# MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



# 122nd MAINE LEGISLATURE

## FIRST SPECIAL SESSION-2005

Legislative Document

No. 1673

S.P. 620

In Senate, May 16, 2005

An Act To Implement the Recommendations of the Commission to Study Maine's Community Hospitals

Reference to the Committee on Health and Human Services suggested and ordered printed.

JOY J. O'BRIEN Secretary of the Senate

Presented by Senator MAYO of Sagadahoc. (GOVERNOR'S BILL) Cosponsored by Representative PINGREE of North Haven.

2	Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:
2	Con 1 22 MDCA - 405 D
4	Sec. 1. 22 MRSA c. 405-D, as amended, is further amended by repealing the chapter headnote and enacting the following in its place:
6	
8	CHAPTER 405-D
	HOSPITAL AND HEALTH CARE PROVIDER COOPERATION ACT
10	Co. 2 22 MDCA 91001
12	Sec. 2. 22 MRSA §1881, as enacted by PL 1991, c. 814, §1, is amended to read:
14	§1881. Short title
16	This chapter may be known and cited as the "Hospital and Health Care Provider Cooperation Act of-1992."
18	Sec. 3. 22 MRSA §1881-A is enacted to read:
20	
22	\$1881-A. Legislative findings; intent; purpose
22	1. Findings. The Legislature makes the following findings.
24	THE THE THE THE TAXABLE THE TOTAL WITH THE TANDINGS.
	A. Health care costs in Maine have been increasing much
26	more rapidly than the ability of its citizens to support
20	these increases.
28	B. The escalating costs of Maine's health care system are
30	unsustainable and threaten the well-being of the citizens of
	the State.
32	
	C. It is necessary and appropriate to encourage hospitals
34	and other health care providers to cooperate and enter into
36	agreements that will help facilitate cost containment, improve quality of care and increase access to health care
	services.
38	
	2. Intent: purpose. It is the intent of the Legislature to
40	protect the public health and promote the public interest by
42	encouraging hospitals and other health care providers to cooperate and enter into agreements that will help facilitate
10	cost containment, improve quality of care and increase access to
44	health care services. It is the intent of the Legislature that a
	cooperative agreement for which a certificate of public advantage
46	has been issued will not violate any law governing impermissible
4.0	restraint of trade and that issuance of such a certificate will
48	provide state action immunity under the federal antitrust law.
50	Sec. 4. 22 MRSA §1882, as amended by PL 1995, c. 583, §§1 and
<del>-</del> -	2, is further amended to read:

#### §1882. Definitions

As used in this chapter, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the following meanings.

6

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

24

26

28

30

2

- 1. Cooperative agreement. "Cooperative agreement" means an agreement among 2 or more hospitals or nemprofit-mental health care providers for the sharing, allocation or referral of personnel, instructional programs, patients, mental services, support services and facilities or medical, diagnostic laboratory facilities or procedures services or other traditionally offered by hospitals or nenprefit--mental other health care providers, or for the coordinated negotiation and contracting with payors, vendors or employers or for the merger of 2 or more hospitals or health care providers.
- 1-A. Health care provider. "Health care provider" means a physician and any other person that is certified, registered or licensed in the healing arts, including, but not limited to, a nurse, podiatrist, optometrist, chiropractor, physical therapist, dentist, psychologist, physician assistant and any corporation organized under the Maine Nonprofit Corporation Act or an organization recognized as exempt from federal income tax under 26 United States Code, Section 501(c)(3) that is engaged primarily in the provision of mental health services.

#### 2. Hospital. "Hospital" means:

A. Any acute care institution required to be licensed as a hospital under section 1811; or

32

34

- B. Any nonprofit parent of a hospital, hospital subsidiary or hospital affiliate that provides medical or medically related diagnostic and laboratory services or engages in ancillary activities supporting those services.
- 38 2-A. Merger. "Merger" means a transaction by which ownership or control over substantially all of the stock, assets or activities of one or more licensed and operating hespitals hospital or health care provider is placed under the control of another licensed hospital or hospitals or health care provider or providers or the parent organization of that hospital or hospitals or health care provider or providers.
- 46
  3.---Nonprofit--mental--health--care--provider.---"Nonprofit
  mental-health-care--provider"--means-a--corporation--organized-under
  the-Maine-Nonprofit-Corporation-Act-or-an-organization-recognized
  as-exempt--from-federal--income-tax--under--26-United--States--Goder

Section-501(c)(3)-that-is-engaged-primarily-in-the-provision-of mental-health-services.

