

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



122nd MAINE LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION-2005

Legislative Document

No. 1526

H.P. 1073

House of Representatives, March 31, 2005

**An Act To Enact the Uniform Parentage Act and Conforming
Amendments and Additional Amendments to Laws Concerning
Probate, Adoption, Child Support, Child Protection and Other
Family Law Issues**

Reported by Representative PELLETIER-SIMPSON of Auburn for the Family Law Advisory Commission pursuant to the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 19-A, section 354, subsection 2.

Reference to the Committee on Judiciary suggested and ordered printed under Joint Rule 218.

Millicent M. MacFarland
MILLICENT M. MacFARLAND
Clerk

2 **Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:**

4 **PART A**

6 PREFATORY NOTE

8 The National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State
10 Laws has addressed the subject of parentage throughout the 20th
12 Century. In 1922, the Conference promulgated the "Uniform
14 Illegitimacy Act," followed by the "Uniform Blood Tests To
16 Determine Paternity Act" in 1952, the "Uniform Paternity Act" in
18 1960, and certain provisions in the "Uniform Probate Code" in
 1969. The "Uniform Illegitimacy Act" was withdrawn by the
 Conference and none of the other Acts were widely adopted. As of
 June 1973, the Blood Tests to Determine Paternity Act had been
 enacted in nine states, the "Uniform Paternity Act" in four, and
 the "Uniform Probate Code" in five.

20 The most important uniform act addressing the status of the
22 nonmarital child was the Uniform Parentage Act approved in 1973
24 [hereinafter referred to as UPA (1973)]. As of December, 2000,
26 UPA (1973) was in effect in 19 states stretching from Delaware to
28 California; in addition, many other states have enacted
30 significant portions of it. Among the many notable features of
 this landmark Act was the declaration that all children should be
 treated equally without regard to marital status of the parents.
 In addition, the Act established a set of rules for presumptions
 of parentage, shunned the term "illegitimate," and chose instead
 to employ the term "child with no presumed father."

32 UPA (1973) had its genesis in a law review article, Harry D.
34 Krause, A Proposed Uniform Act on Legitimacy, 44 Tex. L. Rev. 829
36 (1966); see also Krause, Equal Protection for the Illegitimate,
38 65 Mich. L. Rev. 477 (1967). Professor Krause followed with a
40 pathfinding book, Illegitimacy: Law and Social Policy (1971), and
42 then went on to serve as the reporter for UPA (1973). When work
44 on the Act began, the notion of substantive legal equality of
46 children regardless of the marital status of their parents seemed
48 revolutionary. Even though the Conference had put itself on
 record in favor of equal rights of support and inheritance in the
 Paternity Act and the Probate Code, the law of many states
 continued to differentiate very significantly in the legal
 treatment of marital and nonmarital children. A series of United
 States Supreme Court decisions invalidating state inheritance,
 custody, and tort laws that disadvantaged out-of-wedlock children
 provided both the impetus and a receptive climate for the
 Conference to promulgate UPA (1973).

Case law has not always reached consistent results in construing UPA (1973). Moreover, widely differing treatment on subjects not dealt with by the Act has been common. For example, California courts have held that a nonmarital father does not have standing to sue an intact family to assert his rights of fatherhood. Another UPA (1973) state, Colorado, has declared that under its state constitution the father may not be denied such rights. Texas, which has adopted many of the provisions of UPA (1973), reached much the same conclusion. Similarly, a judgment's binding effect on the child or on others seeking to claim a benefit of the judgment or to attack the judgment collaterally is confused in the case law. Adding to the confusion is the fact that UPA (1973) is entirely silent regarding the relationship between a divorce and a determination of parentage. Finally, the incredible scientific advances in parentage testing since 1973 warrant a thoroughgoing revision of the Act.

Beginning in the 1980s, states began to adopt paternity registries in an attempt to deal with the risk of a man's subsequent claim of paternity after the mother relinquishes a child for adoption. Although at that time the Conference rejected a paternity registry as a solution, it promulgated the Uniform Putative and Unknown Fathers Act in 1988 (UPUFA) to deal with the rights of such men. However, UPUFA has not been enacted by any state. In 1988 the Conference also adopted the Uniform Status of Children of Assisted Conception Act (USCACA). Assisted reproduction and gestational agreements became commonplace in the 1990s, long after the promulgation of UPA (1973). The USCACA resembled a model act more than a uniform act because it provided two opposing options regarding "gestational agreements." To date, only two states have enacted USCACA, each choosing a different option.

The promulgation of the Uniform Parentage Act in 2000, as amended in 2002, is now the official recommendation of the Conference on the subject of parentage. This Act relegates to history all of the earlier uniform acts dealing with parentage, to wit, UPA (1973), UPUFA (1988), and USCACA (1988). The amendments of 2002 are the end-result of objections lodged by the American Bar Association Section of Individual Rights and Responsibilities and the ABA Committee on the Unmet Legal Needs of Children, based on the view that in certain respects the 2000 version did not adequately treat a child of unmarried parents equally with a child of married parents. Because equal treatment of nonmarital children was a hallmark of the 1973 Act, the objections caused the drafters of the 2000 version to reconsider certain sections of the Act. Through extended discussion and a meeting of representatives of all the entities involved, a determination was made that the objections had merit. As a result of this process, the amendments shown in this Act were presented

by mail ballot to the Commissioners and unanimously approved in November 2002.

In brief outline, UPA (2002) is structured as follows: Article 1, General Provisions, adds many new definitions to clarify the participants in determinations of parentage and adapt the Act to recent scientific developments. Article 2, Parent-Child Relationship, will look familiar to past users of UPA (1973) because it continues a number of the 1973 provisions with little or no change, while eliminating the ambiguous term "natural" to describe a genetic parent. Article 3, Voluntary Acknowledgment of Paternity, is entirely new and is driven by federal mandates that states provide simplified nonjudicial means to establish paternity, especially for newborns and young children. Article 4, Registry of Paternity, is entirely new and incorporates a tightly integrated registry law to deal with the rights of a man who is neither an acknowledged, presumed or adjudicated father. A primary goal of this article is to facilitate adoption proceedings. Article 5, Genetic Testing, comprehensively covers that subject in ten separate sections (the 1973 Act had one section on the subject). Article 6, Proceeding to Adjudicate Parentage, sets forth the parties to, and the procedures for, adjudicating parentage and challenging acknowledgments, presumptions, and judgments. Article 7, Child of Assisted Reproduction, recodifies USCACA (1988), but applies its provisions to nonmarital as well as marital children born as a result of assisted reproductive technologies. The bracketed Article 8, Gestational Agreement, is based upon USCACA (1988), but follows only the option that permits enforcement of a gestational agreement. Moreover, the Act makes a number of important changes in that option.

UPA (1973) contained a number of other substantive provisions, including those applicable to child support and custody. These subjects are omitted from UPA (2002) because other state law adequately provides for them.

Finally, Uniform Parentage Act (2002) is consistent with the provisions of two other uniform acts of great significance, namely the Uniform Interstate Family Support Act [UIFSA (1996) and UIFSA (2001)] and the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act [UCCJEA (1997)].

Sec. A-1. 19-A MRSA c. 61 is enacted to read:

CHAPTER 61

UNIFORM PARENTAGE ACT

SUBCHAPTER 1

2
4
6
8
10
12
14
16
18
20
22
24
26
28
30
32
34
36
38
40
42
44
46
48
50

GENERAL PROVISIONS

§1831. Short title

This chapter may be known and cited as "the Uniform Parentage Act."

§1832. Definitions

As used in this chapter, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the following meanings.

1. Acknowledged father. "Acknowledged father" means a man who has established a parent-child relationship under subchapter 3.

2. Adjudicated parent. "Adjudicated parent" means a person who has been adjudicated by a court of competent jurisdiction to be the parent of a child.

3. Alleged father. "Alleged father" means a man who alleges himself to be, or is alleged to be, the genetic father or a possible genetic father of a child, but whose paternity has not been determined. "Alleged father" does not mean:

A. A presumed parent;

B. A man whose parental rights have been terminated or declared not to exist; or

C. A male donor.

4. Assisted reproduction. "Assisted reproduction" means a method of causing pregnancy other than sexual intercourse. "Assisted reproduction" includes:

A. Intrauterine insemination;

B. Donation of eggs;

C. Donation of embryos;

D. In vitro fertilization and transfer of embryos; and

E. Intracytoplasmic sperm injection.

5. Child. "Child" means an individual of any age whose parentage may be determined under this chapter.

2 6. Commence. "Commence" means to file the initial pleading
3 seeking an adjudication of parentage in the District Court.

4 7. Determination of parentage. "Determination of
5 parentage" means the establishment of the parent-child
6 relationship by the signing of a valid acknowledgment of
7 paternity under subchapter 3 or adjudication by the court.

8 8. Donor. "Donor" means an individual who produces eggs or
9 sperm used for assisted reproduction, whether or not for
10 consideration. "Donor" does not mean:

11 A. A husband who provides sperm, or a wife who provides
12 eggs, to be used for assisted reproduction by the wife;

13 B. A woman who gives birth to a child by means of assisted
14 reproduction, except as otherwise provided in subchapter 8;
15 or

16 C. A parent under subchapter 7 or an intended parent under
17 subchapter 8.

18 9. Ethnic or racial group. "Ethnic or racial group" means,
19 for purposes of genetic testing, a recognized group that an
20 individual identifies as all or part of the individual's ancestry
21 or that is so identified by other information.

22 10. Genetic testing. "Genetic testing" means an analysis
23 of genetic markers to exclude or identify a man as the father or
24 a woman as the mother of a child. "Genetic testing" includes an
25 analysis of one or a combination of the following:

26 A. Deoxyribonucleic acid; and

27 B. Blood group antigens, red cell antigens, human leukocyte
28 antigens, serum enzymes, serum proteins or red cell enzymes.

29 11. Gestational mother. "Gestational mother" means an
30 adult woman who gives birth to a child under a gestational
31 agreement.

32 12. Man. "Man" means a male individual of any age.

33 13. Parent. "Parent" means an individual who has
34 established a parent-child relationship under section 1841.

35 14. Parent-child relationship. "Parent-child relationship"
36 means the legal relationship between a child and a parent of the
37 child as established under section 1841.

2 **15. Paternity index.** "Paternity index" means the
4 likelihood of paternity calculated by computing the ratio between:

6 A. The likelihood that the tested man is the father based
8 on the genetic markers of the tested man, mother and child
 and conditioned on the hypothesis that the tested man is the
 father of the child; and

10 B. The likelihood that the tested man is not the father
12 based on the genetic markers of the tested man, mother and
14 child and conditioned on the hypothesis that the tested man
 is not the father of the child and that the father is of the
 same ethnic or racial group as the tested man.

16 **16. Presumed parent.** "Presumed parent" means a person who,
18 by operation of law under section 1844, is recognized as the
 parent of a child until that status is rebutted or confirmed in a
20 judicial proceeding.

22 **17. Probability of paternity.** "Probability of paternity"
24 means the measure, for the ethnic or racial group to which the
 alleged father belongs, of the probability that the man in
26 question is the father of the child compared with a random,
 unrelated man of the same ethnic or racial group and expressed as
 a percentage incorporating the paternity index and a prior
 probability.

28 **18. Record.** "Record" means information that is inscribed
30 on a tangible medium or that is stored in an electronic or other
 medium and is retrievable in perceivable form.

32 **19. Signatory.** "Signatory" means an individual who
34 authenticates a record and is bound by its terms.

36 **20. State.** "State" means a state of the United States, the
38 District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin
 Islands or any territory or insular possession subject to the
40 jurisdiction of the United States.

42 **21. Support enforcement agency.** "Support enforcement
 agency" means a public official or agency authorized to seek:

44 A. Enforcement of support orders or laws relating to the
46 duty of support;

48 B. Establishment or modification of child support;

50 C. Determination of parentage; or

2 D. Location of child support obligors and their income and
3 assets.

4 **Comment**

6 (This is section 102 of the UPA.)

8 Four separate definitions of "father" are provided by the
9 Act to account for the permutations of a man who may be so
10 classified. Subsection (1), "acknowledged father," directly
11 responds to a 1996 federal mandate encouraging states to adopt
12 nonjudicial means for a man to identify himself as the father of
13 a child in order to achieve an early determination of paternity.
14 The term "acknowledged father" is given a relatively narrow
15 meaning, rather than the broader definition previously accorded
16 to the term. Only a man who acknowledges paternity of a child in
17 accordance with the formal requirements established in Article 3
18 qualifies as an "acknowledged father." Because the mother of the
19 child must concur in the formal acknowledgment, the federal
20 mandate declares that the states must treat the action as the
21 equivalent of an adjudication of paternity.

22 Subsection (2), "adjudicated father," although
23 self-defining, presents a policy choice reached by the Conference
24 that contested parentage matters are reserved for courts to
25 resolve. The definition is limited to judicial adjudication of
26 parentage, rather than providing for an alternative of
27 administrative determination of parentage.

28 Subsection (3), "alleged father," is derived from the UPUFA
29 § 1(1), although much of the terminology has been changed. A man
30 who is asserted to be, or asserts himself to be or possibly to
31 be, the father of a child is the primary target of the Uniform
32 Parentage Act.

33 Subsection (16), "presumed father," is more fully defined by
34 the factual circumstances establishing a presumption of paternity
35 in § 204, infra.

36 Closely related to the definitions of "father," Subsection
37 (12) is derived from the UPUFA § 1(1). Defining "man" to include
38 all male humans eliminates the connotation of adulthood, thereby
39 satisfying the obvious need for the Act to cover under-age
40 progenitors. Although objection to calling a 14-year-old father a
41 "man" was raised when UPUFA was considered by the Conference, for
42 purposes of procreation such a teen-age boy is a man.

43 Note that a wide variety of other terms historically
44 employed to identify the male parent are not defined in this
45 section. Specifically, the term "putative father" has been

replaced by the broader term "alleged father." According to Webster's, "putative" means "commonly accepted or supposed." Clearly, many "alleged fathers" do not fit that definition. Further, UPUFA chose the term "biological father" over more ambiguous "natural father." Because one woman may be the genetic mother of a child while another woman is the gestational mother, for consistency the term "genetic father" was substituted for "biological." Definitions are not supplied for such terms as "unknown father, legal father, real father, and the like," either because the term is self-defining or because it is ambiguous.

Subsection (8) was amended in 2002 to clarify that an individual who becomes a parent through assisted reproduction as provided in Article 7 is not a "donor." Similarly, if bracketed Article 8, Gestational Agreement, is enacted, an individual who is an intended parent through the procedure implemented in that article is not a "donor." No substantive change is intended by this clarification.

Subsection (9), "ethnic or racial group," relates to an individual only for purposes of genetic testing. The genetic tests themselves do not determine the race or ethnic group of the individual. Rather, if a tested individual is not excluded, his race or ethnic group provided is used in the paternity calculations because those calculations give the most conservative result, that is, those most favoring non-paternity.

Subsection (10), "genetic testing," contemplates that paternity testing must be broadly defined to include all of the traditional genetic tests, such as blood types and HLA (Human Leukocyte Antigen), as well as newer DNA technologies. In the past the term "blood test" was commonly applied to paternity testing. However, this usage actually referred to the sample collected; in fact, the tests were genetic tests performed on blood samples. The Act uses the scientific term "deoxyribonucleic acid." This is to accommodate the changes in technology used to evaluate the DNA. Early DNA testing involved RFLP technology (Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism), followed by PCR techniques (Polymerase Chain Reaction); these may be replaced by newer technology, such as SNP (Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms). The type of DNA technology to be employed is best left to scientific bodies, such as accreditation agencies, see § 503(a), infra.

Subsection (11), "gestational mother," is derived from USCACA (1988) § 1(4), which employed the now-discarded term "surrogate mother" to define the same factual circumstances dealt with in bracketed Article 8, Gestational Agreement, infra. For purposes of this Act, a woman giving birth to her own genetic child, a.k.a. "birth mother," is distinguished from a

2 "gestational mother." The former is both a gestational and
genetic mother, while the latter also gives birth to a child, who
4 may or may not be her genetic child. In the Act the term
"gestational mother" is narrowly defined to restrict it to a
6 situation in which a woman gives birth to a child pursuant to a
gestational agreement validated under Article 8. If Article 8 is
8 not enacted, this definition should be omitted from the Act. The
2002 amendment providing that the gestational mother must be an
adult corrects a drafting oversight.

10 A 2002 amendment deleted former subsection (12), "intended
12 parents," as adopted in UPA 2000. That term is now employed
exclusively in bracketed Article 8, and thus is no longer
14 appropriate as a definition for the Act.

16 Subsection (14), "parent-child relationship," is derived
from UPA (1973) § 1. A wide variety of the rights and duties
18 flowing to and from parents and children are found in many other
laws of this state.

20 Subsection (15), "paternity index," defines a complex
22 scientific and mathematical concept. Note that the definition
includes statistical measures of the mother and tested man. The
24 tested man may be an alleged father, or any other potential
biological father. In fact, under appropriate circumstances
26 Article 5 provides for testing without samples from the mother or
the alleged father. In these cases the expert statistically
28 reconstructs the missing potential mother or biological father
from genetic testing of samples from their relatives. Therefore
30 the definition is correct even in cases involving a missing
parent.

32 Subsection (18) is derived from the Uniform Electronic
34 Transactions Act § 102(13), which establishes a standard for
either paper or electronic record keeping.

36 **Maine Comment**

38 Specific gender references have been removed from several
40 definitions consistent with other Maine amendments that make the
UPA gender neutral and ensure equal treatment for every child
42 regardless of the circumstances of the parent or parents.

44 **§1833. Scope of chapter; choice of law**

46 **1. Scope.** This chapter applies to determination of
parentage in this State.

2. Application. The court shall apply the law of this State to adjudicate the parent-child relationship. The applicable law does not depend on:

A. The place of birth of the child; or

B. The past or present residence of the child.

3. Effect on parental rights. This chapter does not create, enlarge or diminish parental rights or duties under other law of this State.

Comment

(This is section 103 of the UPA.)

The new UPA conforms to the requirement of 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(A), that a state must provide that parentage proceedings be available at any time before a child attains 18 years of age or suffer the potential penalty of forfeiture of the federal funds that subsidize child support enforcement by the state, see Appendix: Federal IV-D Statute Relating to Parentage, *infra*.

Subsection (a) was amended in 2002 in response to objections that the phrase "governs every determination of parentage" was excessively broad and could conflict with other state laws, such as those governing probate issues.

Subsection (b) is derived from the UIFSA (1996) § 303 and UPA (1973) § 8(b). This section simplifies choice of law principles; the local court is directed to apply local law. If in fact this state is an inappropriate forum, dismissal for forum non-conveniens may be appropriate.

Subsection (d) is bracketed. If a state enacts Article 8, Gestational Agreement, this subsection should be omitted. If a state does not enact Article 8, this subsection should be included to make clear that this Act does not affect other law of the jurisdiction on the subject, if any. The 2002 amendment employs consistent language in order to treat married and unmarried couples alike with regard to parentage issues, and reflects the terminology in Articles 2, 7, and bracketed Article 8.

Maine Comment

Subsection (d) is deleted because Article 8 is included in this chapter.

2 Although the Maine Supreme Judicial Court has recognized the
equitable remedy of de facto parent, the UPA does not address the
4 de facto parentage. The UPA establishes who is the parent at the
time of conception, birth and for the first two years of a
6 child's life. In contrast, a de facto parent-child relationship
develops between a third person and a child over time. A court
may exercise its equitable jurisdiction and find, based on the
8 circumstances of a particular case, that a third person is a de
facto parent and consider an award of parental rights and
10 responsibilities based on the best interest of the child. See
(C.E.W. v. D.E.W., 2004 ME 43; Young v. Young, 2004 ME 44. The
12 UPA does not alter or weaken the equitable remedy of de facto
parent, a remedy that exists independent of the UPA.

14 **§1834. Court of this State**

16 The District Court is authorized to adjudicate parentage
18 under this chapter, except that the Superior Court and the
20 Probate Court are authorized to adjudicate parentage under this
chapter when parentage is an issue in a proceeding or when a
22 parentage proceeding is joined with another proceeding as
provided under section 1930.

24 **Comment**

26 (This is section 104 of the UPA.)

28 Source: UPA (1973) § 8(a).

30 The court having jurisdiction over parentage proceedings
under this Act should be identified here. Although a proceeding
32 to determine parentage is most often associated with an action to
establish a child support order, the Act departs from the choice
34 made by the UIFSA (1996) § 102, which allows for the
establishment of a child support order by an administrative
36 agency. Insofar as establishment of parentage is concerned, the
new UPA reflects the deliberate decision by NCCUSL that an
38 "adjudication" should require a judicial proceeding. This
procedure is consistent with the practice of most states. In
40 fact, very few states provide for the resolution of disputed
paternity through administrative processes, which, of course, is
42 a policy judgment for the State legislature to make.

44 The term "tribunal" found in UIFSA to describe both courts
and agencies is not employed in the Act. Rather, the dispute
46 resolution entity in UPA (2002) is limited to a "court." UPA
(2002) conforms to the congressional determination that parentage
48 may also be established by an acknowledgment of parentage under
Article 3. Article 7 allows parentage to be established in a
50 written record that presumably could then be approved by an

administrative officer. These exceptions create potential disputes that only a judicial proceeding can resolve.

Joinder of a parentage proceeding with an action for divorce, annulment, separate maintenance, or child support and custody is left to state law. This should be considered in choosing which court in a state is to be given jurisdiction over proceedings under this Act.

Maine Comment

Section 1834 of the UPA 2002 is replaced with a new provision section 1834 that clarifies that the Superior Court and Probate Court, in addition to the District Court, have authority to adjudicate parentage when parentage is an issue in a proceeding pending in either court or when a parentage proceeding is joined with a proceeding in either court. This provision reflects the fact that the Superior Court continues to have jurisdiction over divorce actions filed before the creation of the Family Division in the District Court and the Probate Court often determines parentage in matters relating to adoption, guardianship and the administration of estates.

§1835. Protection of participants

Proceedings under this chapter are subject to other law of this State governing the health, safety, privacy and liberty of a child or other individual who could be jeopardized by disclosure of identifying information, including address, telephone number place of employment, social security number and the child's day-care facility and school.

Comment

(This is section 105 of the UPA.)

Source: UCCJEA (1997) § 209(e).

§1836. Determination of maternity

Provisions of this chapter relating to determination of paternity apply to determinations of maternity.

Comment

(This is section 106 of the UPA.)

Source: UPA (1973) § 21.

2 This section provides for a determination of the
3 mother-child relationship if that issue is in dispute. Except in
4 circumstances involving immigration, cases involving disputed
5 maternity are extraordinarily rare. Therefore, the new UPA is
6 otherwise written in terms applicable to the determination of
7 paternity, while maintaining the possibility that a dispute may
8 arise regarding whether a woman claiming maternity actually is
9 the mother of a particular child.

10 Although certain provisions found in the balance of the Act
11 logically do not apply in a proceeding to establish maternity,
12 the Act continues the decision made in UPA (1973) not to burden
13 these already complex provisions with unnecessary references to
14 the ascertainment of maternity. Except for issues arising from
15 assisted reproduction technologies or gestational agreements, see
16 Article 7 and bracketed Article 8, § 201(a) is the sole provision
17 in the Act that specifically relates to the mother-child
18 relationship. In an actual case, a judge facing a claim for the
19 determination of the mother-child relationship should have little
20 difficulty deciding which portions of the Act should be applied.

22 SUBCHAPTER 2

24 PARENT-CHILD RELATIONSHIP

26 §1841. Establishment of parent-child relationship

28 The parent-child relationship is established by:

30 1. Woman's giving birth. The woman's having given birth to
31 the child, except as otherwise provided in subchapter 8;

32 2. Unrebutted presumption of parentage. An unrebutted
34 presumption of parentage under section 1844;

36 3. Effective acknowledgment of paternity. An effective
38 acknowledgment of paternity by the man under subchapter 3, unless
the acknowledgment has been rescinded or successfully challenged;

40 4. Adjudication of parentage. An adjudication of
42 parentage, including adjudication as a defacto parent under
section 1845. An adjudication of parentage is not barred by the
44 existence of a presumed parent, acknowledged parent, adjudicated
parent or birth mother, even if this results in the child having
46 more than 2 parents;

48 5. Adoption of child. Adoption of the child;

2 6. Consent to assisted reproduction. Consent to assisted
3 reproduction by a woman under subchapter 7 that resulted in the
4 birth of the child; or

5 7. Adjudication confirming parentage. An adjudication
6 confirming the individual as a parent of a child born to the
7 gestational mother if the agreement was validated under
8 subchapter 8 or is enforceable under other law.

10 **Comment**

12 (This is section 201 of the UPA.)

14 Source: UPA (1973), § 4; expanded to include all possible
15 bases of the parent-child relationship

16 Subsection (b)(5) and bracketed subsections (a)(4) and
17 (b)(6) reflect the fact that Article 7 provides that both a
18 married and an unmarried couple are entitled to assisted
19 reproductive technologies in order to become parents and, if
20 bracketed Article 8 is enacted, to enter into a gestational
21 agreement. If a state enacts Article 8, Gestational Agreement,
22 the brackets should be removed. If a state does not enact Article
23 8, the bracketed subsections should be omitted.

25 **Maine Comment**

26 Section 1841 has been amended to delete reference to
27 gender-specific terms and has been made gender neutral to include
28 all parent-child relationships. Recent advancements in science
29 make possible the birth of children through the use of assisted
30 reproduction and gestational agreements. As a result, new
31 parent-child relationships are being created and new and
32 unanticipated issues relating to the parentage of these children
33 have arisen. Many of Maine's courts are struggling with these
34 issues now. The UPA is made gender neutral to provide guidance
35 in the resolution of these new and unanticipated issues and to
36 ensure equal treatment of every child in Maine regardless of the
37 circumstances of the child's parent or parents.

