



121st MAINE LEGISLATURE

SECOND REGULAR SESSION-2004

| Legislative Document | No. 1837 |
|----------------------|----------|
| | |

H.P. 1361

House of Representatives, January 30, 2004

An Act Relating to the Consideration of the Cumulative Effects on Protected Natural Resources

Reported by Representative KOFFMAN of Bar Harbor for the Joint Standing Committee on Natural Resources pursuant to Resolve 2003, chapter 14.

Reference to the Committee on Natural Resources suggested and ordered printed under Joint Rule 218.

Millicent M. Mac Jarland

MILLICENT M. MacFARLAND Clerk

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows: 2 Sec. 1. 38 MRSA §480-X, sub-§§2 and 3, as enacted by PL 1995, c. 460, §7 and affected by §12, are amended to read: 4 Three-tiered review process; tiers defined. Except as 6 2. provided in subsection 1, an application for a permit to undertake activities altering freshwater wetlands 8 must be reviewed in accordance with the following. 10 Α. A Tier 1 review process applies to any activity that involves a freshwater wetland alteration up to 15,000 square 12 feet and does not involve the alteration of freshwater wetlands listed in subsection 4. 14 16 Β. A Tier 2 review process applies to any activity that involves a freshwater wetland alteration of 15,000 square feet up to one acre and does not involve the alteration of 18 freshwater wetlands listed in subsection 4 or 5. 20 C. A Tier 3 review process applies to any activity that 22 involves a freshwater wetland alteration of one acre or more an alteration of a freshwater wetland listed in or subsection 4 or 5. 24 26 If the project as a whole requires Tier 2 or Tier 3 review, then any activity that is part of the overall project and involves a 28 regulated freshwater wetland alteration also requires the same higher level of review, unless otherwise authorized by the 30 department. In determining the amount of freshwater wetland to be altered, 32 all components of a project, including all phases of a multiphased project, are treated together as constituting one 34 single and complete project. Activity authorized or legally conducted prior to the effective date of this section is not 36 included. 38 The standards of section 480-D do not apply to projects that qualify for Tier 1 er-Tier-2 review, except that water quality 40 standards under section 480-D, subsection 5 apply to those projects. Projects that meet the eligibility requirements for 42 Tier 1 er--Tier--2 review and that satisfy the permitting requirements set forth in subsection 3_7 and 6_{9F} --7, 44 as applicable, are presumed not to have significant environmental 46 impact. 48 3. General requirements. A person undertaking an activity for which a permit is processed pursuant to this section must 50 shall satisfy the requirements of this subsection.

| 2 | | A. An applicant for Tier 1 ef. Tier 2 or Tier 3 review must shall meet the following requirements. |
|----|------|---|
| 4 | | |
| 6 | | (1) Alteration of freshwater wetland areas on the property must be avoided to the extent feasible considering cost, existing technology and logistics |
| 8 | | based on the overall purpose of the project. |
| 10 | | (2) The area of the freshwater wetland to be altered must be limited to the minimum amount necessary to |
| 12 | | complete the project. |
| 14 | | (3)Erosion-control-measures-must-be-used-to-prevent sedimentationofprotectednaturalresourcesA |
| 16 | | 25-feet buffer-strip must be-maintained-between- -the activity-and-any-river,-stream-er-breek. |
| 18 | | (4) The estimity much comply with applicable water |
| 20 | | (4)The-activity-mustcomply-with-applicablewater quality-standards-pursuant-to-section-480-Dr-subsection 5. |
| 22 | | |
| 24 | | BAn-applicant-for-Tier-l,-Tier-2-or-Tier-3-review-for projects-that-would-alter-wetland-hydrology-and-could-also alter-stream-flows-or-other-adjacent-surface-waters,must |
| 26 | | eomplywiththewaterqualityelassificationstandards contained-in-section-465. |
| 28 | | |
| 30 | | C. An applicant for Tier 1 review shall meet the following requirements. |
| 32 | | (1) Erosion control measures must be used to prevent sedimentation of protected natural resources. A |
| 34 | | 25-foot buffer strip must be maintained between the activity and any river, stream or brook. |
| 36 | | |
| 38 | | (2) The activity must comply with applicable water quality standards pursuant to section 480-D, subsection 5. |
| 40 | | |
| 42 | | D. An applicant for Tier 2 or Tier 3 review shall comply with the standards contained in section 480-D. |
| 44 | 460, | Sec. 2. 38 MRSA §480-X, sub-§7, \P A, as enacted by PL 1995, c. §7 and affected by §12, is amended to read: |
| 46 | , | |
| 48 | | A. An application form must be submitted, with the application fee, to the department and include the following information: |
| 50 | | |

(1) Documentation that public notice has been provided of the proposed project in accordance with department rules;

2

4

6

(2) A United States Geological Survey map showing the project location;

8 10

12

14

16

18

20

22

24

32

38

(3) Written certification by a knowledgeable professional experienced in wetland science that the project will not alter, or cause to be altered, a wetland described in subsection 4 or 5;

(4) A top view drawing of the entire project, including existing and proposed fill, excavation, roads and structures; cross-sectional drawings of any fill or excavated areas; delineation of the wetland boundaries and calculated area of freshwater wetlands affected; description of existing vegetation on the project site; identification of any surface water bodies within 100 feet of the proposed alteration; and a drawing of the 25-foot buffer strip between the project and any river, stream or brook;

(5) A soil erosion and sedimentation control plan;

26 (6) For work in previously mined peatlands, information on the past mining activity, including the approximate dates of the mining activity, the area and depth to which peat has been excavated from the site,
30 any restoration work on the site and the current condition of the site;

(7) A statement describing why the project can not be
 34 located completely in upland areas and any alternatives
 that exist for the project that would either avoid or
 36 minimize the amount of proposed freshwater wetland
 alteration; and

(8) A plan for compensating for lost functions and
 40 values of the freshwater wetland when required by, and in accordance with, rules adopted by the department.
 42 and

44 (9) Any other information determined by the department to be necessary to meet the requirements of section 46 480-D and rules adopted by the department.

48

SUMMARY

This bill requires Tier 2 freshwater wetland projects to 4 meet all of the standards under the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 38, chapter 3, Article 5-A according to the recommendations of 6 the Department of Environmental Protection submitted pursuant to Resolve 2003, chapter 14.

2