



# 124th MAINE LEGISLATURE

### FIRST REGULAR SESSION-2009

Legislative Document	••••	 No. 1496
SP 573		In Senate June 12, 2009

An Act To Ensure Benefits for State Retirees

(AFTER DEADLINE)

(EMERGENCY)

Approved for introduction by a majority of the Legislative Council pursuant to Joint Rule 205.

Reference to the Committee on Labor suggested and ordered printed.

JOY J. O'BRIEN Secretary of the Senate

STATEL

AUGUSTA, MAINE

Presented by President MITCHELL of Kennebec. Cosponsored by Speaker PINGREE of North Haven and Representative: TUTTLE of Sanford.

Emergency preamble. Whereas, acts and resolves of the Legislature do not 1 2 become effective until 90 days after adjournment unless enacted as emergencies; and 3 Whereas, current law requires that cost-of-living adjustments in retirement benefits 4 for state employees must be made when there is a percentage change in the Consumer 5 Price Index; and 6 Whereas, there has been a percentage change in the Consumer Price Index; and 7 Whereas, it is imperative that this legislation take effect immediately so that the 8 benefits for state retirees do not decrease; and 9 Whereas, in the judgment of the Legislature, these facts create an emergency within 10 the meaning of the Constitution of Maine and require the following legislation as 11 immediately necessary for the preservation of the public peace, health and safety; now, 12 therefore, Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows: 13 14 Sec. 1. 4 MRSA §1358, sub-§1, ¶A, as repealed and replaced by PL 1985, c. 15 693,  $\S11$ , is amended to read: 16 A. The board shall automatically adjust increase allowances, beginning in September 17 1985, and each September thereafter, by any percentage change increase in the 18 Consumer Price Index from July 1st to June 30th, but only to a maximum annual 19 increase or decrease of 4%. The board shall determine the cost of these adjustments 20 and shall include them in its budget requests, if necessary. 21 Sec. 2. 4 MIRSA §1358, sub-§1, ¶D, as repealed and replaced by PL 1985, c. 22 693, §11, is repealed. 23 Sec. 3. 5 MRSA §17806, sub-§1, ¶A, as enacted by PL 1985, c. 801, §§5 and 7, 24 · is amended to read: 25 A. Whenever there is a percentage change increase in the Consumer Price Index 26 from July 1st to June 30th, the board shall automatically make an equal percentage 27 increase or decrease in retirement benefits, beginning in September, up to a 28 maximum annual increase or decrease of 4%. 29 Sec. 4. 5 MRSA §17806, sub-§1, ¶C, as enacted by PL 1985, c. 801, §§5 and 7, 30 is repealed. 31 Sec. 5. 5 MRSA §18407, sub-§4, ¶A, as enacted by PL 1985, c. 801, §§5 and 7, 32 is amended to read: 33 A. Whenever there is a percentage change increase in the Consumer Price Index 34 from July 1st to June 30th, the board shall automatically make an equal percentage 35 increase or decrease in retirement benefits, beginning in September, up to a 36 maximum annual increase or decrease of 4%.

#### Page 1 - 124LR2029(01)-1

Sec. 6. 5 MRSA §18407, sub-§4, ¶C, as enacted by PL 1985, c. 801, §§5 and 7, is repealed.

**Emergency clause.** In view of the emergency cited in the preamble, this legislation takes effect when approved.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

#### SUMMARY

This bill ensures that the cost-of-living adjustment to retirement benefits based on any percentage change in the Consumer Price Index does not affect the benefits of state retirees unless that change is an increase in the Consumer Price Index.

#### FISCAL NOTE REQUIRED (See attached)

Page 2 - 124LR2029(01)-1



## **124th MAINE LEGISLATURE**

 $\mathbb{L}\mathbb{D}$ 

#### LR 2029(01)

An Act To Ensure Benefits for State Retirees

Preliminary Fiscal Impact Statement for Original Bill Sponsor: Sen. Mitchell of Kennebec Committee: Not Referred Fiscal Note Required: Yes

### **Preliminary Fiscal Impact Statement**

Loss of potential savings - All Funds

#### **Fiscal Detail and Notes**

This legislation may result in the loss of the potential actuarial gains in those years when the consumer price index declines and retirement benefit amounts would be reduced. As a result, the State may not realize a decline in future contribution rates.