MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



35

Date: 5/17/11

(Filing No. H $_{269}$)

3	JUDICIARY					
4	Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Clerk of the House.					
5	STATE OF MAINE					
6	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES					
7	125TH LEGISLATURE					
8	FIRST REGULAR SESSION					
O	TING! REGULAR DEBSION					
9 10 11	COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 299, L.D. 373, Bill, "An Act To Provide for Equal Rights of Appeal for the State and Defendants Concerning Post-judgment DNA Analysis"					
12 13	Amend the bill by striking out everything after the enacting clause and before the summary and inserting the following:					
14 15	'Sec. 1. 15 MRSA §2138, sub-§6, as enacted by PL 2001, c. 469, §1, is amended to read:					
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	6. Appeal from court decision to grant or deny motion to order DNA analysis. An aggrieved person may not appeal as a matter of right from the denial of a motion to order DNA analysis. The time, manner and specific conditions for taking that appeal to the Supreme Judicial Court, sitting as the Law Court, are as the Supreme Judicial Court provides by rule. The State may not appeal as a matter of right from a court order to grant a motion to order DNA analysis. The time, manner and specific conditions for taking that appeal to the Supreme Judicial Court, sitting as the Law Court, are as the Supreme Judicial Court provides by rule.					
24 25	Sec. 2. 15 MRSA §2138, sub-§11, as enacted by PL 2001, c. 469, §1, is amended to read:					
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	11. Appeal from a court decision to grant or deny a motion for new trial. An aggrieved person may not appeal from the denial of a new trial as a matter of right. The time, manner and specific conditions for taking that appeal to the Supreme Judicial Court sitting as the Law Court, are as the Supreme Judicial Court provides by rule. The State of an aggrieved person may appeal as a matter of right from a court decision to grant of deny the person a new trial to the Supreme Judicial Court, sitting as the Law Court. The time, manner and specific conditions for taking that appeal to the Supreme Judicial Court, sitting as the Law Court, are as the Supreme Judicial Court provides by rule.'					
34	SUMMARY					

Page 1 - 125LR1196(02)-1

This amendment replaces the bill.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 299, L.D. 373

1 2

T	his	amenda	ment ado	ds a new	section	to	provide	e a di	scretionar	y appeal	opport	tunity	for
the St	ate	when t	he court	grants a	motion	to	order I	NA	analysis.	Currentl	y, the	State	has
no rig	ht 1	to appea	al that do	ecision.									

This amendment replaces t	he language in the bill	to clarify that both the	State and an
aggrieved person have an app	eal as a matter of right	t from a court decisio	n to grant or
deny a new trial based on the D	NA analysis results.		