4. Reviewing agencies. "Reviewing agencies" means the Attorney General, the department and the Governor's Office of Health Policy and Finance, or its successor, which have joint authority with respect to applications filed under this chapter.

Sec. 5. 22 MRSA §1883, as amended by PL 1995, c. 583, §§3 to 7, is further amended to read:

#### §1883. Certification for cooperative agreements

1. Authority. A hospital or nemprefit-mental health care provider may negotiate and enter into cooperative agreements with other hospitals or nemprefit-mental health care providers in the State if the likely benefits resulting from the agreements outweigh any disadvantages attributable to a reduction in competition that may result from the agreements.

2. Application for certificate. Parties to a cooperative agreement may apply te-the-department for a certificate of public advantage governing that cooperative agreement. The application must include an executed written copy of the cooperative agreement and describe the nature and scope of the cooperation in the agreement and any consideration passing to any party under the agreement. A-copy-of-the The application and copies of all additional related materials must be submitted simultaneously to the Atterney-General-and-te-the-department-at-the-same-time reviewing agencies.

2-A. Letter of intent. Parties to a hospital merger agreement who intend to file an application for a certificate of public advantage for the merger transaction shall file a letter of intent describing the proposed merger with the department and the Attorney - General the reviewing agencies at least 45 days prior to the filing of the application for a certificate of public advantage.

3. Procedure for review. The following procedures apply to the review of the application by-the-department.

A. The department reviewing agencies shall review--and evaluate the application in accordance with the standards set forth in subsection 4.

B. The department shall furnish copies of any letter of intent, application or decision to a person who requests copies and to a person who registers annually with the department for that purpose. A person may provide the

department with written comments concerning the application within 30 days after the application is filed. The department shall provide the Attorney General and the Governor's Office of Health Policy and Finance, or its successor, with copies of all comments.

6

8

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

24

26

28

30

32

34

2

4

- The department--may reviewing agencies shall hold a public hearing in accordance with rules adopted by the The reviewing agencies, at any time after an department. application is filed under section 1883, subsection 2 or a letter of intent is filed under section 1883, subsection 2-A, may require by subpoena the attendance and testimony of witnesses and the production of documents in Kennebec County or the county in which the applicants are located for the purpose of investigating whether the cooperative agreement satisfies the standards set forth in section 1883, subsection 4. All documents produced and testimony given in response to the subpoena are confidential. The Attorney General may seek an order from the Superior Court compelling compliance with a subpoena issued under this subsection. Intervention is governed by the provisions of Title 5, section 9054.
- D. The parties to a cooperative agreement may withdraw their application and thereby terminate all proceedings under this chapter as-fellews+ without the approval of the reviewing agencies any time prior to the issuance of a final decision under paragraph E.
  - (1)---Without--the--approval---of--the--department,--the Atterney-General-or-the-Superior-Gourt-anytime-prior-te the--filing-of--an-answer--or-responsive--pleading-in-a court-action-under--section-1885,-subsection-2-or-prior te--entry--of--a--consent--decree--under--section--1885, subsection-7,-or

36

38

40

42

44

46

48

- (2)--Without-the-approval-of-the-department,--anytime prior-to-the-issuance-of--a-final-decision--under paragraph-E-if-a-court-action-has-not-been-filed-under section-1885,-subsection-2.
- E. The department reviewing agencies shall grant or deny finally the application no less than 40 days nor more than 90 days after the filing of the application. Approval requires the concurrence of all 3 reviewing agencies. The department reviewing agencies shall issue a recommended decision at least 5 days prior to issuing a final decision granting-or-denying-the-application. The recommended and final decisions must be in writing and set forth the basis for the decision.

2	4. Standards for certification. The department shall issue
	a certificate of public advantage for a cooperative agreement if
4	itdetermines the reviewing agencies determine that the
	applicants have demonstrated byclearand-convincingevidence
6	that the likely benefits resulting from the agreement outweigh
	any disadvantages attributable to a reduction in competition that
8	may result from the agreement.
10	A. In evaluating the potential benefits of a cooperative
	agreement, the department reviewing agencies shall consider
12	whether one or more of the following benefits may result
	from the cooperative agreement:
14	
	(1) Enhancement of the quality of hespitaler
16	nemprefit mental health care, mental health care or
	related care provided to Maine citizens;
18	real fraction of the second of
	(2) Preservation of hospital or nonprofit mental
20	health care provider and related facilities in
	geographical proximity to the communities traditionally
22	served by those facilities;
	borvou si chobo ruorross,
24	(3) Gains Lower costs and gains in the cost efficiency
	of services provided by the hospitals or nemprefit
26	mental health care providers involved;
20	mencal mealer eare providers involved,
28	(4) Improvements in the utilization of hospital or
	nemprefitmental health care provider resources and
30	equipment;
50	oquipmone,
32	(5) Avoidance of duplication of hospital or nemprefit
J.	mental health care resources; and
34	menedi nedich edie resources, and
34	(6) Continuation or establishment of needed
36	educational programs for health care professionals and
30	providers.
38	providers.
30	In any certificate for a merger issued under this chapter,
40	the department reviewing agencies shall make specific
40	findings as to the nature and extent of any likely benefit
42	found under this paragraph.
42	Tourid under chis paragraph.
44	B. The department's reviewing agencies' evaluation of any
44	disadvantages attributable to any reduction in competition
46	likely to result from the agreement may include, but need
- <del>1</del> U	not be limited to, the following factors:
4.0	not be limited to, the following factors.
48	(1) The extent of any likely adverse impact on the
50	(1) The extent of any likely adverse impact on the ability of health maintenance organizations, preferred
50	ability of health maintenance organizations, preferred

provider organizations, managed health care service 2 agents or other health care payors to negotiate optimal payment and service arrangements with hospitals, physicians, allied health care professionals or other 4 health care providers; 6 The extent of any reduction in competition among (2) hospitals, physicians, allied health professionals, 8 other health care providers or other persons furnishing 10 goods or services to, or in competition with, hospitals or nonprofit mental health care providers that is 12 likely to result directly or indirectly from the hospital cooperative agreement and its likely impact; 14 The extent of any likely adverse impact on patients or clients in the quality, availability and 16 price of health care services; 18 The availability of arrangements that are less (4)20 restrictive to competition and achieve the benefits or a more favorable balance of benefits over disadvantages attributable 22 to any reduction competition likely to result from the agreement; and 24 The extent of any likely adverse impact on the 26 access of persons in in-state educational programs for health professions to existing or future clinical 28 training programs. 30 In evaluating the cooperative agreement under the standards in paragraphs A and B, the department reviewing 32 agencies shall consider the extent to which any likely disadvantages may be amelierated mitigated by any reasonably enforceable conditions and the extent to which the likely 34 benefits or favorable balance of benefits over disadvantages 36 may be enhanced by any reasonably enforceable conditions under subparagraph (2). 38 In any certificate issued under this subsection, (1) 40 the department reviewing agencies may include conditions reasonably necessary to amelierate mitigate any likely disadvantages of the type specified in 42 paragraph B, subparagraphs (1) to (3). 44 In any certificate issued under this subsection, (2) 46 department reviewing agencies may additional conditions, if proposed by the applicants, designed to achieve public benefits, -- which that may 48 include but are not limited to the benefits listed in 50 paragraph A.