38 Accordingly, section 1841 has been reconfigured to reflect
39 that the Act has been made gender neutral to establish the
40 parentage of every child born or living in Maine. The
41 parent-child relationship is created between a person and the
42 resulting child if the person provides sperm or egg or consents
43 to assisted reproduction by a woman with the intent to be the
44 parent of the child or to a gestational agreement with the intent
45 to be the parent of the child born pursuant to the agreement.
46 The parent-child relationship is also created if for the first
47 two years of the child's life, a person resided in the same
48 place as the child.

household with the child and that person openly held out the child as that person's child. Section 1841 clarifies who the child's parent or parents are and who is responsible for all aspects of that child's welfare, including the child's financial welfare. Section 1841 creates stability and security for every child in Maine.

Subsection 4 reflects that an adjudication of parentage may include a determination of a de facto parent under section 1845. Consistent with the Maine Supreme Judicial Court's recognition of de facto parentage, the Maine amendment to the UPA includes de facto parent as a category of adjudicated parentage under section 1841. This section also clarifies that an adjudication of parentage may occur even if the result will be that the child will have more than two parents.

§1842. No discrimination based on marital status

A child born to parents who are not married to each other has the same rights under the law as a child born to parents who are married to each other.

Comment

(This is section 202 of the UPA.)

Source: UPA (1973) § 2 and Massachusetts Gen. Laws ch. 209C, § 1.

From a legal and social policy perspective, this is one of the most significant substantive provisions of the Act, reaffirming the principle that regardless of the marital status of the parents, children and parents have equal rights with respect to each other. As discussed in the Prefatory Note, supra, U.S. Supreme Court decisions and lower federal and state court decisions require equal treatment of marital and nonmarital children without regard to the circumstances of their birth.

Nonetheless, the equal treatment principle does not necessarily eliminate all distinctions in the application of other substantive laws to different kinds of children. For example, as amended in 1991 the Uniform Probate Code § 2-705(b), states:

Y in construing a dispositive provision of a transferor who is not a natural parent, an individual born to the natural parent is not considered a child of that parent unless the individual while a minor lived as a regular member of the household of that parent or of that parent's parent, brother, sister, spouse, or surviving spouse.

8 U.L.A. 188 (1998)

2 In short, the UPC provides that an individual is presumed
not to be included in a class gift from someone other than the
4 child's parent unless that individual lived as a member of the
parent's family during childhood. This presumed intent of the
6 donor is rebuttable. Although this provision probably has a
disproportionate effect on nonmarital children, the disparity is
8 not based on the circumstances of birth, but rather on post-birth
living conditions.

10 **§1843. Consequences of establishment of parentage**

12 Unless parental rights are terminated, a parent-child
14 relationship established under this chapter applies for all
16 purposes, except as otherwise specifically provided by other law
of this State.

18 **Comment**

20 (This is section 203 of the UPA.)

22 Source: USCACA (1988) § 10.

24 This section may seem to state the obvious, but both the
statement and the qualifier are necessary because without this
26 explanation a literal reading of §§ 201-203 could lead to
erroneous statutory constructions. The basic purpose of the
28 section is to make clear that a mother, as defined in § 201(a),
is not a parent once her parental rights have been terminated.
30 Similarly, a man whose paternity has been established by
acknowledgment or by court adjudication may subsequently have his
32 parental rights terminated.

34 The qualifier, "as otherwise provided by other law of this
State," is necessary because other statutes may restrict rights
36 of a parent. For example, UPC (1993) § 2-114(c) precludes a
parent of a child (and the parent's family) from inheriting from
38 the child by intestate succession "unless that natural parent has
openly treated the child as his [or hers] and has not refused to
40 support the child." Similarly, as discussed in the preceding
Comment, UPC (1993) § 2-705(b) affects the right of a child to
42 take under a class gift from a person who is not a parent of the
child.

44 **§1844. Presumption of parentage**

46 **1. Presumption established.** A person is presumed to be the
48 parent of a child if:

2 A. The person and the mother of the child are married to
each other and the child is born during the marriage;

4 B. The person and the mother of the child were married to
each other and the child is born within 300 days after the
6 marriage is terminated by death, annulment, divorce or
declaration of invalidity or after a decree of separation;

8 C. Before the birth of the child, the person and the mother
of the child married each other in apparent compliance with
10 law, even if the attempted marriage is or could be declared
invalid, and the child is born during the invalid marriage
12 or within 300 days after its termination by death,
annulment, divorce or declaration of invalidity or after a
14 decree of separation;

16 D. After the birth of the child, the person and the mother
of the child married each other in apparent compliance with
18 law, whether or not the marriage is or could be declared
invalid, and the person voluntarily asserted parentage of
20 the child and:

22 (1) The assertion is in a record filed with the State
Registrar of Vital Statistics; or

24 (2) The person agreed to be and is named as the
child's parent on the child's birth certificate; or

26 E. For the first 2 years of the child's life, the person
resided in the same household with the child and openly held
30 out the child as that person's own.

32 **2. Rebuttal of presumption.** A presumption of parentage
34 established under this section may be rebutted only by an
adjudication under subchapter 6.

36 **3. Effect of presumption on other parents.** The fact that a
38 person is presumed to be a parent does not prevent another person
from being a parent of the same child even if this results in the
40 child having more than 2 parents.

42 **4. Parental rights and responsibilities if a child has more**
than one presumed parent. If a child has more than one presumed
44 parent, no presumed parent is disestablished by the existence of
another presumed parent. The court shall determine the parental
46 rights of the presumed parents in accordance with section 1653.

48 **Comment**

50 (This is section 204 of the UPA.)

2 Source: UPA (1973) § 4.

4 A network of presumptions was established by UPA (1973) for
6 application to cases in which proof of external circumstances
8 indicate a particular man to be the probable father. The simplest
10 of these is also the best known--birth of a child during the
12 marriage between the mother and a man. When promulgated in 1973
14 the contemporaneous commentary noted that:
16 While perhaps no one state now includes all these presumptions in
 its law, the presumptions are based on existing presumptions of
 'legitimacy' in state laws and do not represent a serious
 departure. Novel is that they have been collected under one roof.
 All presumptions of paternity are rebuttable in appropriate
 circumstances. Uniform Parentage Act (1973), Prefatory Note, 9B
 U.L.A. 379 (2001).

18 After amendments adopted in 2002, the Uniform Parentage Act
20 retains all but one of the original presumptions of paternity
22 contained in UPA § 4 (1973). Originally the 2000 version of the
24 new Act limited presumptions of paternity to those related to
26 marriage. The objection by the ABA Steering Committee on the
 Unmet Legal Needs of Children and the Section of Individual
 Rights and Responsibilities that this could result in
 differential treatment of children born to unmarried parents
 resulted in the revision to this section.

28 Subsection (1) deals with a child born during a marriage;
30 subsection (2) deals with a child conceived during marriage but
32 born after its termination; subsection (3) deals with a child
34 conceived or born during an invalid marriage; and, subsection (4)
 deals with a child born before a valid or invalid marriage,
 accompanied by other facts indicating the husband is the father.

36 Added by amendment in 2002, subsection (5), is a significant
38 revision of UPA § 4(4) (1973), which created a presumption of
40 paternity if a man "receives the child into his home and openly
42 holds out the child as his natural child." Because there was no
44 time frame specified in the 1973 act, the language fostered
46 uncertainty about whether the presumption could arise if the
48 receipt of the child into the man's home occurred for a short
 time or took place long after the child's birth. To more fully
 serve the goal of treating nonmarital and marital children
 equally, the "holding out" presumption is restored, subject to an
 express durational requirement that the man reside with the child
 for the first two years of the child's life. This mirrors the
 presumption applied to a married man established by § 607, infra.
 Once this presumption arises, it is subject to attack only under
 the limited circumstances set forth in § 607 for challenging a

marital presumption, and is similarly subject to the estoppel principles of § 608.

One presumption found in UPA (1973) is not repeated in the new Act. Former UPA §4(5) created a presumption of paternity if the man "acknowledges his paternity of the child in a writing filed with [named agency] [and] the mother does not dispute the acknowledgment within a reasonable time." This presumption was eliminated because it conflicts with Article 3, Voluntary Acknowledgment of Paternity, under which a valid acknowledgment establishes paternity rather than a presumption of paternity.

Finally, subsection (b) is a complete rewrite of UPA (1973) § 4(b). The requirement that a presumption "may be rebutted only by clear and convincing evidence" was eliminated from the Act. The same fate was accorded the statement that: "If two or more presumptions arise which conflict with each other, the presumption which on the facts is founded on the weightier considerations of policy and logic controls." Nowadays the existence of modern genetic testing obviates this old approach to the problem of conflicting presumptions when a court is to determine paternity. Nowadays, genetic testing makes it possible in most cases to resolve competing claims to paternity. Moreover, courts may use the estoppel principles in § 608 in appropriate circumstances to deny requests for genetic testing in the interests of preserving a child's ties to the presumed or acknowledged father who openly held himself out as the child's father regardless of whether he is in fact the genetic father.

Maine Comment

Section 1844 is made gender neutral and preserves, for example, a child's ties to the presumed parent who lived with the child for the first two years of the child's life and openly held the child out as that person's own child regardless of whether that person is in fact a genetic parent.

Subsection 3 preserves the right of another person to assert his or her parentage even when there is a presumed parent.

§1845. De facto parentage

1. De facto parent. The court may adjudicate a person to be a de facto parent.

2. Factors. After notice to all other parents and hearing, the court shall consider at least the following factors in adjudicating whether a person is a de facto parent:

2 A. Whether the person has lived with the child for a
3 significant period of time;

4 B. Whether the person has performed parental caretaking
5 functions of the child to a significant degree. For the
6 purposes of this section, parental caretaking functions may
7 include, but are not limited to, contributing toward the
8 child's residential, educational, recreational, child care
9 and medical, dental and mental health care needs;

10 C. Whether the person has accepted full and permanent
11 responsibilities as a parent without expectation of
12 financial compensation;

13 D. Whether the person has contributed financially to the
14 support of the child; and

15 E. Whether the person, with the consent and encouragement
16 of a parent, has formed a parent-child bond with the child.

17 3. Parental rights and responsibilities. Upon adjudication
18 of a person as a de facto parent, the court shall determine
19 parental rights and responsibilities in accordance with section
20 1653.

21 4. Other parents. The adjudication of a person as a de
22 facto parent does not affect the rights and responsibilities of
23 any other parent, unless otherwise determined by a court.

24 5. Child support. A de facto parent that does not provide
25 primary residential care for the child is required to pay child
26 support in accordance with the child support guidelines under
27 chapter 63. The requirement that a de facto parent pay child
28 support does not relieve any other parent of the obligation to
29 pay child support unless otherwise determined by a court.

30 **Maine Comment**

31 Section 1845 was added to address a separate category of
32 parentage, "de facto" parents. De facto parentage was initially
33 recognized as an equitable remedy in Maine law based on the
34 particular facts and circumstances of a parent-child
35 relationship. See CEW v. DEW, 2004, ME 43; Young v. Young, 2004
36 ME 44. In contrast to the types of parentage established by UPA
37 2002 at conception, birth or in the first two years of the
38 child's life, a de facto parent-child relationship develops over
39 time and requires a demonstration of a certain quality of
40 relationship. Consistent with the Maine Supreme Judicial Court's
41 recognition of a de facto parent as a category of parent, the
42 Maine amendment sets forth the factors for a court to consider in

adjudicating de facto parentage. Actions to establish de facto parentage are to be filed in the District Court, may be filed at any time and may result in an award of parental rights and responsibilities, including an order to pay child support. The establishment of a de facto parent does not necessarily affect the right of any other parent.

§1846. Acknowledgment of establishment of parentage of nonmarital presumed parent

1. Record of establishment of parentage. After the child's 2nd birthday but before the child's death, a person who has complied with the elements of section 1844, subsection 1, paragraph E, may acknowledge the establishment of parentage in accordance with this section.

2. Effect of acknowledgment. Except as otherwise provided in subsection 3, a valid acknowledgment under this section filed with the State Registrar of Vital Statistics is equivalent to an adjudication of parentage of a child and confers upon the acknowledged parent all of the rights and duties of a parent. The Registrar of Vital Statistics shall enter the name of the acknowledged parent on the child's birth certificate.

3. Effect of failure to record establishment of parentage. The failure of a person to acknowledge the establishment of parentage in accordance with this section does not affect the parent-child relationship established pursuant to section 1844, subsection 1, paragraph E. A person who does not acknowledge the establishment of parentage or obtain an adjudication of parentage before the commencement of an action pursuant to the following statutes is not a parent for purposes of those proceedings, and is not entitled to notice of any of those proceedings except as otherwise provided for those proceedings:

A. Title 18-A, article 5;

B. Title 18-A, article 9;

C. Title 19-A, chapter 53, subchapter 2; or

D. Title 22, chapter 1071.

The person who has failed to acknowledge the establishment of parentage may, however, seek to intervene in those proceedings as otherwise provided by law, and if the court finds that the intervention does not unduly delay or prejudice the adjudication of rights of the existing parties. Whether or not the person who has failed to acknowledge the establishment of parentage

2 participates in those actions, the person is bound by the results
3 of the actions described in paragraphs A, B and D.

4 **4. Acknowledgment; requirements.** An acknowledgment under
5 this section must:

6 A. Be in a record;

8
9 B. Be signed or otherwise authenticated, under penalty of
10 perjury by the person seeking to establish parentage and by
11 another parent of the child who resided with the child for
12 the first 2 years of the child's life;

14 C. State that no action is pending and that no
15 adjudication has been made, in any court, or in any
16 administrative proceeding, concerning the parentage or the
17 support of the child;

18
19 D. State that the signatories understand that the
20 acknowledgment is the equivalent of a judicial adjudication
21 of parentage of the child and that a challenge to the
22 acknowledgment is permitted only under limited circumstances
23 and is barred after 2 years.

24
25 **5. Acknowledgment void.** An acknowledgment under this
26 section is void if it:

28 A. States that another person is an acknowledged father or
29 adjudicated parent; or

30
31 B. Falsely denies the existence of an acknowledged father
32 or adjudicated parent of the child.

34 **6. Procedure for challenge.** An acknowledgment under this
35 section may be challenged by commencing an action in court, in
36 accordance with this subsection:

38 A. The action may be filed only by a person who was not a
39 signatory to the acknowledgment.

40
41 B. The action must be commenced within 2 years after the
42 filing of the acknowledgment.

44 C. The party bringing the action has the burden of proof.

46 D. The court shall declare the acknowledgment void if it
47 finds that the person whose parentage is established by the
48 acknowledgment did not meet the requirements stated in
49 section 1844, subsection 1, paragraph E.

2 E. A signatory to an acknowledgment under this section
3 submits to personal jurisdiction of this State, effective
4 upon the filing of the acknowledgment in accordance with
5 this section.

6 F. Except for good cause shown, during the pendency of a
7 proceeding to challenge an acknowledgment under this
8 section, the court may not suspend the legal
9 responsibilities of a signatory arising from the
10 acknowledgment, including the duty to pay child support.

11 G. At the conclusion of a proceeding to challenge an
12 acknowledgment pursuant to this subsection, the court shall
13 order the State Registrar of Vital Statistics to amend the
14 birth record of the child, if appropriate.

15 7. Similar acknowledgments in other states. A court of
16 this State shall give full faith and credit to an acknowledgment
17 effective in another state and substantially similar to the
18 acknowledgment under this section if has been signed and is
19 otherwise in compliance with the law of the other State.

20 8. Forms. To facilitate compliance with this section the
21 State Registrar of Vital Statistics shall prescribe forms for the
22 acknowledgment under this section. An acknowledgment is not
23 affected by a later modification of the prescribed form.

24 9. Release of information. The State Registrar of Vital
25 Statistics may release information relating to the acknowledgment
26 under this section to:

27 A. A signatory of the acknowledgment described in this
28 section;

29 B. The mother, an acknowledged father, a presumed parent,
30 an adjudicated parent, a de facto parent, a parent who has
31 acknowledged parentage under subchapter 3;

32 C. Courts and appropriate state or federal agencies of this
33 State or another state.

34 10. Adoption of rules. The State Registrar of Vital
35 Statistics may adopt rules to implement this section. Rules
36 adopted pursuant to this subsection are routine technical rules
37 for the purposes of Title 5, chapter 375, subchapter 2-A.

38 **Maine Comment**

39 A purpose of section 1846 is to give the nonmarital presumed
40 parent a method to be publicly identified, without the need for

parentage litigation, in a fashion similar to the acknowledgment of paternity process provided in subchapter 3. Acknowledgment pursuant to this section reduces the time in which the parentage of the nonmarital presumed parent can be factually challenged to two years after the filing of the acknowledgment. Failure to acknowledge, or failure to get a court adjudication of parentage by the nonmarital presumed parent eliminates the need to give that person notice of the commencement of child protection proceedings, termination of parental rights proceedings, guardianship and adoption proceedings. However, that person may ask the court for permission to intervene and participate in those proceedings. Finally, the failure of the nonmarital presumed parent to acknowledge or be adjudicated may permit the expedited paternity process to take place without notice to the nonmarital parent, without however, disestablishing that person's parentage.

SUBCHAPTER 3

VOLUNTARY ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF PATERNITY

Comment

Voluntary acknowledgment of paternity has long been an alternative to a contested paternity suit. Under UPA (1973) § 4, the inclusion of a man's name on the child's birth certificate created a presumption of paternity, which could be rebutted. In order to improve the collection of child support, especially from unwed fathers, the U.S. Congress mandated a fundamental change in the acknowledgment procedure. The Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA, also known as the Welfare Reform Act) conditions receipt of federal child support enforcement funds on state enactment of laws that greatly strengthen the effect of a man's voluntary acknowledgment of paternity, 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(C). This statute is reproduced in Appendix: Federal IV-D Statute Relating to Parentage, infra. In brief, it provides that a valid, unrescinded, unchallenged acknowledgment of paternity is to be treated as equivalent to a judicial determination of paternity.

Because in many respects the federal act is nonspecific, the new UPA contains clear and comprehensive procedures to comply with the federal mandate. Primary among the factual circumstances that Congress did not take into account was that a married woman may consent to an acknowledgement of paternity by a man who may indeed be her child's genetic father, but is not her husband. Under the new UPA, the mother's husband is the presumed father of the child, see § 204, supra. By ignoring the real possibility that the child will have both an acknowledged father and a

2 presumed father, Congress left it to the states to sort out which
of the men should be recognized as the legal father.

4 Further, PRWORA does not require that a man acknowledging
paternity must assert genetic paternity of the child. Section 301
6 is designed to prevent circumvention of adoption laws by
requiring a sworn assertion of genetic parentage of the child.

8
10 Sections 302-305 clarify that, if a child has a presumed
father, that man must file a denial of paternity in conjunction
12 with another man's acknowledgment of paternity in order for the
acknowledgement to be valid. If the presumed father is unwilling
to cooperate, or his whereabouts are unknown, a court proceeding
14 is necessary to resolve the issue of parentage.

16 Congress also directed that the acknowledgment can be
"rescinded" within a particular timeframe, and subsequently can
18 be "challenged" without stating a timeframe. Those procedures are
dealt with in §§ 307-309.

20
22 Finally, the related issue of issuance or revision of birth
certificates is left to other state law.

24 **§1851. Acknowledgment of paternity**

26 The mother of a child and a man claiming to be the genetic
father of the child may sign an acknowledgment of paternity with
28 intent to establish the man's paternity.

30 **Comment**

32 (This is section 301 of the UPA.)

34 Source: 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(C), see preceding Comment and
Appendix: Federal IV-D Statute Relating to Parentage, infra.

36
38 PRWORA does not explicitly require that a man acknowledging
parentage necessarily is asserting his genetic parentage of the
child. In order to prevent circumvention of adoption laws, § 301
40 corrects this omission by requiring a sworn assertion of genetic
parentage of the child. A 2002 amendment provides that a man who
42 signs an acknowledgment of paternity declares that he is the
genetic father of the child. Thus both the man and the mother
44 acknowledge his paternity, under penalty of perjury, without
requiring the parents to spell out the details of their sexual
46 relations. Further, the amended language also takes into account
a situation in which a man, who is unable to have sexual
48 intercourse with his partner, may still have contributed to the
conception of the child through the use of his own sperm.
50 Henceforth, a man in that situation will be able to recognize

legally his paternity through the voluntary acknowledgment procedure.

§1852. Execution of acknowledgment of paternity

1. Acknowledgment; requirements. An acknowledgment of paternity must:

A. Be in a record;

B. Be signed, or otherwise authenticated, under penalty of perjury by the mother and by the man seeking to establish his paternity;

C. State that the child whose paternity is being acknowledged:

(1) Does not have a presumed parent or has a presumed parent whose full name is stated; and

(2) Does not have another acknowledged father or adjudicated parent;

D. State whether there has been genetic testing and, if so, that the acknowledging man's claim of paternity is consistent with the results of the testing; and

E. State that the signatories understand that the acknowledgment is the equivalent of a judicial adjudication of paternity of the child and that a challenge to the acknowledgment is permitted only under limited circumstances and is barred after 2 years.

2. Notice. Before a mother or putative father may sign an acknowledgment of paternity, the mother and the putative father must be given oral and written notice of the alternatives to, the legal consequences of and the rights and responsibilities that arise from signing the acknowledgment.

3. Acknowledgment voidable. An acknowledgment of paternity is voidable if it:

A. States that another person is a presumed parent, unless a denial of parentage signed or otherwise authenticated by the presumed parent is filed with the State Registrar of Vital Statistics;

B. States that another person is an acknowledged father or adjudicated parent; or

C. Falsely denies the existence of a presumed parent, acknowledged father or adjudicated parent of the child.

4. Presumed parent. A man who is a presumed parent may sign or otherwise authenticate an acknowledgment of paternity.

Comment

(This is section 302 of the UPA.)

Source: 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(C), see Appendix: Federal IV-D Statute Relating to Parentage, infra.

The federal statute cited above provides that receipt of the federal subsidy by a state for its child support enforcement program is contingent on state enactment of laws establishing specific procedures for voluntary acknowledgment of paternity. This deceptively simple principle proved difficult to implement.

Problems most notably include fact situations in which the mother of the child is married to someone other than the man who intends to acknowledge his paternity. With an acknowledgment the child would then have both an acknowledged father and a presumed father. To deal with this circumstance, many states have passed laws allowing the presumed father to sign a denial of paternity, which must be filed as part of the acknowledgment. This Act adopts this common sense solution; otherwise the acknowledgment would have no legal consequence because it cannot affect the legal rights of the presumed father.

At least two other provisions of this section warrant special emphasis. Subsection (a)(2) requires that the acknowledgment be "signed, or otherwise authenticated, under penalty of perjury," just as income tax returns and many other government documents require. Clearly, the potential punishment for false swearing is substantial, and the benefits from avoiding the complication of requiring witnesses and a notary are significant in this context. Mandating greater formality would greatly discourage the in-hospital signatures so earnestly desired in 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(C)(ii), see Appendix: Federal IV-D Statute Relating to Parentage, infra.

Similarly, in an attempt to ensure full disclosure and avoid false swearing, subsection (a)(4) requires that the results of genetic testing, if any, be reported along with confirmation that the acknowledgment is consistent with the results of that testing. This provision is also designed to avoid a possible subversion of the requirements for an adoption. A would-be "father" whose parentage of a child has been excluded by genetic

testing may not validly sign an acknowledgment once that fact has been established.

Maine Comment

Section 1852 is made gender neutral to cover all presumed parents, regardless of gender. Subsequent sections are revised accordingly.

§1853. Denial of parentage

A presumed parent may sign a denial of that person's parentage. The denial is valid only if:

1. Acknowledgment. An acknowledgment of paternity signed, or otherwise authenticated, by another man is filed pursuant to section 1855;

2. Under penalty of perjury. The denial is in a record and is signed, or otherwise authenticated, under penalty of perjury; and

3. Presumed parent. The presumed parent has not previously:

A. Acknowledged paternity, unless the previous acknowledgment has been rescinded pursuant to section 1857 or successfully challenged pursuant to section 1858; or

B. Been adjudicated to be the parent of the child.

§1854. Acknowledgment of paternity and denial of parentage

1. Acknowledgment and denial. An acknowledgment of paternity and a denial of parentage may be contained in a single document or may be signed in counterparts and may be filed separately or simultaneously. If the acknowledgment and denial are both necessary, neither is valid until both are filed.

2. Signed before birth. An acknowledgment of paternity or a denial of parentage may be signed before the birth of the child.

3. Effective date. Subject to subsection 1, an acknowledgment of paternity or denial of parentage takes effect on the birth of the child or the filing of the document with the State Registrar of Vital Statistics, whichever occurs later.

4. Signed by minor. An acknowledgment of paternity or denial of parentage signed by a minor is valid if it is otherwise in compliance with this chapter.

Comment

(This is section 304 of the UPA.)

Source: 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(C)(i), requiring a "simple civil process" for voluntary acknowledgment of paternity, see Appendix: Federal IV-D Statute Relating to Parentage, *infra*.

§1855. Effect of acknowledgment of paternity or denial of parentage

1. Acknowledgment. Except as otherwise provided in sections 1857 and 1858, a valid acknowledgment of paternity filed with the State Registrar of Vital Statistics is equivalent to an adjudication of parentage of a child and confers upon the acknowledged father all of the rights and duties of a parent.

2. Denial. Except as otherwise provided in sections 1857 and 1858, a valid denial of parentage by a presumed parent filed with the State Registrar of Vital Statistics in conjunction with a valid acknowledgment of paternity is equivalent to an adjudication of the nonparentage of the presumed parent and discharges the presumed parent from all rights and duties of a parent.

Comment

(This is section 305 of the UPA.)

