



120th MAINE LEGISLATURE

SECOND REGULAR SESSION-2002

Legislative Document

No. 2149

H.P. 1644

House of Representatives, March 4, 2002

An Act to Implement the Recommendations of the Committee to Review the Child Protective System.

Reported by Representative LaVERDIERE for the Committee to Review the Child Protective System pursuant to Joint Order 2001, H.P. 1385.

Reference to the Joint Standing Committee on Judiciary suggested and printing ordered under Joint Rule 218.

Millicent M. Mac Jailand

MILLICENT M. MacFARLAND, Clerk

	Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:
2	Sec. 1. 4 MRSA §18-C is enacted to read:
4	<u>§18-C. Information for parents in child protective cases</u>
б	give, intrimutiva for partnes in third protoctive cases
	1. Program. By January 1, 2003, the State Court
8	Administrator, in consultation with appropriate interested
	parties, shall establish a program to provide information about
10	child protection laws and procedures to parents whose children
	are the subject of child protective investigations and cases
12	under Title 22, chapter 1071. The providing of the information
	under this program does not constitute representation of
14	parents. Parents may seek and receive information regardless of
	whether they are represented by legal counsel. The information
16	must be provided free of charge to parents.
18	2. Provider. The State Court Administrator shall enter
	into a contract with one or more private providers, not
20	associated with the Department of Human Services, to operate the
	program under subsection 1.
22	
	3. Report. The State Court Administrator shall report
24	annually to the joint standing committee of the Legislature
	having jurisdiction over judiciary matters, starting February 1,
26	2003, on the program required by this section.
28	4. No new rights or obligations. This section does not
	create new rights or obligations concerning the provision of
30	legal advice or representation of parents. Failure to provide
	information under this section does not create a cause of action
32	or have any effect on a child protective proceeding.
34	Sec. 2. 15 MRSA §3203-A, sub-§5, ¶D is enacted to read:
34	Sec. 2. 15 MINSA 95205-A, Sub-95, 1D is enacted to read:
36	D. When a court orders detention or a conditional release
50	that authorizes, even temporarily, the juvenile's removal
38	from the juvenile's home, the court shall determine whether
	reasonable efforts have been made to prevent or eliminate
40	the need for removal of the juvenile from the juvenile's
10	home or that no reasonable efforts are necessary because of
42	the existence of an aggravating factor as defined in Title
	22, section 4002, subsection 1-B, and whether continuation
44	in the juvenile's home would be contrary to the welfare of
	the juvenile. This determination does not affect whether
46	the court orders detention or a conditional release, which
**	continues to be governed by the other provisions of this
48	section.

.

Sec. 3. 15 MRSA §3306-A, as amended by PL 1999, c. 624, Pt. 2 B, §16, is further amended by adding at the end a new paragraph to read:

4

When a court orders detention or a conditional release that authorizes even temporarily the juvenile's removal from the б juvenile's home or when a court allows a conditional release ordered by a juvenile community corrections officer that 8 authorizes, even temporarily, the juvenile's removal from the 10 juvenile's home to remain in effect, the court shall determine whether reasonable efforts have been made to prevent or eliminate 12 the need for removal of the juvenile from the juvenile's home or that no reasonable efforts are necessary because of the existence of an aggravating factor as defined in Title 22, section 4002, 14 subsection 1-B, and whether continuation in the juvenile's home would be contrary to the welfare of the juvenile. This 16 determination does not affect whether the court orders detention or a conditional release or allows a conditional release to 18 remain in effect, which continues to be governed by section 20 3203-A.

- Sec. 4. 15 MRSA 3314, sub-1, C-1, as amended by PL 1987, c. 720, 5, is further amended to read:
- 24

26

28

30

32

34

22

C-1. The court may commit a juvenile to the custody of the Department of Human Services when the court has determined that reasonable efforts have been made to prevent or eliminate the need for removal of the juvenile from his the juvenile's home or that no reasonable efforts are necessary because of the existence of an aggravating factor as defined in Title 22, section 4002, subsection 1-B, and that continuation therein would be contrary to the welfare of the juvenile. The court may not enter an order under this paragraph unless the parents have had notice and an

- opportunity to be heard at the dispositional hearing. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the court shall may not commit a juvenile to the custody of the Department of Human Services unless such notice has been served on the parents, custodians and the Department of Human Services in accordance with District Court civil rules at least 10 days prior to the dispositional hearing. A party may waive this time requirement if the waiver is written and voluntarily and knowingly executed in court before a judge.
- 46 The Department of Human Services shall provide for the care and placement of the juvenile as for other children in the department's custody pursuant to the Child and Family Services and Child Protection Act, Title 22, chapter 1071,
 50 subchapter VII.

2 The court may impose conditions that may include participation by the juvenile or the juvenile's parents or 4 legal guardian in treatment services aimed at the rehabilitation of the juvenile, reunification of the family 6 and improvement of the home environment.

- 8
- 10

Sec. 5. 15 MRSA 3314, sub-1, \mathbb{F} , as amended by PL 1997, c. 752, 19, is further amended to read:

F. The court may commit the juvenile to a Department of Corrections juvenile correctional facility. 12 Whenever a juvenile is committed to a Department of Corrections 14 juvenile correctional facility, the court shall determine whether reasonable efforts have been made to prevent or 16 eliminate the need for removal of the juvenile from the juvenile's home or that no reasonable efforts are necessary because of the existence of an aggravating factor as defined 18 in Title 22, section 4002, subsection 1-B, and whether 20 continuation in the juvenile's home would be contrary to the welfare of the juvenile. This determination does not affect 22 whether the court orders a commitment to a Department of Corrections juvenile correctional facility, which continues 24 to be governed by section 3313.

Pt. A, \S 8, is further amended to read:

Sec. 6. 15 MRSA §3314, sub-§2, as amended by PL 1999, c. 624,

26

28

Suspended disposition. The court may impose any of the 2. 30 dispositional alternatives provided in subsection 1 and may suspend its disposition and place the juvenile on a specified 32 period of probation that is subject to such provisions of Title 17-A, section 1204 as the court may order and that is 34 administered pursuant to the provisions of Title 34-A, chapter 5, subchapter IV, except that the court may not impose the condition 36 set out in Title 17-A, section 1204, subsection 1-A. The court may impose as a condition of probation that a juvenile must 38 reside outside the juvenile's home in a setting satisfactory to the juvenile community corrections officer if the court 40 determines that reasonable efforts have been made to prevent or eliminate the need for removal of the juvenile from the juvenile's home or that no reasonable efforts are necessary 42 because of the existence of an aggravating factor as defined in 44 Title 22, section 4002, subsection 1-B, and that continuation in the juvenile's home would be contrary to the welfare of the 46 juvenile. Imposition of such a condition does not affect the legal custody of the juvenile.

48

Modification of probation is governed by the procedures contained 50 in Title 17-A, section 1202, subsection 2. Termination of

probation is governed by the procedures contained in Title 17-A, section 1202, subsection 3. Revocation of probation is governed 2 by the procedures contained in Title 17-A, sections 1205, 1205-B, 1205-C and 1206, except that the provisions of those sections 4 requiring a preliminary hearing do not apply and those provisions of Title 17-A, section 1206, subsection 7-A allowing a vacating 6 part of the suspension of execution apply only of to а disposition under subsection 1, paragraph G or H; however, a 8 disposition under subsection 1, paragraph F may be modified to a disposition under subsection 1, paragraph H. If the juvenile is 10 being detained for an alleged violation of probation, the court shall review within 48 hours following the detention, excluding 12 Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays, the decision to detain the 14 juvenile. Following that review, the court shall order the juvenile's release unless the court finds that there is probable cause to believe that the juvenile has violated a condition of 16 probation and finds, by a preponderance of the evidence, that continued detention is necessary to meet one of the purposes of 18 detention under section 3203-A, subsection 4, paragraph C.

Sec. 7. 15 MRSA §3315, sub-§1, as amended by PL 1997, c. 752, 22 §24, is further amended to read:

24 Right to review. Every disposition pursuant to section 1. 3314, other than unconditional discharge, must be reviewed not less than once in every 12 months until the juvenile is 26 discharged. The review must be made by a representative of the 28 Department of Corrections unless the juvenile was committed to the Department of Human Services, in which case such review must 30 be made by a representative of the Department of Human Services. A report of the review must be made in writing to the juvenile's parents, guardian or legal custodian. A copy of the report must 32 be forwarded to the program or programs that were reviewed, and the department whose personnel made the review shall retain a 34 copy of the report in their files. The written report must be prepared in accordance with subsection 2. 36 When a juvenile is placed in the custody of the Department of Human Services, reviews and permanency planning hearings must be conducted in 38 accordance with Title 22, section 4038. Title 22, sections 4005, 40 4039 and 4041 also apply.

42 Sec. 8. 15 MRSA §3315-A is enacted to read:

44 §3315-A. Termination of parental rights

- 46 When a juvenile is in the custody of the Department of Human Services, Title 22, chapter 1071, subchapter VI also applies.
- 48

20

Sec. 9. 15 MRSA §3316, sub-§4, ¶B, as repealed and replaced by 50 PL 1999, c. 127, Pt. B, §6, is amended to read:

If a juvenile is placed in a residence outside the 2 Β. juvenile's home pursuant to a voluntary services agreement, Commissioner of Corrections or the commissioner's the 4 designee may request the court to make a determination whether reasonable efforts have been made to prevent or 6 eliminate the need for removal of the juvenile from the 8 juvenile's home or that no reasonable efforts are necessary because of the existence of an aggravating factor as defined in Title 22, section 4002, subsection 1-B, and whether 10 continuation in the juvenile's home would be contrary to the welfare of the juvenile. If requested, the court shall make 12 that determination prior to the expiration of 180 days from of the placement and shall 14 the start review that determination not less than once every 12 months until the juvenile is no longer residing outside the juvenile's home. 16

Sec. 10. 18-A MRSA §9-308, sub-§(e), as enacted by PL 1995, c. 18 694, Pt. C, \$7 and affected by Pt. E, \$2, is amended to read:

(e)

20

42

44

The department shall notify the grandparents of a child when the child is placed for adoption if the department has 22 received notice that the grandparents were granted reasonable rights of visitation or access under Title 19-A, chapter 59 or 24 Title 22, section 4005-B 4005-E. 26

Sec. 11. 22 MRSA §4002, sub-§1-B, ¶A, as enacted by PL 1997, 28 c. 715, Pt. B, §1, is amended to read:

- 30 Α. The parent has subjected the any child for whom the parent was responsible to aggravated circumstances, 32 including, but not limited to, the following:
- gross Rape, 34 (1)gross sexual misconduct, sexual assault, sexual abuse, incest, aggravated assault, 36 kidnapping, promotion of prostitution, abandonment, torture, chronic abuse or any other treatment that is 38 heinous or abhorrent to society +- 0 +.
- (2) --- Refusal for -- 6-- months -- to -- comply with -- treatment 40 required-in-a-reunification-plan.
 - Sec. 12. 22 MRSA §4002, sub-§1-B, ¶A-1 is enacted to read:
- A-1. The parent refused for 6 months to comply with 46 treatment required in a reunification plan with regard to the child. 48
- Sec. 13. 22 MRSA §4005, sub-§1, ¶D, as amended by PL 1997, c. 715, Pt. A, \S 2, is further amended to read: 50

The quardian ad litem shall make a written report of the D. investigation, findings and recommendations, and shall provide a copy of the report to each of the parties reasonably in advance of the hearing, and to the court, except that the guardian ad litem need not provide a written report prior to a hearing on a preliminary protection order. The court may admit the written report into evidence.

Sec. 14. 22 MRSA §4005, sub-§2, as amended by PL 1983, c. 783, 10 \S_2 , is further amended to read:

12

2

4

б

8

Parents. Parents and custodians are entitled to legal 2. 14 counsel in child protection proceedings, except for a request for a preliminary protection order under section 4034 or a petition for a medical treatment order under section 4071, but including 16hearings on those orders. They may request the court to appoint legal counsel for them. The court, if it finds them indigent, 18 shall appoint and pay the reasonable costs and expenses of their 20 legal counsel. The legal counsel appointed by the court under this section may also represent parents and custodians in a concurrent family matters action under Title 19-A in which the 22 only major substantive matters are custody of and contact with the child. The court shall pay the reasonable costs and expenses 24 of the legal counsel.

26

Sec. 15. 22 MRSA §4005-A, as amended by PL 1997, c. 343, §1, 28 is repealed.

- Sec. 16. 22 MRSA §4005-B, as amended by PL 2001, c. 58, §1, 30 is repealed.
- 32

Sec. 17. 22 MRSA §4005-C, as amended by PL 1999, c. 675, §1, is repealed. 34

- Sec. 18. 22 MRSA §§4005-D and 4005-E are enacted to read: 36
- §4005-D. Access to and participating in proceedings 38

40 1. Definitions. For the purposes of this section, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the 42 following meanings.

- 44 A. "Foster parent" means a person who has had a child in that person's home for at least 120 days and who is licensed as a family foster home under chapter 1663. 46
- 48 B. "Grandparent" means the biological or adoptive parent of a child's biological or adoptive parent. "Grandparent" 50 includes the parent of a child's parent whose parental

rights have been terminated, but only until the child is placed for adoption.

- C. "Interested person" means a person the court has determined as having a substantial relationship with a child
 or a substantial interest in the child's well-being, based on the type, strength and duration of the relationship or interest. A person may request interested person status in a child protection proceeding either orally or in writing.
- D. "Intervenor" means a person who is granted intervenor12status in a child protective proceeding pursuant to the
Maine Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 24, as long as
intervention is consistent with section 4003.

10

16 E. "Participant" means a person who is designated as an interested person under paragraph C and who demonstrates to
 18 the court that designation as a participant is in the best interests of the child and consistent with section 4003. A
 20 person may request participant status in a child protection proceeding either orally or in writing.

2. Interested persons. Upon request, the court shall
 designate a foster parent, grandparent, preadoptive parent or a relative of a child by blood or marriage as an interested person
 unless the court finds good cause not to do so. The court may also grant interested person status to other individuals who have
 a significant relationship to the child, including, but not limited to, teachers, coaches, counselors or a person who has
 provided or is providing care for the child.

32 3. Access to proceedings. An interested person, participant or intervenor may attend and observe all court 34 proceedings under this chapter unless the court finds good cause to exclude the person. The opportunity to attend court 36 proceedings does not include the right to be heard or the right to present or cross-examine witnesses, present evidence or have 38 access to pleadings or records.

- 40 4. Right to be heard. A participant or an intervenor has the right to be heard in any court proceeding under this
 42 chapter. The right to be heard does not include the right to present or cross-examine witnesses, present evidence or have
 44 access to pleadings or records.
- 46 5. Intervention. An intervenor may participate in any court proceeding under this chapter as a party as provided by the
 48 court when granting intervenor status under Maine Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 24. An intervenor has the rights of a party as
 50 ordered by the court in granting intervenor status, including the

right to present or cross-examine witnesses, present evidence and have access to pleadings and records.

- 6. Confidentiality. An interested person, a participant or an intervenor may not disclose outside the courtroom any confidential information learned by attending a court proceeding unless otherwise authorized. Violation of this subsection is subject to sanctions imposed by the court.
- 10 **§4005-E.** Grandparents; visitation and access; placement
- 12 1. Visitation and access. A grandparent who is designated as an interested person or a participant under section 4005-D or 14 who has been granted intervenor status under the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 24 may request the court to grant 16 reasonable rights of visitation or access. When a child is placed in a prospective adoptive home and the prospective adoptive parents have signed an adoptive placement agreement, a 18 grandparent's right to contact or have access to the child that 20 was granted pursuant to this chapter is suspended. If the adoption is not final within 18 months of adoptive placement, then the grandparent whose rights of contact or access were 22 suspended may resume, as a matter of right and without further court order, contact with the child in accordance with the order 24 granting that contact or access, unless the court determines 26 after a hearing that the contact is not in the child's best interests. A grandparent's rights of visitation or access 28 terminate when the adoption is finalized pursuant to Title 18-A, section 9-308. Nothing in this section prohibits prospective 30 adoptive parents from independently facilitating or permitting contact between a child and a grandparent, especially when a 32 court has previously ordered rights of contact.

34 2. Placement. A grandparent who is designated as an interested person or a participant under section 4005-D or who has been granted intervenor status under the Maine Rules of Civil 36 Procedure, Rule 24 may request the court to order that the child be placed with the grandparent. A grandparent who has not been 38 designated as a participant under section 4005-D may make the 40 request for placement in writing. In making a decision on the request, the court shall give the grandparents priority for 42 consideration for placement if that placement is in the best interests of the child and consistent with section 4003. 44

- Sec. 19. 22 MRSA §4008, sub-§2, ¶E, as amended by PL 1993, c. 294, §3, is further amended to read:
- 48 E. A person having the legal responsibility or authorization to educate, care for,--evaluate,--treat or supervise a child, parent or custodian who is the subject of

a record, or a member of a panel appointed by the department to review child deaths and serious injuries. This includes a member of a treatment team or group convened to plan for or treat a child or family that is the subject of a record. This may also include a member of a support team for foster parents, if that team has been reviewed and approved by the department;

- Sec. 20. 22 MRSA §4008, sub-§3, ¶F, as amended by PL 1991, c. 10 630, §3, is further amended to read:
- F. The Commissioner of Education when the information concerns teachers and other professional personnel issued
 certificates under Title 20-A, persons employed by schools approved pursuant to Title 20-A or any employees of schools
 operated by the Department of Education; and
- 18 Sec. 21. 22 MRSA §4008, sub-§3, \P G, as amended by PL 1995, c. 694, Pt. D, §39 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is further amended to 20 read:
- G. The prospective adoptive parents. Prior to a child being placed for the purpose of adoption, the department
 shall comply with the requirements of Title 18-A, section 9-304, subsection (b) and section 8205... and
 - Sec. 22. 22 MRSA §4008, sub-§3, ¶H is enacted to read:
- 28

34

36

38

26

8

Sec. 22. 22 MINSA 94000, Sub-95, MI 18 enacted to read:

- H. Upon written request, a person having the legal
 authorization to evaluate or treat a child, parent or
 custodian who is the subject of a record. This includes a
 member of a treatment team or group convened to plan for or
 treat a child or family that is the subject of a record.
 - Sec. 23. 22 MRSA §4010-B is enacted to read:
 - <u>§4010-B. Written policies</u>

 Written policies. By February 1, 2003, the department
 shall put in writing all policies that direct or guide procedural and substantive decision making by caseworkers, supervisors and
 other department personnel concerning child protective cases.

- 2. Publicly available. By February 1, 2003, the department shall make available to the public all policies that direct or guide procedural and substantive decision making by caseworkers, supervisors and other department personnel concerning child
 protective cases. The department shall post and maintain the policies on a publicly accessible site on the Internet. ...
- 50

 3. Kinship care policies. By September 1, 2002, the
 2 department shall make kinship care policies available in writing to the public.

4

6

4. Rules. this section does not affect the department's responsibility to adopt rules as otherwise required by law.

8

Sec. 24. 22 MRSA §4015, as amended by PL 1985, c. 495, \S 21, is further amended to read:

10

§4015. Privileged or confidential communications

12

The husband-wife and physician and psychotherapist-patient privileges under the Maine Rules of Evidence and the confidential 14 quality of communication under Title 16, section 53-B; Title 20-A, sections 4008 and 6001, to the extent allowed by applicable 16 federal law; Title 24-A, section 4224; Title 32, sections 1092-A and 7005; and Title 34-B, section 1207, are abrogated in relation 18 to required reporting, cooperating with the department or a quardian ad litem in an investigation or other child protective 20 activity or giving evidence in a child protection proceeding. Information released to the department pursuant to this section 22 shall must be kept confidential and may not be disclosed by the 24 department except as provided in section 4008.

Statements made to a licensed mental health professional in the course of counseling, therapy or evaluation where the privilege is abrogated under this section may not be used against the client in a criminal proceeding except-to-rebut-the-elient's testimony--contradicting--those--statements. Nothing in this section may limit any responsibilities of the professional pursuant to this Act.

34

50

Sec. 25. 22 MRSA §4021, sub-§§4 and 5 are enacted to read:

36 **4. Recording of planned interviews of children.** To the extent possible, the department shall record all planned guestioning of and planned interviews with children. No later than February 1, 2003, the commissioner shall provisionally adopt rules in accordance with Title 5, chapter 375 to establish procedures for the recording of planned questioning of and planned interviews with children. Rules adopted pursuant to this subsection are major substantive rules as defined in Title 5, chapter 375, subchapter II-A.

46 Information collected in an interview that was not recorded may not be excluded from use in court proceedings solely because the 48 interview was not recorded.

5. Optional recording. A person being guestioned or

interviewed under this chapter or the parent of a child who the subject of a proceeding under this chapter may not prohibited from recording the questioning or interview. Sec. 26. 22 MRSA §4031-A is enacted to read: § § 1. Findings or orders based on certain evidem 10 inadmissible. If a finding or order from a prior proceeding based on hearsay or other evidence that is not admissible in the current proceeding, that finding or order is not admissible 14	be is he
 4 Sec. 26. 22 MRSA §4031-A is enacted to read: 6 §4031-A. Use of hearsay; fresh determination of jeopardy 8 Findings or orders based on certain eviden inadmissible. If a finding or order from a prior proceeding based on hearsay or other evidence that is not admissible in the current proceeding, that finding or order is not admissible the current proceeding. 	is he
Sec. 26. 22 MRSA §4031-A is enacted to read: 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 5 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 7 7 8 1. Findings or orders based on certain evidence 10 10 10 10 11 11 12 12 12 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 15 16 17 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	is he
 6 §4031-A. Use of hearsay; fresh determination of jeopardy 8 1. Findings or orders based on certain eviden 10 inadmissible. If a finding or order from a prior proceeding based on hearsay or other evidence that is not admissible in the current proceeding, that finding or order is not admissible 14 	is he
§4031-A. Use of hearsay; fresh determination of jeopardy 8 1. Findings or orders based on certain evidem 10 inadmissible. If a finding or order from a prior proceeding based on hearsay or other evidence that is not admissible in the current proceeding, that finding or order is not admissible 14	is he
 8 Findings or orders based on certain evident 10 Findings or order from a prior proceeding based on hearsay or other evidence that is not admissible in the current proceeding, that finding or order is not admissible the current proceeding. 14 	is he
 Findings or orders based on certain evident inadmissible. If a finding or order from a prior proceeding based on hearsay or other evidence that is not admissible in the current proceeding, that finding or order is not admissible the current proceeding. 14 	is he
based on hearsay or other evidence that is not admissible in the current proceeding, that finding or order is not admissible the current proceeding. 14	he
12 <u>current proceeding, that finding or order is not admissible</u> <u>the current proceeding.</u> 14	
the current proceeding. 14	in
14	
2. Fresh determination of jeopardy. The court must make	
16 <u>fresh determination of the question of jeopardy under section</u>	<u>2n</u>
4035 and at each subsequent review under section 4038.	
18 Sec. 27. 22 MRSA §4032, sub-§2, as enacted by PL 1979, c. 733	2
20 $\$18$, is amended to read:	, ,
22 2. Contents of petition. A petition shall must be swor	cn
and shall include at least the following:	
24	
A. Name, date, place of birth and municipal residence,	ίf
26 known, of each child;	
B. The name and address of the petitioner and the nature of	۶f
his the petitioner's relationship to the child;	
30	
C. Name and municipal residence, if known, of each parer 32 and custodian;	1
D. A summary statement of the facts which that the	ъ
petitioner believes constitute the basis for the petition;	
36	
E. An allegation which that is sufficient for court action;	;
38	
F. A request for specific court action;	
40	_
G. A statement that the parents and custodians are entitle	
42 to legal counsel in the proceedings and that, if they wan	
an attorney but are unable to afford one, they should 44 contact the court as soon as possible to request appointed	
44 contact the court as soon as possible to request appointe counsel; and	:0
46	
H. A statement that petition proceedings could lead to the	າຄ
48 termination of parental rights, under section 4051 et seq.	

- I. A statement explaining the specific reasonable efforts made to prevent the need to remove the child from the home or to resolve jeopardy;
- J. The names of relatives who may be able to provide care for the child; and
- 8 K. The names of relatives who are members of an Indian tribe.

Sec. 28. 22 MRSA §4034, sub-§§1 and 2, as enacted by PL 1979, 12 c. 733, §18, are amended to read:

14 1. Request. A petitioner may add to a child protection petition a request for a preliminary protection order,--which 16 shall or may request a preliminary protection order separately from the child protection petition. A request for a preliminary 18 protection order must include a sworn summary of facts to support the request.

20

28

4

10

Order. If the court finds by a preponderance of the
 evidence presented in the sworn summary or otherwise that there
 is an immediate risk of serious harm to the child, it may order
 any disposition under section 4036. A preliminary protection
 order shall automatically expire expires at the time of the
 issuing of a final protection order under section 4035 or a
 judicial review order under section 4038.

Sec. 29. 22 MRSA §4034, sub-§4, as amended by PL 1997, c. 715, 30 Pt. A, §4, is further amended to read:

32 Summary preliminary hearing. If the custodial parent 4. appears and does not consent, or if a noncustodial parent requests a hearing, then the court shall hold a summary 34 preliminary hearing on that order within 10 14 days but not less 36 than 7 days of its issuance or request. If a parent or custodian is not served with the petition before the summary preliminary hearing, the parent or custodian may request a subsequent 38 preliminary hearing within 10 days after receipt of the The petitioner bears the burden of proof. 40 petition. At a summary preliminary hearing, the court may limit testimony to the 42 testimony of the caseworker, parent, custodian, guardian ad litem, foster parent, preadoptive parent or relative providing 44 care and may admit evidence, including reports and records, that would otherwise be inadmissable as hearsay evidence. If after the hearing the court finds by a preponderance of the evidence 46 that returning the child to the child's custodian would place the 48 child in immediate risk of serious harm, it shall continue the order or make another disposition under section 4036. If the 50 court's preliminary order includes a finding of an aggravating

factor, the court may order the department not to commence 2 reunification or to cease reunification in accordance with section 4042, in which case the court shall conduct a hearing on jeopardy and conduct a permanency planning hearing. The hearings 4 must commence within 30 days of entry of the preliminary order.

Sec. 30. 22 MRSA §4034, sub-§5, as amended by PL 1997, c. 715, Pt. A, §5, is further amended to read: 8

10 5. Contents of order. The preliminary protection order must include a notice to the parents and custodians of their right to counsel, as required under section 4032, subsection 2, 12 paragraph G and, if the order was made without consent, notice of 14 the date and time of the summary preliminary hearing. The order must include a notice to the parent or custodian that if a parent or custodian is not served with the petition before the summary 16 preliminary hearing, the parent or custodian is entitled to 18 request a subsequent preliminary hearing within 10 days after receipt of the petition. The order must include a notice that visitation must be scheduled within 7 days of the issuance of the 20 order unless there is a compelling reason not to schedule 22 visitation.

24

6

Sec. 31. 22 MRSA §4034, sub-§6 is enacted to read:

26 6. Visitation. When the court issues a preliminary protection order, the court shall order the department to 28 schedule visitation with the child's parents and siblings within 7 days of the issuance of the order, unless there is a compelling reason not to schedule such visitation. 30

Sec. 32. 22 MRSA §4035, sub-§2, as enacted by PL 1979, c. 733, 32 §18, is amended to read:

Adjudication. After hearing evidence, the court shall 2. make a finding, by a preponderance of the evidence, as to whether 36 the child is in circumstances of jeopardy to his the child's 38 health or welfare. The court shall state the evidence on which the finding is based. The court shall make a specific finding concerning jeopardy for each parent. 40

- Sec. 33. 22 MRSA §4035, sub-§3, as amended by PL 1983, c. 184, 42 $\S5$, is further amended to read:
- 44

34

Grounds for disposition. If the court determines that 3. the child is in circumstances of jeopardy to his the child's 46 health or welfare, the court shall hear any relevant evidence regarding proposed dispositions, including written or oral 48 reports, recommendations or case plans. The court shall then 50 make a written order of any disposition under section 4036_

although the court may order the child to be placed in the
department's custody only if the court determines that the child is in circumstances of jeopardy to the child's health or welfare
with regard to both parents. If possible, this dispositional phase shall must be conducted immediately after the adjudicatory
phase. Written materials to be offered as evidence shall must be made available to each party's counsel and the guardian ad litem
reasonably in advance of the dispositional phase.

10 Sec. 34. 22 MRSA §4036, sub-§1, ¶G-2, as enacted by PL 1997, c. 715, Pt. A, §11, is amended to read:

12

14

16

18

G-2. If the court's jeopardy order includes a finding of an aggravating factor, the court may order the department to cease reunification in accordance with section 4042, in which case a permanency planning hearing must commence within 30 days of the order to cease reunification.

Sec. 35. 22 MRSA §4038, sub-§7-A, as enacted by PL 1997, c. 715, Pt. B, §10, is amended to read:

22 7-A. Permanency planning hearing. The court shall conduct a permanency planning hearing and shall determine a permanency plan within 12 months of the time a child is considered to have 24 entered foster care and every 12 months thereafter, unless 26 subsequent reviews are no longer required pursuant to subsection If the court's jeopardy ruling includes a finding of an 1-A. 28 aggravating factor, the court may order the department to cease reunification in accordance with section 4042, in which case a permanency planning hearing must commence within 30 days of the 30 order to cease reunification.

32

34

36

40

- A. A child is considered to have entered foster care on the date of the first judicial finding that the child has been subjected to child abuse or neglect or on the 60th day after removal of the child from home, whichever occurs first.
- 38 B. The permanency plan for the child must contain determinations on the following issues.
- (1) The permanency plan must determine whether and42 when, if applicable, the child will be:
- 44 (a) Returned to the parent. Before the court may enter an order returning the custody of the child
 46 to a parent, the parent must show that the parent has carried out the responsibilities set forth in
 48 section 4041, subsection 1, paragraph B; that to the court's satisfaction the parent has rectified
 50 and resolved the problems that caused the removal

		(the shill from here and are subconvert problems
2		of the child from home and any subsequent problems that would interfere with the parent's ability to
2		care for and protect the child from jeopardy; and
4		that the parent can protect the child from
-		jeopardy;
6		J
		(b) Placed for adoption, in which case the
8		department shall file a petition for termination
		of parental rights;
10		
		(c) Referred for legal guardianship; or
12		
		(d) Placed in another planned permanent living
14		arrangement when the department has documented to
		the court a compelling reason for determining that
16		it would not be in the best interests of the child
		to be returned home, be referred for termination
18		of parental rights or be placed for adoption, be
		placed with a fit and willing relative, or be
20		placed with a legal guardian.
22		(2) In the case of a child placed in foster care
L L		outside the state in which the parents of the child
24		live, the permanency plan must determine whether the
		out-of-state placement continues to be appropriate and
26		in the best interests of the child.
28		(3) In the case of a child who is 16 years of age or
		older, the permanency plan must determine the services
30		needed to assist the child to make the transition from
		foster care to independent living.
32		
		C. The court shall consider, but is not bound by, the
34		wishes of the child in making a determination under this
2.6		subsection if the child is 12 years of age or older.
36		Sec. 36. 22 MRSA §4041, sub-§2, ¶A-1, as enacted by PL 1997, c.
38	715	Pt. B, §11, is repealed.
20	/15,	rc. b, 311, 15 repeated.
40		Sec. 37. 22 MRSA §4041, sub-§2, ¶A-2 is enacted to read:
42		A-2. The court may order that the department need not
		commence or may cease reunification efforts only if the
44		court finds, in accordance with section 4042, at least one
		of the following:
46		
		(1) The existence of an aggravating factor; or
48		
		(2) That continuation of reunification efforts is
50		inconsistent with the permanency plan for the child.

