MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



120th MAINE LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION-2001

Legislative Document

No. 1165

S.P. 351

In Senate, February 28, 2001

An Act to Authorize the Bureau of General Services to Utilize Alternative Delivery Methods for Public Improvements and to Amend the Provisions Pertaining to Prebid Qualifications of Contractors.

Submitted by the Department of Administrative and Financial Services pursuant to Joint Rule 204.

Reference to the Committee on State and Local Government suggested and ordered printed.

JOY J. O'BRIEN Secretary of the Senate

Presented by Senator PENDLETON of Cumberland. Cosponsored by Representative McDONOUGH of Portland.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

Sec. 1. 5 MRSA §1743, as amended by PL 1989, c. 596, Pt. N, §3, is repealed and the following enacted in its place:

§1743. Competitive bids

- 1. Competitive bids. A contract for any public improvement that the State or any of its agencies hold in fee involving a total cost in excess of \$100,000, except contracts for professional, architectural and engineering services, may be awarded by the Department of Administrative and Financial Services through the Bureau of General Services, under a system of competitive bidding in accordance with chapters 141 to 155 and other conditions and restrictions the Governor may prescribe.
- 2. Methods of construction. A public improvement under subsection 1 may be undertaken using the design-build, construction-manager-at-risk or construction-manager-advisor method of construction or the services of an owner's representative.
- A. The design-build method must generally follow those guidelines developed by a national architects, general contractors or design-build organization or a combined or modified version of those entities, with the final design-build procedures and documents to be determined at the sole discretion of the Bureau of General Services. The Bureau of General Services is permitted to pregualify design-build teams using criteria that must include at a minimum those set forth in section 1747 but may also include criteria considered appropriate by the Director of General Services, in the director's sole discretion. The Director of General Services shall adopt policies and procedures to implement this paragraph. Such policies and procedures may include payment of a stipend to any design-build team that that has been qualified to submit and does submit a proposal.
 - B. The construction-manager-at-risk method must generally follow the concepts set forth in a standard form of agreement between an owner and a construction manager when the construction manager is also the constructor as established by national architects or general contractor organizations, with the final documents to be determined at the sole discretion of the Director of General Services.
- C. The construction-manager-advisor method, sometimes referred to as the "agent-construction-manager method," must generally follow the standard scope of services employed by the Bureau of General Services.

2	D. Owner's representative services must generally follow the standard scope of services employed by the Bureau of
4	General Services.
6	The alternative delivery methods set out in paragraphs A to D must employ quality-based selection criteria generally using the
8	Bureau of General Services' architect-engineer selection process, as modified in the discretion of the Director of General
10	Services. Design-builders must be selected based upon policies and procedures developed by the Bureau of General Services.
12	Rules adopted under this subsection are routine technical rules
14	pursuant to chapter 375, subchapter I-A.
16	Sec. 2. 5 MRSA §1747, sub-§3, as amended by PL 1997, c. 295, §1, is further amended to read:
18	3. Insufficient resources. If, in the opinion of the
20	director, there is evidence the contractor does not have sufficient resources to successfully complete the work + - ex. If
22	the director requires additional information about the contractor's resources, the contractor may be required to submit
24	additional information, including financial statements and annual reports, information about material changes in financial
26	condition, major claims or litigation pending, whether the contractor has sought protection under the bankruptcy laws in the
28	past 5 years and other information that the director may require to assess resources;
30	C 4 FREDCA 94MAM I 94
32	Sec. 3. 5 MRSA §1747, sub-§4, as enacted by PL 1989, c. 483, Pt. A, §20, is amended to read:
34	4. Misconduct. If the contractor has been convicted of collusion or fraud or any other civil or criminal violation
36	relating to construction projects. :
38	Sec. 4. 5 MRSA §1747, sub-§§5, 6 and 7 are enacted to read:
40	5. Safety record. If, in the opinion of the director, there is evidence of inadequate safety performance and lack of
42	formal safety procedures:
44	6. Material misrepresentation. If, in the opinion of the director, there is evidence of a material misrepresentation on
46	the contractor's prebid qualification statement; or
48	7. Termination, suspension, defaults. If, in the opinion of the director, there is evidence that the contractor through
50	its own fault has been terminated, has been suspended for cause,

	has been debarred from bidding, has agreed to refrain from
2	bidding as part of a settlement or has defaulted on a contract or
	had a contract completed by another party.
4	
	Sec. 5. 5 MRSA §1747, last ¶, as enacted by PL 1997, c. 295,
6	§1, is amended to read:
8	TE
0	If a contractor is disqualified for any of the reasons
10	stated in subsection 1, 2 er, $4, 5, 6$ or 7 , the director may
10	disallow the contractor from bidding on any similar public improvements for a period not to exceed one year.
12	
	CATAGAGADAI
14	SUMMARY
16	This bill amends the existing low-bid system of construction
10	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18	procurement to allow alternative, quality-based selection. This
TO	bill also expands the requirements for the prebid qualification

of contractors.

20