

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

DMG
P. O. C.

Date: 6-7-11

(Filing No. S-270)

Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Secretary of the Senate.

STATE OF MAINE
SENATE
125TH LEGISLATURE
FIRST REGULAR SESSION

SENATE AMENDMENT "A" to COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 128,
L.D. 145, Bill, "An Act To Protect Homeowners Subject to Foreclosure by Requiring the
Foreclosing Entity To Provide the Court with Original Documents"

Amend the amendment by striking out the substitute title and replacing it with the
following:

**'An Act To Protect Homeowners Subject to Foreclosure by Requiring the
Foreclosing Entity To Provide the Court with Original Documents or Their
Electronic Equivalent'**

Amend the amendment by striking out all of section 1 and inserting the following:

'Sec. 1. 14 MRSA §6321, as amended by PL 2009, c. 476, Pt. B, §5 and affected
by §9, is further amended by adding after the 2nd paragraph 3 new paragraphs to read:

If, during the course of a foreclosure action in which the defendant has appeared and
before judgment has been entered, the defendant sends to the plaintiff a written request to
be allowed to inspect and copy or photograph the original mortgage note, loan agreement
or other document evidencing indebtedness, or the electronic equivalent of the original
mortgage note, loan agreement or other such document, the plaintiff, unless otherwise
ordered by the court, shall produce the original document or its electronic equivalent
together with all existing indorsements or electronic equivalents in accordance with the
Maine Rules of Civil Procedure. The place for inspection must be, at the election of the
plaintiff: the courthouse where the action is pending; the office of an attorney licensed in
this State and located in the county in which the action is pending; an office of a banking
institution or credit union within the county where the action is pending; the office of the
plaintiff's attorney; or such other place upon which the plaintiff and defendant agree.

If the plaintiff claims that it is not in possession of the original documents or
electronic equivalents, the plaintiff must provide a valid excuse for failing to produce
those documents or their electronic equivalents.

If the plaintiff fails to produce the original documents or their electronic equivalents
or to provide a valid excuse for failure to produce those documents, the court may use its
discretion to fashion appropriate remedies.'

7. 04 8.


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

SUMMARY

This amendment changes the provisions of Committee Amendment "A" in the following ways:

- 1. It allows the plaintiff in a foreclosure action to produce an electronic equivalent of the mortgage note, loan agreement or other evidence of indebtedness;
- 2. It requires the defendant to send the written request to inspect the original mortgage note prior to judgment being entered;
- 3. It removes the specific time limit for the plaintiff to respond to the defendant's written request and to produce the note and instead requires the response and production to be in accordance with the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure;
- 4. It adds, as an acceptable place for inspection, the office of the plaintiff's attorney;
- 5. It removes the requirement that the court, upon motion of the defendant, dismiss the foreclosure action and award the defendant attorney's fees for failure of the plaintiff to produce the original note. Instead, this amendment requires the plaintiff to provide a valid excuse for failure to provide the note or an electronic equivalent and, in the event that the plaintiff fails to provide either the note or its electronic equivalent or a valid excuse, allows the court to fashion appropriate remedies; and
- 6. It removes the provision that allows the plaintiff to provide a sworn affidavit in lieu of the original note in the event that the provisions of the Uniform Commercial Code regarding lost, stolen or destroyed instruments apply or the note is an electronic transferable record under the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act.

SPONSORED BY:



(Senator WOODBURY)

COUNTY: Cumberland