	(a) When 2 placements with the same parent have
	failed and the child is returned to the custody of the department, the court shall make a finding
	that continuation of reunification efforts is
	inconsistent with the permanency plan for the
	child and order the department to cease
	reunification unless the parent demonstrates that
	reunification should be continued and the court
	determines reunification efforts to be in the best
	interests of the child.
	(b) If the permanency plan provides for a
	relative or other person to have custody of the
	child and the court has ordered custody of the
	child to that relative or other person, the court
	shall make a finding that continuation of
	reunification efforts is inconsistent with the
	<u>permanency plan for the child and order the</u>
	<u>department to cease reunification unless the</u>
	parent demonstrates that reunification should be
	continued and the court determines reunification
	efforts to be in the best interests of the child.
_	8. 22 MRSA §4042 is enacted to read: andard to cease reunification efforts
§4042. St	andard to cease reunification efforts
§4042. St The c	andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court
§4042. St The c cease reha has made i	andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court ts findings concerning reunification based on clear and
§4042. St The c cease reha has made i	andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court ts findings concerning reunification based on clear and
§4042. St The c cease reha has made i convincing	andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court ts findings concerning reunification based on clear and evidence.
§4042. St The c cease reha has made i convincing Sec. 3	andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court ts findings concerning reunification based on clear and evidence. 9. 22 MRSA §4052, sub-§2-A, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1997,
§4042. St The c cease reha has made i convincing Sec. 3	andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court ts findings concerning reunification based on clear and evidence.
§4042. St The c cease reha has made i convincing Sec. 3 c. 715, Pt	 andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court ts findings concerning reunification based on clear and evidence. 9. 22 MRSA §4052, sub-§2-A, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1997, . B, §14, is amended to read:
§4042. St <u>The c</u> <u>cease reha</u> <u>has made i</u> <u>convincing</u> Sec. 3 c. 715, Pt B. 2	 andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court ts findings concerning reunification based on clear and evidence. 9. 22 MRSA §4052, sub-§2-A, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1997, . B, §14, is amended to read: A court order includes a finding of an aggravating
4042. St <u>The c</u> <u>rease reha</u> <u>rease made i</u> <u>rease reha</u> <u>rease reha rease reha rease reha rease reba rease reba rease reba rease reba rease reba reba reba reba r</u>	 andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court ts findings concerning reunification based on clear and evidence. 9. 22 MRSA §4052, sub-§2-A, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1997, B, §14, is amended to read: A court order includes a finding of an aggravating r and an order to cease reunification in accordance
§4042. St <u>The c</u> <u>cease reha</u> <u>has made i</u> <u>convincing</u> Sec. 3 c. 715, Pt B. <i>H</i> factor	 andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court ts findings concerning reunification based on clear and evidence. 9. 22 MRSA §4052, sub-§2-A, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1997, . B, §14, is amended to read: A court order includes a finding of an aggravating
§4042. St The c cease reha has made i convincing Sec. 3 c. 715, Pt B. 2 factor with	 andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court ts findings concerning reunification based on clear and evidence. 9. 22 MRSA §4052, sub-§2-A, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1997, B, §14, is amended to read: A court order includes a finding of an aggravating r and an order to cease reunification in accordance section 4042.
Store for the second sec. 4 sec. 4 sec. 4 sec. 5 sec. 4 sec. 5 sec. 4 sec. 4 sec. 5 sec. 4 sec. 4 sec. 4 sec. 4 sec. 4 sec. 4 sec. 5 se	 andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court ts findings concerning reunification based on clear and evidence. 9. 22 MRSA §4052, sub-§2-A, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1997, B, §14, is amended to read: A court order includes a finding of an aggravating r and an order to cease reunification in accordance
§4042. St The c cease reha has made i convincing Sec. 3 c. 715, Pt B. 2 factor with Sec. 4 694, Pt. D	 andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court ts findings concerning reunification based on clear and evidence. 9. 22 MRSA §4052, sub-§2-A, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1997, . B, §14, is amended to read: A court order includes a finding of an aggravating r and an order to cease reunification in accordance section 4042. 0. 22 MRSA §4055, sub-§1, ¶A, as amended by PL 1995, c.
S4042. St The c cease reha has made i convincing Sec. 3 c. 715, Pt B. 2 factor with Sec. 4	 andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court ts findings concerning reunification based on clear and evidence. 9. 22 MRSA §4052, sub-§2-A, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1997, . B, §14, is amended to read: A court order includes a finding of an aggravating r and an order to cease reunification in accordance section 4042. 0. 22 MRSA §4055, sub-§1, ¶A, as amended by PL 1995, c.
§4042. Structure The conversion of the second section of the second section of the second	 andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court ts findings concerning reunification based on clear and evidence. 9. 22 MRSA §4052, sub-§2-A, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1997, . B, §14, is amended to read: A court order includes a finding of an aggravating r and an order to cease reunification in accordance section 4042. 0. 22 MRSA §4055, sub-§1, ¶A, as amended by PL 1995, c.
§4042. Structure The conversion of the second section of the second section of the second	 andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court ts findings concerning reunification based on clear and evidence. 9. 22 MRSA §4052, sub-§2-A, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1997, . B, §14, is amended to read: A court order includes a finding of an aggravating r and an order to cease reunification in accordance section 4042. 0. 22 MRSA §4055, sub-§1, ¶A, as amended by PL 1995, c. §48 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is further amended to
S4042. St The c cease reha has made i convincing Sec. 3 c. 715, Pt B. 2 factor with Sec. 4 694, Pt. D read: A. Or	 andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court ts findings concerning reunification based on clear and evidence. 9. 22 MRSA §4052, sub-§2-A, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1997, . B, §14, is amended to read: A court order includes a finding of an aggravating r and an order to cease reunification in accordance section 4042. 0. 22 MRSA §4055, sub-§1, ¶A, as amended by PL 1995, c. §48 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is further amended to
S4042. St The c cease reha has made i convincing Sec. 3 c. 715, Pt B. 2 factor with Sec. 4 694, Pt. D read: A. Or	 andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court ts findings concerning reunification based on clear and evidence. 9. 22 MRSA §4052, sub-§2-A, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1997, . B, §14, is amended to read: A court order includes a finding of an aggravating r and an order to cease reunification in accordance section 4042. 0. 22 MRSA §4055, sub-§1, ¶A, as amended by PL 1995, c., §48 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is further amended to me of the following conditions has been met: (1) Custody has been removed from the parent under:
S4042. St The c cease reha has made i convincing Sec. 3 c. 715, Pt B. 2 factor with Sec. 4 694, Pt. D read: A. Or	 andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court ts findings concerning reunification based on clear and evidence. 9. 22 MRSA §4052, sub-§2-A, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1997, . B, §14, is amended to read: A court order includes a finding of an aggravating r and an order to cease reunification <u>in accordance section 4042</u>. 0. 22 MRSA §4055, sub-§1, ¶A, as amended by PL 1995, c. , §48 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is further amended to read to ne of the following conditions has been met:
S4042. St The c cease reha has made i convincing Sec. 3 c. 715, Pt B. 2 factor with Sec. 4 694, Pt. D read: A. Or	 andard to cease reunification efforts court may order the department to not commence or to bilitation and reunification efforts only if the court ts findings concerning reunification based on clear and evidence. 9. 22 MRSA §4052, sub-§2-A, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1997, . B, §14, is amended to read: A court order includes a finding of an aggravating r and an order to cease reunification in accordance section 4042. 0. 22 MRSA §4055, sub-§1, ¶A, as amended by PL 1995, c., §48 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is further amended to me of the following conditions has been met: (1) Custody has been removed from the parent under:

- (b) Title 19-A, section 1502 or 1653; er 2 Section 3792 prior to the effective date of (c) 4 this chapter; or (d) Title 15, section 3314, subsection 1, 6 paragraph C-1; or 8 (2) The petition has been filed as part of an adoption 10 proceeding in Title 18-A, article IX; and Sec. 41. Representation of parents; pilot project. The Supreme 12 Judicial Court shall establish a pilot project in one or more locations to provide representation to parents in child 14 protective proceedings on a contract basis with one or more 16 attorneys or firms. The Supreme Judicial Court shall evaluate the quality, effectiveness, adequacy and timeliness of the representation and the costs as compared to the traditional 18 provision of court-appointed counsel. The Supreme Judicial Court 20 shall report its conclusions and recommendations concerning the pilot project to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over judiciary matters by January 31, 2003. 22 24 Sec. 42. Department of Human Services; report on kinship care. The Department of Human Services shall report to the joint standing committees of the Legislature having jurisdiction over 26 judiciary matters and health and human services matters before 28 February 1, 2003 on the following:
- 30 1. Changes in policies and procedures the department is planning to adopt in order to increase care by relatives and 32 placement with relatives; and
- 34 2. The appropriate process by which the department will inform families involved in child protective cases and their 36 relatives about kinship visitation and placement options.
- 38

SUMMARY

40

This bill contains the legislative recommendations of the Committee to Review the Child Protective System, created by Joint Order 2001, H.P. 1385. A full discussion of all the committee's recommendations is contained in the committee's final report, submitted to the Joint Standing Committee on Judiciary in January 2002.

48 This bill amends the Maine Juvenile Code to be consistent with federal law and the child protective statutes with regard to 50 juveniles who are ordered by the court to be removed from their homes. Before ordering removal, the court must make a finding as
to whether reasonable efforts have been made to prevent or eliminate the need for removal of the juvenile from the
juvenile's home, or that no reasonable efforts are necessary because of the existence of an aggravating factor as defined in
the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 22, section 4002, subsection 1-B, and continuation in the juvenile's home would be contrary to
the welfare of the juvenile. This determination does not affect whether the court orders detention or a conditional release.

10

16

This bill makes clear that when the juvenile is ordered into 12 the custody of the Department of Human Services, the child protective requirements apply and the court must conduct the 14 permanency planning hearings and judicial reviews required in child protective cases.

This bill makes clear that the Juvenile Court, when ordering 18 a juvenile into the custody of the Department of Human Services, may impose conditions that may include participation by the juvenile or the juvenile's parents or legal guardian in treatment 20 aimed at the rehabilitation services of the juvenile, 22 reunification of the family and improvement of the home environment.

24

This bill requires the State Court Administrator to consult with appropriate interested parties and establish a program to 26 provide information about the child protective system to parents. The program will provide information for parents at any 28 stage in child protective proceedings. This is in addition to the parents' legal counsel. The program must be conducted under 30 contract by one or more private providers. The provision of the information is not legal representation. This bill does not 32 create any rights for the parents or any other person. Failure 34 to establish the program or provide information does not affect the rights of the parents in the case that do not already exist. The State Court Administrator must report every year to the joint 36 standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over judiciary matters about the program. 38

Current law provides a definition of "aggravating factor." 40 It is significant because an aggravating factor can be the basis 42 for a cease-reunification order, and gives the court the ability to put the case on a fast track towards termination of parental 44 rights. If a parent has committed rape, gross sexual misconduct, gross sexual assault or other actions that are heinous and 46 abhorrent to society against the child who is the subject of the petition, the court may find the existence of an aggravating 48 factor. If the parent has committed the same act against a different child, however, no aggravating finding may be made, even if the victim is another child in the household. 50 This is

inconsistent with the termination provisions, which allow the
court to presume that a parent is unfit if the parent has acted in a heinous or abhorrent manner towards any child. This section
changes the definition of aggravating factor to apply to any child for whom the parent was responsible. This expands the
number of parents with an aggravating factor to include people who have behaved in a way that the statute currently defines to
be so extreme as to indicate a lack of rehabilitative potential.

10 Current law requires the guardian ad litem to provide a written report to the court and parties. In some cases, a 12 question has been raised as to whether the report may be considered by the court as evidence. Most courts do rely on the 14 reports when orders are issued. This bill clarifies the law to provide that the court may admit as evidence the guardian's 16 report.

This bill clarifies the statute with regard to the scope of 18 the authority of a parent's court-appointed attorney. There are situations in which there is a family matters proceeding, such as 20 a divorce or other action to determine parental rights and responsibilities, that is taking place at the same time as a 22 child protective proceeding involving the same child. The change makes it clear that the parent's attorney, appointed by the court 24 to represent the parent in the child protective proceeding, may 26 also represent that parent in the family matters proceeding if the only major substantive issues in the family matters proceeding are custody of and contact with the child. 28

30 The bill rewrites the statutes governing access to and participation in child protective proceedings for nonparties. "Interested persons" may observe 32 Three tiers are established. court proceedings, but have no opportunity to speak during the proceeding. The judge may designate as an "interested person" 34 any person who has a substantial relationship with the child or a 36 substantial interest in the child's well-being, based on the type, strength and duration of the relationship or interest. The 38 following will be designated as "interested persons" upon request, unless the court finds good cause not to do so: foster 40 parents, grandparents, preadoptive parents and a relative of the child by blood relation or marriage. The provision allows others 42 who have a significant relationship with the child to qualify as "interested persons." This includes, but is not limited to, 44 teachers, coaches, counselors and child care providers.

46 Participants are individuals that qualify as interested persons and request participant status, which will allow them an 48 opportunity to be heard in the child protective proceeding. The court may designate an individual as a participant if the 50 individual demonstrates to the court that the designation is in the best interests of the child and is consistent with the
purposes of the child protective laws. Although participants have the right to speak, they do not have the right to
cross-examine witnesses, present their own witnesses or evidence or have access to pleadings or records.

The 3rd tier is that of intervenors. This bill eliminates special provisions for certain persons who seek intervenor 8 status. The Maine Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 24 governs to 10 whom the court may grant intervenor status, although the court still determine that granting intervenor status must is 12 consistent with the purposes of the child protective laws. As in any other civil case, once a court has granted an individual 14 intervenor status, that intervenor becomes a party to the proceeding.

A grandparent is not required to seek intervenor status in 18 order to seek visitation with or access to the child, or to ask the court to order that the child be placed with the 20 Current grandparent. procedures and opportunities for grandparents are otherwise retained. The grandparent must simply 22 be designated as an interested person, although being designated a participant or being granted intervenor status also puts the 24 grandparent in the position to make requests to the court.

26 The bill requires the Department of Human Services to release upon written request relevant confidential records to 28 persons who have the legal authorization to evaluate or treat a child or a family member.

The bill requires the Department of Human Services to 32 produce decision-making policies in writing and make them publicly available. It requires the department to post the most 34 current policies on a publicly accessible site on the Internet. The policies must address kinship care and placement.

36

30

б

16

Current law abrogates the mental health evidentiary 38 privileges under the Maine Rules of Evidence and state and federal law in relation to child protective activities. The 40 statute describing the domestic or family violence counseling privilege acknowledges this abrogation. This bill includes in 42 the child protective laws a corresponding recognition of the domestic or family violence privilege.

44

When a parent in a child protective action faces criminal charges relating to the abuse of the child, the parent is often reluctant to engage in treatment for fear this will be used against the parent in the criminal action. Current law limits the use in the criminal court of the patient's statements to a mental health professional. The bill amends the statute to provide that the statements may not be used at all in the criminal case, so that parents will not hesitate to enter into services in the child protective proceeding because criminal charges are pending.

6 The Department of Human Services is required, to the extent possible, to record all planned questioning of and interviews 8 with children. The department must adopt rules to establish procedures to record interviews; the rules are major substantive 10 rules. The fact that an interview was not recorded does not by itself require the exclusion of the information collected in the 12 interview.

14 This bill also clarifies that any person who is being questioned or interviewed may record the questioning or interview.

16

The restrictions on the admissibility of evidence in a 18 preliminary protection order hearing are more liberal than in other proceedings, at least in part to allow the court to act 20 when the child is in immediate danger. If a finding is made based on that evidence, the finding is admissible and given at least some precedential weight under current law and procedures 22 in subsequent proceedings even though the underlying evidence 24 would not be admissible in those proceedings. This bill enacts a new provision of law that prohibits the admissibility of a 26 finding or order from a previous proceeding if the evidence that the finding or order was based on would not be admissible in the current proceeding. 28 To the extent this new provision is inconsistent with recent Maine Law Court decisions, including In 30 re Isaiah B, 1999 ME 174, 740 A.2d 988, the holdings of those decisions are overruled prospectively from the effective date of 32 this Act.

34 The bill requires the court to state on which evidence the jeopardy finding or order is based. This will identify findings 36 based on otherwise inadmissible evidence.

38 This bill amends the statute listing the information that must be included in a child protective petition. The additions 40 are consistent with the department's responsibilities under federal and state laws. The petition must include a statement of 42 the reasonable efforts made to prevent the need to remove the child from the home or to resolve jeopardy. The petition must 44 include the names of relatives who may be able to provide care The petition must also include the names of for the child. 46 relatives who are members of an Indian tribe.

48 Current law provides that the preliminary protection order expires once a jeopardy order is issued. This bill amends the 50 statute to clarify that a request for a preliminary protection order may be added to the petition, as is currently provided, or may be filed independently. The preliminary protection order then expires after a jeopardy order or a judicial review order is issued.

Current law provides that if the court's preliminary 6 protection order includes a finding of an aggravating factor, the court may order the department not to commence reunification or 8 to cease reunification, in which case a permanency hearing must This bill amends the law to provide commence within 30 days. 10 that the court must conduct a hearing on jeopardy, which can be combined with the permanency planning hearing. This avoids 12 authorizing the court to hold a permanency planning hearing before finding jeopardy. 14

Current law provides that if the court determines that the 16 child is in circumstances of jeopardy to the child's health or welfare, the court shall hear evidence regarding the proposed 18 dispositions and shall make a written order of disposition. The question has been raised in various courts whether a finding that 20 the custodial parent presents jeopardy is sufficient, or whether the court must find that each parent presents jeopardy to the 22 child before custody to the department is ordered. This issue 24 comes up when there is a noncustodial parent, particularly one who lives out of the State. This bill amends the statute to 26 provide that the court must make a specific finding concerning jeopardy for each parent. It authorizes the court to order 28 custody to the department only if the court finds the child is in jeopardy with regard to both parents.

30

This bill removes the department's responsibilities to 32 pursue reunification of the family if the permanency plan for the child calls for custody with a relative and the court has ordered 34 custody of the child to that relative.

36 Current law allows the court to order the Department of Human Services to either not commence or to cease efforts to 38 reunify the family based on a finding by the preponderance of the evidence. The bill allows the court to make such an order only 40 if the court has found by clear and convincing evidence that reunification is not in the best interests of the child.

42

This bill slightly adjusts the timing of the preliminary protection hearing after a preliminary protection order is 44 issued. In many cases, the preliminary protection order has been issued on a Friday and the hearing scheduled for the next 46 business day, the following Monday, leaving the parents with too 48 little time to work with an attorney, collect relevant information and prepare their case. The bill provides that the hearing can not be held less than 7 days after the order is 50

issued and must be held before 14 days have passed since the issuance of the order.

The bill corrects a conflict in the statute by clarifying that annual permanency planning reviews are not required for a
child protective case if the facts of the case fall into one of the 4 categories listed earlier in the same section of statute.
The Maine Revised Statutes, Title 22, section 4038, subsection 1-A provides that no subsequent review is required unless a party
petitions for a review or the court orders a review, once certain custody orders are made.

12

The bill requires the court to order the department to 14 schedule visitation with the child's parents and siblings within 7 days of the issuance of the order. Such visitation is not 16 required if there is a compelling reason not to.

 18 The bill directs the Supreme Judicial Court to consider establishing a pilot project to provide representation to parents
 20 in child protective proceedings on a contract basis with one or more attorneys or firms. A similar pilot project was undertaken
 22 to provide representation for criminal defendants.

24 The bill requires the Department of Human Services to report to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having 26 jurisdiction over judiciary matters and health and human services matters about planned changes to increase care by relatives and 28 placement with relatives, and how the department will inform families about visitation and placement options for relatives.

30

Current law lists the provisions under which a court may 32 have ordered a child to be removed from the home before termination of parental rights may be considered. This bill adds 34 a cross-reference to the Maine Juvenile Code under which the Juvenile Court may order the child removed from the home.

36