MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



_	L.D. 1698
2	DATE: 5-30-01 (Filing No. H-647)
4	CRIMINAL JUSTICE
8	
10	Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Clerk of the House.
12	STATE OF MAINE
14	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 120TH LEGISLATURE
16	FIRST REGULAR SESSION
18	COMMITTEE AMENDMENT " \mathcal{H} " to H.P. 1250, L.D. 1698, Bill, "An
20	Act to Amend the Laws Governing DNA Testing"
22	Amend the bill by striking out everything after the enacting clause and before the summary and inserting in its place the
24	following:
26	'Sec. 1. 15 MRSA c. 305-B is enacted to read:
28	CHAPTER 305-B
30	POST-JUDGMENT CONVICTION MOTION FOR DNA ANALYSIS
32	§2136. Definitions
34	As used in this chapter, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the following meanings.
36	1. CODIS. "CODIS" means the Federal Bureau of
38	1. CODIS: means the Federal Bureau of Investigation's national DNA identification index system that allows for storage and exchange of DNA records submitted by state
40	and local forensic DNA laboratories and is derived from the Combined DNA Index System.
42	2. Crime lab. "Crime lab" means the Maine State Police
44	Crime Laboratory located in Augusta.
46	3. DNA. "DNA" means deoxyribonucleic acid.
48	4. DNA analysis. "DNA analysis" means DNA typing tests
50	that derive identification information specific to a person from that person's DNA.

Page 1-LR0686(2)

50

2	5. DNA record. "DNA record" means DNA identification
	information obtained from DNA analysis and stored in the state
4	DNA data base or CODIS.
-	
<i>c</i>	6 DW
6	6. DNA sample. "DNA sample" means a blood sample provided
	by a person convicted of one of the offenses listed in this
8	chapter or submitted to the crime lab for analysis pursuant to a
	criminal investigation.
10	
	7. State DNA data base. "State DNA data base" means the
12	DNA identification record system administered by the Chief of the
1.2	
	State Police.
14	
	8. State DNA data bank. "State DNA data bank" means the
16	repository of DNA samples maintained by the Chief of the State
	Police at the crime lab collected pursuant to chapter 194 and
18	this chapter.
-	
20	§2137. Postjudgment of conviction motion for DNA
20	
	analysis; new trial based on analysis results
22	
	A person convicted of a crime under the laws of this State
24	that carries the potential punishment of imprisonment of at least
	20 years and for which the person is in actual execution of a
26	sentence of imprisonment or is subject to a sentence of
	imprisonment that is to be served in the future because another
28	sentence must be served first may file a written postjudgment of
	conviction motion in the underlying criminal proceeding moving
30	the court to order DNA analysis of evidence in the control or
30	
	possession of the State that is related to the underlying
32	investigation or prosecution that led to the person's conviction
	and a new trial based on the results of that analysis as
34	authorized by this chapter.
36	§2138. Motion; process
38	1. Filing motion. A person authorized in section 2137 who
	chooses to move for DNA analysis shall file the motion in the
40	underlying criminal proceeding. The motion must be assigned to
10	the trial judge or justice who imposed the sentence unless that
4.2	
42	judge or justice is unavailable, in which case the appropriate
	chief judge or chief justice shall assign the motion to another
44	judge or justice. Filing and service must be made in accordance
	with Rule 49 of the Maine Rules of Criminal Procedure.
46	
	2. Preservation of evidence. If a motion is filed under
48	this chapter, the court shall order the State to preserve during
	the pendency of the proceeding all evidence in the State's
	the bendench of the blockeding all exidence in the prace a

Page 2-LR0686(2)

possession or control that could be subjected to DNA analysis.

i.	2	ź

The State shall prepare an inventory of the evidence	and subm	nit a
copy of the inventory to the defense and the court.		
is intentionally destroyed after the court		
preservation, the court may impose appropriate sancti		

- 3. Counsel. If the court finds that the person filing a motion under section 2137 is indigent, the court may appoint counsel for the person at any time during the proceedings under this chapter.
- 4. Proof required. The court shall order DNA analysis if a person authorized under section 2137 presents prima facie evidence that:
 - A. The evidence sought to be analyzed is material to the issue of the person's identity as the perpetrator of, or accomplice to, the crime that resulted in the conviction;
 - B. A sample of the evidence is available for DNA analysis;
 - C. The evidence to be tested has been subject to a chain of custody sufficient to establish that it has not been substituted, tampered with, replaced or altered in a material way:
 - D. The evidence was not previously subjected to DNA analysis or, if previously analyzed, will be subject to DNA analysis technology that was not available when the person was convicted; and
 - E. The identity of the person as the perpetrator of the crime that resulted in the conviction was at issue during the person's trial.
 - 5. Court finding; analysis ordered. The court shall state its findings of fact on the record or shall make written findings of fact supporting its decision to grant or deny a motion to order DNA analysis. If the court grants a motion for DNA analysis under this section, the crime lab shall perform DNA analysis on the identified evidence and on a DNA sample obtained from the person.
 - 6. Appeal from court decision to grant or deny motion to order DNA analysis. An aggrieved person may not appeal as a matter of right from the denial of a motion to order DNA analysis. The time, manner and specific conditions for taking that appeal to the Supreme Judicial Court, sitting as the Law Court, are as the Supreme Judicial Court provides by rule. The State may not appeal from a court order to grant a motion to order DNA analysis.