2	<del>(3) In -anycertificate -issuedunder-this-</del> -subsection
	the-department-shall-require-the-applicants-to-report
4	periodically-the-extent-of-their-compliance-with-any
	eenditiens-issued-under-this-paragraphThe-department
6	shall-review-the-applicant's-submission-and-compliance
	and-report-the-results-of-its-review-te-the-Atterney
8	General,Reviews-are-required-as-fellows+
10	(a)For-transactionsnetinvolving-mergersat
	least-once-in-the-first-39-months-after-issuance
12	of-the-certificate;-and
14	(b) For transactions involving margars between
7.4	(b)Fortransactionsinvolving-mergers,between  27and39monthsafterissuanceofthe
16	eertificateIn-this-review-the-department-alse
10	shallanalyse-theextent-towhich-benefits-have
18	been-achieved-by-the-merger.
10	been-aenzevea-by-ene-mergerv
20	D. The department shall maintain on file all cooperative
	agreements for which certificates of public advantage remain
22	in effect. Any party to a cooperative agreement who
<i></i>	terminates the agreement shall file a notice of termination
24	with the department within 30 days after termination.
2 <del>3</del>	with the department within 30 days after termination.
26	5ReviewbyAttorneyGeneralThedepartmentshall
	consult-with-the-Attorney-General-regarding-its-evaluation-of-any
28	potential-reduction-in-competition-resulting-from-a-cooperative
	agreement.
30	
	6CertificateterminationandenforcementIfthe
32	department-determines -that-the-likely-benefits-resulting-from-a
	eertifiedagreementnolengeroutweighanydisadvantages
34	attributable-to-any-petential-reduction-in-competition-resulting
	from-the-agreement,-the-department-may-initiate-proceedings-to
36	terminatethe-certificateof-publicadvantageThedepartment
	may-institute-proceedings-to-enforce-any-conditions-included-in
38	the-certificate-if-it-determines-that-the-applicants-are-not-in
	substantialcompliancewith-such-conditionsAllproceedings
40	under-this-subsection-must-be-conducted-under-Title-5,-chapter
	375 <sub>7</sub> -subshapter-IV <sub>+</sub>
42	
	7Record teeping The -department shall maintain on -file
44	alleeeperativeagreementsforwhichcertificatesofpublic
	advantage-remain-in-effect Any party-to-a-ecoperative-agreement
46	whe-terminates-the-agreement-shall-file-a-notice-of-termination
	with-the-department-within-30-days-after-termination.

Sec. 6. 22 MRSA §1883-A is enacted to read:

#### \$1883-A. Continuing supervision

2

16

30

36

- 1. Periodic reports. In any certificate of public advantage issued under section 1883, the reviewing agencies shall require the applicant to report periodically on the extent of the benefits realized and, in the case of any certificate containing conditions, its compliance with any conditions issued under this chapter. The reviewing agencies shall evaluate the applicant's submission and compliance and within 30 days of receipt of the submission issue a report of their findings. Reviews are required as follows:
- A. For transactions not involving mergers, at least once in the first 12 months after issuance of the certificate; and
- B. For transactions involving mergers, between 12 and 24 months after issuance of the certificate.
- 2. Supervisory proceedings. At any time, one or more of the reviewing agencies may initiate supervisory proceedings for the purpose of evaluating compliance with any conditions imposed in the certificate of public advantage or for the purpose of determining whether, in their estimation, the likely benefits resulting from a certified agreement continue to outweigh the likely disadvantages attributable to any potential reduction in competition resulting from the agreement. Supervisory proceedings are governed by the procedures set forth in section 1883, subsection 3.
- Sec. 7. 22 MRSA §1885, as amended by PL 1995, c. 583, §§8 to 12, is repealed.
- Sec. 8. 22 MRSA §1886, as amended by PL 1995, c. 583, §§13 and 14, is further amended to read:

#### §1886. Effect of certification; applicability

38 **Validity** of certified cooperative agreements. 40 Notwithstanding Title 5, chapter 10, Title 10, chapter 201 or any other provision of law, a cooperative agreement for which a certificate of public advantage has been issued is a lawful 42 agreement. Notwithstanding Title 5, chapter 10, Title 10, chapter 201 or any other provision of law, if the parties to a 44 cooperative agreement file an application for a certificate of public advantage governing the agreement with the department 46 reviewing agencies, the conduct of the parties in negotiating and entering into a cooperative agreement is lawful conduct. Nothing 48 any person for this subsection immunizes conduct negotiating and entering into a cooperative agreement for which 50

an application for a certificate of public advantage is not filed.

2 2.--Validity-of-cooperative-agreements-determined-not-in
public-interest.--If,-in-any-action-by-the-Attorney-General,-the

Superior--Gourt--determines--that--the-applicants--have--net
established-by-olear-and-convincing-evidence-that-the-likely
benefits--resulting--from-a-cooperative-agreement--outweigh-any
disadvantages--attributable--to--any--potential--reduction--in
empetition--resulting--from--the-agreement,--the-agreement--is
invalid-and-has--no-further-force-or-effect-whon-the-judgment
becomes--final-after-the-time--for--appeal-has-expired-or-the
judgment-of-the-Superior-Gourt-is-affirmed-on-appeal.

