MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



124th MAINE LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION-2009

Legislative Document

No. 1179

H.P. 818

House of Representatives, March 25, 2009

An Act To Create a Post-judgment Mechanism To Provide Relief for a Person Whose Identity Has Been Stolen and Falsely Used in Court Proceedings

Reported by Representative PRIEST of Brunswick for the Criminal Law Advisory Commission pursuant to the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 17-A, section 1354, subsection 2. Reference to the Committee on Judiciary suggested and ordered printed under Joint Rule 218.

Millient M. Macfailansl MILLICENT M. MacFARLAND Clerk

1:	Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:
2	Sec. 1. 15 MRSA c. 308 is enacted to read:
3	CHAPTER 308
4 5 6	POST-JUDGMENT MOTION WHEN PERSON'S IDENTITY HAS BEEN STOLEN AND USED IN A CRIMINAL, CIVIL VIOLATION OR TRAFFIC INFRACTION PROCEEDING
7	§2181. Application
8 9 10	This chapter is not intended to and may not be used to provide relief to a person who has stolen another person's identity and falsely used the identity in a criminal, civil violation or traffic infraction proceeding.
11 12	§2182. Post-judgment motion for determination of factual innocence and correction of record
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1. Motion; persons who may file. A person who reasonably believes that the person's identity has been stolen and falsely used by another in a criminal, civil violation or traffic infraction proceeding in which a final judgment has been entered may file a written motion in the underlying criminal, civil violation or traffic infraction proceeding seeking a court determination of factual innocence and correction of the court records and related criminal justice agency records. The same motion may also be filed on behalf of such a person by an attorney for the State or by the court. 2. Time for filing. A motion for determination of factual innocence and correction of record must be filed: A. By June 1, 2010 for a criminal, civil violation or traffic infraction proceeding finalized prior to the effective date of this section in which the person is aware that the person's identity had been stolen and falsely used by another; and B. One year from the date the person becomes aware that the person's identity has
23 26 27	been stolen and falsely used by another in a criminal, civil violation or traffic infraction proceeding finalized after the effective date of this section.
28	§2183. Motion and hearing; process
29 30 31 32 33	1. Filing motion. A motion filed pursuant to section 2182 must be filed in the underlying criminal, civil violation or traffic infraction proceeding. The appropriate chief judge or justice shall specially assign the motion. The judge or justice to whom the motion is assigned shall determine upon whom and how service of the motion is to be made and enter an order in this regard.
34 35 36	2. Counsel. If the court finds that the person who files the motion under section 2182 or on whose behalf the motion is filed is indigent, the court may appoint counsel for the person at any time during the proceedings under this chapter.

1.1

- 4. Evidence. The Maine Rules of Evidence do not apply to the hearing on the motion under this section, and evidence presented at the hearing by the participants may include testimony, affidavits and other reliable hearsay evidence as permitted by the court.
- 5. Hearing; certification of results. The judge or justice to whom the motion was assigned pursuant to subsection 1 shall hold a hearing on the motion under this section. At the conclusion of the hearing, if the court finds that the person who filed the motion under section 2182 has established by clear and convincing evidence relative to a criminal proceeding or by a preponderance of the evidence relative to a civil violation or traffic infraction proceeding that the person is not the person who committed the crime, civil violation or traffic infraction, the court shall find the person factually innocent of that crime, civil violation or traffic infraction and shall issue a written order certifying this determination. If at the conclusion of the hearing the court finds otherwise as to the motion, the court shall deny the motion and shall issue a written order certifying this determination. The order must contain written findings of fact supporting the court's decision granting or denying the motion. A copy of the court's written order granting or denying the motion must be provided to the person.
- 6. Correction of the record. If the court grants the motion following the hearing in subsection 5, it shall additionally determine what court records and related criminal justice records require correction and shall enter a written order specifying the corrections to be made in the court records and the records of each of the appropriate criminal justice agencies.
- 7. Subsequent discovery of fraud or misrepresentation. If the court that has issued an order certifying a determination of factual innocence pursuant to subsection 5 subsequently discovers that the motion or information submitted in support of the motion may contain material misrepresentation or fraud, the court may, after giving notice to the participants, hold a hearing. At the conclusion of the hearing, if the court finds by a preponderance of the evidence the existence of material misrepresentation or fraud, it may, by written order, vacate its earlier order certifying a determination of factual innocence and modify accordingly any record correction earlier made pursuant to subsection 6. The written order must contain findings of fact supporting its decision to vacate or not to vacate.

§2184. Review of determination of factual innocence; review of subsequent vacating of determination

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

.29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

A final judgment entered under section 2183, subsection 5 or 7 may be reviewed by the Supreme Judicial Court sitting as the Law Court.

- 1. Appeal by the person. A person aggrieved by the final judgment under section 2183, subsection 5 or 7 may not appeal as of right. The time for taking the appeal and the manner and any conditions for the taking of the appeal are as the Supreme Judicial Court provides by rule.
- 2. Appeal by the State. If the State is aggrieved by the final judgment under section 2183, subsection 5 or 7, it may appeal as of right, and a certificate of approval by the Attorney General is not required. The time for taking the appeal and the manner and any conditions for the taking of the appeal are as the Supreme Judicial Court provides by rule.

SUMMARY

This bill is proposed by the Criminal Law Advisory Commission.

This bill provides for a comprehensive post-judgment method of relief when a person's identity has been stolen and falsely used by another person in a criminal, civil violation or traffic infraction proceeding. The final outcome in that proceeding is immaterial. The relief offered is a court determination of factual innocence and the correction of the court records and related criminal justice agency records. This bill does not provide relief to a person who has stolen another person's identity and falsely used it in a criminal, civil violation or traffic infraction proceeding.

The relief process commences with the filing of a post-judgment motion in the underlying proceeding seeking a court determination of factual innocence and the correction of the court records and related criminal justice agency records. A person who reasonably believes that the person's identity has been stolen and falsely used by another person may file the post-judgment motion, or an attorney for the State or the court may file the motion on the person's behalf. There is a one-year statute of limitations on the filing of a motion. Once the motion is filed, it must be specially assigned to a judge or justice who shall determine upon whom and how service of the motion is to be made and enter an order in this regard. If the judge or justice finds the person to be indigent, the court may appoint counsel for the person at any time during the proceedings. A hearing on a timely motion must be held by the judge or justice. The Maine Rules of Evidence do not apply, and evidence presented at the hearing may include testimony, affidavits and other reliable hearsay evidence as permitted by the judge or justice. At the hearing the burden is on the movant to establish by clear and convincing evidence relative to a criminal proceeding or by a preponderance of the evidence relative to a civil violation or traffic infraction proceeding that the person is factually innocent of that crime, civil violation or traffic infraction. If the movant satisfies the appropriate burden of proof, the judge or justice must find the person factually innocent of that crime, civil violation or traffic infraction and issue an order certifying this determination. If the movant fails to satisfy the appropriate burden of proof, the judge or justice must deny the motion and

issue an order certifying this determination. Under either outcome, the order must be in writing and must contain findings of fact supporting the judge's or justice's decision granting or denying the motion. A copy of that written order must be provided to the person. If the judge or justice grants the motion, the judge or justice must additionally determine what court records and related criminal justice records require correction and enter a written order specifying the corrections to be made in the court records and the records of each of the related criminal justice agencies.

If, subsequent to granting relief, a judge or justice learns that the motion or information submitted in support of the motion may contain material misrepresentation or fraud, the judge or justice may, after proper notice, hold a hearing. At the conclusion of the hearing, if the judge or justice finds by a preponderance of the evidence the existence of material misrepresentation or fraud, the judge or justice may, by written order, vacate the earlier order certifying a determination of factual innocence and modify accordingly any record correction made earlier. The written order must contain findings of fact supporting the judge's or justice's decision to vacate or not to vacate.

If the person or the State is aggrieved by a final judgment, the person or the State may appeal to the law court. The person's appeal, unlike that of the State, is not of right.