Page 3-LR0686(2)

£	ė	ê	

2	7. Fayment. If the person authorized in section 2137 is
	able, the person shall pay for the cost of the DNA analysis. If
4	the court finds that the person is indigent, the crime lab shall
	pay for the cost of DNA analysis ordered under this section.
6	•
	8. Results. The crime lab shall provide the results of the
8	DNA analysis under this chapter to the court, the person
	authorized in section 2137 and the attorney for the State. Upon
10	motion by the person or the attorney for the State, the court may
	order that copies of the analysis protocols, laboratory
12	procedures, laboratory notes and other relevant records compiled
	by the crime lab be provided to the court and to all parties.
14	2 010 011 01 par created to the court and to are particular
	A. If the results of the DNA analysis are inconclusive or
16	show that the person is the source of the evidence, the
	court shall deny any motion for a new trial. If the DNA
18	analysis results show that the person is the source of the
-0	evidence, the defendant's DNA record must be added to the
20	state DNA data base and state DNA data bank.
20	State DNA data base and state DNA data bank.
22	B. If the results of the DNA analysis show that the person
	is not the source of the evidence and the person does not
24	have counsel, the court shall appoint counsel if the court
~ .	finds that the person is indigent. The court shall then
26	hold a hearing at which the person must establish by clear
	and convincing evidence that:
28	<u> </u>
_ •	(1) Only the perpetrator of the crime or crimes for
30	which the person was convicted could be the source of
	the evidence;
32	
-	(2) The evidence was collected, handled and preserved
34	by procedures that allow the court to find that the
J.	evidence is not contaminated or is not so degraded that
36	the DNA profile of the analyzed sample of the evidence
	can not be determined to be identical to the DNA sample
38	initially collected during the investigation; and
50	and cade and cade and care and cade and cade and cade
40	(3) The person's purported exclusion as the source of
	the evidence, balanced against the other evidence in
42	the case, is sufficient to justify that the court grant
- -	a new trial.
44	
	9. Request for reanalysis. Upon motion of the attorney for
46	the State, the court shall order reanalysis of the evidence and
	shall stay the person's motion for a new trial pending the
10	results of DNA analysis

Page 4-LR0686(2)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

M. C.

10. Court's findings; new trial granted or denied. The court shall state its findings of fact on the record or make written findings of fact supporting its decision to grant or deny the person authorized in section 2137 a new trial under this section.

б

11. Appeal from a court decision to grant or deny a motion for new trial. An aggrieved person may not appeal from the denial of a new trial as a matter of right. The time, manner and specific conditions for taking that appeal to the Supreme Judicial Court, sitting as the Law Court, are as the Supreme Judicial Court provides by rule. The State may appeal as a matter of right from a court decision to grant the person a new trial to the Supreme Judicial Court, sitting as the Law Court. The time, manner and specific conditions for taking that appeal to the Supreme Judicial Court, sitting as the Law Court, are as the Supreme Judicial Court, sitting as the Law Court, are as the Supreme Judicial Court provides by rule.

12. Exhaustion. A person who has taken a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction is not precluded from utilizing the remedy of this chapter while the appeal is pending, provided that the resolution of the motion is automatically stayed pending final disposition of the direct appeal unless the Supreme Judicial Court, sitting as the Law Court, on motion otherwise directs.

A person who has initiated a collateral attack upon the judgment of conviction under chapter 305-A is not precluded from utilizing the remedy of this chapter while that post-conviction review proceeding is pending, provided that resolution of the motion is automatically stayed pending final disposition of the post-conviction review proceeding unless the assigned justice in the post-conviction review proceeding otherwise directs.

13. Victim notification. When practicable, the attorney for the State shall make a good faith effort to give written notice of a motion under this section to the victim of the person described in subsection 1 or to the victim's family if the victim is deceased. The notice must be by first-class mail to the victim's last known address. Upon the victim's request, the attorney for the State shall give the victim notice of the time and place of any hearing on the motion and shall inform the victim of the court's grant or denial of a new trial to the person.

14. Preservation of biological evidence. Effective October 15, 2001, the investigating law enforcement agency shall preserve any biological evidence identified during the investigation of a crime or crimes for which any person may file a postjudgment of conviction motion for DNA analysis under this section. The

Page 5-LR0686(2)

W 194 8

evidence must be preserved for the period of time that any person is incarcerated in connection with that case.

15. Report. Beginning January 2003 and annually thereafter, the Department of Public Safety shall report on post-conviction DNA analysis to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over criminal justice matters. The report must include the number of postjudgment of conviction analyses completed, costs of the analyses and the results. The report also may include recommendations to improve the postjudgment of conviction analysis process.'

Further amend the bill by inserting at the end before the summary the following:

FISCAL NOTE

The State Police program within the Department of Public Safety will incur some minor additional costs to conduct additional DNA testing. These costs can be absorbed within the program's existing budgeted resources.

The Judicial Department will require additional General Fund appropriations for indigent defense costs as a result of establishing a process for post-conviction motions related to DNA analysis. The amount required can not be estimated at this time and will depend on the number of motions filed and on the cost of court-appointed counsel assigned to these proceedings.

The additional costs associated with these post-conviction motions can be absorbed by the Department of the Attorney General and the Department of Corrections utilizing existing budgeted resources.'

SUMMARY

This amendment replaces the bill. The amendment creates a new chapter that sets up the process for postjudgement of conviction DNA analysis. A person convicted of a crime under the laws of this State that carries the potential punishment of imprisonment of at least 20 years and for which the person is currently in actual execution of a sentence of imprisonment or is subject to a sentence of imprisonment that is to be served in the future because another sentence must be served first may file a written postjudgment of conviction motion in the underlying

Page 6-LR0686(2)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT 'H' to H.P. 1250, L.D. 1698

criminal proceeding, moving the court to order DNA analysis of evidence in the control or possession of the State that is related to the underlying investigation or prosecution that led to the person's conviction and a new trial based on the results of that analysis. The amendment also adds a fiscal note.

Page 7-LR0686(2)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT