



## **119th MAINE LEGISLATURE**

## **SECOND REGULAR SESSION-1999**

Legislative Document

No. 2276

H.P. 1629

House of Representatives, December 10, 1999

An Act to Revise the Spousal Support Statute.

Reported by Representative THOMPSON for the Family Law Advisory Commission pursuant to Maine Revised Statutes, Title 19-A, section 354, subsection 2.

Received by the Clerk of the House on December 8, 1999. Referred to the Committee on Judiciary pursuant to Joint Rule 308.2 and ordered printed pursuant to Joint Rule 218.

JOSEPH W. MAYO, Clerk

	Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:
2 4	Sec. 1. 19-A MRSA §851, sub-§9, ¶¶A and F, as enacted by PL 1997, c. 224, §4 and affected by §5, are amended to read:
6	A. Order spousal support, which must be determined in accordance with the factors set forth in section 951 <u>951-A</u> ;
8	
10	F. At any time, alter or amend an order for spousal support or a specific sum when it appears that justice requires it, except that a court may not increase the spousal support if
12	the original decree prohibits an increase. In making an alteration or amendment, the court shall consider the
14	factors set forth in section 951 <u>951-A</u> ; and
16	Sec. 2. 19-A MRSA §951, as amended by PL 1997, c. 629, §1, is repealed.
18	
	Sec. 3. 19-A MRSA §951-A is enacted to read:
20	
22	§951-A. Spousal support
66	1. Statement by court. An order granting, denying or
24	modifying spousal support must state:
26	A. The type or types of support, if support is awarded;
28	B. The method or methods of payment, and the term and limitations imposed, if support is awarded;
30	
32	C. If the support awarded is not, in whole or in part, subject to future modification; and
34	D. The factors relied upon by the court in arriving at its decision to award or deny spousal support, if the proceeding
36	was contested.
38	<b>2. Types of spousal support.</b> The court may, after consideration of all factors set forth in subsection 5, award or
40	modify spousal support for one or more of the following reasons.
42	A. General support may be awarded to provide financial
44	assistance to a spouse with substantially less income potential than the other spouse so that both spouses can maintain a reasonable standard of living after the divorce.
46	mancala a reasonable scandald of frying after the urvoice.
10	(1) There is a rebuttable presumption that general
48	support may not be awarded if the parties were married

	for less than 10 years as of the date of the filing of
2	the action for divorce. There is also a rebuttable
	presumption that general support may not be awarded for
4	a term exceeding 1/2 the length of the marriage if the
	<u>parties were married for at least 10 years but not more</u>
6	than 20 years as of the date of the filing of the
•	action for divorce.
8	(2) If the rout finds that a mount count
10	(2) If the court finds that a spousal support award
10	based upon a presumption established by this paragraph
12	would be inequitable or unjust, that finding is
12	sufficient to rebut the applicable presumption.
14	B. Transitional support may be awarded to provide for a
	spouse's transitional needs, including, but not limited to:
16	
	(1) Short-term needs resulting from financial
18	dislocations associated with the dissolution of the
	marriage; or
20	
	(2) Reentry or advancement in the work force,
22	including, but not limited to, physical or emotional
	rehabilitation services, vocational training and
24	education.
• •	
26	C. Reimbursement support may be awarded to achieve an
20	equitable result in the overall dissolution of the parties'
28	financial relationship in response to exceptional
30	circumstances. Exceptional circumstances include, but are
30	not limited to:
32	(1) Economic misconduct by a spouse; and
52	11/ Beomonite missionauce by a spouse, and
34	(2) Substantial contributions a spouse made towards
• -	the educational or occupational advancement of the
36	other spouse during the marriage.
	Action obdand and man war war ward
38	Reimbursement support may be awarded only if the court
	determines that the parties' financial circumstances do not
40	permit the court to fully address equitable considerations
	through its distributive order pursuant to section 953.
42	
	D. Nominal support may be awarded to preserve the court's
44	authority to grant spousal support in the future.
16	
46	E. Interim support may be awarded to provide for a spouse's
48	separate support during the pendency of an action for
40	<u>divorce or judicial separation.</u>

