



119th MAINE LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION-1999

Legislative Document

No. 1795

S.P. 630

In Senate, March 9, 1999

An Act to Validate Pierringer Releases and Reform Procedures in Multiparty Lawsuits.

Reference to the Committee on Judiciary suggested and ordered printed.

0/sun

JOY J. O'BRIEN Secretary of the Senate

Presented by Senator MILLS of Somerset.

	Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:
2	Sec. 1. 14 MRSA §156, as amended by PL 1971, c. 8, is
4	repealed.
6	Sec. 2. 14 MRSA §163, as enacted by PL 1969, c. 19, is repealed.
8	Sec. 3. 14 MRSA §165, sub-§3, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1995, c.
10	299, §1, is amended to read:
12	B. Fault of the plaintiff to the extent that the plaintiff's fault bars or reduces the plaintiff's recovery
14	under section 156 183 ; or
16	Sec. 4. 14 MRSA c. 8 is enacted to read:
18	CHAPTER 8
20	CONTRIBUTORY FAULT, CONTRIBUTION AND SETTLEMENT
22	
24	<u>\$181. Definitions</u>
26	As used in this chapter, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the following meanings.
28	1. Equitable share. "Equitable share" means a party's
30	percentage share of the total combined fault allocable to all parties to the claim excluding the plaintiff, when 2 or more
32	parties are jointly or severally liable upon the same indivisible claim for the same harm. When 2 or more parties are jointly and
34	severally liable upon the same indivisible claim for the same harm, a party's equitable share of fault is that party's
36	percentage share of the total combined fault allocable to all parties to the claim excluding the plaintiff. A party's
	equitable share is determined by the trier of fact in percentage
38	terms based on the relative blameworthiness of each party's conduct and on each party's relative causal contribution to the
40	plaintiff's harm. The conduct of a party who is strictly liable
42	to the plaintiff may be compared to and apportioned with the conduct of a party who is liable to the plaintiff for negligence
44	or recklessness.
11	2. Fault. "Fault" has the meaning set out in this
4 6	subsection.
48	A. "Fault" means negligence, breach of statutory duty or other act or omission that creates a liability in tort or

that would, apart from this chapter, give rise to the 2 defense of contributory negligence. 4 B. "Fault" includes acts or omissions that are in any measure negligent or reckless toward person or property, or 6 that subject a party to strict tort liability. "Fault" also includes breach of warranty, unreasonable assumption of risk 8 not constituting an enforceable express consent, misuse of a product for which a party otherwise would be liable and an 10 unreasonable failure to avoid harm. 12 In determining relative fault, in percentages or otherwise, the trier of fact shall consider both the nature of the conduct of 14 each party at fault and the extent of the causal relation between the conduct and the damages claimed. Legal requirements for 16 causal relation apply both to fault as the basis for liability and to contributory fault. 18 3. Harm. "Harm" means injury, death, damage or loss sufficient to sustain a legal action based on fault. 20 22 4. Plaintiff. "Plaintiff" means a party entitled to make a claim for damages regardless of whether suit is actually filed 24 and regardless of the procedure by which the claim may be asserted in court, including, but not limited to, in a 26 counterclaim or cross-claim. 28 5. Parties. In addition to the plaintiff, "parties" means those who are, at the time that plaintiff's right of action 30 accrues, potentially liable on the basis of fault to pay damages either to the plaintiff directly or in contribution to another 32 for the same harm. "Parties" includes not only those who are before the court but also those who might without immunity have been brought before a court with jurisdiction to impose a 34 fault-based award for the plaintiff's harm. 36 6. Right of contribution. "Right of contribution means the 38 sharing of a loss or payment by 2 or more parties. 40 7. Tortfeasor. "Tortfeasor" means a party liable on the basis of fault to pay damages either to the plaintiff directly or 42 in contribution to another for the same harm. "Tortfeasor" includes a party whose fault-based liability arises in contract 44 from breach of warranty or the like. §182. Effect of plaintiff's fault 46 48 1. Bar. In a claim for harm that results partly from fault attributable to the plaintiff and partly from fault attributable

2	to other parties, the claim is barred if the plaintiff's fault is equal to or greater than that of all other parties to the claim.
4	2. Reduce recovery. When fault of the plaintiff is less than that of the other parties, the plaintiff's recovery must be
б	reduced to the extent that is just and equitable having regard to
8	the plaintiff's share of fault for the harm.
	3. Failure to mitigate. The plaintiff's failure to
10	mitigate damages after a wrong has occurred is not contributory fault; but such failure may reduce the total damages that
12	plaintiff can claim were proximately caused by those found liable
14	for the initial harm.
	§183. Procedure for reduction
16	
18	1. Reduction procedure. When the plaintiff is entitled to a recovery that is reduced for contributory fault, the trier of
20	fact shall, unless otherwise agreed by all parties:
20	A. Find the total damages that the plaintiff would be
22	entitled to recover if contributory fault were disregarded;
24	B. Reduce the total damages by dollars and cents to an amount considered just and equitable, having regard to the
26	plaintiff's share of fault; and
28	C. Return both amounts with the knowledge that the lesser figure is the final verdict.
30	
32	<u>§184. Joint and several liability; findings</u>
	When 2 or more defendants are found liable upon the same
34	indivisible claim for the same harm, each is jointly and severally liable to the plaintiff for the full amount of the
36	plaintiff's recovery. Upon timely request of any party, the trier of fact shall find in percentage terms the equitable share
38	of fault contributed by each tortfeasor. When the liability of
40	one party is purely vicarious to that of another or when justice
40	otherwise requires, the court may determine that 2 or more
42	parties are to be treated as one.
	<u>§185.</u> Right of contribution
44	
	1. Existence of the right. Except as otherwise stated in
4 6	this section, when 2 or more parties are at fault for causing the same harm to the same plaintiff, there is a right of contribution
48	among them, even though judgment has not been recovered against all or any of them.
50	

	2. When assertible. The right of contribution may be
2	determined and enforced either in the original action or by a separate action brought for that purpose.
4	
6	3. Basis for contribution. The basis for contribution is each tortfeasor's equitable share of the joint obligation. The
8	right of contribution exists only in favor of a tortfeasor who has paid more than its equitable share of the common liability
10	and is limited to the amount of its payment in excess of such share.
12	4. Insolvency. If a joint tortfeasor lacks capacity to pay
	its equitable share, then the resulting loss is distributed among
14	those remaining in proportion to their respective equitable shares.
16	5. Intentional tortfeasor. There is no right of
18	contribution in favor of any tortfeasor who has intentionally caused the harm.
20	
22	6. Arising from settlement. Right of contribution is available to a joint tortfeasor that enters into a settlement
24	with the plaintiff only:
26	A. If the liability of the party against whom contribution is sought has been extinguished; and
-	
28	<u>B. To the extent that the amount paid in settlement was reasonable.</u>
30	7. Liability insurers. A liability insurer, who by payment
32	has discharged in full or in part the liability of a tortfeasor and has discharged in full its obligation as insurer, is
34	subrogated to the tortfeasor's right of contribution to the
36	extent of the amount the insurer has paid in excess of the tortfeasor's equitable share of the common liability. This
38	section does not limit or impair rights of subrogation arising from any other relationship.
40	8. Indemnity. When one tortfeasor has a right of indemnity
42	against another, neither has a right of contribution against the other.
44	9. Breaches of trust. This section does not apply to breaches of trust or to breaches of other fiduciary obligations.
46	§186. Enforcement of contribution rights
48	
50	1. By motion. If proportionate fault among joint tortfeasors has been previously established, a party paying more

1

than its equitable share may recover judgment for contribution 2 upon motion.

- 2. By separate action. If proportionate fault among joint tortfeasors has not been established, contribution may be enforced in a separate action, regardless of whether a judgment has been rendered against either the party seeking contribution or the party from whom contribution is sought.
- 3. When assertible after judgment. If a judgment has been rendered against the tortfeasor seeking contribution, the action
 for contribution must be commenced within one year after the judgment is final.
- 4. When assertible if no judgment. If a judgment has not been rendered, the party bringing the action for contribution must have either:
- A. Discharged by payment the common liability within the20period of the statute of limitations applicable to the
claimant's right of action and commenced the action for22contribution within one year after payment; or
- B. Agreed while action was pending to discharge the common liability and within one year after the agreement have paid
 the liability and commenced an action for contribution.

 5. Effect of judgment and recovery. A plaintiff's recovery of a judgment against one tortfeasor does not of itself discharge other tortfeasors from liability for the same claim unless the judgment is satisfied. Satisfaction of the judgment does not impair rights of contribution.

34 **6. Binding effect.** A judgment that determines the equitable shares of liability for 2 or more tortfeasors who were 36 parties to the judgment is binding among them in determining their respective rights to contribution for those damage claims 38 that were the subject of the judgment.

40 **§187. Release of joint tortfeasors**

14

18

When recovery is sought for harm caused by 2 or more parties, a release of one or more of them does not bar a claim
against the others. Evidence of a prior release or settlement is not admissible on issues of liability or damages in a subsequent
trial. If the plaintiff is awarded a verdict in such a case, the judge shall then reduce the plaintiff's recovery by deducting,
for each such prior settlement, the lesser of the following:

	1. Value of consideration. The value of the consideration
2	given to the plaintiff for settlement with the released party; or
4	2. Equitable share. The released party's equitable share of the joint obligation if it was determined by the trier of fact.
6	or the joint obligation if it was determined by the titler of fact.
-	§188. Partial proportional settlement
8	If any defendant is valenced by the plaintiff under an
10	If any defendant is released by the plaintiff under an agreement that precludes the plaintiff from collecting against
10	remaining parties that portion of any damages attributable to the
12	settling defendant's share of responsibility, then the following
	rules apply.
14	
	1. General rule. Such a settling defendant is entitled to
16	be dismissed with prejudice from the case. Unless otherwise
	ordered, the dismissal bars all related claims for contribution
18	or indemnity assertible by remaining parties.
20	2. Post-settlement procedures. The trial court shall
~~~	preserve for the remaining parties a fair opportunity to
22	adjudicate the liability of the dismissed defendant. Remaining
	parties may continue to conduct necessary discovery against a
24	settling defendant and may invoke evidentiary rules at trial as
	though the settling defendant were still a party.
26	
	3. Binding effect. To apportion responsibility in the
28	pending action for claims that were included in the settlement
	and presented at trial, a finding on the issue of the settling
30	defendant's liability binds all parties to the suit, but such a
• •	finding has no binding effect in other actions relating to other
32	damage claims,
34	4. Post-verdict adjustments. If a plaintiff recovers a
	verdict against any of the nonsettling parties, the court shall
36	reduce the plaintiff's judgment by the amount determined at trial
	to be attributable to the settling defendant's equitable share of
38	fault if any was found. If such a finding was not requested,
	then the court shall reduce the plaintiff's judgment by the value
40	of the consideration given to the plaintiff for the settlement.
42	5. Exceptions. If special circumstances dictate that the
	issues can not fairly be adjudicated without fuller participation
44	of the settling defendant, then for good cause shown the court
	may grant relief as necessary to protect the rights of remaining
46	parties. Such an order for relief may include:
48	A. That the settling defendant must continue to defend
20	against remaining cross-claims;
50	<u>- JERRY - A VIIGA-ANTY - VAVEN - VAUAIIO/</u>

.

1

2	B. That the parties are not bound by that portion of any verdict or finding that determines whether or to what extent the settling defendant shares responsibility for the
4	plaintiff's harm; or
б	<u>C. That cross-claims against the settling defendant are</u> severed for a separate trial.
8	Sec. 5. Application. The Maine Revised Statutes, Title 14,
10	sections 182, 183 and 184 apply to causes of action that accrue after the effective date of this Act. Title 14, sections 185 and
12	186 relating to contribution apply to all rights of contribution that accrue after the effective date of this Act. Title 14,
14	sections 187 and 188 relating to settlement apply to all settlements that are entered into after the effective date of
16	this Act.
18	SUMMARY
20	5 CIVILYIAR I
	This bill restructures the comparative negligence laws and
22	defines how they should apply in cases with multiple defendants.
22 24	defines how they should apply in cases with multiple defendants. The bill also restructures the laws dealing with release of joint tortfeasors when settlement is not reached and clarifies
	The bill also restructures the laws dealing with release of
24	The bill also restructures the laws dealing with release of joint tortfeasors when settlement is not reached and clarifies how the judge applies offsets against subsequent verdicts when there have been prejudgment settlements.
24 26	The bill also restructures the laws dealing with release of joint tortfeasors when settlement is not reached and clarifies how the judge applies offsets against subsequent verdicts when there have been prejudgment settlements. The bill also authorizes the courts to approve Pierringer release procedures in complex litigation. In a Pierringer
24 26 28	The bill also restructures the laws dealing with release of joint tortfeasors when settlement is not reached and clarifies how the judge applies offsets against subsequent verdicts when there have been prejudgment settlements. The bill also authorizes the courts to approve Pierringer release procedures in complex litigation. In a Pierringer settlement, the plaintiff gives up that share of recovery that is proportional to the settling defendant's fraction of
24 26 28 30	The bill also restructures the laws dealing with release of joint tortfeasors when settlement is not reached and clarifies how the judge applies offsets against subsequent verdicts when there have been prejudgment settlements. The bill also authorizes the courts to approve Pierringer release procedures in complex litigation. In a Pierringer settlement, the plaintiff gives up that share of recovery that is proportional to the settling defendant's fraction of responsibility. The purpose of a Pierringer release is to permit one defendant to settle with the plaintiff and to withdraw
24 26 28 30 32	The bill also restructures the laws dealing with release of joint tortfeasors when settlement is not reached and clarifies how the judge applies offsets against subsequent verdicts when there have been prejudgment settlements. The bill also authorizes the courts to approve Pierringer release procedures in complex litigation. In a Pierringer settlement, the plaintiff gives up that share of recovery that is proportional to the settling defendant's fraction of responsibility. The purpose of a Pierringer release is to permit
24 26 28 30 32 34	The bill also restructures the laws dealing with release of joint tortfeasors when settlement is not reached and clarifies how the judge applies offsets against subsequent verdicts when there have been prejudgment settlements. The bill also authorizes the courts to approve Pierringer release procedures in complex litigation. In a Pierringer settlement, the plaintiff gives up that share of recovery that is proportional to the settling defendant's fraction of responsibility. The purpose of a Pierringer release is to permit one defendant to settle with the plaintiff and to withdraw finally from the suit even when there are cross-claims against the defendant. The bill contains safeguards to protect nonsettling parties
24 26 28 30 32 34 36	The bill also restructures the laws dealing with release of joint tortfeasors when settlement is not reached and clarifies how the judge applies offsets against subsequent verdicts when there have been prejudgment settlements. The bill also authorizes the courts to approve Pierringer release procedures in complex litigation. In a Pierringer settlement, the plaintiff gives up that share of recovery that is proportional to the settling defendant's fraction of responsibility. The purpose of a Pierringer release is to permit one defendant to settle with the plaintiff and to withdraw finally from the suit even when there are cross-claims against the defendant.

.