Source: 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(D)(ii), requiring that an acknowledgment of paternity be "a legal finding of paternity," and 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(M), directing that acknowledgments be "filed with the State registry of birth records . . . "; see Appendix: Federal IV-D Statute Relating to Parentage, *infra*.

§1856. No filing fee

The State Registrar of Vital Statistics may not charge for filing an acknowledgment of paternity or denial of parentage.

§1857. Proceeding for rescission

A signatory may rescind an acknowledgment of paternity or denial of parentage by commencing a proceeding to rescind before the earlier of:

1. Sixty days after effective date. Sixty days after the effective date of the acknowledgment or denial, as provided in section 1854; and

2. Date of first hearing. The date of the first hearing, in a proceeding to which the signatory is a party, before a court to adjudicate an issue relating to the child, including a proceeding that establishes support.

Comment

(This is section 307 of the UPA.)

This section reflects a decision by NCCUSL to require a judicial adjudicatory process to rescind a voluntary acknowledgment of paternity. The federal statute, 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(c)(D)(ii), does not prescribe the method for the rescission, see Appendix: Federal IV-D Statute Relating to Parentage, infra.

§1858. Challenge after expiration of period for rescission

1. Challenge acknowledgment or denial. After the period for rescission under section 1857 has expired, a signatory of an acknowledgment of paternity or denial of parentage may commence a proceeding to challenge the acknowledgment or denial only:

A. On the basis of fraud, duress or material mistake of fact; and

B. Within 2 years after the acknowledgment or denial is filed with the State Registrar of Vital Statistics.

2. Burden of proof. A party challenging an acknowledgment of paternity or denial of parentage has the burden of proof.

Comment

(This is section 308 of the UPA.)

The federal statute also includes a provision for a "challenge" of an acknowledgment of paternity after the period for rescission of a voluntary acknowledgment of paternity has elapsed. Such a collateral attack is to be limited to a challenge based on alleged "fraud, duress, or material mistake of fact," and according to 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(c)(D)(iii), must be made "in court," see Appendix: Federal IV-D Statute Relating to Parentage, infra.

§1859. Procedure for rescission or challenge

1. Every signatory party. Every signatory to an acknowledgment of paternity and any related denial of parentage

2 must be made a party to a proceeding to rescind or challenge the
3 acknowledgment or denial.

4 2. Submission to personal jurisdiction. For the purpose of
5 rescission of, or challenge to, an acknowledgment of paternity or
6 denial of parentage, a signatory submits to personal jurisdiction
7 of this State by signing the acknowledgment or denial, effective
8 upon the filing of the document with the State Registrar of Vital
9 Statistics.

10 3. Suspension of legal responsibilities. Except for good
11 cause shown, during the pendency of a proceeding to rescind or
12 challenge an acknowledgment of paternity or denial of parentage,
13 the court may not suspend the legal responsibilities of a
14 signatory arising from the acknowledgment, including the duty to
15 pay child support.

16 4. Proceeding to rescind or challenge. A proceeding to
17 rescind or to challenge an acknowledgment of paternity or denial
18 of parentage must be conducted in the same manner as a proceeding
19 to adjudicate parentage under subchapter 6.

20 5. Amendment to birth record. At the conclusion of a
21 proceeding to rescind or challenge an acknowledgment of paternity
22 or denial of parentage, the court shall order the State Registrar
23 of Vital Statistics to amend the birth record of the child, if
24 appropriate.

25 **Comment**

26 (This is section 309 of the UPA.)

27 Although the federal statute does not prescribe the method
28 for "rescission" of an acknowledgment of paternity, it does
29 require a judicial proceeding for a subsequent "challenge."
30 Overturning an acknowledgment of paternity through either of the
31 prescribed methods has significant legal consequences. Thus, both
32 methods should require a formal procedure because either one may
33 result in the setting aside of an otherwise valid legal
34 determination of the child's parentage. A procedure that allows a
35 signatory of an acknowledgment of paternity merely to file a
36 rescission with the state bureau of vital statistics would be an
37 unwise policy choice. Many jurisdictions have come to the same
38 conclusion.

39 **§1860. Ratification barred**

40 A court or administrative agency conducting a judicial or
41 administrative proceeding is not required or permitted to ratify
42 an unchallenged acknowledgment of paternity.
43

2
4
6
8
10
12
14
16
18
20
22
24
26
28
30
32
34
36
38
40
42
44
46
48
50

Comment

(This is section 310 of the UPA.)

Source: 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(E), see Appendix: Federal IV-D Statute Relating to Parentage, infra.

§1861. Full faith and credit

A court of this State shall give full faith and credit to an acknowledgment of paternity or denial of parentage effective in another state if the acknowledgment or denial has been signed and is otherwise in compliance with the law of the other state.

Comment

(This is section 311 of the UPA.)

Source: 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(C)(iv).

PRWORA requires states "to give full faith and credit to such an affidavit [of acknowledgment of paternity] signed in any other State according to its procedures." Id. And, § 666(a)(5)(D)(ii) provides that a "signed voluntary acknowledgment is considered a legal finding of paternity" In sum, federal law requires that an acknowledgment of paternity has the same status as a "judgment," 28 U.S.C. § 1738, a "child custody determination," 28 U.S.C. § 1738A, and a "child support order," 28 U.S.C. § 1738B. This section implements these mandates.

§1862. Forms for acknowledgment and denial of paternity

1. Form. To facilitate compliance with this subchapter, the State Registrar of Vital Statistics shall prescribe forms for the acknowledgment of paternity and the denial of parentage.

2. Later modification of form. A valid acknowledgment of paternity or denial of parentage is not affected by a later modification of the prescribed form.

Comment

(This is section 312 of the UPA.)

Source: 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)C)(i),(iv), see Appendix: Federal IV-D Statute Relating to Parentage, infra.

The federal Office of Child Support Enforcement has issued an Action Transmittal to all IV-D agencies specifying how to

2 ensure that the forms comply with PRWORA, OCSE-AT-98-02, Required
Data Elements for Paternity Acknowledgment Affidavits,
4 <http://www.acf.dhhs.gov/programs/cse/1998-at.htm>

6 **§1863. Release of information**

8 The State Registrar of Vital Statistics may release
information relating to the acknowledgment of paternity as
provided in Title 22, section 2706.

10 **§1864. Adoption of rules**

12 The State Registrar of Vital Statistics may adopt rules to
14 implement this subchapter. Rules adopted pursuant to this
16 section are routine technical rules for the purposes of Title 5,
chapter 375, subchapter 2-A.

18 **Comment**

20 (This is section 314 of the UPA.)

22 This section is bracketed to account for situations in which
it may conflict with other rulemaking limitations in a particular
24 state. States will implement voluntary acknowledgment of
paternity procedures in a variety of ways, depending on local
26 practice. This grant of rulemaking authority to carry out the
provisions of this article may include electronic transmission of
28 birth and acknowledgment data to the designated state agency.

30 **SUBCHAPTER 4**

32 **REGISTRY OF PATERNITY**

34 **Comment**

36 In Lehr v. Robertson, 463 U.S. 248 (1983), the Supreme Court
upheld the constitutionality of a New York "putative father
38 registry." A New York statute required a father of a child born
out-of-wedlock to register if he wished to be notified of a
40 termination of parental rights or adoption proceeding.
Thereafter, a series of well-publicized adoption cases occurred
42 in which state courts held that nonmarital fathers had not been
given proper notice of such proceedings and voided established
44 adoptions. A substantial number of legislatures responded to
these decisions by enacting paternity registries similar to the
46 New York statute. As of May, 2000, at least 28 states had enacted
legislation creating paternity registries.

48 Initially, in 1988 the Conference took a much different
50 view, stating:

2 [The Uniform Putative and Unknown Fathers Act] does not include a
3 putative fathers registry requirement for, essentially, three
4 reasons: (1) while "ignorance of the law is no excuse," most
5 fathers or potential fathers--even very responsible ones--are not
6 likely to know about the registry as a means of protecting their
7 rights, and the objective is providing some actual protection,
8 not relying on a cliché more relevant to the criminal law; (2)
9 individual state registries do not protect responsible fathers in
10 interstate situations; and (3) since the registries rely on
11 unsupported claims, their accuracy is in doubt and their
12 potential for an invasion of privacy and for interference with
13 matters of adoption, custody, and visitation is substantial. It
14 has also been pointed out that such a registry could provide a
15 means for blackmailing the mother. The registry can, however,
16 provide a simple (albeit "hard-nosed" and potentially unjust)
17 solution when a father fails to register, as in Lehr v. Robertson.
18

19 The new UPA reverses that approach by accepting the
20 importance and utility of a parentage registry to facilitate
21 infant adoptions. Under circumstances in which the mother
22 consents to the adoption of her infant child, time is of the
23 essence in placing an infant with the adoptive parents.
24 Therefore, resort to the constitutionally approved paternity
25 registry system is appropriate. But, the Act limits the effect of
26 the registry to cases in which a child is less than one year of
27 age at the time of the court hearing, see § 405, infra. This
28 recognizes the need to expedite infant adoptions, while properly
29 protecting the rights of those nonmarital fathers who may not
30 have registered, but instead have established some relationship
31 with the child following birth. This gives the nonmarital father
32 the opportunity to step forward to accept the responsibilities of
33 parenthood, while not derailing infant adoptions. Requiring
34 notification to the alleged father of a proceeding when the child
35 has reached one year of age or more will not unduly delay the
36 placement of an older child. Further, this Act excepts from the
37 registration requirement a man who timely initiates a proceeding
38 for paternity, notwithstanding his failure to register.

40 Article 1

42 General Provisions

44 §1871. Establishment of registry

46 The State Registrar of Vital Statistics shall establish a
47 registry of paternity within the Office of Data Research and
48 Vital Statistics.

50 §1872. Registration for notification

2 1. Registration required. Except as otherwise provided in
4 subsubsection 2 or section 1875, a man who desires to be notified of
6 a proceeding for adoption of, or termination of parental rights
 regarding, a child that he may have fathered must register in the
 registry of paternity before the birth of the child or within 30
 days after the birth.

8
10 2. Exception to registration requirement. A man is not
 required to register if:

12 A. A parent-child relationship between the man and the
14 child has been established under this chapter or other law;
 or

16 B. The man commences a proceeding to adjudicate his
18 parentage before the court has terminated his parental
 rights.

20 3. Notification of change in information. A registrant
22 shall promptly notify the registry in a record of any change in
 the information registered. The State Registrar of Vital
24 Statistics shall incorporate all new information received into
 its records but need not affirmatively seek to obtain current
 information for incorporation in the registry.

26
28 **Comment**

30 (This is section 402 of the UPA.)

32 A registry of paternity protects a claim of paternity from
34 summary termination, but the primary advantage of such a registry
 is to facilitate infant adoptions. By registering, a registrant
36 ensures that he will receive notice of the possible adoption of a
 child that he may have fathered if the birth occurs in the state
 of registration. In this manner, a man may seek to protect his
 right to assert parentage.

38 Limiting the consequence of a failure to register with a
40 registry of paternity only to termination of paternal rights in
 cases of infant adoption seems appropriate. If an adoption is not
42 commenced in the first year of the child's life, the nonmarital
 father and the mother remain responsible for support and eligible
44 for custody or visitation throughout the minority of the child in
 the absence of an adoption or termination after notice to the
46 alleged father. The latter fact situation distinguishes it from
 an infant adoption in which both parents lose those rights and
48 duties for the benefit of the child.

2 If a state chooses to enact subsection (b)(2), one of the
major criticisms of Lehr v. Robertson, supra, will be eliminated.
4 In Lehr, although the genetic father did not avail himself of the
New York putative fathers registry, he had filed a "visitation
6 and paternity" petition in another local court. The trial judge
in the adoption proceeding knew the identity of the biological
8 father, where he could be located, and that he was seeking to
establish his paternity in another court. Nonetheless, the court
10 granted the adoption and terminated the genetic father's parental
rights without notice to him. Subsection (b)(2) exempts an
12 alleged father from the requirement of registration if the man
"commences a proceeding to adjudicate his paternity before the
court has terminated his parental rights."

14
16 The act of registration submits the man to the personal
jurisdiction of the tribunals of the state of registration, see
UIFSA (1996) § 201(7).
18

20 Bracketed subsection (b)(2) may be omitted by those states
that do not decide termination and adoption separately, but
rather combine the termination of parental rights with the
22 adoption. Under optional subsection (b) [enacted without the
bracketed (2)], the alleged father may establish his father-child
24 relationship before an adoption can be completed.

26 **§1873. Notice of proceeding**

28 Notice of a proceeding for the adoption of, or termination
of parental rights regarding, a child must be given to a
30 registrant who has timely registered. Notice must be given in a
manner prescribed for service of process in a civil action.
32

34 **Comment**

(This is section 403 of the UPA.)
36

38 This section is the logical conclusion to the legal
rationale for establishing a paternity registry. In an adoption
of a child or termination of parental rights proceeding, the
40 registry provides a clear procedure for resolving whether a
nonmarital father intends to assert his rights with regard to the
42 child. If he registers, termination of his rights and adoption of
his child may not proceed without notice to him; this affords him
44 the opportunity to assert his paternity and his claims for
custody or visitation.
46

48 **§1874. Termination of parental rights: child under one year**
of age

2 The parental rights of a man who may be the father of a
3 child may be terminated without notice if:

4 1. Age of child. The child has not attained one year of
5 age at the time of the termination of parental rights;

6 2. Timely registration. The man did not register timely
7 with the State Registrar of Vital Statistics; and

8 3. Not exempt. The man is not exempt from registration
9 under section 1872.

12 **Comment**

13 (This is section 404 of the UPA.)

14
15 This section is the obverse logical conclusion to the legal
16 rationale for establishing a paternity registry. In an infant
17 adoption or termination of the genetic father's parental rights,
18 the registry provides a clear procedure for determining that a
19 man does not intend to assert parental rights with regard to the
20 infant. Although the registry protects a man's right to notice in
21 a termination or adoption proceeding, his failure to register
22 waives those rights. Thus, the registry is both a first step
23 towards claiming parental rights and a means for terminating the
24 rights of those men who do not register. If a man fails to
25 register with the paternity registry, a termination and adoption
26 may proceed without fear of a belated claim, most particularly a
27 claim coming after adoptive parents have received custody of the
28 infant. This expedited procedure greatly facilitates infant
29 adoption, which in truth explains the existence--and
30 popularity--of the registries with a majority of state
31 legislatures.

32 **§1875. Termination of parental rights: child at least one year**
33 **of age**

34
35 1. Age of child. If a child has attained one year of age,
36 notice of a proceeding for adoption of, or termination of
37 parental rights regarding, the child must be given to every
38 alleged father of the child, whether or not he has registered
39 with the State Registrar of Vital Statistics.

40 2. Manner of notice. Notice must be given in a manner
41 prescribed for service of process in a civil action.

42 **Comment**

43 (This is section 405 of the UPA.)

Source: UPA (1973) § 25, and UPUFA (1988) § 3.

With the exception of infant adoptions (children under one year of age) as provided in the preceding section, this provision is solidly based on the Supreme Court's decision in Lehr v. Robertson, supra, while affirming the basic principle of Stanley v. Illinois, supra, and its progeny by requiring notice to the nonmarital father of an adoption of his child or a termination of parental rights proceeding against him. This protects those fathers who may have had some informal or de facto relationship with the child or mother for some time and prevents unilateral action to adversely affect that father's rights.

Article 2

Operation of Registry

§1881. Required form

The State Registrar of Vital Statistics shall prepare a form for registering with the agency. The form must require the signature of the registrant. The form must state that the form is signed under penalty of perjury. The form must also state that:

1. Notice. A timely registration entitles the registrant to notice of a proceeding for adoption of the child or termination of the registrant's parental rights;

2. Does not commence proceeding. A timely registration does not commence a proceeding to establish paternity;

3. Use of information. The information disclosed on the form may be used against the registrant to establish paternity;

4. Services available. Services to assist in establishing paternity are available to the registrant through the support enforcement agency;

5. Register in another state. The registrant should also register in another state if conception or birth of the child occurred in the other state; and

6. Rescind registration. Procedures exist to rescind the registration of a claim of paternity.

§1882. Furnishing of information; confidentiality

1. Location and notification of mother. The State Registrar of Vital Statistics need not seek to locate the mother of a child who is the subject of a registration, but the State

Registrar of Vital Statistics shall send a copy of the notice of registration to a mother if she has provided an address.

2. Information confidential; release. Registry information is confidential and may be released only pursuant to Title 22, section 2706.

§1883. Penalty for releasing information

An individual commits a Class E crime if the individual intentionally releases information from the registry to another individual or agency not authorized to receive the information under section 1882.

§1884. Rescission of registration

A registrant may rescind his registration at any time by sending to the registry a rescission in a record signed or otherwise authenticated by him and witnessed or notarized.

§1885. Untimely registration

If a man registers more than 60 days after the birth of the child, the State Registrar of Vital Statistics may not accept the attempted registration and shall notify the registrant that on its face his registration was not filed timely.

§1886. Fees for registry

1. Filing registration or rescission. A reasonable fee may not be charged for filing a registration or a rescission of registration.

2. Search; certificate. Except as otherwise provided in subsection 3, the State Registrar of Vital Statistics may charge a reasonable fee for making a search of the registry and for furnishing a certificate.

3. No fee. A support enforcement agency is not required to pay a fee authorized by subsection 2.

Article 3

Search of Registries

§1891. Search of appropriate registry

1. Child under one year of age; certificate of search. If a parent-child relationship has not been established under this chapter for a child under one year of age, a petitioner for

2 adoption of, or termination of parental rights regarding, the
3 child must obtain a certificate of search of the registry of
4 paternity.

6 2. Certificate of search from another state. If a
7 petitioner for adoption of, or termination of parental rights
8 regarding, a child has reason to believe that the conception or
9 birth of the child may have occurred in another state, the
10 petitioner must also obtain a certificate of search from the
11 registry of paternity, if any, in that state.

12 **§1892. Certificate of search of registry**

14 1. Certificate of search. The State Registrar of Vital
15 Statistics shall furnish to the requester a certificate of search
16 of the registry on request of an individual, court or agency
17 identified in section 1882.

18 2. Contents. A certificate provided by the State Registrar
19 of Vital Statistics must be signed on behalf of the State
20 Registrar of Vital Statistics and state that:

22 A. A search has been made of the registry; and

24 B. A registration containing the information required to
25 identify the registrant:

27 (1) Has been found and is attached to the certificate
28 of search; or

30 (2) Has not been found.

32 3. File with court. A petitioner must file the certificate
33 of search with the court before a proceeding for adoption of, or
34 termination of parental rights regarding, a child may be
35 concluded.

36 **§1893. Admissibility of registered information**

38 A certificate of search of the registry of paternity in this
39 State or another state is admissible in a proceeding for adoption
40 of, or termination of parental rights regarding, a child and, if
41 relevant, in other legal proceedings.

42 **§1894. Adoption of rules**

44 The State Registrar of Vital Statistics may adopt rules to
45 implement this subchapter. Rules adopted pursuant to this
46 section are routine technical rules for the purposes of Title 5,
47 chapter 375, subchapter 2-A.

2
4
6
8
10
12
14
16
18
20
22
24
26
28
30
32
34
36
38
40
42
44
46
48

SUBCHAPTER 5

GENETIC TESTING

§1901. Scope of subchapter

This subchapter governs genetic testing of an individual to determine parentage, whether the individual:

1. Voluntary. Voluntarily submits to testing; or
2. Pursuant to order. Is tested pursuant to an order of the court or a support enforcement agency.

Comment

(This is section 501 of the UPA.)

This section is intended to avoid problems with regard to the admissibility of the results of voluntary genetic testing. Testing is often agreed upon to avoid the cost and delay engendered by requiring a proceeding to be filed before the results of genetic testing can be admitted as evidence. If the test excludes the man's paternity, an unnecessary step has been avoided.

§1902. Order for testing

1. Order to submit to genetic testing. Except as otherwise provided in this subchapter and subchapter 6, the court shall order the child and other designated individuals to submit to genetic testing if the request for testing is supported by the sworn statement of a party to the proceeding:

A. Alleging paternity and stating facts establishing a reasonable probability of the requisite sexual contact between the individuals; or

B. Denying parentage and stating facts establishing a possibility that sexual contact between the individuals, if any, did not result in the conception of the child.

2. No presumed parent, acknowledged father or adjudicated parent. A support enforcement agency may order genetic testing only if there is no presumed parent, acknowledged father or adjudicated parent.

2 3. In utero testing. If a request for genetic testing of a
3 child is made before birth, the court or support enforcement
4 agency may not order in utero testing.

5 4. Concurrent or sequential testing. If 2 or more men are
6 subject to court-ordered genetic testing, the testing may be
7 ordered concurrently or sequentially.

8
9 **Comment**

10 (This is section 502 of the UPA.)

11 Source: UPA (1973) § 11; 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(B)(i)
12 requiring genetic testing in certain cases, see Appendix: Federal
13 IV-D Statute Relating to Parentage, infra.

14 The progress that science has made in understanding
15 molecular genetics since the promulgation of UPA (1973) is
16 phenomenal. Subsection (a) speaks to testing of a "designated
17 individual" other than of the "mother, and alleged or presumed
18 father" to take into account the fact that testing for paternity
19 may proceed without testing the mother. Further, testing may also
20 proceed without testing the alleged father by testing close
21 relatives of that man. Moreover, the right of the court to order
22 testing is not absolute; §§ 607-609 place limitations on genetic
23 testing if the child has a presumed, acknowledged, or adjudicated
24 father.

25 Subsection (c) is intended to prevent the court from
26 ordering the mother to undergo prenatal testing, such as through
27 amniocentesis or other in utero collection method. These
28 procedures pose a measurable risk to the life and health of both
29 the fetus and the mother. If the mother volunteers for such
30 testing, she may undergo prenatal sample collection for parentage
31 determination.

32 Subsection (d) recognizes that multiple men may be
33 participating in the establishment process. The laboratories
34 prefer to evaluate all persons concurrently, as concurrent
35 testing may prevent multiple sample collections from the child
36 and in rare cases (such as evaluating two non-identical siblings)
37 the laboratory can continue testing until one or both of the
38 tested men are excluded. However, sequential testing is also
39 acceptable.

40 §1903. Requirements for genetic testing

41 1. Type of genetic testing. Genetic testing must be of a
42 type reasonably relied upon by experts in the field of genetic
43 testing and performed in a testing laboratory accredited by:
44

2 A. The American Association of Blood Banks, or a successor
4 to its functions;

6 B. A national society for histocompatibility and
8 immunogenetics; or

10 C. An accrediting body designated by the federal Secretary
12 of Health and Human Services.

14 2. Specimen. A specimen used in genetic testing may
16 consist of one or more samples, or a combination of samples, of
18 blood, buccal cells, bone, hair or other body tissue or fluid.
20 The specimen used in the testing need not be of the same kind for
22 each individual undergoing genetic testing.

24 3. Selection of databases; objections. Based on the ethnic
26 or racial group of an individual, the testing laboratory shall
28 determine the databases from which to select frequencies for use
30 in calculation of the probability of paternity. If there is
32 disagreement as to the testing laboratory's choice, the following
34 provisions apply.

36 A. The individual objecting may require the testing
38 laboratory, within 30 days after receipt of the report of
40 the test, to recalculate the probability of paternity using
42 an ethnic or racial group different from that used by the
44 laboratory.

46 B. The individual objecting to the testing laboratory's
48 initial choice shall:

 (1) If the frequencies are not available to the
 testing laboratory for the ethnic or racial group
 requested, provide the requested frequencies compiled
 in a manner recognized by accrediting bodies; or

 (2) Engage another testing laboratory to perform the
 calculations.

C. The testing laboratory may use its own statistical
 estimate if there is a question regarding which ethnic or
 racial group is appropriate. If available, the testing
 laboratory shall calculate the frequencies using statistics
 for any other ethnic or racial group requested.

4. Additional genetic testing. If, after recalculation
 using a different ethnic or racial group, genetic testing does
 not rebuttably identify a man as the father of a child under

2 section 1905, an individual who has been tested may be required
3 to submit to additional genetic testing.

4 **Comment**

6 (This is section 503 of the UPA.)

8 Source: 42 U.S.C. §§ 666(a)(5)(B)(i)(I)(II) and
9 666(a)(5)(F)(i)(I)(II), see Appendix: Federal IV-D Statute
10 Relating to Parentage, infra.

12 As of December 2000, the Secretary of Health and Human
13 Services had not officially designated any accreditation bodies
14 as referenced in subsection (b)(3). But, Information Memorandum
15 OCSE-IM-97-03, April 10, 1997, from the Deputy Director of the
16 Office of Child Support Enforcement identifies the American
17 Association of Blood Banks and American Society for
18 Histocompatibility and Immunogenetics as meeting this
19 requirement. The accreditation requirement assures that the
20 testing will "be of a type reasonably relied upon by experts in
21 the field of genetic testing."

22 Subsection (b) clarifies that a "specimen" suitable for
23 genetic testing may be composed from one of a wide variety of
24 constituent elements of "body tissue and fluids." This conforms
25 the statutory language to biological terminology to assure common
26 understanding between the scientific community and the legal
27 profession. In states with statutes employing only the broad
28 terms, bench and bar have evidenced confusion about the fact that
29 blood, buccal cells, bone, hair, etc. are "body tissues."

32 Subsections (c) and (d) are designed to clarify the use of
33 "race or ethnic group" in the paternity calculations. Generally,
34 the individual tested provides the information regarding the
35 ethnic or racial group to use in the calculations. These sections
36 are designed to avoid last minute changes in the racial
37 designation, a scientific version of "forum shopping", and to
38 easily correct any misunderstanding about which race should be
39 used.

40 **§1904. Report of genetic testing**

42 **1. Report; self-authenticating.** A report of genetic
43 testing must be in a record and signed under penalty of perjury
44 by a designee of the testing laboratory. A report made under the
45 requirements of this subchapter is self-authenticating.

48 **2. Documentation.** Documentation from the testing
laboratory of the following information is sufficient to

2 establish a reliable chain of custody that allows the results of
3 genetic testing to be admissible without testimony:

4 A. The names and photographs of the individuals whose
5 specimens have been taken;

6 B. The names of the individuals who collected the specimens;

7 C. The places and dates the specimens were collected;

8 D. The names of the individuals who received the specimens
9 in the testing laboratory; and

10 E. The dates the specimens were received.

11 **Comment**

12 (This is section 504 of the UPA.)

13 Source: 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(F) requiring genetic testing
14 in certain cases, see Appendix: Federal IV-D Statute Relating to
15 Parentage, infra.

16 Subsection (b) is designed to indicate that in civil trials
17 only a minimal showing of reliability of the chain of custody is
18 needed. This avoids evidentiary problems, such as arguments
19 modeled on criminal cases in which the chain of evidence is
20 crucial. If an element of the chain is missing, such a defect may
21 be corrected by affidavit or other testimony as to the
22 reliability of the sample. For example, samples from a deceased
23 individual may be obtained from a coroner's office and a picture
24 of the individual need not be taken. In this case, proof of the
25 chain of custody of the body maintained by the coroner may be
26 provided.

27 **§1905. Genetic testing results; rebuttal**

28 1. Results identify as father. Under this chapter, a man
29 is rebuttably identified as the father of a child if the genetic
30 testing complies with this subchapter and the results disclose:

31 A. That the man has at least a 99% probability of
32 paternity, using a prior probability of 0.50, as calculated
33 by using the combined paternity index obtained in the
34 testing; and

35 B. A combined paternity index of at least 100 to 1.

36 2. Rebuttal. A man identified under subsection 1 as the
37 father of the child may rebut the genetic testing results only by

2 other genetic testing satisfying the requirements of this
3 subchapter that:

4 A. Excludes the man as a genetic father of the child; or

6 B. Identifies another man as the possible father of the
7 child.

8
9 3. Further genetic testing. Except as otherwise provided
10 in section 1910, if more than one man is identified by genetic
11 testing as the possible father of the child, the court shall
12 order them to submit to further genetic testing to identify the
13 genetic father.

14
15 **Comment**

16 (This is section 505 of the UPA.)

18
19 Source: 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(G) requiring genetic testing
20 in certain cases, see Appendix: Federal IV-D Statute Relating to
21 Parentage, infra.

22
23 The selection of a probability of paternity of 99.0% and a
24 combined paternity index of 100 to 1 as the rebuttably identified
25 man as father of the child is consistent with the year 2000
26 standard of practice in the genetic-testing community.
27 Accrediting agencies require the reporting of both of these
28 numbers. As of December, 2000, 27 states have established a
29 presumption at less than this level. However, for several years
30 the standard of practice in the scientific community has been
31 99.0%. Therefore, raising the genetic presumption to the 99.0%
32 level should have no impact on those states. This number
33 represents a reasonable level of testing, given the breadth of
34 the Act and potential difficulty of working with some specimens
35 in a probate case. It is not intended as a standard of practice
36 for the laboratories, but as a legal presumption to satisfy the
37 legal standard of proof. Given the rapid progress of science, it
38 is likely that accrediting standards will rise over time. If the
39 standard of practice becomes more strict, the newer standards
40 will be made routine by the requirement that laboratories be
41 accredited in order to perform testing under the Act. But, the
42 legal significance of the genetic presumption stated in this
43 section will be unaffected.

44
45 Genetic testing results will usually exceed the statutory
46 minimum. During the drafting of the new UPA several statutory
47 presumptions were considered, i.e., 95%, 99%, 99.9% and 99.99%.
48 Genetic testing laboratory representatives presented quite
49 persuasive arguments for a variety of choices. The Drafting
50 Committee ultimately chose to settle on the 99% standard because:

2 (1) the 99% standard reflects the current standard of the
4 American Association of Blood Banks (Standards for Parentage
Testing Laboratories, 4th ed. 1999), and the proposed standards
(5th ed. 2001);

6
8 (2) the standards promulgated by the various accrediting bodies
(American Association of Blood Banks and the American Society for
10 Histocompatibility and Immunogenetics) will, in reality, set the
benchmark for genetic testing;

12 (3) the 99% standard is consistent with the standards of the
plurality of American jurisdictions as of December, 2000;

14
16 (4) a standard higher than 99% could cause evidentiary problems
in probate proceedings because of degraded specimens. Similarly,
18 that problem may arise in cases involving one or more missing
individuals, e.g., the mother is not available, but the child and
alleged father are available;

20
22 (5) the percentage is an evidentiary presumption that the
respondent may always challenge by requesting a second test under
§507; and

24
26 (6) a proceeding to adjudicate paternity is a civil action based
on a preponderance of the evidence, not a criminal action based
on evidence beyond reasonable doubt.

28 **§1906. Costs of genetic testing**

30
32 **1. Costs advanced.** Subject to assessment of costs under
subchapter 6, the cost of initial genetic testing must be
advanced:

34
36 **A. By a support enforcement agency in a proceeding in which
the support enforcement agency is providing services;**

38 **B. By the individual who made the request;**

40 **C. As agreed by the parties; or**

42 **D. As ordered by the court.**

44 **2. Reimbursement.** In cases in which the cost is advanced
by the support enforcement agency, the agency may seek
46 reimbursement from a man who is rebuttably identified as the
father.

48
50 **Comment**

(This is section 506 of the UPA.)

Source: UPA (1973) § 11; 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(B)(ii)(I), see Appendix: Federal IV-D Statute Relating to Parentage, infra; Little v. Streater, 452 U.S. 1, (1981).

In general, the party seeking relief from a court must bear the cost of the initial genetic testing. The federal law mandates that the support enforcement agency pay the cost of testing, subject to recoupment. Subsection (a)(3) does present the possibility that a court might order a respondent to pay the initial cost.

§1907. Additional genetic testing

The court or the support enforcement agency shall order additional genetic testing upon the request of a party who contests the result of the original testing. If the previous genetic testing identified a man as the father of the child under section 1905, the court or agency may not order additional testing unless the party provides advance payment for the testing.

Comment

(This is section 507 of the UPA.)

Source: UPA (1973) § 11; 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(B)(ii)(II).

Obviously the opportunity for additional testing should be provided if the original testing is contested in good faith, see Appendix: Federal IV-D Statute Relating to Parentage, infra. The requirement that the contestant provide advance payment if prior testing has identified a man as the father is intended to discourage spurious contests. This section provides the most important mechanism for determining the accuracy of a paternity test. While extremely rare, even after initial tests indicate a probability of paternity greater than 99.99% it is theoretically possible that additional testing can result in exclusion of the tested man. Likewise, if there is an error in the chain of custody or testing procedures, exclusion is the expected outcome. The only way to reliably determine whether an error occurred is to obtain a second test.

§1908. Genetic testing when specimens not available

1. Specimen not available; submission of specimens.
Subject to subsection 2, if a genetic-testing specimen is not available from a man who may be the father of a child, for good cause and under circumstances the court considers to be just, the

2 court may order the following individuals to submit specimens for
3 genetic testing:

4 A. The parents of the man;

6 B. Brothers and sisters of the man;

8 C. Other children of the man and their mothers; and

10 D. Other relatives of the man necessary to complete genetic
11 testing.

12 2. Finding required. Issuance of an order under this
13 section requires a finding that a need for genetic testing
14 outweighs the legitimate interests of the individual sought to be
15 tested.

17 **Comment**

18 (This is section 508 of the UPA.)

20
21 In some cases, the alleged father may be unavailable for
22 testing. Subsection (a) accommodates those cases by providing for
23 testing of the man's relatives to establish his paternity or
24 nonpaternity of a child. Depending on the proceeding, some of the
25 individuals listed for testing in subsection (a) will be parties
26 to the paternity proceeding and others will not. If an individual
27 does not volunteer to participate in the testing and is not a
28 party, in the absence of this provision the court would be
29 required to decide whether it has the authority to order the
30 testing and whether testing the objecting individual is
31 necessary. This provision resolves the issues. Given the fact
32 that genetic testing in the modern age is not invasive--use of
33 the buccal swab method means that the intrusion into the privacy
34 of the individual is relatively slight compared to the right of
35 the child to have parentage established. Moreover, the alleged
36 parent also has a right to have that fact determined.

37
38 Note that no provision is explicitly made for court-ordered
39 testing of maternal relatives because the establishment of
40 paternity by genetic testing is in no way dependent on testing
41 the mother of the child. However, if maternity is at issue, §106,
42 Determination of Maternity, directs that this section be
43 construed to test the relatives of the mother.

44 **§1909. Deceased individual**

45
46 For good cause shown, the court may order genetic testing of
47 a deceased individual.

Comment

(This is section 509 of the UPA.)

In some states, the court with jurisdiction to adjudicate parentage may lack authority to order disinterment of a deceased individual. If so, that authority is provided by this section.

§1910. Identical brothers

1. Genetic testing of brother. The court may order genetic testing of a brother of a man identified as the father of a child if the man is commonly believed to have an identical brother and evidence suggests that the brother may be the genetic father of the child.

2. Nongenetic evidence. If each brother satisfies the requirements as the identified father of the child under section 1905 without consideration of another identical brother being identified as the father of the child, the court may rely on nongenetic evidence to adjudicate which brother is the father of the child.

Comment

(This is section 510 of the UPA.)

This section refers to "identical brothers" rather than "identical twins" to account for the possibility of identical triplets, etc. In some cases, non-identical brothers (and even other related men) will not be excluded after initial genetic testing. This section should not be used to resolve those cases because more sophisticated genetic testing can differentiate between non-identical siblings. If a case occurs in which, after initial testing, two men are not excluded, both men should be ordered to submit to additional testing as provided in § 505(c) to determine which is the father. In the extremely rare case in which a competent laboratory exhausts all of its in-house testing and still cannot determine which non-identical sibling is excluded, the common practice is to provide the genetic material to another laboratory for more extensive testing to resolve the case.

Contrasting identical brothers with non-identical brothers, identical brothers can never be differentiated by additional genetic testing. This creates a completely different situation for the court. This section resolves the identical-brother conundrum as much as possible, and is designed to prevent the court from simply dismissing the case.

2 **§1911. Confidentiality of genetic testing**

4 **1. Release of report.** The report of genetic testing for
6 parentage may not be released except as provided in this
 subchapter.

8 **2. Intentional release of identifiable specimen.** An
10 individual who intentionally releases an identifiable specimen of
12 another individual for any purpose other than that relevant to
 the proceeding regarding parentage without a court order or the
 written permission of the individual who furnished the specimen
 commits a Class E crime.

14 **Comment**

16 (This is section 511 of the UPA.)

18 This section seeks to protect the privacy rights of persons
20 who are tested for a parentage determination. Although the
22 Drafting Committee was not informed of an instance in which a
24 paternity-testing laboratory had released samples or performed
26 unauthorized testing, several states have proposed or passed laws
28 regulating the "genetic privacy" of paternity tests. This section
30 is intended to provide some guidance in this area. The term
32 "identifiable specimen" is included, as there are beneficial uses
34 of samples for anonymous research purposes. For example, the
36 frequency tables used to make calculations are compiled from
38 anonymous data and provide a more precise calculation for all
40 persons involved in paternity testing. On occasion, a court may
 order the laboratory to release samples. For instance, a man who
 had been tested in one paternity proceeding and then dies may
 have his samples utilized in another paternity proceeding if a
 court orders testing in the second action. Courts have also
 ordered the release of samples when the tested man has allegedly
 engaged in criminal conduct. This has occurred when the alleged
 father has sent an imposter for sample collection. If the state
 pursues criminal charges, a court might order the laboratory to
 release the samples to a state crime laboratory for further
 identification and possible criminal prosecution.

42 The Drafting Committee was informed that in one case, a
44 grand jury brought indictments for multiple counts of a scheme to
46 defraud, tampering with physical evidence and perjury against the
48 alleged father and the imposter. The results of genetic testing
50 for paternity purposes appear to have no medical or predictive
 value in any other context. Thus, regulation of the
 paternity-test results is left to the states. In some states, the
 records of paternity proceedings are open, thus allowing anyone
 to obtain the results. A more comprehensive treatment on this
 subject must necessarily be left to other laws.

2 The control of the records is left to other state law. In
4 some states paternity records are open to the public, and a
6 fundamental change in handling of the records is beyond the scope
8 of this Act. The accreditation agencies provide guidance on this
10 subject. For example, the American Association of Blood Banks
12 requires that accredited laboratories maintain records for at
14 least five years. Because a laboratory performing testing under
16 this Act should be accredited, see § 503(a), supra, protection is
18 thus provided to the tested person's records under the
20 accreditation standards.

22 **SUBCHAPTER 6**

24 **PROCEEDING TO ADJUDICATE PARENTAGE**

26 **Article 1**

28 **Nature of Proceeding**

30 **§1921. Proceeding authorized**

32 A civil proceeding may be maintained to adjudicate the
34 parentage of a child. The proceeding is governed by the Maine
36 Rules of Civil Procedure.

38 **Comment**

40 (This is section 601 of the UPA.)

42 Source: UPA (1973) § 14.

44 A determination of paternity is governed by the ordinary
46 rules of civil procedure. The party seeking to establish
48 paternity is entitled to full discovery, to compel the testimony
50 of all witnesses, and to have the case tried by a preponderance
of the evidence. "The equipoise of the private interests that are
at stake in a paternity proceeding supports the conclusion that
the standard of proof normally applied in private litigation is
also appropriate for these cases." Rivera v. Minnich, 483 U.S.
574, 581 (1987).

A corresponding amendment to UPC § 2-114 was not made until
the major revision of 1990 (as further revised in 1993). By that
time, it had been recognized as illogical and unjust to impose
discriminatory burdens on children born out-of-wedlock who were
seeking paternal inheritance. It also had been ruled
unconstitutional by application of the intermediate scrutiny test
formulated under the 14th Amendment. Reed v. Campbell, 476 U.S.
852 (1986) Moreover, by 1990 the preponderance of the evidence

standard had been widely applied to determinations of paternity and probate proceedings. Against this background, UPC (1993) abandoned the clear and convincing evidence standard for determining paternal relationships.

§1922. Standing to maintain proceeding

Subject to subchapter 3 and sections 1927 and 1929, a proceeding to adjudicate parentage may be maintained by:

1. Child. The child;

2. Mother. The mother of the child;

3. Person whose parentage to be adjudicated. A person whose parentage, including de facto parentage, of the child is to be adjudicated;

4. Support enforcement agency. The support enforcement agency or other governmental agency authorized by other law;

5. Agency. An authorized adoption agency or licensed child-placing agency;

6. Representative of individual. A representative authorized by law to act for an individual who would otherwise be entitled to maintain a proceeding but who is deceased, incapacitated or a minor; or

7. Intended parent. An intended parent under subchapter 7 or 8.

Comment

(This is section 602 of the UPA.)

Source: UPA (1973) § 6.

This section grants standing to a broad range of individuals and agencies to bring a parentage proceeding. But, several limitations on standing to sue are contained within the Act. Article 3 details the procedures involved in a voluntary acknowledgment of parentage. Sections 607 and 609 establish the ground rules for proceedings involving children with, and without, a presumed father. Article 8 regulates parentage determinations arising from a gestational agreement.

Maine Comment

2 The Maine enactment broadens the range of individuals who
have standing by deleting specific gender references and making
4 the language gender neutral to ensure equal treatment for every
child regardless of the circumstances of the parent or parents.
6 This section also clarifies that a person seeking to establish de
facto parentage has standing to maintain a proceeding to
determine parentage.

8
10 **§1923. Parties to proceeding**

12 In addition to the person whose parentage is to be
13 adjudicated, all parents of the child must be joined as parties
14 in a proceeding to adjudicate parentage.

16 **Comment**

18 (This is section 603 of the UPA.)

20 Source: UPA (1973) § 9.

22 This section partially follows and partially rejects the UPA
(1973) requirements regarding who must be named as parties in a
parentage proceeding. First, contra to UPA (1973), the child is
24 not a necessary party. Few states require children as necessary
parties. Further, with the widespread use of DNA testing, such a
26 requirement has outlived its usefulness. On the other hand,
failure to join a child as a party may later result in a child's
28 successful collateral attack on the original determination of
paternity to be filed by the child. This subject is discussed
30 more fully in the comment to § 637, infra.

32 Second, as far as can be ascertained, no state requires the
children born to a woman during marriage to be named as parties
34 in a divorce proceeding. Divorce decrees generally serve as res
judicata in the event of a subsequent challenge to the decree's
36 determination of parentage. Id.

38 **Maine Comment**

40 The Maine enactment requires all parents of a child to be
joined as parties to a proceeding to adjudicate parentage.

42 **§1924. Personal jurisdiction**

44 **1. Personal jurisdiction.** An individual may not be
46 adjudicated to be a parent unless the court has personal
jurisdiction over the individual.

48 **2. Personal jurisdiction over nonresident.** A court of this
50 State having jurisdiction to adjudicate parentage may exercise

2 personal jurisdiction over a nonresident individual, or the
3 guardian or conservator of the individual, if the conditions
4 prescribed in section 2961 are fulfilled.

5 3. Adjudication. Lack of jurisdiction over one individual
6 does not preclude the court from making an adjudication of
7 parentage binding on another individual over whom the court has
8 personal jurisdiction.

10 **Comment**

12 (This is section 604 of the UPA.)

14 Source: UPA (1973) § 6(b).

16 Although custody and visitation proceedings are considered
17 to be status adjudications, and therefore do not require personal
18 jurisdiction over both parents, subsection (a) confirms the
19 long-standing view that paternity proceedings require personal
20 jurisdiction.

22 Subsection (b) incorporates the long-arm provision for
23 establishing personal jurisdiction over an absent respondent set
24 forth in UIFSA (1996), which is in effect in every state.

26 Subsection (c) makes the best of a situation in which an
27 adjudication will almost inevitably be incomplete because not all
28 the necessary parties are subject to the personal jurisdiction of
29 the court. The most likely scenario for this unfortunate
30 circumstance is one in which the mother and alleged father of the
31 child are subject to the court's jurisdiction, but the mother's
32 absent husband is not. Even if the husband's whereabouts are
33 known, if both the forum court and the court of his residence
34 lack jurisdiction over all three parties, there still is no court
35 with power to bind all of them to a parentage determination.

36 Subsection (c) takes the common sense approach that a court
37 should not be dissuaded from making a parentage decision, even if
38 it cannot bind all appropriate parties. In the scenario described
39 above, binding the mother and alleged father to a decision of the
40 man's parentage may not technically bind the husband (the
41 presumed father), but more than likely it will end litigation on
42 the subject.

44 **§1925. Venue**

46 Venue for a proceeding to adjudicate parentage is in the
47 county or district in which:
48

2 1. Parent or child. The parent or child resides or is
present;

4 2. Respondent. The respondent resides or is present if the
child does not reside in this State;

6 3. Estate proceeding. A proceeding for probate or
8 administration of the presumed parent's or alleged father's
estate has been commenced; or

10 4. Child protection proceeding. A child protection
12 proceeding has been commenced.

14 **Comment**

16 (This is section 605 of the UPA.)

18 Source: UPA (1973) § 8(c).

20 The venue provision provides choices proven to be reasonable
and convenient since its inclusion in the 1973 Act.

22 §1926. No limitation: child having no presumed parent,
24 acknowledged father or adjudicated parent

26 A proceeding to adjudicate the parentage of a child having
no presumed parent, acknowledged father or adjudicated parent may
28 be commenced at any time, even after:

30 1. Child. The child becomes an adult, but only if the
child initiates the proceeding; or

32 2. Earlier proceeding dismissed. An earlier proceeding to
34 adjudicate parentage has been dismissed based on the application
of a statute of limitation then in effect.

36 **Comment**

38 (This is section 606 of the UPA.)

40 Source: UPA (1973) §§ 6, 7.

42 For a state to retain the federal child support enforcement
44 subsidy, 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(A)(i) mandates that the states
must have laws to "permit the establishment of the paternity of a
46 child at any time before the child attains 18 years of age."
States have chosen a wide range of age options: age 18 (20
48 states), age 19 (6 states), age 20 (2 states), age 21 (10
states), age 22 (2 states), age 23 (2 states), and no limitation

2 (9 states). Several states limit the establishment of parental
rights to a shorter period.

4 The new UPA directs that an individual whose parentage has
6 not been determined has a civil right to determine his or her own
parentage, which should not be subject to limitation except when
8 an estate has been closed. Accordingly, if the action is
initiated by the child this section allows a proceeding to
10 adjudicate parentage after the child has reached the age of
majority. Such a proceeding is the exclusive province of the
12 child, however. This limitation prohibits the filing of an
intrusive proceeding by an individual claiming to be a parent of
14 an adult child, or by a legal stranger. There appear to be no
reported problems encountered in states without a statute of
16 limitations for such actions.

18 **Maine Comment**

The Maine enactment broadens the range of individuals
20 against whom a child whose parentage has not been determined may
initiate an action by making the language gender neutral to
22 ensure equal treatment for every child regardless of the
circumstances of the parent or parents.

24 **§1927. Limitation: child having presumed parent**

26 **1. Not later than 2 years; presumed marital parent.** A
28 proceeding based on genetics to disestablish the parentage of an
individual whose parentage is presumed under section 1844,
30 subsection 1, paragraph A, B, C or D must be commenced not later
than 2 years after the birth of the child.

32 **2. Not later than 2 years; presumed nonmarital parent.** A
34 proceeding based on genetics to stop the presumption of parentage
under section 1844, subsection 1, paragraph E from arising must
36 be commenced not later than 2 years after the birth of the child.

38 **3. Later than 2 years.** A proceeding to adjudicate the
40 parentage of a child who has a presumed parent that is commenced
later than 2 years after the birth of the child may adjudicate
42 the genetic parentage of the child. If the individual
adjudicated to be the genetic parent of the child is not the
44 presumed parent, the court may not disestablish the presumed
parent's parentage, and the court shall determine the parental
46 rights and responsibilities in accordance with section 1653.

48 **4. Any time.** A proceeding seeking to disprove the
parent-child relationship between a child and the child's
presumed parent may be maintained at any time if the court
50 determines that:

2 A. The presumed parent and the mother of the child neither
4 cohabited nor engaged in sexual intercourse with each other
 during the probable time of conception; and

6 B. The presumed parent never openly held out the child as
8 that person's own.

10 **Comment**

12 (This is section 607 of the UPA.)

14 Source: UPA (1973) § 6; cf. UPC (1993) § 2-114(c).

16 This section deals with difficult issues. First, it
18 establishes the right of a mother or a presumed marital or
20 nonmarital father to challenge the presumption of his paternity
 established by § 204. Second, it clarifies the right of a
 third-party male to claim paternity of a child who has an
 existing presumed father.

22 UPA (1973) § 6(a) places a [five-year] limitation on the
24 time in which a proceeding may be brought "for the purpose of
 declaring the non-existence of the father and child relationship
 presumed under [the Act]." At that time, the comment noted that:
26 "Ten states have denied standing to a man claiming to be the
 father when the mother was married to another at the time of the
28 child's birth. In some of these states, even though a presumed
 father may seek to rebut his presumed paternity, a third-party
30 male will be denied standing to raise that same issue."

32 As of the year 2000, the right of an "outsider" to claim
34 paternity of a child born to a married woman varies considerably
36 among the states. Thirty-three states allow a man alleging
 himself to be the father of a child with a presumed father to
 rebut the marital presumption. Some states have granted this
38 right through legislation, while in other states case law has
 recognized the alleged father's right to rebut the presumption
 and establish his paternity. In some states, there is both
40 statutory and common law support for the standing of a man
 alleging himself to be the father to assert his paternity of a
42 child born to a married woman. Not that long ago, some states
 imposed an absolute bar on a man commencing a proceeding to
44 establish his paternity if state law provides a statutory
 presumption of the paternity of another man. See Michael H. v.
46 Gerald D., 491 U.S. 110, (1989). It is increasingly clear that
 those days are coming to an end.

48 The new UPA attempts to establish a middle ground on these
50 exceedingly complex issues. Subsection (a) establishes a two-year

2 limitation for rebutting the presumption of paternity established
4 under § 204 if the mother and presumed father were cohabiting at
6 the time of conception. The presumption of paternity may be
8 attacked by the mother, the presumed father, or a third-party
male during this limited period; thereafter the presumption is
immune from attack by any of those individuals except as provided
in subsection (b).

10 The reverse fact situation is also clear; a presumption of
12 paternity may be challenged at any time if the mother and the
14 presumed father were not cohabiting and did not engage in sexual
intercourse at the probable time of conception and the presumed
father never openly held out the child as his own.

16 Under the fact circumstances described in subsection (b),
18 nonpaternity of the presumed father is generally assumed by all
the parties as a practical matter. It is inappropriate for the
law to assume a presumption known by all those concerned to be
untrue.

20 **Maine Comment**

22 Current Maine law suggests that a court's determination of
24 a genetic father of a child prevails over the presumed parent
(presumed to be the father because of marriage to the mother).
26 That determination has the effect of disestablishing the legal
parental status of the presumed parent, regardless of the
28 relationship that might have existed between that person and the
child and without reference to the best interest of the child.
30 This can be a harsh result for a child. The UPA 2002, in section
1927, reverses existing Maine law after the second birthday of
32 the child, but still leaves the possibility of a harsh result to
a child from disestablishment. Under the UPA 2002, a genetic
34 parent cannot commence an action to establish parentage after the
child's second birthday if there is a presumed parent. This
36 excludes the genetic parent, a person who has been traditionally
deemed an important person in the life of a child, as the legal
38 parent of the child, without considering the best interest of the
child. In an effort to reduce the harshness that can accompany
40 the disestablishment of a parent from the life of the child, this
enactment rejects both the approach under current Maine law and
42 the UPA 2002. Under the enactment, if the challenge to the
presumed parent's parentage commences after the child's second
44 birthday, the genetic parent will not be disestablished. The
parentage of the presumed parent and the genetic father will be
46 recognized. The court will use the best interest of the child
factors, and will award and allocate to either or both parents,
48 whichever is appropriate, parental rights and responsibilities,
including support. The child will not face the potential harsh

2 result of having a significant person disestablished as a parent
without consideration of the child's best interest.

4
6 **§1928. Limitation: child having acknowledged father or
adjudicated parent**

8 **1. Sixty days or 2 years.** If a child has an acknowledged
10 father, a signatory to the acknowledgment of paternity or denial
of parentage may commence a proceeding seeking to rescind the
12 acknowledgment or denial or challenge the parentage of the child
only within the time allowed under section 1857 or 1858.

14 **2. Two years.** If an acknowledgment has been made in
accordance with subchapter 3 or if a child has an adjudicated
16 parent, an individual who is neither the child nor a signatory
to the acknowledgment nor a party to the adjudication who seeks
18 an adjudication of parentage based on genetics that
disestablishes the parentage of the acknowledged or adjudicated
20 parent must commence a proceeding not later than 2 years after
the effective date of the acknowledgment or adjudication.

22 **3. Any time.** If an acknowledgment has been made in
24 accordance with subchapter 3 or if a child has an adjudicated
parent in a separate proceeding, an individual who is neither
26 the child nor a signatory to the acknowledgment nor a party to
the adjudication in the separate proceeding who seeks an
28 adjudication of parentage may bring a proceeding at any time. If
the individual adjudicated to be a parent is different from the
30 person who is the acknowledged parent or the adjudicated parent
in the separate proceeding, the court may not disestablish the
32 parentage of that acknowledged or adjudicated parent. The court
shall determine the parental rights and responsibilities of the
34 parents in accordance with section 1653.

36 **Comment**

38 (This is section 609 of the UPA.)

40 A two-year period is prescribed in § 307 for a challenge in
which the acknowledged or adjudicated father mistakenly believed
42 himself to be the genetic father. A similar limitation is
prescribed in § 607(a) for an individual who was not a signatory
44 or a party to the earlier determination.

46 The 2002 amendment adding subsection (c) authorizes the
court to deny genetic testing in accordance with the principles
48 enumerated in § 608 in a fact situation in which equity justifies
a denial. For example, if there is an untimely challenge by a
50 third party to the paternity of an acknowledged or adjudicated

2 father long after an actual father-child relationship has been
3 formed, the court has discretion to refuse to order genetic
4 testing.

6 **Maine Comment**

8 Under this section of the UPA 2002, the genetic parent
9 cannot commence an action to determine parentage after the second
10 anniversary of the acknowledgment or adjudication of another's
11 parentage. The acknowledged or adjudicated parent will remain the
12 legal parent of the child, with the effect of disestablishing the
13 genetic parent. The genetic parent, a person who has been
14 traditionally deemed an important person in the life of a child,
15 is excluded as the legal parent of the child, without considering
16 the best interest of the child. In an effort to reduce the
17 harshness that can accompany the disestablishment of a parent
18 from the life of the child, this enactment rejects the approach
19 of the UPA 2002. Under this enactment, the genetic parent will
20 not be disestablished. The parentage of both the acknowledged or
21 adjudicated parent and the genetic father will be recognized.
22 The court will use the best interests of the child factors and
23 will award and allocate to either or both parents, whichever is
24 appropriate, parental rights and responsibilities, including
25 support. The child will not face the potential harsh result of
26 having a significant person disestablished as a parent without
27 consideration of the child's best interest.

28 **§1929. Joinder of proceedings**

30 **1. Joinder permitted.** Except as otherwise provided in
31 subsection 2, a proceeding to adjudicate parentage may be joined
32 with a proceeding for adoption, termination of parental rights,
33 child custody or visitation, child support, divorce, annulment,
34 legal separation, guardianship, probate or administration of an
35 estate or other appropriate proceeding.

36 **2. Joinder not permitted.** A respondent may not join a
37 proceeding described in subsection 1 with a proceeding to
38 adjudicate parentage brought under chapter 67.

40 **Comment**

42 (This is section 610 of the UPA.)

44 Source: UPA (1973) § 8.

46 Joinder of paternity proceedings with related matters is
47 common, especially when a child support agency seeks to establish
48 paternity and fix child support.
49

2 Subsection (b) restricts counterclaims in those instances in
4 which an initiating state sends a paternity suit to the
6 responding state. Because petitioner is "appearing" in the other
8 forum, to permit counterclaims would serve as a major deterrent
to bringing such proceedings. This bar does not prevent a
separate action for such matters, but there must be independent
jurisdiction not arising from the petitioner's appearance in the
paternity proceeding.

10 **Maine Comment**

12 The Maine enactment adds guardianship to the list of
14 proceedings that may be joined with a proceeding to adjudicate
parentage.

16 **§1930. Proceeding before birth**

18 A proceeding to determine parentage may be commenced before
20 the birth of the child, but may not be concluded until after the
22 birth of the child. The following actions may be taken before the
birth of the child:

24 1. Service of process. Service of process;

26 2. Discovery. Discovery; and

28 3. Collection of specimens. Except as prohibited by
30 section 1902, collection of specimens for genetic testing.

32 **Comment**

34 (This is section 611 of the UPA.)

36 This section recognizes that establishing a parental
relationship as quickly as possible may be in the best interest
38 of a child. To facilitate that process, some initial steps may be
completed prior to the birth of the child.

40 **§1931. Child as party; representation**

42 1. Permissible but not necessary party. A minor child is a
44 permissible party, but is not a necessary party to a proceeding
under this subchapter.

46 2. Appointment. The court shall appoint an attorney to
48 represent a minor or incapacitated child if the child is a party
or the court finds that the interests of the child are not
50 adequately represented.

Comment

(This is section 612 of the UPA.)

This section rejects UPA (1973) § 9. Consistent with § 603, *supra*, this Act rejects the view that the child necessarily has independent standing in a parentage proceeding. On the other hand, if the court determines that the child in fact does have a position at variance with all the other litigants, an attorney may be appointed to represent that interest.

§1932. Disclosure and recording of social security numbers

A person who is a party to a parentage action shall disclose that person's social security number to the court. The social security number of a person who is subject to a judgment of parentage must be placed in the court records relating to the judgment of parentage. Notwithstanding section 1953, the record of a person's social security number is confidential and is not open to the public. The court shall disclose a person's social security number to the department for child support enforcement purposes.

Article 2

**Special Rules for Proceeding
to Adjudicate Parentage**

§1941. Admissibility of results of genetic testing; expenses

1. Record admissible; objection. Except as otherwise provided in subsection 3, a record of a genetic-testing expert is admissible as evidence of the truth of the facts asserted in the report unless a party objects to its admission within 14 days after its receipt by the objecting party and cites specific grounds for exclusion. The admissibility of the report is not affected by whether the testing was performed:

A. Voluntarily or pursuant to an order of the court or a support enforcement agency; or

B. Before or after the commencement of the proceeding.

2. Testimony of experts. A party objecting to the results of genetic testing may call one or more genetic-testing experts to testify in person or by telephone, videoconference, deposition or another method approved by the court. Unless otherwise ordered by the court, the party offering the testimony bears the expense for the expert testifying.

2 3. Results inadmissible; exceptions. If a child has a
4 presumed parent, acknowledged father or adjudicated parent, the
 results of genetic testing are inadmissible to adjudicate
 parentage unless performed:

6 A. With the consent of both the mother and the presumed
 parent, acknowledged father or adjudicated parent; or

8 B. Pursuant to an order of the court under section 1902.

10 4. Copies of bills as evidence. Copies of bills for
12 genetic testing and for prenatal and postnatal health care for
14 the mother and child that are furnished to the adverse party not
 less than 10 days before the date of a hearing are admissible to
 establish:

16 A. The amount of the charges billed; and

18 B. That the charges were reasonable, necessary and
20 customary.

22 **Comment**

24 (This is section 621 of the UPA.)

26 Source: 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(F)(ii), see Appendix: Federal
28 IV-D Statute Relating to Parentage, infra; UPA (1973) §§ 10, 13.

30 Justification for additional testing is provided by
32 subsection (a). If the objecting party can state with specificity
34 the grounds for rejecting a genetic test, and those grounds
36 cannot be clarified under Article 5, retesting should be ordered.
38 For example, if the chain of custody is seriously flawed, or the
40 testing laboratory is not accredited, errors of this sort may be
 corrected by collecting new specimens and repeating the testing.
 Unlike the samples collected in a potential criminal proceeding
 which cannot be replaced, such as a blood alcohol test, the
 samples in a paternity proceedings remain the same no matter
 when, or how often, the samples are collected. Any flaw in the
 original test can be corrected by collection of new samples and
 additional testing of the individuals.

42 **Maine Comment**

44 This section has been made gender neutral.

46 §1942. Consequences of declining genetic testing

48 1. Contempt. An order for genetic testing is enforceable
50 by contempt.

2. Adjudication contrary to position. If an individual whose paternity is being determined declines to submit to genetic testing ordered by the court, the court for that reason may adjudicate parentage contrary to the position of that individual.

3. Testing of mother; unavailable or declines. Genetic testing of the mother of a child is not a condition precedent to testing the child and a man whose paternity is being determined. If the mother is unavailable or declines to submit to genetic testing, the court may order the testing of the child and every man whose paternity is being adjudicated.

Comment

(This is section 622 of the UPA.)

Source: UPA (1973) § 10.

§1943. Admission of parentage authorized

1. Admission of parentage. A respondent in a proceeding to adjudicate parentage may admit to the parentage of a child by filing a pleading to that effect or by admitting parentage under penalty of perjury when making an appearance or during a hearing.

2. Order adjudicating parentage. If the court finds that the admission of parentage satisfies the requirements of this section and finds that there is no reason to question the admission, the court shall issue an order adjudicating the child to be the child of the person admitting parentage.

Comment

(This is section 623 of the UPA.)

Source: 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(D)(i)(II), see Appendix:
Federal IV-D Statute Relating to Parentage, *infra*.

Maine Comment

This section is gender neutral consistent with other Maine amendments to the UPA.

\$1944. Temporary and initial orders

1. Temporary order for support. In a proceeding under this subchapter, the court shall issue a temporary order for support of a child in accordance with the child support guidelines under

chapter 63 if the order is appropriate and the individual ordered to pay support is:

A. A presumed parent of the child;

B. Petitioning to have parentage adjudicated;

C. Identified as the father through genetic testing under section 1905;

D. An alleged father who has declined to submit to genetic testing;

E. Shown by clear and convincing evidence to be the parent of the child; or

F. The mother of the child.

2. Parental rights and responsibilities. The court may order an initial allocation of parental rights and responsibilities. The order of the court must provide notice that if either party objects to the allocation, that party may file a complaint pursuant to section 1654 and that an order from that action supersedes this initial allocation of parental rights and responsibilities. It is within the court's discretion to award or allocate parental rights and responsibilities under this subchapter and the department is not a party to this issue. In resolving parental rights and responsibilities issues, the court may not delay entering a determination of parentage and an initial order concerning child support.

Comment

(This is section 624 of the UPA.)

Source: UIFSA (1996) § 401; 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(J), see Appendix: Federal IV-D Statute Relating to Parentage, *infra*.

Maine Comment

The Maine version broadens the range of individuals against whom a child order may be entered by making the language gender neutral consistent with prior Maine amendments to the UPA.

Article 3

Hearings and Adjudication

§1951. Adjudication of parentage

The court shall apply the following provisions to adjudicate the parentage of a child.

1. Parentage disproved. The parentage of a child having a presumed parent, acknowledged father or adjudicated parent may be disproved only by admissible results of genetic testing excluding that man as the father of the child or identifying another man as the father of the child.

2. Identified father adjudicated as father. Unless the results of genetic testing are admitted to rebut other results of genetic testing, a man identified as the father of a child under section 1905 must be adjudicated the father of the child.

3. Genetic testing not conclusive. If the court finds that genetic testing under section 1905 neither identifies nor excludes a man as the father of a child, the court may not dismiss the proceeding. In that event, the results of genetic testing, and other evidence, are admissible to adjudicate the issue of parentage.

4. Excluded man adjudicated as not father. Unless the results of genetic testing are admitted to rebut other results of genetic testing, a man excluded as the father of a child by genetic testing must be adjudicated not to be the parent of the child.

5. Inadmissible evidence. Testimony relating to sexual relations or possible sexual relations of the mother at a time other than the probable time of conception of the child is inadmissible in evidence.

Comment

(This is section 631 of the UPA.)

Source: UPA (1973) § 14.

This section establishes the controlling supremacy of admissible genetic test results in the adjudication of paternity. Other matters such as statute of limitations, equitable estoppel and res judicata may preclude the matter from reaching trial or the court denying genetic testing. However, if test results are admissible, those results control unless other test results create a conflict rebutting the admitted results.

Paragraph (3) is included to ensure that the fact a genetic test does not reach the 99% level decreed in § 505 will not be perceived as an indicator of an exclusion of paternity. Although test results that do not reach that level do not create a

presumption of paternity, the testing should be evaluated as an indicator of paternity along with the other evidence of paternity presented in the proceeding. Presumably expert testimony will be required to provide information about the measure of the weight of a test that does not achieve "at least a 99 percent probability of paternity, using a prior probability of 0.50, as calculated by using the combined paternity index obtained in the testing, and a combined paternity index of at least 100 to 1."

The inclusion of the first clause in paragraph (4) indicates that although a genetic testing exclusion of paternity can be absolute, errors (and sometimes fraud) may occur in testing. Some courts have imposed a rule that a party must first show the test is in error before ordering another test. This imposes an impossible burden because the only accurate method to show that a test is in error is to repeat the testing. Without this clause, some litigants might argue that once an exclusion is obtained it is absolute and no other test can be ordered, even when the first test is shown to be wrong.

Maine Comment

The Maine version is gender neutral consistent with other Maine amendments to the UPA that recognize the adjudication of parentage.

§1952. Jury prohibited

The court, without a jury, shall adjudicate parentage of a child.

Comment

(This is section 632 of the UPA.)

Source: 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(I), requiring state law to provide that "parties to an action to establish paternity are not entitled to trial by jury" See Appendix: Federal IV-D Statute Relating to Parentage, infra.

UPA (1973) § 14[(d)] prohibited jury trials in parentage proceedings on the basis that "The use of a jury is not desirable in the emotional atmosphere of cases of this nature." Congress agreed when it enacted an effectively identical prohibition in PRWORA (1996).

Maine Comment

This section is gender neutral consistent with other Maine amendments to the UPA.

2 **§1953. Hearings: inspection of records**

4 **1. Close proceeding.** On request of a party and for good
6 cause shown, the court may close a proceeding under this
subchapter.

8 **2. Public records: consent or order.** A final order in a
10 proceeding under this subchapter is available for public
inspection. Other papers and records are available only with the
12 consent of the parties or on order of the court for good cause.

14 **Comment**

16 (This is section 633 of the UPA.)

18 Source: UPA (1973) § 20.

20 UPA (1973) § 20 was concerned with the privacy of the
parties in a paternity proceeding and required closure of the
22 proceedings. The high caseload and the desensitizing of such
proceedings, however, lead to the conclusion that mandating
24 closure of the proceedings is no longer appropriate.

26 **§1954. Order on default**

28 The court shall issue an order adjudicating the parentage of
a person who:

30 **1. In default.** After service of process, is in default; and

32 **2. Found to be parent.** Is found by the court to be the
parent of a child.

34 **Comment**

36 (This is section 634 of the UPA.)

38 Source: 42 U.S.C. § 666(a)(5)(H), see Appendix: Federal IV-D
40 Statute Relating to Parentage, infra.

42 **Maine Comment**

44 This section is gender neutral consistent with other Maine
amendments to the UPA.

46 **§1955. Dismissal for want of prosecution**

48 The court may issue an order dismissing a proceeding
50 commenced under this chapter for want of prosecution only without

2 prejudice. An order of dismissal for want of prosecution
3 purportedly with prejudice is void and has only the effect of a
4 dismissal without prejudice.

6 **Comment**

8 (This is section 635 of the UPA.)

10 A major principle of the new UPA--and its predecessor--is
11 that the child's right to have a determination of paternity is
12 fundamental. This new section confirms this right by declaring
13 that the delinquency of another person in prosecuting such a
14 proceeding, e.g., the mother or a support enforcement agency, may
15 not permanently preclude the ultimate resolution of a parentage
16 determination.

18 **§1956. Order adjudicating parentage**

20 1. Order. The court shall issue an order adjudicating
21 whether a person alleged or claiming to be the parent is the
22 parent of the child.

24 2. Identify child. An order adjudicating parentage must
25 identify the child by name and date of birth.

26 3. Fees, costs and expenses. Except as otherwise provided
27 in subsection 4, the court may assess filing fees, reasonable
28 attorney's fees, fees for genetic testing, other costs and
29 necessary travel and other reasonable expenses incurred in a
30 proceeding under this subchapter. The court may award attorney's
31 fees, which may be paid directly to the attorney, who may enforce
32 the order in the attorney's own name.

34 4. No assessment against agency. The court may not assess
35 fees, costs or expenses against the support enforcement agency of
36 this State or another state, except as provided by other law.

38 5. Change of name. On request of a party and for good
39 cause shown, the court may order that the name of the child be
40 changed.

42 6. Amended birth registration. If the order of the court
43 is at variance with the child's birth certificate, the court
44 shall order the State Registrar of Vital Statistics to issue an
45 amended birth registration.

46 **Comment**

48 (This is section 636 of the UPA.)

Source: UIFSA (1996) § 313; UPA (1973) §§ 15, 16, 23.

This Act differs from UPA (1973), which attempted to do more than merely establish parentage. For example, UPA (1973) § 15 provided for a wide range of court orders to be made relating to the child's support, custody, guardianship, visitation privileges, as well as to the payment by the father of the mother's expenses of pregnancy and confinement. This Act leaves such matters to other state law. Only in instances where other state law is likely to be inadequate does this Act specify special treatment for litigants. For example, subsections (c) and (d) may be required because ordinary civil litigation probably does not provide for the court to apportion the costs of litigation among the parties.

Maine Comment

This section is gender neutral consistent with other Maine amendments to the UPA.

§1957. Binding effect of determination of parentage

1. Determination binding; signatories, parties. Except as otherwise provided in subsection 2, a determination of parentage is binding on:

A. All signatories to an acknowledgment of paternity or denial of parentage as provided in subchapter 3; and

B. All parties to an adjudication by a court acting under circumstances that satisfy the jurisdictional requirements of section 2961.

2. Determination binding; child. A child is not bound by a determination of parentage under this chapter unless:

A. The determination was based on an unrescinded acknowledgment of paternity and the acknowledgment is consistent with the results of genetic testing;

B. The adjudication of parentage was based on a finding consistent with the results of genetic testing and the consistency is declared in the determination or is otherwise shown; or

C. The child was a party or was represented in the proceeding determining parentage by an attorney.

3. Adjudication in proceeding to dissolve marriage. In a proceeding to dissolve a marriage, the court is deemed to have

made an adjudication of the parentage of a child if the court acts under circumstances that satisfy the jurisdictional requirements of section 2961 and the final order:

A. Expressly identifies a child as a "child of the marriage" or "issue of the marriage" or by similar words indicates that the husband is the father of the child; or

B. Provides for support of the child by the husband unless paternity is specifically disclaimed in the order.

4. Determination a defense. Except as otherwise provided in subsection 2, a determination of parentage may be a defense in a subsequent proceeding seeking to adjudicate parentage by an individual who was not a party to the earlier proceeding.

5. Challenge to adjudication. A party to an adjudication of parentage may challenge the adjudication only under law of this State relating to appeal, vacation of judgments or other judicial review.

Comment

(This is section 637 of the UPA.)

A considerable amount of litigation involves exactly who is bound and who is not bound by a final order determining parentage. This section codifies rules regarding the effect of such orders. Subsection (a) provides that, if the order is issued under standards of personal jurisdiction of the UIFSA (1996), the order is binding on all parties to the proceeding. This solves the problem of an order issued without the appropriate jurisdiction, as would be the case of a divorce based on status jurisdiction in which the court lacked the requisite personal jurisdiction over a nonresident party.

Subsection (b) partially resolves the question of whether a child is bound by the terms of the order. UPA (1973) required that the child be made a party to a parentage proceeding, and be bound. However, the 1973 Act did not address whether a divorce decree had a legal impact on paternity. A majority of jurisdictions hold that the child is not bound by the divorce decree because the child was not a party to the proceeding. A minority of states hold that the child is bound by the order and that the child is in privity with the parents. In its present formulation, this subsection adopts the majority rule, which does not bind the child during minority unless the parentage order is based on genetic testing or the child was represented by an attorney ad litem (each state supplies its own terminology).

2 Subsection (c) resolves whether a divorce decree constitutes
a finding of paternity. This subsection provides that a decree is
4 a determination of paternity if the decree states that the child
was born of the marriage or grants the husband visitation or
6 custody, or orders support. This is the majority rule in American
jurisprudence.

8 Subsection (d) gives protection to third parties who may
claim benefit of an earlier determination of parentage.

10 Finally, the section is silent on whether state IV-D
12 agencies are bound by prior determinations of parentage. This
controversial issue is left to other state law. Similarly, issues
14 of collateral attack on final judgments are to be resolved by
recourse to other state law, as in civil proceedings generally.

16 **Maine Comment**

18 This section is gender neutral consistent with other Maine
20 amendments to the UPA.

22 **SUBCHAPTER 7**

24 **CHILD OF ASSISTED REPRODUCTION**

26 **Comment**

28 During the last thirty years, medical science has developed
a wide array of assisted reproductive technology, often referred
30 to as ART, which have enabled childless individuals and couples
to become parents. Thousands of children are born in the United
32 States each year as the result of ART. If a married couple uses
their own eggs and sperm to conceive a child born to the wife,
34 the parentage of the child is straightforward. The wife is the
mother--by gestation and genetics, the husband is the father--by
36 genetics and presumption. And, insofar as the Uniform Parentage
Act is concerned, neither parent fits the definition of a "donor."

38 Current state laws and practices are not so straightforward,
40 however. If a woman gives birth to a child conceived using sperm
from a man other than her husband, she is the mother and her
42 husband, if any, is the presumed father. However, the man who
provided the sperm might assert his biological paternity, or the
44 husband might seek to rebut the martial presumption of paternity
by proving through genetic testing that he is not the genetic
46 father. As was the case in UPA (1973), it is necessary for the
new Act to clarify definitively the parentage of a child born
48 under these circumstances.

2 Similarly, assisted reproduction may involve the eggs from a
3 woman other than the mother--perhaps using the intended father's
4 sperm, perhaps not. In either event, the new Act makes a policy
5 decision to clearly exclude the egg donor from claiming
6 maternity. Theoretically, it is even possible that absent
7 appropriate legislation the mother could attempt to deny
8 maternity based on her lack of genetic relationship.

10 Finally, many couples employ a common ART procedure that
11 combines sperm and eggs to form a pre-zygote that is then frozen
12 for future use. If the couple later divorces, or one of them
13 dies, absent legislation there are no clear rules for determining
14 the parentage of a child resulting from a pre-zygote implanted
15 after divorce or after the death of the would-be father.
16 Disposition of such pre-zygotes, or even issues of their
17 "ownership," create not only broad publicity, but also are
18 problems on which courts need guidance.

19 **§1961. Scope of subchapter**

21 This subchapter does not apply to the birth of a child
22 conceived by means of sexual intercourse or as the result of a
23 gestational agreement as provided in subchapter 8.

24 **Comment**

26 (This is section 701 of the UPA.)

28 Article 7 applies only to children born as the result of
29 assisted reproduction technologies; a child conceived by sexual
30 intercourse is not covered by this article, irrespective of the
31 alleged intent of the parties. The bracketed clause relates to
32 gestational agreements under Article 8. If a state enacts Article
33 8, the brackets should be removed. If a state does not enact
34 Article 8, the bracketed subsection should be omitted.

35 **Maine Comment**

37 The brackets have been deleted because Maine adopts a
38 revised version of Article 8.

39 **§1962. Parental status of donor**

41 A donor is not a parent of a child conceived by means of
42 assisted reproduction.

43 **Comment**

45 (This is section 702 of the UPA.)

Source: UPA (1973) § 5(b); USCACA (1988) § 4(a).

If a child is conceived as the result of assisted reproduction, this section clarifies that a donor (whether of sperm or egg) is not a parent of the resulting child. The donor can neither sue to establish parental rights, nor be sued and required to support the resulting child. In sum, donors are eliminated from the parental equation.

The new UPA does not deal with many of the complex and serious legal problems raised by the practice of assisted reproduction. Issues such as ownership and disposition of embryos, regulation of the medical procedures, insurance coverage, etc., are left to other statutes or to the common law. Only the issue of parentage falls within the purview of this Act. This was also the case in UPA (1973), which wholly deferred speaking on the subject except to ensure the husband's paternal responsibility when he gave his consent to what was then called "artificial insemination" of his wife (now known in the scientific community as "intrauterine insemination"). The commentary to UPA (1973) stated: "It was thought useful, however, to single out and cover . . . at least one fact situation that occurs frequently."

The new UPA goes well beyond that narrow view; it governs the parentage issues in all cases in which the birth mother is also the woman who intends to parent the child. It also ensures that if the mother is a married woman, her husband will be the father of the child if he gives his consent to assisted reproduction by his wife, regardless of which aspect of ART is utilized. UPA (1973) § 5(b) specified that a male donor would not be considered the father of a child born of artificial insemination if the sperm was provided to a licensed physician for use in artificial insemination of a married woman other than the donor's wife. The new Act does not continue the requirement that the donor provide the sperm to a licensed physician. Further, this section of the new UPA does not limit a donor's statutory exemption from becoming a legal parent of a child resulting from ART to a situation in which the donor provides sperm for assisted reproduction by a married woman. This requirement is not realistic in light of present ART practices and the constitutional protections of the procreative rights of unmarried as well as married women. Consequently, this section shields all donors, whether of sperm or eggs, (§ 102 (8), supra), from parenthood in all situations in which either a married woman or a single woman conceives a child through ART with the intent to be the child's parent, either by herself or with a man, as provided in sections 703 and 704.