	3. Methods of payment; term and limitations. The order
2	must state the method or methods of payment that the court
4	determines just, including, but not limited to, lump-sum and
4	installment payments. The order must also state the term of and any limitations on the award that the court determines just.
6	including, but not limited to:
8	A. A limit on any increases or decreases in the amount of support;
10	
12	B. A limit on any increases or decreases in the term of support;
14	C. A limit on the method or methods of payment of support;
16	D. A limit on the payment of support related to the remarriage of the payee; and
18	
20	E. A limit on the payment of support related to cohabitation by the payee.
22	<b>4. Modification.</b> An award of spousal support is subject to modification when it appears that justice requires unless and to
24	the extent the order awarding or modifying spousal support
26	expressly states that the award, in whole or in part, is not
26	subject to future modification.
28	5. Factors. The court shall consider the following factors when determining an award of spousal support:
30	
32	A. The length of the marriage;
34	B. The ability of each party to pay;
34	C. The age of each party;
36	
38	D. The employment history and employment potential of each party;
40	E. The income history and income potential of each party;
42	F. The education and training of each party;
44	G. The provisions for retirement and health insurance benefits of each party;
46	
48	H. The tax consequences of the division of marital property, including the tax consequences of the sale of the marital home, if applicable;
50	THE ARE TRANCE AF CARTICULT.

2	I. The health and disabilities of each party;
4	J. The tax consequences of a spousal support award;
4 6	K. The contributions of either party as homemaker;
8	L. The contributions of either party to the education or earning potential of the other party;
10	M. Economic misconduct by either party resulting in the diminution of marital property or income;
12	N. The standard of living of the parties during the
14	<u>marriage;</u>
16	O. The ability of the party seeking support to become self-supporting within a reasonable period of time;
18	D The effect of the following on a perturb need for
20	P. The effect of the following on a party's need for spousal support or a party's ability to pay spousal support:
22	(1) Actual or potential income from marital or nonmarital property awarded or set apart to each party
24	as part of the court's distributive order pursuant to section 953; and
26	
28	(2) Child support for the support of a minor child or children of the marriage pursuant to chapter 63; and
30	Q. Any other factors the court considers appropriate.
32	<ol> <li>6. Enforcement. The court may use all necessary legal provisions to enforce its decrees.</li> </ol>
34	
36	7. Real estate and other property; life insurance and other security. The court may order part of the obligated party's real estate or other property, as well as the rents, profits or income
38	from real estate or other property, to be assigned and set out to the other party for life or for such other period determined to
40	be just. The court may also order the obligated party to maintain life insurance or to otherwise provide security for the
42	payment of spousal support in the event the obligation may survive the obligated party's death.
44	
46	8. Cessation upon death of payee or payor. An order awarding, denying or modifying spousal support may provide that
48	the award survives the death of the payee or payor, or both. Unless otherwise stated in the order awarding spousal support,
50	the obligation to make any payment pursuant to this section ceases upon the death of either the payee or the payor with

respect to any payment not yet due and owing as of the date of death.

4	9. Effect of no award or termination of spousal support. A
~	final judgment that does not award spousal support forever
6	precludes such an award in that action. The complete termination
	of a spousal support award pursuant to the terms of the award or
8	a final post-judgment order forever precludes the reinstatement
	of spousal support in that action.
10	
	10. Application. This section applies to orders granting
12	or denying spousal support entered on or after September 1, 2000,
	as well as the modification, termination and enforcement of
14	orders granting spousal support entered on or after that date.
16	
4.0	SUMMARY
18	
	This bill is a recommendation of the Family Law Advisory
20	Commission pursuant to the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 19-A,
	section 354, subsection 2. This bill replaces the current law on
22	spousal support with more comprehensive requirements concerning
	the award of spousal support. The Family Law Advisory Commission
24	prepared this bill in response to the following recommendation by
	the <u>Maine Commission on Gender, Justice and the Courts</u> in its
26	December 4, 1996 report.
• •	
28	"Although the alimony statute offers parties and their
	lawyers a broad array of bases for pursuing an alimony award, the
30	Legislature should consider amending the statute to provide a
~ ~	clear statement of the purpose for alimony to guide the court in
32	weighing the various factors."
24	mba bill manipas the south to second the last ' of '
34	The bill requires the courts to approach the determination of spousal support in a uniform manner. The bill also
36	of spousal support in a uniform manner. The bill also establishes 2 rebuttable presumptions designed to bring greater
30	uniformity and predictability to spousal support determinations.
38	The absence of any criteria has increased the unpredictability of
50	spousal support determinations, which, in turn, promotes
40	contested litigation. Because the presumptions are rebuttable,
TO	the courts will retain their traditional discretion to fashion
42	spousal support awards that are responsive to the particular
76	circumstances of each case.
44	
11	

The bill also permits a spousal support award to provide 46 that all or a portion of the award, including, but not limited to, the limitations associated with the award, is not subject to 48 future modification. Under existing law, the question of whether a spousal support award may be modified can be the subject of lengthy and expensive litigation even in those cases in which the
parties had previously entered into a written antimodification
agreement. See e.g., Lyon v. Lyon, 1999 ME 75.