
35 cause. The plaintiff is required to reimburse the municipality for the cost of producing the
36 record.

37 A party may appeal the Superior Court decision of a significant municipal land use
38 decision, whether from the general docket or the Business and Consumer Docket, to the
39 Supreme Judicial Court. Upon the request of any party, and in the interests of justice, the
40 Supreme Judicial Court may expedite the briefing schedule.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT