



TATE LAW LIBRARY AUKEUSTA, MAINF

124th MAINE LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION-2009

Legislative Document

No. 444

H.P. 332

House of Representatives, February 10, 2009

An Act To Allow a Verdict in a Criminal Trial To Be Considered a De Minimis Infraction

Reference to the Committee on Judiciary suggested and ordered printed.

Millient M. Mac Farland MILLICENT M. MacFARLAND Clerk

Presented by Representative CLEARY of Houlton.

Cosponsored by Representatives: BEAUDETTE of Biddeford, CRAY of Palmyra, EATON of Sullivan, HINCK of Portland, SMITH of Monmouth, THERIAULT of Madawaska, Senators: GERZOFSKY of Cumberland, JACKSON of Aroostook.

1 Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

4

5

6 7

8

19

Sec. 1. 17-A MRSA §12, sub-§1, as enacted by PL 1975, c. 499, §1, is amended
 to read:

1. The court may dismiss a prosecution if, upon notice to or motion of the prosecutor and opportunity to be heard, having regard to For a Class D or Class E crime, the court or jury may consider the facts of the conduct alleged, the nature of the conduct alleged and the nature of the attendant circumstances, it finds concerning a defendant's act and base a verdict upon whether the defendant's conduct:

- 9 A. Was within a customary license or tolerance, which was not expressly refused by 10 the person whose interest was infringed and which is not inconsistent with the 11 purpose of the law defining the crime; or
- B. Did not actually cause or threaten the harm sought to be prevented by the law
 defining the crime or did so only to an extent too trivial to warrant the condemnation
 of conviction; or
- 15 C. Presents such other extenuations that it cannot reasonably be regarded as 16 envisaged by the Legislature in defining the crime.

17 The court may dismiss a prosecution pursuant to this subsection at any time upon notice
 18 to or motion of the prosecutor and opportunity to be heard.

SUMMARY

This bill changes the requirements of allowing a court to dismiss a criminal offense based upon de minimis conduct by eliminating the requirement that a prosecutor be noticed and afforded an opportunity to be heard, by limiting the consideration to a Class D or Class E crime and by allowing a jury to also consider the de minimis conduct.