2 If a married woman bears a child of assisted reproduction
3 using a donor's sperm, the donor will not be the father in any
4 event. Her husband will be the father unless and until the
5 husband's lack of consent to the assisted reproduction is proven
6 within two years of his learning of the birth, see § 705, *infra*.
7 This provides certainty of nonparentage for prospective donors.

8 The comment to now-withdrawn USCACA § 4(a) states that
9 "nonparenthood is also provided for those donors who provide
10 sperm for assisted reproduction by unmarried women." Under those
11 circumstances--called a "relatively rare situation" in the 1988
12 comment--"the child would have no legally recognized father."
13 This result is retained in the new UPA, although the frequency of
14 unmarried women using assisted reproduction appears to have grown
15 significantly since 1988.

16 **Maine Comment**

17
18 This section shields all donors, whether of sperm or eggs,
19 from parenthood in all situations in which either a married woman
20 or a single woman conceives a child through ART with the intent
21 to be the child's parent, either by herself or with another
22 person, as provided in sections 1983 and 1984.

23
24 Maine has no statutes and only one appellate case regarding
25 donors, whether of sperm or eggs; yet, cases are being litigated
26 in Maine's trial courts with little or no guidance. In
27 Guardianship of I.H., 2003 ME 130, the court held that the
28 probate court may waive notice to an anonymous sperm donor. In
29 so ruling, the court cited Section 702 of the UPA 2000 in its
30 analysis of the issue.

31 **§1963. Parentage of child of assisted reproduction**

32
33 A person who provides an egg or sperm for, or consents to,
34 assisted reproduction by a woman as provided in section 1964 with
35 the intent to be the parent of her child is a parent of the
36 resulting child.

37 **Comment**

38
39 (This is section 703 of the UPA.)

40
41 Source: UPA (1973) § 5; USCACA (1988) §§ 1, 3.

42
43 The father-child relationship is created between a man and
44 the resulting child if the man provides sperm for, or consents
45 to, assisted reproduction by a woman with the intent to be the
46 parent of her child, see § 704, *infra*. This provision reflects
47 the concern for the best interests of nonmarital as well as

2 marital children of assisted reproduction demonstrated throughout
the Act. Given the dramatic increase in the use of ART in the
4 United States during the past decade, it is crucial to clarify
the parentage of all of the children born as a result of modern
6 science.

8 **Maine Comment**

10 The intent of the UPA is to clarify the parentage of all of
the children born of modern science and without regard to the
12 marital status of the parents. Therefore, the Maine version
establishes that the parent-child relationship is created between
14 a person and the resulting child if the person provides sperm or
egg or consents to assisted reproduction by a woman with the
intent to be the parent of her child. This provision reflects
16 the concern for the best interest of all children of assisted
reproduction. Given the dramatic increase in the use of ART in
18 Maine during the past decade, it is crucial to clarify the
parentage of every child born as a result of modern science and
20 to provide every child in Maine with the opportunity to have as
parents, without regard to gender, both the birth parent and the
22 parent who either contributed sperm or an egg or consented to
assisted reproduction with the intent to be the parent of the
24 child.

26 Further, the UPA must operate in a gender-neutral manner,
that is, it must apply equally to women and men. The statutory
28 means available to establish paternity must also be available,
where appropriate, to establish the existence of a mother and
30 child relationship. The UPA mandates in section 106 that the
provisions of the UPA relating to determination of paternity
32 apply equally to determinations of maternity. Thus a woman is a
legal parent of a child gestated by another woman where she has
34 provided an egg or consented to assisted reproduction with the
intention of parenting the resulting child. Section 1983 serves
36 to protect the welfare and best interest of every child born of
assisted reproductive technology by ensuring that a child will
38 have the opportunity to have as parents both the person who gives
birth to the child and the person who either donated the egg or
40 sperm or the person who consented to the assisted reproduction
with the intent to be the parent of the child.

42 **§1964. Consent to assisted reproduction**

44 **1. Consent.** Consent by a woman and a person who intends to
46 be a parent of a child born to the woman by assisted reproduction
must be in a record signed by the woman and the person who
48 intends to be a parent. This requirement does not apply to a
donor.

2. Lack of consent: parentage. Failure of a person to sign a consent required by subsection 1, before or after birth of the child, does not preclude a finding of parentage if the woman and the person, during the first 2 years of the child's life, resided together in the same household with the child and openly held out the child as their own.

Comment

(This is section 704 of the UPA.)

Source: UPA (1973) § 5; UPC (1993) § 2-114(c).

Subsection (a) requires that a man, whether married or unmarried, who intends to be a parent of a child must consent in a record to all forms of assisted reproduction covered by this article. The amendment clarifies that the requirement of consent does not apply to a male or a female donor.

Subsection (b) provides that even if a husband, or an unmarried man who intends to be a parent of the child, did not consent to assisted reproduction, he may nonetheless be found to be the father of a child born through that means if he and the mother openly hold out the child as their own. This principle is taken from the Uniform Probate Code § 2C114(c) (1993), which provides that neither "natural parent" nor kindred may inherit from or through a child "unless that natural parent has openly treated the child as his [or hers], and has not refused to support the child." The "holding out" requirement substitutes evidence of the parties' conduct after the child is born for the requirement of formal consent in a record to prospective assisted reproduction. The "non-support" phrase in § 2C114(c) was not carried forward in subsection (b) (and the term "natural parent" has been replaced by more accurate terminology).

Maine Comment

Subsection 1 is gender neutral and requires that a person, whether married or unmarried and regardless of gender, who intends to be a parent of a child must consent in a record to all forms of assisted reproduction covered by this subchapter. Subsection 2 provides for a finding not limited to paternity, but expanded to afford to a woman the same rights afforded to a man.

§1965. Limitation on husband's dispute of paternity

1. Challenge by husband. Except as otherwise provided in subsection 2, the husband of a wife who gives birth to a child by

means of assisted reproduction may not challenge his paternity of the child unless:

A. Within 2 years after learning of the birth of the child he commences a proceeding to adjudicate his paternity; and

B. The court finds that he did not consent to the assisted reproduction before or after birth of the child.

2. Proceeding to adjudicate parentage. A proceeding to adjudicate parentage may be maintained at any time if the court determines that:

A. The husband did not provide sperm for, or before or after the birth of the child consent to, assisted reproduction by his wife;

B. The husband and the mother of the child have not cohabited since the probable time of assisted reproduction; and

C. The husband never openly held out the child as his own.

3. Limitation period. The limitation provided in this section applies to a marriage declared invalid after assisted reproduction.

Comment

(This is section 705 of the UPA.)

Source: USCACA (1988) § 3; UPC (1993) § 2-114(c).

Subsection (a) provides for a challenge to a husband's presumed paternity if the conception of the child was through assisted reproduction not consented to by the husband before or after the birth of the child. If a proceeding to establish nonpaternity is timely filed and the husband's lack of consent is demonstrated, the child will be without a legally-recognized father because the sperm donor is not the father under § 702, supra. Because the filing of such a nonpaternity proceeding is permitted within two years of the husband's learning of the child's birth, the period of uncertainty concerning the identity of the child's father will be longer than two years in a situation in which an absent husband is not immediately made aware of the child's birth.

Subsection (b) provides an exception to the two-year time limit if the husband's sperm was not used, the couple has not cohabited since the probable time of the use of assisted

reproduction, and the husband has never openly held out the child as his own.

§1966. Effect of dissolution of marriage or withdrawal of consent

1. Prior to placement. If a marriage is dissolved before placement of eggs, sperm or embryos, the former spouse is not a parent of the resulting child unless the former spouse consented in a record that, if assisted reproduction were to occur after a divorce, the former spouse would be a parent of the child.

2. Withdrawal of consent. The consent of a woman or a man to assisted reproduction may be withdrawn by that individual in a record and by delivery of a written notice of withdrawal of consent to the woman who intends to give birth to the child born of assisted reproduction at any time before placement of eggs, sperm or embryos. An individual who withdraws consent under this section is not a parent of the resulting child.

Comment

(This is section 706 of the UPA.)

This section is entirely new to the Parentage Act, but its logic is derived from the policy stated in § 707, *infra*. Subsection (a) applies only to married couples and posits that if there is to be no liability for a child conceived by assisted reproduction after death, then there should be no liability for a child conceived or implanted after divorce. If a former wife proceeds with assisted reproduction after a divorce, the former husband is not the legal parent of the resulting child unless he had previously consented in a record to post-divorce assisted reproduction. If such were the case, subsection (b) provides a mechanism for him to withdraw that consent, i.e., by so stating in a record (presumably to be filed with the laboratory in which the sperm or embryos are stored).

An amendment in 2002 extends a similar right to an unmarried man. Although there is no automatic cancellation of consent via divorce in the unmarried context, the man may withdraw his consent to ART before the woman conceives or is implanted, and thereby avoid being determined to be the legal parent of the resulting child.

In either fact scenario, a child born through assisted reproduction accomplished after consent has been voided by divorce or withdrawn in a record will have a legal mother under § 201(a)(1). However, the child will have a genetic father, but not a legal father. In this instance, intention, rather than biology, is the controlling factor. The section is intended to encourage

careful drafting of assisted reproduction agreements. The attorney and the parties themselves should discuss the issue and clarify their intent before a problem arises.

This Act does not attempt to resolve issues as to control of frozen embryos following dissolution of marital or nonmarital relationships. As indicated in the prefatory note, those matters are left to other state laws.

Maine Comment

Maine's revision provides a mechanism to ensure that the woman bearing a child of assisted reproduction has actual written notice of withdrawal of consent to assisted reproduction before placement of the sperm or embryo.

§1967. Parental status of deceased individual

If an individual who consented in a record to be a parent by assisted reproduction dies before placement of eggs, sperm or embryos, the deceased individual is not a parent of the resulting child unless the deceased individual consented in a record that, if assisted reproduction were to occur after death, the deceased individual would be a parent of the child.

Comment

(This is section 707 of the UPA.)

Source: USCACA (1988) § 4

Absent consent in a record, the death of an individual whose genetic material is subsequently used either in conceiving an embryo or in implanting an already existing embryo into a womb ends the potential legal parenthood of the deceased. This section is designed primarily to avoid the problems of intestate succession which could arise if the posthumous use of a person's genetic material leads to the deceased being determined to be a parent. Of course, an individual who wants to explicitly provide for such children in his or her will may do so.

Maine Comment

This section has replaced "individual" for "spouse" to correct a clerical drafting mistake. The intent of the UPA is to treat a child of unmarried parents equally with a child of married parents.

SUBCHAPTER 8

GESTATIONAL AGREEMENT

Comment

The longstanding shortage of adoptable children in this country has led many would-be parents to enlist a gestational mother (previously referred to as a "surrogate mother") to bear a child for them. As contrasted with the assisted reproduction regulated by Article 7, which involves the would-be parent or parents and most commonly one and sometimes two anonymous donors, the gestational agreement (previously known as a surrogacy agreement) provided in this article is designed to involve at least three parties; the intended mother and father and the woman who agrees to bear a child for them through the use of assisted reproduction (the gestational mother). Additional people may be involved. For example, if the proposed gestational mother is married, her husband, if any, must be included in the agreement to dispense with his presumptive paternity of a child born to his wife. Further, an egg donor or a sperm donor, or both, may be involved, although neither will be joined as a party to the agreement. Thus, by definition, a child born pursuant to a gestational agreement will need to have maternity as well as paternity clarified.

The subject of gestational agreements was last addressed by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in 1988 with the adoption of the Uniform Status of Children of Assisted Conception Act (USCACA). Because some Commissioners believed that such agreements should be prohibited, while others believed that such agreements should be allowed, but regulated, USCACA offered two alternatives on the subject; either to regulate such activities through a judicial review process or to void such contracts. As might have been predicted, the only two states to enact USCACA selected opposite options; Virginia chose to regulate such agreements, while North Dakota opted to void them.

In the years since the promulgation of USCACA (and virtual de facto rejection of that Act), approximately one-half of the states developed statutory or case law on the issue. Of those, about one-half recognized such agreements, and the other half rejected them. A survey in December, 2000, revealed a wide variety of approaches: eleven states allow gestational agreements by statute or case law; six states void such agreements by statute; eight states do not ban agreements per se, but statutorily ban compensation to the gestational mother, which as a practical matter limits the likelihood of agreement to close relatives; and two states judicially refuse to recognize such agreements. In states rejecting gestational agreements, the legal status of children born pursuant to such an agreement is

uncertain. If gestational agreements are voided or criminalized, individuals determined to become parents through this method will seek a friendlier legal forum. This raises a host of legal issues. For example, a couple may return to their home state with a child born as the consequence of a gestational agreement recognized in another state. This presents a full faith and credit question if their home state has a statute declaring gestational agreements to be void or criminal.

Despite the legal uncertainties, thousands of children are born each year pursuant to gestational agreements. One thing is clear; a child born under these circumstances is entitled to have its status clarified. Therefore, NCCUSL once again ventured into this controversial subject, withdrawing USCACA and substituting bracketed Article 8 of the new UPA. The article incorporates many of the USCACA provisions allowing validation and enforcement of gestational agreements, along with some important modifications. The article is bracketed because of a concern that state legislatures may decide that they are still not ready to address gestational agreements, or that they want to treat them differently from what Article 8 provides. States may omit this article without undermining the other provisions of the UPA (2002).

Article 8's replacement of the USCACA terminology, "surrogate mother," by "gestational mother" is important. First, labeling a woman who bears a child a "surrogate" does not comport with the dictionary definition of the term under any construction, to wit: "a person appointed to act in the place of another" or "something serving as a substitute." The term is especially misleading when "surrogate" refers to a woman who supplies both "egg and womb," that is, a woman who is a genetic as well as gestational mother. That combination is now typically avoided by the majority of ART practitioners in order to decrease the possibility that a genetic\gestational mother will be unwilling to relinquish her child to unrelated intended parents. Further, the term "surrogate" has acquired a negative connotation in American society, which confuses rather than enlightens the discussion.

In contrast, term "gestational mother" is both more accurate and more inclusive. It applies to both a woman who, through assisted reproduction, performs the gestational function without being genetically related to a child, and a woman is both the gestational and genetic mother. The key is that an agreement has been made that the child is to be raised by the intended parents. The latter practice has elicited disfavor in the ART community, which has concluded that the gestational mother's genetic link to the child too often creates additional emotional and psychological problems in enforcing a gestational agreement.

2 The new UPA treats entering into a gestational agreement as
4 a significant legal act that should be approved by a court, just
6 as an adoption is judicially approved. The procedure established
8 generally follows that of USCACA, but departs from its terms in
10 several important ways. First, nonvalidated gestational
12 agreements are unenforceable (not void), thereby providing a
14 strong incentive for the participants to seek judicial scrutiny.
16 Second, there is no longer a requirement that at least one of the
18 intended parents would be genetically related to the child born
20 of the gestational agreement. Third, individuals who enter into
22 nonvalidated gestational agreements and later refuse to adopt the
24 resulting child may be liable for support of the child.

26 Although legal recognition of gestational agreements remains
28 controversial, the plain fact is that medical technologies have
30 raced ahead of the law without heed to the views of the general
32 public--or legislators. Courts have recently come to acknowledge
34 this reality when forced to render decisions regarding
36 collaborative reproduction, noting that artificial insemination,
38 gestational carriers, cloning and gene splicing are part of the
40 present, as well as of the future. One court predicted that even
42 if all forms of assisted reproduction were outlawed in a
44 particular state, its courts would still be called upon to decide
46 on the identity of the lawful parents of a child resulting from
48 those procedures undertaken in less restrictive states. This
50 court noted:

 Again we must call on the Legislature to sort out the parental
rights and responsibilities of those involved in artificial
reproduction. No matter what one thinks of artificial
insemination, traditional and gestational surrogacy (in all of
its permutations) and--as now appears in the not-too-distant
future, cloning and even gene splicing--courts are still going to
be faced with the problem of determining lawful parentage. A
child cannot be ignored. Even if all the means of artificial
reproduction were outlawed with draconian criminal penalties
visited on the doctors and parties involved, courts would still
be called upon to decide who the lawful parents are and
who--other than the taxpayers--is obligated to provide
maintenance and support for the child. These cases will not go
away. Again we must call on the Legislature to sort out the
parental rights and responsibilities of those involved in
artificial reproduction. Courts can continue to make decisions on
an ad hoc basis without necessarily imposing some grand scheme.
Or, the Legislature can act to impose a broader order which, even
though it might not be perfect on a case-by-case basis, would
bring some predictability to those who seek to make use of
artificial reproductive techniques.
Buzzanca v. Buzzanca, 72 Cal. Rptr. 2d 280 (Cal. Ct. App. 1998).

2 **§1971. Gestational agreement authorized**

4 **1. Written agreement.** The intended parents and prospective
6 gestational mother, her husband if she is married, a donor or the
8 donors may enter into a written agreement that provides:

10 A. The prospective gestational mother is at least 21 years
12 of age and agrees to pregnancy by means of assisted
14 reproduction;

16 B. The prospective gestational mother, her husband if she
18 is married and the donors relinquish all rights and duties
20 as the parents of a child conceived through assisted
22 reproduction; and

24 C. The intended parent or parents become the parents of the
26 child.

28 **2. Intended parents.** The intended parent or parents must
30 be parties to the gestational agreement.

32 **3. Enforceable if validated.** A gestational agreement is
34 enforceable only if validated as provided in section 1973.

36 **4. Child conceived by sexual intercourse.** A gestational
38 agreement does not apply to the birth of a child conceived by
40 means of sexual intercourse.

42 **5. Consideration.** A gestational agreement may provide for
44 payment of consideration and reimbursement of costs.

46 **6. Decision of gestational mother.** A gestational agreement
48 may not limit the right of the gestational mother to make
50 decisions to safeguard her health or that of the embryos or fetus.

Comment

 (This is section 801 of the UPA.)

 Source: USCACA §§ 1(3), 5, 9.

 The previous uniform act on this subject, USCACA, proposed
two alternatives, one of which was to declare that gestational
agreements were void. Subsection (a) rejects that approach. The
scientific state of the art and the medical facilities providing
the technological capacity to utilize a woman other than the
woman who intends to raise the child to be the gestational
mother, guarantee that such agreements will continue to be
written. Subsection (a) recognizes that certainty and initiates a
procedure for its regulation by a judicial officer. This section

permits all of the individuals directly involved in the procedure to enter into a written agreement; this includes the intended parents, the gestational mother, and her husband, if she is married. In addition, if known donors are involved, they also must sign the agreement. The agreement must provide that the intended parents will be the parents of any child born pursuant to the agreement while all of the others (gestational mother, her husband, if any, and the donors, as appropriate) relinquish all parental rights and duties.

Under subsection (b), a valid gestational agreement requires that the man and woman who are the intended parents, whether married or unmarried, to be parties to the gestational agreement. This reflects the Act's comprehensive concern for the best interest of nonmarital as well as marital children born as the result of a gestational agreement. Throughout UPA the goal is to treat marital and nonmarital children equally.

Subsection (c) provides that in order to be enforceable, the agreement must be validated by the appropriate court under § 803.

Subsection (e) is intended to shield gestational agreements that include payment of the gestational mother from challenge under "baby-selling" statutes that prohibit payment of money to the birth mother for her consent to an adoption.

Subsection (f) is intended to acknowledge that the gestational mother, as a pregnant woman, has a constitutionally-recognized right to decide issues regarding her prenatal care. In other words, the intended parents have no right to demand that the gestational mother undergo any particular medical regimen at their behest.

Maine Comment

Subsection 1, paragraph A requires that a gestational mother be at least twenty-one years of age to ensure that she has sufficient maturity to fully comprehend the consequences of what she is about to undertake.

Subsections 1 and 2 are made gender neutral and establish that the intended parent or parents, regardless of their gender, must be parties to the gestational agreement.

Subsection 5 is intended to allow both consideration and expenses that are currently payable to a consenting mother under adoption law.

§1972. Requirements of petition

1. Proceeding to validate agreement. The intended parent or parents and the prospective gestational mother may commence a proceeding in the District Court to validate a gestational agreement.

2. Requirements. A proceeding to validate a gestational agreement may not be maintained unless:

A. The gestational mother or the intended parent or parents have been residents of this State for at least 90 days;

B. The prospective gestational mother's husband, if she is married, is joined in the proceeding; and

C. A copy of the gestational agreement is attached to the petition.

Comment

(This is section 802 of the UPA.)

Source: USCACA § 6(a).

Sections 802 and 803, the core sections of this article, provide for state involvement, through judicial oversight, of the gestational agreement before, during, and after the assisted reproduction process. The purpose of early involvement is to ensure that the parties are appropriate for a gestational agreement, that they understand the consequences of what they are about to do, and that the best interests of a child born of the gestational agreement are considered before the arrangement is validated. The trigger for state involvement is a petition brought by all the parties to the arrangement requesting a judicial order authorizing the assisted reproduction contemplated by their agreement. The agreement itself must be submitted to the court.

To discourage forum shopping, subsection (b)(1) requires that the petition may be filed only in a state in which the intended parents or the gestational mother have been residents for at least ninety days.

Maine Comment

Exclusive jurisdiction over gestational agreements is given to the District Courts.

§1973. Hearing to validate gestational agreement

1. Order. If the requirements of subsection 2 are satisfied, a court may issue an order validating the gestational agreement and declaring that the intended parents will be the parents of a child born during the term of the agreement.

2. Requirements. The court may issue an order under subsection 1 only on finding that:

A. The residence requirements of section 1972 have been satisfied and the parties have submitted to the jurisdiction of the court under the jurisdictional standards of this chapter;

B. All parties have voluntarily entered into the agreement and understand its terms;

C. Adequate provision has been made for all reasonable health care expense associated with the gestational agreement until the birth of the child, including responsibility for those expenses if the agreement is terminated; and

D. The consideration, if any, paid to the prospective gestational mother is stated.

Comment

(This is section 803 of the UPA.)

Source: USCACA § 6(b).

This pre-conception authorization process for a gestational agreement is roughly analogous to prevailing adoption procedures in place in most states. Just as adoption contemplates the transfer of parentage of a child from the birth parents to the adoptive parents, a gestational agreement involves the transfer from the gestational mother to the intended parents. The Act is designed to protect the interests of the child to be born under the gestational agreement as well as the interests of the gestational mother and the intended parents.

In contrast to USCACA (1988) § 1(3), there is no requirement that at least one of the intended parents be genetically related to the child born of a gestational agreement. Similarly, the likelihood that the gestational mother will also be the genetic mother is not directly addressed in the new Act, while USCACA (1988) apparently assumed that such a fact pattern would be typical. Experience with the intractable problems caused by such a combination has dissuaded the majority of fertility

laboratories from following that practice. See In re Matter of Baby M., 537 A.2d 1227 (N.J. 1988).

This section seeks to protect the interests of the child in several ways. The major protection of the child is the authorization procedure itself. The Act requires closely supervised gestational arrangements to ensure the security and well being of the child. Once a petition has been filed, subsection (a) permits--but does not require--the court to validate a gestational agreement. If it validates, the court must declare that the intended parents will be the parents of any child born pursuant to, and during the term of, the agreement.

Subsection (b) requires the court to make five separate findings before validating the agreement. Subsection (b)(1) requires the court to ensure that the 90-day residency requirement of § 802 has been satisfied and that it has jurisdiction over the parties;

Under subsection(b)(2), the court will be informed of the results of a home study of the intended parents who must satisfy the suitability standards required of prospective adoptive parents.

The interests of all the parties are protected by subsection (b)(3), which is designed to protect the individuals involved from the possibility of overreaching or fraud. The court must find that all parties consented to the gestational agreement with full knowledge of what they agreed to do, which necessarily includes relinquishing the resulting child to the intended parents who are obligated to accept the child.

The requirement of assurance of health-care expenses until birth of the resulting child imposed by subsection (b)(4) further protects the gestational mother.

Finally, subsection (b)(5) mandates that the court find that compensation of the gestational mother, if any, is reasonable in amount.

Section 803, spells out detailed requirements for the petition and the findings that must be made before an authorizing order can be issued, but nowhere states the consequences of violations of the rules. Because of the variety of types of violations that could possibly occur, a bright-line rule concerning the effect of such violations is inappropriate. The consequences of a failure to abide by the rules of this section are left to a case-by-case determination. A court should be guided by the Act's intention to permit gestational agreements and the equities of a particular situation. Note that § 806

2 provides a period for termination of the agreement and vacating
4 of the order. The discovery of a failure to abide by the rules of
6 § 803 would certainly provide an occasion for terminating the
8 agreement. On the other hand, if a failure to abide by the rules
of § 803 is discovered by a party during a time when § 806
termination is permissible, failure to seek termination might be
an appropriate reason to estop the party from later seeking to
overturn or ignore the § 803 order.

10 **Maine Comment**

12 Maine's revision has eliminated the requirement of a home
14 study to minimize complexity, expense and delay. Surrogacy is
different from adoption. In adoption, a genetic mother places
16 her child into the adoptive process after the child is born. In
surrogacy, the intended parent or parents may be the genetic
18 parent or parents, but whether they are or not, a child is
procreated because a medical procedure was initiated and
consented to by the intended parent or parents. The parent or
20 parents who planned to create and raise a child, taking extensive
and complex steps to do so, are the legal parents of the child
22 whether or not there is a genetic tie. The child would not have
been born but for the efforts of the intended parent or parents.
24 Since the issues involved in surrogacy are so different from
those involved in adoption, it does not make sense to superimpose
26 the home study required by adoptions onto the surrogacy situation.

