

		L.D. 499
2	DATE: May 1, 2001	(Filing No. S-
4	d	- • • • •
6	LABOR	
8	Reported by:	
10	Reproduced and distributed under of the Senate.	the direction of the Secretary
12		MAINE
14	STATE OF MAINE SENATE 120TH LEGISLATURE	
16	FIRST REGULAR SESSION	
18	h	
20	COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to S.P. 155, L.D. 499, Bill, "An Act to Clarify the Qualifications for Health Care Providers Conducting Employer-requested Examinations"	
22	Among the bill be studied as	t anothing often the encetion
24	clause and before the summary a following:	at everything after the enacting nd inserting in its place the
26	Sec. 1 30-4 MRSA 8207 first	<b>f</b> , as amended by PL 1999, c.
28	365, §1, is further amended to read	
30	An employee being treated by employee's own choice shall, a	a health care provider of the after an injury and at all
32	reasonable times during the con requested by the employer, sub	tinuance of disability if so
34	physician, surgeon or chiropractor under the laws of this State, to	authorized to practice as such
36		or chiropractor must have an
38	active-practice-not-more-than-2 examination. For purposes of this	—
40	be demonstrated by having treating	
42	field of practice that treats the the employee. Certification must	type of injury complained of by
44	American Board of Medical Specialt Association or their successor	ies or the American Osteopathic
46	licensed by the Board of Chirop active practice of treating pat	practic Licensure, who has an

Page 1-LR1479(2)

## COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

#### COMMITTEE AMENDMENT " $\mathcal{A}$ " to S.P. 155, L.D. 499

active-practice-not-more-than-2 years -before-the-examination, may 2 provide a 2nd opinion when the initial opinion was given by a chiropractor. Once an employer selects a health care provider to 4 examine an employee, the employer may not request that the employee be examined by more than one other health care provider, 6 other than an independent medical examiner appointed pursuant to section 312, without prior approval from the employee or a 8 hearing officer. This provision does not limit an employer's right to request that the employee be examined by a specialist 10 upon referral by the health care provider. Once the employee is examined by the specialist, the employer may not request that the employee be examined by a different specialist in the same 12 specialty, other than an independent medical examiner appointed 14 pursuant to section 312, without prior approval from the employee or the board. The employee has the right to have a physician, 16 surgeon or chiropractor of the employee's own selection present at such an examination, whose costs are paid by the employer. The 18 employer shall give the employee notice of this right at the time the employer requests an examination.

Sec. 2. 39-A MRSA §207, as amended by PL 1999, c. 365, §1, is further amended by adding after the first paragraph a new paragraph to read: 24

The health care provider examining an employee under this 26 section shall, prior to commencing the examination, advise the employee fully of all records, documents and other communications 28 that the health care provider has available in conducting the examination. The health care provider shall also advise the 30 employee and the employee's health care provider of the scope and purpose of the requested examination and all persons with whom 32 the health care provider has communicated in preparation for the examination. Simultaneously with providing an oral or written report to the employer, the health care provider shall provide 34 the same information to the employee and, if requested by the 36 employee, to the employee's health care provider.'

38

40

20

R. .

#### SUMMARY

This amendment removes from the bill the language limiting employers to a single 2nd opinion and the language prohibiting physicians from relying on information acquired after examination of the patient in preparing the medical opinion. It also repeals current law allowing a physician, surgeon or chiropractor to conduct a 2nd-opinion examination if that provider discontinued active practice within 2 years of the exam. It removes the provision requiring 50% of a provider's time to be spent on treating patients and it provides that a person may use hospital

Page 2-LR1479(2)

# COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

### COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to S.P. 155, L.D. 499

R. .

privileges to demonstrate that the person has an active practice
of treating patients only if the privileges are active clinical privileges. Finally, it requires a health care provider
conducting a 2nd-opinion examination to give a copy of the results to the employee's health care provider only if the
employee requests that it be given to the provider.

Page 3-LR1479(2)

## COMMITTEE AMENDMENT