12

14

16

- 3. Other laws specifically regulating hospitals. Nothing in this chapter exempts hospitals or other health care providers from compliance with laws governing certificates of need or hospital cost reimbursement.
- 5. Contract disputes. Any dispute among the parties to a cooperative agreement concerning its meaning or terms is governed by normal principles of contract law.
- Sec. 9. 22 MRSA §1888, as amended by PL 1995, c. 232, §7, is repealed.

24

26

28

Sec. 10. 22 MRSA §1889, as enacted by PL 1995, c. 583, §15, is amended to read:

### §1889. Application fee

Any application for a certificate of public advantage 30 involving a merger must be accompanied by an application fee of \$10,000, unless the hospitals seeking to merge each have less 32 than 50 licensed beds, in which case the fee is \$2,500 \$5,000. 34 Any application submitted that includes as a party an entity not subject to the assessment described in section 1887 must be accompanied by an application fee of \$5,000. The department 36 Attorney General shall place these funds into a nonlapsing dedicated revenue account and funds may be used only by the 38 Attorney General for the payment of the cost of experts and consultants in connection with reviews conducted under this 40 chapter.

42

- Sec. 11. 22 MRSA §8709, sub-§1, as enacted by PL 1995, c. 653, Pt. A, §2 and affected by §7, is amended to read:
- 1. Financial data. Each health care facility shall file with the organization,—in—a-ferm—specified—by—rule—pursuant—to seetien—8704, financial information including costs of operation, revenues, assets, liabilities, fund balances, other income, rates, charges and units of services, except to the extent that

the board specifies by rule that portions of this information are unnecessary. Except as provided in subsection 1-A, information required by this subsection must be submitted in a form specified by rule pursuant to section 8704.

#### Sec. 12. 22 MRSA §8709, sub-§1-A is enacted to read:

- 8 1-A. Hospitals: standardized accounting template. When filing the annual financial information required by subsection 1,
  10 a hospital licensed in accordance with chapter 405 shall submit its information electronically using standardized accounting template software designed by the Governor's Office of Health Policy and Finance and provided to the hospital by the organization.
  - Sec. 13. Standardized reporting and voluntary limits to control growth of hospital costs.
  - 1. Voluntary restraint. To control the rate of growth of the costs of hospital services, the Legislature requests that each hospital licensed under the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 22, chapter 405 voluntarily restrain cost increases and operating margins in accordance with this section. The targets apply to each hospital's fiscal year beginning on or after July 1, 2005 and remain in effect through the end of each hospital's fiscal year beginning on or after July 1, 2007.
    - A. Each hospital is asked to voluntarily hold its operating margin to no more than 3%, as measured using data submitted to the Maine Health Data Organization using the electronic standardized accounting template software required by Title 22, section 8709, subsection 1-A. For purposes of this section, a hospital's operating margin is calculated by dividing its operating income, as computed in the template, by its total operating revenue, as computed in the template.
    - B. Each hospital is asked to voluntarily restrain its increase in its expense per casemix-adjusted inpatient and volume-adjusted outpatient discharge to no more than the forecasted increase in the hospital market basket index for the coming federal fiscal year, as published in The Federal Register, when the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services publishes the Medicare program's hospital inpatient prospective payment system rates for the coming federal fiscal year. For purposes of this paragraph, the measure of a hospital's expense per casemix-adjusted inpatient and volume-adjusted outpatient discharge is calculated by:
      - (1) Calculating the hospital's total hospital-only expenses;

