

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

H. 019.

L.D. 190

DATE: 3/14/05

(Filing No. H- 26)

LABOR

Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Clerk of the House.

STATE OF MAINE
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
122ND LEGISLATURE
FIRST REGULAR SESSION

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 141, L.D. 190, Bill, "An Act Relating to the Treatment of Employers and Military Personnel in Connection with "Call to Duty" Activity"

Amend the bill by striking out all of sections 1, 2 and 3.

Further amend the bill by relettering or renumbering any nonconsecutive Part letter or section number to read consecutively.

SUMMARY

This amendment eliminates the provisions of the bill that purported to clarify the rights of National Guard and reserve members to retain normal benefits while on military leave of absence.

FISCAL NOTE REQUIRED
(See Attached)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT



122nd MAINE LEGISLATURE

LD 190

LR 0333(02)

An Act Relating to the Treatment of Employers and Military Personnel in Connection with "Call to Duty" Activity

Fiscal Note for Bill as Amended by Committee Amendment " "

Committee: Labor

Fiscal Note Required: Yes

Fiscal Note

Undetermined current biennium cost increase - Other Funds

Undetermined current biennium savings - All Funds

Fiscal Detail and Notes

The Department of Labor does not anticipate a significant impact to the Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund associated with paying unemployment benefits to replacement workers who are subsequently laid-off when military service personnel are rehired by an employer. The amount can not be determined at this time but is not expected to impact the contribution rate schedule currently in effect.

This legislation will relieve the State of Maine, as a direct reimbursement employer, from unemployment costs under circumstances when an active military person returns from military leave and causes a replacement worker to be laid off. The projected savings from this proposed exception can not be determined at this time.