MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



121st MAINE LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION-2003

Legislative Document

No. 1543

S.P. 517

In Senate, April 10, 2003

An Act To Modify the Exemption for Compost under the Nutrient Management Law and the Nutrient Management Review Board's Authority To Hear Appeals

Submitted by the Department of Agriculture, Food and Rural Resources pursuant to Joint Rule 204.

Reference to the Committee on Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry suggested and ordered printed.

JOY J. O'BRIEN Secretary of the Senate

Presented by Senator BRYANT of Oxford.
Cosponsored by Representative CARR of Lincoln and

Senator: KNEELAND of Aroostook, Representatives: HONEY of Boothbay, LUNDEEN of Mars Hill.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

2

16

18

24

26

28

30

32

34

36

38

40

42

44

46

48

- Sec. 1. 7 MRSA §4201, sub-§6, as amended by PL 1999, c. 530, §1, is further amended to read:
- 6. Regulated residual. "Regulated residual" means a residual regulated by the Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to Title 38, chapter 13 that is used primarily for its nitrogen and phosphorous value as determined by the Department of Agriculture, Food and Rural Resources. "Regulated residual" does not include weed-ash-er compost in quantities less than 100 tons per year or wood ash.
- Sec. 2. 7 MRSA §4203, sub-§1, as amended by PL 1999, c. 530, §3, is further amended to read:
 - 1. Duties. The board's duties are as follows:
- A. The board shall review and approve all proposed amendments to the original rules adopted in accordance with this chapter; and 22
 - В. When an aggrieved party within 30 davs commissioner's decision appeals decision a οf the commissioner regarding a livestock operations permit under section 4205, a request for a variance under section 4204, subsection 8 or a certification under section 4210, the board shall hold a hearing in accordance with Title 5, chapter 375, subchapter IV $\underline{4}$. The board may affirm, amend or reverse a permit or certification decision made by the The board's decision is a final agency commissioner. action+; and
 - C. When an aggrieved party within 30 days of the commissioner's decision appeals a decision of the commissioner regarding site-specific best management practices prescribed for a farm or other issue governed under Title 17, sections 2701-B or 2805, the board shall hold a hearing in accordance with Title 5, chapter 375, subchapter 4. The board may affirm, amend or reverse a decision made by the commissioner. The board's decision is a final agency action. The board may also conduct an information-gathering meeting at the request of the department or any party with a legitimate interest to facilitate the complaint resolution process under Title 17, sections 2701-B or 2805.
 - Sec. 3. 7 MRSA §4203, sub-§2-A is enacted to read:

- 2-A. Temporary membership. When the subject matter of an 2 appeal or complaint resolution process under this section is other than manure or nutrient management, the commissioner may appoint up to 3 temporary board members for the purpose of hearing an appeal, conducting an information-gathering meeting or facilitating the complaint resolution process under Title 17, 6 section 2805. At least one temporary member must have expertise with the subject matter of the complaint or problem and one Я temporary member must represent the agricultural sector involved. The terms for temporary members expire when the board 10 determines that it has taken final action on the appeal or 12 complaint resolution process.
- Sec. 4. 7 MRSA §4209, as amended by PL 1999, c. 530, §6, is further amended to read:

§4209. Penalties

18

20

16

Failure to develop a nutrient management plan in accordance with section 4204 or to obtain a livestock operations permit in accordance with section 4205 constitutes a civil violation for which a ferfeiture fine of up to \$1,000 plus up to \$250 per day for every day that the violation continues may be adjudged.

24

26

28

30

32

36

38

22

Failure to implement a nutrient management plan according to the requirements of section 4204 and the rules adopted pursuant to that section constitutes a civil violation for which a ferfeiture fine of up to \$1,000 with an additional penalty of up to \$250 per day for every day that the violation continues may be adjudged. Prior to development of a plan, a person is not subject to a penalty for failure to implement a nutrient management plan.

34 Fai

Failure to comply with the conditions set forth in a livestock operations permit or a variance constitutes a civil violation for which a ferfeiture fine of up to \$1,000 with an additional penalty of up to \$250 per day for every day that the violation continues may be adjudged.

Winter spreading of manure in violation of section 4207 constitutes a civil violation for which a ferfeiture fine of up to \$1,000 per day for every day that spreading occurs may be adjudged.

44

46

Sec. 5. 17 MRSA §2701-B, as amended by PL 1993, c. 124, \S 2, is further amended by adding after the 2nd indented paragraph a new indented paragraph to read:

48

50

Failure to apply best management practices in accordance with this section constitutes a separate civil violation for

which a fine of up to \$1,000, together with an additional fine of up to \$250 per day for every day that the violation continues, may be adjudged.

4

6

8

10

12

14

16

18

20

Sec. 6. 17 MRSA §2805, sub-§6, as amended by PL 1999, c. 723, §2, is further amended to read:

Failure to adopt best management practices. person responsible for the farm or farm operation does not apply best management practices as required by the Commissioner of Agriculture, Food and Rural Resources, the commissioner shall send a written report to an appropriate agency if a federal or state law has been violated and to the Attorney General. Attorney General may institute an action to abate a nuisance or to enforce the provisions of this section or any other applicable state law, and the court may order the abatement with costs as provided under section 2702, such injunctive relief as provided in this section or by other applicable law, or that a civil violation has been committed. Failure to apply best management practices in accordance with this section constitutes a separate civil violation for which a forfeiture fine of up to \$1,000, together with an additional ferfeiture fine of up to \$250 per day for every day that the violation continues, may be adjudged.

24

22

26

SUMMARY

28

30

32

34

36

38

40

42

This bill changes a current provision in the laws relating to nutrient management that allows any amount of compost material to be imported and used on a farm without triggering the requirement for a nutrient management plan. The language change in this provision allows less than 100 tons of compost annually to be brought onto a farm without triggering a nutrient management plan, making it consistent with the requirements for manure imported onto a farm.

This bill gives the Nutrient Management Review Board within the Department of Agriculture, Food and Rural Resources the authority to hear appeals and resolve disputes involving issues relating to site-specific best management practices. Currently the board has the authority to address only disputes that are manure- or nutrient-related.

44

46

48

This bill also authorizes the appointment of up to 3 temporary members to the Nutrient Management Review Board for the purpose of hearing an appeal, conducting an information-gathering meeting or facilitating the complaint resolution process relating to best management practices.

50

This bill also adds a penalty to the laws relating to manure for failure to implement best management practices that have been prescribed by the Department of Agriculture, Food and Rural Resources in response to complaints about manure handling and storage. The penalty is consistent with the penalties for violations under the laws relating to the right to farm and nutrient management.

8

10

This bill also makes nonsubstantive changes to the terminology in the penalty sections relating to nutrient management and best management practices by changing "forfeiture"

12 to "fine."