2 4	(2) Substracting from the hospital's total hospital-only expenses the amount of the hospital's bad debt;
6	(3) Subtracting from the amount reached in subparagraph (2) the hospital taxes paid to the State
8	during the hospital's fiscal year; and
10	(4) Dividing the amount reached in subparagraph (3) by the product of:
12	( ) = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
14	<ul><li>(a) The number of inpatient discharges, adjusted by the all payer case mix index for the hospital; and</li></ul>
16	(b) The ratio of total gross patient service
18	revenue to gross inpatient service revenue.
20	For the purposes of this paragraph, a hospital's total hospital-only expenses include any item that is listed on
22	the hospital's Medicare cost report as a subprovider, such as a psychiatric unit or rehabilitation unit, and does not
24	include nonhospital cost centers shown on the hospital's Medicare cost report, such as home health agencies, nursing
26 28	facilities, swing bends, skilled nursing facilities and hospital-owned physician practices. For purposes of this
	paragraph, a hospital's bad debt is as defined and reported in the hospital's Medicare cost report.
30	C. By October 1, 2005, the Maine Hospital Association and
32	the Governor's Office of Health Policy and Finance shall agree on a target for increases in hospitals' expense per
34	casemix-adjusted inpatient discharge. Each hospital's expense per casemix-adjusted inpatient discharge is
36	calculated using the following process:
38	(1) Each patient's expense per discharge is calculated by applying the Medicare cost report ratio of
40	cost-to-charges for the matching cost centers to the charge detail on each patient's discharge abstract as
42	reported in the Maine Health Data Organization's discharge abstracts;
44	(2) The hospitalia average evacuate per discharge is
<b>4</b> 6	(2) The hospital's average expense per discharge is calculated by adding the costs of all discharges and dividing the sum by the total number of discharges; and
48	
50	(3) The hospital's expense per casemix-adjusted inpatient discharge is calculated by adjusting the

average expense per discharge by the average case weight for the hospital, using case weights issued by the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.

- Sec. 14. Outpatient cost-efficiency. By January 1, 2006, the Maine Hospital Association and the Governor's Office of Health Policy and Finance shall agree on a timetable, format and methodology for the hospital association to measure and report on outpatient cost-efficiency. The methodology must use the ambulatory payment classification system as the unit of cost.
- Sec. 15. Standardization of administrative cost tracking. The Legislature requests that the Maine Hospital Association develop, by January 1, 2006, standardized definitions of various administrative cost categories that hospitals may use when establishing budgets and reporting on spending on administrative costs.

### Sec. 16. Health care administrative streamlining work group.

1. Work group established. The Governor's Office of Health Policy and Finance shall convene a health care administrative streamlining work group to facilitate the creation and implementation of a single portal through which hospitals can access and transmit member eligibility, benefit and claims information from multiple insurers. The work group shall investigate:

A. Funding mechanisms, including seeking outside funding for start-up and ongoing operational costs, with the intention that the portal become independent and sustainable over time; and

B. Ways to ensure that savings resulting from implementation of such a portal are passed on to purchasers in the form of rate reductions by hospitals and other providers and by reductions in administrative costs by insurers and 3rd-party administrators.

The work group may also consider the incorporation of medical and quality data to the extent possible in the future.

2. Membership. The work group consists of 17 members appointed by the Governor. The membership of the work group must reflect the geographic diversity of the State. Members serve as volunteers and without compensation or reimbursement for expenses. The membership consists of the following persons:

A. Four members representing community hospitals chosen

2	representing hospitals;
4	B. Four members representing insurers or other 3rd-party payors;
6	C. Two members representing physician practices;
8	
10	D. One member representing an organization that specializes in the collection of health care data;
12	E. One member representing statewide business;
14	F. One member representing the Maine Quality Forum;
16	G. Two members representing the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of Insurance;
18	H. One member representing the Department of Health and
20	Human Services; and
22	I. The chair of the Public Purchasers' Steering Group.
24	3. Duties. The work group shall consider the issues outlined in subsection 1. The work group may:
26	A. Hold public hearings to collect information from
28	individuals, hospitals, health care providers, insurers,
30	3rd-party payors, government-sponsored health care programs and interested organizations;
32	B. Consult with experts in the fields of health care and hospitals and public policy; and
34	C. Examine any other issues to further the purposes of the
36	study.
38	4. Staff assistance. The Governor's Office of Health
40	Policy and Finance shall staff the work group. The work group shall work in cooperation with the Maine Hospital Association and
42	the Maine Association of Health Plans. The Department of Health and Human Services and the Maine Health Data Organization shall
44	provide additional staff support or assistance as needed.
	5. Report. The work group shall submit a report and any
46	suggested legislation to the Governor and the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over health and
48	human services matters and the joint standing committee of the

Legislature having jurisdiction over insurance and financial

services matters no later than November 1, 2006.