28 The word "stated" has replaced "reasonable" to eliminate the
discretion that would be invoked if courts were charged with
30 reviewing the reasonableness of the consideration. It is in the
best interest of the child that all consideration be stated, or
32 set forth, in the agreement, so that the parties are not involved
in lengthy litigation over the amount of the consideration.

34 **§1974. Inspection of records**

36 The proceedings, records and identities of the individual
38 parties to a gestational agreement under this subchapter are
subject to inspection under the standards of confidentiality
40 applicable to adoptions as provided under other law of this State.

42 **Comment**

44 (This is section 804 of the UPA.)

46 The procedures involved in this article are exceptionally
personal, thereby warranting protection from invasions of
48 privacy. Adoption records provide a suitable model for these
records.

2 **§1975. Exclusive, continuing jurisdiction**

4 Subject to the jurisdictional standards of chapter 58,
6 subchapter 2, the court conducting a proceeding under this
8 subchapter has exclusive, continuing jurisdiction of all matters
arising out of the gestational agreement until a child born to
the gestational mother during the period governed by the
agreement attains the age of 180 days.

10 **Comment**

12 (This is section 805 of the UPA.)

14 Source: USCACA § 6(e).

16 This section is designed to minimize the possibility of
18 parallel litigation in different states and the consequent risk
20 of childnapping for strategic purposes. The court that validated
22 the gestational agreement will have authority to enforce the
24 gestational agreement until the child is 180 days old. Note that
only the parentage issues and enforcement issues are covered;
collateral matters, such as custody, visitation, and child
support are not covered by this Act.

26 **Maine Comment**

28 The brackets were removed and the Maine citation of the
Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act was added.

30 **§1976. Termination of gestational agreement**

32 **1. Termination of agreement; parties.** After issuance of an
34 order under this subchapter, but before the prospective
36 gestational mother becomes pregnant by means of assisted
reproduction, the prospective gestational mother or her husband
or either of the intended parents may terminate the gestational
agreement by giving written notice of termination to all other
parties.

40 **2. Termination of agreement.** The court for good cause
42 shown may terminate the gestational agreement.

44 **3. Notice of termination.** An individual who terminates a
gestational agreement shall file notice of the termination with
the court. On receipt of the notice, the court shall vacate the
order issued under this subchapter. An individual who does not
notify the court of the termination of the agreement is subject
48 to appropriate sanctions.

2 4. No liability. Neither a prospective gestational mother
3 nor her husband, if any, is liable to the intended parent or
4 parents for terminating a gestational agreement pursuant to this
5 section.

6 **Comment**

8 (This is section 806 of the UPA.)

10 Source: USCACA § 7.

12 Subsection (a) permits a party to terminate a gestational
13 agreement after the authorization order by canceling the
14 arrangement before the pregnancy has been established. This
15 provides for cancellation during a time when the interests of the
16 parties would not be unduly prejudiced by termination. By
17 definition, the procreation process has not begun. The intended
18 parents certainly have an expectation interest during this time,
19 but the nature of this interest is little different from that
20 which they would have while they were attempting to create a
21 pregnancy through traditional means. In contrast to the next
22 subsection, termination of the agreement does not require "good
23 cause."

24 Subsection (b) gives the court the right to cancel the
25 agreement for cause, which is left undefined.

26 Under subsection (c) a party who wishes to terminate the
27 agreement must inform the other parties in writing, and must also
28 file notice with the court. The court must then vacate the order
29 validating the agreement. An individual who does not notify the
30 court of his/her termination of the agreement is subject to
31 sanction.

32 USCACA § 7(b) specifically dealt with termination of a
33 "surrogacy agreement" by a gestational mother who provided the
34 egg for the assisted conception. This possibility is not repeated
35 in the new UPA because there is only a remote likelihood that an
36 agreement for the gestational mother to furnish the egg will be
37 countenanced. Assisted reproduction, as generally conducted by
38 medical facilities today, disapproves of that practice.

39 Subsection (d) provides that before pregnancy a gestational
40 mother is not liable to the intended parents for terminating the
41 agreement. Although the new Act does not explicitly provide for
42 termination of the agreement after pregnancy. Several sections
43 deal with this issue under certain described circumstances.
44 Section 801(f) recognizes that the gestational mother has plenary
45 power to decide issues of her health and the health of the fetus.
46 Sections 803(a) and 807(a) direct that the intended parents are

in fact the parents of the child with an enforceable right to the possession of the child.

§1977. Parentage under validated gestational agreement

1. Notice of birth; order. Upon birth of a child to a gestational mother, the intended parent or parents shall file notice with the court that a child has been born to the gestational mother within 300 days after assisted reproduction. Thereupon, the court shall issue an order:

A. Confirming that the intended parent or parents are the parents of the child;

B. If necessary, ordering that the child be surrendered to the intended parent or parents; and

C. Directing the State Registrar of Vital Statistics to issue a birth certificate naming the intended parent or parents as parent or parents of the child. The State Registrar of Vital Statistics may charge a reasonable fee for the issuance of a birth certificate.

2. Genetic testing. If the parentage of a child born to a gestational mother is alleged not to be the result of assisted reproduction, the court shall order genetic testing to determine the parentage of the child.

3. Failure to file notice; order. If the intended parent or parents fail to file notice required under subsection 1, the gestational mother or the appropriate state agency may file notice with the court that a child has been born to the gestational mother within 300 days after assisted reproduction. Upon proof of a court order issued pursuant to section 1973 validating the gestational agreement, the court shall order that the intended parent or parents are the parent or parents of the child and are financially responsible for the child.

Comment

(This is section 807 of the UPA.)

Source: USCACA § 8.

Under subsection (a), the intended parents of a child born pursuant to an approved gestational agreement within 300 days of the use of assisted reproduction are deemed to be the legal parents if the order under § 803 is still in effect. Notice of the birth of the child must be filed by the intended parents. On receipt of the notice, the court shall issue an order confirming

that the intended parents are the legal parents of the child and direct the issuance of a birth certificate to confirm the court's determination. If necessary, the court may also order the gestational mother to surrender the child to the intended parents.

Subsection (c) clarifies the remedies available if the intended parents refuse to accept a child who is born as the result of a gestational agreement.

§1978. Gestational agreement: effect of subsequent marriage

After the issuance of an order under this subchapter, subsequent marriage of the gestational mother does not affect the validity of a gestational agreement, her husband's consent to the agreement is not required and her husband is not a presumed parent of the resulting child.

Comment

(This is section 808 of the UPA.)

Source: USCACA § 9.

If, after the original court order validates the gestational agreement, the gestational mother marries, the gestational agreement continues to be valid and the consent of her new husband is not required. The new husband is neither a party to the original action nor the presumed father of a resulting child, and therefore ought not be burdened with the status of parent unless he is the genetic father or chooses to adopt the child.

§1979. Effect of nonvalidated gestational agreement

1. Not enforceable. A gestational agreement, whether in a record or not, that is not judicially validated is not enforceable.

2. Parent-child relationship. If a birth results under a gestational agreement that is not judicially validated as provided in this subchapter, the parent-child relationship is determined as provided in subchapter 2.

3. Liability for support. Individuals who are parties to a nonvalidated gestational agreement as intended parents may be held liable for support of the resulting child, even if the agreement is otherwise unenforceable. The liability under this subsection includes assessing all expenses and fees as provided in section 1956.

Comment

2 (This is section 809 of the UPA.)

4 Source: USCACA §§ 5(b),10.

6 This section distinguishes between an unenforceable
7 agreement and a prohibited one. Given the widespread use of
8 assisted reproductive technologies in modern society, the Act
9 attempts only to regularize the parentage aspects of the science,
10 not to regulate the practice of assisted reproduction. If
11 individuals choose to ignore the protections afforded gestational
12 agreements by the Act, parentage questions will remain when a
13 child is born as a result of an nonvalidated gestational
14 agreement. The Act provides no legal assistance to the intended
15 parents. The gestational mother is denominated the mother
16 irrespective of the source of the eggs, and donors of either eggs
17 or sperm are not parents of the child. Notwithstanding the fact
18 that the intended parents in a nonvalidated agreement may not
19 enforce that agreement, subsection (c) provides that a court may
20 hold the intended parents to an obligation to support the
21 resulting child of the unenforceable agreement.

22 Under USCACA (1988), agreements that were not approved were
23 declared "void." Under the new UPA, a nonapproved agreement is
24 "unenforceable." The result may be virtually the same in some
25 instances. As under the prior Act, the gestational mother is the
26 mother of a child conceived through assisted reproduction if the
27 gestational agreement has not been judicially approved as
28 provided in this article. Her husband, if he is a party to such
29 agreement, is presumed to be the father. If the gestational
30 mother's husband is not a party to the agreement, or if she is
31 unmarried, paternity of the child will be left to existing law,
32 if any. If the mother decides to keep the child, the intended
33 parents have no recourse. If the parties agree that the intended
34 parents will raise the child, adoption is the only means through
35 which they may become the legal parents of the child will be
36 through adoption.

38

SUBCHAPTER 9

40

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

42

§1981. Uniformity of application and construction

44

45 In applying and construing this chapter, consideration must
46 be given to the need to promote uniformity of the law with
47 respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.

48

§1982. Effective date

50

This chapter takes effect January 1, 2006.

PART B

Sec. B-1. 4 MRSA §183, sub-1, ¶D, as repealed and replaced by PL 2003, c. 688, Pt. C, §1 and amended by c. 689, Pt. B, §6, is further amended to read:

D. Family case management officers shall employ appropriate case management techniques and have jurisdiction to hear and dispose of the following matters:

(1) Interim orders in actions involving the establishment, modification or enforcement of child support;

(2) Interim orders in actions involving divorce, legal separation, ~~paternity~~ parentage or parental rights, including interim orders in postjudgment proceedings arising out of these actions, except that a contested motion concerning interim parental rights and responsibilities, excluding interim child support orders, may be determined by the family case management officer only if both parties consent to determination of the issue or issues in dispute by the family case management officer;

(2-A) Parental rights and responsibilities and parent-child contact orders entered pursuant to Title 19-A, section 4006, subsection 5 and section 4007, subsection 1, paragraph G to make such orders consistent with subsequently entered orders in matters included in subparagraphs (1), (2) and (3);

(3) Final orders in any of the matters included in subparagraphs (1) and (2) when the proceeding is uncontested;

(4) Final orders in a contested proceeding when child support is the only contested issue;

(4-A) Applications for writs of habeas corpus to facilitate the attendance of proceedings by and return of a party who is incarcerated;

(4-B) Requests for access to confidential Department of Health and Human Services child protective records in accordance with Title 22, section 4008. The family

2 case management officer may review records in camera to
determine whether to grant access; and

4 (5) Other actions assigned by the Chief Judge of the
District Court.

6
8 **Sec. B-2. 19-A MRSA §101, sub-§8,** as enacted by PL 1995, c.
694, Pt. B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is repealed and the
following enacted in its place:

10 **8. Parent. "Parent" means:**

12 **A. A person who has established a parent-child relationship**
14 **with the child under section 1841; or**

16 **B. A legal guardian if no person can be identified under**
18 **paragraph A.**

20 **Sec. B-3. 19-A MRSA §251, sub-§2,** as enacted by PL 1995, c.
694, Pt. B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

22 **2. Required mediation.** Except as provided in paragraph B,
prior to a contested hearing under chapter 27, chapter 29,
24 chapter 55, section 1845 or chapter 63 when there are minor
children of the parties, the court shall refer the parties to
26 mediation.

28 **A.** For good cause shown, the court, prior to referring the
parties to mediation, may hear motions for temporary relief,
30 pending final judgment on an issue or combination of issues
for which good cause for temporary relief has been shown.

32 **B.** Upon motion supported by affidavit, the court may, for
34 extraordinary cause shown, waive the mediation requirement
under this subsection.

36 **Sec. B-4. 19-A MRSA §1503,** as enacted by PL 1995, c. 694, Pt.
38 B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is repealed.

40 **Sec. B-5. 19-A MRSA §§1504 and 1505,** as enacted by PL 1995,
c. 694, Pt. B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, are repealed and the
42 following enacted in their place:

44 **§1504. Person's duty of support**

46 **1. Duty of support.** A person has the duty to support:

48 **A. That person's child; and**

50 **B. That person's spouse when in need.**

2 **2. Duty includes.** A parent's duty of support includes:

4 **A. The reasonable expense of the mother's pregnancy and**
6 **confinement;**

8 **B. Child support pursuant to the child support guidelines**
10 **in chapter 63; and**

12 **C. Reasonable attorney's fees for the prosecution of**
14 **parentage proceedings.**

16 **3. Proceeding.** If parentage has been determined or has
18 **been acknowledged according to the laws of this State, the**
20 **liabilities of the parent may be established and enforced in the**
22 **same or other proceedings by the other parent, the child or the**
24 **public authority that has furnished or may furnish the reasonable**
26 **expenses of pregnancy and confinement or support, and by other**
28 **persons, including private agencies, to the extent that they have**
30 **furnished the reasonable expenses of pregnancy or support.**

32 **§1505. Extent of duties of support**

34 **1. Presence or residence of obligee.** An obligor has the
36 **duty of support as defined in this chapter regardless of the**
38 **presence or residence of the obligee.**

40 **2. Preceding 6 years.** The obligor's liabilities for past
42 **support are limited to the 6-year period preceding the**
44 **commencement of an action to determine parentage or establish**
46 **child support.**

48 **3. Disestablished parent.** If a court grants a request to
50 **disestablish parentage, this subsection applies.**

A. The disestablished parent remains liable for all unpaid
 child support obligations that accumulated prior to the
 filing of the action to disestablish parentage.

B. The disestablished parent has no right to reimbursement
 from any person or entity for amounts paid pursuant to a
 support order.

Sec. B-6. 19-A MRSA §1506, as enacted by PL 1995, c. 694, Pt.
 B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

§1506. Public assistance recipients' rights of privacy

 When the department seeks to establish paternity parentage
 of a dependent child, any inquiry about prior or current sexual

activity of a recipient of public assistance must be limited to that necessary to resolve a genuine dispute about the parentage of a child. ~~When a custodial mother has informed the department that a particular man is the father of her child, the department may make no further inquiry into her personal life unless the man so identified has denied that he is the father of that child or he refuses to cooperate.~~

Sec. B-7. 19-A MRSA §§1511 and 1512 are enacted to read:

§1511. Limitations on recovery from parent's estate

The obligation of the estate of a parent for liabilities under this subchapter are limited to amounts accrued prior to the parent's death and sums that may be payable for dependency under other laws.

§1512. Settlement agreements

An agreement of settlement with an alleged parent is binding only when approved by the court.

Sec. B-8. 19-A MRSA c. 53, sub-c. 1, as amended, is repealed.

Sec. B-9. 19-A MRSA §1601, sub-§1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1995, c. 694, Pt. B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

B. A man who is presumed to be a child's father under the ~~Maine Rules of Evidence, Rule 302~~ section 1844.

Sec. B-10. 19-A MRSA §1601, sub-§3, as enacted by PL 1995, c. 694, Pt. B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

3. Paternity proceeding. "Paternity proceeding" means the administrative proceeding provided in this subchapter for the commencement of an action to establish ~~paternity under subchapter F~~ parentage.

Sec. B-11. 19-A MRSA §1605, sub-§2, ¶G, as enacted by PL 1995, c. 694, Pt. B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

G. An allegation that the alleged father engaged in sexual intercourse with the child's mother during a possible time of conception of the child or is a man who is presumed to be the child's father under state law, and that the alleged father is or may be the ~~biological~~ father of the child;

2 **Sec. B-12. 19-A MRSA §1605, sub-§2, ¶J**, as enacted by PL 1995,
c. 694, Pt. B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

4 J. A statement that if the alleged father files a written
denial of paternity:

6 (1) The department will provide an expert examiner of
8 blood or tissue types to conduct blood or tissue-typing
tests on the mother, child and alleged father and the
10 tests will be conducted as follows.

12 (a) The alleged father is required to submit to
tests, which may include, but are not limited to,
14 tests of red cell antigens, red cell isoenzymes,
human leukocyte antigens and serum proteins.

16 (b) The department will pay the initial cost of
18 the tests.

20 (c) An indigent alleged father is not liable for
reimbursement of the cost of the tests;

22 (2) If the alleged father refuses to submit to tests
24 under subparagraph (1), the proceeding will be filed in
a court as a paternity proceeding;

26 (3) If the alleged father is not excluded by the test
28 results and he does not, within 15 days of the ordinary
mailing to him of a report and copy of the blood or
30 tissue-typing results, execute and deliver to the
department an acknowledgment of paternity of the child
32 in accordance with the laws of the state in which the
child was born, the proceeding will be filed in a court
34 as a paternity proceeding; and

36 (4) If the alleged father is excluded by the test
results as the ~~biological~~ father of the child, the
38 proceeding will be filed in a court as ~~a~~ ~~a~~ ~~paternity~~
~~proceeding~~ for disposition under section ~~1561~~ 1951,
40 subsection ~~1~~, ~~paragraph-A 4~~;

42 **Sec. B-13. 19-A MRSA §1606, sub-§1**, as enacted by PL 1995, c.
694, Pt. B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

44 **1. Establish as father.** Establish the alleged father as
46 the ~~biological~~ father of the child;

2 **Sec. B-14. 19-A MRSA §1606, sub-§§6 and 8,** as enacted by PL
3 1995, c. 694, Pt. B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, are amended to
4 read:

5 **6. Attorney's fees.** Order the alleged father to pay
6 reasonable attorney's fees under ~~section--1552~~ and costs for
7 prosecution of the action, including, but not limited to,
8 prejudgment interest;

9 **8. Other relief.** Grant such other relief as the court
10 determines just and proper, including an initial allocation of
11 parental rights and responsibilities as allowed by section ~~1565~~
12 1944.

13 **Sec. B-15. 19-A MRSA §1611,** as enacted by PL 1995, c. 694,
14 Pt. B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

15 **§1611. Refusal of alleged father to submit to blood or**
16 **tissue-typing tests**

17 **1. Filing of record in court.** If the alleged father denies
18 paternity and subsequently fails to submit to blood or
19 tissue-typing testing, the record may be filed in court as a
20 paternity action and the department may seek an adjudication of
21 paternity pursuant to section ~~1558~~ 1942. The alleged father's
22 refusal to submit to a blood or tissue-typing test constitutes a
23 refusal to submit under section ~~1558~~ 1942. The filing of the
24 record, along with proof of service pursuant to section 1604,
25 constitutes compliance with the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure,
26 Rule 3(1).

27 **2. Notice of filing.** The department shall send to the
28 alleged father by ordinary mail notice of the filing of the
29 paternity proceeding and a request under section ~~1558~~ 1902.
30 Within 20 days of the mailing of this notice, the alleged father
31 may assert any defense, in law or fact.

32 **3. Request for default judgment or order.** The department
33 shall forward to the alleged father by ordinary mail a copy of
34 any request for a default judgment or an order pursuant to
35 section ~~1558~~ 1902 or 1942. If the alleged father does not notify
36 the court in writing within 20 days of the date the department's
37 request was mailed that he opposes the relief requested by the
38 department, the court may grant the relief requested without a
39 hearing. Any notice mailed must contain the substance of this
40 section.

41 **Sec. B-16. 19-A MRSA §1612, sub-§§2 and 3,** as enacted by PL
42 1995, c. 694, Pt. B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, are amended to
43 read:

2 **2. Exclusion of alleged father.** If the alleged father is
4 excluded by the test results as the biological father of the
6 child, the department may file the record of the proceeding in a
court as ~~a paternity proceeding~~ for disposition under section
~~1561~~ 1951, subsection ~~1~~ 4.

8 **3. Nonexclusion of alleged father.** If the alleged father
10 is not excluded by the test results and he does not, within 15
12 days of the mailing to him of a copy of the blood or
14 tissue-typing test results and report, execute and deliver to the
department by ordinary mail an acknowledgment of paternity of the
16 child in accordance with the laws of the state in which the child
was born, the department may file the record of the proceeding,
including the blood or tissue-typing test results, in a court as
a paternity proceeding. ~~Section 1561 applies~~ Sections 1904, 1905
18 and 1941 apply to the action even though the tests were performed
and the results prepared as part of an administrative
20 proceeding. The alleged father's participation in the tests may
not prejudice any application by the alleged father under section
22 ~~1559~~ 1903 for an order appointing an additional examiner of blood
or tissue types.

24 **Sec. B-17. 19-A MRSA §1616**, as reallocated by RR 1997, c. 1,
26 §15, is repealed.

28 **Sec. B-18. 19-A MRSA §1651**, as enacted by PL 1995, c. 694,
Pt. B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

30 **§1651. Parents joint natural guardians of children**

32 The ~~father--and--mother~~ parents are the joint natural
34 guardians of their minor children and are jointly entitled to the
care, custody, control, services and earnings of their children.
36 Neither parent has any rights paramount to the rights of the
other with reference to any matter affecting their children.

38 **Sec. B-19. 19-A MRSA §1654**, as amended by PL 1999, c. 731,
40 Pt. ZZZ, §34 and affected by §42, is further amended to read:

42 **§1654. Parenting and support when parents live apart**

44 If the ~~father-and-mother~~ parents of a minor child are living
apart, the Probate Court or District Court in the county or
46 division where either resides, upon complaint of either and after
notice to the other as the court may order, may make an order
48 awarding parental rights and responsibilities with respect to the
child in accordance with this chapter.

2 The jurisdiction granted by this section is limited by the
Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act, if
4 another state may have jurisdiction as provided in that Act.

6 **Sec. B-20. 19-A MRSA §1802, sub-§1**, as enacted by PL 1995, c.
694, Pt. B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

8 **1. Grandparent.** "Grandparent" is a ~~biological-or-adoptive~~
parent of a child's ~~biological-or-adoptive~~ parent. "Grandparent"
10 includes a ~~biological-or-adoptive~~ parent of a child's ~~biological~~
~~or--adoptive~~ parent whose parental rights have been terminated
12 pursuant to Title 18-A, section 9-204 or Title 22, chapter 1071,
subchapter VI 6, but only until the child's adoption.

14 **Sec. B-21. 19-A MRSA §2007, sub-§3, ¶M-1** is enacted to read:

16 M-1. The existence of more than 2 parents who are obligated
18 to provide support for the child;

20 **Sec. B-22. 19-A MRSA 2101, sub-§3**, as enacted by PL 1995, c.
694, Pt. B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

22 **3. Custodial parent.** "Custodial parent" means a ~~natural-or~~
24 ~~adoptive~~ parent, caretaker relative or legal custodian of a
dependent child who is the child's primary residential care
26 provider.

28 **Sec. B-23. 19-A MRSA 2101, sub-§12**, as enacted by PL 1995, c.
694, Pt. B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

30 **12. Responsible parent.** "Responsible parent" means the
32 ~~natural-or-adoptive~~ parent of a dependent child.

34 **Sec. B-24. 19-A MRSA §2103, sub-§7** is enacted to read:

36 7. Department's continuing authority. The department's
38 authority to enforce a support order established prior to the
child's attaining the age of majority or the child's emancipation
40 continues until the full amount of the debt accrued under the
support order is paid.

42 **Sec. B-25. 19-A MRSA §2152, sub-§5**, as amended by PL 1997, c.
537, §33 and affected by §62, is further amended to read:

44 **5. If parentage has not been established.** If an alleged
46 responsible parent is a putative ~~father~~ parent of a child
conceived and born out of wedlock, a request for information must
48 be limited to the following matters concerning the alleged
responsible parent:

- 2 A. Complete name;
4 B. Date and place of birth;
6 C. Present and past employment status;
8 D. Social security number; and
10 E. Current or last known address.

12 **Sec. B-26. 19-A MRSA §2202, sub-§1**, as enacted by PL 1995, c.
694, Pt. B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

14 1. **Purpose.** The Legislature finds and declares that child
support is a basic legal right of the State's parents and
16 children, that ~~mothers--and--fathers~~ parents have a legal
obligation to provide financial support for their children and
18 that child support payments can have a substantial impact on
child poverty and state welfare expenditures. It is therefore
20 the Legislature's intent to encourage payment of child support to
decrease overall costs to the State's taxpayers while increasing
22 the amount of financial support collected for the State's
children. The department is authorized to initiate action under
24 this section against individuals who are not in compliance with
an order of support.

26 **Sec. B-27. 19-A MRSA §2603, sub-§6**, as enacted by PL 1995, c.
28 694, Pt. B, §2, and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

30 6. **Other methods.** Any other method of enforcement that may
be used in a civil action, including any remedy under the Uniform
32 Interstate Family Support Act; or

34 **Sec. B-28. 19-A MRSA §2610** is enacted to read:

36 **§2610. Security**

38 The court at a time before or after judgment may require an
alleged or adjudicated parent to give bond or other security for
40 the payment of a judgment that exists or may exist in the future.

42 **Sec. B-29. 19-A MRSA §4002, sub-§4**, as amended by PL 2003, c.
672, §16, is further amended to read:

44 4. **Family or household members.** "Family or household
46 members" means spouses or domestic partners or former spouses or
former domestic partners, individuals presently or formerly
48 living together as spouses, ~~natural~~ parents of the same child,
adult household members related by consanguinity or affinity or
50 minor children of a household member when the defendant is an

adult household member and, for the purposes of this chapter only, includes individuals presently or formerly living together and individuals who are or were sexual partners. Holding oneself out to be a spouse is not necessary to constitute "living as spouses." For purposes of this subsection, "domestic partners" means 2 unmarried adults who are domiciled together under long-term arrangements that evidence a commitment to remain responsible indefinitely for each other's welfare.