Sec. 17. Hearing process review. The Department of Health and Human Services shall review the existing hearing process provided in the laws governing certificates of need, the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 22, chapter 103-A, to determine whether that process ensures that the Commissioner of Health and Human Services has all the information needed to make a fair and accurate determination of whether each project proposed for certification meets the needs of Maine citizens. The Department of Health and Human Services shall conduct the review described and then report its findings and any proposed changes to the laws governing certificates of need to the Joint Standing Committee on Health and Human Services no later than January 1, 2006.

- Sec. 18. Review of staffing; fees. By January 1, 2006, the Department of Health and Human Services shall:
- 1. Review and make recommendations regarding the certificate of need program's staffing needs;

 Review and analyze the State's current certificate of need fees compared to certificate of need fees in other states;
 and

3. Make recommendations regarding possible changes in the State's certificate of need fees necessary to adequately support program staffing needs.

The Department of Health and Human Services shall report its findings to the Joint Standing Committee on Health and Human Services no later than January 1, 2006.

#### **SUMMARY**

This bill enacts the recommendations of the Commission to Study Maine's Community Hospitals established in Public Law 2003, chapter 469, which created Dirigo Health. The Commission to Study Maine's Community Hospitals was charged with the duty to study the role of community hospitals in the 21st century, including assessing cost efficiencies, cost effectiveness and overall affordability of available health care services. Specifically, the bill accomplishes the following.

1. It amends the Hospital Cooperation Act to make it easier for hospitals to collaborate by reducing concerns relative to antitrust ramifications. It also extends the Act to include health care providers other than hospitals, and changes the short

title of the law to "the Hospital and Health Care Provider Cooperation Act."

- 2. It requires hospitals to submit to the Maine Health Data Organization their annual financial information using electronic standardized accounting template software designed by the Governor's Office of Health Policy and Finance and provided to hospitals by the Maine Health Data Organization.
- 3. It continues voluntary targets for hospitals for:
- 12 A. Hospital entity operating margins;

16

- B. Cost increases for a mixed inpatient and outpatient measure; and
- C. Cost increases for an inpatient-only measure.
- The operating margin target is not more than 3%. The mixed inpatient and outpatient cost increase target is no more than the forecasted increase in the hospital market basket index for the coming federal fiscal year. The inpatient-only cost increase target will be negotiated between the Maine Hospital Association and the Governor's Office of Health Policy and Finance and determined no later than October 1, 2005.
- 4. It instructs the Maine Hospital Association and the Governor's Office of Health Policy and Finance to agree by January 1, 2006 on a timetable, format and methodology for the hospital association to measure and report on outpatient cost-efficiency. The methodology must use the ambulatory payment classification system as the unit of cost.
- 5. It requests that the Maine Hospital Association develop, by January 1, 2006, standardized definitions of various administrative cost categories that hospitals may use when establishing budgets and reporting spending on administrative costs.
- 40 It instructs the Governor's Office of Health Policy and Finance to convene a health care administrative streamlining work group to facilitate the creation and implementation of a single 42 portal through which hospitals can access and transmit member eligibility, benefit and claims information from multiple 44 The work group is directed to investigate funding insurers. 46 mechanisms, including seeking outside funding for start-up and ongoing operational costs, with the intention that the portal become independent and sustainable over time, and ways to ensure 48 that savings resulting from implementation of such a portal are passed on to purchasers in the form of rate reduction by 50

- hospitals and other providers and by reduction in administrative costs by insurers and 3rd-party administrators. 2 The work group may also consider the incorporation of medical and quality data to the extent possible in the future. The work group is directed to submit a report and any necessary suggested legislation to the 6 Governor and the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over health and human services matters and Я joint standing committee of the Legislature jurisdiction over insurance and financial services matters no later than November 1, 2006. 10
- 12 7. It instructs the Department of Health and Human Services to review the existing hearing process provided in the laws governing certificates of need to determine whether that process 14 ensures that the Commissioner of Health and Human Services has 16 all information needed to make a fair and determination of whether each project proposed for certification 18 meets the needs of Maine citizens. It directs the Department of Health and Human Services to conduct the review described and 20 then report its findings and any proposed changes to the law to joint standing committee of the Legislature 22 jurisdiction over health and human services matters no later than It also requires that the department, by January 1, 2006. January 1, 2006, review and make recommendations regarding the 24 certificate of need program's staffing needs and fee structure, including comparisons to other states, and report its findings to 26 joint standing committee of the Legislature jurisdiction over health and human services matters. 28