PART C

Sec. C-1. 18-A MRSA §2-109, sub-§2, as amended by PL 1987, c. 736, §37, is further amended to read:

(2) In cases not covered by paragraph (1), a person born out of wedlock is a child of the mother; that person is also a child of the father if:

(i) The natural parents participated in a marriage ceremony before or after the birth of the child, even though the attempted marriage is void; or

(ii) The father adopts the child into his family; or

(iii) The father acknowledges in writing before a notary public that he is the father of the child, or the paternity is established by an adjudication before the death of the father or is established thereafter by clear and convincing proof, but the paternity established under this subparagraph is ineffective to qualify the father or his kindred to inherit from or through the child unless the father has openly treated the child as his and has not refused to support the child;

Sec. C-2. 18-A MRSA §2-109, sub-§3, as enacted by PL 1995, c. 694, Pt. C, §6 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

(3) A divorce or judicial separation does not bar the issue of the marriage from inheriting;

Sec. C-3. 18-A MRSA §2-109, sub-§§(4) to (10) are enacted to read:

(4) A child born to parents who have been parties to a gestational agreement under Title 19-A, chapter 61, subchapter 8 is the child of the parents as determined pursuant to that agreement;

2 (5) A child born by means of assisted reproductive
3 technology is the child of the parents whose parentage is
4 determined according to Title 19-A, chapter 61, subchapter 7.
5 Such parentage may be determined by the Probate Court after the
6 death of the child or the parent if it has not been previously
7 established by court order;

8 (6) A child who has been determined by a court to have one
9 or more de facto parents is the child of the de facto parent or
10 parents. Such child is also the child of the child's natural or
11 adoptive parents if the child would have been the child under
12 subsection (1) or (2) without the determination of de facto
13 parentage;

14 (7) If a child has been determined by a valid judgment to
15 have one or 2 parents under the Uniform Parentage Act, the parent
16 or parents determined under that Act are the child's parents for
17 purposes of inheritance;

18 (8) If a child could have been determined to be the child of
19 one or more parents under the Uniform Parentage Act but such
20 determination has not been made by valid judgment that became
21 final before the death of the person whose estate is being
22 distributed under the laws of intestacy, a post-death
23 determination of parentage under the Uniform Parentage Act may be
24 made by the Probate Court using the standards that would have
25 applied during the life of the decedent;

26 (9) If a child could have been determined to be the child of
27 de facto parents under the common law but such determination has
28 not been made by valid judgment that became final before the
29 death of the person whose estate is being distributed under the
30 laws of intestacy, a post-death determination of de facto
31 parentage under the common law may be made by the Probate Court
32 using the standards that would have applied during the life of
33 the decedent, except that if a de facto parent is seeking to
34 inherit from a child under the de facto parentage, then the case
35 must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. A child
36 determined under this subsection to have de facto parents is also
37 the child of the natural or adoptive parents if the child would
38 have been the child under subsection (1) or (2) but for the
39 determination of de facto parentage; and

40 (10) Under this section, a child may not inherit from more
41 than 4 parents or their kin, and no more than 4 parents or their
42 kin may inherit from a child. If a child is determined to have
43 more than 2 parents under this section or if the child is
44 determined to have 2 parents of same gender, then the moiety
45 system does not apply, and the court shall determine heirs in an
46 equitable manner with persons of the same degree of kinship or

2 presumed kinship inheriting in equal amounts as nearly as
3 possible.

4 **PART D**

6 **Sec. D-1. 18-A MRSA §5-101, sub-§(1-A)** is enacted to read:

8 (1-A) "Parent" means a person who has established a
9 parent-child relationship with the child under Title 19-A,
10 section 1841.

12 **Sec. D-2. 18-A MRSA §9-102, sub-§§(h) and (j),** as enacted by PL
13 1995, c. 694, Pt. C, §7 and affected by Pt. E, §2, are amended to
14 read:

16 (h) ~~"Parent" means the legal parent or the legal guardian~~
17 ~~when no legal parent exists,~~ a person who:

18 (1) Has established a parent-child relationship with the
19 child under Title 19-A, section 1841; or

22 (2) When no person described in paragraph (1) exists, the
23 legal guardian.

24 (j) ~~"Putative father parent"~~ means a man person who is the
25 alleged biological father parent of a child but whose paternity
26 parentage has not been legally established.

28 **Sec. D-3. 18-A MRSA §9-103, sub-§(a), ¶(5),** as enacted by PL
29 1995, c. 694, Pt. C, §7 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to
30 read:

32 (5) Proceedings to determine the parentage and rights of
33 putative fathers parents of children whose adoptions or
34 surrenders and releases are pending before the Probate
35 Court; and

38 **Sec. D-4. 18-A MRSA §9-106,** as enacted by PL 1995, c. 694,
39 Pt. C, §7 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

40 **§9-106. Legal representation**

42 (a) The ~~biological~~ parents are entitled to an attorney for
43 any hearing held pursuant to this article. If ~~the biological~~
44 ~~mother or the biological~~ a parent or putative father parent wants
45 an attorney but is unable to afford one, the ~~biological mother or~~
46 ~~the biological~~ parent or putative father parent may request the
47 court to appoint an attorney. If the court finds ~~either or both~~
48 ~~of them~~ the parent or putative parent indigent, the court shall
49 appoint and pay the reasonable costs and expenses of the attorney
50

of the indigent party. The attorney may not be the attorney for the adoptive parents.

(b) When the adoptee is unrelated to the petitioner, the court shall appoint an attorney who is not the attorney for the adoptive parents to represent a minor indigent ~~biological~~ parent at every stage of the proceedings unless the minor ~~biological~~ parent refuses representation or the court determines that representation is unnecessary.

Sec. D-5. 18-A MRSA §9-201, as enacted by PL 1995, c. 694, Pt. C, §7 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

§9-201. Establishment of parentage

(a) When the biological mother of a child born out of wedlock wishes to consent to the adoption of the child or to execute a surrender and release for the purpose of adoption of the child and ~~the a~~ putative ~~father~~ parent has not consented to the adoption of the child or joined in a surrender and release for the purpose of adoption of the child or waived ~~his the~~ right to notice, the biological mother must file an affidavit of ~~paternity~~ parentage with the judge of probate so that the judge may determine how to give notice of the proceedings to ~~the~~ any putative ~~father~~ parent of the child.

(b) If the judge finds from the affidavit of the biological mother that ~~the a~~ putative ~~father's~~ parent's whereabouts are known, the judge shall order that notice of the mother's intent to consent to adoption or to execute a surrender and release, or the mother's actual consent or surrender and release, for the purpose of adoption of the child, be served upon the putative ~~father~~ parent of the child. If the judge finds that the putative ~~father's~~ parent's whereabouts are unknown, then the court shall order notice by publication in accordance with the Maine Rules of Probate Procedure. If the biological mother does not know or refuses to tell the court who ~~the-biological-father~~ any putative parent is, the court may order publication in accordance with the Maine Rules of Probate Procedure in a newspaper of general circulation in the area where the petition is filed, where the biological mother became pregnant or where the putative ~~father~~ parent is most likely to be located. The notice must specify the names of the biological mother and the child.

(c) A putative ~~father-or-a-legal-father-who-is-not-the biological-father~~ parent may waive ~~his the~~ right to notice in a document acknowledged before a notary public or a judge of probate. The notary public may not be an attorney who represents either the mother or any person who is likely to become the legal guardian, custodian or parent of the child.

2 (1) The waiver of notice must indicate that the putative
3 ~~father-or-legal-father~~ parent understands that the waiver of
4 notice operates as a consent to adoption or a surrender and
5 release for the purposes of adoption for any adoption of
6 the child, and that by signing the waiver of notice the
7 putative ~~father-or-legal-father~~ parent voluntarily gives up
8 any rights to the named child.

10 (2) The waiver of notice may state that the putative father
11 ~~or-legal-father~~ parent neither admits nor denies paternity
12 parentage.

14 ~~(3)---The-legal-father-shall-attach-to-the-waiver-of-notice~~
15 ~~an-affidavit-stating-that,-although-he-is-the-legal-father,~~
16 ~~he-is-not-the-biological-father.~~

18 (d) If, after notice, the a putative father parent of the
19 child wishes to establish parental rights to the child, he the
20 putative parent must, within 20 days after notice has been given
21 or within a longer period of time as ordered by the judge,
22 petition the judge of probate to establish the putative parent's
23 parentage and to grant to him the putative parent parental
24 rights. The petition must include an allegation that the
25 putative ~~father~~ parent is in fact ~~the-biological-father~~ a parent
26 of the child.

28 (e) Upon receipt of a petition under subsection (d), the
29 judge shall fix a date for a hearing to determine the putative
30 ~~father's~~ parent's parental rights to the child.

32 (f) The court shall appoint an attorney who is not the
33 attorney for the putative ~~father~~ parent, the biological mother or
34 the potential transferee agency or a potential adoptive parent to
35 represent the child and to protect the child's interests.

36 (g) Notice of the hearing must be given to the putative
37 ~~father~~ parent, the biological mother, the attorney for the child
38 and any other parties the judge determines appropriate. Notice
39 need not be given to a putative ~~father~~ parent or to a legal
40 ~~father-who-is-not-the-biological-father-and~~ parent who has waived
41 ~~his~~ the right to notice as provided in subsection (c).

44 (h) Upon order of the court, the department or licensed
45 child-placing agency shall furnish studies and reports relevant
46 to the proceedings.

48 (i) If, after a hearing, the judge finds that the putative
49 ~~father~~ parent is ~~the-biological-father~~ a parent, that he the
50 putative parent is willing and able to protect the child from

jeopardy and has not abandoned the child, that he the putative parent is willing and able to take responsibility for the child and that it is in the best interests of the child, then the judge shall declare the putative ~~father~~ parent the child's parent with all the attendant rights and responsibilities.

(j) If the judge of probate finds that the putative ~~father~~ parent of the child has not petitioned or appeared within the period required by this section or has not met the requirements of subsection (i), the judge shall rule that the putative ~~father~~ parent has no parental rights and that only the biological mother of the child need consent to adoption or a surrender and release.

Sec. D-6. 18-A MRSA §9-202, sub-§(a), as amended by PL 1997, c. 239, §2 and affected by §6, is further amended to read:

(a) With the approval of the judge of probate of any county within the State and after a determination by the judge that a surrender and release or a consent is in the best interest of the child, the parents or surviving parent of a child may at any time after the child's birth:

(1) Surrender and release all parental rights to the child and the custody and control of the child to a licensed child-placing agency or the department to enable the licensed child-placing agency or the department to have the child adopted by a suitable person; or

(2) Consent to have the child adopted by a specified petitioner.

The parents or the surviving parent must execute the surrender and release or the consent in the presence of the judge. The adoptee, if 14 years of age or older, must execute the consent in the presence of the judge. The waiver of notice by ~~the legal father who is not the biological father or putative father~~ a putative parent is governed by section 9-201, subsection (c).

Sec. D-7. 18-A MRSA §9-202, sub-§(h), as enacted by PL 1995, c. 694, Pt. C, §7 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

(h) The court shall accept a consent or a surrender and release by a court of comparable jurisdiction in another state if the court receives an affidavit from a member of that state's bar or a certificate from that court of comparable jurisdiction stating that:

(1) The party executing the consent or the surrender and release followed the procedure required to make a consent or

2 a surrender and release valid in the state in which it was
executed; and

4 (2) The court of comparable jurisdiction advised the person
executing the consent or the surrender and release of the
6 consequences of the consent or the surrender and release
under the laws of the state in which the consent or the
8 surrender and release was executed.

10 The court shall accept a waiver of notice by a putative ~~father-or~~
~~a-legal-father-who-is-not-the-biological-father~~ parent that meets
12 the requirements of section 9-201, subsection (c).

14 **Sec. D-8. 18-A MRSA §9-302, sub-§(a), ¶(2),** as enacted by PL
1995, c. 694, Pt. C, §7 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to
16 read:

18 (2) Each of the adoptee's living parents and putative
parents, except as provided in subsection (b);

20 **Sec. D-9. 18-A MRSA §9-302, sub-§(b), ¶(1),** as amended by PL
22 1999, c. 790, Pt. G, §1, is further amended to read:

24 (1) A putative ~~father-or-a-legal-father-who-is-not-the~~
~~biological-father-if-he~~ parent who:

26 (i) Received notice and failed to respond to the
28 notice within the prescribed time period;

30 (ii) Waived ~~his~~ the right to notice under section
32 9-201, subsection (c);

34 (iii) Failed to meet the standards of section 9-201,
subsection (i); or

36 (iv) Holds no parental rights regarding the adoptee
under the laws of the foreign jurisdiction in which the
38 adoptee was born;

40 **Sec. D-10. 18-A MRSA §9-303, sub-§(b),** as enacted by PL 1995,
42 c. 694, Pt. C, §7 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

44 (b) A petitioner shall indicate to the court what
information the petitioner is willing to share with the
46 ~~biological~~ parents and under what circumstances and shall provide
a mechanism for updating that information.

48

2 **Sec. D-11. 18-A MRSA §9-306, sub-§(a), ¶(7)**, as enacted by PL
1995, c. 694, Pt. C, §7 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to
read:

4 (7) For ~~the biological father~~ any parent other than the
6 biological mother, legal and counseling expenses related to
the consent, the surrender and release and the adoption
8 process; and

10 **Sec. D-12. 18-A MRSA §9-306, sub-§§(b) and (c)**, as enacted by PL
1995, c. 694, Pt. C, §7 and affected by Pt. E, §2, are amended to
12 read:

14 (b) Prior to the dispositional hearing pursuant to section
16 9-308, the petitioner shall file a full accounting of all
disbursements of anything of value made or agreed to be made by
or on behalf of the petitioner in connection with the adoption.
18 The accounting report must be signed under penalty of perjury and
must be submitted to the court on or before the date the final
20 decree is granted. The accounting report must be itemized and
show the services related to the adoption or to the placement of
22 the adoptee for adoption that were received by the adoptee's
~~biological~~ parents, by the adoptee or on behalf of the
24 petitioner. The accounting must include the dates of each
payment and the names and addresses of each attorney, physician,
26 hospital, licensed child-placing agency or other person or
organization who received funds or anything of value from the
28 petitioner in connection with the adoption or the placement of
the adoptee with the petitioner or participated in any way in the
30 handling of the funds, either directly or indirectly. This
subsection does not apply when one of the petitioners is a blood
32 relative or the adoptee is an adult.

34 (c) Payment for expenses allowable under subsection (a), if
provided, may not be contingent upon any future decision a
36 ~~biological~~ parent might make pertaining to the child. Other
expenses or payments to ~~biological~~ parents are not authorized.

38 **Sec. D-13. 18-A MRSA §9-307**, as enacted by PL 1995, c. 694,
40 Pt. C, §7 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

42 **§9-307. Adoption not granted**

44 If the court determines that it is unable to finalize an
adoption to which ~~biological~~ the parents have consented, the
46 court shall notify ~~the biological~~ all living parents that the
court has not granted the adoption and shall conduct a review
48 pursuant to section 9-205.

2 **Sec. D-14. 18-A MRSA §9-308, sub-§(b)**, as amended by PL 1999,
c. 78, §1, is further amended to read:

4 (b) In determining the best interests of the adoptee, the
court shall consider and evaluate the following factors to give
6 the adoptee a permanent home at the earliest possible date:

8 (1) The love, affection and other emotional ties existing
between the adoptee and the adopting person or persons, the
10 ~~biological~~ parent or ~~biological~~ parents or the any putative
~~father~~ parent;

12 (2) The capacity and disposition of the adopting person or
persons, the ~~biological~~ parent or ~~biological~~ parents or the
14 any putative ~~father~~ parent to educate and give the adoptee
love, affection and guidance and to meet the needs of the
16 adoptee. An adoption may not be delayed or denied because
the adoptive parent and the child do not share the same
18 race, color or national origin; and

20 (3) The capacity and disposition of the adopting person or
persons, the ~~biological~~ parent or ~~biological~~ parents or the
22 any putative ~~father~~ parent to provide the adoptee with food,
clothing and other material needs, education, permanence and
24 medical care or other remedial care recognized and permitted
in place of medical care under the laws of this State.
26

28 **Sec. D-15. 18-A MRSA §9-308, sub-§(d)**, as enacted by PL 1995,
c. 694, Pt. C, §7 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

30 (d) Upon completion of an adoption proceeding, the
32 ~~biological~~ parents who consented to an adoption or who executed a
surrender and release must be notified of the completion by
34 regular mail at their last known address. Notice under this
subsection is not required to a ~~biological~~ parent who is also a
petitioner. When the ~~biological~~ parents' rights have been
36 terminated pursuant to Title 22, section 4055, the notice must be
given to the department and the department shall notify the
38 ~~biological~~ parents of the completion by regular mail at their
last known address. Actual receipt of the notice is not a
40 precondition of completion and does not affect the rights or
responsibilities of adoptees or adoptive parents.
42

44 **Sec. D-16. 18-A MRSA §9-315, sub-§(b)**, as enacted by PL 1995,
c. 694, Pt. C, §7 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

46 (b) Notice of a petition to annul must be given to the
48 ~~biological~~ persons who were the adoptee's parents prior to the

2 adoption, except those whose parental rights were terminated
4 through a proceeding pursuant to Title 22, section 4055,
6 subsection 1, paragraph B, subparagraph (2), and to all parties
8 to the adoption including the adoptive parents, an adoptee who is
10 14 years of age or older and the agency involved in the adoption.

12 **Sec. D-17. 22 MRSA §4002, sub-§7,** as enacted by PL 1979, c.
14 733, §18, is amended to read:

16 **7. Parent.** "Parent" means a ~~natural--or--adoptive--parent,~~
18 ~~unless--parental--rights--have--been--terminated~~ person whose parental
20 rights have not been terminated and who has established a
22 parent-child relationship with the child under Title 19-A,
24 section 1841.

26 **Sec. D-18. 22 MRSA §4002, sub-§8-A** is enacted to read:

28 **8-A. Putative parent.** "Putative parent" means a person who
30 alleges himself or herself to be, or is alleged to be, the
32 genetic or possible genetic parent of the child, but whose
34 parentage has not been determined. "Putative parent" does not
36 include:

38 A. A presumed parent as defined in Title 19-A, section
40 1832, subsection 16;

42 B. A person whose parental rights have been terminated or
44 declared not to exist; or

46 C. A donor as defined in Title 19-A, section 1832,
48 subsection 8.

50 **Sec. D-19. 22 MRSA §4005, sub-§2,** as amended by PL 1983, c.
783, §2, is further amended to read:

2. Parents. Parents, putative parents and custodians are
entitled to legal counsel in child protection proceedings, except
a request for a preliminary protection order under section 4034
or a petition for a medical treatment order under section 4071,
but including hearings on those orders. They may request the
court to appoint legal counsel for them. The court, if it finds
them indigent, shall appoint and pay the reasonable costs and
expenses of their legal counsel.

Sec. D-20. 22 MRSA §4031, sub-§3, as corrected by RR 1999, c.
1, §29, is amended to read:

3. Scope of authority. The court shall consider and act on
child protection petitions regardless of other decrees regarding
a child's care and custody. The requirements and provisions of

2 Title 19-A, chapter 58 do not apply to child protection
3 proceedings. If custody or parentage, including de facto
4 parentage, is an issue in another pending proceeding, the
5 proceedings may be consolidated in the District Court with
6 respect to the issue of custody issue, parentage or both. In any
7 event, the court shall make an order on the child protection
8 petition in accordance with this chapter. That order takes
9 precedence over any prior order regarding the child's care and
10 custody.

11 **Sec. D-21. 22 MRSA §4032, sub-§2, ¶C**, as enacted by PL 1979,
12 c. 733, §18, is amended to read:

13 C. Name and municipal residence, if known, of each parent,
14 putative parent and custodian;

15 **Sec. D-22. 22 MRSA §4033, sub-§1, ¶A**, as enacted by PL 1979,
16 c. 733, §18, is amended to read:

17 A. The petition and a notice of hearing shall must be
18 served on the parents and custodians, the guardian ad litem
19 for the child, any putative parent and any other party at
20 least 10 days prior to the hearing date. A party may waive
21 this time requirement if the waiver is written and
22 voluntarily and knowingly executed in court before a judge.
23 Service shall must be made in accordance with the District
24 Court Civil Rules.

25 **Sec. D-23. 22 MRSA §4036, sub-§2-A** is enacted to read:

26 **2-A. Determination of parentage.** In a protection order or
27 in any judicial review order, the court may determine the
28 parentage of the child. The court's determination of the child's
29 parentage must be made pursuant to Title 19-A, chapter 61 and has
30 the same legal effect as all determinations of parentage made
31 pursuant to that chapter.

32 PART E

33 **Sec. E-1. 14 MRSA §704-A, sub-§2, ¶E**, as amended by PL 1995,
34 c. 694, Pt. D, §14 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is further amended
35 to read:

36 E. Conception resulting in paternity parentage within the
37 meaning of Title 19-A, chapter 53, ~~subchapter-I~~ 61;

38 **Sec. E-2. 19-A MRSA §2253, sub-§2, ¶B**, as enacted by PL 1995,
39 c. 694, Pt. B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:

2 B. Conception resulting in paternity parentage within the
4 meaning of chapter ~~53,--subchapter-I~~ 61.

6 **Sec. E-3. 19-A MRSA §2304, first ¶**, as amended by PL 2001, c.
264, §12, is further amended to read:

8 When a support order has not been established, the
10 department may establish the responsible parent's current
12 parental support obligation pursuant to chapter 63, establish the
14 responsible parent's debt for past support, including medical
16 expenses, and establish the responsible parent's obligation to
18 maintain health insurance coverage for each dependent child or to
20 pay a proportionate share of health insurance premiums. The
22 department may proceed on its own behalf or on behalf of another
24 state or another state's instrumentality, an individual or
26 governmental applicant for services under section 2103 or a
28 person entitled by federal law to support enforcement services as
30 a former recipient of public assistance. The department acting
32 on behalf of another state, another state's instrumentality or a
person residing in another state constitutes good cause within
the meaning of Title 5, section 9057, subsection 5.
Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a parental support
obligation established under this section continues beyond the
child's 18th birthday, if the child is attending secondary school
as defined in Title 20-A, section 1, until the child graduates,
withdraws, is expelled or attains 19 years of age, whichever
occurs first. For purposes of this section, "debt for past
support" includes a debt owed to the department under section
2301, subsection 1, paragraph A, a debt owed under section 2103
and a debt that accrues under sections 1504 and ~~1554~~ 1505.

34 **Sec. E-4. 19-A MRSA §3051, sub-§2, ¶F**, as enacted by PL 2003,
c. 436, §28, is amended to read:

36 F. An acknowledged father of the child as provided in Title
38 19-A, ~~section-1616~~ chapter 61, subchapter 3;

40 SUMMARY

42 PART A

44 Part A of this bill enacts the Maine version of the Uniform
46 Parentage Act. Separate Maine comments are included to explain
deviations from the uniform act.

48 PART B

2 Part B contains amendments to the Maine Revised Statutes,
Title 4 and Title 19-A provisions concerning paternity and child
support to make them consistent with the Uniform Parentage Act.

4
6 Where necessary, the laws are made gender-neutral and to
refer to "parent" and "parentage" rather than "mother" or
"father" and "paternity." In addition, "parent" and
8 "grandparent" throughout Title 19-A are made consistent with the
terminology in the Uniform Parentage Act with the deletion of
10 "biological," "adoptive" and "natural" where appropriate.

12 Title 19-A, chapter 53, subchapter 1, originally based on
the Uniform Act on Paternity, is repealed. Substantive and
14 procedural provisions that are not superseded by the Uniform
Parentage Act are updated and relocated either as general
16 provisions in Title 19-A, chapter 51, part of child support
enforcement procedures, or as revisions to the Uniform Parentage
18 Act in Part A.

20 The current law imposes a duty of support. That duty, which
includes the obligation to support one's child and to support
22 one's spouse when in need, is clarified, and other existing laws
concerning the support obligation are consolidated and
24 clarified. These elements of the support obligation include
pregnancy and confinement expenses, child support and attorney's
26 fees for bringing an action to establish parentage.

28 Included in the description of the extent of the duty of
support is a codification of the latest Supreme Judicial Court
30 rulings on the support obligations of disestablished parents.
Using the language of the 2004 Blaisdell decision, the law
32 provides that the disestablished parent remains liable for all
unpaid child support obligations that accumulated prior to the
34 filing of the action to disestablish parentage, and that there is
not a right to reimbursement for support already paid.

36
38 The Department of Health and Human Services' ability to
collect arrearages under a support order after the child has
turned 18 years of age has been questioned because of the
40 definitions of "dependent child" and "responsible parent" in
Title 19-A, section 2101. This bill amends the law to clarify
42 that the department of Health and Human Services has authority to
collect until the debt is paid.

44
46 This bill clarifies that family case management officers
have jurisdiction to enter interim orders in actions involving
parentage. This change is necessitated by amendments to the
48 Uniform Parentage Act that refer to parentage actions rather than
to paternity actions.

2 The definition of "parent" is made consistent with the
definition of "parent-child relationship" in Title 19-A, section
1841.

4

6 This bill provides that an action to adjudicate de facto
parentage under Title 19-A, section 1845 is subject to the
mediation requirement prior to a contested hearing.

8

10 This bill adds the existence of more than 2 parents who are
obligated to provide support for a child as a criterion to
deviate from child support guidelines.

12

PART C

14

16 Part C amends the intestate succession provisions of the
Probate Code so that children will inherit from parents as
recognized in the Uniform Parentage Act and parents recognized by
18 the Uniform Parentage Act will inherit from their children.
Under the bill, Uniform Parentage Act parentage can be
20 established after death. The bill also recognizes inheritance
from and by de facto parents without displacing inheritance from
22 and by other recognized parents. This bill places a limit of 4
on the number of parents who can have an inheritance right from
24 any child or from whom any child can inherit. De facto parentage
can also be established after death.

26

PART D

28

30 Part D of the bill amends the adoption laws, guardianship
laws and child protection laws to be consistent with the Uniform
Parentage Act.

32

PART E

34

Part E makes cross-reference changes.